AFML-TR-74-189 Part II

1



A0A021512

ANALYSIS OF FILM THICKNESS EFFECT IN SLOW-SPEED LIGHTLY-LOADED ELASTOHYDRODYNAMIC CONTACTS Part II. Measurement of Film Thicknesses in Vacuum.

SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE

JANUARY 1976

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-74-189, Part II REPORT FOR PERIOD JULY 1974 - JUNE 1975

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES (AFSC) Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433 NOTICE

ł

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Office (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

WAYNE E. WARD Project Engineer

FOR THE COMMANDER Ehrenbacher

LARR L. FEHRENBACHER, Major, USAF Chief, Lubricants and Tribology Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

ATR FORCE - 23 FEBRUARY 1976 - 500

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. PECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER REPORT NUMBER TR-74-189 (Part H) AFML TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED ANALYSIS OF FILM THICKNESS FFFECT IN Final SLOW-SPEED LIGHTLY-LOADED ELASTO-975 HYDRODYNAMIC CONTACTS, Part II. RS-632 easurement of Film Thicknesses in Vacuum ASIV-REMETER R. D. /Brown, J. C. /Tyler, -F33615-73-C-5123 P., H. J. /Carper M. Ku PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS MENT. PROJEC Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, Texas 78284 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Materials Laboratory (MBT) Jan Air Force Systems Command NUMBER OF PAGES 124 Air Force Base, Ohio 45433 Wright-Patterson SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 1, Jul 74 - 15 Jun 7! DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repert) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, Il different from Report) D 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number) Bea rings Space Accelerated Test Lubricants Elastohydrodynamics Lubrication Vacuum 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) This report presents a summary of the second year's effort in a twoyear program to study the influence of oil film thickness on bearing-lubricant life expectancy in despin mechanical assembly-type bearings operating in vacuum. Results of elastohydrodynamic film thickness measurements made by the optical interference technique in a SwRI optical tester are presented for seven oils, some of which have been employed in actual space flight hardware. These results show that the special oils formulated for vacuum DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) 3182011/16

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

BLOCK 20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

and and

100

use behave in a manner similar to ordinary straight mineral oils. Extensive experimental EHD film thickness data were obtained for DMA-type bearings operating in a vacuum and lubricated with various oils, and these results are presented and discussed. These film thickness measurements were made using a race displacement technique developed during the first year's work at SwRI. The measurements show that, in general, lubricant starvation occurs in the bearings with the result that the EHD film thicknesses are less than those predicted by the theoretical equations for flooded EHD lubrication. The results from long-term tests with DMA-type bearings operating in vacuum are also presented. Two bearing failures occurred and these failures are attributed to problems associated with lubrication of the interfaces between the retainer and the other bearing components. Examination of the bearings after test termination reveals that substantially full EHD lubrication (not flooded, but separation of bearing surfaces) at the ball-race contacts apparently prevailed for the duration of the tests.

UNC LASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Southwest Research Institute, 8500 Culebra Road, San Antonio, Texas, under Contract F33615-73-C-5123. The contract was initiated under Project No. 7343, "Aerospace Lubricants," Task No. 734303. The work was administered by the Lubricants and Tribology Branch, Nonmetallic Materials Division, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. The project engineers were Dr. M. Rivera, Dr. W. E. Ward, and Mr. R. J. Benzing, AFML/MBT.

Acknowledgment is given to Mr. Harold Haufler of SwRI for assisting in the development of the test facilities and also for conducting the experiments and participating in the analysis of the test data.

The report is issued in two parts: Part I, Development of Film Thickness Measurement Technique, and Part II, Measurement of Film Thicknesses in Vacuum. Part I was published in December 1974; Part II is contained herein.

iii

Part II of the report covers the period of July 1, 1974, through June 15, 1975, and was submitted by the authors in September 1975.

The contractor's report number is RS-632.

ACCESSI	Hi lar	
XTIS	likie	Section 1
200	Dufi y	Section []
ur: Annou	NCED	
JUSTIFIC	1104	
DISTRIBU Bial.	YION/AVAILABIL AVAIL 200/0	ITY CODES
R		
1		



TABLE OF CONTENTS

and the second second

			Pag
I.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.	Objectives and Scope	1
	2.	Prior Accomplishments — Part I Review	2
II.	TESI	MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT	3
	1.	Test Bearings	3
	2.	Test Oils	4
	3.	Bearing Test Rig and Associated Instrumentation	5
ш.	TASK TECI	(I – DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERIMENTAL HNIQUE FOR FILM THICKNESS MEASUREMENT	
	IN SI DYNA	AMIC CONTACTS	11
	1.	General	11
	2.	Optical EHD Film Thickness Results	16
IV.	TASK THIC BLY	II - EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS OF FILM KNESS IN TYPICAL DESPIN MECHANICAL ASSEM- BEARINGS OPERATING IN A SIMULATED SPACE	
	ENVI	RONMENT	27
	1.	General	27
	2,	Development of Computer Program to Analyze	20
	3.	Experimental Test Results	31
v.	TASK	III - ANALYSIS OF INFLUENCE OF LUBRICANT	
	FILM	THICKNESS ON BEARING LIFE EXPECTANCY IN	
	A SIM	AULATED SPACE ENVIRONMENT	49
	1.	General	49
	2.	Presentation of Experimental Results from	
		Long-Duration Bearing Tests	52
VI.	CONC	LUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	66
	1.	Conclusions	66
	2.	Recommendations	68

いたので、「「「「「「「」」」

1084

e

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page

APPENDIXES

 (\mathcal{P}_{i})

Α	Development of Film Thickness-Bearing Race Displacement Equations Used in Computer	
	Programs	70
В	Listing for Task II Data Reduction Program	80
С	Sample Printout of Task II Data	93
D	Listing of Task III Data Reduction Program	9 7
E	Task III Data	1 09
LIST OF REF	ERENCES	124

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

State State

the a support

and the second second

Figure		Page
1	Bearing Test Rig	6
2	Three Test Rigs Attached to Vacuum Facility	9
3	Closeup of Test Rig Attached to Vacuum Chamber	10
4	Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for SwRI Oil B in Pure Rolling	13
5	Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for SwRI Oil B in Pure Rolling	14
6	Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for Four Formulations of Apiezon C	17
7	Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for Apiezon A	18
8	Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for Nye 860-2	19
9	Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for Seven Oils	21
10	Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for Four Formulations of Apiezon C	22
11	Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for Apiezon A	23
12	Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for Nye 860-2	25
13	Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for Seven Oils	26
14	Dimensionless Propumpdown Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of BBRC 36233	34

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

Figure		Page
15	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Low- Viscosity Oil	38
16	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses tor Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil	39
17	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of High- Viscosity Oil	40
18	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil Containing Antiwear Additive ZDP	42
19	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil Containing No Antiwear Additive	43
20	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thin Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil	44
21	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Rough Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil	46
22	Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Rough Bearings Having Thin Initial Film of Intermediate- Viscosity Oil	47
23	Measured Variables for Endurance Test Using DMA Bearings Lubricated with Thick Initial Oil Film of Apiezon A and Having Low $\Lambda_{\rm IP}$	53
24	Measured Variables for Endurance Test Using DMA Bearings Lubricated with Thick Initial Oil Film of Apiezon A and Having Medium Λ_m	54

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

A DESC

Contraction of the

San N

Figure		Page
25	Measured Variables for Endurance Test Using DMA Bearings Lubricated with Thick Initial Oil Film of BBRC 36233 and Having High Λ_m	55
26	View of Wedged Cage After Endurance Test "Lock Up"	58
27	Ball Track in Outer Race of Forward Bearing	61
28	Ball Track in Inner Race of Forward Bearing	62
29	Flake of Debris Deposited in Ball Pocket of Forward Bearing Cage	63
30	Four Oil-Impregnated Reservoirs as Removed from Test Rig	64
31	Schematic Drawing Showing Axially Displaced Bearing Race	71

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Bearing Test Rig Parts List	7
2	Summary of Task II Tests	35
3	Summary of Task III Tests	51

ix

and the second state of the second states

SECTION I

INT RODUCTION

1 Objectives and Scope

As specified in the Statement of Work of Contract F33615-73-C-5123, the objectives of the propose i preferant are: (a) to determine the oil film thickness in an axially-loaded, angolar-contact ball bearing under conditions typified by a despin mechanical ascembly (DMA), and (b) to define the influence of oil film thickness on lubricaut and bearing performance in long-life DMA systems.

In order to accomplish these objectives, the Statement of Work outlines three major tasks, as follows:

<u>Task I</u> — Development of an experimental technique for film thickness measurement in slow-speed, lightly-loaded elastohydrodynamic contacts.

<u>Task II</u> — Experimental measurements of film thic mess in typical despin mechanical assembly bearings operating in a space (vacuum) environment.

<u>Task III</u> — Analysis of the influence of film thickness on lubricant film performance and bearing life expectancy in a space (vacuum) environment.

This report is issued in two parts: Part I, Development of Film Thickness Measurement Technique, and Part II, Measurement of Film Thicknesses in Vacuum. Part I of the report, submitted in July 1974 and published in December $1974_{1}(1)$ was concerned principally with the work done under Task I, i.e., the development of a technique uniquely applicable to the measurement of the oil film thickness in angular-contact bearings. as are typically employed in DMA's. It also outlined the test plans for Tasks II and III. Part II of the report, submitted herewith, will present the results of the Tasks II and III tests, together with further analysis of the Task I results. Briefly, Task II involves film thickness measurements in typical DMA bearings in a simulated space (vacuum) environment, and Task III entails selected long-duration bearing tests in a simulated space (vacuum) environment. The objectives of Task II are to apply the basic technique developed in Task I to typical DMA bearings and to examine how the oil film thickness varies with lubricant formulations and operating conditions. The objectives of Task III are to generate experimental data relating the ail film thickness to bearing performance and to provide a realistic foundation for the development of accelerated tests for bearing life prediction.

Since this part of the report is a continuation of Part I, much of the material contained in Part I will not be repeated herein, but will be summarized or referenced for the sake of completeness.

2. Prior Accomplishments - Part I Review

Part I of this report described the experimental and analytical work performed on the development of a technique to measure the oil film thickness in an actual bearing operating in vacuum. Preliminary tests with actual bearings showed that the technique, involving the measurement of the displacement of the bearing race due to the development of elastohydrodynamic oil films at the ball-race conjunctions, was feasible. In addition to these preliminary bearing tests, extensive measurements of the elastohydrodynamic oil film thickness were made by both the displacement technique and the optical interference technique in a SwRI optical EHD tester. These measurements were made and compared using six different test oils, some of which have been employed in actual space flight hardware. These results indicated a need for further measurements and analyses, which will be reported in Section III herein.

SECTION II

TEST MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT

1. Test Bearings

The test bearings selected for use in this program were discussed in Section II, Part I of this report. They were manufactured by the Marlin-Rockwell Company (MRC) and are typical DMA bearings, ABEC-7 grade, angular-contact ball bearings with a counterbored inner race, 100-mm bore, and a contact angle of $26^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$. The surface finish of the balls in all test bearings was approximately 0.025 μ m (1 μ in.). However, as requested by SwRI, come bearings were to have a "standard" surface finish on the races and others were to have a race surface finish approximately twice the "standard." According to the information supplied by MRC, the "standard" race finish was approximately 0.102 μ m (4 μ in.) transverse (across the grinding marks), and the rougher race finish was approximately 0.204 μ m (8 μ in.) transverse. This roughness variation was to be employed to determine the effect of surface finish on the measured oil film thickness and on the bearing life in Tasks II and III.

Upon receipt of the test bearings from MRC, they were not disassembled, but were shipped directly to Ball Brothers Research Corporation (BBRC) for special application of the test lubricants. When the bearings were returned from BBRC to SwRI, they again were not disassembled prior to being used for testing in order to minimize the possibility of contamination. Consequently, it was not possible to check the surface roughness of the bearing races until after completion of the Task II tests and after termination of two of the Task III endurance tests.

The post-test surface roughness measurements were made on one "standard" bearing and one rough bearing, using a Talysurf surface finish measuring instrument equipped with a curved-surface attachment. These measurements showed that the inner-race surface roughness of the rough bearing was not much different from that of the standard bearing. The measurements were made using two wave "cutoff" lengths. The longer wave "cutoff" length of 0.076 cm (0.03 in.) gave an average value over a longer distance across the bearing race and consequently included an additional amount contributed by any waviness of the surface. The wave "cutoff" length of 0.025 cm (0.01 in.) was only 1/3 as long and would exclude much of the waviness, unless the frequency of waviness is extremely high. It was found that the longer wave "cutoff" length gave surface finish values about 3 to 7 times those given by the shorter wave "cutoff" length. Therefore, it is concluded that the race surfaces have an appreciable amount of waviness that contributes to the surface roughness reading when using the longer wave "cutoff" length. The values that were obtained using

the two wave "cutoff" lengths along with the race surface finish values furnished by MRC are as follows:

	Bearing Inner-Race Roughness, µm (µin.)	
	Standard finish	Rough finish
SwRI 0.076 cm wave "cutoff" length	0.335 (13.20)	0.422 (16.62)
SwRI 0.025 cm wave "cutoff" length	0.087 (3.44)	0.062 (2.42)
MRC information	0.102 (4)	0.204 (8)

As seen from these data there is a significant difference in the values obtained by the two organizations. Further examination of the graphic traces obtained by both MRC and SwRI when traversing the bearing race surfaces led to the conclusion that the MRC values are probably more indicative of the true surface character. The MRC traces are more consistent and uniform and do indeed show that the amplitude of the stylus trace for the rough bearings is about twice that of the standard bearings. Consequently it was decided to accept the MRC roughness values for the purposes of the present study.

Thes one-surements do show that surface roughness values obtained at different laboratories using different measuring instruments can vary considerably, thus making it a very controversial subject. Of course the width and length of the penetrating stylus will also influence the surface roughness information obtained. Discrepancies in measured surface roughness values at different laboratories using different measuring instruments is not new and certainly deserves further study to resolve these differences.

Based on the MRC measured data, the effect of ball-race composite surface roughness on the oil film thicknesses in EHD conjunctions in bearings, which is one of the variables to be investigated in Task II can be made in this study. Also, the three different A ratios (ratio of oil film thickness to ball-race composite surface roughness) will lend themselves to analysis in the Task III tests. Details of these results will be discussed in Sections IV and V herein.

2. Test Oils

Six different test oils were employed in this program, with emphasis being placed on several space-proven ones. These oils were supplied and applied to the test bearings by Ball Brothers Research Corporation (BBRC), which organization served as a subcontractor to SwRI in this program.

a and the second s

In addition to the six test oils, data on the elastohydrodynamic film thickness behavior of a straight mineral oil, SwRI Oil B, obtained in a previous SwRI program, (2) are cited for comparison.

The properties of the seven oils were discussed in detail in Section II, Part I of this report, and will not be repeated here.

3. Bearing Test Rig and Associated Instrumentation

A cross-section of the bearing test rig is shown in Figure 1 and a complete parts list keyed to the drawing is given in Table 1. There have been a few modifications made to the original design as presented in Section I, Part I of this report. Therefore, a revised drawing and parts list are presented herein for the sake of clarity.

Four identical bearing test rigs were fabricated; three were used for the long-duration tests in Task III and one for the short-duration tests in Task II. The test bearings (33) are mounted on a shaft (4) and axially preloaded by means of the diaphragm (7) which is deflected a selected distance by the bearing preload ring (10). Diaphragm load/deflection calibration was determined by using deadweights and a dial indicator. At a load of 890 N (200 lb) the axial deflection is 0.86 mm(0.034 in.). Rotary motion is imparted to the shaft by means of a magnetic coupling consisting of an inner magnet (22) and an outer magnet (23) which is mounted on the shaft of a variable speed DC motor (51). Motor speed is indicated by means of a magnetic pickup activated by a 60-tooth gear (not shown in Fig. 1) mounted on the motor shaft. Bearing temperatures are measured by means of 1/16-in. diameter sheathed thermocouples (32) which contact the outer ring of each bearing.

The technique employed for measuring oil film thickness in these tests consists of measuring the axial movement of the floating bearing by means of a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT). The LVDT components consist of a core (14) attached to the bearing cartridge (5) that carries the floating bearing and a winding (20) that is attached to the retainer plate (15).

For lubrication, the test bearings are initially coated with a film of oil and the bearing cages are impregnated with the same oil. Also, for some tests, impregnated reservoirs (16) are installed within the bearing chamber.

A photograph of the three long-duration test rigs used in Task III, as attached to the $1200 \ l/s$ ion pump, is shown in Figure 2. Much of the instrumentation employed is also shown in the photograph. Figure 3 illustrates a closeup view of one of the test rigs. Details of the instrumentation and vacuum systems employed are given in Section II. Part I of the report.



TABLE 1. BEARING TEST RIG PARTS LIST

に高度と

Identifying		No.
<u>No.</u>	Description	Req ¹ d
- · ·		
1	Bearing rig chamber	1
2	Inner housing	1
3	1-in. O.D. vacuum tee	1
4	Drive shaft	1
5	Bearing cartridge	1
6	Bearing locknut	1
7	Diaphragm	1
8	Diaphragm mounting ring	1
9	Diaphragm retainer ring, outer	1
10	Bearing preload ring	1
11	Bearing retainer ring	1
12	Diaphragm retainer ring, inner	1
13	Mounting rod, LVDT core	1
14	LVDT core, Hewlet-Packard 585T-050-BM	1
15	Retainer plate	1
16	Oil reservoir (90° segments)	4
17	Oil reservoir mounting ring	1
18	Assembly retainer ring	1
19	Housing, LVDT	1
20	LVDT winding, Hewlet-Packard 585DT-050-BM	1
21	$6-32 \ge 1/2$ slotted cap screw	3
22	Magnet, inner	1
23	Magnet, outer	1
24	Vacuum flange	6
25	Vacuum flange insert	4
26	Vacuum flange insert, modified	2
27	Vacuum feed through, lubricant	1
28	Vacuum feed through, electrical	1
29	Terminal header, Latronics No. 97.1735	1
30	Copper gasket, 1-in.	3
31	Magnetic pickup, Electro Products No. 3080	1
32	Thermocouple, 1/16 sheath	2
33	Test bearing	2
34	Magnet cartridge	1
35	Input shaft	1
36	Magnet lock ring	1
37	6-32 x 5/8 flat heat screw	4
38	Thermocouple compression fitting, Omega SSLK-116	Z
39	Adapter, thermocouple compression fitting	2
40	1/4-20 x 1/2 socket head cap screw	3

 TABLE 1.
 BEARING TEST RIG PARTS LIST (Cont'd)

Identifying	Description	No. Beeld
<u> </u>	Description	<u>Neq'u.</u>
41	1/4-20 x 1-1/4 hexagon head cap screw	12
42	6-32 x 3/4 flat head screw	4
43	6-32 x 3/8 flat head screw	36
44	1/4-20 hexagon nut	12
45	10-32 hexagon nut	1
46	9/16-18 hexagon nut	1
47	8-32 x 3/4 flat head screw	6
48	10-32 x 3/8 socket head set screw	2
49	9/16 flat washer	1
50	1/4 lock washer	12
51	DC drive motor, Bodine No. 280	1
52	Ferromagnetic pin	1

8





SECTION III

TASK I — DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE FOR FILM THICKNESS MEASUREMENT IN SLOW-SPEED LIGHTLY-LOADED ELASTOHYDRODYNAMIC CONTACTS

1. General

Section IV, Part I of this report discussed briefly the various techniques available to measure the oil film thickness in an EHD conjunction. The bearing race displacement technique, which was the one chosen to be developed in this program, was considered in detail, showing the derivation of an equation relating the total axial displacement of a dual bearing arrangement to the EHD film thicknesses at the ball-race conjunctions. Because of several assumptions that were made in the derivation of this equation, it was deemed necessary to conduct certain experiments in Task I, in order to ascertain the validity of determining the oil film thicknesses by the displacement measurement. Some of these experiments were conducted with the SwRI optical EHD tester, which was also described in Section IV, Part I of this report.

As noted in Part I of this report, the optical measurements showed that the central-region film thickness of SwRI Oil B in pure rolling was, under comparable operating conditions, considerably greater than the centralregion film thicknesses of the other six oils in pure sliding. In all seven instances, the oil was supplied to the ball-disk conjunctions by means of jets in a "flooded" fashion. It was thought that this difference in central-region film thickness behavior might be due to the difference in thermal effects occurring in the conjunction inlet caused by pure sliding as against pure rolling. Accordingly, it was decided during this reporting period to obtain additional film thickness measurements for the oils in question under pure rolling conditions, and to compare these results with the previous results obtained in pure sliding. Moreover, it was reasoned that if a thermal effect should be observed in the central-region film thickness behavior, then a similar effect would likewise be found in the behavior of the minimum film thickness at the side lobes in the conjunction. Therefore, the analysis was also extended to the minimum film thickness behavior.

In the prior work performed at SwRI, (2) a straight mineral oil, designated as SwRI Oil B, was employed in EHD film thickness and friction measurements in pure rolling. The film thickness was determined by the optical interference technique, with a steel ball placed between two contrarotating glass disks. The ball diameter was varied three times: 1.91 cm (0, 75 in.), 2.54 cm (1.00 in.), and 3.81 cm (1.50 in.). The load was also varied three times: 13.3 N (3.0 lb), 22.2 N (5.0 lb), and 33.4 N (7.5 lb). The sum velocity was varied five times, from 25.4 to 127 cm/sec (10 to 50 ips). The oil temperature at the conjunction inlet was approximately 27 C (80 F), and was measured and accounted for in the EHD calculations.

It was found in this work that the central-region and minimum film thicknesses obtained for the three ball sizes, three loads, and five sum velocities could be linearly correlated by appropriate dimensionless parameters. These correlations are presented in Figures 4 and 5, and the appropriate dimensionless equations are given below.

For the central-region film thickness:

$$H_{c} = 1.05 \Sigma_{c}$$
 (1)

where

$$H_c = \frac{h_c}{R}$$

(2)

$$\Sigma_{c} = \frac{(G U_{t})^{0.74}}{(W')^{0.074}}$$
(3)

For the minimum film thickness:

$$H_{\rm m} = 0.75 \Sigma_{\rm m} \tag{4}$$

where

$$H_{m} = \frac{h_{m}}{R}$$
(5)

$$\Sigma_{\rm m} = \frac{G^{0.70} U_t^{0.77}}{(W')^{0.14}}$$
(6)

The various symbols employed in the above equations, and throughout this report, are

- $H_c = dimensionless central-region film thickness, defined by Eq. (2)$
- $H_m = dimensionless minimum film thickness, defined by Eq. (5)$
- $\Sigma_{\rm C}$ = dimensionless material-velocity-load parameter for contral-region film thickness correlation for circular conjunctions, defined by Eq. (3).



.....

Figure 4. Dimensionless Central-Region Oil Film Thickness for SwRI Oil B in Pure Rolling



THE R. LEWIS C.

