AD-A019 485

AN APPROACH TO PROVIDING A USER INTERFACE FOR MILITARY COMPUTER-AIDED-INSTRUCTION IN 1980

Louis Gallenson

University of Southern California

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research Advanced Research Projects Office

November 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technica' Information Service

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

022163

A J 1948

ARPA ORDER NO. 2930 NR 154-374

ISI/RR 75 43

Louis Gallenson

An Approach to Providing a User Interface for Military Computer-Aided Instruction in 1980

Peprosuce by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce spongfield, v4 22151

INFORMATION SCIENCES INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

· ·····

4676 Adminalty Way/Marina del Rey/California 90291 (213) 822-1511

Preparation of this paper uses supported by the Office of Naral Research, Personnel and Training Research Programs, Code 458, under Contract N00014-75-C-0710, NR 354-574, under terms of ARPA Order Number 2930. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Office of Naral Research, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, or the U.S. Government.

This document is approved for public release and sile. distribution is unlimited

REPORT DOCIMENTA	READ INSTRUCTIONS			
AFPORT NUMBER	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
	2. GUVT ACCESSION NO.			
ISI/ KK-/ J· +3				
TITLE (and Subtitio)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED			
An Approach to Providing a User	Research Report			
Computer-Aided-Instruction in 15				
AUTHOR(.)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)		
	N00014-75-C-0710			
Louis Gallenson				
USC /Information Sciences Institu	AREA S WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
4676 Admirolty Way	Jie -	61153N RR042-06-01		
Master del Rey CA 90291	RR042-06 NR154-374			
Marina del Rey, CA 90291	15	12. REPORT DATE		
Human Resources Research Office		November 1975		
Advanced Research Projects Offi	ce	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
1400 Wilson Blvd., Arlington,	A 22209	27		
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESSII	different from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
Personnel and Iraining Kesearch	rograms	the atom: find		
Office of Naval Kesearch - Code	408			
800 N. Quincy Street		SCHEDULE		
Arlington, VA 22217				
Approved for public release and	sale; distribution unlimi	ted.		
Approved for public release and 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrocol 	sale; distribution unlimi	a Report)		
Approved for public release and 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroct 	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different fre	æ Report)		
Approved for public release and 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrace 	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 29, 11 different be	n Report)		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract 	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different fre	a Report)		
Approved for public release and 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotract 	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different fre	æ Report)		
Approved for public release and 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetreef 	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Black 20, 11 different fre	n Report)		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tra neery and identify by block number	ted.		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde II nece	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tra neery and identify by block number	ted.		
Approved for public release and 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrocol 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tre becary and identify by block number ctional requirements, co	mputer-aided instruction,		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 20, 11 different be been and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 20, 11 different tra becary and Identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture:	magnetic mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrocol B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 2. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tre beery and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and Approved for public release and	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Bleck 20, 11 different be been and identify by bleck number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture:	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and Approved for public release and	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 20, 11 different the second and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture:	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and Approved for public release and	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different be been and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: been and identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II nece	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tre beery and Identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: beery and Identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WOROS (Continue on reverse olde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde II nece	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 20, 11 different be been and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: every and identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and Approved for public release and	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tre becary and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture ecary and identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and Approved for public release and DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrocol SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES CAI terminals, CAI terminal function computer user interface, micropristand-alone terminal, terminal a 10. ABSTRACT (Centinue en reverse elde II nece	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tra- booary and Identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: boary and Identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WOROS (Continue on reverse olde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde II nece	sale; distribution unlimi entered in Block 20, 11 different be been and identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: eeery and identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		
Approved for public release and 7. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electrocol 8. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 9. KEY WOROS (Continue on reverse elde II nece CAI terminals, CAI terminal func computer user interface, micropr stand-alone terminal, terminal a 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II nece D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 1	sale; distribution unlimi antered in Block 20, 11 different tra- booary and Identify by block number, ctional requirements, co ocessor, military CAI, p rchitecture: boary and Identify by block number) (OVER)	mputer-aided instruction, prerecorded visuals,		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE The Dese Antered

20. ABSTRACT

A recent needs study determined that most of the terminal requirements for military CAI applications can be satisfied with mainstream commercial terminals. Additional development, however, is likely to be required to satisfy two of the capabilities (limited graphics and prerecorded visuals). The expected architecture of commercial terminals will make it easy to modify and customize them to meet all the identified CAI needs. The military community is also expected to use computer networks to satisfy an appreciablo portion of its requirements. Commercial terminals and available computer networks provide the basis for an effective and economical user interface to military CAI systems.

ARPA ORDER NO. 2930 NR 154-374

> ISI/RR-75-43 November 1975



Louis Gallenson

1

An Approach to Providing a User Interface for Military **Computer-Aided Instruction in 1980**

INFORMATION SCIENCES INSTITUTE

4676 Admisalty Way/ Marina del Rey/California 90291 (213) 822-1511

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Preparation of this paper was supported by the Office of Naval Research. Personnel and Training Research Programs, Code 458, under Contract N00014-75-C-0710. NR 154-374, under terms of ARPA Order Number 2930 The views and conclusions contained on this document are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Office of Naval Research, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, or the U.S. Government.

This document is approved for public release and sale: distribution is unlimited.

CONTENTS

....

