A0-A019 108

EVALUATION OF ADOITIVES TO REDUCE SOLID PROPELLANT FLAMMABILITY IN AMBIENT AIR

Leonard H. Caveny, et al

Princeton University

Prepared for:

Ballistic Research Laboratories

Oecember 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 014084



1428

100



CONTRACT REPORT NO. 278

EVALUATION OF ADDITIVES TO REDUCE SOLID PROPELLANT FLAMMABILITY IN AMBIENT AIR

Prepared by

Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey

December 1975

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



AD

USA BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield, VA. 22151 Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or monufacturers' names in this report does not constituts indorsement of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	J. A. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
CONTRACT REPORT NO. 278	
TITLE (and Subtitia)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOG COVERED
EVALUATION OF ADDITIVES TO REDUCE SOLID	Technical
PROPELLANT FLAMMABILITY IN AMBIENT AIR	1 Dec 1971 - 30 Nov 197
	S PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTNOR(a)	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Leonard H. Caveny, Anthony Z. Mackiewicz and	
Martin Summerfield	DAAD05-72-C-0135
BEBERBHUR CARAVIZATION HAVE AND ACCRESS	
Princeton University	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Princeton, N.J.	
	1J662604AH97
CONTROLLIND OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratoriee	DECEMBER 1975
Aberdesn Proving Ground, MD	15 91 BER DF PAGES
MONITORING ADENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office	1. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
5001 Fisenbower Avenue	
Alexandria, VA 22333	15. GECLASSIFICATION/DOWNDRADINC SCNEQULE
DISTORNUTION STATEMENT (of this Penart)	_ <u>_</u>
Approvad for public release: distribution unlin	\mathcal{L}
	.IAN 12 1976
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the addiract entered in block 20, if different i	
	D
	D
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES	D
NET WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Solid propellants, Flame retardants.	
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES REY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block numb Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction.	") ")
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NET WORDS (Continue on teveres side if necessary and identify by block numb Solid propellants, Flame retardants, S'lammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment,	
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NET WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition,	
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NET WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block numb Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition,	, D
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if the state if the state if necessary and identify by block number Asstract if the state i	D deretanding of how chamical
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NET WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up Mittives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, i	moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up ditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a soluce the likelihood of accidental ignition and i	") meretanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affect the com-
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on careres side 11 necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an un iditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a educe the likelihood of accidental ignition and in astion of high snergy propellants in ambient air.	moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- . Sevsral composite propella
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up iditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a soluce the likelihood of accidental ignition and is ustion of high snergy propellants in ambient air are made more resistant to ignition. However, to	moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- . Sevsral composite propella aet results for high snergy
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an un iditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a soluce the likelihood of accidental ignition and in ustion of high snergy propellants in ambient air are made more resistant to ignition. However, to topellants revsalsd that (once ignition occurred)	moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affact the com- . Sevaral composite propella met results for high snergy) the continual resupply of
Supplementary notes Supplementary notes Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side to necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up iditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a soluce the likelihood of accidental ignition and in ustion of high snergy propellants in ambient air are made more resistant to ignition. However, to topellants revsalsd that (once ignition occurred) actants (both air and propellant products) over	") meretanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- . Sevsral composite propella met results for high energy) the continual resupply of whelms the contributions of
 SUPPLEMENTARY HDTES SUPPLEMENTARY HDTES Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up idditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a aduce the likelihood of accidental ignition and i ustion of high snergy propellants in ambient air ere made more ressistant to ignition. However, to topellants revsalsd that (once ignition occurred) eactants (both air and propellant products) over 	") moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- . Sevsral composite propellar met results for high snergy) the continual resupply of whalms the contributions of
SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES SUPPLEMENTARY NDTES Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up iditives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a duce the likelihood of accidental ignition and i action of high snergy propellants in ambient air ire made more resistant to ignition. However, to topellants revsalsd that (once ignition occurred) hactants (both air and propellant products) over SUM 01022014-4001	moderetanding of how chemical and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- . Sevsral composite propella aet results for high energy) the continual resupply of whelms the contributions of
SUPPLEMENTARY HDTES N.Y WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Solid propellants, Flame retardants, Flammability, Hazard reduction. Extinguishment, Ignition, ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An experimental investigation to obtain an up Hitives (i.e., flame inhibitors, char formers, a educe the likelihood of accidental ignition and is aution of high snergy propellants in ambient air are made more resistant to ignition. However, to topellants revealed that (once ignition occurred) actants (both air and propellant products) over yorm 1473 EDITION OF INGVESIS DESOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601; y security C	monopolicity and and coolants) intended to flammability affsct the com- set rssults for high snergy the continual resupply of whalms the contributions of UNCLASSIFIED LASSIFICATION OF THIS FADE (Then Data Ent

·· · · ·

State of the state

UNCLASSIFIED

CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. Abstract - continued

the edditives and prevents celf-extinguishment in ambient air. In some cases elimination of the conventional external flame resulted in emoldering which produced extremely hazardous, explosive gases. The investigation revealed the following encouraging trends. Several low energy materials (i.e., impetus less than 200,000 ft-lb/lb) suitable for outer-layer protective coatings eppear to be promising with respect to their ability to self-extinguish and to be resistant to ignition under ambient conditions. Several of the moderately high energy nitramine composite propellants with high decomposition temperature binders are several times more resistant to accidental ignition then conventional propellants and are easily extinguished, if ignited.

1i

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY GLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

FOREWORD

The Technical Monitor for this program was Dr. A. W. Barrows of the Ballistic Research Laboratories. During the course of the study the following individuals were particularly cooperative in arranging for test specimens and providing advice: M. Visnov, Frankford Arsenal; E. Costa, Picatinny Arsenal; R. Reed, J. A. Peterson, and E. Lawton, Wasatch Division, Thiokol Corp.; R. A. Biddle, Elkton Division, Thiokol Corp.; G. A. Lo, Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International; C. N. Bernstein, Small Arms System Agency; and A. A. Juhasz and J. J. Rocchio of the Ballistic Research Laboratories. The concept of a high ignition temperature/self-extinguishing propellant (HIT/SEAP) under ambient conditions was defined by L. Ambrosini while he was Director of the Small Arms System Agency.

8778	While Section
MANNOUNCED	NOT BOUND
ISTIFICATION	C
NT	
OT DISTRICTION Dist.	VAVAILABILITY COUES
DT DISTPLACTION Dist. A	MANANABILITY COUES
	VANAILABILITY CODES

iıi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3

Constant in

Includence Inter International States Billing States

FOREWO	RD			iii
TABLE	OF C	ONT	ents	v
LIST O	F TA	BLE	CAPTIONS	vii
LIST O	FFI	GURI	E CAPTIONS	ix
I.	INTE	RODU	CTION	1
II.	CHEN	ICA	L APPROACHES TO REDUCING FLAMMABILITY	3
	A.	Fla	ne Retardants	3
	в.	Chai	Formers	5
	c.	Coo	lants	7
III.	EXPE	RIM	ENTS FOR MEASURING COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS	10
	Α.	Reg	llated Atmosphere	10
	в.	Fre	a Convection Effects	11
	c.	Stea	ady State Burning Rate Measurements	12
IV	EVAI	UAT:	ION OF ADDITIVES	13
	А.	Halo	ogen Additives to Reduce Propellant Flammability	13
		1.	Nitrocellulose Propellants	13
		2.	AP Composite Propellants	15
		3.	HMX Composite Propellants	15
		4.	Comments on the Effectiveness of Halogen- Containing Additives	15
	в.	Phos	sphorus Additives to Reduce Propellant Flammability	17
		1.	Nitrocellulose Propellants	17
		2.	AP Composite Propellants	19
		3.	HMX Composite Propellants	20
		4.	Comments on the Effectiveness of Char Formers	21
	c.	Coo	lants as Additives to Reduce the Propellant Flammability	21
		1.	Nitrocellulose Propellants	21
		2.	AP Composite Propellant	22
		3.	HMX Composite Propellant	23
		٨	Companys on the Effortiveness of Coolasts	22

ν

Page

Preceding page blank

	D.	Organometallic Compounds	24				
	E.	Enthalpy and Gas Composition Measurements ∂f Coolants and Binders	25				
	F.	Hazards Associated with Smoldering Propellation	27				
v.	IGN	ITION AFTER EXPOSURE TO FLAMES	29				
	A.	Results of Flame Tests	29				
	Β.	Effect of Low Heating Rate on Conditions for Idnition and Self-Extinguishment	30				
VI.	CON	CLUSIONS	32				
REFER	ENCE	S	35				
GLOSS	ARY		17				
TABLES							
FIGURES							
DISTRIBUTION LIST							

8

LIST OF TABLE CAPTIONS

教授があった

Table 1 Control Propellants Table 2 Summary of Propellant Formulation Other Than Control Propellants	38 39
Table 2 Summary of Propellant Formulation Other Than Control Propellants	39
Table 3 Summary of Additives Investigated to Reduce Flammability of Nitrocellulose Propellants	40
Table 4 Summary of Additives Investigated to Reduce Flammability of AP Composite Propellants	41
Table 5 Summary of Additives Investigated to Reduce Flammability of HMX Composite Propellants	42
Table 6 Combustion and Decomposition Temperatures of Propellants and Propellant Ingredients.	43
Table 7 Chemical Analysis of Binders and Coolants	44
Table 8 Samples Provided by Frankford Arsenal for Flame Ignition Tests	45

- 11 ->

LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS

.

			rage
Figure	1	The processes which suppress propellant burning also increase the concentration of atmospheric O_2 at the reacting surface and thereby tend to defeat self-extinguishment.	46
Figure	2	Illustration of the research goal of shifting the boundary for eelf-extinguishment beyond the ambient pressure and temperature range in which the propellant will be exposed.	47
Figure	3	Failure to sustain combustion resulting from char formation.	48
Figure	4	Conditions which determine whether a coolant will be effective in reducing propellant flammability.	49
Figuro	5	Schematic representation illustrating the types of laboratory tests used to measure the effectiveness of flammability reducing modifications.	50
Figure	6	Apparatus to measure times and to photograph ignition and self-extinguishment processes that occur during and after exposure of propellant specimens to a calibrated flame.	51
Figure	7	Atmospheric chamber arranged for photographing flame development and self-extinguishment.	52
Figure	8	Cross-sectional profiles of horizontal cylinder burning in air.	53
Figure	9	Transient flame development around horizontal cylinder burning in air.	54
Figure	10	Transient flame development around horizontal cylinder burning in air.	55
Figure	11	Transient development of outer boundary of dark zone surrounding burning horizontal cylinder.	56
Figure	12	Transient development of outer boundary of flame zone eurrounding burning horizontal cylinder.	57
Figure	13	Drive mechanism for advancing propellant to obtain eteady etate burning rates under ambient air conditions.	58

+ix-

Preceding page blank

Figure	14	Photograph of chamber for conducting experiments under controlled atmospheres (prototype for measuring steedy state burning reter is in place).	59
Figure	15	Burning rete vereus pressure of nitrocellulose propellant modified with ethylene dibromide showing that adding ethylene dibromide does not produce a useful self- extinguishment point	60
		excinguisiums ne point.	00
Figure	16	Comparison of solid snd liquid helogsn sdditives shows thet neither are effective flammability reducing agents.	61
Figure	17	Comperison of the effectiveness of a straight chain and ring hslogen shows that neither are effective flammability reducing sdditives.	62
Figurs	18	Comperison of the effect of substituent group (i.e., hydroxyl) on ring hslogen shows thet modifying the ring halogen is not effective in reducing flammability.	63
Figur ø	19	Burning rete vsrsus prsesure of AP/PBAA composite propellant modified with ethylene dibromide showing thst ethylene dibromide does not effect the burning rate charscteristics in the low pressure range.	64
Figure	20	Compar'son of liquid and solid halogen additives shows thet nsither is effective flammability reducing agents in AP composite propellants.	65
Figure	21	Helogen additive does n t modify mass burning behavior and does not reduce flammability of HMX Composits propellant.	66
Figurs	22	5% phosphoric acid as an additive does not reduce flammability.	67
Figurs	23	5 and 10% ammonium phosphate [(NH ₄) ₂ HPC ₄] does not appreciably improve flammability limit.	68
Figure	24	10% triphenyl phosphate is not effective in reducing flammability.	69
Figure	25	10% triphenylphosphonium bromide es en edditive makes propellant more susceptible to burning end does not reduce flammability.	70
Figure	26	Of the phosphorus containing compounds added to AP composite propellants Phoschsk-30 is the most effective in reducing burning rate but none of the additives pro- duce an appreciable reduction in flammability.	71

a de la composición d

and the second of the

Ser. C.