Figure 5. Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for SwRI Oil B in Pure Rolling

- $\Sigma_{\rm m}$ = dimensionless material-velocity-load parameter for minimum film thickness correlation for circular conjunctions, defined by Eq. (6)
- h_c = central-region lubricant film thickness
- h_m = minimum lubricant film thickness
- R = equivalent radius of curvature = $(1/R_1 + 1/R_2)^{-1}$
- $R_1 = radius$ of curvature of body 1
- R_2 = radius of curvature of body 2
- G = dimensionless materials parameter = $\alpha_0 \vec{E}$
- α₀ = pressure-viscosity coefficient of lubricant at conjunction inlet temperature and near-atmospheric pressure
- E = equivalent elastic modulus
 - $= 2 \left[\frac{1 v_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1 v_2^2}{E_2} \right]^{-1}$

 v_1 = Poisson's ratio of body 1

- v_2 = Poisson's ratio of body 2
- $E_1 = elastic modulus of body 1$
- $E_2 = elastic modulus of body 2$
- U_t = dimensionless sum velocity = $\frac{\mu_0 V_t}{\epsilon}$

B₀ = absolute viscotity of lubricant at conjunction inlet temperature and near-atmospheric pressure

- $V_{t} = sum \ velocity = V_{1} + V_{2}$
- V₁ = surface velocity of body 1
- V₂ = surface velocity of body 2

 $W' = dimensionless load = \frac{P}{ER}$

P = load

It is interesting to note that Eq. (1) is the same in form as a correlating equation obtained by Archard and Cowking, (3) whose numerical constant in the equation was 0.84 instead of 1.05 as determined here. Moreover, Eq. (4) is identical in every respect to a correlating equation obtained by Westlake and Cameron. (4)

Figures 4 and 5 for SwRI Oil B and the two cited references employing other oils show that the relationships between H_c and Σ_c and Σ_c and Σ_m of about Σ_m in pure rolling are essentially linear up to values of Σ_c and Σ_m of about 45×10^{-6} . The implication is that, with values of Σ_c or Σ_m up to about 45×10^{-6} , any viscous heating effect in the conjunction inlet region(5) was probably quite small if the motion is pure rolling. It was noted in Part I of this report that the dimensionless central-region film thicknesses, H_c , of the six different test oils in pure sliding, when plotted against the dimensionless material-velocity-load parameter, Σ_c , were less than the H_c of SwRI Oil B in pure rolling. Accordingly, it appeared that the difference noted might have been due to some unusual thermal effect associated with the sliding motion. In an effort to resolve this question, additional tests in pure rolling were conducted on some of the test oils during the current reporting period. A discussion of these results, together with the previous results, will now be presented.

2. Optical EHD Film Thickness Results

The central-region film thickness results, obtained in both pure rolling and pure sliding, are summarized for four different formulations of Apiezon C oil in Figure 6, for Apiezon A (+ antioxidant + lead naphthenate) oil in Figure 7, and for Nye 860-2 (+ antioxidant + lead naphthenate) oil in Figure 8. For purposes of comparison, the pure rolling data for SwRI Oil B are shown in these figures as dash lines up to a Σ_c value of 45 x 10-6, but with the data points omitted for the sake of clarity.

The data in each figure are for two loads of 17.70 N (3.98 lb) and 59.78 N (13.44 lb) and one ball size of 2.54 cm (1 in.). The conjunction inlet temperature for the pure sliding tests ranged between 26.7 and 37.8 C (80 and 100 F), whereas for the pure rolling tests it ranged between 26.7 and 28.9 C (80 and 84 F). The pure sliding data are taken from Part I of this report. The pure rolling data were obtained during this reporting period.

Referring to Figure 6, the pure sliding data were obtained for four different formulations of Apiezon C of very similar viscosity characteristics, and their cent-al-region film thickness behaviors were also similar. Therefore, pure rolling tests were made on only one of these formulations, BBRC 36233, assuming that the other three formulations would behave similarly in pure rolling. It is seen that, considering the experimental scatter, the pure rolling data for BBRC 36233 appear to lie somewhere between the pure rolling data for SwRI Oil B and the pure sliding data for the



and the second second second







日本になっておい



Apiezon C formulations.

Figure 7 presents the pure rolling and pure sliding data for Apiezon A, which oil is less viscous than Apiezon C. Note that the pure rolling data for this oil appear to lie somewhat higher than the pure rolling data for SwRI Oil B.

Figure 8 shows the results for Nye 860-2, an oil more viscous than Apiezon C. It is seen that at values of $\Sigma_{\rm C}$ less than 45 × 10⁻⁶, the pure rolling data for Nye 860-2 agree quite well with the pure rolling data for SwRI Oil B. However, at higher values of $\Sigma_{\rm C}$, the linear H_c vs. $\Sigma_{\rm C}$ relationship begins to break down, due possibly to the increased viscous heating effect in the inlet region.

It must be recognized that experimental errors are inevitable in this Therefore, it is useful to compare all of the results type of measurement. together, in an effort to see how the data behave as a whole. This is done in Figure 9, which combines the data presented in the preceding figures by omitting the data points for the sake of clarity. In this figure, the long dashed line is the best-fit line for SwRI Oil B taken from Figure 4. The irregularly shaped boxes show the scatter range of data for the six test oils presented in Figures 6 through 8, with the solid boxes denoting the pure rolling data and the dashed boxes denoting the pure sliding data. Figure 9 suggests that the H_c versus Σ_c relationship is, in general, not linear in either the pure rolling or the pure sliding case, but can best be approximated by curves with progressively decreasing slopes as Σ_c is increased. This general trend is entirely reasonable, because the viscous shear and thus heating effect in the inlet region is expected to become progressively more severe with increasing Σ_c . As to the difference in the magnitudes of H_c between pure rolling and pure sliding, it is apparent that the velocity profile across the inlet film is skewed in the sliding case but symmetrical in the rolling case, so that the viscous shear effect is more pronounced in sliding and the friction coefficient is higher in sliding than in rolling. In other words, the character and thus magnitude of the viscous heating processes are different.

The general levelling trend of H_c at very high values of Σ_c , in both pure rolling and pure sliding, is not believed to be the result of inlet "starvation" in these tests. All these tests were performed with the oil supplied by jets to the conjunction inlet in a flooded fashion, and thus starvation was not likely. However, inlet starvation could be important in actual bearings. With the DMA bearings in which the oil is applied to the balls and races as relatively thin films, rather than by copious jets, starvation is far more likely.

During the current reporting period, all previously obtained and recently obtained results were analyzed to yield the minimum oil film thickness occurring at the side lobes in the conjunction. The corresponding H_m versus Σ_m data for pure rolling and pure sliding are presented in Figure 10 for four different formulations of Apiezon C, in Figure 11 for Apiezon A, and in









Figure 12 for Nye 860-2, along with the pure rolling data for SwRI Oil B. The combined results for the seven oils are given in Figure 13. It is seen that these results are very similar in trends to the H_c versus Σ_c results presented in Figures 6 through 9, and the trends can basically be explained in a similar manner.

In conclusion, the linear H_c versus Σ_c and linear H_m versus Σ_m relationships, as represented by Eq. (1) and Eq. (4), respectively, incorporating the numerical correlating constants as given previously or as recommended by other investigators, appear to be approximations depending upon the range of the dimensionless material-velocity-load parameters, Σ_c and Σ_m , covered and also other details of the experiments (such as the possibility of inlet starvation, etc.). Where a flooded inlet can be assured, as in the case of these experiments, the H_c versus Σ_c and H_m versus Σ_m relationships appear to be nonlinear with progressively decreasing slopes as Σ_c or Σ_m is increased. The nonlinear trend appears to be largely dictated by thermal effects, and apparently little influenced by the oil composition as long as the operation is in the full elastohydrodynamic regime.





ŝ

. . .

·· ··

. .

Figure 13. Dimensionless Minimum Oil Film Thickness for Seven Oils
SECTION IV

TASK II — EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS OF FILM THICKNESS IN TYPICAL DESPIN MECHANICAL ASSEMBLY BEARINGS OPERATING IN A SIMULATED SPACE ENVIRONMENT

1. General

The purpose of this task, as stated in Section V, Part I of this report, is to make quantitative measurements of oil film thicknesses in the EHD conjunctions of typical DMA bearings operating in a simulated space (vacuum) environment. As previously outlined, the measurements consider the following properties and operational variables, and their influence on the formation of an EHD oil film:

- a. oil viscosity
- b. additive effects
- c. degree of oil supply to the inlet region
- d. ball-race surface roughness
- e. temperature
- f. load
- g. speed

It was stated in Part I of this report that there would be no major variations in temperature for these Task II tests, with the room temperature being controlled at normal room conditions which would be approximately 25 C (77 F). For the purpose of obtaining additional information from the Task II experiments, the test procedure was modified to include axial displacement measurements of the learing outer race at three different conditions (prepumpdown, initial after pumpdown, and 24 hours after pumpdown), instead of the original initial after pumpdown condition only. For the prepumpdown and initial after pumpdown results, measurements were made immodiately after putting the test bearings into motion. Therefore, the bearings and oils were at the controlled room temperature. For the 24 hour after pumpdown results, the test bearings were allowed to seek an squilibrium temperature for normal operation of the bearings running at 100 rpm. Thus, it was possible to observe the effect of temperature, over a moderate range, on the formation of an EHD film. In other words, the bearings ran continuously at 100 rpm between the initial after pumpdown and the 24-hour after pumpdown measurements. reaching equilibrium temperatures for the appropriate test conditions.

The bearing test chamber, vacuum chamber, and associated instrumentation that were employed in this task have been described in detail in Section II, Part I, and Section II, Part II of this report and will not be repeated here.

The modified test procedure for each test in Task II was as follows: The bearings were installed in the test chamber with the proper axial preload applied to the bearings. For tests where lubricant reservoirs were employed, the reservoirs were also installed in the test chamber at this time. After the test chamber was attached to the vacuum system, but prior to pumpdown, the LVDT system was zeroed with the drive shaft not rotating. Then measurements of race displacement of the outer race of the floating bearing (forward) were rapidly made under steady operating conditions at a base speed and four speed levels of 50, 100, 150, and 200 rpm. The base speed selected was the slowest that could be attained that would maintain a steady bearing speed. This base speed created a baseline race displacement trace from which the displacements at the four other speed levels could be measured; this was necessary because of runouts in the bearings. All bearings, regardless of the precision incorporated in fabrication, will exhibit some wobble or axial runout. Typical of the axial runout measurements that have been determined in this experimental program are 50×10^{-4} to 125×10^{-4} mm (200 to 500 µin.) for two bearings axially preloaded against each other and running at speeds up to 200 rpm. These runouts are easily detected and nieasured with the LVDT system and are normally displayed graphically as a sine wave or some variation thereof. When the pair of bearings, installed in the test rig and properly instrumented, are rotated very slowly, this sine wave will be generated and recorded using the LVDT and associated instrumentation. Then if the driving speed of the bearings is increased, the runout wave will be displaced from its initial position by an amount depending upon the oil film thicknesses generated in the two bearings. The base speeds for Task II tests performed varied over a range of 7 to 37 rpm. Bearing torque and temperatures were also measured at the four speed levels. It was found that the bearing temperatures did not change measurably when obtaining data if they were collected rapidly, starting with the base speed and proceeding through the four speed levels in the order of increasing speed. Also, it was found that more meaningful EHD film tbickness measurements were obtained by following this increasing-speed procedure. Duplicate measurements were made for each of the four speed levels relative to a new base speed measurement. After the prepumpdown results were obtained, the bearing test rig was stopped and the test chamber was pumped down until a stable pressure was achieved. Then repeat measurements of race displacement under vacuum conditions were performed according to the same procedure as outlined above for the prepumpdown measurements. At the conclusion of these initial after pumpdown measurements, the bearing test rig was left running at a constant speed of 100 rpm for 24 hours. At the end of the 24-hour period, repeat measurements of race displacement, bearing torque, and bearing temperatures were made

en din marine

exactly according to the procedure employed for obtaining the prepumpdown and initial after pumpdown results. Again, duplicate measurements were obtained. This procedure was repeated for the two axial load levels. From these measurements, the film thicknesses were determined using a computer program which solves the race displacement versus oil film thickness relationship. Development of this computer program and presentation of the computed results will be presented later.

Based on experiments discussed in Section IV, Part I of this report, it is concluded that the effects of thermal expansion are probably negligible for the speed range examined in the present program. Precautions were taken, however, when the film thickness measurements were made in Task II, to minimize any error that could be caused by thermal expansion effects. This was done by obtaining the displacement measurements as rapidly as possible following a speed change thus allowing a minimum amount of time for any thermal expansion to occur. In addition, a new zero reading to account for any instrument drift was determined immediately prior to making displacement measurements.

2. Development of Computer Program to Analyze Test Results

In Part I of this report, an equation was developed to relate the measured axial displacement of the outer race of the bearing located in the diaphragm mounting ring to the EHD film thicknessesses between the balls and races in the bearings. The equation as developed in Part I is

$$\Delta L_{y} = 2 \left\{ 0.025 \left[0.43837 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{0.02247}{0.025 - 2 h_{c}} \right) \right] + 2 h_{c} \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{0.02247}{0.025 - 2 h_{c}} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(7)

where ΔL_y is the total axial displacement of the outer race of the bearing in the diaphragm mount as measured by the LVDT shown in Figure 1. This total displacement is the result of the development of EHD films at the inner and outer ball-race conjunctions in two bearings.

It was assumed in the derivation of Eq. (7) that the same film thickness existed at both the inner and outer ball-race contacts in both bearings. Two oversimplifications are involved in that assumption. First, in either bearing, the EHD film thickness at a ball-outer race contact will differ from that at a ball-inner race contact because of geometry effects. From theory and experiment, it is well known that due to better conformity of the ball and outer race, the film thickness will be thicker there than at the ball-inner race contact. For the bearings employed in the present work this difference amounts to about 10 percent. Second, due to different heat transfer paths, the operating temperatures of the two bearings in the test rig can be different, and this affects the viscosity of the oil and consequently the EHD film thickness. Depending upon the magnitude of this temperature difference, the EHD film thickness in one bearing can differ from the EHD film thickness at the corresponding location in the other bearing by as much as 20 percent. When the combined effects of geometry and temperature difference are considered, it is felt desirable to account for them when calculating the EHD film thicknesses in the two bearings from the displacement measurements. To do this requires a system of equations rather than the simple Eq. (7). Briefly, the procedure involves first solving an equation similar to Eq. (7) for the EHD film thickness at the ball-inner race contacts of the aft bearing. Then, using the known empirical relationships for the effects of geometry and viscosity on the EHD film thickness, the film thickness at the ball-outer race contacts of the aft bearing and the film thickness at the ball-inner race and ball-outer race contacts of the forward bearing can be calculated.

Further complicating the task of accounting for the effects of contact geometry within a bearing, and ten perature difference between bearings, is the fact that, according to theory, a difference in contact geometry will have different effects on the minimum EHD film thickness and the central-region EHD film thickness. The same is true of the effect of viscosity. Consequently, in order to account for the geometry and viscosity effects on the EHD film thicknesses in the bearings, one must assume that it is either the minimum or the central-region EHD film thickness that is responsible for the displacement $\Delta L_{\nu_{1}}$, being measured. Unfortunately, as was discussed in Part I of this report, it is not possible to determine from the results of Task I whether it is the minimum or central-region EHD film thickness that is responsible for the displacement. Therefore, in the reduction of the displacement data from Tasks II and III, the film thicknesses in the bearings were calculated in two ways, first by using a system of equations developed by assuming that ΔLy is due to the central-region EHD film thickness, and next by using a system of equations developed by assuming that ΔL_y is due to the minimum EHD film thickness.

Since the development of the two systems of equations for calculating the EHD film thicknesses from the bearing displacement data is rather detailed and lengthy, this is included in Appendix I. As shown in Appendix I, in order to avoid confusing the theoretical values of EHD film thicknesses, calculated using the theoretical equations of Grubin (6) and Dowson (7), with the experimentally-determined values of the EHD film thicknesses obtained from the race displacement measurements, different symbols are used. In accordance with the standard symbols used earlier, b_{e} , b_{m} : H_{c} , and H_{m} are the symbols reserved for the theoretical central-region, minimum, dimensionless centralregion, and dimensionless minimum EHD film thicknesses respectively. For the film thicknesses determined from the race displacement measurement, the symbols h, h', H, and H' are used to denote the ninimum, centralregion, dimensionless minimum and dimensionless central-region EHD film thicknesses respectively. Throughout the report, comparisons of the EHD film thicknesses calculated from the measured bearing displacement data in these two ways are compared with the theoretical equations of Grubin for H_c and Dowson for H_m .

3. Experimental Test Results

As discussed above, a computer program was developed to compute the four different conjunction film thicknesses for the DMA bearings tested in Task II. A listing for the Task II data reduction program is given in Appendix II, while a sample printout of the Task II data is shown in Appendix III.

The best available expression for the central-region EHD film thickness in a flooded isothermal rectangular conjunction is due to Grubin(6) and is given by

$$H_{c} = 1.18 \Sigma_{G}$$
(8)

where Σ_G

= Grubin's dimensionless material-velocity-load parameter for rectangular conjunctions

$$= \frac{G^{0.73}U_{t}0.73}{W^{0.09}}$$

W = dimensionless load = $\frac{W}{ER}$

w = load per unit width

and the other symbols are defined after Eq. (6).

While the central-region EHD film thickness is important to the present study, the minimum EHD film thickness is also extremely important. As is now well known, the oil film thickness profile in a rectangular conjunction is very nearly flat throughout, modified principally by a constriction in the exit region. This constriction, which is straight across the flow path for a rectangular conjunction, and almost straight for a high aspect ratio elliptic conjunction, results in a minimum oil film thickness within the conjunction, so that if surface-to-surface contact is to occur, it is apt to occur here first. Thus, the importance of predicting the minimum EHD film thickness in a bearing is evident.

Based upon theoretical analyses and considerable experimental data, Dowson (7) recently proposed the following equation for computing the

minimum oil film thickness in a flooded isothermal rectangular conjunction:

$$H_{\rm m} = 1.63 \Sigma_{\rm D} \tag{9}$$

where Σ_D = Dowson's dimensionless material-velocity-load parameter for rectangular conjunctions

$$= \frac{G^{0.54}U_{t}^{0.70}}{W^{0.13}}$$

and the other symbols are given after Eq. (6) and Eq. (8).

Equation (9) is believed to be the best expression available for calculating the minimum film. thickness for the rectangular or high aspect ratio elliptic conjunction with flooded, isothermal flow.

When the film thickness equations for rectangular conjunctions are used to calculate the film thickness in elliptic conjunctions, an equivalent load per unit width ⁽⁸⁾ is used. For a bearing with elliptic conjunctions, as in this study, the dimensionless load per ball is given by

$$W_e = \frac{W_e}{\frac{K}{ER}}$$
(10)

where w_e = equivalent unit load per ball = $\frac{3P}{4a}$

P = normal load per ball

a = semiwidth of major axis of contact ellipse at ballraceway contact

and E and R are as previously defined. Therefore, the W_ein Eq. (10) replaces W in both Eqs. (8) and (9) for angular contact bearings.

The above equations were presented and discussed in detail in Section II, Part I of this report but were repeated here because they were used extensively in analyzing the Task II data. As noted, all of the plotted Task II experimental data that are presented in the following graphs are compared with these equations. Also, Eqs. (8) and (9) were used to calculate H_c and H_m at the base speed. These calculated values were then added to the film thicknesses determined from ΔL_y to obtain H and H' plotted in the following graphs.

Since the four different conjunction films for each test condition were calculated from a single displacement measurement, ΔL_y , they all followed similar patterns, although, the magnitudes of each would vary a few percent. The variance between inner and outer race film thickness are the result of a geometrical difference in the ball-race contacts, whereas the difference between aft- and forward-bearing contact film thickness values are

and the second secon

a result of temperature differences between the two bearings.

Figure 14 shows typical film thickness values, calculated from the race displacement measurements, for the inner and outer contacts of the forward and aft hearings. The data were obtained prior to pumpdown for bearings treated with BBRC 36233. The dimensionless film thicknesses H and H' are plotted against the dimensionless parameters $\Sigma_{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbf{G}}$ respectively, which were defined and discussed earlier in this section of the report. As can be seen in the figure, the film thicknesses at all four locations display the same trends. Although there are slight load effects shown by the data at the larger dimensionless parameter values, a single straight line drawn through the experimental data for either H or H' would represent all the data very well. Of course, 24-hr after pumpdown results would display variance between the aft and forward bearings due to temper dure differences, but as will be seen later these effects would be well correlated by the dimensionless parameters. Normally, the prepumpdown and initial after pumpdown results would not show an appreciable temperature difference between the aft and forward bearings, while the 24-hr after pumpuown results would exhibit a 1 to 4C (2 to 7F) temperature spread. This was not the case for tests using Nye 860-2 with antioxidant and lead naphthenate. Of all the oils tested, this one has the highest viscosity. The torque capability of the driving magnetic coupling was not great enough to turn the bearings lubricated with this oil at normal room temperature. Therefore, heat lamps directed at the bearing rig chamber were employed to raise the temperature enough to allow thinning of the lubricant until some test data could be obtained. Even then, it was not possible to turn the bearings at the higher speed levels.

Since as indicated in Figure 14 the experimental film thickness data for the four different conjunction locations behave similarly, the data for all will not be presented. The aft bearing outer race contact data were arbitrarily selected for further analysis and comparison, and all of the Task II plotted data shown in the following graphs will be values for those contacts. For purposes of generality, all of the film thickness data are presented in dimensionless form. However, the dimensional value of film thickness may be obtained by multiplying the dimensionless film thickness H or H' by the equivalent radius at the ball-outer race contacts, R_0 , which is 8,84352 mm (0,34817 in.).

A summary of all bearing tests for Task II is presented in Table II. As seen in the table, a total of four test series were run and each series was designed to isolate the effects of an oil property or design variable. For each test within a series, the operating variables were load and speed. Two load levels were used, the minimum of 222-N (50-lb) axial load, and the maximum of 890-N (200-lb) axial load as prescribed in the Statement of Work. Only two load levels were selected because of the extremely weak dependence of the oil film thickness on load, as was shown in the EHD film thickness equations. Because the oil film thickness is more sensitive to the conjunction sum velocity.



Figure 14. Dimensionless Prepumpdown Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of BERC 36233 「「「「「「「」」」

1. N. 1. 1.

「「「「「「」」」」

Sec. 2.

· · · · · · · · ·

Contraction of

A States

「「「「「「「「「」」」

TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF TASK II FESTS

Sale of the second seco

「たいたい」

ないない

and the second second

「おんない

Test Series	Variable Studied	Viscosity at 25 C (77 F), m ² /s x 10 ⁶	Additive Package	Initial Oil Film Thickness	Ball-Race Surface Roughness	Common Tests
-	Oil Viscosity	54 225 1000	BBRC standard BBRC standard BBRC standard	Thick Thick Thick	MRC standard MRC standard MRC standard	×
11	Aditives	225 225 225	l.5% antioxidant BBRC standard 2.5% ZDP	Thick Thick Thick	MRC standard MRC standard MRC standard	×
111	Initial Thickness of Applied Oil Film	225 225	BBRC standard BBRC standard	Thick Thin	MRC standard MRC standard	×o
2	Ball-Race Surface Roughness	225 225 225 225	BBRC standard BBRC standard BBRC standard BBRC standard	Thick Thick Thin Thin	MRC standard Roughness doubled MRC standard Roughness doubled	× O
I ESI (CONDITIONS:	Pressure = 1 Temperature Load - 222, Speed = 50,	equilibrium vapor e ≈ 25 C (77 F) 890 N (50, 200 lb) 100, 150, 200 rpm	pressure of t (2 load leve) (4 speed lev	cest cil Is) rels)	
OIT B	ASE STOCK	High viscosi	ity cil = Nye 860-2			

35

75

BBRC standard = 1.5% antioxidant + 5% Lead naphthenate ADDITIVE PACKAGE:

lutermediate-viscosity oil = Apiezon C Low viscosity oil = Apiezon A

OIL BASE STOCK DESCRIPTION: a manufacture and a second

four levels of bearing speed above the base speed were used for each test within a series, 50, 100, 150, and 200 rpm, except for the high viscosity oil where the torque capability of the driving magnetic coupling was not sufficient to achieve the higher speeds.

