AD-A018 690

PHE STATIST

ORTHOTROPIC BENDING PROPERTIES OF FIBERBOARD

Earl C. Steeves

Army Natick Development Center Natick, Massachusetts

November 1975





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

the state of the state of the

# 15 fb Ft at

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



BEFORT OURSER     Servers     AUTHORY     BENDER COMPLETING PORM     AUTHORY     BANKAR     BANKARAR     BANKAR     BANKARAR     BANKAR     BANKAR	DED	ORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
75-97-AMEL       ************************************	BEPORT NUMBER		A BONT ACCESSION NO.	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AUTHORY: UTTE (of Adams) ORTHOTROPIC BENDING PROPERTIES OF FIBERBJARD AUTHORY: CAUTHORY: CAUTHO	75_97_AME			
CRTHOTROPIC BENDING PROPERTIES OF FIBERBOARD Catholic Bending Properties Control Content Control Control Content Control Control Content Cont		-	l	S TYPE OF REPORT & REMON COVER
AUTHORY)      Earl C. Staeves      PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADOREAT      PERFORMING ORGANIZATION      PERF	ORTHOTROPIC E	SENDING PROPERTIES	OF FIBERBOARD	Technical Report
AUTHORY:     E. CONTRACT ON BRANT NUMBER()  Earl C. Steeves      PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS      PERFORMING OF PROPERTING LABORATORY      NUMBER OF PAGES      PERFORMING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS      PERFORM OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS      PERFORM OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS      PERFORMING ADDRESS      PERFORMING ADDRESS      PERFORMING ADDRESS      PERFORM OFFICE     PERFORMING ADDRESS      PERFORMATE ADDRESS      PERFORMING ADDRESS      PERFORMING AD				S. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Earl C. Steeves            • PENFORMUNE ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDREAM         US Army Natick Development Center         Aero-Mechanical Engineering Laboratory         Same as above.         • ControlLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS         • CONTROLLING OF PROTES         • CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS         • CONTROLLING OF PROTES         • CONTROLLING OF PROTES OFFICE OFFICE         • CONTROLLING OF PROTES         • CONTROLING OF PROTES         • CONTROLLING OF PROTES        •	AUTHOR(a)			8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)
	Earl C. Staeves			
US Army Natick Development Center Aero-Mechanical Engineering Laboratory Natick, MA 01780 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as above. 4 IDDNIYSRING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different free Centrolling Office) 5. BECURITY CLASS. (of Bit Report) ADDROVED STATEMENT (of Bit Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Bit Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desident entered in Bleck 20, if different free Report) Fiberboards 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desident entered in Bleck 20, if different free Report) 5. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 5. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 5. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 5. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 5. ASSTRACT (Continue on errors of de 11 necessary and lentify by bleck number) Fiberboards 6. ASSTRACT (Continue on errors of de 11 necessary and lentify by bleck number) Fiberboards 5. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 5. ASSTRACT (Continue on errors of de 11 necessary and lentify by bleck number) Fiberboards 5. Additional description 5. Additional description for the description of orthotropic bending stiffness 6. Additional description of orthotropic bending stiffness of the fiberboard in the direction procedure re-spreament of 5. Additional description in the difference of the fiberboard are not significantly degraded by the attachment of adhesive bonded resistance strain gauges. 5. DISTRIBUTION and the stiffness properties of the fiberboard are not significantly degrade by the attachment of adhesive bonded resistance strain gauges.	PERFORMING ORGAN	IZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Aero-mechanical Engineering Laboratory Natick, MA 01760 C.CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS C.CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS C.CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS C.CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(If different free Centreling Office) C.CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(If different free Centreling Office) C.CASSIFIED C.ASSIFIED C	US Army Natick D	Development Center		
	Aero-Mechanical E	ngineering Laboratory		6.2; 1J6627080552; 05; C17
Same as above.  II. NUMBER OF PAOES  Some as above.  II. NUMBER OF PAOES  II. SECURITY CLASS. (of Microgene)  UNCLASSIFIED  III. SECURITY CLASS. (of Microgene)  A DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of All Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.  N. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electract entered in Block 30, if different from Report)  K. EV WORCE (Continue on reverse dde if necessary and leantify by block number)  Fiberboards Orthotropism Data Reduction Loads Stiffness Elestic Properties Corrugated Fiberboard Tensile Strength Packages Bend Properties Recording Appratus Adhesive Strength An experimental procedure for the determination of the orthotropic bending stiffness Adhesive Bonding Containers Recording Appratus Adhesive Bonding the detribed procedure are presented for YIGC fiberboard An experimental procedure for the determination of the orthotropic bending stiffness and data reduction procedure. Results obtained using the described procedure are presented for YIGC fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is lesst fiexible in the direction per	1. CONTROLLING OFF	ICE NAME AND ADORESS		12. REPORT OATE