Adstract
Introduction 3
Functional Requirements 3
Architecture of Terminals 4
Capabilities of the Basic Terminal 5
Microprocessor Description 6
Stand-alone Capabilities of the Basic Terminal 7
Military Environment 8
CAI Terminals 8
Conclusions 11
Appendix 13
References 18

ABSTRACT

A recent needs study determined that most of the terminal requirements for military CAI applications can be satisfied with mainstream commercial terminals. Additional development, however, is likely to be required to satisfy two of the capabilities (limited graphics and prerecorded visuale). The expected architecture of commercial terminals will make it easy to modify and customize them to meet all the identified CAI needs. The military community is also expected to use computer networks to satisfy an appreciable portion of its requirements. Commercial terminals and available computer networks provide the basis for an effective and economical user interface to military CAI systems.

Preceding page hlank

INTRODUCTION

As part of an ongoing interest by ARPA HRRO in Computer-aided Instruction (CAI) for the military environment, a study was initiated to determine the user-interface (terminal) subsystem needs in CAI for the 1980's and to provide a functional terminal design to satisfy these needs. The needs study, performed by the Annenberg School of Communications (USC) in conjunction with ISI, is detailed in Ref. 1. A portion of the study's conclusions indicated that

- 1. Development of new terminal capabilities for military CAI is a low-priority concern.
- 2. The capabilities of existing terminals (such as the plasma terminal used in PLATO) will suffice for the near future.
- 3. Commercially developed terminals will satisfy the dominant military CAI needs in the 1980's.

The following document will discuss the functional requirements as well as the terminal design and architecture needed to implement the required capabilities. In light of the conclusions expressed in Ref. 1 it is nonproductive to repeat the functional capabilities available on existing terminals or to discuss an alternate implementation design. Commercial development of terminals will satisfy most of the stated CAI requirements, and little new terminal development is required. It appears that a more interesting and productive approach to conclude the design portion of this study is to discuss the expected architecture and capabilities of commercial terminals now in development. This approach will justify the conclusion that these terminals satisfy military CAI needs and demonstrate how one might advantageously use the flexibility of modern terminal architecture. The question being considered, then, is "How can the military adapt commercial terminals of the 1980's to CAI needs?" A related question answered by this document is "Given a large number of general-purpose commercially available on-line terminals in the military community, how can terminals be customized to satisfy CAI needs?"

This document discusses 1) the architecture of the expected commercially available terminals for the late 1970's in the context of military CAI and other DoD requirements, 2) the flexibility of this architecture and methods for satisfying the stated CAI needs and desires with potential economic saving;, and 3) the required development effort to satisfy all the stated needs.

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The results of Ref. 1 suggest a set of functions much like the capabilities of the plasma terminal currently in use with the PLATO CAI system: alphanumeric keyboard with special function keys, visual electronic output device with multiple character sets, limited graphics, touch panel inputs, prerecorded visuals, prerecorded voice control device, and adapter for control of other external devices. While the functional capabilities (as seen by the user)

of the plasma terminal and the terminal discussed in this document are the same, the implementation differences and the consequences of these differences are significant.

Several functions were given low priority by the experts: complex graphics, high-resolution stored visuals, spoken inputs, large-capacity displays (4000 characters or more), color, 3-D, and computer-composed spaech. Therefore, these functions are not considered in the discussions to follow.

A limited stand-alone capability, which is considered by this author to have a high payoff in the CAI environment, was judged desirable by a few of the experts; it is therefore considered as part of the implementation discussed. The intent of this discussion is to provide the reader with a feeling of the power of the stand-alone capability, not to imply that the study conclusions addressed the question of the desired stand-alone capabilities.

ARCHITECTURE OF TERMINALS

A recent innovation in the implementation of commercial terminals is the use of a microprocessor unit (MPU) LSI chip controller. This technology is flexible and economical and can be expected to improve continually. Because the market for commercial terminals is not monolithic, considerable customizing is required to satisfy the needs of the various segments. By using an MPU and developing a software capability, the vendor can quickly and economically satisfy a large spectrum of user requirements and minimize the amount of random hardware logic design. Current trends strongly tend toward using the MPU for a terminal controller; it is to be expected that all terminals in the late 1970's will adopt this architecture.

The terminal architecture is typified by the functional block diagram of Figure 1. The MPU with its associated memory and interface logic is used to handle data flow between the input devices and output (primarily display). The MPU therefore provides complicated logic between the various I/O devices by interpreting data and instructions within a data stream. The design also has the desirable feature that all I/O interfaces are standardized; 1) serial bit stream with communication logic conforms to RS232 EIA conventions, 2) slow speed I/O conforms to the I/O bus protocol of the MPU, and 3) fast I/O devices (such as the display generator) conform to memory (direct memory-access) logic. Most I/O devices can be satisfied by the MPU I/O bus, which can accommodate large and varying numbers of devices with the appropriate software (or firmware).

The flexibility of the MPU controller is self-evident: it is in fact a general-purpose computer. The LSI chip, the MPU, is a computer with limited instruction set and limited adcress space. It is typically slow (microseconds for execution time). When used as a controller, the MPU will typically operate from ROMs (Read Only Memory) or PROMs (Programmable ROMs) and becomes more rigid in its ability to change a process (control memory) so that the programs are often called firmware. The MPU, however, works as effectively from RAMs (Random Access Memory) programmed as a conventional computer. Economics dictates the use of ROM rather than RAM when these processors are used as controllers. For the usual controller environment, where a set of predefined tasks is required, the lack of run-time flexibility simplifies the device, from the user's point of



Figure 1 Functional block diagram of the basic terminal

view, and thus may be more desirable. The ability to customize the controller for variations in the user's environment requires the vendor to develop a capability for creating and debugging firmware in an economical manner: the computer programming problem revisited.