Figure 2	27	Decrease of ignitability and burning rate of AP composite with increased phosphate loading.	72
Figure 2	28	Effect of phosphorus additives on HMX composite propellant muss burning rate and flammability limits.	73
Figure 2	29	Comparison of urea and oxamide as coolants in reducing mass burning rate (not effective in reducing flammability).	74
Figure :	30	Comparison of coolants used in AP composite propellants shows that NH4Br is the most effective in decreasing flammability and greatly reduces burning rate.	75
∕igu re :	31	Coolants in HMX composite propellant produce no significant flammability reduction or modification of mass burning rate.	76
Figure :	32	Effect of additives on burning rate and pressure of deflagration limit in N ₂ showing that oxamide reduces burning rate by 20%.	77
Figu re :	33	Measured temperature vs distance in condensed phase and flame zone showing how flammability retarding additives reduce temperature gradients and flame zone temperatures.	78
Figure	34	Comparison of tetraphenyl compounds showing that they are not effective in reducing the flammability of nitrocellulose propellants.	79
Figure	35	Times to ignition of propellants provided by Frankford Arsenal (propellant compositions summarized in Table 8).	80
Figure	36	Effect of low heating rate on conditions for self- extinguishment.	81

このないのないないないないないないない いろくしい

The of States New Decision

.

.

with them w

and a trian in the owner the and a state of the observed

-xi-

I. INTRODUCTION

11 Magnetation

This report summarizes an investigation of chemical means of reducing the flammability and the likelihood of accidental ignition of solid propellants in ambient air. The overall goal of the investigation was to develop an improved understanding of the mechanisms by which flame retarding additives could reduce flammability (in ambient air). The scope of the total study and the cri'eria for successfully reducing flammability are given in an earlier report.^{*1} The results of the investigation have utility in rationalizing the choice of propellant formulations, charge configuration, process techniques, and special additives to reduce flammability.

This report, along with a previous report, were part of a broadly based U.S. Army effort to develop high energy propellants to meet the following requirements:

> The propellant shall resist ignition, shall self-extinguish following removal of the ignition stimulus, shall have good ballistic and physical properties, and shall burn readily at elevated pressures in combustion chamber conditions.

The desired characteristics of these propellants have been summarized by the designation HIT/SEAP, i.e., high ignition temperature/selfextinguishing at atmospheric pressure.

In the studies at Princeton University, the emphasis was on understanding the combustion processes and not on developing propellants. Several organizations and laboratories were given contracts specifically aimed at developing propellants resistant to accidental ignition and to sustained combustion under atmospheric conditions. $^{2-6}$

Propellants burning in ambient air are depicted in Fig. 1, which shows the interaction between the combustion products which evolve at the burning surface and the ambient air. When burning is vigorous and the momentum of the gases leaving the burning surface is relatively high, the diffusion flame between the products of the self-flame and the ambient air is only loosely coupled to the reactions at the propellant surface

^{*}For continuity and completeness purposes, there is a slight overlap between this report and Ref. 1.

(see Fig. 1a). In that case, even though the reactione with air greatly increase the flame temperature, the diffusion flame is too far from the surface to increase greatly the temperature gradient at the surface. Modifications which reduce the propellant burning rate (under ambient conditions) also reduce the blowing rate at the surface and, thereby, primit the propellant producte/air diffusion flame to move arbitrarily close to the reacting surface. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 1b, when the burning rate is sufficiently low, oxygen in the ambient air diffuses through the flame zone to the propellant surface and accelerates the surface reactions. Figure 1b illustrates a very important realization in the search for low flammability propellants, i.e., the very modifications that tend to decrease the intensity of the self-flame increase the relative heat feedback contribution of the propellant producte/air diffusion flame.

Figure 2 illustrates echematically the self-extinguishment requirement that is desired of low flammability propellants. The sketches show the preseure and temperature domain in which the propellants are intended to operate along with the boundary for self-extinguishment, following removal of the ignition etimulus. The upper eketch represents the eituation of all of the conventional high energy propellants which are in use presently, i.e., the propellant will not self-extinguish within the major portion of the operating domain. The lower eketch showe the desired eituation of a propellant whose boundary for self-extinguishment is totally above the preseure and temperature domain. As indicated in the sketches, increasing pressure and temperature makes self-extinguishment less likely. Accordingly, throughout this report interest is focused on propellant modifications which tend to shift the self-extinguishment boundary to the higher ambient pressures and temperatures.

-2-

II. CHEMICAL APPROACHES TO REDUCING FLAMMABILITY

and All a threader for the

The reduction of flammability achieved by adding flame retardants has numerous practical and commercial applications. In the case of textiles and plastics, an extensive technology has been developed through which a wide range of chemically distinct additives can be utilized to retard flammability while other desirable properties are retained.⁷ There is broad experience on which to relate retardant characteristics such as elemental composition, chemical structure, and degree of loading to the reduction of flammability. While the successes of applied chemistry in flame retardance are clearly apparent, it has become increasingly important, as requirements for reducing the flammability of combustible materials become more stringent, to develop a better understanding of the mechanisms by which flame retardants function.

The initial efforts to reduce the flammability of propellants have centered on approaches that have been applied successfully to reduce the flammability of plastics and polymers.⁷ From that experimental data base, the following have been shown to be useful and practical: (1) flame inhibitors (additives containing halogen atoms) which decompose to yield species which suppress the gas phase reactions near the burning surface, (2) compounds which promote the formation of carbonaceous surface layers that block heat feedback from the flame and the diffusion of atmospheric oxygen to the surface, and (3) coolants which absorb large amounts of energy near the burning surface.

It is recognized that the inhibition of flames can more effectively be achieved by chemical rather than physical means. However in practical fire retardance situations, both physical and chemical constraints operate. For example, advances in flammability reduction can be negated if the propellant is subjected to extreme heating conditions or to unusual convective burning situations.

A. Flame Retardants

A hypothesized flame retardant mechanism for halogen compounds is flame inhibition action by means of radical trapping in the vapor phase.⁷ The additive decomposes near the burning surface and forms free radicals in the flame. Oxidation in the vapor phase is a process involving free radicals, e.g., H*, OH*, O*, and HO_2^* . The flame retarding action of the halogen additive is attributed to the ability of the added substance to trap these radicals. Reactions of bromine compounds are a good example of this;

RBr + H + HBr + R +

For flame inhibition to occur, the generated species $R \cdot must$ be a less active radical than $H \cdot must$ be removed. In general, $H \cdot , OH \cdot ,$ and the like, will be the most active species and their removal should greatly retard the flame zone reactions.

In a hydrocarbon/air lame, halogens act as acceptors of free radicals and as inhibitors of combustion of CO. The most important reactions are probably: いいであるがあるというというというないであるとうでいうないのであると

 $OH \cdot + CO + CO_2 + H \cdot$ (A) exothermic $H \cdot + O_2 + OH \cdot + O \cdot$ (B) chain branching Hydrogen is formed in reaction (A) and used in reaction (B). The OH · radical is formed in reaction (B) and used in reaction (A). A chain reaction is thereby initiated; attempts can be made to interrupt it by reducing the concentration of H · and OH · . Halogens, especially bromine, can play a part in this interruption. Hydrobromic acid, from bromine compounds, interacts with the highly reactive OH · radical and give rise to the following reactions:

OH•	+	HBr	+	н ₂ 0	+	Br•	(C)	inhibition
Br•	+	RH	→	HBr	+	R۰	(D)	regeneration

RH is an organic compound containing hydrogen. The practical result is that OH• is replaced by a less active species Br• or R• and the chain reaction ceases as a consequence. Since the bond strength of HBr is less than that of HCl (86 vs 102 kcal/mole) reaction (C) would progress more slowly with HCl. This observation explains why bromine is usually a more effective flame retardant than chlorine, a fact which is clearly confirmed by fire retardants added to commercial plastics.

To be an effective flame retardant in a propellant formulations, the halogen additive must be added as a solid and decompose at the burning surface. Experience has shown that liquid additives cannot be easily

-4-

rstained in the propellant. Particular attantion must be given to insure that solid additives decompose readily at the surface to form the active species necessary for flame inhibition. The structure of the additive influences the ease with which it decomposes and the species it yields. An affective flame inhibitor most likely will have its predominant affect in the thin flame zone near the burning surface of the propellant where the reactive species can be neutralized more affectivaly.

B. Char Formers

あるとうない たいでんしい いちん たちないたい たってん

Savaral phosphorus containing compounds have been identified as promoting char layers.⁷ As the parent matarial burns, these phosphorus comrounds react to yield long chain carbon compounds and eventually a char layer. As this char layer devalops, one hypothesis (illustratad in Fig. 3) is that it partially insulates the surface from heat feedback from the flame and isolates the surface reaction zone from atmospheric oxygen. After the ignition stimulus is removed and the burning rate adjusts, flame inhibition stretches out the self-flams. During the early stages of burning, the char layer begins to insulate the surface from the diffusion flame. If char formation is successful as a fire retardant, heat faedback from the air/propellant products flame to the propellant surface should become progressively weaker until the affacts of ambient air become unimportant (Fig. 3c).

It is known that most organo-phosphorus compounds are effective flame retardants while non-volatile phosphorus salts of metals are not. Tha phosphorus compounds tand to create mora char and less flammable volatilas. The important element is the production of an acid that is difficult to volatize. Substancas that give rise to acid fragments on heating appear to have the desired affect when usad in commercial plastics. Phosphoric acid has a high boiling point (130 - 200 C) and therefore remains in the solid or liquid phases sufficiantly long to function as a char former. Indeed, aftar burning a substance treated with a phosphorus compound, a sticky viscous liquid is usually found which is polymeric acid containing phosphorus.

-5-

A specific mechanism for char formation has not been well established and investigators have postulated mechanisms that differ depending upon ths parent material and the phosphorus compound added. One particular mechanism of char formation suggests an initial decomposition of the parent material and molecular rearrangements catalyzed by acids. The initial decomposition products must then decompose to volatile fragments or react further to produce char. The char presumably is highly crosslinked and reduced to almost pure carbon.

Phosphorus can have several oxidation states varying from +5 to -3 and there seems to be some relation between fire retardance and the phosphorus oxidation state. The stability of organo-phosphorus compounds increases from phosphates and phosphites to phosphonates to phosphine oxide.

The phosphorus additive may react with the propellant binder during the curing process or at the burning surface. Processing of the polymer with nitrogen compounds has shown that the esterification reaction of the phosphate compound with the hydroxyl group of the binder takes place smoothly and rapidly.⁷

 $R-OH + HO-P-(OH)_{2} + (NH_{2})_{2}CO \rightarrow R-O-P-(OH)_{2} + 2NH_{3} + CO_{2}$

where R is some molecule with an available hydroxyl group, e.g., cellulose. The urea probably functions as a dehydrating agent promoting the phosphorylation of the hydroxy-material.

Consider the PBAA-EPON system that serves as the binder in some composite propellants,

Cure Reaction of PBAA-EPON System



where M and N indicate repeating units.

-6-

Phosphorylation of the binder probably takes place at a hydrox 1 group from the epoxide added as tha curing agent in the cure reaction. Tha extent of phosphorylation will depend upon the number of available hydroxyl groups in the propellant binder.

Phosphorus additivas also function through frea radical reactions with propellant dacomposition products. Probable reactions leading to inhibition in flames containing phosphorus are as follows;⁷

 $R_3^{PO} \rightarrow PO^{\bullet}, P^{\bullet}, and P_2$ H++ PO++ M \rightarrow HPO++ M

whare M is some third body (nonreactiva molecule)

 $HPO + H + H_2 + PO +$

OH+ + PO+ + HPO+ + O+

Other likely reactions are;

In H atom racombination reactions, the main species are P_2 , PO, and PO₂ with lesser amounts of P, HPO, and PN. The species HPO is readily observed in flames by its characteristic green chemiluminescence. An additional affect by the phosphorus compounds considers the formation of free radicals which may act as flame inhibitors in a manner similar to that of the helogen additives.

C. Coolants

To realize fully the flammability reducing capacity of a particulate coolant added to a propellant, the coolant must endotharmically decompose before it leaves the surface of the matrix propellant. The following are axamplas of compounds which can be coneidared as coolants when added to propellants:

Oxamide
$$C_2H_4N_2O_2$$
 $M_w = 88$
 $NH_2 - C - C - NH_2$
 $0 = 0$
Ammonium Oxalata $C_2H_8N_2O_4$ $M_w = 124$
 $NH_4O - C - C - ONH_4$
 $Hydroxylammonium Oxalate $C_2H_8N_2O_6$ $M_w = 166$
 $HOH_3NU - C - C - ONH_3OH$$

Figure \langle illustrates two situations: (a) the undesirable situation of a coolant decomposing after it leaves the propellant surface, and (b) the desirable situation of a coolant endothermically decomposing at the propellant surface. The difference between the surface temperatures of the matrix propellant and the decomposition of the coolant is the important consideration in terms of the above premise. The temperature of decomposition at slow heating rates is a secondary possideration since the low temperature decompositions that occur during slow heating are not indicative of the higher condensed phase temperatures that accompany rapid ign fion and self-sustaining combustion. As the coolant (secomposes endochermically it may lower the temperature of the flame, or in addition, it may evolve large volumes of slow reacting gases which dilute the oxygen supply near the burning surface of the propellant. Small particles formed from the decomposition of the coolant may also inhibit the flame.^{8,9} 1.24 1.2.2.2

Two of the proposed mechanisms by which coolants retard flame are summarized as follows. The first relies on a heterogeneous mechanism such as radical recombination on a particle surface,

 $R + M \rightarrow RM$ $RM + R^{2} \rightarrow RR^{2} \rightarrow M$ where M is the colliding solid and the inhibitor and F (the reactive species and chain carrier) is temporarily accorbed on the surface of M and is prepared for easy reaction with another radical R², the excess of the liberated energy being transferred to the inhibitor M. Thus, M acts as a coolant. The second relias on a homogeneous mechanism involving at least partial volatilization of the small particles, producing some gaseous inhibiting species such as K, K⁴, or KOH which react with the active chain species. It is proposed that the gaseous KOH removes H and OH radicals via

 $KOH + H \rightarrow H_0C + K$ and $KOH + OH \rightarrow H_0O + EO$.