As seen in the table, for all tests the environmental pressure in the bearing test chamber was to be the equilibrium vapor pressure of the test oil. It was found that the vapor pressure for formulations of Apiezon C, as calculated from the vapor pressure equation and constants given in Section II, Part I of this report, could not be achieved even with extended pumping time. On the other hand, it was not difficult to obtain the vapor pressure for Apiezon A as predicted by this same equation. This suggests that there might be an error in the constants in the equation for Apiezon C. As stated in Section II, Part I, the constants have not been determined for the Nye 860-2 oil, although limited weight-loss data obtained from evaporation cell measurements at BBRC indicate that its vapor pressure may not be greatly different from that of Apiezon C. Also, as seen in the table, for all tests the room temperature would be held approximately constant at about 25C (77F). Bearing temperatures that varied moderately from 25C (77F), especially in the 24-hr after pumpdown tests, were handled satisfactorily by the EHD film thickness equations showing no consistent disagreement with the other data.

Also as seen in Table II, two initial oil film thickness coatings on the bearings were investigated. These are designated in the table simply as "thick" and "thin". For the "thick" initial oil film tests, oil impregnated reservoirs were installed in the test chambers. For the "thin" initial oil film tests, no reservoirs were used.

Each test series shown in Table II along with plotted data representing that test series will be discussed separately in the following paragraphs. Highlights of these data will be pointed out and conclusions will be drawn later based on these test results.

Test Series I. In this test series the effect of oil viscosity on the oil film thicknesses formed in the EHD conjunctions of the bearings was studied. Three separate tests were conducted, one with each of three test oils of different viscosities, a low-, medium-, and a high-viscosity oil as shown in Table II. Each oil contained the same BBRC standard additive package, which is 1.5 percent antioxidant and 5 percent lead naphthenate. The initial oil film thickness was "thick film" which was about 30×10^{-4} to 40×10^{-4} mm. The bearings had the standard surface finish on the race-ways, hereinafter referred to simply as "MRC standard." As discussed earlier in this section of the report, only part of the high-viscosity oil tests were achieved because of the excessive torque required to drive the bearings, especially at the higher speeds and at normal room temperatures.

Looking at Figures 15, 16, and 17, it is clear that the measured dimensionless film thicknesses increase with increasing viscosities when plotted against either Dowson's or Grubin's dimensionless parameters. Whereas Grubin's Eq. (8) predicts a slope of 1.18 as shown by the solid curve drawn on the righthand graphs in each figure, a best-fit line drawn through the low-viscosity oil, a formulation of Apiezon A, would exhibit a slope that would be considerably less, having a value of approximately 0.63. Likewise, as seen in Figure 16, the medium-viscosity oil, a formulation of Apiezon C (BBRC 36233), would exhibit a slope of approximately 0.73 and as seen in Figure 17, the high-viscosity oil, a formulation of Nye 860-2, would have a slope approximately the same as predicted by Grubin. Going to the lefthand graphs shown in Figures 15, 16, and 17, and comparing the measured dimensionless film thicknesses, H, to Dowson's Eq. (9), it is seen that the same trend is exhibited. These experimental results show that both the low-viscosity (Apiezon A) and medium-viscosity oil (BBRC 36233) have a slope less than predicted by Dowson. On the other hand, the data for these two oils agree better with Dowson's equation than Grubin's central-region film thickness equation. The experimental results for the high-viscosity oil (Nye 860-2) appear to have a slope slightly higher than predicted by Dowson, but agree very well with Grubin. Post-test examination of bearings show that these three oils, as employed in these tests, appear to provide film thicknesses adequate to place operation in the full EHD regime. But the plotted data indicate that only the high-viscosity oil, Nye 860-2 with antioxidant and lead naphthenate, is operating in the flooded conjunction regime, therefore agreeing with the theoretical equations. Although the low-viscosity and medium-viscosity oils seem to have adequate oil film thicknesses to prevent asperity contact, there does appear to be limited lubricant starvation causing films less than predicted by either Dowson or Grubin. Although the high-viscosity oil does agree very well with the theoretical flooded isothermal flow equations, large torques are required to drive bearings supplied with this oil making it undesirable as a DMAbearing lubricant.

In general the viscosity effects for these three oils do not appear to be completely correlated by Grubin's or Dowson's parameters. Using measured ΔL_y values for calculating film thicknesses H, and H' which are then compared to both relationships appear to show better correlation between the minimum film thickness equation and the measured values, H. Of course these were short duration tests and do not predict what might happen under extended vacuum conditions.

<u>Test Series II.</u> This series was conducted to determine the effect of additives on the oil film thicknesses in the EHD conjunctions of the bearing. For these tests the intermediate-viscosity oil was used and the first test as shown in Table II was conducted using this oil without the antiwear additive, but the antioxidant additive was retained. Note that the second test in this series was common to Series I, where the same oil with the additive package was used, thus only two tests were required in Series II. The third test shown



والمرورية والمراجب وروادان وسرفت والمروف والمعار فالمحم والمعام والمحمول والمحمول



12 1 20

ATTAC AND ADDRESS



Having Thick Initial Film of High-Viscosity Oil

「「小人」は大学の大学

a second a second second from the second second

in the table for Series II was conducted with the intermediate-viscosity oil, but with ZDP as the antiwear additive. As in Series I, the initial oil film thickness was the "thick film." The MRC standard bearings were employed for the tests in Series II.

Figures 16, 18, and 19 show a comparison of the experimentallydetermined film thicknesses H and H' for each of these additives as compared to the Dowson Eq. (9) and the Grubin Eq. (8), respectively. In comparing these results it is seen that there appear to be load effects for the Apiezon C with ZDP. The low-load data clearly have larger dimensionless film thicknesses than the high-load data. On the other hand, this is not readily apparent for the Apiezon C with antioxidant or the BBRC 36233 (Apiezon C with antioxidant and lead naphthenate). If the best-fit line is drawn through each of these different formulations of Apiezon C, ignoring any load effects, the Apiezon C with ZDP would exhibit a slightly higher slope than Apiezon C with antioxidant, although not enough to be of major significance. In comparing these plots of data it appears that BBRC 36233 exhibits slightly thinner film thicknesses than the two other Apiezon oils with different additives, although the difference does not appear to be of major significance and may not be greater than the experimental error involved. Therefore, the effects of these additives on the formation of an EHD film, appear to be negligible for the particular test conditions employed in these tests.

Test Series III. In this test series the effect of the initial oil film thickness was studied. For both tests, the intermediate-viscosity oil with 1.5 percent antioxidant and 5 percent lead naphthenate (BBRC 36233) was used. Note in Table II that the first test is common to Series I, where the same initial oil film thickness with the same oil and standard test bearings were used, so that only one additional test was required in Series III. In the second test shown in the table for Series III, the initial oil film thickness was "thin film," which was about 1×10^{-4} mm, a less favorable condition for the development of full EHD cil films in the ball-raceway conjunctions. For this test, the MRC standard bearings were also used.

Figure 20 shows the dimensionless film thicknesses, H and H plotted versus Dowson's and Grubin's parameters, respectively for "thin film" bearings. As illustrated in the figure, there is clearly a load effect as shown by the data points for this test condition which is similar to, but, more clearly distinguishable than the one discussed above for Apiezon C with ZDP and having a thick initial oil film. The first conclusion, based on these data, is these "thin film" bearings did not have a sufficient amount of lubricant to maintain the EHD film thicknesses at the higher loads. On the other hand, there appeared to be a sufficient amount of lubricant to provide both central-region and minimum film thicknesses near those predicted by both Grubin's and Dowson's equations at the low load level. In comparing these data with the results shown in Figure 16 for the same lubricant but with a thick initial oil film, it is seen that the high-load results



i,

and the second se

Figure 18. Dimensionless Oil Film Thicknesses for Standard Bearings Having Thick Initial Film of Intermediate-Viscosity Oil Containing Antiwear Additive ZDP . .

42



がいたの

A Distance of the second

The second with the

43

一時時間 いいのかい 日間中 がたいた ひとに 読ませる

กระกระกระบบไปใช้ มีสิราชีชีมิจิจรับชีมชีมชีม มีมีมีมีมีสิราชีว มีมีมีที่เกิดสิราชีว เป็นชีมิจรับสาม

A HEALEN IN THE REAL AND -

a a sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the



44

and her was the up of register and was a find the water with the second second and

for the "thin film" bearings (Fig. 20) agree very well with both the low-load and high-load results for the "thick film" bearings (Fig. 16). This suggests that possibly the low-load results for the "thin film" bearings are in error because of a testing load deficiency. Even if this is the ence which the "thick

because of a testing load deficiency. Even if this is the case, both the "thick film" and "thin film" bearings appear to have film thicknesses sufficient to prevent asperity contact between the balls and races, but neither is performing in a flooded conjunction regime which should give experimental results in agreement with Dowson's and Grubin's equations. Again, these were short duration tests and the results might change considerably under extended operating conditions.

Test Series IV. This test series was conducted to determine the the effect of ball-race surface roughness on the oil film thicknesses in the EHD conjunctions in the bearing. This was done using the intermediateviscosity oil with the additive package of 1.5 percent antioxidant and 5 percent lead naphthenate for all tests in the series. Tests were conducted with both "thick" and "thin" initial oil film thicknesses on the bearings, as shown in Table II. The data supplied by MRC and presented in Section II, Part II of this report showed the surface roughness of the rough bearing races to be $0.204 \ \mu m$ (8 μ in.) which is twice that shown for the standard bearings.

Figure 21 is for "thick" initial oil film with the BBRC 36233 additive package and rough ball-race surface roughness. Comparing this with Test Series I data for standard bearings lubricated with BBRC 36233 and shown in Figure 16 shows very good agreement and suggests that both the standard and rough bearings continue to operate with essentially the same film thicknesses. In fact the best-fit line drawn through both the H and H' data on both these figures would show the rough bearings to have slightly thicker film thicknesses. Again, the best correlation appears to be between the measured data and Dowson's minimum film thickness equation.

The last two tests shown in Table II are for "thin" initial oil film with one test having been conducted with standard MRC bearings and discussed above under Test Series III. The previous data showed questionable load effects. The last of these tests was for bearings having the roughness doubled and a "thin" initial oil film and the results are shown in Figure 22. These data do not show the extreme load effect that is shown in Figure 20 except possibly the low-load, prepumpdown data. This observation furting r suggests that the low-load data shown in Figures 18 (discussed earlier) and 20 may be in error due to insufficient loading. Disregarding the low-load results shown in Figure 20, the measured film thickness data for both the "thin" initial oil film tests agree very well. Because the measured data is significantly less than either Dowson's or Grubin's predicted values it is probable that oil starvation in these "thin film" tests was present. On the other hand, even though a flooded conjunction apparently was not maintained, operation of the bearings appeared to be satisfactory with a minimum of asperity contact between the balls and races.

Sale and the second second





Even though there are some discrepancies in these Task II data they do correlate well with both Dowson's and Grubin's dimensionless parameters giving much confidence in the race displacement measuring technique. Based on all of these Task II data it can be concluded that in general the film thicknesses H and H' calculated from ΔL_y measurements agreed better with Dowson's proposed minimum film thickness equation although in the case of the high-viscosity oil there appeared to be better correlation with Grubin's central-region film thickness equation. In reality it appears that the low-viscosity and medium-viscosity oils, whether the bearing be standard or rough and the initial oil film be "thick" or "thin", were operating in a slightly starved condition, but not severe enough to prevent proper operation or to cause wear or rubbing between the balls and races.

1.11

の一般のない。

SECTION V

TASK III — ANALYSIS OF INFLUENCE OF LUBRICANT FILM THICKNESS ON BEARING LIFE EXPECTANCY IN A SIMULATED SPACE ENVIRONMENT

1. General

The purpose of this task is to provide a foundation for the development of accelerated tests, which can be used to predict bearing failure due to 'he loss or inadequate thickness of the EHD oil films.

As has been mentioned earlier in Part I of this report, in order to attain long bearing lives of the order of 10 to 15 years in space, it will be necessary to achieve intact EHD films in the ball-race conjunctions. This is because without full EHD films present, there will be surface contact, hence rubbing wear will occur.

Based on the test results obtained in Task II it appears that using the bearing race displacement technique for EHD film thickness measurement is valid and both Dowson's and Grubin's parameters correlate the data very well. In general, the calculated film thicknesses, using Δ Ly measurements agree better with Dowson's Eq. (9). Both the low-viscosity and medium-viscosity oils, when applied to ABEC-7 bearings, had film thicknesses less than predicted by Dowson or Grubin indicating less than flooded conditions in the ball-race conjunctions. Also the "thin" initial oil film tests operating without reservoirs and using BBRC 36233 (medium viscosity) appeared to have oil film thicknesses very nearly the same as tests using "thick" initial oil films. Of course conclusions cannot be made for these same tests when extended for long durations under vacuum conditions. This task will attempt to provide some of this information.

It is believed that as far as failures due to loss or inadequate EHD film thickness are concerned, the most realistic way to conduct accelerated tests is through control of the Λ ratio. Accordingly, the Task III test program was designed to provide basic data on bearing failure due to the loss or inadequacy of the EHD film thickness, which it is believed will serve as a foundation for the development of accelerated tests.

Task III consisted of three long-duration bearing life tests run simultaneously. Each test was conducted in a test chamber identical to the one used in Task II, with two test bearings loaded against each other with a 890-N (200-lb) axial load. Bearing speed for all three tests was maintained constant at 100 rpm. Torque was monitored in all tests by the method described in Section II, Part I of this report. The three separate test chambers were connected to the $1.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (1200 l/sec) vacuum pump, previously described in detail in Section II, Part I, and the bearing chamber pressure was approximately the equilibrium vapor pressure of the oil. Each chamber was provided with an LVDT identical to that used in Task II for measurement of the bearing film thicknesses.

A summary of these three tests is presented in Table III. As shown in the table, these tests were conducted at three different levels of Λ ratio designated simply as low, medium, and high, since at the time the tests were designed it was not known what the actual values would be. The three Λ levels would be obtained by varying the oil viscosity and the composite surface roughness of the ball-race combination. The low Λ value would be obtained using the Apiezon A oil with the rough bearings, the medium Λ value would be obtained using the same oil but in combination with the standard roughness bearing, and the high Λ value would be obtained using BBRC 36233 oil with the rough bearings. The Apiezon A oil would contain the standard additive package used in BBRC 36233. The degree of oil supply would be an initial thick film. Lubricant-impregnated reservoirs would also be installed in the bearing test chamber. Using values of 0.102 μ m (4 μ in.) and 0.204 μ m (8 µin.), as supplied by MRC and given in Section II, Part II of this report, for the standard and rough bearing race surface finishes in the transverse direction (across grinding marks), respectively, and a bail surface finish of $0.025 \ \mu m$ (1 $\mu in.$), and employing the expression for composite surface roughness, δ_c , given in Section III, Part I, values of approximately 0.10 μm (4 $\mu in.$) and 0.18 μm (7 $\mu in.$) are obtained for δ_{C} . This assumes the bearing race surface finish in the direction of the grinding marks is one-half that in the transverse direction. Then using experimental values of film thicknesses as calculated from ΔLy for the two tests using Apiezon A with antioxidant and lead naphthenate, and one test using BBRC 36233 and employing the following equation:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{\delta}_{\mathbf{c}}} \tag{11}$$

where $\Lambda_m =$ dimensionless minimum oil film thickness ratio

h = oil film thickness calculated using Eq. (38), Appendix I

 δ_c = composite surface roughness of two bearing surfaces

values of 0.71, 1.25, and 1.43 are obtained for Λ_{m} for the low viscosity oil and rough bearings, low viscosity oil and standard bearings, and medium viscosity oil and rough bearings, respectively, as shown in Table III. The film thickness values used in calculating the above Λ_{m} values were approximate average values that were obtained at the end of the long-duration tests and will be presented in the next subsection of this report under experimental results.

1000

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF TASK III TESTS

Test No.	Variable Studied	Viscosity at $25 C (77 F)$, $m^2/s \times 10^6$	Initial Film Thickness	Ball-Race Surface Roughness
1	Low A	54	Thick	Roughness doubled
2	Medium 🗚	54	Thick	MRC standard
3	High $oldsymbol{\Lambda}$	225	Thick	Roughness doubled

TEST CONDITIONS:

200

10 m

Pressure = equilibrium vapor pressure of test oil Temperature ≈ 25C (77 F) Load = 890 N (200 lb) Speed = 100 rpm

OIL DESCRIPTION:

Medium viscosity oil = BBRC 36233 Low viscosity oil = Aprezon A + 1.5% Antioxidant + 5% Lead naphthenate

2. <u>Presentation of Experimental Results from Long-Duration</u> Bearing Tests

The computer program that was developed and discussed in Section IV, Part II of this report was modified to accommodate these Task III measurements. A listing of the modified computer program is shown in Appendix IV and the tables of computed data for the long-duration bearing tests are presented in Appendix V.

Figures 23, 24, and 25 show the measured variables for the three long-duration Task III tests as a function of time. The film thickness data points are values that were calculated from the measured displacements, Δ Ly. For these Task III tests the aft bearing-inner race contact data were plotted rather than the aft bearing-outer race contact data that were selected for plotting in the Task II tests. This was done because these inner race contact data are printed out in the Task III tables (Appendix V) whereas only the equations containing the geometric constant are given in these tables for computing the outer contact film thickness values. Again as explained in Section IV, Part II, the four different conjunction films for each test condition at any particular time are calculated from a single displacement measurement, ΔLy . The solid lines shown on the plots of H' and H versus time in Figures 23, 24, and 25 are the values that would be obtained using Grubin's Eq. (8) and Dowson's Eq. (9) for calculating central-region and minimum dimensionless film thicknesses, respectively, at the measured bearing temperatures. When comparing the data calculated from measured ΔLy values with these empirical values from the equations, it is seen that the actual film thicknesses in the bearings, for the different Λ_m ratios, are in general less than both the empirical minimum and central-region values predicted by the equations. This agrees with the Task II data presented in the previous section of this report and again suggests less than flooded lubrication in the ball-race conjunctions. It is worthy to note that the low $\Lambda_{\rm m}$ test (low viscosity oil with rough bearings) displayed very small film thicknesses throughout much of the first few hundred hours of testing, but they increased until agreeing very well with Dowson's minimum film thickness equation for the last portion of the test. On the other hand, the medium and high Λ_{m} tests displayed film thicknesses that increased to values close to those predicted by Dowson early during testing and remained fairly constant throughout the remainder of the tests. It is also of interest to note that at several times the measured values behaved as would be predicted when there were bearing temperature increases or decreases. For example, note the increase in measured and calculated film thickness in Figure 25 at about 1200 hours. Some of the bearing temperature changes were caused by changes in the laboratory temperature because of air conditioning failure. Some of the temperature changes were also caused by the test rig speed changing, but several of the bearing temperature changes cannot be explained.

Vacuum in the chamber seemed to behave as would be expected, with





1. 1/1/2

No. of Concession, Name

St. 52 . 24 . 25 . 3



which the provide the second second and and the

and and

Sector 1.1

54

Waterson Brand



the pressure first increasing when the test rigs were initially put into motion and then continuing a gradual decreasing trend until the end of the program. The measured torque in the test bearings appeared to behave normally with some periodic fluctuations that can probably be attributed to the cage rubbing against the outer race in the test bearings. This will be discussed in more detail later in the report. The Test No. 1 and Test No. 2 bearings, both tests using formulations of Apiezon A oil, "locked up" within 500 hours of each other; Test No. 1 ran 3836 hours and Test No. 2 ran 4294 hours. What may be of significance is that both of these tests did employ the low-viscosity Apiezon A and did fail after similar test durations even though the Λ_m of one was 1.76 times the other and both were less than 2.0. For both tests, it appears that the oil may have been wiped from the cages at the ball-cage conjunctions and was not replenished by the oil impregnated cages or reservoirs, thus causing dry rubbing wear and eventual "lock up." This will be discussed in more detail later in the report. Upon "lock up" the bearings in these test rigs could not be freed by turning the outer magnets either backward or forward. The maximum torque capability of the driving magnetic couplings was approximately 0.63 N-m (90 oz-in.), therefore, a large amount of torque could not be applied until disassembly of the bearing rigs. It should be noted that the bearings in Test No. 2 started making an unusual noise after approximately 3336 hours of operation. Nothing unusual was observed in the measured data at this time. The noise sounded similar to moving parts rubbing against each other and continued until the end of the test. One ΔLy measurement, taken at 3816 hours, for this Test No. 2 was obviously too great, as is shown in Figure 24.

<u>Removal and Inspection of Two Failed Task III Bearing Rig Assemblies.</u> Approximately 1350 hours after the bearings in Test No. 2 "locked up" and 1850 hours after the bearings in Test No. 1 "locked up", at the request of the AFML project engineers, the following procedure was undertaken.

- a. Leave the bearing assembly with the BBRC 36233 oil running throughout the removal of the two other bearing assemblies from vacuum system.
- b. Using nitrogen, bring the chamber pressure to slightly above atmospheric, and maintain a small positive pressure differential as the bearing assemblies are removed, to prevent room air from entering the vacuum chamber.
- c. Cover the end of each bearing assembly with aluminum foil as it is removed from the vacuum chamber.
- d. After each bearing assembly is removed from the vacuum chamber, attach a cover plate to the port.
- e. When both bearing assemblies have been removed and the cover plates are in place, put the system under vacuum again.

- f. Select one of the bearing assemblies, and carefully extract the inner housing from the outer bearing rig chamber.
- g. With the inner housing fixed, and using a suitable torque wrench, apply torque to the nut holding the magnets on the shaft. Be sure that the torque is applied in the direction that the bearings rotated in the test. Gradually increase the torque until the slightest motion is observed and record this breakaway torque.
- h. Remove the load in steps of 222 N (50 lb), and after each load change repeat step g above.
- i. When all the load has been removed, reapply the 890 N (200 lb) load and see if the bearing is locked up again.
- j. Carefully dismantle the bearing assembly and remove the bearings. Use gloves and laminar flow hood during the disassembly. When the bearings are removed, wrap them in aluminum foil for temporary storage.
- k. Under the laminar flow hood and using gloves, dismantle each bearing.
- 1. Weigh the retainers and reservoirs and record these weights.
- m. Inspect the balls and races of each bearing for wear tracks, etc., and record findings.
- n. Inspect the retainers for wear and record findings.

- o. If the bearing components have to be cleaned for any reason during the inspection process, use heptane, save it, and send it to AFML.
- p. After the inspections are complete, reassemble each bearing, wrap in aluminum foil, label and store.
- q. Repeat stops f through p for the other bearing assembly.

The rig from Test No. 1 was selected first for the above procedure. Upon removing the inner housing from the bearing rig chamber (step f) it was evident that the cage was pushed against the inner land of the outer race of the aft bearing on one side while displaying a gap at the opposite side. This is illustrated in the photograph shown in Figure 26. Also observed was an ample amount of oil in and around the bearing. The oil appeared to be darker than when first installed in the test rig at the beginning of the test.



Figure 26. View of Wedged Cage After Endurance Test "Lock Up" Torque measurements were made as outlined in step g above and the results were as follows:

- 1. Initial full load breakaway (step g) = 0.70 N-m (99.7 oz-in.)
- 2. Second 667 N (150-lb) load torque measurement (step h) = 0.43 N-m (61.0 oz-in.)