BOHITSRING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)     If. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)     UNCLASSIFIED     Is. DECLASSIFICATION/DOKNORADIN     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)     Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     Loads     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     Assirt Continue on reverse side if necessary and lengthy by block number)     Fiberboards Orthotropism Data Reduction Loads     Stiffness Elastic Properties Corrugated Fiberboard Tensile Strength     Packages     Bend Properties Recording Apparatus Adhesive Bonding Containers     Recording Equipment Bonding Strength     AssTRACT (Centime on reverse and it is correary and identify by block number)     An experimental procedure for the determination of the orthotropic bending stiffness     fiberboard is described, including the loading apparatus, strein gauges utilized, recording equipmen     and data reduction procedure. Results obtained using the described procedure are presented f     V3C fiberboard. These results indicate that the assumption of orthotropic behavior is valid, th     this grade of corrugated fiberboard are not significantly degrade     by the attachment of adhesive bonded resistance strain gauges.	Same as above.			13. NUMBER OF PAGES
UNCLASSIFIED  Is	A MONITORING AGEN	CY NAME & ADORESS(II differen	t from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(a) SECLASSIFICATION/DOKINGRADIN     (a) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)     Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract from Report)     (c) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the				UNCLASSIFIED
A DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)     Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.     .     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different free Report)     .     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different free Report)     .     DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different free Report)     .     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     .     Supplements     .     Action     Action     .     Actin     .     .     .     Action     .     .     .     .     .				154. DECLANSIFICATION/DOWYGRADING SCHEDULE
<ul> <li>XEY WOROS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and lentitly by block number)</li> <li>Fiberboards Orthotropism Data Reduction Loads</li> <li>Stiffness Elastic Properties Corrugated Fiberboard Tensile Strength Packages</li> <li>Bend Properties Recording Apparatus Adhesive Bonding Containers Recording Equipment Bonding Strength</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if ne</li></ul>			······	
Recording Equipment Bonding Strength ABSTRACT (Centinue on review side it necessary and identify by block number) An experimental procedure for the determination of the orthotropic bending stiffness fiberboard is described, including the loading apparatus, strain gauges utilized, recording equipment and data reduction procedure. Results obtained using the described procedure are presented for V3C fiberboard. These results indicate that the assumption of orthotropic behavior is valid, the this grade of corrugated fiberboard is least fiexible in the direction perpendicular to the corrugations and that the stiffness properties of the fiberboard are not significantly degrade by the attachment of adhesive bonded resistance strain gauges.	7. DISTRIBUTION STAT	FEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, il different fro	n Raport)
An experimental procedure for the determination of the orthotropic bending stiffness fiberboard is described, including the loading apparatus, strain gauges utilized, recording equipme and data reduction procedure. Results obtained using the described procedure are presented for V3C fiberboard. These results indicate that the assumption of orthotropic behavior is valid, th this grade of corrugated fiberboard is least fiexible in the direction perpendicular to th corrugations and that the stiffness properties of the fiberboard are not significantly degrade by the attachment of adhesive bonded resistance strain gauges.	7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY N 9. KEY WORDS (Continu Fiberboards Stiffness Flexibility Bend Properties	FEMENT (of the electract entered no TES Orthotropism Elastic Properties Strain Gages Recording Apparatus	In Block 20, if different fre d teentity by block number) Data Reduction Corrugated Fiberb Adhesive Strength Adhesive Bonding	Loads Coard Tensile Strength Packages Containers
D. FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 55 IS OBJOLETE	7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY N 9. KEY WORDS (Continu Fiberboards Stiffness Flexibility Bend Properties	FEMENT (of the abstract entered NOTES OTES Orthotropism Elastic Properties Strain Gages Recording Apparatus Recording Equipment	In Block 20, if different fre d isentity by block number) Data Reduction Corrugated Fiberb Adhesive Strength Adhesive Bonding Bonding Strength	Loads oard Tensile Strength Packages Containers
	<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STAT</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY N</li> <li>AUPPLEMENTARY N</li> </ol>	TEMENT (of the obstract entered NOTES To an reverse olde if necessary an Orthotropism Elastic Properties Strain Gages Recording Apparatus Recording Equipment on reverse olde if necessary an intal procedure for the fibed, including the loadin on procedure. Results of These results indicate th rrugated fiberboard is that the stiffness propent of adhesive bonded of	In Block 20, if different fre d feentify by block number) Data Reduction Corrugated Fiberb Adhesive Strength Adhesive Bonding Bonding Strength A least fiexible in the ng apparatus, strain g btained using the des at the assumption of least fiexible in the rties of the fiberboo esistance strain gauge	a Report) Loads Oard Tensile Strength Packages Containers te orthotropic bending stiffness of auges utilized, recording equipment cribed procedure cre presented for f orthotropic behavior is valid, that the direction perpendicular to the ard aro not significantly degraded pes.