Volatile alkali metal salts acting as coolants may be effective in reducing flammability 3,10 . Their effectiveness depends on

(1) the increase in surface area accompanying the reaction,

Solid(I) + Solid(II) + gas and

(2) the particle size and burning rates which determine a residence time in the flame sufficient to allow the decomposition of the original solid within the flame front.

Inhibition is considered to occur via a homogeneous mechanism, involving the volatilization and/or reaction of the initially formed submicron particles to provide gaseoue hydroxide as the inhibiting species. In order that the generation of aklali oxide or hydroxide may be facilitated, the anion associated with the alkali metal chould be of low acid strength (i.e., oxide, carbonate, chloride, and phosphate). The effectiveness of the coolant depends upon the ability of the alkali salt to pyrolyze to give the alkali hydroxide and the resulting removal of chain branching radicals.

III. EXPERIMENTS FOR MEASURING COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS

In this report, references will be made to four types of experiments which were used to measure the effectiveness of flammability-reducing modifications. These experiments as illustrated in Fig. 5 are: (1) forced convection using a calibrated acetylene flame (shown in Fig. 6), (2) conductive heating using an electrically heated copper plate (experiments carried out at BRL^{11}), (3) radiation using a quartz/tungster lamp (heat flux up to 10 cal/sec-cm²), and (4) susticed burning in a controlled atmosphere.

A. Regulated Atmosphere

As part of this study a combustion chamber for conducting experiments under a range of atmospheric conditions was developed. Figure 7 shows the chamber arranged for photographic measurements. The features of the combustion chamber and its associated equipment include:

- (1) Pressure can be regulated from 1.0 atm to 0.05 atm.
- (2) Tests can be conducted in gases other than air.
- (3) Gas flow can be metered into the chamber to maintain the oxygen concentration to within prescribed limits. Circulation currents in the chamber are minimized by passing the gas entering the chamber through a porous plate (12 cm in dia) located in the base of the chamber and by exhausting gases through large ducts at the top of the chamber.
- (4) The dimensions of the chamber are: ID = 26 cm, height = 53 cm, volume = 28,000 cm³.
- (5) Four windows (three are Pyrex and one is quartz), 12 cm in dia, are provided for viewing and photographing flames.
- (6) Rapid depressurization of the chamber can be achieved by actuating the quick opening valve that connects the combustion chamber to a large, evacuated surge tank.

Three types of ignition sources have been used with the combustion chamber: radiative (quartz/tungsten lamp capable of fluxes up to 7 cal/cm^2 -sec) conductive (electrically heated wires), and flames (e.g.,

-10-

oxygen/CH₄ gas torch). In several of the test series, the onset of flame and salf-extinguishment of the flame was detected by changes in the ionization of the gases between two Mo probes.

The fourth experiment in Fig. 5 turned out to be the most effective means of assessing flammability reducing methods. The experiment was used to measure burning rate as a function of pressure and to detarmine the pressure (p_{DL}) below which ignited propellant will self-extinguish. All of tha axperimental propellants could be ignited (at least temporarily) by creating a local hot spot. Thus a measure of success of a flammability-reducing method is that it increased p_{DL} . If p_{DL} can be made greater than one atmosphere, then the propellant satisfies one of the major criteria (see Ref. 1). Tha tests were carried out in the specially fabricatad chamber which maintains a prescribed air pressure and introduces fresh air to prevent oxygen depletion during the test. The propellant samples were 0.335 cm cubes mounted on a needle so as to be exposed on all sides. Ignition of the sample was achieved by a carefully controlled pyrogen-type igniter which engulfs the entire sample in flame. In this report, mass burning rates (i.e., the average mass consumption rate of the cube) are reported since they are more repeatable than the linear burning rate data.

B. Fraa Convection Effects

Several series of color photographs of the ignition and flame development sequences were taken using the arrangement shown in Fig. 7. A motorized (up to 4 frames/sec) 35 mm. camera was used to obtain high resolution photographs from which measurements of the flame structure were made. Figures 8 through 12 illustrate the type of flame structure information that is readily obtained from the film sequences. As shown in Fig. 8, burning rate in air is strongly dependent on orientation of the propellant. The burning rate on the bottom of the cylinder is several times greater than the burning rate on the top. Observations such as this support the conclusion that the most severe situation for extinguishment is burning on the leading edge (in the case of Fig. 8, the bottom of the cylinder). Figures 9 and 10 reveal that the flames on the bottom of the horizontal

-11-

cylinder tend to be the most uniform with time. The results of Figs. 9 and 10 tend to support the assumption used in flame models of onedimensional (normal to the bottom surface) flames and quasi-steady flame zone thicknesses. Figures 11 and 12 show the outlines of the flames surrounding the entire cylinder and show the origin of the thickness versus time plots of Figs. 9 and 10. The differences between the flame structures of propellant TCC/W-5 (see Table 2 for formulation) without flame retardants is striking.

C. Steady State Burning Rate Measurements

Obtaining steady state burning rate data in air requires a technique for holding the characteristic length and natural convection influences constant to produce a steady environment. Figure 13a is a schematic drawing of an apparatus which was fabricated to obtain burning rate data in air. The propellant sample is positioned by an adjustable speed drive device so that the burning surface remains in a constant position with respect to a surface of prescribed geometry. In this manner, we had hoped to maintain control over the natural convection effects. The propellant is temperature conditioned after it is placed in the sample holder. The material surrounding the sample has sufficient heat capacity that the sample remained at a nearly constant temperature during the 10 to 20 sec test period. Fig. 13b is a photograph of a prototype version of the propellant advancing mechanism. The 1.2 cm diameter propellant sample can be seen protruding from the lower portion of the sample holder. The photograph in Fig. 14 shows the propellant advancing system mounted in the previously described atmospheric chamber.

In practice, we were not able to obtain steady state burning data since the burning was inherently multi-dimensional. For example, in the downward orientation, atmospheric oxygen increased the burning rate more on the outer periphery of the cylinder than at the center. Thus, as the cylindrical test specimen burned, a conical surface evolved which precluded the determination of a simple one-dimensional burning rate. This was another indication of the importance of free convection and diffusion of air. We included this description of an unsuccessful instrument to alert future investigators of the inherent problems with this type of measurement.

--12-

IV. EVALUATION ON ADDITIVES

The evaluation of the three types of additives was carried out using control propellants which represent three major classes of propellants. As shown in Table 1, the control propellants were: (1) a well characterized NC/MTN double base propellant which has properties that are very similar to operational NC/NG propellants, (2) an AP composite propellant which has been used in a wide variety of previous studies, and (3) an HMX/PU composite propellant which is known to resist accidental ignition. It is recognized that AP composite propellants are unsuitable for gun application because of their very corrosive combustion products. However, one was selected for these studies because its diffusion flame type of combustion differs greatly from the premixed flame of conventional nitrocallulose propellants and, thus, may yield additional insights into the effects of candidate flammability reducing additives. Other compositions used in the study are listed in Table 2. Toward the end of this study, an additional series of propellants were provided by Frankford Arsenal. This series of propellant will be treated separately in Section V.

The additives which were used in each of the propellant types manufactured at Princeton are summarized in Tables 3, 4, and 5.

A. Halogen Additives to Reduce Propellant Flammability

Various halogen additives were incorporated into nitrocellulose, AP composite, and HMX composite propellants, and their burning behavior studied. The formulations of the three control propellants are given in Table 1. The basis for selecting halogens is given in Section III.A. of this report.

1. Nitrocellulose Propellants

Ser. (1) Ethylene dibromide -- This compound has been used successfully as a fire retardant for commercial plastics. It is a liquid with a boiling point of 132 C. Apparently during the propeliant curing process an appreciable amount of this compound volatilized and the cured formulation had somewhat less than the intended amount of additive.

-13-

Figure 15, a plot of mass burning rate vs pressure, reveals that adding 3 and 7% of this halogen compound does not produce a useful self-extinguishment point.

Ser. (2) Tetrabromoethylene (C_2Br_4) -- To eliminate the possibility that the additive volatilized during cure, this compound was used. It is a solid and has a melting point of 57 C and a boiling point of 227 C. Thus, during curing of the propellant, the additive should remain incorporated into the mixture. A 10% addition of tetrabromoethylene did not produce any important differences in the mass burning rate vs pressure (see Fig. 16). The corresponding movement of the point of self-extinguishment from less than 0.1 atm to about 0.15 is not encouraging in view of the goal of 1.1 atm.

It was also of interest ¹etermine whether there is any affect caused by the structure of the halogen additive. With this in mind the following additives were blended with the control nitrocellulose propellant.

Ser. (3) 1,2,4,5 tetrabromobenzene $(C_6H_2Br_4)$ -- This compound is a solid with a ring structure and a melting point of 180 C. A 10% addition of this compound did not produce any significant changes in the mass burning rate vs pressure and point of self-extinguishment, Fig. 17.

Two other ring structure compounds were tried as additives. These compounds contain substitutents (i.e., -OH, $-NH_2$) which can modify the strength of the halogen bond to the ring through electronic effects. For example, the group may modify the bromine-carbon bond strength making it stronger cr weaker depending upon the particular electronic effect of the modifying group. If the bromi: p-carbon bond is made weaker, then bromine radicals can be formed more easily.

Ser. (4) 2,4,6 tribromophenol $(C_{6}H_{3}OBr_{3})$ -- A solid with a melting point of 120 C. At 10%, this additive did not change significantly the mass burning rate vs pressure of the nitrocellulose propellant, Fig. 18.

Ser. (5) 2,4,6 tribromoanaline $(C_6H_4Br_3N)$ -- At the 10% level, this additive caused the propellant to become very soft and unsuitable for testing.

-14-

2. AP Composite Propellants

Ser. (6) Ethylene dibromide -- As in the case of the nitrocellulose propellant, appreciable amounts of this additive volatilized during curing, and the cured formulation did not have the same composition as the intended formulation. Figure 19, a plot of the mass burning rate vs pressure, shows no detectable difference in the burning rates of the control propellant without additive and with 1,3, and 7% of the halogen compound added.

Ser. (7) 2,4,6 tribromoanalir;, and

Ser. (8) 1,2,4,5 tetrabromobenzene were incorporated into the propellant as additives. The 2,4,6 tribromoanaline at 1% addition softened the propellant significantly to the extent that it was unsuitable for testing. A 10% addition of 1,2,4,5 tetrabromobenzene to the propellant did not produce either a significant change in the mass burning rate vs pressure or an improvement in flammability limit (see Fig. 20).

3. HMX Composite Propellants

Ser. (9) Tetrabromo ethylene (C_2Br_4) was incorporated into the control HMX composite propellant to the extent of 10%. This additive did not produce a significant reduction in flammability or modification in burning rate (see Fig. 21).

4. Comments on the Effectiveness of Halogen-Containing Additives

The results of the experiments show that once the propellants are ignited they will continue to burn and that the halogen additives are not effective in quenching the flame zone reactions that sustain combustion. If a halogen-containing additive is to be effective in reducing flammability, it must be broken down to form active radicals which can then react with the species which would ordinarily sustain the combustion process. Furthermore, if flame inhibition is to be achieved, the active radicals must result in a substantial modification of the chemical reactions taking place in the zones near the burning surface and, perhaps, those on and just below the surface. All of this must take place in an environment in which the flame retarding intermediate products are rapidly swept away by the continual flow of gaseous products. As an example, consider the mechanism proposed for the burning of nitrocellulose based propellants (see review in Ref. 12);

(1) Subsurface reaction and reaction at the burning surface

$$\begin{array}{c} R = ONO_2 + NO_2 + R^2 = CHO \text{ species} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} NC \\ NG \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H_2CO, & UI_3CHO, \\ HCOOH, & etc. \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

(2) Reactions at the surface and in the fizz zone

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{NO}_2 + \text{R}^2 - \text{CHO} \neq \text{NO} + \text{C-H-O species} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \text{CO}, \text{CH}_3 \text{CHO}, \\ \text{HCOOH, etc.} \end{array} \right\} & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}, \text{CO}_2, \text{CH}_4, \\ \text{H}_2 \text{O}, \text{H}_2, \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

(3) Reactions in the dark zone

NO + C-H-O species + N₂, CO₂, CO, H₂O, etc.