The bearing became relatively free at this time, therefore, it was reloaded to 890-N (200-lb) axial load and similar torque measurements were taken giving an average value of 0.071 N-m (10.0 oz-in.). Again the axial load was reduced to 667 N (150 lb) and repeat measurements of torgue gave an average value of 0.018 N-m (2.6 oz-in.). At this time the bearings appeared to be completely free and the cage had moved away from the inner land of the outer race seeking a more concentric position. At this time the axial load of 890 N (200 lb) was reapplied and the inner housing placed back in the bearing rig chamber. Instrumentation was again installed and the rig was attached to the Task II vacuum system. Bearing displacement and torque measurements were made both in air prior to pumpdown and after evacuation of the test chamber. These appeared to be somewhat higher than normal, but, the bearings would operate. There was an unusual noise (chatter) in the bearings above approximately 60 rpm. After running approximately 24 hours the test was stopped because the rig was found stalled, but the bearings were not "locked up." The cause of the stall is not known. The rig was completely disassembled. Photographs and observations were made during disassembly and these will be discussed later.

At this time the rig from Test No. 2 was disassembled. Again, when the inner housing of the rig was removed from the bearing rig chamber (step f above) it was noted that the cage was pushed against the inner land of the outer race of the aft bearing on one side while displaying a gap at the opposite side. A small pool of oil was present at the bottom of the inner housing in front of the loading diaphragm. Oil could also be seen around the ball-inner race contacts. Prior to proceeding through the disassembly procedure given above for determining "breakaway" torque of the bearings it was decided to attempt to push the bearing cage to a central position. Since the cage was securely wedged between the outer race and the balls, it required gradually moving the cage to a more central position by prying with a screwdriver between the cage and inner race at several locations. This was carefully done, and once the cage moved away from the inner land of the outer race the bearing movement became free and easy. Average torque required to rotate the bearings after this "freeing" manuever was 0.082 N-m (11.6 oz-in.) which indicated extremely free bearings in the rig. It should be noted that in both cases of "locked up" bearing rigs, it appeared that the aft bearings had stalled because of wedging between the cages and the outer races. At this time the Test No. 2 rig was completely disassembled. Photographs and observations of both the failed ("locked up") bearings will be presented and discussed at this time.

Age - and the set of the set

and and the second standards and the second standard and the second second standards when a standards and a second s

Highlights of Task III Failed Bearings. Figures 27 and 28 show a close-up view of the ball track on the outer and inner races of the forward bearing in Test No. 2. As seen in these photographs there was an appreciable amount of dark debris collected on both sides of the ball track. The three other bearings employed in these two tests showed somewhat less of this debris. Close inspection of the outer land surface of the phenolic cages for the four test bearings showed that the bearing having the most debris on the inner and outer races also had the most rubbing wear on the phenolic cage. In other words, the amount of rubbing wear on the outer land or surface of the cage appeared to be directly related to the amount of dark debris deposited along the ball tracks on the braring races. This material was easily removed from the race surfaces by wiping with a clean cloth or paper tissue. Figure 29 illustrates a flake of debris deposited in the ball pocket of the Test No. 2 forward bearing. All four of the bearings used in these two tests displayed some of these shiny flakes of debris in the ball pockets, although, they appeared to be larger in the two bearings used in Test No. 2. Also shown in Figure 29 are the darkened wear tracks on the outer land surface near the ball pockets of the phenolic cage. Seemingly, these wear tracks were deeper and more noticeable near the ball pockets that contained flakes of wear debris. Apparently these flakes are composed of wear material that has been removed from the cages.

Post-test inspection of the ball surfaces revealed a film of oil remaining intact with no visible wear track, pitting, or flaking. After wiping the debris from the race surfaces, they were found to be bright and shiny showing no evidence of pitting or extreme wear.

Figure 30 shows four of the oil-impregnated reservoirs that were removed from one of the rigs used in Task III tests. These reservoirs appeared very much as they did when installed in the test rigs. They were very brittle and extreme care had to be exercised to keep from breaking small pieces from the reservoirs during assembly or disassembly. Before and after weight measurement² showed that the average oil weight loss for these reservoirs was only 1.4 and 2.2 percent during these two failed Task III tests.

Based on the test results and observations obtained during Task III, it can be concluded that the bearing lubricated with Apiezon A and having a low and medium Λ_m did not fail because of insufficient EHD lubrication at the ball-race conjunctions. Instead it appeared that the failures were caused by torque increases that were due to wedging of the cages between the balls and outer races. This indicates either a poor design of the bearing cages or poor lubrication between the cage and the balls and between the cage and the outer race lands of the bearing. Inspection of the failed bearings led to the conclusion that the contacting surfaces between the balls and cages would become "dried out" because of poor cage lubricant feed. Once this happened, wear of the cage in the ball pockets would be initiated and continue

an in the second sec






10 C. 0000

「「東京などのない」という

- And Andrews

「日本」「日本」「日本」

16

35 C

14 A. Acherica

-

Figure 29. Flake of Debris Deposited in Ball Pocket of Forward Bearing Cage

we tester an

1.4.1.1.23

Address to the address of the



State of the second second

an de datas

た影

Figure 30. Four Oil-Impregnated Reservoirs as Removed from Test Rig

به وريد المن المناجرة به الم

. د د . م .

to propagate as is shown in Figure 29. Simultaneously, due to increased friction between the balls and cage, the cage would be pushed against the lands of the outer race of the bearing with additional forces. Due to these larger forces and additional wear the bearings would soon reach the point where "lock up" occurred because of insufficient driving torque.

the second s

Ĩ

SECTION VI

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Conclusions

Task I. From the results of the optical interference measurements of the EHD film thicknesses for the various oils employed in this study, it is concluded that the special test oils formulated for vacuum use behave in general in the same manner as do ordinary straight mineral oils. This was shown in Section III in Figures 9 and 13. The results shown in these figures emphasize the fact that when optically-measured EHD film thickness data for different oils are compared, the data should be obtained under identical operating conditions. That is, due to thermal effects in the conjunction inlet region, EHD film thickness data obtained under pure sliding conditions should not be compared with those obtained in pure rolling, except at very low values of the dimensionless correlating parameters Σ_c and Σ_m . As Σ_c and Σ_m increase, the difference between the EHD film thickness for pure rolling and pure sliding becomes greater.

From these optical measurements it is also concluded that even for flooded conjunction inlet conditions, the linear relationships between H_c versus Σ_c and H_m versus Σ_m , as proposed by the correlating equation of Archard⁽³⁾ and Cameron,⁽⁴⁾ break down as Σ_c and Σ_m are increased. It is believed that the nonlinear trend is due to thermal effects, and apparently not influenced by the oil composition as long as operation is in the full EHD regime.

From the EHD film thickness measurements made for the Task II. DMA bearings using the race displacement technique, a number of conclusions may be drawn. Taking any individual figure among Figures 14 through 22, it is concluded that the dimensionless parameters Σ_{D} and Σ_{C} correlate the EHD film thicknesses calculated from the measured race displacement reasonably well. For any given oil there is a unique and approximately linear relationship between H and Σ_D or H' and Σ_G regardless of the individual values of load and speed, and regardless of the individual values of viscosity which occur due to moderate temperature differences resulting from internal heat generation in the bearings. However, as indicated by the data presented in Figures 15, 16, and 17, there is apparently some effect which is not accounted for by the parameters Σ_D and Σ_G . These three figures show that for three oils of considerably different viscosity grades, the slope of the curves of H versus Σ_D and H' versus Σ_G increase progressively with an increase in the viscosity grade of the oil. For the most viscous oil, the Nye 860-2, the measured film thickness data are in excellent agreement with the theoretical equations of Grubin and Dowson for flooded isothermal conditions. For the two other oils, the BBRC 36233 and

Apiezon A with additives, the measured EHD film thicknesses are less than predicted by the theoretical equations. While this disagreement could be attributed to thermal effects caused by viscous heating in the conjunction inlets, as noted in the results of the optical EHD film thickness measurements from Task I, this is not believed likely. If viscous heating was responsible, then the effects would be expected to be present in all three cases. Moreover, these effects should be greater for the case of the most viscous oil but, as noted above, an opposite trend is observed. It is believed that a more plausible explanation is that oil starvation is responsible for the lack of agreement with the theoretical equations. This starvation effect could be due to the balls pushing the oil out of the running track, but what is probably more likely is that the retainer is actually wiping some of the oil from the balls. Apparently, this wiping action is more severe for the least viscous oil.

Also from the results obtained in Task II, it is concluded that there is no significant effect of additives or ball-race composite surface roughness on the development of EHD films within the bearings.

Finally, by comparing Figures 16, 20, 21, and 22, it is also concluded that the EHD film thicknesses which develop within the bearing are not significantly affected by the thickness of the initial oil film coating applied to the bearings, at least for the range of initial film thickness coatings investigated in this study. The thinnest initial oil film coating used was approximately 0.1 μ m (4 μ in.). For initial oil film coatings thinner than this, even more severe starvation effects may be expected.

Task III. From the post-test examinations of the bearings from the two long-duration tests where the bearings failed, it is concluded that the failure was due to a lubrication problem at the interfaces between the retainer and the other bearing components and not due to inadequate EHD film thicknesses at the ball-race contacts. It is further concluded that this problem is associated with lubricant feed from the retainer to the balls and to the interfaces where the retainer rubs on the lands of the bearing. Considering the fabrication technique used for the cotton-phenolic retainer material, it is evident that it is possible for lubricant feed to occur from the retainer to the balls. However, it does not appear possible for lubricant feed to occur from the phenolic to the lands of the bearing. This is because the layers of cotton material in the phenolic are parallel to the bearing lands, and lubricant feed cannot occur in a direction perpendicular to these layers. The bearings used in the present study have outer-land-riding cages. Thus the rubbing which occurs between the retainer and the outer lands of the bearing cause a torque on the retainer which opposes the driving torque. Since there cannot be lubricant feed from the retainer to the retainer-bearing land interface, the lubrication of that interface must largely depend upon the initial oil coating on the two surfaces. If this coating is depleted, then it is possible for excessive resisting torques to develop thus requiring a greater

the support membranes and a filter and south a firm of the second a star

force between the balls and the retainer. This can accelerate the retainer wear at the ball pockets and can cause a glazing of the retainer at the ball pockets thus impeding lubricant feed at the ball-retainer interfaces. It is believed that this retainer problem can be alleviated by the use of a ballpiloted retainer. In that case, all locations where the retainer contacts the other bearing components are capable of receiving lubricant feed from the retainer.

From the measurements of the EHD film thicknesses in the bearing using the race displacement technique, the Λ_m ratio for the tests where Apiezon A with additives was employed was as low as 0.71. Yet examination of the bearing races and balls indicated that little, if any, rubbing wear occurred. It is thus concluded that lubrication was very nearly in the full EHD regime for these tests. Consequently, it has not been possible to determine the effect of Λ_m on the bearing-lubricant system life. However, it has been established from these tests that for periods of about 4000 hours, satisfactory operation from an EHD film standpoint can be attained at Λ_m values of the order of unity or slightly less.

2. Recommendations

In view of the apparent starvation effects observed in the Task II and Task III work, as exemplified by the measured EHD film thicknesses in the bearings being less than the theoretically predicted values, several further studies are recommended. First, it is believed important to study and define the effectiveness of oil feed from retainers. It is recommended that several different retainer materials be investigated, including the contemporary phenolic material, and also several more advanced materials which might provide improved lubricant feed characteristics.

It is also recommended that the effect of retainer/bearing processing variations be studied further. To define more completely the effects of initial oil film thickness on the development of adequate EHD film thicknesses within the bearing, it is recommended that several processing conditions be used that will result in initial oil film thickness coatings less than the thinnest initial coating of 0.1 μ m (4 μ in.) employed in this study. The objective would be to define the thickness of the coating below which severe oil starvation would occur.

Because the Task II and Task III tests involved only moderate changes in bearing temperature, it is also recommended that the effect of temperature variation on the development and maintenance of adequate EHD films be studied more completely. This can be done by cycling the environmental temperature of the bearing test chambers to produce the same temperature environment as is experienced by DMA bearings in actual space service.

Finally, it is recommended that further long-term testing be done to

define the influence of the Λ_m ratio on bearing-lubricant performance and life expectancy. This should be done using rougher bearing races than were employed in the present study to produce Λ_m values low enough to place operation in the boundary lubrication regime. The bearing retainer design should be changed to ball-piloted retainers so that bearing seizure due to the retainers can be avoided. It is also recommended that the effects of antiwear additive concentration be included in these long-term studies. This is because when lubrication is in the boundary lubrication regime, with asperity interactions occurring, the antiwear additive plays an important role in determining the wear rate due to rubbing of the bearing components. The potential of the Λ_m level and additive concentration as accelerating factors for bearing-lubricant life-expectancy tests can thus be established.

APPENDIX A

DEVELOPMENT OF FILM THICKNESS - BEARING RACE DISPLACEMENT EQUATIONS USED IN COMPUTER PROGRAMS

1. General

Before the race displacement technique can be used to make measurements of the oil film thickness in an angular contact bearing, a relationship between the EHD films which develop in the ball-race conjunctions and the axial displacement of the movable bearing race must be determined. For this purpose, an analogy can be drawn between the axial race displacement due to a slight change in ball diameter, and that due to the development of EHD films in the ball-race conjunctions.

Consider now the sketch in Figure 31, which is a cross section taken through the center of a ball in an angular contact bearing. The upper race in the sketch is considered to be the rotating inner race, and the lower one is the outer race which is elastically restrained in the axial direction. Let the initial ball size and outer race position be represented by the solid lines. Consider now that the ball is replaced by one of a slightly larger size, indicated by the broken lines, with no other changes in the bearing being made. The inner race is held stationary axially, thus the outer race must move to the new position, shown by the broken lines, to accommodate the larger ball. The axial distance that the outer race moves is ΔS , which is also the distance moved by the center of the outer race slightly to β'_0 , while the initial contact angle, β_0 , will decrease slightly to β'_0 , while the initial contact points on the inner and outer races will change from A and B to A' and B'. If the increase in ball diameter is Δd then it may be shown⁽⁹⁾

$$\Delta S = B_0 d_0 \left(\sin \beta_0 - \beta_0' \right) + \Delta d \sin \beta_0' \qquad (12)$$

where $\Delta S =$ axial displacement of outer race

 $B_0 = total curvature referred to original ball diameter$

$$= \frac{r_0}{d_0} + \frac{r_1}{d_0} - 1$$

ro = radius of curvature of outer race (transverse to raceway)



ちょうなんでいたいで

Figure 31. Schematic Drawing Showing Axially Displaced Bearing Race

 $r_i = radius$ of curvature of inner race (transverse to raceway)

d_o = original ball diameter

 β_0 = original contact angle

 $\beta_0' = \text{contact angle after race displacement}$

 Δd = increase in ball diameter

It may also be shown that the "new" contact angle is related to the initial contact angle by

$$\cos \beta_0' = \frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - \Delta d}$$
(13)

2. <u>System of Equations Relating Central-Region EHD Film</u> Thickness to Bearing Displacement

Now imagine that instead of the outer race being displaced axially by increasing the ball diameter, it is displaced by the development of EHD films at the inner and outer ball-race conjunctions. If it is assumed that the axial displacement is due to the central-region EHD film thickness, then we may write

$$\Delta d = h_1' + h_0' \tag{14}$$

where $h'_1 = central-region$ EHD film thickness at ball-inner race contacts $h'_0 = central-region$ EHD film thickness at ball-outer race contacts Substituting Eqs. (13) and (14) into Eq. (12), then

$$\Delta S = B_0 d_0 \left[\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - (h_1' + h_0')} \right) \right] + (h_1' + h_0') \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - (h_1' + h_0')} \right) \right]$$
(15)

represents the axial displacement for one bearing.

If the axial displacement ΔS is a known quantity obtained by measurement, and the bearing geometry is defined, then Eq. (15) contains two unknowns, h_1 and h_0 . Hence an equation is needed relating h_1 to h_0 .

Considering for the present only the contacts at the inner race of the bearing and applying Eq. (8) and the definitions for G and Uf

$$H'_{i} = \frac{h'_{i}}{R_{i}} = 1.18 \frac{\left(\alpha_{0}E^{*}\right)^{0.73} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}V_{i}}{ER_{i}}\right)^{0.73}}{W_{ei}^{0.09}}$$
(16)

Now for a given bearing operating at a given speed, load, and temperature, the variables \dot{E} , α_0 , μ_0 , and V_t will be constant. For that situation Eq. (16) may be written

$$\frac{h_i'}{R_i} = \frac{C}{W_{e_i}^{0.09} R_i^{0.73}}$$

or
$$h'_i = C \frac{R_i^{0.27}}{W_{ei}^{0.09}}$$
 (17)

where $C = 1.18 (\alpha_0 E)^{*0.73} \left(\frac{\mu_0 V_t}{E}\right)^{0.73}$

Applying Eq. (10) to the inner race contacts

$$W_{ei} = \frac{W_{ei}}{K} = \frac{3P}{4a_i \stackrel{\times}{E}R_i}$$
(18)

where all symbols are as previously defined, and the subscript "i" is used to denote the inder race. For the bearings used in the present program the semiwidth of the major axis of the contact ellipse at the ball-inner race contacts is given by

$$a_i = 0.16467 P^{1/3}$$
 (19)

where $a_i = mm$, P = Newtons. Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (18)

 $W_{ei} = 4.55456 \frac{p^{2/3}}{\overset{*}{E}R_{i}}$

or, at constant load

$$W_{ei} = C_1 \frac{4.55456}{R_i}$$
(20)

where $C_1 = P^{2/3}/\tilde{E}$. Now substituting Eq. (20) into Eq. (17)

$$h'_{1} = C \frac{\frac{0.27}{R_{1}}}{\left(C_{1} \frac{4.55456^{10.09}}{R_{1}}\right)}$$

or $h'_i = 0.87245 C_2 R_i^{0.36}$ (21)

where $C_2 = C/C_1^{0.09}$

For the bearings used in the present study, $R_i = 7.03148$ mm (0.27683 in.). With this value substituted into Eq. (21)

 $h_1' = 1.76066 C_2$ (22)

Now the same exercise given by Eqs. (16) through (22) may be repeated for the ball-outer race contacts. The assumption is made that within a given bearing the temperature of the oil at the conjunction inlets of the ball-inner race contacts is the same as that at the ball-outer race contacts; then μ_0 and α_0 will be the same at all contacts within the bearing.

For the bearings used in the present study, the semiwidth of the major axis of the contact ellipse at the ball-outer race contacts is given by

$$a_{\rm p} = 0.16178 \, {\rm p}^{1/3}$$
 (23)

and the first in the second of the probability of the second second second second second second second second s

where $a_0 = mm$, P = Newtons. The equivalent radius at the ball-outer race contacts is $R_0 = 8.84352 \text{ mm} (0.34817 \text{ in.})$. Following the same procedure as given by Eqs. (16) through (22) for the outer race we obtain

$$h'_0 = 1.90912C_2$$
 (24)

Dividing Eq. (24) by Eq. (22) and rearranging

$$h'_0 = 1.08432 h'_j$$
 (25)

In other words, due to the better conformity at the ball-outer race contacts, the central-region EHD film thickness is about 8 percent thicker there than at the ball-inner race contacts.

Substituting Eq. (25) into Eq. (15)

$$\Delta S = B_{0}d_{0} \left[\sin \beta_{0} - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_{0}d_{0} \cos \beta_{0}}{B_{0}d_{0} - 2.08432 h_{i}} \right) \right] \right] + 2.08432 h_{i}' \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_{0}d_{0} \cos \beta_{0}}{B_{0}d_{0} - 2.08432 h_{i}'} \right) \right]$$
(26)

The axial displacement ΔS given by Eq. (26) is for a single bearing. Since there are two bearings in each of the test rigs used in Task II and Task III, the total axial displacement, ΔLy , measured by the LVDT system is the sum of the displacements of the forward and aft bearings. That is

$$\Delta Ly = \Delta S_{aft} + \Delta S_{fwd}$$
(27)

where ΔS_{aft} = contribution of aft bearing to total axial displacement

 $\Delta S_{fwd} = contribution of forward begins to total axial displacement$

Now applying Eq. (26) to the ball-inner race contacts of both bearings and substituting into Eq. (27)

$$\Delta Ly = B_0 d_0 \left[\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1^\prime(aft)} \right) \right] \right] + 2.08432 h_1^\prime(aft) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1^\prime(aft)} \right) \right] + B_0 d_0 \left[\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1^\prime(fwd)} \right) \right] \right] + 2.08432 h_1^\prime(fwd) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1^\prime(fwd)} \right) \right] \right] (28)$$

In most cases the two bearings in a given test rig do not operate at the same temperature, and bearing temperature affects lubricant viscosity and pressure viscosity coefficient hence EHD film thickness. Thus in general $h'_i(aft) \neq h'_i(fwd)$ and some analytical scheme must be employed to relate $h'_i(aft)$ to $h'_i(fwd)$.

Returning to Eq. (16), for the two given bearings operating at constant speed and load, the variables W_{ei} and V_t are constant. Since \dot{E} and R_i are also constant, Eq. (16) may be written

$$h'_{i} = C_{3} (\alpha_{0} \mu_{0})^{0.73}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

where $C_3 = 1.18 \frac{R_1^{0.27} V_t^{0.73}}{W_{ei}^{0.09}}$

The second second

Applying Eq. (29) to the ball-inner race contacts of the forward and aft bearings

$$h'_{i(aft)} = C_3 (\alpha_0 \mu_0)^{0.73}_{aft}$$
 (30)

$$h'_{i(fwd)} = C_3 (\alpha_0 \mu_0)^{0.73}_{fwd}$$
 (31)

Dividing Eq. (31) by Eq. (30) and rearranging

$$h'_{i}(fwd) = \psi h'_{i}(aft)$$
 (32)

where
$$\psi = \left[\frac{(\alpha_{0}\mu_{0})_{\text{fwd}}}{(\alpha_{0}\mu_{0})_{\text{aft}}}\right]^{0.73}$$

Now Eq. (32) may be substituted into Eq. (28) to yield

$$\Delta Ly = B_0 d_0 \left\{ \left[\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1'(aft)} \right) \right] \right] + \left[\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 \psi h_1'(aft)} \right) \right] + 2.08432 \left\{ h_1'(aft) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 h_1'(aft)} \right) \right] + \psi h_1'(aft) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.08432 \psi h_1'(aft)} \right) \right] \right\} (33)$$

For each set of operating conditions, the value of the temperature correction factor ψ can be determined using the measured bearing temperatures to evaluate α_0 and μ_0 for the forward and aft bearings. Then with the measured value of Δ Ly, and the known geometric quantities B₀, d₀, and β_0 for the bearings, Eq. (33) can be solved iteratively for h_i(aft), the EHD film thickness at the ball-inner race contacts of the aft bearing. Once h_i(aft) has been calculated, then h_i(fwd), h₀(aft), and h₀(fwd) can be calculated using Eqs. (25) and (32)

3. <u>System of Equations Relating Minimum EHD Film Thickness</u> to Bearing Displacement

The development of the following system of equations relating minimum EHD film thickness to bearing displacement follows a line of reasoning identical to that given above for relating central-region EHD film thickness to bearing displacement. Consequently, for the sake of brevity, the development of the system equations will not be presented here in great detail. The development begins by assuming that the measured axial displacement is due to the minimum EHD film thickness, then similar to Eq. (14) we write

$$\Delta d = h_i + h_o$$

(34)

where h_i = minimum EHD film thickness at ball-inner race contacts

h₀ = minimum EHD film thickness at ball-outer race contacts

Then an equation identical to Eq. (15) results except that h'_i and h'_o are replaced by h_i and h_o respectively.