•

## PHEFACE

The results presented in this paper were developed during an investigation of the behavior of package and container structures under load. This work was initiated under Task 1J6€2708D552 05/017, Establishment of Design Criteria for Containers, and carried forward under Task 1E662703A090-04; Design, Analysis and Optimization of Structures; through the AMC Computer-Aided Design and Engineering Program.

1

語いいの

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	4
List of Figures	5
Introduction	6
Experimental Procedure	7
Experimentel Apparatus Duta Reduction	7 12
Results	15
Concluding Remarks	22
List of Symbols	23

# Preceding page blank

## LIST OF TABLES

Page

MAN TOTAL

State water and

Table I	Moment Curvature Properties as a Function of Specimen Orientation	16
Table II	Comparison of Specimen Stiffness With and Without Strain Gages	21

# LIST OF FIGURES

	·	1.980
Figure 1 De	efinition of Coordinates	8
Figure 2 Lo	oading Fixture	10
Figure 3 Ph	hotograph of Test Apparatus	11
Figure 4 Me	oment-Curvature Diagrams	13
Figure 5 Pla	ots of Bending Flexibilities Used in Data Reduction	17
Figure 6 Be Or	shavior of V3C Fiberboard Compliance: as a Function of rientation	19

#### ORTHOTROPIC BENDING PROPERTIES OF FIBERBOARD

#### INTRODUCTION

The rational design of packages and containers requires the ability to predict the deformation and stress of these structures resulting from the loads experienced during their service life. One approach to achieving this goal is the application of the large body of theory that has been developed in structural mechanics. In order to utilize this theory, however, it is necessary to have the appropriate stress-strain law for the material used in the construction of the package or container. One of the most commonly used packaging materials is corrugated fiberboard which is generally used in the form of thin sheets and frequently acts as a bonding member. Thus the theory of thin plates can be utilized as a deformation and stress prediction tool. This theory requires that the stress-strain law be in the form of moment-curvature relations. Examination of the geometry of corrugated fiberboard suggests that it cannot be treated as an isotropic material; however, it does posses two orthogonal axes of symmetry which suggests the orthotropic model that has been adopted.

The bending behavior of orthotropic materials is well understood but no explicit model for corrugated fiberboard is presently known and the purpose of this report is to present a procedure for obtaining such a model and to give an example of the use of the procedure for a common grade of fiberboard. There is nothing in the procedure, however, that restricts its use to fiberboard. The procedure used to transform the experimental data into the orthotropic bending law is an adaptation of a procedure for the general orthotropic stress-strain law presented by Lempriere.\*

\*1. B.M. Lempriere, Unlaxially Loading of Orthotropic Haterials, AIAA Journal, Vol. 6, No. 2, February 1968.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A procedure for the determination of the stress-strain iaw of the more complex materials such as those having orthotropic symmetry requires techniques for the acquisition of data regarding both the stress and strain and mathematical formulas for the transformation of this data into a stress-strain law. These acquisiton techniques and mathematical formulas are described in this section of the report,