(4) Reactions in the luminous flame zone

NO + C-H-O species \rightarrow N₂, CO₂, CO, H₂O, etc.

Once the halogen additive decomposes at the burning surface, free radicals are formed which can undergo reaction with species in the propellant flame. In this case the most likely reactive intermediate species are NO_2 and NO. However, the reactions of these critical molecules in the above mechanism are kinetically more favorable than with the halogen radical. As a result, flame inhibition by halogen radicals in nitrocellulose based propellants is not effective.

An important step in normal flame propagation is the chain branching reaction;

$$H^{\bullet} + O_{2} \rightarrow OH^{\bullet} + O^{\bullet}$$

The mechanism by which halogen additives are known to inhibit the flammability of hydrocarbons is one involving the interruption of hydrogen free radical chains as formulated above. Accordingly, one explanation for why halogen-containing additives do not reduce the flammability of the nitrocellulose propellant is that the foregoing reaction does not play a major role in controlling the burning rates of nitrocellulose based propellants.

-16-

HMX has flame zone reactions similar to nitrocellulose-based propellants in that the initial step is thought to lead to the production of NO_2 ,¹³

$$R - NO_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{slow}} NO_{2} + R \cdot \text{ where } R = C_{4}H_{8}N_{4}(NO_{2})_{3}$$

fast
$$R \cdot + CO + CO_{2} + NO + N_{2} + HCN + N_{2}O + H_{2}O + CH_{2}O$$

The NO₂ and NO formed by the decomposition reaction can react with the aldehydes and/or the oxygen in the air similar to the case of the nitrocellulose based propellant. Thus, for probably the same reason that halogen additives are ineffective in the introcellulose based propellant, they are also ineffective in the HMX composite propellants.

Since the combustion of AP and AP composite propellants already involve very reactive chlorine-free radical intermediates¹⁴ (e.g., Cl., ClO.), the fact that bromine-containing additives do not reduce the flammability of AP composite propellants is not surprising.

B. Phosphorus Additives to Reduce Propellant Flammability

Various phosphorus additives were incorporated into the control nitrocellulose, AP composite, and HMX composite propellants and their burning behavior and flammability reduction evaluated.

1. Nitrocellulose propellants

Ser. (10) Phosphoric Acid (H_3PO_4) -- This compound is a nonvolatile liquid with a boiling point of 213 C. It was added to the control propellant to the extent of 5%. This addition produced no significant reduction in flammability or the mass burning rate vs pressure plot (see Fig. 22).

Ser. (11) Ammonium Phosphate $(NH_4)_2PO_4$ -- This solid compound was added to the control propellant to the extent of 1, 5, and 10%. Even at the 10% level this compound produced no useful reduction in flammability. Incorporating the additive increased significantly the amount of char formed during burning. The mass burning rate vs pressure, Fig. 23, increased at the 5% level but decreased at the 10% level.

-17-

Phosphorus compounds can have several oxidation states, and there seems to be a relation between fire retardancy and the oxidation state. To investigate whether these phosphorus compounds were effective in reducing the flammability of propellants, several formulations were evaluated.

Ser. (12) Triphenyl phosphate $\{(C_6H_5O)_3PO\}$ -- This compound is a solid with a melting point of 50 C and a boiling point of 245 C. It was incorporated into the control propellant to the extent of 10%. It did suppress the mass burning rate significantly at pressures near atmospheric (Fig. 24). Notice that there is only a slight dependence of pressure on mass burning rate and slight reduction in flammability.

Ser. (13) Triphenyl phosphine $\{(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}P\}$ -- This compound is a solid with the melting point of 79 C and a boiling point greater than 360 C. It was incorporated into the propellant, but during curing the additive caused extensive foaming and reduced it to a soft rubbery state. It was not tested. Phosphine compounds are sensitive to oxidation by oxygen and produce a toxic gas, phosphine. This may be the reason for the foaming.

Ser. (14) Triphenylphosphonium bromide $\{(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}PBr\}$ -- The use of this compound was suggested as an additive on the basis of synergisms. That is, a synergism may be defined as a case in which the effect of two components taken together is greater than the sum of their effects separately. Many fire retardants are selected on the basis of a synergism. For example, antimony-halogen, phosphorus-halogen synergisms are commonly employed in plastics and polymers to reduce the amount of additive that would be needed to achieve the desired result. The compound when added to the extent of 10% surprisingly increased the mass burning rate (Fig. 25). During testing it was observed that the propellant was easier to ignite and burned more vigorously than the control propellant. There was no flammability reduction.

-18-

2. AP Composite Propellants

Ser. (15) Phosphoric Acid (H_3PO_4) -- This non-volatile liquid with a boiling point of 213 C was added to the control propellant to the extent of 1%. With heating the phosphoric acid may undergo reaction with the binder to produce species which can produce cross-linking and char formation. It was observed that the amount of char produced by the incorporation of the acid did not appear to be different than when no acid was added to the composite propellant. The addition of phosphoric acid produced no significant reduction in the mass burning rate vs pressure or in flammability.

Ser. (16) Phosphoric Acid/Urea {5% of a 1 to 4 mixture} -- A reaxture of urea and phosphoric acid (or urea phosphate which forms ca. 110 C) has been used to phosphorylate cellulose (at one time on a commercial scale). Processing in the presence of nitrogen compounds has shown that the esterification reaction of the phosphate compound with the hydroxyl group of the cellulose takes place smoothly and rapidly.

 $R = OH + HO = P = (OH)_{2} + (NH_{2})_{2}CO + R = O = P = (OH)_{2} + 2NH_{3} + CO_{2}$ where R is cellulose or some other molecule with an available hydroxyl

group. The urea probably functions as a dehydrating agent promoting the phosphorylation of the cellulose.

In terms of the PBAA-Epon system that forms the binder in the AP composite propellant, phosphory. tion of the binder probably takes place at a hydroxyl group from the epoxide added as the curing agent in the cure reaction.

Incorporation of the phosphoric acid/urea mixture produces some reduction in the mass burning rate vs pressure, an increased amount of char formation, but no reduction in flammability (Fig. 26).

Ser. (17) Ammonium phosphate $\{(NH_4)_2HPO_4\}$ -- Phosphate compounds may undergo reaction with cellulose or hydroxyl compounds as follows:

 $R - OH + HO - P - (ONH_4)_2 + RO - P - (ONH_4)_2 + H_2O$

-19-

where R is the cellulose molecule or some other molecule with an available hydroxyl group (i.e., the PBAA-Epon System). A series of extensive tests were conducted incorporating ammonium phosphate into the composite propellant. Variables studied included the percent of additive incorporated and particle size. Results are shown in Fig. 27. Even with large amounts of $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ {10 to 20%}, it is ineffective as a char former and is probably acting as a diluent and coolant. Note that the large particle size is more effective, indicating that the burning rate suppressing reaction is probably occurring at the surface.

The small percentages of the additive did not produce significant reductions in the flammability of the propellant. An addition of 5% or more of the additive was significant in reducing the mass burning rate.

Ser. (18) Phoschek-30 -- This compound, ammonium polyphosphate, $(NH_4 (PO_3H)_m NH_4)$ was added to the control propellant to the extent of 5%. It produced no reduction in flammability. Unlike the other phosphorus additives (Ser. 15-17), Phoscheck-30 was an effective char former. Large amounts of char were observed forming at the burning surface, and thread-like filaments extended into the flame.

At the same percentage of additive, the Phoschek-30 was more effective in reducing the mass burning rate than $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ but no flammability reduction was noted.

3. HMX Composite Propellant

Several phosphorus-containing compounds were incorporated into the TCC/W-17 HMX propellant and tested for flammability reduction.

Ser. (19) Phoschek-30 [an ammonium polyphosphate, NH₄(PO₃H) MH₄] -- This compound was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10%. The additive had some effect on reducing the mass burning rate but produced no flammability reduction.

Ser. (20) Ammonium phosphate, $(NH_4)_2 PO_4$ was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10%. This phosphorus additive had a greater effect in reducing the mass burning rate than the other additives tested. In addition, the flammability of the propellant was reduced significantly. The propellant with $(NH_4)_2 PO_4$ additive would not ignite and burn at pressures below 0.45 atm.

-20-

Ser. (21) triphenyl phosphate {(C6H50), PO}, and

Ser. (22) tritotyl phosphate $\{(CH_3-C_6H, 0)_3PO\}$ -- These compounds were incorporated into the HMX composite propellant to the extent of 10%. Although a change in the etructure from triphenyl to tritotyl did exhibit some changs in mase burning behavior, neither additive produced any significant flammability reduction (see Fig. 28).

4. Comments on the Effectiveness of Char Formers

Phosphorus additives in the nitrocelluloss-based propellant do not result in any significant reductions in flammability, but burning behavior does change with the kind of phosphorus compound added. The most likely modee of action of the phosphorus additives in the nitrocellulose propellants are as coolants or as free radical inhibitors.

In the AP composite and HMX composite propellants, the PBAA-Epon and polyurethans binders react with the products of the phosphorue compound to promote char formation. However, the effectiveness of the additive depende upon the phorphorus compound and the binder system. While char layers seem to work well with inert plastics, they are ineffective with propellants; the primary factor for this ineffectiveness is the self-oxidizing characteristic of the propellant. Once the propellant is ignited it will continue to burn since there is a continual feed of oxidizer and fuel fragments to sustain the combustion reaction.

In terms of reducing the flammability of the control HMX composite propellant, ammonium phosphate $[(NH_4)_2PO_4]$ is the most effective. As shown in Fig. 28, at the 10% levsl $(NH_4)_2PO_4$ increased p_{DL} from 0.1 to 0.45 atm, an encouraging indication.

C. Coolants as Additives to Reduce the Propellant Flammability

Several compounds, both organic and inorganic were incorporated into the three types of propellants and evaluated as coolants in reducing flammability.

1. Nitrocellulose Propellants

Ser. (23) Urea $\{(NH_2)_2CO\}$ -- This organic compound has a melting point of 133 C and decomposee with further heating. It was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10%. Examination of the mass burning -21-

rate vs pressure plot (Fig. 29) reveals that used does act as a coolant in the near atmospheric pressure region but seems to be ineffective in the low pressure regions.

Ser. (24) Oxamide $\{(NH_2)_2(CO)_2\}$ -- This organic compound has a melting point of 180 C and decomposes at a much higher temperature. It was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10%. Examination of the mass burning rate vs pressure plot (Fig. 29) shows that there is a very significant reduction of the mass burning rate over the entire pressure region examined. Note the similarity with the formulation using urea -- a high suppression in the region near atmospheric pressure and a lower suppression in the sub-atmospheric pressure region. However, oxamide with a similar chemical structure and a higher melting point coupled with a higher heat of decomposition is more effective than urea. Neither compounds, however, show any effectiveness so far as reducing the flammability.

2. AP Composite Propellant

Ser. (25) Potassium ferricyanide and

Ser. (26) Ammonium bromide $\{NH_4Br\}$ -- These compounds were incorporated into the control AP composite propellant and evaluated as flammability reducing agents. The incorporation of 5% potassium ferricyanide as an additive to the control propellant did not reduce flammability, see Fig. 30. It was noted that glowing particles (e.g., iron oxides) form in the flame and serve to indicate the particle track and extent of decomposition. Even though particle size was not controlled, it was noted that the large particles passed through the flame with little reaction, whereas smaller particles decomposed in the flame near the surface.

The effect of the large decrease in burning rate with the ammonium bromide additive may be attributed to its rather high sublimation temperature of 542 C. This is close to the temperature of the burning propellant surface. The large amount of energy required to sublime and/or decompose the ammonium bromide at or near the propellant surface is thus reflected in a marked decrease in the burning rate, see Fig. 30.

-22-

Ser. (27) Urea $\{(NH_2)_2CO\}$ -- This compound, with a melting point of 133 C, was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 5%. Examination of the mass burning rate vs pressure plot, Fig. 30, shows that there is no reduction in flammability and little change in burning rate. The relatively large exothermic effects of the burning propellant far overshadow the endothermic decomposition of the urea.

3. HMX Composite Propellant

Two compounds were incorporated into the HMX composite propellant and evaluated as coolants.

Ser. (28) Ammonium Carbonate $\{(NH_4)_2CO_3\}$ -- This solid with a decomposition temperature of 58 C was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10% and did not produce any reduction in flammability (Fig. 31).

Ser. (29) Ammonium Sulfate $\{(NH_4)_2SO_4\}$ --This colid with a decomposition temperature of 235 C was incorporated into the propellant to the extent of 10% and produced a small reduction in the mass burning rate but no flammability reduction, see Fig. 31.