CAPABILITIES OF BASIC TERMINALS

The terminals available from commercial vendors in the late 1970's will have many, but not all, of the functional capabilities set forth as CAI terminal requirements. Some mainstream commercial terminals available today have most of these capabilities. The display will probably be video on a cathode ray tube with a display format of about 25 lines of 80 characters per line. The video generation is performed "on-the-fly," refreshing the display from character memory, and does not usually employ # bit map memory (a bit of

memory for each element of the display), which minimizes the capacity of refresh memory. The display generator can operate with multiple character sets (at least four) which can be customized to individual needs. Character description memory can use loadable RAM equally well. The video display also has enhancement capabilities, olinking and underlining of characters, half bright and reverse video. The keyboard is ASCII compatible (also easily customized). It is implemented in typewriter rigle and also contains function keys, a numeric pad, and special editing and display function keys. A variety of stand-alone (off-line) capabilities can also be expected, including text editing features, tape cassette control, and a self-test capability. Most important for this discussion, the terminal has the ability to expand the memory space and I/O devices on the MPU bus. The complement of interface, and a generalized parallel I/O socket for unspecified devices which can operate with the protocols of the MPU I/O bus.

Four required capabilities not generally provided by the commercial terminal vendor are the prerecorded visuals, the prerecorded voice device, the touch panel, and limited graphics. The voice output and touch panel input (to a lesser degree) are not significant problems, since they are available as off-the-shelf items and require only logical connection to the I/O bus of the terminal. Repackaging of the voice output unit for proper physical attachments and aesthetics does not require a significant amount of development work. Repackaging the touch panel may require some development effort but is not considered difficult or major by the author. Modifications or redesign of the interface logic for compatibility with the I/O bus is also a routine implementation problem. The two capabilities requiring development effort, which may require DoD incentives, are the limited graphics and prerecorded visuals. The implementation of these capabilities is discussed in the latter part of the report.

The potential capability which could provide maximum payoff is the MPU and its use in the terminal. The MPU controller provides a means of providing flexibility, modularity, and maintainability at minimum costs. All I/O devices interface the MPU bus and function with the firmware (or software) provided by the designer, minimizing hard-wired logic and multiple I/O interfaces. The power of the MPU, relatively untested, is in its ability to expand with firmware, providing new terminal capabilities, including some nontrivial stand-alone functions. This power is directly related to the MPU and its speed and address space and the terminal's capability to accommodate the required memory and I/O devices.

MICROPROCESSOR DESCRIPTION

Microprocessor technology is in a state of flux and is difficult to define for the time period being considered (1980). Today's capabilities could be judged related to a number of different currently announced microprocessors, although the conclusions stated throughout the report will be based on the Intel 8080. This selection vias made because of convenience, knowledge of the unit, availability of information, etc., not because it has been concluded to be the best available or the best for the task. It has been selected by some terminal manufacturers to be the controller of the next-generation display and is representative of today's technology. The 8080 is an 8-bit, n-channel MOS, LSI,

single-chip microprocessor. It contains an Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU), 12 general registers, stack pointer and program counter, address and data buffers, and timing and control logic. The 8080 has a typical instruction time of 2 microseconds, supports a single-level Interrupt (with support logic to easily handle multiple level interrupts), and provides for 64K bytes of address space. Intel also provides support components--RAM, ROM, PROM, I/O handlers, etc.--to tailor systems to specific needs and advertises software development support to implement appropriate firmware (the microprocessor programs). The estimates for controlling the above described basic terminal is 8K bytes of program space with 2K to 4K bytes of display data space (refresh memory). This allows up to 52K bytes of memory for the implementation of additional features. The microprocessor technology is in its infancy, and much development can be anticipated for the basic processor and the computer system components being developed. The larger microprocessor systems will be competing with today's minicomputer systems and this development can have an impact on CAI systems and the terminal by helping to develop the required software tools.

STAND-ALONE CAPABILITIES OF THE BASIC TERMINAL

The basic terminal has some stand-alone (off-line) capabilities which affect its performance in the CAI application. One, which is long needed for all terminals, is a self-test program. A special function key initiates the execution of a program within the ROM which tests most or all the modules (or printed circuit boards) and reports (usually on the output screen) the results of the test. This module requires a small amount of code and does a credible job of improving the maintainability of the terminals. Self-test checks the ROM and RAM, the two traditionally unreliable elements (as well as other modules), and represents a significant step in the right direction of terminal maintenance.

A second stand-alone capability of the basic terminal with a potential impact on the CAI system design is extended digital mass memory in the form of tape cassettes. The intended market for this capability is off-line text preparation, editing, etc. for eventual transmission to a computer. The basic capabilities of reading and writing tape cassettes can also be used to load programs for MPU execution. The assumption that a loader exists is valid, for most terminals implement a loader for diagnostic purposes. A single tape cassette has a capacity of 100,000 to 500,000 bytes (8 bits) of data with transfer rates from 5000 to 10,000 bytes per second depending on the vendor and terminal. Therefore the capability of loading programs (or lessons) from tape, prepared and mailed at some central location or from communication lines at user's discretion, exists in the basic terminal. The terminal therefore has all the attributes (MPU, RAM, and mass storage) of a stand-alone capability.

The significance of a stand-alone capability and the preparation of courseware for CAI in this mode is beyond the scope of this study. One can speculate, however, that several valid kinds of capabilities could provide some payoff (for example, lessons to aid the user in becoming acquainted with the terminal, the CAI computer system, or in monitoring user actions in using courseware at a remote host computer). The local capability could also be used to personalize the terminal for the individual user, or specific lesson, or to aid in preparing lessons by enhancing the terminal's editing and text preparation capabilities. Using the terminal's stand-alone capability merits additional study and consideration.