#### **Experimental Apparatus**

The determination of the bending properties requires the simultaneous measurement of both the moment and the curvature. For the measurement of the curvature which is a measure of strain, it is recalled that the change in curvature of a bending member can be expressed as

$$\kappa_{11}' = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1'^2} \tag{1}$$

where, as shown in Figure 1, W is the transverse or normal displacement,  $x'_1$  is the coordinate direction in which the curvature is being measured and  $\kappa'_{11}$  is the curvature. The strain at any point in the cross section is given as

$$\epsilon'_{11} = -x'_3 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x'_1{}^2}$$
(2a)

Oſ

 $\epsilon'_{11} = -\mathbf{x}'_3 \kappa'_{11} \tag{2b}$ 

where  $\epsilon'_{11}$  is the direct strain associated with the  $x'_1$  coordinate direction and  $x'_2$  is the independent coordinate in the transverse direction, as shown in Figure 1. If the strain,  $\epsilon'_{11}$ , is measured on the specimen surface defined by  $x'_3 = h/2$  then the curvature can be computed using equation (2). A better experimental procedure consists of making strain measurements on both surfaces of the specimen and computing the curvature from the relationship

$$\kappa_{11}' = \frac{\epsilon_{11}'(-h/2) - \epsilon_{11}'(h/2)}{h}$$
(3)

In pure bending it is expected that  $\epsilon'_{11}$  (h/2) and  $\epsilon'_{11}$  (-h/2) will be equal and thus give the same result as the single-measurement procedure. However, the redundant procedure provides a check on the experimental data through the expected equality. In dealing



Figure 1. Definition of Coordinates

with orthotropic materials it is necessary to measure the curvature in two orthogonal directions one of which coincides with the direction of the applied moment. This is accomplished by the use of rosette strain gages to determine  $\epsilon_{2'2}$  (h/2) and  $\epsilon_{2'2}$  (-h/2) along with  $\epsilon_{1'1}$  (h/2) and  $\epsilon_{1'1}$  (-h/2). The curvature  $\kappa_{2'2}$  associated with  $x'_2$  coordinate line is determined by

$$\kappa_{2'2} = \frac{\epsilon_{2'2}(-h/2) - \epsilon_{2'2}(h/2)}{h}$$
(4)

All of the strain measurements were carried out using resistance wire strain gages on paper backing. The bonding of the gages to the fiberboard specimen was accomplished with Eastman 910 adhesive.

In addition, a simultaneous measurement must be taken of the moment existing at the point where the curvatures are being measured. This moment must be determined uircctly as opposed to being inferred from a strain measurement. This requires a simple loading device which is statically determinant. Such a device is illustrated in Figure 2 and is typical of a class in general use for various bending tests. The usefulness of this loading device results from the fact that the moment is constant throughout the test section and this greatly relieves the necessity for exact positioning of strain gages on the specimen and of the specimen in the loading fixture. The magnitude of the moment in the test section is given in terms of the applied force, P, as

$$M_{11} = \frac{1}{2}P(d_2 - d_1)$$
(5)

For the fixture used in conjunction with the work being reported here,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are respectively 0.0381m and 0.0762m. The orientation of the specimen and loading device in the  $x'_1$  coordinate direction is shown in Figure 2.

For completeness, a brief description of the remainder of the test and recording equipment used follows. The load application and measurement were accomplished through the use of a table model Instron testing machine. The strain gage bridge circuits were excited with a d.c. power supply through a bridge balancing unit. The voltage resulting from the imbalance in the bridge circuit due to strain was recorded on an oscillograph. The output from the Instron load weighing system was also recorded on this oscillograph; however, a buffer amplifier was required to provide an impedance match between the oscillograph and the load weighing electonics associated with the Instron. A photograph of this test apparatus is shown in Figure 3. In this figure the wiring of the strain gauges to recording equipment are not shown.