4. Comments on the Effectiveness of Coolants

Several compounds were incorporated into the three control propellants (e.g., nitrocelluloee, AP composite, and HMX composite) to evaluate their potential as coolante. The most effective coolants are those with decomposition temperaturee near that of the surface temperature of the burning propellant. Compounds with low melting or decomposition temperaturee ehow little effect. Although the coolants produce changes in the mass burning rate with pressure, they do not significantly increase $p_{\rm DL}$.

When oxamide is added to the nitrocellulose-based propellants (which have relatively low surface temperatures), the following are observed:

- (1) Oxamide increases p_{DL} in N₂ (Fig. 32) but not in air.
- (2) Oxamide has a pronounced effect on the gas phase temperature profile (Fig. 33) but no clearly discernible effect on the propellant surface temperature.

(3) Oxamide does not make the propellant more difficult to ignite (see Fig. 8a in Ref. 1) using a quartz/tungsten lamp. (The decrease in ignition time is probably a result of the oxamide decreasing the transmissivity of the propellant.)

The proposed explanation of all three observations is the same: since the surface temperature of the matrix propellant is lower than the decomposition temperature of oxamide, the endothermic decomposition of the oxamide is not centered at the propellant surface. However, there is a slight contribution from the oxamide (in the flame zone), i.e., since at the 5% level, oxamide produces a 20% reduction in burning rate (see Fig. 32).

To further explore the effect of additives whose decomposition temperatures are higher than the surface temperature of the matrix propellant, immonium perchlorate (AP) was added to the control nitrocellulosebased propellant. AP is known to have a higher surface temperature than NC double base propellants. AP (even though it is an oxidizer) did not affect appreciably the ignition limit of the NC double base propellant.

Finally, the ignition data (see Fig. 8c in Ref. 1) for the HMX/PU propellants support the premise that the surface temperature of the matrix propellant must be relatively high for the coolant to function as a flammability suppressant. As shown in Fig. 8c in Ref. 1, oxamide has a profound influence on the ignition characteristics. The data of Table 6 show that the surface temperature of the HMX composite propellants is much higher than the surface temperature of either an AP composite or NC double base propellant. Indeed, for radiant heat fluxes less than 5 cal/sec-cm^2 the propellant containing 10% oxamide (TCC/W-4) is not ignited by the radiation from the quartz/tungsten lamp. However, it must be noted that when the propellant surface are easily ignited by an open flame.

D. Organometallic Compounds

Organometallic compounds of different types are known to react with the intermediate products.^{9,10} Perhaps a specific compound can be

-24-
incorporated to react with one of the major oxidizing gases and reduce the energy liberated during the combustion reaction or, at least, to the up the active species and achieve some degree of flame inhibition. With these ideas in mind some preliminary experiments were carried out using simple oryanometallic compounds of similar structure to determine what effect they would have on reducing flammability:

- triphenylmethane (C₆H₅)₃CH was used primarily as a control additive to see what effect this particular structure had on the propellant.
- (2) tetraphenyl lead $(C_{6}H_{5})_{4}$ Pb and tetraphenyl tin $(C_{6}H_{5})_{4}$ Sn added to the control nitrocellulose-based propellant to the extent of 10% exhibited the following effects (Fig. 34). The tetraphenyl tin had the effect of reducing the mass burning rate, while the tetraphenyl lead compound accelerated the burning rate (somewhat) at pressures near atmospheric (it is known that some lead compounds act as catalysts for the reaction of $NO_{2} + NO$ and $NO + N_{2}$). Whether this is happening here is not known. The corresponding organotin compound had a significant effect on reducing the burning rate as compared to the lead compound. Obviously the metal plays an important part in modifying the burning more carbon were significant observations obtained with the organotin additive. Neither additives significantly reduced flammability.

E. Enthalpy and Gas Composition Measurements of Coolants and Binders*

Two experimental techniques were used to measure the enthalpy changes that are associated with several candidate coolants. Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) was used on coolants and a combination of DTA and Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) was applied to both coolants and binders. A more direct measurement of the energy change exhibited by

^{*}This section is based on experimental data provided by R. A. Biddle of the Elkton Division of the Thickol Corp.

the coolants was made by using Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). These analyses were run on samples of approximately 10 mg under a nitrogen atmosphere at a scanning speed of 10 C/minute.

The binders tested exhibit both an initial small exotherm and a significantly larger endotherm. Hydrocarbon binders, such as the carboxyl-terminated polybutadiene (CTPB) and the hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), undergo the initial exotherm at 375-380 C with the carboxyl-terminated binders exhibiting the largest enthalpy change. This is also the case for the subsequent endotherm in both of these binders. Here this endothermic process occurs at 480-486 C. The relative magnitudes of these changes are shown in Table 7. The polyester binder shows reduced magnitudes for both the initial exotherm (-18 cal/g), and the subsequent endotherm (+124 cal/g) occurring over a narrow temperature range that is intermediate to the hydrocarbon-type binder changes (\sim 430 C).

Examination of the coolants was made by beth DTA/TGA and DSC techniques. Results for three coolants are shown in Table 7. The endothermic changes that occur on heating these coolants have increasing magnitudes on going from melamine to oxamide. Various values of the endothermic change have been measured for the melamine by the DSC technique, depending upon the rate of heating and the sample size. However, there is general agreement in the order of magnitude of the endotherm measurements between the two techniques. Melamine starts to evolve ammonia at about 546 C and this continues up to the melting joint of G33 C. Analysis of the oxamide by the DTA/TGA techniques showed sublimation only, whereas, in the DSC technique, there is probably an attendant endothermic decomposition which accounts for the additional heat absorbed.

These data serve to show that both the binders and the coolants undergo thermal changes in the same general temperature range and that there are different types of decomposition reactions occurring for the various coolants. There is also a gradation of the magnitude of the endothermic processes involved with the coolants.

-26-

The gases evolved by the individual coolants were analyzed by a flash pyrolysis technique using a 1200 C pyrolsis probe with a 1 to 2 mg sample. The gases generated were passed through two gas chromatographic columns in series, selected to separate N_2 , CO, H_2O and NH, for subsequent measurement. Helium carrier gas was used to transport these gases through the separation columns and the detector assembly. Typical results for the identified product gases from the three coolants of interest are shown in Table 7. Here it can be seen that melamine produces mainly nitrogen and ammonia, whereas oxamide produces predominantly carbon monoxide. There is a complicating feature in each of these analyses in that there is an unknown component which was not identified. For the melamine, approximately one-third of the total gases was not identified and are not included in the values shown in Table 7. The unidentified gases could be largely hydrogen cyanide and cyanogen. Only seven percent of the gases were not identified from the oxamide.

F. Hazards Associated with Smoldering Propellants

「「「「「「「「「」」」」

Several of the propellants could be heated to the point of smoldering (i.e., sub-surface reactions under a char layer) without developing either the self flame or the diffusion flame. The gaseous products from the smoldering represent a serious hazard since they are extremely flammable. For example, a smoke column 20 cm above TCC/W-4 smoldering under room conditions c4. be easily ignited with a match; the flame flashes down the column and produces a flame on the sample. If these gases are allowed to collect in a closed space a serious fire and explosion hazard exists. Juhasz and Rocchio¹⁵ analyzed these gases and found they contained large fractions of CO, NO, and H₂O.

Elimination of smoldering is a difficult task because the smoldering reactions of several of the propellants are independent of the ambient air. For example, a 75% HMX, 15% PU, and 10% oxamide propellant will continue to smolder in one atmosphere of N_2 or in its own combustion products. At the 20% oxamide level, sustaining the smoldering requires air. The smoldering also produced complications with several of the experiments in which we intended to extinguish burning propellant specimens. For example, it was relatively easy to extinguish a 75% HMX, 15% PU, and 10% oxamide propellant immediately after ignition (before a char layer develops). However, after the propellants had burned and formed a char layer several millimeters thick, the smoldering at the char/unburned propellant interface persided after the visible flame was extinguished by direct impingement of CO_2 flow. In many instances, the smolder would continue for several seconds, and, then, the visible flame would re-establish itself.

V. IGNITION AFTER EXPOSURE TO FLAMES

A. Results of Flame Tests

During the course of the Army's program to develop high energy, low flammability propellants, several organizations supplied Frankford Arsenal with candidate propellants. It was of interest to obtain a relative comparison of the ignition properties of these propellants. Shock Hydrodynamics, Inc. tested these propellants for impact sensitivity $^{16_{\pm}}$ and Calspan¹⁷ tested several of the propellants for cook-off during slow heating. Frankford Arsenal molded the eight formulations shown in Table 8 into cylinders 0.95 cm in diameter and 2.5 cm long. The cylinders were tested using the apparatus shown in Fig. 6 (specimen mounted vertically).

The test sequence consisted of mounting the cylinder vertically on a flat plate to that free convection effects would be reduced and subjecting it to a calibrated acetylene flame until first flame was observed. The time of first flame was determined by examining color movies of the tests. Following the observation of first flame on the propellant, the acetylene flame was quickly moved away from the sample to determine if the propellant would self-extinguish. If the propellant specimen did not self-extinguish, the specimen was extinguished by a low velocity jet of CO₂. The tests were repeated five times.

The results of the tests are shown in Fig. 35. It should be noted that the tests were not meant to achieve a relative ranking of the propellants. Such a rank would be meaningless since the energy levels of the propellant wary greatly and some of the propellants are intended for use as relatively low energy outer layer materials and others are intended to be high energy propellants. Test-to-test variations of 1 to 2 seconds are not uncommon.

To obtain insights into how the hazards associated with low flammability propellants compared to the hazards of more conventional materials, a widely used ball powder (WC S46) protected by a thin (0.025 cm) brass sheet, the control nitrocellulose-based propellant, and M-9 propellant were subjected to the same ignition conditions as the low flammability specimens.

^{*}Shock Hydrodynamics, Inc. also carried out radiative ignition, ignition by hot spall, and sample-to-sample flame spreading tests.

Several of the propellants are significantly more resistant to ignition than either the control nitrocelluloss propellant or M-9. However, the WC 846 ball powder protected by brass was generally mors resistant to ignition.*

The compositions with impetus values less than 250,000 ft-lbf/lbm are intended to be used as outer layers on a main propellant charge. Since these outer layers make up a small percentage of the total charge weight, greater improvements in system flammability can be achieved by sacrificing some of the energy in outer layers. Note that only one of these outer layer materials self-extinguishes when the acetylene flame is removed.

The results of Table 8 should be a source of encouragement since they indicate that ignition energy requirements of the low flammability propellants are greater than for several conventional double base propellants and, in several cases, comparable to brass protected ball powder.

B. Effect of Low Heating Rate on Conditions for Ignition and Self-Extinguishment

The time of self-extinguishment following ignition is strongly dependent on the temperature profile in the condensed phase when ignition occurs. The basis for this is that a propellant conditioned at a relatively high temperature (e.g., 60 C) will be more likely to have sustained combustion than a propellant conditioned to : relatively low temperature (e.g., -20 C). Similarly, a propellant that is ignited by a very low heat flux will be preheated to a significant depth prior to ignition. Thus after a preheated propellant is ignited, it burns with a more vigorous flame than a comparable propellant which was ignited very rapidly. The self-extinguishment of the propellant becomes more likely as the layer preheated during ignition is burned off. Propellants that smolder and, thus, burn very slowly, develop increasingly thick thermal waves which, in effect, preheat ths propellant.

Figure 36 illustrates the manner by which slow heating alters self-

^{*}In a similar test reported in Ref. 1, a lower energy HMX composite propellant (75% HMX, 15% PU, and 10% oxamide) proved to be more resistant than the brass protected WC 846.

extinguishment. Figure 36 shows the steady state relationships between burning rate (r), ambient pressure (p), surface temperature (T_s) and depth of thermal profile (δ_b) . Increasing heat flux to the surface during steady burning (q_b) decreases the thickness of the preheated layer (δ_b) ; decreasing the ignition heat flux (q_i) increases the depth of the preheated layer when ignition occurs (δ_i) . Figure 36 is for the situation in which the depth of the preheated region at ignition is much larger than the preheated depth during steady state burning.

As shown on Figure 36, when the heat-up-to-ignition is slow, there is a period of time [approximately equal to the time required to burn off the thickness $(\delta_i - \delta_b)$] during which the low flammability characteristics of the propellant are not fully realized.

The above discussion introduces a rather complex question concerning how to reduce flammability over the entire range of heating conditions. Of course there is an initial temperature above which all propellants will burn vigorously.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The goal of the investigation summarized in this report was to obtain an understanding of how additives intended to reduce flammability affect the low pressure combustion characteristics of high energy propellants. The approach to reducing flammability centered on the use of additives which when used with plastics are known to suppress gas phase reactions, to promote char formation, or to promote endothermic surface reactions. Combustion limits or flame retention limits sxist for all solid propellants, although these limits may be at tub-atmospheric pressures. The aim of adding rate retardants, char-formers, and coolants is to move the extinguishment boundaries above 1 atm pressure at 140 F ambient temperature.