The commercial terminal described above is representative of the expected terminal of the 1970's. One can anticipate terminals with more capabilities than those described, especially within the microprocessor controller and the kind of "smarts" implemented to enhance specific user applications. A new industry is growing around small turnkey microcomputer systems (built within a terminal) for specific users, i.e., car salesmen, bank tellers, point-of-sale devices, etc. The field is in its infancy but the competitiveness will produce innovations that could significantly affect all terminals.

MILITARY ENVIRONMENT

The use of on-line terminals within the military environment is sharply increasing, and current estimates indicate that more than 80,000 will be in use by 1985 (see ^ppendix) These terminals are being used for general-purpose tasks of file updating, doc mentation preparation, message handling, and general scientific computation as well as the traditional dedicated system terminals for command and control, air defense and traffic control, secure systems, etc. The trend, rignificant to this discussion, is the use of terminals via networks to host computers for a variety of tasks by a variety of people. The author's expectations are that these terminals will be the basic terminal described in the preceding paragraphs, available from commercial vendors. These conclusions are based on currently authorized procurements of terminals and computers and current implementation plans of the Defense Communication Agency (DCA) for networks to handle the data traffic. DCA is currently the manager of the ARPANET and has initiated a Request for Proposals for design and implementation of an AUTODIN-like communication system using ARPANET technology (store-and-forward packet-switched data handling systems). Also being implemented are systems utilizing the ARPANET and its Host computers (National Software Works, or NSW) to provide user tools for specific tasks such as text editing, programming, data base accessing, etc. The trend of military computer use is toward on-line generalization and providing computer resources at the base level for widespread use and availability. CAI for the military is certainly an appropriate user candidate to take advantage of the existing and planned resources for computer usage.

CAI TERMINALS

Assuming the general availability of the basic terminal which satisfies most of the CAI requirements, how does one implement the specified CAI terminal? The basic terminal has modular construction with well-defined limits of expansion. As additional capabilities are required, modules are plugged into the basic unit, which provides a general I/O interface or specific control for the device being appended. The block diagram of the desired CAI terminal is shown in Figure 2. It is highly probable that the terminal vendor will consider expansion of memory to maximum address space and provide the appropriate space, power, and cooling. The ability to add several I/O devices without extensive modification to the packaging of the terminal remains questionable. Should this pose a problem, the obvious solution is to provide a single I/O multiplexor interface unit within the terminal which will allow the addition of several new devices through an external box. The touch panel and prerecorded voice devices require relatively simple bus interface for MPU control.



Figure 2 Functional block diagram of the CAI terminal

The interface of prerecorded visuals requires some additional study, for within the more obvious design approaches one is confronted with several options affecting the display function. The two obvious devices for providing the prerecorded media are microfilm in a Random Access Projector (RAP) or magnetic tape or disk in an inexpensive TV recorder (TVR). For the RAP the problem is the projecting surface of the display. The problem disappears if one uses a plasma type display surface, although the mainstream commercial vendors are not using the large plasma panel for economic reasons.

A means of providing the RAP capability with a video display is the use of an optical flat (port) on the cathode ray tube (CRT). This allows rear projection of images on the phosphor and face plate of the CRT; it is a technique being used in several special military displays. The disadvantage of this technique is the high cost and limited availability of the customized CRTs. (Typically these CRTs cost from \$500 to \$1000 each and may require tooling of from \$6000 to \$7000, if the glass bulb required is not in current inventories.)

A TVR is a good candidate for providing the image storage medium. It is economical and easily integrated into the terminal. A video input line to the display section and normal I/O bus control is all that is required. However, in an effort to improve the quality of characters displayed, or to provide additional character capacity on the screen, several terminal manufacturers have selected higher-resolution, higher-bandwidth video, not compatible with the normal American TV standard of 525 lines, 30 times per second. Therefore, in selecting a video device one has the option of choosing a lower resolution terminal display or finding (or modifying) a compatible video device to interface the terminal display. The foreign TV market uses higher resolution systems, providing a market for the inexpensive TVR devices being considered and may be available at the required video bandwidth. A TVR can also be used to satisfy the prerecorded voice output requirement. Both the TVR and RAP require a simple I/O bus interface for control.

Limited graphics, typically defined as inexpensive (adding less than \$1000 to the cost of the terminal), makes it possible to plot points, as well as to draw vectors and (sometimes) patches. One technique for achieving a subset of these capabilities is with special line drawing character sets to provide vertical and horizontal lines and special block type symbols. Although inexpensive, this capability is probably too limiting for CAI requirements.

The implementation of a limited graphics capabilities for video terminals requires incentives to design and develop the proper module(s). The commercial market for the basic terminal does not seem to require graphics. Most graphic requirements can be satisfied only with the more expensive terminals (greater than \$10,000) or with the clustered terminal systems (a controller driving several terminals), which are not being considered as a viable option for the CAI environment. Two vendors of basic terminals (Burroughs and Hewlett-Packard) considered limited graphics as an optional capability with their terminal, and paper designs were completed but never implemented because of lack of interest by the potential market.* The feasibility of implementing inexpensive graphics for video type displays is, however, confirmed.

