AD-A021 426

THE ECONOMICS OF NAVAL SHIP AUTOMATION: AN ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED AUTOMATION OF THE DE-1052. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Robert Shishko

RAND Corporation

Prepared for:

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

November 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **A**A021426

ARPA ORDER NO.: i89-1 6D20 Human Resources Research

069189

R-1790/1-ARPA November 1975

The Economics of Naval Ship Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052— Executive Summary

Robert Shishko

A Report prepared for

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

The research described in this Report was sponsored by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under contract No. DAHC15-73-C-0181. Reports of The Rand Corporation do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the sponsors of Rand research.



ii

ARPA ORDER NO.: 189-1 6D20 Human Resources Research

R-1790/1-ARPA November 1975

The Economics of Naval Ship Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052--Executive Summary

Robert Shishko

A Report prepared for

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY



PREFACE

This report was prepared as part of Rand's DoD Training and Manpower Management Program, sponsored by the Human Resources Research Office of the Defense Advarced Research Projects Agency (ARPA). With manpower issues assuming an ever greater importance in defense planning and budgeting, the purpose of this research program is to develop broad strategies and specific solutions for dealing with present and future military manpower problema. This includes the development of new research methodologies for examining broad classes of manpower probleme, as well as specific problem-oriented research. In addition to providing analysis of current and future manpower issues, it is hoped that this research program will contribute to a better general understanding of the manpower problems confronting the Department of Defense.

In 1973 Rand was asked by the Human Resources Research Office and the Tactical Technology Office of ARPA to evaluate on economic grounds a specific proposal by a Purdue University team headed by Prof. Theodore J. Williams of the Laboratory for Applied Industrial Control to automate the DE-1052 class destroyer escort. At that time, the Navy was already funding a number of surface ship automation programs, but none of these was designed to look at the "maximum" automation of a Navy surface ship. The full state-of-the-art automation of a Navy ship was the goal of ARPA and the Purdue group. Assisting the Purdue group in this effort was a group from Specialized Systems Inc. of Mystic, Connecticut, with extensive experience in shipboard personnel matters. Rand worked closely with both groups but reported its findings directly to ARPA.

This executive summary encapsulates the issues and results from The Economic of Shipboard Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052, R-1790-ARPA.