Based on the rssults of this investigation, it is now apparent that the continual resupply of reactants which sustain the combustion reactions far overwhelms any action of the additive (in small quantities) to reduce flammability. One fact is clear, once high energy propellants are ignited in air, they tend to continue to burn (or smolder) primarily through the continual resupply of reactants (both from the propellant itself and the ambient air). The propellant modifications reduced burning rates but did not significantly increase the pressure at which self-extinguishment occurred.

The development of a self-extinguishing (under ambient conditions), high energy propellant is a goal yet to be achieved. However, lower energy materials suitable for use as an outer-layer protective coating (which is consumed as an energetic material under the desired high pressure operating conditions) appear to be promising. Two of the conventional methods of reducing flammability, flame inhibitors and char formers, have been explored well beyond the range of practical limits and found to be ineffective when used with propellants. The use of coolants with the high decomposition tempsrature composite propellants (e.g., HMX/polyurethane) is effective in reducing flammability at the expense of propsllant energy.

In the course of these studies, the explosion and fire hazards of gassous products which evolve from smoldering propellants were recognized. Conventional propellants burn with vigorous flamss that generate products that are not likely to produce explosion hazards. The observed smoldering, which only partially decomposes the propellant, is a direct result of efforts to reduce flammability. -32In 1970, Grsen, Visnov and Krafcik¹⁸ published a survey of the status of fundamental ignition concepts and how they related to reducing the vulnerability of propellants. In retrospect, their recommendations (e.g., to consider propellants other than nitrate setsrs, to use protective outer-layer coatings, and to develop tests that simulate hazards under field conditions) were sound.

The studies to date have shown that self-extinguishment limits and ignition resistance must be defined with respect to some limits on thermal abuse. For example, any high energy material will burn if heated to a sufficiently high temperature over a prescribed time. Thus, additional attention must be directed at the task of developing tests for ranking the relative hazards of propellants under conditions that can be related to operational hazards. The tests must take into account the applications for which the propellants are intended and the protective packaging that will be used in the field. In many respects, the various flammability and ignition tests unnecessarily penalize some of the propellants. For exampls, the propellants will not be used as a single exposed cylinder of propellant; they will be protected by a container. Thus, in the future, tests of vulnerability to the various ignition hazards should include evaluations of complete systems.

To date, a large part of the flammability investigations conducted throughout the country have been directed at finding additives that would, when used in small percentages, make either nitrate ester propellants or nitramine composite propellants self-extinguish at atmospheric pressure. The break-through of finding such additives or the development of new ingredients is the prefsrred solution. However, it is now apparent that the usual fire retardants are not effective when used with self-oxidizing, high energy propellants. Attention should now be directed at understanding how the low pressure propellant decomposition processes that produce reactive intermediates and the subsequent reactions with air can be inhibited. Thus, a more detailed knowledge of the chemistry is required.

-33-

The fact that self-extinguishment of high energy propellants is observed at 0.3 atmospheres of air reveals that the sought-after physical effect exists. Thus, the research task is to move the self-extinguishment boundary from 0.3 to 1.1 atmospheres of air.

「「「「「「「「」」」」

APERÇU

The Army's program developed high energy propellants with reasonable physical properties and greatly reduced flammabilities. However, the bare propellant exposure conditions proved to be unrealistically severe and not representative of the operational environment. The application payoff of this research will be achieved in future development programs that utilize the low flammability propellants in lightweight magazines which offer minimum protection to the propellants.

REFERENCES ·

- Caveny, L. H., Summerfield, M., Strittmater, R. C., and Barrow, A. W., "Solid Propellant Flammability Including Ignitability and Combustion Limits," Technical Report, BRL R1701, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories. Liberdeen Proving Ground, MD, March 1974. (AD #775795)
- Lo, G. A., "Development of Multi-Layered Caseless Ammunition," <u>Proceed-ings of 1973 JANNAF Propulsion Meeting</u>, CPIA Publ. 242, Vol. 1, Nov., 1973, pp. 494; Also, Lo, G. A. and Simmons, Rl L., "Development of Multi-Layered HITP/SEAP Small ARms Ammunition," Final REport R-9415, May 1974, Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International.
- 3. Bell, F. H., Borcherding, R. L., and Munson, W. O., "Development of HIT-SEAP Caseless Small Arms Ammunition," Final Report TY5-97-3-4, April 1974, Thiokol Corp., Wasatch Division, Brigham City, UT; Also Price, R. M. and Reed, R., "Development of Uniform Composition Propellants for Small Arms Caseless Ammunition," Final Report, Dec. 1971, Thiokol Corporation, Wasatch Division, Brigham City, UT.
- 4. Biddle, R.A. and Vriesen, C. W., "Development of Thermally Resistant Caseless Propellants for Small Arms," Final Report, Contract DAAD05-71-C-0294, Thiokol Corporation, Elkton Division, Elkton, MD.
- 5. Lancaster, G. B., "Modification of HES 8028 Propellant," Final Report, A0244-216-07-002, March 1973, Hercules, Inc., Cumberland, MD.
- Lantz, R., Costa, E., and Picard, J.-P., "Development of High Ignition Temperature Propellants for Small Arms Caseless Ammunition," <u>Proceed-</u> ings of the Tri-Service Gun Propellant Symposium, Vol. 1, Oct. 1972.
- 7. Lyons, J. W., The Chemistry and Uses of Fire Retardants, Wiley-Interscience, 1970, pp. 14-22.
- Rosser, W., Inami, S., and Wise, H., "The Effect of Metal Salts on Premixed Hydrocarbon-Air Flames," <u>Combustion and Flame</u>, Vol. 7, 1963, pp. 107-119.
- Friedman, R., and Levy, J. "Inhibition of Opposed-Jet Methane-Air Diffusion Flames. The Effects of Alkali Metal Vapours and Organic Halides," Combustion and Flame, Vol. 7, 1963, pp. 195-226.
- Birchall, J., "On the Mechanism of Flame Inhibition by Alkali Metal Salts," Combustion and Flame, Vol. 14, 1970, pp. 85-95.
- 11. Strittmater, R. C. and Holmes, H. E., "Hot Plate Flammability Tests," BRL Memorandum Report No. 2292, May 1973, USA Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD. (AD #762149)

- 12. Kubota, N., Ohlemiller, T. J., Caveny, L. H., and Summerfield, M., "The Mechanism of Super-Rate Burning of Catalyzed Double Base Propellants," Report No. AMS 1087, Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences, Princeton University, March 1973. (AD 763 785).
- Cosgrove, J. D. and Owen, A. J., "The Thermal Decomposition of 1,3,5 Trinitro-Hemahydro 1,3,5 Triazine (RDX)-Part I: The Products and Physical Parameters," <u>Combustion and Flame</u>, Vol. 22, No. 1, Feb. 1974, pp. 13-18.
- Jacoba, P. and Whitehead, H., "Decomposition and Combustion of Ammonium Perchlorate," <u>Chemical Reviews</u>, Vol. 69, 1969, pp. 551-590.
- Juhasz, A. A. and Rocchio, J. J., "Determination of the Gasification Products from Developmental Propellants which are Self-Extinguishing at Atmospheric Pressure," <u>Proceedings of 10th JANNAF Combustion</u> <u>Meeting</u>, CPIA Publication 243, Vol. I, Dec. 1973, pp. 341 - 352.
- 16. Andersen, W. H., Brown, R. E., Lowe, N. A., and Randall, R. R., "Vulnerability of Small Caliber Caseless Ammunition to Accidental Ignition," Final Report 3190-24, Jan. 1974, Shock Hydrodynamics, Sherman Oaks, CA.
- 17. "Thermal Considerations: High Ignition Temperature Propellants," to be published -- Calspan, Inc., 1975.
- Green, K. A., Visnov, M., and Krafcik, R. J., "Survey of Fundamental Ignition Concepts and Their Application to Caseless Ammunition Vulnerability," Technical Report, R-1980, Frankford Arsenal, Phila., PA, October 1970.
- Derr, R. L., Beckstead, M. W., and Cohen, N.S., "Combustion Tailoring Criteria for Solid Propellant," Lockheed Propulsion Company Report No. 835-F, Technical Report AFRPL-TR-69-190, May 1969.
- 20. Biddle, R. A., personal communication, Thiokol Chemical Corp., Elkton Division, Nov. 1972.
- Steinz, J. A. and Summerfield, M., "Mechanism of Burning of Composite Solid Propellants with Special Reference to Low Pressure Combustion Phenomena," <u>Advances in Chemistry Series</u>, No. 88, American Chemical Society, Wash., D.C., 1969, pp. 244-295.

- 36-

GLOSSARY

San and a second se

Ammonium oxalate	coolant [$(NH_4({}_2C_2O_2 \cdot H_2O)]$
AP	ammonium perchlorate
СТРВ	carboxyl terminated polybutadiene binder system
CuSa	monobasic cupric salicylate
DOP	dioctyl phthalate
DSC	differential scanning calorimeter
EC	ethyl centralite (a stabilizer)
EPON 828	epoxy (diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A)
ERL 0500	<pre>epoxy (N,N-diglycidyl-p-aminophenyl ether)</pre>
HIT	high ignition temperature
нмх	(CH ₂ NNO ₂) ₄ ; beta-HMX
нтрв	hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene binder system
MTN or TMETN	trimethylol ethane trinitrate (metriol trinitrate)
NC	nitrocellulose (typically 12.6% N)
NG	nitroglycerine
Oxamide	coolant (NH ₂ COCONH ₂)
PBAA	polybutadiene acrylic acid binder system
PbSa	lead salicylate
P _{DL}	pressure below which a propellant will not burn
Phoschek-30	ammonium polyphosphate NH4 [PO3H] mNH4
PNC	particulate nitrocellulose (typically 12.6% N)
PU	polyurethane binder system
SEAP	self-extinguishing at atmospheric pressure
TAGN	triaminoguanidine nitrate
TCC/W	Wasatch Division of the Thiokol Chemical Corp.
TEGDN	triethylene glycol dinitrate
TGA	thermal gravimetric analysis

-37-

CONTROL PROPELLANTS

Control Nitrocellulose Based Propellanta Nitrocellulose (PNC), 12.6% No 53.70% TMETN 39.10 TEGDN 7.02 ECD 0.08 Carbon Powder 0.10 Control AP Composite Propellant Ammenium Perchlorate (30% 5µ + 70% 45µ) 75% PBAA (85.6%) + EPON828 (14.4%) 25% Control HMX Composite Propellant^C Binder (Isonate 136T, Carbowax-400, 25% TMP, and FeAA) 75% HMX where Isonate 136T is 3,3'-Bitolylene 4,4'-Diisocyanate. Carbowax-400 is hydroxyl-terminated polyethylene oxide of 400 molecular weight. TMP is trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate. FeAA is ferric acetylacelonate. ^aThe propellant is a castable plastisol which uses particulate nitrocellulose (PNC) with a mean diameter of 5µ as its main ingredient ^bBecause each of the ingredients, PNC, TMETN, and TEGDN contain the stabilizer ethyl centralite (EC), the total EC in the propellant is 1.7%. ^CThe ingredients were received from the Wasatch Division of the Thiokol Corp. in a partially processed form. Experimental propellants were made by blending in the additives and then curing the

resulting mixture at 95 C for 2 hours.