The design of a graphics module to plug into the basic terminal is the most challenging of all the development efforts being considered. It functionally resembles the character generator, but is more complex and operates at higher speeds. The vector generator interfaces the memory bus and operates on vector order codes, prepared and stored in RAM by the MPU, to produce video. The video is electrically "ORed" to the character generator video to produce the image on the CRT. The graphics commands should be compatible with ARPANET graphics protocols (Ref. 2).

Private communication.

The characteristics of an on-the-fly vector generator for a video terminal produce some unusual design compromises. "On-the-fly" is defined as regenerating the video from a memory containing the vector commands for each frame time (60 times per second), much like the character refresh of these displays. A paper design by the author produced compromises that limit the number of vectors crossing one TV scall to 128 (individual vector descriptors). The compromise is mentioned only to demonstrate the types of design decisions one might expect in providing a vector generator for video displays. The limit of 128 vectors crossing a scan line is not too severe for most applications.

Another requirement to produce graphics is "patches," the ability to produce shaded sections as outlined by the vectors. Patch (half-tone-paint effect) plus a half bright video control provides an effective animation and adds depth to the presentation. Within the design of a video vector generator, patches are easily implemented.

CONCLUSIONS

The terminals required by the military CAI system developers can be acquired from vendors of commercial terminals without a significant amount of development effort. In general, the CAI terminal requirements are not unique or very demanding of the off-the-shelf terminals available in the late 1970's. Two capabilities requiring military incentives for development and implementation with these terminals are a limited graphics and prerecorded visuals. The architecture of the off-the-shelf terminal, a microproressor controller, will facilitate the integration of these and other future capabilities, for it is consistent with the design goal of providing customized terminals. This capability could provide the greatest economic impact for military CAI, for it makes possible cooperative efforts in using and purchasing terminals.

The ability for sharing terminals within the military community becomes closer to reality--perhaps a necessity--as the proliferation of these terminals continues throughout the military environment. Many of these terminals will be connected to host computers via data communication networks to provide a large spectrum of computer services to military users. For CAI to take advantage of these resources, future design efforts within CAI systems must be compatible with the protocols and data communication specification for the computer network. One conclusion which can be deduced from the above discussion is a CAI system design approach to maximize the use of existing computer services within the military community. The system development effort can be limited to the integration of existing computer capabilities to satisfy the CAI user requirements.

Terminal technology is currently going through dramatic advances. Today's terminals satisfy many CAI needs. With modest additional development, the terminals of the 1980's should satisfy all the currently identified CAI needs.

Preceding page blank

13

Appendix

EXCERPTS FROM INTELLICENT TERMINAL REPORT

The following paragraphs are excerpts, with permission, from an unpublished paper for ARPA on intelligent terminals by Robert H. Anderson of The Rand Corporation, December 1974.

Estimates of Data Terminal Needs

The DCA communications planning document (Ref. 3) is primarily concerned with interbase deta communications demands in the 1980's. To establish these, however, the ADP plans of the individual services were examined, which were existing and approved (through FY 1978) ADP systems of the military services and other DoD agencies.

In order to estimate the number of on-line computer and data communications terminals for general purpose and support use (i.s., excluding special-purpose systems) the DCA plan cetegorizes military installations of the services on the basis of the number of personnel on the installations, and makes certain assumptions about the computer systems and their functions in each installation category. Table 1 presents these assumptions.

Taule 1

Inetal latio Category	n Personne I	Averags nu On-si	mber of te	Average Number of Terminald	
		Computers	Terminals*	For Off-site Computers#4	
Large	5,000-50,000	 10	150		
Medium	ØI t600-4,999	2.5	85	28	
Small	80-599	8.5	11	11	
Very Small	8-79	0	0	4	
Individual	1-7	8	8	1	

DCA Planning Assumptions Regarding Interactive Terminals

* Interactive terminals for accessing the computers at the installation; projected from Air Force estimates.

Terminals for accessing computer systems not at the given installation; DCA estimates.

Given the number of installations of each category, DCA presents the estimates of total number of terminals for accessing off-site computers for various functions. A representative set of these functions is given in Table 2. The estimated numbers of terminals for each of the services are shown in Table 3. These estimates are based on the expectation of 2,560 on-line, remotely accessible military computer systems in the 1985 time period.

 Table 2

 Representative Computer Functions and Systems

WWMCS Major and Medium Computer Systems **Base Level Payroll Computer** Base Level Supply Computer Base Level Personnel and Management Computer Base Level Personnel Service Computer Intelligence Support Computer **Regional Logistics Computer** Transportation Computer System Weather Computational Facility Scientific and Engineering Computation Facility **Education and Training Management System** Air Traffic Control Facility Medical Processing and Resource Information System Medical Research Computer Facility Legal Information Computer Facility Computer for Military Reserve Activities Test and Evaluation Computer Facility

Inetal- lation	Number of Installations			Term Off-	Terminals for Off-site Computers			Terminals for On-site Computers		
*****	Army	Navy	AF	Army	Navy	AF	Army	Navy	AF	
Large Medium Small Very Small	63 181 218 198	39 103 86 49	29 120 173 429	3150 5068 2398 792	1950 2884 946	1450 3360 1803	9450 15385 2398	2850 9755 946 8	4350 10200 1803	
Indivi- dual	22	45	746	22	45	746	0 0	0	0	
TOTALS				11430	5985	9075	27233	13551	16353	

Table 3 Projected Numbers of Terminals, 1985

The grand totals of the numbers of terminals projected for each of the services by 1985 ere:

Army	38,663
Navy	19,536
Air Force	25,428
Total terminals	83,627

Air Force Estimates of Terminal Needs in 1985

Two Air Force studies of data communications and ADP requirements were completed recently: the *Mission Analysis of Air Force Base Communications 1985* (Ref. 4) was released in April 1974, and *Support of Air Force Automatic Data Processing Requirements in the 1980's* (Ref. 5) was published in June 1974. The latter in particular addresses the future need for interactive terminals and estimates the numbers of such terminals (including intelligent terminals) for Air Force base ADP systems. It is based on a general expectation that the growth of Air Force logistics and support ADP will be 3.5 times the present capability by 1985.