-111-

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE Description Production of Decomposition of	URITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Det	uitered)	
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION HO. 3. RECIFICUTS CATALOG NUMBER R-1/30/1-ARPA Interim NTLC (ref AbaNNI) Interim The Economics of Naval Ship Automation: Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052 FreeGomma Covered Interim ED-1052 Executive Summary Interim FreeGomma Covered Interim Autmon(g) Robert Shishko DAHC15-73-C-0181 PEGFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS Interim New Environment Number() The Rand Corporation 10. PROGRAMILATION HAME AND ADDRESS November 1975 The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Sonta Monica, Ca. 90406 November 1975 CONTROLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS November 1975 November 1975 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency November 1975 November 1975 Department of Defense ADDRESS(I different free Controlling Office) Is SECURITY CLASS (of the report) UNITORING AGENC' NAME & ADDRESS(I different free Controlling Office) Is SECURITY CLASS (of the report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Is SECURITY CLASS (of the report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Statement for the Abstract miner in Back 20, If different free Report) Automation	REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
R-1/90/1-ARPA VITE (red Summary The Economics of Proposed Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052 Exocutive Summary DE-1052 Exocutive Summary AUTHOR(0) Robert Shishko PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Rand Corporation 1700 Nain Street Softman Monica, Ca. 90406 CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 Number 07 Facts Arlington, Va 22209 Author of the Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 Number 07 Facts Arlington, Va 22209 Scouttive Current Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 Author of the Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 Author of the Advanced Research Project Agency Defense Advanced Research Project Agency Defense Advanced Research Project Agency Defense Advanced Research Project Agency November 1975 No Restrictions Author of the Advanced Research Project Agency No Restrictions Scouttive Advanced Research Project Agency November Advanced Research Project Agency November Advanced Resea	REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
The Economics of Naval Ship Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation: An Analysis of Proposed Automation: DE-1052 Exocutive Summary Iterim Authon(a) Economics of Naval Ship Automation: Authon(a) Iterim Authon(a) Economics of Report # PERIOR ECONTROL COVERED Interim Authon(a) Economics of Control Interim Authon(a) Economics of Control Interim Authon(a) Economics of Control Interim Ferronum Corporation Interim 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 Controlling Office Name And Acontess Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arilington, Va 22209 Is secontrolling Office 4 MONITORING REENCY HAME & ACONTESS(I different Ree Controlling Office) Is secontrolling of Paces IS 4 MONITORING REENCY HAME & ACONTESS(I different Ree Controlling Office) Is secontrolling of Paces IS 4 MONITORING REENCY HAME & ACONTESS(I different Ree Controlling Office) Is secontrolling of Paces IS 4 MONITORING ACENT (of the destract missed in Block 20, If different free Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 7. ORSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destract missed in Block 20, If different free Report) No Restrictions 8 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9 Advance Research Project Side (I necessary and Identify by Block Amedian) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA <td>R-1/90/1-ARPA</td> <td></td> <td></td>	R-1/90/1-ARPA		
The Economics of Naval Ship Automation of the DE-1052 Executive Summary	TITLE (and Subilita)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
An Analysis of Proposed Automation of the DE-1052 Executive Summary Authon(a) Authon(The Economics of Naval Ship Auto	mation:	Interim
DE-1052 Executive Summary I. PERFORMANCORE.REPORT HOWER AUTHOR(#) # CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER(*) Robert Shishko DAHC15-73-C-0181 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ACORESS DAHC15-73-C-0181 The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 November 1975 CONTROLUNG OFFICE NAME AND ACORESS November 1975 Department of Defense November 1975 AUDITORING AGENC: WAME & ACOORESS (* different free Centreting Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the repert) UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 1** DECLASSIFICATION/ODEWGRADING UNCLASSIFIED * OSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the sharest entered in Block 20, if different free Report) NO Restrictions * SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES November November) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer ARPA No Aestract/Computers Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Naval Personnel Not a	An Analysis of Proposed Automati	en of the	
AUTHOR(*) Robert Shishko Robert Shishko PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADORESS The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 Convrolution of Convertion 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 12. REPORT OATE OUTPOLY AND ADORESS Defense Advanced Pasearch Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 13. SECURITY JUNEERS 10. UNCLASSIFIED 15. DEGINE AUTHORIS AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(I dilement from Converting Office 15. DEGINATION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited COSTRUENTION STATEMENT (of the elever of missed in Block 20, If different from Report) No Restrictions Supple EMENTARY NOTES Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel OASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	DE-1052 Executive Summary		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Robert Shishko DAHC15-73-C-0181 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS ID. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 ID. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK CONTROLLING OFFICE MARE AND ADDRESS ID. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ID. NUMBERS OF PAGES Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ID. NUMBERS OF PAGES Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ID. NUMBERS OF PAGES Performed of Defense ID. NUMBERS OF PAGES ATIngton, Va 22209 ID. A MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADORESS(IF different from Controlling Office) ID. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED ID. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited ID. SECURITY CLASS. (of the Advanced in Block 20, If different from Report) No Restrictions No Restrictions * SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Destroyer Escorts Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel ID. Stan executive summary for R-1790-ARPA			8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Robert Shishko DARCIS-73-C-0181 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT. TASK The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 12. REPORT OATE November 1975 November 1975 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 12. REPORT OATE November 1975 November 1975 Automation statement (of the Report) November 1975 No Restrictions November (of the ebetree) enlared in Block 20, if different from Report) No Restrictions Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Contracture of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 11. Report OATE November 1975 A MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADOORESS(II different from Control/fing Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report) UNCLASSIFIED 4 MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADORESS(II different from Control/fing Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report) UNCLASSIFIED 4 ONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enlared in Block 20, II different from Report) No Restrictions 10. Distribution Unlimited 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enlared in Block 20, II different from Report) No Restrictions Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on refere alde II necessary and Identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Robert Shishko		DAHC15-73-C-0181
The Rand Corporation The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 Controlling Office wake AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Is SECURITY CLASS (of the report) UNCLASS IFIED Is Declassification/DownGRADING Schendule Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited No Restrictions Supplementary Notes Supplementary Notes Stoppoard Computers Military Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel O ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and Identify by Mack number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA			TO PROCESS ELEVENT PROJECT TASK
The Rand Corporation 1703 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 10 CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 4 MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Conirolling Office) 4 MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Conirolling Office) 5 OSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 7. OSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aberraci entered in Block 20, II different from Report) No Restrictions 8 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9 XEV WORDS(Continue on reverse side II necessary and Identify by Block number) Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and Identify by Block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADORES	is is	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1/00 Main Street Santa Monica, Ca. 90406 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Department of Defense Arlington, Va. 22209 1. NUMBER OF PAGES AMONITORING AGENC', MAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) I. NUMBER OF PAGES I. NUMBER OF PAGES J. NUMBER OF PAGES AMONITORING AGENC', MAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) I. NUMBER OF PAGES J. NUMBER OF PAGES Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited J. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract enlarged in Block 20, If differ	The Rand Corporation		
Samilar Monita, Car, Void Samilar Monita, Car, Void 10 CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADORESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Anington, Va 22209 A MONITORING AGENC', WAME & ADORESS(II different from Controlling Office) Is SECURITY CLASS. (of the report) UNCLASS IFIED Is. SCHEDULE Is. SCHEDULE Is. Intervent for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Is. Is. Improved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Immediation No. Restrictions Immediation No. Restrictions Immediation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Immediation Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Immediation Nation Reserver Immediation Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Immediation Reserver	L/UU Main Street		
November 1975 Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 A MONITORING AGENC' NAME & ADORESS(II different free Controlling Office) IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED Is. DELASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING COSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited No. Restrictions Supplementary NOTES Supplementary NOTES No. Restrictions No. Restrictions No. No. Restrictions And Maine System Shipboard Computers Maintary Personnel Destroyer Escorts Maintary Personnel No. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Identify by black number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADORESS		12. REPORT OATE
Department of Defense Arlington, Va 22209 MONITORING AGENC' NAME & ACORESS(II dillocati from Conicotifing Office) MONITORING AGENC' NAME & ACORESS(II dillocati from Conicotifing Office) Constrained to Acoress and the Report Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Constrained to Statement (of the absence) and identify by block number) No Restrictions Supplementary Notes Supplementary Notes Supplementary notes Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel No ABSTRACT (Continue on reverce side if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Defense Advanced Research Project	cts Agency	November 1975
Arlington, Va 22209 Arlington, Va 22209 MONITORING AGENC' NAME & AGORESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENC' NAME & AGORESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) UNCLASS IFIED The DECLASS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Identify by block number) Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel OLABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Department of Defense		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENC: NAME & ADORESS(If different from Controlling Diffes) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) SECURITY CLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) SECURITY CLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) SECURITY CLASS (5 MINUTOR) UNCLASS (5 MINUTOR) Mapping Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited SECURITY CLASS (5 MINUTOR) No Restrictions No Restrictions Supplementary notes Man Machine System Destroyer and Identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel OLABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Arlington, Va 22209		
UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFIEATION/DOWNGRADING 6. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enjoyed in Block 20, 11 different from Report) No Restrictions 9. XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & AOORESS(I differ	ent from Confroffing Office)	13. SECORITI CERSS. (or une repeny
Sectors and the abstract of the Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited C. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enlared in Block 20, 11 different from Report) No Restrictions Supplementary Notes Key WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA			UNCLASSIFIED
OSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited OSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotraci enlared in Block 20, if different from Report) No Restrictions SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA			154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
COSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited C. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enlared in Block 20, if different from Report) No Restrictions V. Restrictions V. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA			
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverce elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA 	Approved for Public Release;	Distribution Unli	mited
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Military Personnel ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA 	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetraci enter No Rescrictions	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent fr	mited
9 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverce elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetraci enter No Rescrictions	Distribution Unli	mited
 9 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA 	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetraci enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Distribution Unli	mited
 REY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Military Personnel Austract (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA 	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetraci enfor- No Rescrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Distribution Unli	mited
Automation Naval Personnel Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Military Personnel NAUSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetraci enter No Restrictions 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Distribution Unli	mited
Man Machine System Destroyer Escorts Shipboard Computers Destroyer Escorts Military Personnel Military Personnel RO. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotraci enter No Rescrictions 18. Supplementary notes	Distribution Unli	mited
Shipboard Computers Military Personnel RO. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds II necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetraci enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block numbe	mited om Roport)
Military Personnel RO. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation Map Machine System	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block numbe Naval Personnel	mited om Report)
10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation Nan Machine System Shiphoard Computers	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, ff dillerent in and identify by block numbe Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts	mited om Report)
0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enter No Restrictions 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation Nan Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent fr and identify by block numbe Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts	mited om Report)
This is an executive summary for R-1790-ARPA	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract enter No Restrictions 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. Supplementary notes 14. Supplementary notes 15. Supplementary notes 16. Supplementary notes 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract enter No Restrictions 18. Supplementary notes 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block numbe Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts	mited om Report)
	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts	mited om Report) r)
	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract enter No Restrictions 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Automation Man Machine System Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary This is an executive summary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent fr end identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts end identify by block number for R-1790-ARPA	mited om Roport)
	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotraci enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation N Man Machine System E Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary This is an executive summary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, ff dillerent in and identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts and identify by block number for R-1790-ARPA	mited om Report) r)
	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation N Man Machine System E Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary This is an executive summary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts and identify by block number for R-1790-ARPA	mited om Report) r)
	Approved for Public Release; 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary Automation N Man Machine System E Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary This is an executive summary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in and identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts and identify by block number for R-1790-ARPA	mited om Report) r)
	Approved for Public Release; 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary Automation N Man Machine System I Shipboard Computers Military Personnel 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary This is an executive summary	Distribution Unli ed in Block 20, 11 dillerent in end identify by block number Naval Personnel Destroyer Escorts end identify by block number for R-1790-ARPA	mited om Report) r)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author primarily wishes to thank the men and officers of the USS Roark (DE-1053) and the USS Barbey (DE-1088) for their time and cooperation. Special thanks are due Lt. Comdr. Griffin Hamilton, former Commanding Officer, USS Roark; Lt. Comdr. Jerry Lyle, former Executive Officer, USS Roark; and Comdr. Theodore B. Shultz, former Commanding Officer, USS Earbey.