State State States

SUMMARY OF PROPELLANT FORMULATION OTHER THAN CONTROL PROPELLANTS

DESIGNATION	FORMULATION
TCC/W-4ª	75.0% HMX, 15.0% polyurethane binder containing 0.4% P in the form of Phoschek-30, 10.0% oxamide
TCC/W-5ª	83.33% HMX, 16.66% polyurethane
WC 846	81% NC (13.15% N), 10.0% NG, 5.8% debutylphalate, 1.0% diphenylamine, 0.4% graphite, 1.0 to 1.5% other volatiles
M-9	57.75% NC (13.15% N), 40% NG, 2.5% KNO3, 0.75% diphenylamine (composition may be diluted by residual volatiles)

^aSupplied by the Wasatch Division of the Thiokol Corp.

and the second secon

2

SUMMARY OF ADDITIVES INVESTIGATED TO REDUCE

FLAMMABILITY OF NITROCELLULOSE PROPELLANTS

1.1. P		SAN, E Shinaga a	WAY BUDY'S AND THEORY	1111146	COMPLETS ON FLAME SUMMER AS	S PEC 1 RESIDUT	GENERAL - CONTENTS
,		1724	CUALDY APARTITYAL	• 0.17	VERT TELE VELLA FLAT, VI PARTICLAN FURCTURE COMMUND.	PERY CITTLE ASP PINT UND AFTED BORNING,	*).70PHC, 19.24MN *.QOTEGON, 0.10C
TPTLENE [NR HITT		1245A	PROVINCELY COME AS FIRE PERAMANT FIR COMMENTAL PLASTICS. MONORY HILECOLE MAI DECOMPOSE TO TITLE STREETS MONT CANADLE ACTIVE SPECIES OF OWNERS IN PLACTERMS, OR PORM & ROUME STILLE GAS TO STREETS FLAMA.	8.13	NO APPRECIANCE DIFFERENCE MOTO AS COMARED STOP CONTROL.	LENY LITTLE ASH NEWALARIN AFTER PREVIOU	ADDITIVE VOLATILE. STONEPICANT ANNOUN UOST DUBING CURE.
77.78,834-m- 1747; FNI	•	.017	PRAVILY RALAUMENTED STATE STATES AN A MATERIAL THAT MATLE MUT BE LOBE BE EXADEMATION LADING CORE. MUDE OF ALTUE SAME AS AN VE.	2. 11	TELLA FLAME, SIMILAF TO CONTROL.	VERY COTTLE MESSION LEFT AFTER MUNITIG.	NG CHANGE IN THE REPAINS RATE.
1.2.4. 777856878 7276 Ciet			MERTILY BUCKTERS FOR COMPANY, SANCATILE FOLD. LETTRALME EFERT OF MALCON BE ADDE BY SIDE ETER. H DF DF ATTIN SINUAR DI ETHILING DEBLALLE.	*.29	TELOS FLANE, MELATE ELS LADYE ANNAL OF CARDIN IN FLANE AND SPEAK.	erentza Bri y	SP SICKLEILANT HANGE IN ROBATHY AATE.
1.4 191- 38 4 2414 (CASE APPLIES REPEAS STATED FOR ANNUL. DIFFERENT SUBTI- "LUC 'N FINS HAN EFENT ITS ADMINT TO PEDAGE BALANEN AS CONTACT TO EFENENTIATED ANNU. WILL "A ATEN SIMILAR TO EFFERENTIATED ANNU. WILL "A ATEN SIMILAR TO EFFERENTIATED.	8.29	SANE AS ADME.	and an air air.	54PE 45 AP 11.
2.8.8.782+ 14.96.8.8.7			cam appling where as above.	313 %77 TEFT	-	-	CAUSED PROPELIANT TO BECOME VERY SOFT, CA- USIBLE FOR TESTING.
		.1.3	W.C.P. (P. A. TOTO, N.C. A. C.YCLANT, AND DAS PATE BY PAS, UPTOMOSEA (N. THE PERPETUANT OF MALE, TARKS IP FEAR ON UPTOMOSEA DIAT WILLS TOTAMOSE BY SUPPLIED TO PARELLAST, UNCOMPLETE COMPL. 11:411.	2.12	STELEN FLAND, SIN, LND F CONTREL	FEASEVELY LANCE SEC NT OF CARRY ATT NO CRASS	T NURNING RATE L'INTR THAN FOR C'INTR'L.
. U .1	•	.1117	SARE APPLIES HERE AS ANYAL. HITS: "HE ROLETE'S THAT DAMIDE HYNLES IF WORE EPERTUTION THAN "YAN APECASIS ITE ANT PROTECTION TYPERATI RE AND REAT ARSONCE FER CRAFT ANT VERYER (NAM STRA.	1.15	SAME AS ABOUT.	SUME AS ADIVE.	SAVE CONVENTS APPLY A: UPER NUT OXANIDE IS SILVIET (AVILY MAN IF- PECTIVE TANY UPER.
2#157 * \$2 \$10	5	:11:*	<pre>visperantig actor = max stam it; if later in scentre gibratt, is subspectate actors in out to actor (actor) actors equater.</pre>	5.1 9	CALLY FALL YELD & FLAUF WEAP CALLY TEAD, MCAME HINE LIF- F. SE AND YFLLCH SOFFE AS POESSION EFFORMER.	- 186912 1ANET 2747 - 45 972 1212	WT CHANGE IN HERN- ING RATE.
1-1 +11- 	•	11133	MINIMUM AND MEDI UT PACAMA ANDRE CELLILIS - MAR ALS" M BE DE ALTE VELLILASE, MAR ALTER & MANAGO ET MER STOL A MOMANTAM	3.13	SAME AS ABLUE	SAVE AS ADVE.	NO CRANCE IN BURNING RATE:
	:	11115	NETTON IS PROMABLY AT A CHILANT, PROFESSION STUDENTS ANNOUNT PROSPACE MAY ALS ALT AN A TOLANT A TAKE POINTER	3.23	TELION FLAPE, SOT AS TELION AS CONTROL.	NAR LEFT AS	RUNNING RATE SLIGHTEN Derrafted.
Anne sit je Par sports The parts	1.4		ACTED AF & CONTRACT, BUT EXPECTIVE TO ACT ALL A THAT PERTA. MAY ANY IN EXEMPTION CLEARED STOLEN. SUSTAIL IN STREET ALTO MALLA MAY EXEMPTIC THAT IN MARTIN OF ALTER LED AN STREET ALTO MALLA.	5.15	TELLIN FLAME, AIMILAN 20 CONTRACT	AFFECTABLE APART OF CHAP LIFT AF PEST- TCF.	P1 DEPENES SOMTAMAT ON PAPTICLE SIZE ALD AWXINT ADDED.
CATERENSE Per : TVATE	•	32147	PROSPECT ON A POLICIE IN THE POLICY AS ANOTHER OF THE AND THE POLICY AND AN AND AND	2.35	ITLE & FLATE.	APPPTTABLE APTENT OF CHAP LLFT.	MIRVING RATE LOWER THAN CONTROL: ALM ST CONSTANT OVER PRES- SUPE RANGE INVERTIGATEL.
	11		CANE APPLIES NERE AS INVE	old wit test		CAUSED EXTENSIVE FOARTS OF FRAFTLANT.	DID NOT TRET
TRIPHENRY NETHANA	::	111+	ALGED PRIMABILY AS A CONTRAL TO SE WHAT EVERT & PRESEL OTHERING MAXIM MALE ON REPORTS FALLS. SEE TOLD THEAPE WITH CONES PRESEL COMPOUND.	9.15	LINILAR TO CONTROL.	47NF.	NO CHANGE IN NUMBING BATE.
787 PARMENT 547 528-912 599 4112	1	:::11	EVERALISTIC EFFECT OF PURCHARY & AND SPURCE IN THE SAFT WIDDULE. MAY BE MERF EFECTIVE THAN ELITYE SPUTCHARS. IN RANDON ALORS, WHEN FE ATOTAL LIMITAR THAT SHARES AND PARMINE INFORMES ALONG.	3.10	VELICH FLARE, VIGTOUS BUPSIBL	SCME CHAP LEFT AFTER BURNING.	CAUSED PROPELLANT TO FOAR: BURNING PATE INCREASED: EASY TO IGNITE.
TETPAP SPAY	: 15	£113¶	ACCED AS DEGANICHERTAL COMPTEND. RETAL SITE MAY MAVE AS INCLUDEL AS RECEIVED COMPTEND. RETAL SITE MAY MAVE AS REDVER FLANABILIT.	9.31	YELLOW SOUTH PLANE.	CHAP LEFT AFTER R.PHING.	NO CHANGE IN BUPNING RATE.
TECOAPPENT	- 12	11175	SAME APPLIES WEPP AS ABOVE.	0.15	TELLOW FLAME (MORE ORANGE) LANGE ANDUNT OF MLACE SHORE,	CHAP LEFT AS PESIDUE NOPE THAN CONTROL.	BURNING RATE DECREASED SLIGHTLY.
1-1 418- 2783 (858) 18 8 (950)	 	1.1.12	WTALINETAL CREDETE MINTIPE CYLL REACT VILW W. THERENY WARKS IT UNAVAILABLE FOR FURTHER ANGUSTUS PENCTURS.	0.13	YELION FLAME, SOMEWART SMORT WITH OBMOXIOUS GASES GIVEN OFF.	PELLANT RIGHLY PITTED WITH BROW POCRETS OF POCL LITTLE CHAR LEFT	- CAUSES DEGRADATION OF PROPELLART, N 1
N- CYTU/DEXT	11	311.14	AUDITIVE FIRES CONTERES WITH WEN-POLAR POLINER CHAINS ALTERING FEATION FATMATA	0.1"	TELLON FLAME, LAPTE AMOUNTS OF CAPBON CRUNKS IN FLAME.	LARCE ANUNTS OF FILAMENT LIKE CA N'N FORMED DURIN BURNISS BUT LITT CHAR LEFT AS RES	NO CHARGE IN BURNISS N- RATE. RG LE 1901E.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

TABLE 4

「ないないないで

101
E
RDP
5
11S
E
ខ
AP
D
TI
BIL
MMA
FLA
E E
DOG
8
E A
ATE
TIG
IVES
II
VES
LII
ADE
4O
ARY
THAN
5

STREET COMPANY	INON	THE CHANGE TH BURNING	RATE.	"NO CHARGE TH BORNING NATE.		THO CHURCH THE BURGHTHO	.22	VENT LITTLE CRANCE - IN THE BUNKING RATE.	PDL AND DECKAKSE IN BURNING RATE DR- IN BURNING RATE DR- PENDERT UPON ANOUNT OF ADDITIVE IN PRO-	-STORIFICART DECHARGE IN BURNING RATE.	-NG SIGNTETCANT	LARGE DECREASE IN BURNING RATE DUE TO HIGH SUBLIMATION TEMPERATURE.
	VERY SHALL AHOUNT OF CHAR	LEPT AS RESIDUE. 	NDT MORE THAN CONTROL.	CHAR LEFT AS RESIDUE. BUT -		-NO BIGNTPTCANF CHAR LEPF	AS RESIDUE.	SCME RESIBUE LEFT-AFTER:		-APPERCIABLE-AMOUNT OF-CHAR - LEFT AS RESIDUE.	THO SIGNIFICANT CHARTLEFT	CHAR LEFT AS RESIDUE
RES OF SE	BO.O	- 7 Tr-		8.Is-	TON -	- 0.Io		- 0.To	- 1.1 - to 0.6	- 0.Is	- 5.15 ⁻	0.20
	WHY ADDED AND THEORY	- Bomitoficturiced Icter Briabhlinf	FDR COMMERCIAL PLASTICS, HALOGEN COMPOUND MAY DECOMPDEE TO YIELD SPECIES WHICH SCAVENCE ACTIVE SPECIES OF COMBUSTION REACTIDNS, OR FORM NDNCDMBUSTIBLE GAS TO	- HATNLY BALOUENATED RING COMPOUND. RETERMINE EFFECT OF HALOGEN ADDED DETERMINE EFFECT OF HALOGEN ADDED BY RING STRUCTURE. MODE OF ACTION STHITLAN TO ABOVE.	- DIFFERENT SUBSTITUENTS ON RING MAY	- ACTION SIMILAR TO ABOVE.	LAYER ON BURNING SURFACE, OR PHOSPHORYLATE ACTIVE GROUPS TO	HIRTORE HAS REEN USED TO PHOSPHOR- VLATE CELLULOSE. MAY ALSO WORK ON PEAA-EPON BINDER SYSTEM WITH AVAIL ABLE HYDROXYL GROUPS. MAY ALSO ALTER OR CHANGE DECOMPOSITION	- RECHANLESS HARLEY LY & COOLANT NECTION FRIMARILY LY COMPOUND MAY ALSO ACT PHOSEPHORUS COMPOUND MAY ALSO ACT AS CHAR FORMER BY YIELDING ACIDIC FRAGMENTS UPON DECOMPOSITION.	FRIMARLY A CHAR PROMOTER. ALTERS DECOMPOSITION OF PROPELLANT BINDER BY FORMING ACIDIC FRAGMENTS	HILL FACT MAIN PLANE FACE OF ANT PARES UP HEAT IN DECOMPOSITION THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE SUPPLIED TO PROPELLAN MAY FORM SOLID PARTICLES IN FLAME	ALTON TANY SUPPRESS FLAME REALFLOWERS HIGH HEAT OF SUBLIMATION TEMPERA- TURE MAY BE MORE EFFECTIVE THAN CONDOUNDS WHICH DECOMPOSE AT LOWER TEMPERATURES. WOLE OF ACTION SAME AS ABOVE.
BATCH	NUMBER		A, B, C	NEOIT_	- LEOIT	- TOTI-		HEOIL	91011_	_1103E	-1103F	11011 ⁻
ADDED	,a c	। म म ।		I0	1			D/- 5	- I.5.107	1 20	1 1 10 1	
	ADDITIVE	HONE	DIBROWIDE	T, T, T, T =	2'1'2	ANALINE BUGGBUGHTC-	ACID	PHÖSPHÖRIC ACI UREA MIXTURE	Амнокий	<u></u>	FORASSTUM	Rowing