The SADPR-85 projections of terminal needs for different installations are given in Table 4.

Table 4

Installation		Average Ter	minals per	Total		
		Installa	ation	Terminals		
Type	Number	All Types	'Intelligent'	All Types	'Intelligent'	
Large	103	200	20	20,600	2,060	
Medium	29	100	10	2,900	290	
Small	11	50	5	550	55	
Other*	91	20	2	1,820	182	
Totals				25,870	2,587	

SADPR-85 Projections of Air Force Terminal Needs

* Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve bases.

Summery

Figure 3 projects the number of terminal in use in the 1980's by (1) extrapolating the historic growth rate (from 1970 to 1973); (2) by using the DCA estimates in Table 3; and (3) by assuming that other services have the same proportional terminal requirements as the Air Force (as shown in Table 4).

In all cases it is clear that large number of interactive on-line computer terminals will be used in the military services in the 1980's and that built-in intelligence can make their use more efficient and acceptable to the user. In addition, man-computer interactive in weapon systems and in tactical command-control systems is becoming an increasingly critical consideration, and the operators of such systems in aircraft, in ships, or in the field need augmentation of terminal capabilities to increase the effectiveness of the interaction and, thus, of the associated systems.





REFERENCES

- 1. Thomas H. Martin, Monty C. Stanford, F. Roy Carlson and William C. Mann, A Policy Assessment of Priorities and Functional Needs for the Military Computer-Assisted Instruction Terminal, University of Southern California Annenberg School of Communications, and USC/Information Sciences Institute, ISI/RR-75-44, December 1975.
- 2. Robert F. Sproul, Xerox PARC, and Elaine L. Thomas, Project MAC, M.I.T., A Network Graphics Protocol, August, 1974.
- 3. Defense Communications System Plan FY 1975-1986 Vol. 1, Basic Objectives, Planning Factors and Guidance, Defense Communications Agency, June 1973.
- 4. Mission Analysis on Air Force Base Communications--1985, AFSC Study Facility, Electronics Systems Command, April 1973.
- 5. Support of Air Force Automatic Data Processing Requirements in the 1980's (SADPR-85), Air Force Systems Command, Electronic Systems Division, June 1974.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director Personnel and Training Research Programs Diffica of Navai Research (Code 458) Arlington, VR 22217

> DNR Branch Dffice 536 South Clark Street Chicago, il 60605 ATTN: Dr. Charles E. Davis

Dr. H.R. Bertin, Scientific Director Diffice of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group-Tokyo American Embassy APO San Francisco 96583

> Office of Naval Research Code 200 Arlington, VR 22217

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko c/o Diffice of Naval Research Code 458 Arlington, VA 22217

Director Naval Research Laboratory Code 2627 Washington, D.C. 2039

Technical Director Navy Personnel Research and Development Center San Diego, CR 92152

Assistant Deputy Chief of Naval Personnel for Retention Analysis and Coordination (Pers 12) Room 2403, Arlington Annex Washington, D.C. 20370

LCDR Charles J. Theisen, Jr., HSC, USN 4024 Naval Alr Development Center Narminster, PA 18974

> Dr. Lee Hiller Naval Rir Systems Command AIR-413E Washington, D.C. 20361

Dr. Leon H. Nawroc's U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 1300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Joseph Kard U.S. Army Research Institute for the Bohavioral and Social Sciences 1300 Hilson Boulevard Ariington, VA 22209

> HQ USAREUR & 7th Army ODCSOPS USAREUR Director of GED APD New York #94#3

ARI Field Unit - Leavenworth Post Diffice Box 3122 Fort Leavenworth, KS 66827

fr. James Baker
U.S. Army Research Institute for the
Behavioral and Social Sciences
1388 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VR 22289

Dr. Miiton S. Katz, Chief Individual Training & Performance Evaluation U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 1388 Hilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22289

> DPHYAR Randoiph AFB, TX 78148

Dr. G.A. Eckstrand (AFHRL-AST) Wright-Patterson AFB Dhio 45433

Dr. Ross L. Morgan (AFHRL-ASR) Wright-Patterson AFB Dhio 45433

> AFHRL-DDJN Stop #63 Lackland AFB, TX 78236

Or. Kenneth E. Clark University of Kochester College of Arts and Science River Campus Station Rochester, NY 14527

Dr. Ailan N. Collins Boit, Beranek and Newman, Jr. 58 Noulton Street Cambridge, NA 82138

Dr. Rene' V. Dawis University of Minnesota Gepartment of Psychology Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Ruth Day Yale University Department of Psychology 2 Hillhouse Avenue New Haven, CT 86528

ERIC Processing and Reference Sacli 4833 Rugby Avenue Bethesda, HD 20014

Dr. Barry M. Feinberg Bureau of Social Science Resear Inc. 1990 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

> Dr. Victor Flelds Nontgomery College Department of Psychology Rockville, MD 20050

Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman Visiting Professor University of California Graduate School of Administrat Irvine, CA 92664

Dr. Robert Giaser, Co-Direct University of Pittsburgh 3939 D'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Henry J. Hamburger University of California School of Social Sciences Irvine, CR 92664