For assistance in interpreting the personnel requirements of the DE-1052 class Jestroyer escort, the author gratefully acknowledges the assistance of Capt. Donald Kern, USN (ret.) and Capt. Maylon T. Scott, USN (ret.) of Specialized Systems, Inc., Mystic, Connecticut; and Prof. Theodore J. Williams of Purdue University's Laboratory for Applied Industrial Control.

For providing manpower cost data, thanks are due Capt. J. B. Campbell, OSD (M&RA); Maj. J. H. Romo, CSD (M&RA); Mr. Joseph Glenn, Actuarial Consultant to OSD (M&RA); and Mr. Roger Taillon, OSD (Comptroller).

Finally, the author wishes to thank Rand colleagues Richard V. L. Cooper, C. Robert Roll, David S. C. Chu, and Julie Da Vanzo for their comments on an earlier version of this report. A special debt is owed to Rand colleague Richard Salter, who provided valuable engineering judgment and assistance in defining the various manning requirements. Rand consultant Capt. Larry Freeman, USN (ret.) helped us to make contact with a number of well-informed persons whose assistance the author collectively acknowledges.

Responsibility for any errors remains, of course, the author's.

-v-

THE ECONOMICS OF SHIPBOARD AUTOMATION: AN ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED AUTOMATION OF THE DE-1052--EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this study is to provide an economic analysis of a proposal to automate the DE-1052 class destroyer escort. Although the study is directed toward a specific proposal from the Purdue Laboratory for Applied Industrial Control, several larger lessons can be drawn from it concerning future naval ship automation.

The principal benefit of shipboard automation is the reduction in the manpower necessary for operation and maintenance of the ship. To realize this reduction, R&D expenditures must be made and automation hardware must be acquired, installed, and brought to operational status.

To determine the manpower savings attributable to automation, the manning of an automated DE-1052 is compared with that of an efficiently manned current DE-1052, holding ship effectiveness constant. The level of manning of an efficiently manned DE-1052 is called here "austere manning." Comparison of the austere manning structure with the manning structure of an automated DE-1052 permits ascertaining of the *net contribution* of automation to manning reduction. Because both ships are manned so as to be equally effective, arguments as to the desirability of the proposed automation can be focused on economic considerations alone. Whether the shipboard automation is economically advisable depends upon the dollar value of the *n*anpower savings attributable to automation, the dollar costs of the automation, and the timing of these savings and costs.

To establish the enlisted manning required for the austere and automated DE-1052, all currently assigned stations in Conditions I (General Quarters) and III (Wartime Steaming) were examined for possible manning reductions or changes;¹ the number of enlisted personnel

¹Several constraints on manpower reductions were imposed on the analysis. Weapons systems were assumed to be sufficiently automated so no changes in manning were considered. No changes in officer billets were considered, and changes in manning in the Combat Information Center (CIC), Communication Control (CC) and Damage Control (DC) parties were allowed only at interfaces with other departments. As with all limitations, these constraints have their implicit or shadow costs.

not currently assigned to any station¹ was assumed to be variable according to total shipboard manning.

The difference between the austere manning (234) and the automated manning (189) is the net reduction attributable to automation (45). What is important is not so much the number of individuals saved by automation but the kinds of personnel saved. The cost of highly skilled or experienced personnel may be substantially greater than the cost of low skilled or inexperienced personnel, or the retention of some ratings may be more difficult than others. Table S-1 shows how the reduction of 45 enlisted personnel (per ship) is distributed over skill and experience categories.

Table S-1

PERSONNEL CHANGES ATTRIBUTABLE TO AUTOMATION BY SKILL LEVEL AND FXPERIENCE

	Experience		(term of service)		
Skill Level	lst	2nd	3rd and Above		
High ^a	-1	0	0		
Medium ^b	-5	-4	-2		
Low ^C	-32	-1	0		

^aIncludes IC rating.

^bIncludes BM, BT, EM, EN, MM ratings. ^CIncludes QM, SK, SM, SN/FN, YN ratings.

RETENTION UNDER AUTOMATION

Automation is not likely to have an adverse effect on, and may help, the Navy's efforts to retain individuals in the BT, EM, EN, IC, and MM ratings while holding the line on bonus payments. For the QM and SM ratings, automation may be helpful in eliminating some retention problems. In fact, the proposed automation of the DE-1052 may have a fleetwide effect by allowing for a reduction in the Selective

¹Unassigned personnel generally perform hotel-type functions.

Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) paid to all individuals in the QM and SM ratings independent of whether they serve on a DE-1052. The effect would, however, be significantly greater if automation could be focused more on eliminating (high-skill and) high-experience personnel.

The manpower reduction attributable to automation was converted to a dollar figure by multiplying the number of enlisted personnel saved in each rating and paygrade by an estimate of the total annual cost for each rating and paygrade. This total annual cost in 1974 dollars is the sum of five separate, annualized costs--basic costs, training costs, retirement costs, reenlistment costs, and Permanent-Change-of-Station (PCS) costs.

Table S-2 summarizes the results of the calculations in the same format as Table S-1.