41

PELLANS	UE GENERAL COMMENTS	TTM NJNE TON	UE: NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN BUPWING RATE AS COMPARED TO CONTROL	CE. ADDITIVE CAUSES MARKED CHANCE IN BURNING BERAVIOR AND SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN PDL	OF SAME AS ABOVE UE.	UE: BURNING RATE SIGNI- FICANTLY REDOCED, BUT NO FLANMABILITY REDUCTION.	SAME AS ABOVE	CONTROL.	
THIN COMPOSITE PRO	LF- SURFACE/RESID	BURNED VERY CHIFOR WITH ONLY SMALL AM OF CHAR ON SURFACE	CIAR LEFT AS RESID BUT NOT MORE THAN CONTROL CONTROL	CHAR LEFT AS RESID	APPRECIABLE AMOUNT CHAR LEFT AS RESID	CHAR LEFT AS RESID BUT NOT MORE THAN CONTROL.	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	1.1CBV 2.1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ELL.T.	ES.OF SE	0.10	0.15	। ।	0.20	0.15		10	
WWWTA LONG FR (J. ATTOMIES IN ST. LITT	RA TROPHIC CAN DEDUCT AND TROPHIC CAN	Textroc	SOLID NORVOLATILE HALOGEN COMPOUND THAT DID JUT EVAPORATE DURING CUFE. HALOGEN COMPOUND MAY DECOMPOSE TO VIELD SPECIES WHICH COULD SCAVENGE COMBUSTICH HIACTICN: ON FORN NON- COMBUSTICH ALACTICN: ON FORN NON-	DECOMPOSING PHOSPHORUS COMPOUND FORM ACIDIC FRACHENTS THAY CAN ACT UPON HINDER TO MCDIFY OR ALTEN DECOMPOSI- TIAN TO PPODUCE CHAR.	TODE OF ACTION SAME AS ABOVE.	CHAR LAYERS THAN OTHER PHOSPHORUS	<pre></pre>	SUDE OF ACTIVE AD CACALAT. TERME DEERSY AND DECOMPOSES ON M. JANT SURFACE. FORME NONCOMBUSTIBLE GAS.	EXAMPLE AFPLES HEAR AS ABOVE CON- EVALUA HEAS A HEAR AS ABOVE CON- POUND HAS A HEAR HEARING THEAR THE "LEAVED TEMPERALLY THEAR THE COMPANY
1. 10 Y 4. 0 3.	BATCH RCTHER	T.C. 4-17	H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H				т — — — — — НАХ/РО- 17Е	441 - 14/XXH	
1	*DINIT'		1 i çı i t						
	BUTTER	VONE	THTLENE FETRARPONG- ETHTLENE		PHOSCHER-3C	rriphenyl phosphate (C ₆ H ₅ O) ₃ PO		VECTER VECTER CARBURATE (NH4) 2003	

4?

Combustion and decomposition temperatures of propellants and propellant ingredients.

	PROPE	LLANT TYPE		COOLANT
Temperature	NC/MTN	AP COMPOSITE	HMX COMPOSITE	Oxa- mide
Theoretical isobaric flame temperature of principle oxidizer burning as a monopro- pellant. K	∿2500	1405	3275	
Temperature of decom- position at slow heat- ing rate, K	∿460 ^a (Hot Plate)	∿670 ^b (DSC)	∿535 ^b (DSC)	∿506 ^C
Theoretical isobaric flame temperature of propellant, K	2537 (With 39% MTN and 7% TEGDN)	2850 (With 15% PBAA)	1940 (With 15% PU)	
Condensed phase sur- face temperature dur- ing low pressure burning, T _s , K	∿500a	700-900d	∿1050℃	

^aKubota (Ref. 12) ^bDerr, et al (Ref. 19) ^CBiddle (Ref. 20) ^dSteinz, et al (Ref. 21)

-43-

Chemical analysis of binders and coolants

Flash pyrolysi	s of c	oolant	:5:		• 130000 200
Identified Gas	Produ	ict, pe	ercen	t by we:	ig ht
Coolant	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N	NU	н О

coorant	^{CO} 2	0	^N 2	NH3	^H 2 ^O
Melamin e	-	6	46	48	-2
Oxamide	1	69	13	15	

a an ann a fha ann ann aigeach a' an ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an an a' ann an ann an ann ann ann ann an

Thermal decomposition of coolants:

	Combin DTA an	ned nd TGA		DTA	
	T at peak, C	h cal/g	T at start, C	G a s evol.	h :äl/g
Melamine	384	+272	273	Starts (NH ₃ ?) up to melting at 360 C	+102 to +295
Oxamide	332	+452	233	Sublima- tion only	+350
Ammonium sulfate	338	+251	234	Starts	-
	443	+1028	330	Rapid with melting	-

Thermal decomposition of binders:

Binder 1	'emr at 'eak, C	Enthalpy, cal/g
CTPB/ERL-0500	375	-239
	480	+786
HTPB (R45-DDI)	381	-143
	486	+409
Polyester (F-	434	-18
1747-ERL)	454	+124

detail -

LABLE 3

and the second state of the second state of the

SWIPLES PROVIDED BY FRANKFORD ARSENAL FOR FLAME IGHITION TESTS.

l

ERAGE OF TIMES TO RELATIVE RST FLAME, IGNITION SEC TIMES	3.2 2	5.4 1	3.6 2	2.4 3	2.5 3	2.7 3	3.6 2	5.3 1		2.2 -	7.5 -
FT-LB/LB	>400,000	<200,000	227,000	~150 , 000	~170,000	∿140,000	>400'000	> 364,000	382,000		336,000
FLAMMABILITY REDUCING ADDITIVE	ł	OXAMIDE	Q	ç	0	0	0	0			
BINDER	P.)LYURETHANE	ETHYL CELLULOSE TRIPHENYL PHOSPHATE	TUFFLEX 25.58 DOP 4.58	SAME AS PPL 6180	SAME AS PPL 6180	SAME AS PPL 6180	CELLULOSE ACETATE 4.2% SANTICIZER M-17 3.6%	SALICYLIC ACID,0.16% Hycar 2121-X-66 (Polyacrylic 15.84%)			
ACTIVE INGREDIENTS	HMX 858	TAGN 55%	HMX 708 (전 _m ~ 2 ^µ)	TAG PICRATE 698 HMX 108	HMX 16% TAGN 54%	HMX 251 TAGY 451	HMX 74.01 NC (12.64N)7.54 BDNPA 10.04	RMX 844	NC 57.8% 11G 40.0%	TABLE 1	TABLE 2
SOURCE	THIOKOL/WASATCH	ROCKETDYNE	PICATINNY ARS.	PICATINNY ARS.	PICATINNY ARS.	PICATINNY ARS.	HERCULES/ FRANKFORD ARS.	HERCULES/ FRANKFORD ARS.	U.S. ARMY	PRINCETON	
DESIGNATION	1. #GP-7312-030	2. CASOL	3. PPL 6180	4. PPL 6217	5. PPL 6151	6. PPL 6152	7. HES 8602.2	8. HES 8028	6-W	CONTROL NC BASED PROPEL- LANT	WC 846 BALL Powder Protect- ED BY 0.025 CM

45

*This table was prepared in cooperation with D. Visnov of Frankford Arsenal.

and we and the second of the s



(a) Vigorous flame and correspondingly high surface blowing cause large separation between propellant surface and propellant products/air diffusion flame



- (b) Very slow burning (or smoldering) propellant and correspondingly low blowing permit O_2 to diffuse to and react on the surface.
- Fig. 1 The processes which suppress propellant burning also increase the concentration of atmospheric 02 at the reacting surface and thereby tend to defeat selfextinguitiement.





 (a) Conventional propellant presents a flammability hazard throughout most of its operational environment.



- (b) Representation of the goal yet to be achieved, i.e., self-extinguishment boundary lies beyond environment for propellant exposure.
- Fig. 2 Illustration of the research goal of shifting the boundary for self-extinguishment beyond the ambient pressure and temperature range in which the propellant will be exposed.



a) BURNING IS SUSTAINED BY IGNITION STIMULUS.

「いたちろうちのちょうない」



b) IGNITION STIMULUS IS REMOVED AND BURNING RATE ADJUSTS AS FLAME INHIBITION "STRETCHES OUT" SELF-FLAME AND AS CHAR INSULATES BURNING SURFACE FROM SECOND FLAME AND FROM ATMOSPHERIC OXYGEN.



- c) GAS EVOLUTION RATE HAS DECAYED SUFFICIENTLY THAT HEAT FEEDBACK FROM GAS IS NOT SELF SUSTAINING.
- Fig. 3 Failure to sustain combustion resulting from char formation.



a) Coolant decomposition temperature higher than surface temperature of basic propellant. Thus the endothermic decomposition does not appreciably affect the zone that influences ignitability and burning rate.



ENDOTHERMIC DECOMPOSI-TION OF COOLANT OCCURS AT PROPELLANT SURFACE.

b) Coolant decomposition temperature is lower than the surface temperature. Thus, the endothermic decomposition occurs in a zone where it has a profound influence on ignitability and burning rate.

Fig. 4 Conditions which determine whether a coolant will be effective in reducing propellant flammability.



MEASURED:

TIME TO IGNITION,

T(x,t) (IN SELECTED SAMPLES).

PRESSURE OF SELF-EXTINGUISHMENT.

BURNING RATE VERSUS AIR PRESSURE.

Fig. 5 Schematic representation illustrating the types of laboratory tests used to measure the effectiveness of flammability reducing modifications.



TORCH WHICH CAN BE PIVOTED TO IMPINGE SPECIMEN

(a) Photograph of apparatus.



(b) Configuration for mounting and viewing horizontal specimen.

(c) Configuration for mounting and viewing vertical specimen.

Fig. 6 Apparatus to measure times and to photograph ignition and self-extinguishment processes that occur during and after exposure of propellant specimens to a calibrated flame.

-51-



The second se

Fig. 7 Atmospheric chamber arranged for photographing flame development and self-extinguishment.

CONDITIONS: AIR AT 1.0 ATM PROPELLANT: CONTROL NC BASED SIZE: 1.27 CM OD 2.54 CM LONG

TIME, SEC. 12.7 11.7 VERTICAL 10.7 DIRECTION 8.5 7.4 6.4

A State of the second

Fig. 8 Cross-sectional profiles of horizontal cylinder burning in air.

-53-



We and the way

and the short all the second and the second and the

a contract and

Fig. 9 Transient flame development around horizontal cylinder burning in air.



Fig. 10 Transient flame development around horizontal cylinder burning in air.

CONDITIONS:

sepression and a property

.

AIR AT 1.0 TM PROPELLANT: TCC/W-4 (10% OXAMIDE, 0.4%P) SIZE: 1.27 CM OD 2.54 CM LONG COMBUSTION LEAVES RIGID ASH



Fig. 11 Transient development of outer boundary of dark zone surrounding burning horizontal cylinder.

-56-

CONDITIONS:

AIR AT 1.0 ATM PROPELLANT: TCC/W-5 (NO FLAME RETARDANTS) SIZE: 1.27 CM OD 2.54 CM LONG COMBUSTION LEAVES RIGID ASH



Fig. 12 Transient development of outer boundary of flame zone surrounding burning horizontal cylinder.



(a) Outline of procedure for controlling sample advancement so that it equals propellant burning rate.



conditions.



and the stand of the stand of

Photograph of chamber for conducting experiments under controlled atmospheres (prototype for measuring steady state burning rates is in place). 14 Fig.



The of the select the official states

Fig. 15 Burning rate versus pressure of nitrocellulose propellant modified with ethylene dibromide showing that adding ethylene dibromide does not produce a useful self-extinguishment point.




-61-



Fig. 17 Comparison of the effectiveness of a straight chain (a) and ring (b) halogen shows that neither are effective flammability reducing additives.

-62-



Fig. 18 Comparison of the effect of substituent group (i.e., hydroxyl) on ring halogen shows that modifying the ring halogen is not effective in reducing flammability.

-63-



Fig. 19 Burning rate versus pressure of AP/PBAA composite propellant modified with ethylene dibromide showing that ethylene dibromide does not affect the burning rate characteristics in the low pressure range.



Fig. 20 Comparison of liquid and solid halogen additives shows that neither is effective flammability reducing agents in AP composite propellants.



-

An optimized in the

Fig. 21 Halogen additive does not modify mass burning behavior and does not reduce flammability of HMX Composite propellant.

-66-



A Barrier

THE OWNER OF TAXABLE

Fig. 22 5% phosphoric acid as an additive does not reduce flammability.

-67-





-68-



Fig. 24 10% triphenyl phosphate is not effective in reducing flammability.

-69-



Fig. 25 10% triphenylphosphonium bromide as an additive makes propellant more susceptible to burning and does not reduce flammability.

-70-



Fig. 26 Of the phosphorus containing compounds added to AP composite propellants Phoschek-30 is the most effective in reducing burning rate but none of the additives produce an appreciable reduction in flammability.

-71-



Fig. 27 Decrease of ignitability and burning rate of AP composite with increased phosphate loading.





-73-



Fig. 29 Comparison of urea and oxamide as coolants in reducing mass burning rate (not effective in reducing flammability).

-74-



Fig. 30 Comparison of coolants used in AP composite propellants shows that NH4Br is the most effective in decreasing flammability and greatly reduces burning rate.



Fig. 31 Coolants in HMX composite propellant produce no significant flammability reduction or modification of mass burning rate.

-76-







-78-

Mar Row



Fig. 34 Comparison of tetraphenyl compounds showing that they are not effective in reducing the flammability of nitrocellulose propellants.

-79-



99¹



Fig. 36 Effect of low heating rate on conditions for self-extinguishment