Instead of Eq. (8) we use Eq. (9) to obtain

$$H_{i} = \frac{h_{i}}{R_{i}} = 1.63 \frac{\left(\alpha_{0}E\right)^{*} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}V_{t}}{ER_{i}}\right)^{0.70}}{W_{ei}^{0.13}}$$
(35)

From this point an identical line of reasoning yields

$$h_0 = 1.10109 h_i$$
 (36)

which may be compared with Eq. (25). That is, the minimum EHD film thickness at the ball-outer race contacts is about 10 percent thicker than at the ball-inner race contacts.

Continuing the development it is found that

$$hi(fwd) = \zeta hi(aft)$$
(37)

where
$$\zeta = \left[\left(\frac{\alpha_{o}(fwd)}{\alpha_{o}(aft)} \right)^{0.54} \left(\frac{\mu_{o}(fwd)}{\mu_{o}(aft)} \right)^{0.70} \right]$$

The key equation which follows is

$$\Delta Ly = B_0 d_0 \quad \sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{J_{,d_0} \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.10109 \text{ hi} (aft)} \right) \right]$$

+ $\sin \beta_0 - \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_0 d_0 \cos \beta_0}{B_0 d_0 - 2.10109 \zeta \text{ hi} (aft)} \right) \right]$

+ 2.10109
$$\left\{ h_{i}(aft) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_{o}d_{o} \cos \beta_{o}}{B_{o}d_{o} - 2.10109 h_{i}(aft)} \right) \right] + \zeta h_{i}(aft) \sin \left[\arccos \left(\frac{B_{o}d_{o} \cos \beta_{o}}{B_{o}d_{o} - 2.10109 \zeta h_{i}(aft)} \right) \right] \right\}$$
 (38)

As described above, for each set of operating conditions, the value of the temperature correction factor ζ can be determined, and with the measured Δ Ly, Eq. (38) may be solved iteratively for h_i(aft). Then h_i(fwd), h_o(aft), and h_o(fwd) can be calculated using Eqs. (36) and (37). APPENDIX B

14 45

ł

and the second second second second

「「「「「「「」」」」

ana nata**i**

LISTING FOR TASK II DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM

014EvsIO4 N(4), DELTLY(4), MCAI(4), MMAI(4), MCAO(4), MMAO(4), MCFI(4), WEVAD(4), WEFO(4), WHPD(4), MXEAI(4), MXAAI(4), MXEAO(4), WEVAD(4), WEEO(4), WHED(4), WXEAI(4), MXEAO(4), MXEAO(4), WEVED(4), WEEO(4), WEFI(4), WXEAO(4), MXEAO(4), MXEAO(4), WEFIS(4), WEFIS(4), WMEOS(4), MAEOS(4), MAAOS(4), WEFIS(4), WES(4), MEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), MAAOS(4), MAAOS(4), WEFIS(4), WES(4), MEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), MAAOS(4), WEFIS(4), WEOS(4), WEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WEOS(4), WEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), MAAOS(4), WEFIS(4), WEOS(4), WEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), MAAOS(4), WEFIS(4), WEOS(4), WEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WES(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WES(4), WEFOS(4), MMEOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WES(4), WEFOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WEFOS(4), WEFOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WEFOS(4), WEFOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS(4), WEFIS(4), WEFOS(4), TOROS(4), TOROS BEARING GEOMETRY AND MATEMIAL (NOTE UNITS ARE CONVERTED TO BI SYSTEM) "CUTPUT, TAPESEINPUT, TAPE6=0UTPUT) ##ITE (4,1003) NTEST,MOIL,MBEAP,WIMCK,KLADS,KLOAD BEAD (5,100°) BASEN,TEMPA,TEMPF,MSPEED,PRESS FARMAT (3F3,0,f3,E10,3) PRESIEPPESS+13,1 CALL FLPROP (TEMPAS, A.H.GAM, G.KK, BETS, ALPHAO, VIS) * 80N 502 THICKNESS IN DHA PEDUCTION 1440 (5,1002) 4,0,644,6,44,9674 04441(3610,5,610,4,610,3,610,5) [F (EDF, 5) 160,2 & FE40 (5,1016) NBE4R, NTHCK, ATE31 MBASE CALCULATIONS FOR AFT BEARING 04E648435***2*14159/60* [E4Pase(TE#Pa=32.)+5./3. 5E4465={12446432;}+5,74 f0avar (*3.0) f0avar(0*3.4,0*4 Parlob/14.4514(Par) d120,010600+4(1.4/3.) d120,010600+4(1.4/3.) ##4LL#0.1125+2.54E+2 ##4CE#2.174 #+2.54E+2 E3TANE13.E446.895E3 PMIE26.012.19159/190. F60120.295692.59662 86020.141202.59662 8640.551001.156040 710% (0001'5) 0738 PBOGRAM DROFT separt/goace A [#] + 6 . 5 4 E + 6 8640 (S,1082) C=40+5*2+E=5 CONTINUE 10 CONTINUT 1230697 4101 1 2001 1001 1001 ~~~~ ι u **...**. •10000 110000 100000 1680eu 190080 190080 600001 100000 11 6940 425386 920000 +41000 +51000 0000170 28 0000 *Euci-0 31 -606 411200 1200Jú 211000 991000 265500 -66006 511000 11500 \$1000

î

-

۰. ;

ţ

THE PHILIPPINE CONTRACT

教育部に行いて、

おという ちんばん マンススペンチービー ちょうし た

の日本ので、「大学の

A ST CONTRACTOR

EXPERIMENTAL FILM TWICKNESSES CALCULATED FROM MEASURED BEARING 46.40 (5,1005) (134(1),DELTLY(1),TOPQUE(1)),I=1,N3PEED) 1005 format (1255,1) Dn 20 [=1,4594ED 0rEGas445Eta2,03.14154/60. Call flogod (Tempfs,4,0,G44,G,X4,5ET4,AlphA0,V13) FILM THECKNESS CALCULATIONS FOR FORMARD REARING FILM THICKNESS CALCULATIONS FOR AFT BEARING 20 EALL FILM (4E00,40,MCAN(1),MMAN(1)) 41 4442424407415 Alfaftaalphad MRABE CALCULATIONS FOR FORAAPO REARING 0v66aex\{})+2.4],415#/40, Call Film (4601,41,46f({}),44F1({}) 40 CALL FILM (4600,40,40,401),44F0(1)) 41-44-6419440-415 D4654224(1)+2,43,14159/60 Call F1Lm (PEG1,41,401(1),444](1)) CALL FILM (REQ1, AI, GHCAI, BHMAI) CALL FILM (1200, 40, 3MC 40, 8HM 40) CALL FILM (REOL, AL, BHCFI, BHMFI) CALL FILM (REQD, AD, SHCFO, BHHFO) eettabblehendalfaft Hettovevisjuiseft 51m781 mil 197 m128 DA 40 [s1,45PEED ENLER CONTACTS OUTER CONTACTS INNER CONTACTS CUTER CONTACTS INER CONTACT? OUTER CONTACTS OUTEP CONTACTS INNER CONTACTS UTSAFTAVTS u u ų u U. u J U J u J 2.2000 2.2000 2.2000 2.2000 1+7500 ++7500 949204 94922 94922 622000 522000 112000 47200 102 104 000 104 000 104 1 52 300 497400 102000 441000 992600 900234

100

語ないのかっている

١,

FIRST SULVE FOR INCERTENT IN FILM IMICANESE AT IMMER CONTACTS OF AFT Bearing (im wighting) wearn SCLVE FOR TACHARAT TA FILM THICMERE FOR TWARE CONTACTE OF FORMARD Resulad by Assay Conseletion for heading temperiture difference SCLVE 40% [WEEKEWEY] 14 FILM THICKESS FOS OUTER CONTACTE OF BOTH Reserves using correction for Generat Effects ex . #\$ refears. #### Franks (//* Eucles we chevel of \$16% found 1% \$2#RCh Rangle) COMPERT FILM TALENESS [VERIMENTS FROM NECROMENTS TO METERS ADADITENSIONALIZE FILM THICKNESS INCREMENTS ØMKE 150415040, JJeDMKE 1 Ømruf 1staens5465115Veen, JneDMXME1 CALL 4154 C1 (M1, 44, 04, 14 6, 14 6, 14 C) 14 (163, 60, 00, 10, 45 • 414 (16, 44, 444) CELTENDELTICE) Call Resert (ME.MY.OM.(PE.15G.DELTAM) fe (160.VE.C) GD TO 94 DMEMETEME Фик Бабит, «Па и 20-00-и 2. Фик Белез, «Са 22-00-и 2. Сик и 6.00 и 22-00-и 2. Сикие Слаз, «Ссперелики» 1 Фикие Слаз, «Ссперелики» 1 04409444648464874655 -----Ergande Do Sn Iel.4895ED Meen.0 0+K+E 020+F+E 0+C1 D-KWARSD-FWAG (LJA JL J IVAJL) 042CA1242 4460+0 1294336J4220 Set Set E T C F ÷ ų ب u u **UUUU** -----051 000 051 000 91+L00 00+128

and the second second second second second

1.2.2

a farmer of

1. A. S. C.

A CONTRACTOR

T

7

•

A service of the serv

CONSERT FILE BAISFLESSES FRIM DIRFASSOLEFUS TU MICHOSIERES CONVERT FILM THICANESSES FROM DIVENSIONLESS TO MICROMETERS Film 9452am455555 The Franskh BESGING []NCHEMENT PLUE BA35] FILM THICANESSED IN AFT BEARING (INCREMENT PLUS BASE) CONVERT TORGHE, LOND, AND TEMPERATURE TO BE UNITS on pa let.wafft0 In foutsittatosput(1)+7.0412t+3 03+R40734462(5)03-48 83 035-R4035240365303574 13.4445373-03655524 13.4445373-03655534 13.4445373-036555324 ##C#15(]]###C#1(]]#C] 42 240554 . 4984 KL 04P 00 00 111, 15, 15 00 45 eC (= 14 , 17 09 eC(0 = 14 , 17 deC 45 e& C 45 + 81 **uuu ...**. **uuu** 175086 071450 071450 071450 071450 41+046 41+648 ***** ****** ****** 103000 203000 103000 11-20 85-008 04 -0-0 800+21

- HARDEN

- ¢.

A Line and the

0

seguration to the contract

and a second second second

The second second

a an in the stand of the

ł

•

\$C38.00

ہے۔ یہ

Î

and the second second

i Uli

N. A. DON

を、田

a transferred

and the state of the second second

Service and

ALC: N

- -

#\${1£ {h.10}1} but 205, EmmeD5, EmcColg, EmcFog, EmmFog, EmcFog, EmmFog, EmcFog, EmmFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmcFog, EmmFog, EmmFo CENTINS 13 INSAGL11,43 GD 10 10 64 10 1 CENTSASI 140 CONTINUE 5 95 11100 20.053

Į

?

and the state of the

Ì

:

1

......

ż

Sec. No.

1 كالمطل معالاتهما

3 3 . :

66

ŀ,

 B0001*
 SUEWOUTINE FLPROP (I,A,B.GAM,G,XX,B.TA,ALPHAO,E)

 B0001*
 Y=4L0G10(T+273_)

 B0002*
 X=4-94Y

 B00102*
 E=10,44(10,44X)-0.6

 B00102*
 E=10,44(10,44X)-0.6

 B00102*
 E=10,44(10,44X)-0.6

 B00102*
 E=10,44(10,44X)-0.6

 B0001*
 E=10,44(10,44X)-0.6

 B0001*
 E=10,44(10,44X)+0.6

 B0001*
 ALPHA1=XX/1**8ETA

 B0000*
 PURA

 B0000*
 END

٢,

1993

SUBROUTIVE FILM (HEB.A.HC,HM) Common VIS,XX,BETA,A!PHAQ,PHI,OPFGA,P,GAM.G.AFDO,REQI,OHXAI,DHXFI, DHXAQ,PHXFO,RATID,RHALL,RRAGE,S,FSTAR,DELTL,RATIOA,RATIOV CALCHLATE DIMERASIONLESS CENTRAL-REGION FILM THICKNESS X1#(1.+?.*S+CGS(PMI))/(2.*S*(1.+S*COS(PMI))) X2=(VI?*2.*PR3LL*X1*UMEGA)/(ESTAR*REQ) X3=x2**0.73 X*=[alpmaneEstar) X*=[alpmaneEstar] X*=3.*P/(4.***ESTAR*REQ) Xf=3.*P/(4.***ESTAR*REQ) CALCULATE DIMENSIONLESS MINIMUM FILM THICKNESS HC=1.19+X5+X3/X7 . υuu u u u 100000 0017057 011005 0010067 0000077 0000777 000077700

ġČ.

大学

88

als fiftheres attend

121.0

•

:

\$:

: į • 1

	FUNCTION DELTAC (MX)
E00005	COMMON VIS, KW, PETA, ALPHAG, PHI, UNTURISTICATION COMMON VIS, KW, PETA, ALPHAG, PHI, UNTURISTICA, RATICY
000003	10 Y1=0,025E6+COS(PHI)/(0,025E6-2,08432+HX)
610000	7258Cn5(11)
510000	V 3#SIP(YZ)
000012	V4HSI2(PHI)-Y3
000033	Y5=0,0256 factos(PH1)/(0,05555555,00,055555555500)
SE uu St	Y6±&COS(Y5)
0.0000	<pre>< 7=512 (<5)</pre>
2+0000	
00000	Y 9 2 0 2 5 5 5 6 4 4 4 4 8 9
0.0000	
	¥118881139480 83381X4Y2
04000	Y12=2,08432+(Y10+Y11)
000042	xL yerq 4 x 2
00000	DELTAC#PELTLY-XLY
000067	26 T U R 2
10000	END

Nex Const

, ,

A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE

£

1

Real Property in

-

ş

1

「あること」 こうなんか ひんしまた こうないので

з

.

and the second se

1.194

-

END F DUGOO

1917

1916

<u>B</u>*

ġ.

Ö

ß

and the way which 5

> 57

1000

ALC: NO

¢.

27

í

ş

and the second

į,

٩, 4

ť

. .

. ..

۱

2

METMOD A SFARCM FOR & CMANGE OF SIGN IS PERFORMED AND WHEN FOUND The Interval contrining the solution is continually malved. DESCRIPTION OF PARAVETERS K THE SOLUTION ON AETURN, THE SOLUTION ON AETURN, MK THE SOLUTION ON AETURN, MK THE NUMMER OF SIARCH INTERVALS, DX THE UPPER BOUND OF RELATIVE ERROR, FER A DESULTING ERROR PARAMETER, IFP E N, SUCCESSFUL SEARCH, IFP E N, SUCCESSFUL, IFF E N, SUCCESSFU SUBPOUTINES AND FUNCTION SUMPROGRAMS REQUIRED THE EXTERNAL FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM FCT(X) MUST BE CODED BY THE USER SO THAT FCT(X)=0 AT X=SOLUTION. CALL BISECT (X.AK.DX.EPS.IE4.FCT) Pagareter fot reguires an External Statement. SUBROUTIVE BISECT(X, NX, DX, EPS, IER, FCT) **]F{aBS{{**kk2~xk1}/x)~EPS}}50,250,160 **]F{**20-21)140,250,170 IF (∆45(Zl)-fP3++2)250,250,190 Za=fCT(X] 0r 200 fx=1,∿× [f(x, 46, kn) 60 T0 100 21#f(x) 1+ (20+ (1) 34, 250, 1+0 XZXK1+7.5+{XK2-XK1} 60 TA 150 14 (21) 192,250,194 3SUdafie 50 TA 150 60 TA 150 0.1 01 09 12FC7(c) USAGE 145 11 (71) 145 111-111 281 173733 IERen 139 KKZXX LUG KKINK 22=11 5 4 2 2 K < 2 0 25122 2 2 2 91 ŝ 150 180 **...**... u u u u -01226 Fuicac 140000 140000 140000 140000 50100 1001 620000 201006

ALL IN COMPANY OF ANY OF

.

ł

÷

į

•



APPENDIX C

SAMPLE PRINTOUT OF TASK II DATA

L040(L4) Aft TExp(F) F40 TExp(F) F45SSUR4(TQMH)
 TEST NO.
 87

 011
 E MARE ALER
 57

 012
 E MARE ALER
 57

 011
 Later ALER
 57

 111
 Later ALER
 57

 111
 Later ALER
 57

 111
 Later ALER
 54

 111
 Later ALER
 54

± 200 ■ 76,0 ± 73,0 ± 7,50n€+02

Sites and states

##\$E \$#EED(###) # 15*0

FILM THICKNESS(MICROMETERS)

FORMARD REAMING

网生物

82

いたが

South and the

ļ

1

4

1

a product of a gate of the base

2		
ï		
6		

		105 605 605 605 605 605 605 605 605 605 6
	1 37	2 M V D N 14 0 8 0 8 3 4 8 8 9 3 4 8 8 8
CONTACT:	10	2 4 0 4 4 1 2 4 7 4 1 2 4 7 4 2 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4
TIMER (11	20419 2042 2047 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204
	94 9 1 9	25878 6440 6440 6440 6440 6440 6440 6440 644
-	Вниго • 107	20300 10400 2440 2540 2540 2540 2540 2540 2540
ONTACT:		2
OUTER C	F0 21	201 m 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	6	2 % ^ %C W # A & # U M Ø ^ Ø F * * * *
	001° 14mm 9	28 m 13 4 8 8 0 4 2 4 8 9 9 2 4 8 8 8
ONTACTS		1
I NNEB	ннСа[, 11 с	2 M F N N 4 F C - 1 F 0 H T + 4 7 F F F
		2 9 N U 4 U 10 N 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U
	01	3 4 7 4 8 1 4 4 4 2 4 4 7 5 2 4 4 5 2 4 4 5 2 4 6 5 2 4 6 6 6
OUTER CONTACTS	7 7 8	28 J. W. 118 B. B. B. J. W. B. B. B. H. G. G. B. B. H. G. G. G. B.
	0	2 7 J. N. 9 U C - J. N. U N - J. J. Z - 1 - 1 Z - 1 - 1
	1 . 1 . E	
		596 30 800 30 51 - 10 1 2 0 - 20 1 5 3 - 20 1 5 3 - 20 1 5 3 - 20 2 0 0 0 0

FILM THICKNESS(HICROINCHES)

FORMARD BEARING

AFT BEARING

CONTACTS	4177 1.477 1.64	727 110 110 110 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 1111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111
1444	8×CF1 4 • 38	TCE2 XCE2 12.49 7.54 20.57 11.46 27.55 15.97 27.55 15.97 34.12 18.85
CONTACTS	Вчиг () * _• 22	714X VIN 7144 11,4
DUTER 0	846F0 * • 74	TCEN XCEN 13.65 8.01 22.31 12.34 24.31 12.34 24.32 17.32
ONTACTS	1 4 1 1 Q 4 4 4	TMTN XHTM 17.64 6.44 17.64 11.53 17.11.53 28.06 15.77 28.20 18.55
C BARR	05** 14348	TCE4 XCE2 12.36 9.56 71.16 12.49 78.42 15.41 95.05 14.13
CONTACTS	04774 94374	1111 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
OUTER .	6 T C 4 C 9 8 8 9	\$2550(004) 7574 #CEN \$9.00 13.42 8.23 109.00 22.42 11.40 150.00 20.42 11.40 10.40 30.42 13.74 200.00 30.42 13.74

4

<u>5</u>28

語であるとないで、「ない」のであるとないで、

ili da da da antalika da kata kata kata kata kata da k

and the state of the

言語を行うの時代の語

LANTLA) AFT TFWOLF) FAC TEWDLF) PUESSUFF[TNAN]
 12.31 VD
 13

 914. c 4425 19419
 14

 1410. c 44168
 14

 1410. c 44168
 14

 1410. c 44168
 14

 1410. c 44168
 14

 1410. c 4416
 14

AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

Sec. 1

CT BARRE

;

and a state of the state of the

1. A.

1744

and the second second of second a second second

A distant

and the second second

1

= 200 ■ 200 ■ 1.400 ■ 1.400 ■ 0.0

445E \$PEED(4P*) = 1+,0

Engrise artee plan poan sesuits

AFT BEARING

95

FILM THICKNESS(WICROWETERS)

FORMARD REARING

1 1448 .100 THNER CONTACTS 2121 #CFN 846F1 •115 844F0 DUTER CONTACTS KCEN BHCF0 N I M X .101 1 MMHB INNER CONTACTS x C E N 8HCA1 •121 4123 .116 BWAD OUTER CONTACTS 4542

5 8 8 4 5 7 7 5 6 7 7 10 6 7 7 10 151. 2 - N E C 1 - N E C 2 - N 0 L T S C 10 L T S C 1 L T S C C S C 1 L T S C C S C 1 L T S C C C]]] 50667(2001 FCEN 8067 50,00 111 171 150,00 401 200 150,00 401 400 200,00 401 400 8-C10

FILM THICKNESS(MICRGINCHES)

INNER CONTACTS OUTER CONTACTS ENALE CONTACTS OUTER CONTACTS

FORMARD BEARING

951#139 14T

5444F0 BHCF0 91°\$ frage 1e3v8 6×**0 6×**0

BHCF1

7CEN XCEN 12.41 6.45 20.59 10.44 27.68 13.70 27.68 13.70 27.68 13.20

21" 01 64"12 99" 5 01 64"12 99" 5 01 64"12 99" 5 01 64"12

4060 access acce

SPEEPERPuj \$0.00 194.00 159.00 29.00 29.00

8×540 5.13

B1441 3.45

1~ 2178

10804 (02-12) 61-0 85-0 85-0 81-0

.

*

200 200 1.6 1.500 1.500 1.500 1.500 LCAD(LB) AFT TEMP(F) FAD TEVP(F) PVESSULF(TDAP)

記述が記述にはいた。

1

and the second

Contraction of the

ľ

الم المحمد المراسي الم

NRUG 4100 03140 5800- 12

0*21 * (###207348 3500

FILM THICKNESS(MICHOMETERS)

FORMARD BEAUING

				AFT B £	AF [%G						L	ORMARO	9414739				
		DATER C	CONTACTS	-		1 43441	ONTACTS	_		OUTER C	UNTACTS			TNAER C	OWTACT	-	
	¥.,	91		91		2 1 2	нн В 1 .	14	0×0	13	1.0	F 0 0 1	8HC • 1		i.	₩2 + ±	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				28205 19805 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990	2 4 4 0 M W 4 4 4 0 W 4 4 4 4 W 4 4 4 4 W 4 4 4 4 W 4 4 4 4	2 5 B 5 C 5 C 	1949 1949 1949 1949	2 3 5 F F 11 1 3 5 F F 1 11 1 R M 1 1 1 1 R M		× 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2093# 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 144	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 8 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4	2840 10940 1410 740	

FILM THICKNESS(MICRUL4CHES)

		1.0001	
			2 8 J M
	CONTACTS	1 A A A	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	म नेफ्य 🕻	CF1	2020 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
BEARING		I + L	4 4
084480	•		2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4
	CONTACTS	1 4	2
	DUTER	CF0 • • •	208+5 4425 4425 4545 4545 4545 4545 4545 45
		¥ #	
	•	141	
	CONTACT	Ĭ.	2 - N 3 3 - N 3 3 - N 4 7 - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N
	LANEH	CA1	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
341 MG			
46.7 06	•	53.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CONTACT		
	OUTER	61. 11.	
		3.	1 400 K
			95505 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 93.03 94.03 95.030

APPENDIX D

ī.