Table S-2

ANNUAL PERSONNEL-RELATED SAVINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO AUTOMATION (per ship)

	Experience (term of service)				
Skill Level ^b	lst	2nd	3rd and Above		
High	\$13,600	0	0		
Medium	\$64,900	\$49,900	\$26,700		
Low	\$268,300	\$12,100	0		

^aAt a discount rate of 10 percent; total annual savings per ship, \$435,500.

^bRatings associated with each of the three skill levels are identical to those in Table S-1.

INVESTMENT COST OF AUTOMATION

Although some equipment for the proposed automation is off-theshelf hardware, other equipment as well as software will have to be developed and tested. Precise estimates of the cost of the proposed automation are therefore not possible. The best estimates that could be obtained by Rand represented a range of guesses that were provided by traditional suppliers of this kind of equipment to the Navy.¹ The lack of precise cost estimates need not be a stumbling block if confidence in the manpower saving estimates is high.

For the DE-1052, norrecurring development engineering costs were estimated to be between \$3.5 and \$5 million. The per ship conversion costs--which include hardware acquisition, installation, checkout, and sea trials--were estimated to be between \$3.0 and \$4.25 million. A per ship conversion cost of \$3 million will be referred to as the "low" estimate and a per ship conversion cost of \$4.25 million as the "high" estimate. The reason for a range of estimates is uncertainty as to the details of the specifications required to gain Navy acceptance. The low estimate reflects an expectation that "ruggedized" versions of commercial hardware but with some equipment built to military specifications (mil-spec) will be acceptable, and the high estimate reflects the expectation that strictly mil-spec equipment will be required. The Navy of course will affect the costs of the hardware by the very way it writes the specifications.

NET RETURN TO AUTOMATION

To calculate the net return to automation it is necessary to specify a schedule for the R&D and retrofit programs. During these phases, the development and conversion costs of automation are incurred; as automated DE-1052s are phased into the fleet, the dollar savings due to reduced manpower needs are realized. The schedule is an important consideration because costs and savings occurring in different years must be discounted to make them commensurable.

To make the calculation of the net return concrete, a schedule is chosen consisting of a three-year R&D program and a three-year retrofit program; the retrofitted DE-1052s are assumed to remain in fleet service for 1.5 years. Retirement of the last automated DE-1052s is assumed to

-4-

¹These suppliers preferred to remain anonymous since formal bids had not been requested. Their names would be recognized as important firms in the boiler, maxime powerplant, control equipment, and electronics fields.

be completed by the end of 1995. A DE-1052 entering operational status in 1970 will then have been in fleet service for a total of 25 years, which is about current practice.

The present discounted value (PDV) calculation reduces the stream of costs and savings to a single number so that the proposed automation can be compared with alternative investments having different time paths of costs and savings.¹ If the correct discount rate is used, the PDV represents the payoff of the proposed project. It the PDV is negative, the project should, of course, not be carried out because the real resources consumed exceed the savings generated, when costs and savings are measured in commensurable units.

Table S-3 shows the results of the PDV calculation for several selected cases. In these cases, the program size, discount rate, and the estimated cost of automation are varied over the range of uncertainty that prevails for each.

At a recommended discount rate of 10 percent, the proposed automation has a decisively negative PDV when the cost of automation is at the high end; when the cost of automation is at the low end, the proposed automation has a small positive PDV. At a discount rate of 5 percent, the proposed automation has a small but positive PDV at the high estimate and a decisively positive PDV at the low estimate. This suggests that the PDV is moderately sensitive to the choice of the discount rate and to the cost of automation. At a discount rate of 15 percent, the proposed automation has a negative PDV at both the high and low cost estimates.

¹The PDV in constant 1974 dollars can be calculated by the following formula: Let r be the discount rate, then

$$PDV = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (-C_{t} + S_{t})(1 + r)^{-t}$$

where C_t is the cost of automation in constant 1974 dollars incurred in year t and S_t is the person-el-related savings in constant 1974 dollars in year t.