Coumanding Officer U.S. Navai Rephibious School Coronado, CR 92155

Commanding Differ Naval Health Research Cenker San Diego, CR 92152 Annapolis, ND 21482

Chairman Behavioral Science Department Naval Command & Management Division U.S. Naval Academy Annapolis, Nd. 21482

> Chief of Naval Education & Training Naval Rir Station Pensacola, FL 32508 RTTN: CRPT Brucs Stone, USN

Mr. Arnold I. Rubinstein Human Resources Program Manager Naval Material Command (8344) Room 1844, Crystal Plaza#5 Hashington, D.C. 28368

Dr. Jack R. Borsting U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Department of Operations Research Hontsrey, CR 93948

Dirsctor, Navy Occupational Task Analysis Program (NOTAP) Navy Personnel Program Support Activity Building 1384, Boiling AFB Hashington, D.C. 20336

Dffics of Civilian Nanpower Management Code 64 Washington, D.C. 20398 ATTN: Dr. Richard Niehaus

Diffice of Civilian Manpower Management Code 263 Washington, D.C. 20390

> Difice of Naval Reserve Code 3055 New Drieans, LA 70146

Dr. Nartin Rockway (NFHRL-TT) Lowry NFB Colorado 8/236

Major P.J. D.Leo Instructional "echnology Branch AF Human Resources Laboratory Lowry AFB, CD 88230

> Dr. Alfred R. Fregly AFDSR-NL 1488 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VR 22289

Capt. Jack Thorpe, USAF Flying Training Division AFHRL-FT Williams AF8, AZ 85224

AFHRL-PED Stop #63 Lackland AFB, TX 78236

Director, Office of Manpower Utilization Headquarters, Marine Corps (Code MPU) MCB (Building 2009) Quantico, VR 22134

Dr. A.L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor (Code RD-1) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps Washington, D.C. 20380

Chief, Academic Department Education Center Marine Corps Development and Education Command Marine Corps Base Quantico, VR 22134

Mr. E. A. Dover 2711 South Veitch Street Arlington, VA 22286

Mr. Joseph J. Сомал, Chief Psychological Research Branch (G-P-1-62) U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Washington, D.C. 20590 Dr. 11. D. Havron Human Sciences Research, Inc 7718 Did Spring House Road Hest Gate Industrial Park HcLean, VR 22181

HumRRD Central Division 400 Plaza Building Pace Boulevard at Fairfield Dr Pensacola, FL 32505

> HumRRD-Hestern Division 27857 Berwick Drive Carmel, CA 93921 ATTN: Library

HumRRO Central Division-Columb Office Suite 23, 2681 Cross Country D Columbus, GR 31980

> HumRRD-Hestern Division 27857 Berwick Drive Carmel, CA 93921 ATTN: Dr. Robert Vineberg

> HumRRO Joseph H. Rustin Bullding 1939 Goldsmith Lane Louisville, KY 48218

Ur. Laurence B. Johnson Laurence Johnson & Assoclates, 2001 S Street, N.H., Suits 502 Hashington, D.C. 20009

> Dr. Arnold F. Kanarick Honeywell, Inc. 2600 Ridge Parkway Minneapolis MN 55413

Dr. Roger A. Kaufman U.S. International University Graduate School of Human Behav Elliott Campus 8655 E. Pomerada Road San Diego, CH 92124

Page b

Chief of Naval Operations DP-987P7 Nachington, D.C. 20350 ATTN: CAPT H.J.H. Connery

SuperIntendent Naval Poetgraduate School Nonterey, GR 93948

Mr. George N. Graine Naval Sea Systems Command SEA 047C12 Nachington, D.C. 20362

Chief of Naval Technical Training Naval Alm Station Hemphie (75) Hillington, TN 38854 ATTN: Dr. Norman J. Kerm

> Commending Difficer Service School Command U.S. Navai Training Center San Diego, CR 92133 ATTN: Code 03030

Principal Civilian Rdvisor for Education and Training Naval Training Command, Cods 00R Pensacola, FL 32508 ATTN: Dr. William L. Maioy

Director Training Analysis & Evaluation Group Code N-00T Department of the Navy Driando, FL 32813 ATTN: Dr. Rifred F. Smode

Chief of Naval Training Support Code N-21 Building 45 Naval Air Staiton Pensacola, FL 32508

> LCDR C. F. Logan, USN F-14 Managsment System COMFITREWWINGPRC NRS Miramar, CR 92145

Navy Personnel Rssearch and Development Csntsr Cods 1 San Diego, CR 92152 Miiltary Assistant for Human Resources Diffice of the Secretary of Defense Room 30123, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

Advanced Ressarch Projects Agency Administrative Services 1400 Wilson Boulsvard Ariington, VR 22209 ATTN: Ardsila Holioway

Dr. Harold F. D'Nsil, Jr. Rdvancsd Rssearch Projects Rgsncy Human Resources Rssearch Office 1408 Hilson Boulevard Arlington, VR 22289

Dr. Robert Young Rdvanced Research Projects Rgency Human Resources Research Diffice 1488 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VR 22289

Dr. Lorraina D. Eyos Personnei Research and Development Center U.S. Civil Service Commission 1988 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 28415

Dr. William Gorham, Director Personnel Research and Development Center U.S. Civil Service Commission 1988 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 28415

Dr. Vern Urry Personnal Rassarch and Davalopment Centar U.S. Civil Servica Commission 1988 E Streat, N.W. Washington, D.C. 28415