LISTING OF TASK III DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM

SEARCE GEENETAT AND MATENIAL (NOTE UNITS ARE CONVERTED TO BI SYSTEM) ##\$\$\$4# Fr\$\$ (INFUT.OUT?UI.TAFE553NPUT.T3 • \$414 #E5UCT30% Fru ErbUNANCE • • 031 F14# F#ICAA25 • JubE 1475 • 1 4500 5410 440 440 44540 45440 4501681 1010 508441 5 2454 55 3052853 537 458 404 5005189 103783 3348# \$***\$ 6] 28. 01014494611./3.] #2944.448 Seral L'ERACE THE CONTECT L1 15 644 1.961 -----¥ -100403 110-03 129931 260400 160400 .0.0.0.0.0 07 10 54 07 10 55 190600 140041 140041 0000PP 9. B.B.B.P. 60. 111 **1003

TO REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF

į

Second man

New York
etase rouse for sucretors for file tricates at thate contacte of aft Refering file micrustations: ETUTUELLAN() Etutuellan() Este Albert (**,^*,53,62,516,011146) Is (148,50,50, 15, 45 Is (148,50,50, 15, 45 Febel (,/* 16800+ %0 (****66 01 61,50,50%) [* 51.28 AMG64) Febel (//* 16800+ %0 (****66 01 61,50%) Estered where for the same rest of the direct for the weather for a sub-CALL FLFROF (ILWRIESERERCANICSER, CIER, EISS, ALFMAC, VIES FILM FREEMESS CRIGULAFICAS FOR FORMERS BLARING PSEN SWITCHNEE EALCULATIONE ACK AT MEALAG CM5.644446144144.01444444 6414 8344 8354544445454546 ሮኮፕ ይቆድ የቀደ የትናያንፈኛ ቀን 5 ቀደ ነና የናዲሳት ድ ይደጊዜ የዩኒጭ የጽደ ጭያ ታሪ ያቀር መሄደ ያፈ ነን ታሪ መመኖ ን (\$ ን ን ØFLFLETTLFLF1 6 211 85511 (vr.00, Br.t95, 618,01110) 6 16651 (vr.00, Br.t95, 618,01110) 6 16600 -©#{L\$##\$F##E_#P_\$PEP\$P\$C_ E#L=FR__\$P#_\$P\$C^#;_#E#J\$J2,###\${{};} \$_#!!!!!!!!? \$_E#F##\$\$#\$\$? @#FGAERF##2.49.10364/#0. Coll. 6120 (0103/64/#061115/#0411(1) Coll. 6212#20-225 Colleaterus/colleate Colgoosterus/colleate Calgoosterus/colleate weets concident for lotate elected SEDTEND'S STAT fruite confects LIJELE CONTECTS CHACAS SHIP u" 15.5 20 L. Contra 2 Contra 2 864633 864448 61061 C 61061 C 60061 C 238933 0.000 a.0 142000

i

1

a de la construcción de la constru Construcción de la construcción de l مى ئىلىنى ئىلىنى ئىلىنى ئىلىنى بى ئىلىنى بى ي مەلىكى قىلىسى

所,一部間湯は水を雪

1,5000	: SOLVE FOR INCREMENT IN FILM THICKNESS FOR INNER COMTACTS OF FORMARD Bearing by Using correction for bearing temperature difference Dhacftaratingen, 93=Dhacat
102024	DIALTIARATICATO, SAPUTCAL Daxyetiaraticaticaticaticaticaticaticaticaticatic
	CONVERT FILM THICKNESS INCREMENTS FROM MICROINCHES TO METERS
4 v£ ū 80 506 ū 80	C1#2,54E+2/1,076 DHXC4[#0HXCA]+C1
016010	Draff T = 5 + 4 C F = C
FIEDOO	
	NONDIVENSIONALIZE FILM THICKNESS INCREMENTS
+1E0u0	DHXCAI#DHXCAI/REDI
SILOUL	DHXCF1=DHXCF1/REQ1
116010 116010	DHX*AI=DHX*AI/RF0I DHX*FI=DHX*FI/RE01
	FILM TWICKVESSES IN AFT BEARING (INCREMENT PLUS BASE)
700320 026000	MXCA1(1)EDHXCA1+BHCA1(1) MXWA1(1)EDHXWA1+BHWA1(1)
	FILM THICKNESSES IN FORMAND BLAKING (INCREMENT PLUS BASE)
7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	MXCF1(1)EDMXCF1+HMCF1(1)
	CONVERT FILM THICKNESSES FROM DIMENSIONLESS TO MICROMETERS
266000	CI=AEQIel.E6
000 434	54(5)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)
4FEOUD	BHCFIS(I)=BHCFI(I)=CI
T+Eu30	BWWF]S(I)=HXWFI(I)+CI
246000	MXCAIS(I)=HXCAI(I)+CI
	MACF[S([]=HACF](])=C] MACF[S(]]=HATF[(]]=C]
05Ev-0	#CAIS(I)##CAI(I]#CI
000352	RAIS(I)=HWAIYTY=CI
556000	
00	CONVERT TOROUL,LOAD,AND TEMPERATURE TO ST UNITS
7 00356	f.n80SI1151≡17A0.5×1355
000360	XL DAOSH4, 4483XLOAD
	CONVERT FILM THICKNESSES FROM DIMENSIONLESS TO MICROINCHES
000362	ß]=[[ea4,a7
496 000	HC41(1)=9HC41(1)+81
006376 006376	R1401([)=348441([)=8] B4CF1([)=44CF1(1)=47

WRITE (5.1100) WTEST,WOIL,MBFAR,WTHCX,LOAD,MPM FORMAT (1H1///* ENCURANCE TEST NO. * JIJ/ * OIL = *,9410/ * Staring Roughwerss = *,95/* Initial Oil Film THICKNESS = * * AS/IX,AIO/FG, / * SSEED(HPM) = *,F5,0 / * CENTRAL DUTER CONTACTS = CENTRAL INNER CONTACTS HULTIPLIEDE SYX, AFILM THICKNESSES ARF IN WICROA, AIN / 47X, AAFT BEARING, INNER CONTACT3*, 25X, AFORWARD BEARING, INA ANER CONTACT3*, 197, AASEA/1X, ATTME PRESSURA ANER CONTACT3*, 197, AASEA/1X, ATTME PRESSURA E TORQUE SPEEDA, AXAATEMPA+, 48X, ATEMPF+ / 1X, A(HR)+ IX, 48, A7, (RPM), ASX, A3, 2X, AHMCAI XCENI TCENI HNMA+ AI XMINI MUNI*, 3X, A3, 2X, AHMCAI XCENI TCENI HNMA+ AI XMINI MUNI*, 3X, A3, 2X, AHMCAI XCENI TCENI HNMA+ AI XMINI TMINI* 44ITE(6.1130) TIME(1),PRESI(1),TOROSI(1),BASEN(1),TEMPA(1), WITE (b.1100) NTEST, NOIL, NBE AR, NTMCK, LOAD, XLOADS, RPM MICRAFINHWETERS VPRESSEM(PASCAL) VTOP257H (N-M) VTEMPEIN(C) arite(n, iiio) micro,mpres,mtoro,mtemp,mtemp Live 221 Continue ITE(6,1110) MICRO,MPRES,MTOHO,MTEMP,MTEMP REPEAT PRINTOUT IN S,I, UNITS CO TO 140 . LINE346 DG 15G I31,NTIME If(LTNE °LT ° 60) LOADZIDHLGAD(N) = LINFELINE+1 TEVPA(I)=TEMPAS TEMPF(I)=TEMPFS 41083=3H(02-1N) 2 WTE WPE 3H [F] CONTINUE FORMAT ŝ 100 1100 1119 0+1 **u u u** 04+000 04+000 04+000 \$£\$000 \$£\$000 \$£\$000 0£\$606 184000 184000 184000 070614 070614 11+000 000438 062000 145000 045000 0003776 000376 \$0+000 000+00 20+000 54 t D D u 9[+000 0u0+3P **5000 4+5000 0125000 565000 945600 000563 200132 E 4 51 J 11

ş

後日子前的後裔的一個百姓的時代。 (1)

A STATE AND A S

a desired and set of the set of t

1

l

he with the second s

7

and the second second



and the state of the state of the



BUBBRIUTIVE FILM (REQ.A.KC.MM)BODODBUBBRIUTIVE FILM (REQ.A.KC.MM)COMMON V[5, XK, RETA.ALPHAO, PM1, GM4, G.M4, G.M451, PMXFI.COMMON V[5, XK, RETA.ALPHAO, PM1, GM4, DLLIL, RATIOA, RATIOVCOMMON V[5, XK, RETA.ALPHAO, PM1, SUBER, DLLIL, RATIOACC<

ないないであると

Same Street

Sec. Sec.



ł

Ì.
 FULTION OFLIM (MT)

 FULTION OFLIM (MT)

 COMPON
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 COMPON
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAN
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 MATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 MTSINCTON

 TOTAL
 TESTATIO

 TOTAL
 TESTATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 TESTATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TOTAL
 TESTATIONALLADOL (MT)

 TESTATIONAL
 TESTATIONAL

 TESTATIONAL
 TESTATIONAL

 <

1

A NUMBER OF A N

100

のないないないであります。

.....

3

*** * ****

Å

Contraction of the second

and a subscription in the second in the seco

lind between the second second second states and the second base of a second second second second second second

Section 2.

SUBROUTINE BISFCICK, NK, DX, EPS, IEB, FCT)

「「「ない」を見たい。

A State of the second second

THE STATTION UN RETURN.
THE SOLUTION UN RETURN.
THE SOLUTION UN RETURN.
THE NUMBLE OF SEARCH INTERVALS.
THE SPEAR STATER FROM A.
THE UPPER BOUND OF RELATIVE FRHOR.
A KESULTING ESBOR PARAMETER.
A KESULTING ESBOR PARAMETER.
A KESULTING ESBOL SEARCH.
A KESULTING FRAME FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM USTO.
THE MANP OF THE EATERMAL FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM USTO. A SEARCH FOR A CHANCH OF BIGH 13 PERFORMED AND WHEN FOUND The Enterval Containing the Bolution is continually Malved. BUGGUTIMES AND FUNCTION SUMPROGHAMS REQUIRED The external function subprogram fot(X) must be coded by the user so that fot(x)=0 at x=solution. CALL MISECT (X,VX,DX,EPS,IE4,FCT) Paaletite et! Hequists an extender statement. EF (445 [[442+14]]/E]=E#3)250,250,160 140 [F(29+2]]140,250,170 170 KTE4 Shullends are lings salue we F { 4 4 5 6 2 1 } = [+ 2 + + 2] 2 5 0 + 2 5 0 + 1 4 0 DESCHIPTICN OF PARANETERS 00 299 [sel.⁴4 [f(s.46.sm) 60 10 100 [jsfcr(s) 0+1-05-01111-620-1+0 [50 xxx1+0,5+(xs2-x*1) 70 150 (71) 192,250,194 1504BU4 FC1 TO 150 10 150 ME THIND 105 200FCT(E! 60 10 140 USAGE 14-151 201 (17) 31 001 (feed 130 KKPEK 180 KK25 3 G

110000 110000 140000

01-1088

1 Sucks

001000



APPENDIX E

TASK III DATA

Endumente Test mo. 1 Cil e epition a mant mitad name testus e compts e econom Lotite di file twitantes e faite Lotite di file taitantes e faite Lotite di file taita es Settuten donte tontatts e tentral tente contatts multiplito by 1,08432 Settute donte contatts e visimue tente contatts multiplito by 1,08432 setture donte contatts e visimue tente contatts multiplito by 1,08432

の語言

R=2.05

-14

FILM TMICKNESSES ARE IN MICHOVETENS

					AFT BEA	RING, IN	VEA COV	VTACTS				ORAARO I	IE AH TNG .	43-141	CONTACT	
1257	Toen.c	3548								11405	•					
				AKCA1	xC1 111	TCENT		XMINI	14145		AHCFI	KCF NT	75447	1 3744	141 M	TVINI
		20.14	25.0	240	40	192	550*	550	691	25.0	242	0.42	201.	.055		
2 1, 15-00	-	60.01	1.97	250.	250	142	0+2	6 + 0	451	27.5			ELT	540.	2.0	
\$ 1. FE-D+		10.01	5 L . S		0.	E. 1.	3+0	5+0*	8 * 1 *		.04	340	.160	1,0	1	.138
6 · 6 01 + 3 +		24° LO	27,8	. 0.6		168	450.	950.		51° 11	1 2 0 4	.057	561.	156.	159.	561.
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	+c1,	00***	2 8,1	, CS 8	• 5 0 •	***	°05¢	250.	1.1.	0.04	v 50.	• 50 •	551.	8.1.	X • 5. •	561.
	1 0,	0 ju * 4 7	27.E	640,	640.	345.	.054	450.	**1 "		\$ 50 °	0 50	154	*0°*	25	.135
1 - 1 - 1 - C		005	27.8	.054		.158	£20°	.081	**1,	***	550.	180.	.154	1·5u.	\$4.0.	.135
100 1.15056	101.	20.55	\$°??	140°			550 °	00	****	28.4	8 50 °	5 6 G	.160	\$50.	480°	act.
444 2,21 -04		20,02	£1, ₽	1 50,	100	Ect.	8+0.	.067		* ° 52			.156	••0•	. 661	5614
1 2 1 . 65 - 50 -		00.55	25.1	040.	164.		. 653	.084	141.	1,16	E\$0.	080.	.145	.04	260.	.126
P14 1, 38 - 54		14,03	1 " 3 0	4*0*	• 6 3 •	.150	140.		138	1.16	.041	(?U*		865°		.126
	.122	20.05	7.14	. c> ,		247	250.	500°	126	E.EF	520	180.	161.	.0+2	\$20.	.115
85 - 1 ° 7 ° 7 ° 7	111,	86 ° 77	4° D.				540	5 8 C	.124	32.8	2 * 0 * 5	280 *	.135	1+1.	180.	.114
11+ 1° 1° 10	.07.	CO.45	37 (9) A	.0+1	8 8 0.	.160	550°	197.	861,	1,16	450.	• 11 11 4	.145	050*	.063	.126
169 1,74-94	10,	20, 20	5×.3	₽ 50°			250°	580.	141.	30.6	\$\$0.	.080	.148	¢ • 0 •	.075	.129
		18,04		¥ * 0."	100.	. 168	101	.046		30.4	2 * 0 *	.062	8+1.	BEU.		.124
4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6	360.	00	2.4	010.	\$110	E.1.	540.	.106			640.	104	.156	¢ 50*		.135
	14.84	11,00		•50	0.0.	. 148		585		30,06		580	551.	****		561.
69+ 1°14-04	6A0,	00.23		4 50°	200		°050	.094	**1 "	0.06	• 1150	6 8 U .	.152	.046	BC 34	561.
578 8° 11 000		50.00	r. 42	260.	2617		• 40 *	.129	.152	****	.063	.116	.156	. 40.	.109	561.
50-40.0 255	200	14.00	21,2		500.	E41.	540.	.084	.14	0°uf	£ # U *	*8G*	551.	0.0*		561.
	140.	13.82	10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	0.00	.107		240.	.04	****	1.16	.060	2003	5.1.	•50.	9 86	.126
P15 4" 16 - 26	310 .	15,00	2,11	.	1 BU	647.		• 60.	877,	10°08	9 C J B	£ 4 0 *	.152	.035	262	se1.
50+ 10° 0 070	* 20 *	27.00	č 4 a 3	. 10.	0,1.	••••	450.	2 60*	1+1,	30°P	.057	0.0	8.1.	150.		.124
	140	12,00	.		150.	.160	TEu.	.048	9E1*	12.8	• へこ・	6 • 0 •	. 135	.027		.118
50-31° L U+3	340.	~~°	24.7	50.	813.	.177	£20°	1.0	.152	28.1	*50*	100	.		\$8u*	1.1.
	5 8 7 8	22°54	2 ° ° 8	140.	.107	. 140	550°	001.	**1,	30.6	• 50 •		.148			.124
	1.0*	1	0.00	5 # O	500*	,152	1.0.		261.	4 ° 2 F	0+0*	•0.1S	.135		5CJ.	
90-40° a 4767		12,00				160	160.	.045	.136	32,58	t ≥c •	240.	461.	. 50.	. 640	.120
JOHN +	140,	63°17	27.8	• * 0 *	.108		250°	101.	****	30.0	250.	C 60.	.152	~ >	~ * 7 * 7	561.
11 1M 4, 74-45	621,	20.15	27.6	950	102	.143	, 05	560*	* 7 *	24.4	e 50°	**a	.156	8 •0°		.135
116+ J. J05	4 2 1 1 1	60°*7	26.1		411.	,102	, 057	.10	.155	5 .32	9 50°	101.	.160		5 6 9 8	8F 7 .
56- 22 4 -127		17,05	• **	5 • 0 •	•01•	• • • •	1.0.		.1.1.	30.6	1+0*	****	8,1.	(60,	06.1	.124
1 P24 4, 31 -05	5 8 0°	6u ~ 1 4	8,75	\$\$0 °	.10.			.04	++1.	***	050	4 b U *	.154	5 0 .	1.0.	SE1.
1 Pot 0 2 10 00	•	1 4. FD	0.01	5 • O •		.152	1+0*		,132	32,58	1.0.	160°	961.	BEu.		
1-92 - 92-95		00.1	4 . 13	050			5.0.	.106	621,	f.ek	• • •	Eu1.	.131	~ • • •		.115
		14.07	0*56	26 0 *	" " "	.123	080.	.074	.105	37.2	• 20 •	040.	.112	N 2 A .	1940.	
15+0 4° 15+05	10,	86°24	0°0(0 5 0.	.104	,152	- C -		.132	8°~2	5 A Q *	215	.135	1.0.	885.	.118
1446 4.41-06	580.	00.41	4°08	1.0.	• 80 •	.148	169.	087.	.124	31.7	• 09 •	0 M 0	1.1.	460.	625°	.123

Art - roles we according the bar and a meridian

লেলক সমসকল কেন্সকল কৰে। তেওঁ পিছিছা পিছিল পিছিলেই প্ৰথম পিছিল পিছিলে প্ৰথম কৰে। মাজ বাৰ বাৰ প্ৰথম নিৰ্বাজনাৰ বাৰি কৰি নিৰ্বাজনিক বৰ পিছ বিশ্ব পিছিছা পিছিল পিছিলেই পিছিল পিছিল পিছিলে প্ৰথম বাৰ বাৰ বাৰ বাৰ প্ৰথম নিৰ্বাজনাক বাৰি কৰি বিশ্ব বিশ্ব বিশ্ব

film TmjCan(3565 ant jv wjChOmetens

ł

.

A SALAN

Constraint Street on the

and the second second

A managements Constant and a second

Contraction of the second

いたい いたち ちょうちょう ちょう

1. S. Dalla

Marthe Bach

a strend south

į

i L

• • •

Evolution (1989 40. 1 61. s selector a contracto Marma 81.selus Prosometis a Anumca 1.ertel Da. 41.s forcares a Tarica Venotical a 240 Sattrisens a 240 Sattri film fmlcknesses are in wicholnemes

142

2. Martin Straffers

Contained State

ł

W Street-

*	121-1				1.4	s. 10	£.30	2.4	5.20							1 0.5	9.40		5.10			-		40°5		45 · 4	3.0								* * * * *) . .	
201-102				0.		4 L . S	* •	9 7 . 6	1.			5	C ₹ °E	1.27		16.4	10.6	3.63					* *	5.1	1.43	1.31		~ ~											4 D • N	
						2 , 5	1	40.4	1.22		;;	1.64	04.1			1.52								2 U .	1.05	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	*	5 • • •	1.07	1.85		00°*				N 9				
12447464	10641				2					\$.70	5.70	\$.17		5.70								04.5			5.10		5.81	. 10			÷.1.			*		1.17				
	11333					21.	41.6	34.62		3.15	2.64	1.15	3.35		11.6									34.6	04.1	3.5.6	1.70		1.4		14.6			3, 78	14.6	· . 65	1.1		3.17	
	i J J H	.				01.4				5.03	1.63		1.75		2012											÷1•2	6.12	1.54	1.15	2.05	6 1 ° 2	22.5	1.60	#	1.11	1.63	1.16	1.75	1.50	
	(J) 14m¥A	0.44								0.84		0.20			0.00														0°0			0.18		0.24	59.0	0.44	2°. 7 7		6.1	
]n]+1												10,																24.5			4.1.2					57.4		5,04	
74678	1#5#1	11.4																													1. 1					97.4			11.47	
403 #3V		2.14																																	1.62					
1146, 14	16141	3																					n (4 (
157 UE44	164 M S		4 8 • 4														5. v						22.0																	
•	antal		6.05	1.45														2.14	21.2																					
		0.44	0.1	C. 10		0.1.										9.19	6.50	0°10	05.0	0*20	•••																			
			84.81	10°40		30.15	88.44		50°57					£2°43		£9° • 7	8.3, 6.1		62.34		5	10,40	81.41	£7.7																•
				10.11	5 .	34.01	12.43	1 ñi	11.50			7 * **	1	82735	84°71		1 (, G.N		10.01	62 · 11	34.61	3.8.6.1	10. AA	84° 11	6 W 8											D E C A				•
	ESSUEL -			40.00		2-2		11		. t- 10°	10- 17 ·													68° - 48° -	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 															
	ar:	į			1	-		1				* 417	* ***	1 216																							11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			

Evolution for the form of the second state of

Same

レスロー

5

FILM THICKNESSES AVE IN HICADINCHES

FOReard Btarles, three contarts		14.0 1.57 3.01 5.02 3.02 3.02 2.02 2.02 2.02 2.02 2.02 2				
t startng, tougs contacts	191 16191 84441 144441 161 191					
85 F	121 (355)/E 52540 (5304) (5) (45) (52) (3) (52-14) (424) (5) (45) (52)					
16.51						16-3/", 4101

41

1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

and a set of the set o

12 militar and all a later is some in the second

•••

ENDURANCE TEST NO. 2 OIL = APTFZON A - AMTT - LEAD NAPH BEAUTAR VUCHVESS = STD 1.111al Dil Filv Thickness = Thich LOAD(V) = APA SPEEN(PPM) = 100 CENTRAL DUTER CONTACTS = CENTRAL INNER CONTACTS MULTIPLIED BY 1,004432 VIVIUUT DUTER CONTACTS = MINIMUM INNER CONTACTS MULTIPLIED BY 1,10109 VIVIUUT DUTER CONTACTS = MINIMUM INNER CONTACTS MULTIPLIED BY 1,10109

and the second second

143

~ 花をあいか~~

-

and the second s

۰.

FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICHOMETERS

					AFT BEAK	NING, I	NNER CO	NTACTS			u.	DRAARD	вған1∿б,	, Inner	CONTAC	rs
TEST Doffering		BASE														
THE PASCAL	CH-NJ			SHCAT	KCFNT	TCENT	RHMA1	XMTAT	TMINI		HHCF1	XCENT	TCFNT	RHWFT	14141	10141
F 1.35-04	642	24.09	25.0	.068	560	192	160	180	163	25.0	191	540	201	u4u •	196.	.143
2 1.35-04	191.	28°00	25.6		F01.	.187	, 0 , 5	100	P 51 .	26,1	• 072	9ut .	182	.044	420	.155
6 1 4E - 04	205.	15.00	57.5	F + 0 *	.065	E71.	PEn.	.041	8+T*	28,99	0+0	.061	.160	4EU.	• CS 3	•138
24 2,16-04	.234	23 , 00	28,3	950.	040.	.164	°20°	+80 *	141.	30.6	.051	181.	.148	4.0.4		821°
30 1°66-04	. 169	90°08	28,3	.068	105	.164	.061	CP0.	141.	4°0F	, n62	5PU.	.148	\$ \$ 0 *	÷80.	.149
#8 2 hFm0#	#E 7 *	21,00	27,8	, 054	.076	.168	.048	.071	.144	30.0	6 # D .	.069	.152	**0*		.132
75 1,36-04	.177	18,00	27.8	8+0 °	970.	.168	F 40 *	.074		0°0E	E #0.	140.	.152	0+0*	C 42 .	561.
143 1,36-04	.16	00.0*	6,85	,084	E+1	.164	**0.	EE1.	141.	30°9	.076	,129	.148	. (16 R	.121.	•124
168 1,2t -04	. 166	60°85	27.8	.065	590.	.168	650°	. 085	**1.	31,1	.057	PK0.	.145	25u.	4604	.126
192 1.55-04	1.1	20.00	28,9	040*	.108	.169	* SD *	.101	.138	31.7	E 50 .	500*		840.	0000 .	621.
216 1. 31-04		28,00	4°62	590.	.104	.156	.055	.047	.135	5.16	95U°	+ 6 0 *	1+1.	050.	191 . "	621.
288 1.2E-94	.1*8	29,00	31.1	• SO.	.108	145	940	102	.126	99°66	8.0.	960.	.128	**0*	590.	
312 1,11 - 94	.177	30,05	30.6	, 062	211.	.148	.055	108	.129	32,8	950.	.104	135	1511*		.118
336 1°35-04	121.	36.69	28,3	970.	.138	.164	· 10 ·	.128	.141.	31 . 1	6 9u *	151.	5+1.	.061	.11.	.126
350 1,25-04	.127	36,00	6,85	920 .	.120	.164	. 169	.111	141.	30.6	040*	.108	.1*8	640.	1.1.	.124
345 3.25-04	.162	30°04	27,8	040.	130	.168	54U.	121.	**1."	30.6	042	.114	.148	, n55	+0T*	.129
454 4°5E-05	,162	27,00	27,8	240.	.044	.158	.058	5 6 Q *	**1.	31,1	950	.085	5+1 *	.050	•080*	.126
480 1°16-04	*E1.	90°06	27.8	010,	.123	.168	, 062	,115	**1.	0°uf	690	111.	.152	r 20°	105	-132
50+ 1,1E+0#	1.1	10,00	26.7	fEQ.	4K0.	44T .	050.	.083	,152	28°,3	teu"	b (u.	.164	028	(C Ū .	1.1.
528 9 , 36+05	141.	28,00	26.1	.072	,125	.182	.064	.116	•155	27,8	99U.	.115	. 168	• 059	.104	**1.
552 8, RE-05	.148	29,00	26.7	.072	.173	.177	.00	.163	251 *	28.9	• 065	.155	.160	85J.	• • • •	961.
624 8.7F-05	141.	00°6E	27.2	.087	.182	ELT.	.077	171.	847.	* * 6 Z	840*	. 165	.156	010*	.156	561,
672 9.1E-05	.113	24,00	27.2	190.	+u1 •	E71.	+ 5 U .	.047	.148	0.06	* 50 •	100.	.152	50 F C *	940.	.132
720 4.46-05	+E1.	30.00	28°4	490.	961.	.160	• 02 •	IEI.	8E1.	31,1	040.	.126	5+1 •	*50*	1 7 1 •	.124
20- 38° 8 266	*E1.	32,00	27.8	E70.	•10 4	.168	, n 65	.100	****	32.5	090 *	•08d	8f1°	* 5u*	¥8℃°	.120
8+0 7,7E-05	.155	34,00	27.2	580 .	141.	E71.	.072	150	• 1 • 8	* 62	.074	.145	. 1 5 5	940.	461.	• • 25
888 7°55	.106	28 . nQ	27.8	.056	1+1.	891.	• 054	£21.	***	31.1	.057	121.	.145	\$50.	.116	.12%
970 7.2E-05	141.	30.00	30.0	E90.	.131	.152	• 05 7	•124	5E1.	32,8	• 0 • •	11	.135	150.		.118
L018 8.02-05	.184	00°1F	£•82	020.	.149	.164	,062	141.	141.	1,16	290.	\$61.	5 * 1 *	550.	125.	.126
1046 6.7t-05	. 24 5	16°01	27.8	.077	,15b	.158	,068		***	30.6	.067	.138	¥ * 1 *	U 4 D *	.130	
1138 6,76-05	*E1.	19 . 01	26.7	•023	,15h	177	• • • •	•150	.152	68,9	970.	141.	.160	~ + L •	461.	8 [] 8
1186 7°74-05	141.	00°b(26.1	* 02 *	05T°	.182	949	£ # 7 *	•155	E.85	5 1 1 4 5	,135	.164	**0*	.130	1+1.
1274 5.76-05	•134	22,00	28.9	€ 50 *	.148	.160	0 48	.142	138	1.1	9 + 0 *	•F1.	.145	****	•124	.126
1300 P° 25-02	841°	25,00	27,8	.061	.168	.168	,055	,159	****	31.1	• 02 3	**~.	5+1.	9,0,	.139	.134
1164 5.15-05	6 8 L .	۶ ۶ °0	30.0	55ú°	.160	152	0 20	•154	•132	32,8	6 th (1 °	.1*2	2f1,	• 0 • 5	(E 7 •	.118
1+02 6.01-05	e 11 9	00*52	32.5	050.	.129	,138	94U°	•15 4	.120	35.0	0 # C #	.115	.123	1+1+	.111.	40 1 4
1488 4°05405	.120	40°u2	0°5E	860.	f + 1 *	.123	\$ED*	,13R	108	92.8	AEO.	.127	.110	, 132	*21.	
1560 5,31-05	.121	67.45	0°ut	• 00•	127	•152	3 0 •	151.	51.	32,8	C # C *	F11.	1 35	m ; ;	8 U 1 *	.118
16.2.7 6 24-7G	280	00 01	1		1 10	1	620	0.0	1 25	5.07	440		340	190.	57 - 7	0~

.|

• • •

1

•••••

i

EFOLPANCE TEST NO. 2 OIL = APIFZON A + ANTI +LEAD NAPH 9544TG R UGHVESS = STD 141TAL OIL FILM TMICKNESS = TMICK 1640(4) = R90 5PEED(APM) = R00 5PEED(APM) = R00 5PEED(APM) = NO 5PEED(APM) = N FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICHOMFIERS

a., . .

See N Sec. . .

11 A. 1.

		121,	.118	,132	.135	135	.1*1	111	.1*1		118	.1.4		152	1+1	138	1+1	961	138	138	261	138
TACTS			123	137	150	113	163	121	116	- 	L+7	2 I R	851	103	10	2.45	10		422		111	401
EA CO		ž				-	~	-	•	~	•	~	•	-		~ ~	·.	-			•	
11~I 4		35 H B	5.1	140.	50.		50.	50.	· 0 •	50	50.		50.		2.	÷0.		•50•	÷0.		*0*	160.
36 AHING		106.41	•135	,152	.156	.151.	.16*	.168	.154	,169	.140	.158	.168	177	.144	.140	. 154	.160	.1+0	.160	.156	160
RMARD		XCE-VI	5-T.	.142	.157	.118	.170	.127	.122	.108	154	.124	.164	80T ·	.114	150.	101	.120	- 282	.041	.115	5110
4		BHEFI	• 054	* 50*	, nh j	.048	040.	• 05b	, n61	940*	.040	940.	P20.	642	550	eso.	1 50	.060	.051	. 053	PE0 .	0+0
	TEMPF	(c)	32,8	30,0	\$°\$?	24°+	28°3	27 , 8	c8, j	8,15	28,94	8 * 6 2	27.K	26.7	ド・ まご	28 . 45	58,3	28 ° 4	5*87	28 , 9	7°52	28,9
		INIWI	•132	.152	8+1.	- TSP	• 152	,155	,155	,155	****	.155	,155	.163	.152	.152	•14B	,152	148	541,	.149	.148
47.AC.T.S		ININX	121.	.158	.165	,128	.175	061.	,127	.111	.154	.127	.170	.111	.117	, 094	607°	,126	195.	*60 *	122	.116
INER CO		IAWHB	5°0.	950	540.	5 +0 *	• us 2	• G5 •	190	290.	450.	050	.057	5+0 *	150,	£50°	1904	, 059	.050	e 50 e	960 .	•€J•
ING, I'	,	TCFNI	°152	-177	E73,	.177	22T.	58I .	,18č	.182	.168	•182	182	591 .	.177	.177	E41.		64T.	.177	£23.	E11,
FT BEAR		XCENI	541.	.165	174	461.	•184	861 .	.135	.117	.161.	,134	178	\$115	•1c+	101.	.109	+E1.	+0F*	101.	.127	.121
4		BHCAI	, 165	•0P3	010.	\$50*	• 045	090.	•068	• 35a	690.	• 15 b	+ 40 °	120.	.057	650.	• 057	, 06 6	• 055	• 50 •	643.	E+0.
	TEMPA	<u></u>	90°0€	26.7	27.2	26.7	24.2	26,1	26.1	26,1	8.42	26 . 1	26.1	25°0	26,3	26.7	27,2	26.7	27.2	26.7	57,5	27,2
ASE	SPEED	(MDM)	32 ° 01	24,00	24,70	20°02	25,00	22,00	24 , n0	17.00	26 , AŨ	UÚ [®] u∼	24 .03	16.00	71 , 01	24,00	22,00	00.45	či, fč	22,00	15.00	15,00
	TORQUE	(*=*)	ETT.	+EI.	.177	911.	6 60 .	.129	,176	285	, n85	26ú *	260	~~·	F & C .	9U1 *	990 .	1272	260.	106		.085
	ESSURE	ASCAL)	. 25 - 95	.56-05	50-32"	. 35 = 15	• 76 = 05	•hf =15	• 25 - 05	.55-05	• nt +05	• 21 - 15	, JE -05	. 26-05	, 9f −05	50-16.	, RL = 15	10-1 - 1	• 95 = 95	50- 10 B	50- 1C *	*E=05
51	He Jr I	a) (a1	1728 4	IRAD 4	1896 3	* 4+62	2160 4	5 4UE2	E U(+2	€ 95+2	\$ 8952	č648 3	£ 8082	2 +(1+2	2 8+06	2 2016	2 9EEE	1576 Z	3816 2	3414 2	2 95út	<i>₹</i> (µ2*

115

and the state of the second second

ياسي معالمة في المراجع ومنه المريد

EWJUPANCE TEST NO. F Gile a spiedou a tanti teaŭ napm Gile aptejou a tanti teaŭ napm Gile chigantes sto Lotolini a 200 Spefrigpu) = loo

and a set of the set of the

[vitial dil film thickaess e thick Loading e 200 Spefriepu) = 100 Cental outer contacts e central tweer contacts multiplied by 1,08432 Viu[vum rifer contacts e rentral tweer contacts multiplied by 1,10109 FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICROINCHES

				AFT BEAF	PING, I	NNFR CO	ATACT9			ũ.	DPAARD 1	JE ARING	, INNER	CUNTAC	13
TEST Fref Put Squar Tradis	045E E SPF+D	TENTA							TEMPF						
Mi-70)(PB.1) (RM)	(447) [(F)	BHCAI	XCENT	TCENT	1 WHH8	ININX	INIMI	(F)	BHCFI	XCENI	106%1	I THEFT	12128	INIAL
0 1.05-06 42.0	0 4.00	0.44	2.47	4.72	7.58	5.37	14"E	E * . 4	n. (C	2.67	56.6	92.5	16.5	11	E*.4
2 1. nf-04 27.0	00'87 0	76.0	2.91		7 E . T	2.57	5 6 7	£ , 27	24.0	5.83	4.18	7.18	2,51	38°E	4,12
2.92 30-15.1 4	0 15,00	61.0	1.70	2.52	6.80	1.54	1+-2	5,83	8.0	1,58	8E * 2	1 2.9	1.44	2.24	5,42
6" FT 36-35" 1 92	00°62 0	0.68	2.21	1.53	5.45		0E.E	5,55	87.0	5°00	9,19	5 . B .	14.1	3.01	40°S
30 1,21-34 24 A	J 30,00	69.0	2. F	4.12	4.4	2,34	1	55.5	42°O	~ * * ~	62 ° F	5 . B .	2,1P	C 7 ° F	5.0t
48 1,25-35 14.0	n 21.00	82.0	21.2	10.0		1.41	2.78	5.63	0°98	50.1	2,72		1.74	£2.5	5,18
76 1.00 - 05 - 05	0 10.00	0.51	2.1	1.11	64.4	1,71	16.2	5.64	0.48	1,71	2,81	8° ° S	1.56	2.15	5.18
0"+2 40+3v"1 ++1	0 .00.00	6.9.0	16,6	5,62		2.42	5.22	5,55	87,0	2.A	5,08	5.84	2.46	4.75	5,96
148 4.05-03 22.0	0 28,00	0~28	29.62	9 ° C		e. 3	EC.E	5.44	0 6 ,0	2.25	£1.E	5. JN	£0.5	2°40	5° * *
146 1 . JE - JE - JA	30.45		2,35	. 25		2.11	84 ° E	5,42	U * 68	2.08	3.75	5.56	1,88	3,55	*8*
216 9.45-07 25.5	00.44.0	R5.0	A			2.17	3,61	5, 30	0.94	\$°14	3.54	5.54	86°T	27.7	,
C. 14 . 0-44	0 24.00	0.88	2.13	\$2.4	5,70	1.43	E0°*	50.0	94,0	1.84	64°E	50.5	EC "T	1.4.1	
312 8.21-03 25.0	6 30.00	\$7.0	2,42	. 5.	5.84	*	*2**	5.06	0.10	2,20	11.4	5. Jn		98°°E	E9**
11 1 ule 1 ule	B0.44 0	0.68	4 U 4	20.3	37.3	2.72	5.03	5° 55	L * 3 T	24 *2	# ~ *	5, 20	₽°°2	8	5
140 8.85-57 18.0	11,00	53.6	J. 01	4.72	***	2,72	58.4	5,55	0.40	2.77	4.27	5.8*	8, 2	7 . . .	40*5
5.4 46-18 9 986	n 45.06	6.58	6.75	5.11	54.4	5 * * 2		5.54	8 7,0	2,42	4,50	18°5	6 T * 2	*~ *	5.04
E. 67 60-11 7 959	2 27.09	55.0	25.2	06.4		2.23	24 ° E	5.64	0.00	6,19	3,35	5,70	1,948	3.15	54.4
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0 00.00	92.0	2.75	50 .4	6.4.4	5 * * 2	65.		86.0	2 * 1	# ° 34	5,48	62.5	4.12	5,18
50+ 8. F 07 25. E	0 10.60	0.00	1.30	94.46	-	1.1	3,25	5,47	0,08	1.20	9,13	а т . т	1,11	fu't	5,55
500 7.05 -17 20.0	0 28,00	C. #	2.81		7,18	15.5	4.57	4,12	0.50	29.52	***	5.63	CF * 2	\$2°*	5.69
225 4.55-27 21.5	24,00	0.00	2.13	5.8.4		2,51		5.47		2°22	h.12	₽~°*	¥√° N	5°85	5.42
C. C	0 11,00	#1 °C	3,12	7.14	1.40	10,6	6.73	5,83	0*58	3, n4	. .	6.14	2.74	61.4	5,30
A16 5.65-07 16.0	0 24,00	61.0	2.40		6.40	2.15	9.40	5,83	84.0	2.11	3°5°E	HP.2	1.61	3, 38	5.18
0.01 10-44.4 050	7 Jn.09	0	2.641	8 × * 5	12.3	*	5,18	5,42	0.63	2.37	· · ·	5.70	2,13	** **	* * *
	0 32,00	0.58	2,84	#2°#	1.1	45.5	51.6	5.64	0°u	10.1	1,50	E**\$	61.5	3,26	÷.73
0"2" < D-10" · 0+0	0 31.00	0, 10	62.4	4, 12		2.82	16.5	5,83	1 5 ° U	14.5	5.70	£.14	45°2	5.37	5,30
10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	00.35 0	52,0	24.5	4 5.5	24.4	2,33	5,23	5.64	81.0	25.4	* ~ ~ *	5.70	دي• <i>ح</i>	\$ \$ \$	55.*
0 82 20-34 5 BAR	00°ut 6	0.48	2.40	5,17		E5+5		5,18	1 ,1	2.20	85.*	5,30	1,99	4 ° 7	64.*
1918 4.55-97 24.6	00 11 0	0.50	2.75	, 	;;;	25	5.54	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.88	2**2	5,14	5.70	2°78	•	5 . .
1944 5.01-97 29.0	3 B*.00	05,0	30.6	b.15	1	2.47	5.77	145	0.40	2.46	<i>₹</i> , * ° 5	5.84	2,38	5.13	5.0b
0"11 (0-30"5 8611	0.14.09	10°0	2.04	4.16	Ţ	1.87	1.5	5.43	8.0	1.47	55.5	1 1 1 1	1.70	5.17	5.42
1136 5. AL-O7 20. A	00.1 0	0.11	2.13		7,14	1.1	5 ° F 3	6.12	84.0	1.% E	5, 10		L. 74	5.11	5°°2
1.1 42-10'S 11/1	1 26,00	0**8	2.0	5,84	1 × 1	1.48	5,54	5,42	0.89	1.84	5,24	5.73	1.71	80°5	S . ,
1 100 5.00 401 21.00	0 25,00	5.40	1	14.9	6,54	2,15	82°4	6 7 8	0.46	¢. n J	5,68	5.70	1.87	5.¢	50.0
1 154 * DL-19 1.	00°44 P	0.48	2.18	6,10		1.14	* C * 4	5,14	U.1P	1.94	5.53	5,30	1.75	C # * 5	64.4
0.41 ***** 56+2	a 26,00	0 * 0 *	(; ·]	£ 0 4	5.13	1.7	4.67	64.4	0°5 6	1,75	£ 5 ° *	Fa•*	1.4.1	(E.,	52.0
1.44 . 46-43 17"W	1 20,01	0.29	1.1	14.5		1.34	5.82	52.4	100.0	L.3.4	5.02	~ M • #	1.24		69°E
1240 4 GF-07 17.0	00"+2 0	5.°.3 7	2.11	10.8	.,	1.1	11 × 11	5,18	0.1.	1.01	***	5.30	1. Jn	\$~. •	
1 1 1 1 1 P + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		8 C . D		30.2	• 1 •	10.0	5.43	5, 30	0.04	2.20		5.43		5.53	市トッチ

FILM THICANESSES ARE IN MICHDINCHES

· . . .

は

And and and

A STATE AND A STAT

Contraction of the second

Section Section

÷ ŋ

÷

のないのであるという

TEST				-	AFT BEAN	ING, I	WER CON	ITAC TS			5	H GAAAD	EANTI G.	145.64	CONTAC1	'n
14 Janssaud and 4	306:00	89+ED	¥d#31							8019						
	2-1-5	(オタモ)	(f)	BHCAT	ECENI	TCENT	IAMAR	THIN1	THENT		12177		•			
1723 1.54-07	44.00	30.41	0.44	64 ° 2	5.72						1.1.6		1		1/1/2	Iwlat
	14.00	24.70	0.00								11.0		÷.,	<u>,</u>	*	. • •
	00.25	0									2.11	5.41	A	1.1	5,38	5.18
E+62	11.00	20.00	0.0						N 1 6 (6.17	*1*	たっく	14.2	5, 30
21-0 2 EF-03	04.41	5, CO								C * 6		2 1 2	÷.1.	1.72	~ = * +	5.30
CD-40 - + - 0 0 0 0	17.01	22.00									5 ° ° °	4.70		*1*2	1	5.55
2468 2 RE-03	15.00	25.90									5 .14	5.02	64.4	1.47	4.78	5.64
								10.4		0,64	2,42		4,4	2.14		55.5
2648 1.0f ady							1.77		6.12	0*20	1.82	***	64.4	1.65	- 05	
							2.61	P.05	5.64	R* 0	51.5	.0.4	100 A		~~~~	
				27.2	2.5	7.14	96.1	00.5	6,12	82.0	20.5	19.4	+	17.		
					200" 4	7.16	2,25	* * *	4°15	82,0	*6.5					
	0		0.44	***	, h 2	#5°4	1.78	CE. #	1 A 3							
		¢1.09	C. C.	2.24	10,1		2,00		5.47							
		50°21		11.4	71		2.07	0, E						C :		× • • •
1114 2.11-03	100	24°40	61.0	2.25		6.80	20.4								2 E * F	5° 4 5
12 10 1 "HE-ON	18.00	¢4.00	0.04											~ • •	3.85	5,55
1 60-42°C 3766	00.01	21.00									(,) }	***	F. 29	č ,11	6.5 0	5.42
1015 1.54-67	00.51	00.74						29°77		0.1	د. م	11.09	₽~~ 3	1.42	10.86	5.42
	001							04.6			₹. n	3°58	₩~~ 4	1.98	75.E	
				21				~ .	61, 3	8 5 . 0	1.54	\$5.4	h.1.	1.40	35.4	1.20
				2.1		0 * * 0	1.54	4°2F	5 , 13		1,58	0***			52.4	2 4 5

117

QUN V

ENDURANCE TEST VN. J OIL = ANGE 1423 BLARINE PINEWYES = RAUGH [NITAL NIL FILM THICAVESS = THICK [DOB(*) = 90 SPEEVERPL 3 - DO EVERAL DATER CONTACTS = CENTRAL INNEH CONTACTS MULTIPLIED AV 1,08432 SPEEVERPL 3 - DO ELVTRAL DATER CONTACTS = CENTRAL INNEH CONTACTS MULTIPLIED AV 1,08432 SPEEVERPL 3 - TO FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICHOMLTENS

1

				-	AFT BEAN	RING, IN	INER CON	ITACTS			ند	ORMARD B	EARING.	INNER	CONTACI	•
TEST PUPSHURE	100-01	54546 54556	16mpr							1EMPF						
(143544) (84)	(4-4)	(wea)	3	BHCAI	XCENT	TCENI	[WMMB	INIWX	ININI	3	BHCF I	XCF NI	TCENT	1 4MMS	1~1~1	1~1~1
0 1.25-34	Č.	14.60	0°52	4E T.	426.	£25 °	.11.	<i>tut</i> .	DE*.	22°0	CET.	456.	625.	P11.	COF.	DE .
1°1°16-00		14,00	21.1	*11.	242*	56.	140	425.	04E .	7.1E	101	612.	686.	904 B	102.	ste.
	110 N	20.55	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	174 174	262	****	.108	.215	.312	0'56	.110	-20F	566.	960.	191.	875.
	\$15	00" 22	2.4	•100	591°		.066	.172	- 52 -	0.0*	010.	.165	162.	• 0 2 4	.15.	*~~*
		1.00	17.2	040	102.		080	.194	• 5 2 •	0°0*	.041	•184	162.	.071	* ~ ~ *	822°
	,154	20°02			727.	416.	1 80 .		.255	7 . SA	.087	.153	. 683.	• 0 2 3	~+7.	864.
	515°	16.00	1.5	5 8 D *	151.	325.	5 C D •		5/24		• 4 0 •	BE1 .	E#2*	• 0 + 6	9E1.	
	202			021.	E C 2 *		.105	.216	×82.	17. č	.107	.207	£0£.	*6J*		• 52 •
		00*22	2°58				300	262.	8.2.9		460.	.215	195 .	.80.	• در F	**~*
	.177	17,00	1.42	190	,185	2 TE .	CC0.	.174	, 25b	10°0	• 6 0 •	•158	165.	,066	.150	.22.
216 1.16-09		60°~??	÷.	.107	112.	125	*00*	.147	<i>272</i>	***	200.	.180	c 6 2 .	, n81	.169	
244 1.25-04	502.	24°03	15.0	.124	*~~ *	200.4	8U1.	50E *	a75.	36.7	.114	- 105 ·	016.	101.	, 295	.260
312 1.15.00	\$02"	20°22	2,76	.100	4 5 Z P	f 0 f .	, DB8	E #2 *	, 254	40,4	.088	•22•	245.	HC0.	. 17.	.22.
	502.	1.00	15. 0		. 261	2EE .	.087	エナン。	842°	3R, J	985.	822.	599	٩ ८ ч.	· 1 · .	ままん、
94936"1 UNE		£2.01	9 , 5	.110	*15°	2EE.	460.	. 1 44	673,	9 6 ,94	****	.182	682.	190.	171.	.238
100		00.15	0.56	135	.273	566.	.117	625.	e 2 8	98°	,115	FLS.	683.	.100	\$12"	965.
+0.1 2°56 +06	-12*	00.11	11.4	.127	\$15.		.110	. 25.	195.	5° 6 F	.110	PE5.	EUF.	9 b 0 *	522.	*52*
40-31°E 834	-12"	00 " 17	13.4	.10	.270	8 # M .	* b 0 *	• 5 5 5	195.	17.2	45G.	562.	EUF.	.042	622.	*55*
+0+17*7 +04				530.	522 °	258.	540°	672.	6 6 2 *	5,4	260.	291.	FUE.	. 0 .	.184	*55*
510 4° 4° 4° 975	502°	00.55	1,66	**1.	. 245	136.	.125	662.	6 B 2 8	5.4	621,	.259	Fot.	6 H H J	*5.	.254
		00.44		.123	582.	8 * 7 * •	.107	,268	162.	37.6	101.	812.	606.°	• • • • •	265.	•52.
	542	\$*°10		.166		LSE F	.110	• 275	6 6 C .	37.6	.107	B # 2 .	fie.	• • • • •	265.	*52*
50- 11 - 14 - 02	-12.	62°22		\$11.	• 52 •		101.	.238	195.	9,76	960*	415.	465.	480.	•02•	8 * ~ *
		21.00	***		5.5.		.045	んまん。	÷8≤*	92°8	2 B U 4	* ひた *	462.	E 80 *	• čl č	
S0-33 8 284	122	00.1			1.2.9		.087	.229	862.		.086	012.	962.	460.	- 4D D	**2*
		17.09	35.0		962	366.	080.	*~~.	.278	97 . 4	.083	. 216	Euf.	• 0 0 •	502.	• 55 •
	196		5.6				190.	. 168	÷ 5 2 •	₽ .	. 053	4ET.			TET.	
	2 4 1 9					016.	180.	841.			.074	E 9] •	• < 65	010.	•154	• 2 2 •
		00.61			122.			912.	+ 8 2 ^e			E 6 T •	062.	• 50 •		• • • •
							.075	1634	2 H 2 4	2.6	240.	• 612		• 0 • •	E02.	•52•
		50,55			146.		.125		996.		*2 1 *	. 111	*/ 6 .	108	***	. 312
	50.	Pu*02			116.			~~~	156.		.110	• 2 b 2	136.	470.	C # 2 *	C \$ 2 *
	• • • •	1.00	57.8	461.	5475		.118	15**	82E °	8,75	.136	263	. . 5 R	AI1.	51	876.
		00.44	1.1	0110			.119	e 22 e	69E.		.115	\$\$C.	3 1 6 .	.101.	۰¢30	162.
	661.	00 . 1		90.	• • 50	5 × M +	850.	.241	162.	47 . 2	1956	¢12.	£ ; t •	.051	.<11	• 52 •
50-30" + 20+1	.177	16.00	36.1		182.	- 312	.0.	565.	442°	0.0*	140.	. 245	1:20	E 40 .	2E2.	, 229
1+45 F. 100		1,.00		.078	0E2.	10 L .		•219	at 5.	# 5 *	,067	.19H	* * 12 *	•090	140	.204
1540 6° 15' 400	562.	1*,00	15.6	CCD.	1.2.	125	640.	1624	,272	34.46	• 0 6 6	• 20P	C 2 2 .	• 50 •	.14R	£63.
1632 * #E=05	• • • •	22.90	36.7	.104	F 62.	016.	060.	.225	296	0.0*	310.	P02.	115.	•0.0		.224

•

ENDURANCE TEST NO. 3 DIL = NHME 36293 = 0006M Deaving 0-106-1653 = 0006M Diatiol OIL Film Thicamess = 1106M Loboton = 640 Loboton = 640 Contact Oiter Contacts = Central Inner contacts Multiplied By 1,08432 Aireal Oiter Contacts = Ventral Inner contacts Multiplied By 1,08432 Multiplied By 1,008432

寮三

Set of the set

6

「「「「「」」」 「「」」」

the second

FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICPONETERS

					AFT BEAN	RING, IN	NNER CON	ITACTS			ام .	DRWARD 6	SFARING.	, INNER	CONTAC	15
7537 7145 305 54105	Thunk	BASE ADEFN	16 MD 4							TEMPF						
(HA) (PASCAL)	((HDH)	10 	BHCAI	xCF NT	TCENI	IMMHB	INIWX	THINI	(3)	I 42HH	XCENI	TCFNI	I JMHG	INI AX	1~1~1
20-34 - 324T	1.1	00.25	35.0	.110	465.	50E.	.096	.222	.278	37.8	86J.	112.	962.	. 085	.199	* *~*
19n8 • 55-05		15,00	32,8	190.	0.42	. 365	.081	855	+UE *	36,1	P<0.	• 208	516°	.070	867°	.266
10-36 E 3-11		22.00	32.8	121.	52	. 345	.105	Et?"	+0E *	36.7	£ 1 1 3	•220	016.	0,0,	203	042.
10+ 36 + D+02	1 122	14.00	11.7	100,	5.4.2	. 383	190.	5 E 2 •	• 1 E •	9.56	.077	. 202	, 325 .	.069	CP1.	-22.
2160 3.74-01		16.00	1.14	EOI.	195.	696.	190.	. 277	, 327	35.0	.087	345.	566.	cc0.	465.	475 .
2304 3.45-05	141 5	12.00	1.16	• 69.	• 2 5 P	ere.	+20.	ナナル・	, 32 ,	***	s70.	155.	045.	• 90 *	, 212	• 82 •
2400 3° 36-01	5 . 205	11.00	31.1	940	, 234	696.	.070	.223	57E .	35.6	590*	EP1.	526.	850 *	.185	575.
2444 3.55-04	205. 7	17,00	91.1	.108	682.	EPE.	*6 0	. 265	556.	34.35	E 20 .	242.	0+F*	,082	165.	• 5 8 •
10+10 × 0+57	1.	22,30	31.1	DE1.	552	6 5 6 .	ELL.	7E5.	1327	92°0	011.	e15	26E .	9 60 °	105.	865.
26433 3.24 - 04	111 1	1.00	1.1.	117	\$12.	EPE.	.102	102.	¢ 2 E •	35 . h	CP0.	PC1.	. 325	.095	. 167	525.
	1. I.	29,00	1.16	151.	562°	EPE.	.106	. 277	4 Z E .	45.0	.103	6×2 •	SLE.	.090	9E 2 .	845.
2000 2 2 2002	205. 1	24,00	***	.147	135.	まやま。	**1.	566.	156.	P.6F	137	# 0 L	8*6.	.119	. 275	145.
10-10"2 BADE	111	14,00	31.1	.0.	. 24.7	1993	560.	* 5 2 *	.327	35.0	• 0 J 4	, 225	56 F .	0.0.	. <14	875.
10-30°2 2016	1.1.5	00.+5	20°	6 4 7 *	346	***	,129	146.	13E.	F.e.e	447.	, 107	.357	.119	5 H 2 +	662.
1446 2°86-00		25°00	31.1	E#14	,280	EPE.	+2T•	• 259	.367	7 ° 75	.24.	242.	OFE.	.109	, 225	•82.
35 25 2° 4E-01	5 .177	24,00	6.0*	.107	.222	.272.	*50*	¢02.	625.	P.C+	5P0 *	.191.	*	180.	.180	. 1 4 R
3614 2.9540	0.2. 5	00°2E	30.6	.175	146.	E0.* "	.151	ATE.	39E .	* * *	• 1 + 8	. 287	046.	.128	.242	*B~ *
3912 2 ,06-09	5 .226	00,04	30.0	.167	27E .	E14.	**1.	,287	Etf.	93°6	.141	. 363	. 348	.122	***	195.
10+36 2 3E+01	•EL. 5	33,00	1,15	• 175	042°	596 °	051.	542.	.327	0,25	.148	₽ 22 °	516.	•128	815.	875.
10-3 ** 2 002 *	5 . 347	35,00	000	591.	675.	E14.	.164	**2*	EtE.	P. (6	.152	062.	3 4 E •	.1.4	. 202.	142.
*J42 1,76+04	111	10,00	11.7	\$15ª	582°	Eac •	LET.	52°	•319	0*5E	.138	ナナル・	- E F *	.140	500.	.278
10-34 5° 16-00	5 .275	23,00			956	• 15	.124	926,	• 360	6°EE	.122	68 2.	.357	.106	175.	4 ٩ ٩ ٩
10-36 8 3°36-01	5 . 325	27,00	2"16	C # 7 *	274	E8F.	.128	.258	P16.	35,0	,128	242.	566.	.111.	. 225	. 278
10-14.1 +GC+	5 254	00 **	1.16	.216	9300 °	E56.	.184	593.	, 327	* * * M	C 81.	, 285	0,6.	•100	• 52 •	• 58 •
10-31 - 2252	0.2. 1	80°52	31,1	[]]	, 3h2	ere .	* 27 *	1 #E *	, 347	****	*~! *	616.	0+6.	.108	182.	* H 2 *
114 1.45-05	165. 2	26,00	27,8	141.	904.	85**		.380	. 378	2.16	M # 1 *	0.45.	696.	.14.	156.	8 T C .
5014 1°41 4105	272" 5	15,00	9°2€	5P1.	19E .	E1#"	.164	*CE *	£ # E .	E • E E	.166	*1E *	65E.		062 *	
5046 1.94-01	342 9	87 , 00	30.0	.159	906	EI A P	1177	• 5 8 4	545.	E.EE	2 F T *	,246	45t .	.119	~ * ~ *	C P 2 .
50-+5"I +FIS	111. 1	0u*t2	31.7	161.	506,	. 363	.11.	*82*	61E.	35,0	.11.	545.	565.	.049	8 + 2 +	4 N N
5256 1°65-03	512 212	e1.00	1"TE	,151	.323	E PF.	161.	.301	436,	# * # E	181.	, 28A	046.	•11•	292.	* 5.7
5128 2.01-01	1	00 82	28.9	.172	. 36.	SEA.	841.	gee.	046.	F°EE	.141	695.	- 35 °	.122	. 223	**~*
50-39"1 +2+5	5 .226	00.25	***	.149	324	*~**	P51.	TOL .	135,	E.EL	421.	575.	. 35 .	.110	• ^ 5 5	r s r r
5620 1.66-05	*52°	16.00	0.00	.108	.369	614.	500°	526 *		59°5	46Q.	•319	196.	•0 H 2	1 	(55.
5142 1 PESS	546	63,00	30.5	.138	.373	E0.	.120	ESE .	566.	£.66	.122	066.	. 35 .	.106	-16.	6 82.
5654 1 66625	1 224	25, 75	£0°0≹	957°	t 1 f *	EI+"	úEI.	195.	E # E *	£*EE	061.	, 27D	555	111	• • • •	~~~
5668 4.36-06		00.46	* * * ?	£15.	. 160	***	.182	82t ,	196.	12.2	, 188	• 318	*CE.	101.	10.24	- 112
5432 5.45-01	1 162	60,65	30.6	961.	E75.	€D≯°	.120	• 5 2 •	38£	33 , 3	.122	545	135.	•104	• 626	~ • • •
5428 5, JE-01	155	26,46	0.05	.155	206.	E1*"	.133	P75.	EtE.	8.48	CE1 .	243	345	.114	8,2.	.304
10-14.4 0101	155	00°E2	30.06	.141	284	ET+-	.126	892.		3 2 .8	.175	• 255	. 355	P 0 1 •	AFU.	• 0• •

A STATE OF

AEARTYG PRUGAVESS & RONGM Initial Oll Film Thickness & Thick Labity & Baq 9 ENQUARINE

N. Hat

ť

74 - Laure

SPECTORPOU = ____IND SPECTORPOU = ___IND Cental nuter contacts = central tamér contacts multiplied by 1,008432 Minimum nuter contacts = minimum tamér contacts multiplied by 1,10104

FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN MICROMETERS

AFT BEARING, INNER CONTACTS FORMARD BEARING, INVER CONTACTS			C LOCK STATE AND A THE CONTRACT AND A CENT ACENT ACENT ACENT ACENT ACENT ACENT ACENT ACENT				222 142 121 122 UNT 228 158 172 121 124 224 212			200 100 till 650 677 BIL 828 348 550 BIL 614 479 7						
AFT B	TEMPA	(C) RHEAT KEEN			30.0 146 22			24.4 147 147			LE. FALL PORD	24.4 156 24			31.1 .147 .319	
A S F	TOPQUE SPEFO	(144) (1-1)	123 25 00	90°42 /21°	155 24,00	141 24 05		.177 45.06	155 22 00			.106 25.00	162 26.00		.04~ 24°00	•
TEST	L Bunssied Ball	(M4) (PASCAL)			30-34° = 9423	1 2 PO 4 . 05 - 04		90-30" + 4259	6600 4 DF 404	12.01 10.01		6768 × 05-06			10-34 * JE n/	

and prove the second and a second of the second second second second second second second second second second

GO

THICK H ENDURANCE TEST MD. 3 01L = PARC 36243 0E447-C # 14GMAESS = RAUGH 1-1114L 01L F1LM TM1CAVESS = LOANCLAD = 200 SPEF0(APM) = 100 CFVTMAL PUFER CONTACTS = CEN 41/1-UV 0-TEW CONTACTS = VIN

BR.

÷,

1.0101.1 24 MULTIPLIED CONTACTS CONTACTS I NNER I NNER AUMININ NIMININ

MICROINCHES 2 ARE THICKNESSES FILM

CONTACT

INNER

BEARING,

FT.

CU-TACTS

BEARING.

FURARD

INLER

Exputance test wo. 1 91. - Have theld Afastics a trying a Bough Loutter of the tailowess a tailor Loogical a 200. Sutdiated a to Geutael cite contacts a centeel tongs contacts wultiplied by 1,08432 settored cite contacts a centeel tongs contacts wultiplied by 1,08432 efsteur cites contacts a binimur tongs contacts wultiplied by 1,10109

FILM THICKNESSES ARE IN WICHOINCHES

Ale and a set

TS FORMARU BEARING, INNEM CONTACTS	νι τμινι του δουρικη μοριατική του	73 10,94 100,0 3,86 4,30 11,66 5,39 7,62 9,80										41 15.66 45.0 4.04 4.10 14.14 24.55 5.24 10.44	07 13,82 44.0 5,41 11,56 13,71 4,59 10,42 11,44	44 J2,46 45,0 3,11 4,87 13,08 2,71 8,44 10,44	al 13.82 42.0 4.45 17.04 14.54 4.31 11.34 11.72	20 12,84 84,0 4,67 4,55 15,74 4,54 11,14	16 4°CO 111.0 3.63 7.52 4.60 3.54 7.80	36 23,27 44.0 5.63 21,32 29.34 5.04 10.64 21,24	32 14,44 43,0 5,55 10,84 13,71 4,81 4,40 11,44	64 12,06 45,0 5,02 4,03 11,06 6,01 4,20 10,04	rd 13°44 43°0 6°33 4°04 13°31 6°44 6°14 14°44	14 13°28 44°0 2°43 4'81 13'08 4'31 8'88 10'44						14 15.54 45.0 4.47 10.11 13.76 3.41 4.74 14.44	80 12,46 94,0 5,15 11,01 23,40 9,67 10,30 11,19		12 "11 SU'01 18" +0" -1 20" / 30" + 0" -1 10" 20" 21" 18	4P 13"** 45"0 1"PH 15"25 1+"0+ 3"58 15" 11"51			40°0 40°0 11'12'23 14'33 4°14 14'24 4°14 14'44		
BEAULS, I	TCENI de	11.66	2	22.0						13.00	32.41	1 4°5 4	13.71	13,00	* *5.**	15.74	F 02*	19.34	11.61	14.08	13,72	13,04			15.10	5 60 6	1.04	F 90.*E1	13.34	• 0 •	1.0.0	1+12+1	****	+0.+1	1	1°,04	
FCBAANU	I XCEN]	. 4.30	0.		9.15					1 F.17	• • • •		1 11.56		5 12.04	2.52	3 2.52	3 11.32	5 10.34	دی . ا	+0+	14.5	3 11,31				0 10° • •	7 10.11	b 11.01	11.71	5 10.72	12.55	12.44	01 0	1 12,51	5 * 5 5	10.51
	HCF]	3.81								-		č						÷.	2	j J									1.5	5					-		<u> </u>
	TEMPF (F)	101.01	0°1							0.25	0 * 4 B	95.0	0.6.	u*5#	0.44	с. • •	111.0	C	0.64	6°\$		U • 5				0.44	12.0	95.0	0**6	5.4		9.2.	0 • 2 h	5°73	10.01		91.0
]4]4]	10			15.51				12,16	12.06	10,51	1 C . O b	11,82	12,06	13,82	12,84	02.6	13,17	14,44	14,44		12.56	1.17			13.44	•• • [1	12.54	12,46	1.11			11.17		13.62	13.17	
17AC79	141~3	6.73				10.64		8.9	10,42	~ .	0 • 1	10.01	11.07		11.11	10.20	8.16	12,36	11,32	J . 4	2, r 2	10°14	12.21	10,16		13.17	11,20	11.1	11,40	13,21	11.07	13,84		***	14.41	10.01	10.41
WAFR CO	[WANE	. 74	9 ° 6		1.12			~	2.72	4 7.	.02		5.67	52°C	5° 0	~ B.,		; ;	5.43	5.42	r	7, * 5	10 10 10				0 • S		• 7 • 5					5.12	7.15	7,	
1 '9×[a	TCENT	13,04	14, 38		15.10	15.47		15.47	15.47	15.47	4.151	15.47	16.68	15.47	14,68	1.**51	10.43	15,66	14.27	15.47	14.27	15,10	17.31	12°52		16.27	16.27	15,10	15.47	11.11	1 + . + 2	14.27	1 ° ° 1	~~	16.61	15.46	
8FT 8641	ACEN!			12.01			10.01		11.92	fu*u1	15.8	11,40	10.07	10.50	4[* 1	E2"11		1	12.27	19.45	10.15	11,04					12.13	11.90	16.71	11,28	12.74	35"+1		17,34		10,74	
	14]48							0	••••	5,12	0,**,	HC	6,54			5,62	12	0 • •	* * *	₽ 4. 4	45.6	12.4					61,63		s, 1 5			2 2 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		t, 19	.	2.0
	14	0	91.0	a • • •	6	ې د د ک	9°41	0.43	0"40	C . 38	0.88	6.8.8	5.58	0.98	2 ° • •	0°43	0**01	6 J .O	0.40	0.98	0,40	0°#3	C * * #	C		4	5 * * #	6 * * *	5°##	0	6.5.9	0°40	0.10	0.4€ 0.4€	C " 58	÷	
	6455 57550 (494)		00 11	20.45	eu.*1	63.44	50. 11	83.11	00 . 1	11,00	0 ° ° ° 0	2 5° 5 60	50° * 2		0.0	25.00	2°3 ° 7 °	0 tr . 1	00° 14	B1,00	50°3	00°51	00.44	e, an			011.63	P Ba	ja*!?	60.44	974 - 1 4	1 th . CA	40°14	10.00	00.44	6 1° 0	00 10
	10260E 101-101	50 03	üu" •7	21.04	54°52	21°21	60°42	RG. 73	ra. • 5	29.20	66. DC	10 ° 1 1	P.0 "+2	64.43	69.63	00.1	16.00	10°°1	42"21	00 * 84	56.32	10.00				10.01	00.41	84.44	9-W ⁶ U 1	C+3 • • 2	64.51	10.41	9-1-" # 1	56 °471	17 ° 17	4°° 8'	
	1001530	1.5"	5 * * * * * * * 5	10-38-2	1	6 41 - 43 ° 6	F	2 . 45 - 0 J		C G - 410 - 9 3	14 · · · · ·	10	1 B- 1 J- 7	C	10-30-4	10-11-0	10-39-1	10-37-4	1.54 +53	5°05-03	10- 89.1	10-41-1					10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -		1.25-23	1 2. 1	20-47-5	1.7	1.44.01	9 . ** - 9 9	Bu- 10." 1	Bu+ +/ *	
	\$	ų.	o		ę	Ę	2	Ę	1	÷		-	5			-	1	488	1	-	4 13	ž	2	-					ź	3	ţ	6	2		Ŧ	ž	

A CONTRACT OF A

のないない

1.00

film imicaresses are in pickolaches

FURMARD BEAMING, IN ES CTITACIS	:		F] BMCF] ACEN! TCFN! MMMP] BWIN] TWINT			1 2*21 13.75 14.71 4.78 9.47 12.27	10 5.63 10.15 Ju.04 5.L4 4. 14 11.31	O 6.45 11.59 19.23 5.46 10.54 10.54		15.51 (0,01 00,0 01.21 12.51 0.01 Care 0.	,0 5.44 10.67 15.10 4,76 4.42 12.56	10 4 54 8,72 12.20 7.44 F.10 1-23		
AFT BEARING, INNEM CONTACTS		(F) AMCA! AFFME AUMAT THILE THEY		(*** **** []***] [**** **** ****]; [5]]***										
16 ST 9456	TIME PRESSURE PORTINE SPERIE	[+#2] [3 44)10[4] [444]												

The Party of the P

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Tyler, J. C., Carper, H. J., Brown, R. D., and Ku, P. M., "Analysis of Film Thickness Effect in Slow-Speed Lightly-Loaded Elastohydrodynamic Contacts," <u>AFML-TR-74-189</u>, Part I, December 1974.
- 2. Carper, H. J., and Tyler, J. C., "Elastohydrodynamic Film Thickness and Friction in Counterformal Conjunctions," <u>SwRI Rept. RS-</u> <u>595</u>, July 1972.
- 3. Archard, J. F., and Cowking, E. W., "Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication at Point Contacts," <u>Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication, Proc.</u> <u>IMechE</u>, Vol. 180, Pt. 3B, 1965-66.
- 4. Westlake, F. J., and Cameron, A., "Interference Study of Point Contact Lubrication," <u>Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication, 1972</u> <u>Symposium</u>, IMechE, 1972.
- 5. Cheng, H. S., "Calculation of Elastohydrodynamic Film Thickness in High-Speed Rolling and Sliding Contacts," <u>MTI Rept. 67-24</u>, 1967.
- 6. Grubin, A. N., and Vinogradova, I.Y.E., "Fundamentals of the Hydrodynamic Theory of Lubrication of Heavily Loaded Cylindrical Surfaces" (in Russian), <u>Gozud, Nauch. Tekh. Izdat. Mashin. Lit.</u>, Book No. 30, 1949.
- Dowson, D., "Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication," <u>Interdisciplinary</u> <u>Approach to the Lubrication of Concentrated Contacts</u>, P. M. Ku, ed., <u>NASA SP-237</u>, 1970.
- 8. Ku, P. M., and Carper, H. J., "Elements of Elastohydrodynamic Analysis," <u>SwRI Rept. No. RS-601</u>, 1973.
- 9. Jones, A. B., <u>Analysis of Stresses and Deflections</u>, Vols. I and II, New Departure Division, General Motors Corp., 1946.

and the second second