-5-

Table S-3

PDV OF PROPOSED AUTOMATION (millions of 1974 dollars)

Case ^a	Program Size ^b	Cost of Autometion ^C	Annual Discount Rate	PDV	PDV Per Ship
I	62 ships	High	10%	-40.34	65
II	62 ships	Low	10%	9.17	.15
III	62 ships	High	5%	6.10	.10
IV	62 ships	Low	5%	68.23	1.10
v	46 ships	Low	5%	49.80	1.08
VI	62 ships	High	15%	-56.61	91
VII	62 ships	Low	15%	-16.72	27

^aAll cases refer to the schedule described above and in the text.

^bThe Knox (DE-1052) class comprises 46 ships; the Knox, Garcia (DE-1040), and Brooke (DEG-1) classes comprise 62 ships.

^CTerms are defined above.

Further sensitivity analyses revealed that at the high cost of automation and c discount rate of 10 percent, the PDV (in constant dollars) is still negative even if (1) the personnel-related savings were underestimated by 10 percent, or (2) the rate of inflation in military wages is unrealistically and persistently larger than that for military equipment over the next 20 years.

CONCLUSIONS

The desirability of any particular automation scheme depends not only on the number of individuals but on the kinds of individuals saved. To make this point more dramatically, consider the proposed automation of 62 DE-1052 and DE-1052-like ships. At a discount rate of 10 percent and a high cost of automation, to break even, an additional \$135,400 would have to be saved per ship per year. (Note that this is about 30 percent more than the estimated savings.) This dollar figure translates into 11 additional trained individuals in the medium or high skill category, assuming an average annual per capita cost of \$12,700. But the same dollar figure translates into 18 additional untrained individuals-that is, SN or FN--at an average annual per capita cost of \$7,800.

With the same mix of trained and untrained individuals as the proposed automation already saves, then an additional 15 (or 33 percent more) individuals would have to be saved for the project to break even. This figure was obtained assuming an average annual per cavita cost of \$9,700.

The PDV of the proposed automation is negative (at the high cost of automation) or marginal (at the low cost of automation) at the recommended discount rate of 10 percent. The reasons for this are not only because of insufficient manpower savings, the point made above, but also because retrofitting the automation into an existing ship involves at least three additional losses. First, the number of years of operational life remaining on an existing DE-1052 is less than on an entirely new ship, reducing the time over which automation investment expenditures can be recovered. Second, the retrofitting of the automation equipment into an existing DE-1052, even if pursued during a regular overhaul sequence, involves the expensive procedure of removing and then replacing various parts of the boiler and powerplant; checkout and sea trials must be repeated as well. Installing the automation equipment on a new ship would be considerably easier and less costly; checkout and sea trials could be accomplished as a part of the regular process of bringing the ship to operational status. Third, the automation for a new ship might well be more efficient because designers would not be constrained to adapt it to the DE-1052, a ship that was not necessarily designed with boiler and powerplant automation in mind. In particular, automation may allow for smaller and more fuel-efficient ships, which would lower initial capital and operating costs as well. For these reasons, the economics of the automation of future naval surface ships is quite a bit more favorable than the automation of existing ships.

For the proposed automation of the $DE-10_{24}$, the PDV is very sensitive to the estimated cost of automation. This is the one area in which better information would have a very high payoff. The cost estimates used in this analysis are not meant to be upper and lower bounds; these

-7-

estimates could be off by a factor of two or more. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that better cost estimates be obtained.

The optimal degree of automation is not revealed by the present analysis. Although "total" automation of the DE-1052 does not seem to be worthwhile, selective automation of certain functions may be. One very promising area for improvement is interior communications.

Improved shipboard manpower management may have a high payoff. Improvements in shipboard manpower management and training may be possible that will make both unautomated and automated ships less manpowerintensive by reducing (1) the number of personnel who are assigned in Condition I but not assigned in Condition III, (2) the number of personnel who are needed for Condition III but who have no Condition I assignment, and (3) the number of unassigned personnel---that is, personnel who have no assignment in either Condition I or Condition III.

-8-