Dr. Erik McWilliams, Dirsctor Tschnological Innovations in Education Group National Science Foundation 1888 G Strest, N.H., Room H 658 Washington, D.C. 20558

Dr. Richard C. Atkinson Deputy Director National Science Foundation 1800 G Strsst, N.M. Washington, D.C. 20550 Dr. Staven W. Kasle Univarsity of Gregon Dapartment of Psychology Eugens, DR 97403

Dr. David Klahr Carnsgis—Hsllon University Dspartment of Psychology Pittsburgh, PR 15213

Dr. Ezra S. krendsl University of Pennsylvania Wharton School, DH-CC Philadelphia, PR 19174

Dr. Alma E. Lantz University of Danvar Cenver Rasearch Institute Industrial Economics Divisio Danver, CD 80210

Mr. Brian McNally Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 88540

Dr. Robsrt R. Mackie Human Factors Rsssarch, Inc 6780 Corton Drivs Santa Barbara Ressarch Park Golata, CR 93017

Dr. Leo Munday, Vics Presidem American Collegs Tseting Prog P.D. Box 168 Towa City, IR 52240

Nr. A.J. Pssch, Preeldent
Eclectech Rssocialss, Inc.
P.D. Box 178
North Stonington, CT 06359

Mr. Luigl Pstrullo 2431 North Edgewood Street Arlington, VR 22287

Dr. Steven H. Pine University of Hinnesota Department of Psychology Hinneapolis, NN 55455

Dr. Diane H. Ramsey-Klee R-K Research & Systsm Desig 3947 Ridgemont Drivs Malibu, CR 98265 Navy Personnel Research and **Osvelopment** Center Code 2 San Diego, CA 92152 ATTN: A. A. Sjoholm

Navy Personnel Research and Dsvelopmant Centsr Cods 386 San Diego, CR 92152 ATTN: Dr. J. H. Steinemann

Navy Personnel Research and Development Center San Diego, CA 92152 ATTN: Library

Navy Personnel Ressarch and **Osvelopment Cantar** Cods 9841 San Diego, CA 92152 ATTN: Dr. J.D. Fistcher

D. H. Gragg, CRPT, MC, USN Hsad, Educational Programs Development Dr. Cari Frederiksen Department Naval Hsalth Sciences Education and Training Command Bethesda, ND 28014

Technical Director U.S. Army Research Institute for the Bshavioral and Social Sciences 1308 Hilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22289

> Armed Forces Staff College Norfolk, VA 23511 ATTN: Library

Commandan t U.S. Army Infantry School Fort Benning, GR 31985 ATTN: ATSH-DET

Deputy Commander U.S. Army Institute of Administration Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216 ATTN: EA

Dr. Andrew R. Molnar Tachnological Innovations in E stion Group National Science Foundation 1888 G Street, N.H. Washington, D.C. 28558

Dr. Marshall S. Smith Assistant Acting Director Program on Essential Skills National Institute of Education Brown Building, Soom 815 19th and N Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 28288

U.S. CivII Service Commission Federal Dfflcs Building Chicage Regional Staff Division Regional Psychologist 238 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 68684 ATTN: C.S. Winiswicz

Learning Division, Basic Skills Group National Institute of Education 1288 19th Street, N.H. Washington, D.C. 28288

Dr. Scarvia B. Anderson Educational Testing Service 17 Executive Park Drive, N.E. Atlanta, GA 38329

Dr. John Annstt Department of Psychology The University of Narwick Coventry CV47R1 ENGLAND

Mr. Samuel Ball Educational Testing Service Princeton, N.J. 88548

Dr. Gerald V. Barrett University of Akron Department of Psychology Akron, OH 44325

Dr. Joseph W. Rigney University of Southern Callfor Behavioral Technology Laborato 3717 South Grand Los Angeles, CA 98887

Dr. Leonard L. Rosenhaum, Chai Nontgomery College Department of Psychology Rockville, MD 28858

> Dr. George E. Rowland Rowland and Company, Inc. P.C. Box 61 Haddonfield, NJ 88833

Dr. Arthur I. Slegel Applied Psychological Servic 484 East Lancaster Avenue Wayne, PR 19887

> Dr. Richard Snow Stanford University School of Education Stanford, CA 94385

Dr. C. Harold Stone 1428 Virginia Avenue Glendale, CR 91282

Mr. Dennis J. Sullivan c/o HAISC, Building 119, M.S. P.D. Box 98515 Los Angeles, CA 98889

Dr. Patrick Suppes Stanford University Institute for Nathematical Stu In the Social Sciences Stanford, CR 9.385

> Dr. Banton J. Underwood Northwestern University Department of Psychology Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. Carl R. Veet Battelle Nemorial Institute Washington Operatione 2030 / Street, N.H. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dr. Frank J. Harris U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 1308 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Stanley L. Cohen U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 1380 Hilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22289

Dr. Ralph Dusek U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 1388 Hilson Boulevard Arlington, VR 22289 Dr. Bernard M. Bass University of Rochester Graduate School of Management Rochester, NY 14627

Dr. Ronald P. Carver School of Education University of Hissouri-Kansas City 5108 Rockhill Road Kansar City, MD 54110

Century Research Corporation 4113 Lee Highway Artington, VR 22207

> Or. A. Charnes BEB 512 University of Texas Austin, TX 78712

Dr. David J. Heiss University of Minnesota Department of Psychology NG68 Eiliott Hail Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Anita Hest Denver Research Institute University of Derver Denver, CO 8821d

Dr. Kenneth N. Hexler University of California School of Social Sciences Irvine, CA 92664