With the data obtained using this appartum it is possible to construct plots of the changes in curvatures  $\kappa_{11}$  and  $\kappa_{22}$  as functions of the applied moment  $M_{11}$ ; typical results







Figure 3. Photograph of Test Apparatus

for a specimen having an orientation of  $0^\circ$  are shown in Figure 4. An interpretation of these plots can be gained by examination of the general curvature — moment relations for an orthotropic material which can be stated as

$$\kappa_{11} = S_{11}M_{11} + S_{12}M_{22} + S_{13}M_{12}$$

$$\kappa_{22} = S_{12}M_{11} + S_{22}M_{22} + S_{23}M_{12}$$

$$\kappa_{12} = S_{13}M_{11} + S_{23}M_{22} + S_{33}M_{12}$$
(6)

In the test described  $M_{11}$  is the only nonvanishing moment so the first two of these relations become

$$\kappa_{11} = S_{11} M_{11}'$$

$$\kappa_{22} = S_{12} M_{11}'$$
(7)

Thus  $S_{11}'$  and  $S_{12}'$  are the slopes of the moment-curvature plots shown in Figure 4.

#### **Data Reduction**

In the previous section the test procedure was described and the form of the data obtained was given. The purpose of the present section is to describe how this data is transformed into an orthotropic bending iaw referred to the principle coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  in the form:

$$M_{11} = D_{11}\kappa_{11} + D_{12}\kappa_{22}$$

$$M_{22} = D_{12}\kappa_{11} + D_{22}\kappa_{22}$$

$$M_{12} = D_{33}\kappa_{12}$$
(8)

This expression can be inverted to give:

$$\kappa_{11} = S_{11}M_{11} + S_{12}M_{22}$$

$$\kappa_{22} = S_{12}M_{11} + S_{22}M_{22} \qquad (9)$$

$$\kappa_{12} = S_{33}M_{12}$$

which is the same form as equation (6), except that it is referred to the coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  which are the directions of orthotropic symmetry. Relative to these directions the coupling between the twisting,  $\kappa_{12}$ , and the bending moments  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{22}$  vanishes.





If the parameters  $S_{ij}$  ere determined then the perameters  $D_{ij}$  can be expressed in terms of them and this is the procedure that will be followed.

From the test of a specimen of orientation  $\theta$  the parameters  $S'_{11}$  and  $S'_{12}$  for that orientation can be determined. These parameters can be expressed in terms of the parameters  $S_{ij}$ , referred to the principle coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$ , and  $\theta$ , the angle of orientation of the specimen with respect to the principle coordinates, as

$$S'_{11} = S_{11} \cos^{4}(\theta) + 2S_{12}\cos^{2}(\theta)\sin^{2}(\theta) + S_{22}\sin^{4}(\theta) + 2S_{33} \cos^{2}(\theta)\sin^{2}(\theta) S'_{12} = S_{11}\sin^{2}(\theta)\cos^{2}(\theta) + S_{12} [\sin^{4}(\theta) + \cos^{4}(\theta)] + S_{22}\sin^{2}(\theta)\cos^{2}(\theta) - 2S_{32}\cos^{2}(\theta)\sin^{2}(\theta)$$
(10)

Following the procedure given in reference 1, these expressions can be transformed to the following:

$$S'_{12} = (S_{11} + S_{22} - 2S_{33} - 2S_{12}) Sin^{2}(\theta)Cos^{2}(\theta) + S_{12}$$

$$S''_{11} + S'_{12} = (S_{22} + S_{12}) + (S_{11} - S_{22}) Cos^{2}(\theta)$$
(11)

Thus we have  $S'_{12}$  expressed as a linear function of  $Sin^2(\theta)Cos^2(\theta)$  and the sum  $S'_{11} + S'_{12}$  expressed as a linear function of  $Cos^2(\theta)$ . If experimental results  $S'_{11}$  and  $S'_{12}$  are obtained for a number of values of  $\theta$ , or from specimens having a number of orientations, then plots of  $S'_{12}$  vs  $Sin^2(\theta)Cos^2(\theta)$  and  $S'_{11} + S'_{12}$  vs  $Cos^2(\theta)$  can be made and a least squares linear function fit to the data. Having these linear functions with slopes  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and intercepts  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  for the  $S'_{12}$  and  $(S'_{11} + S'_{12})$  functions the following relations can be established:

$$S_{11} + S_{22} - 2S_{33} - 2S_{12} = m_1$$

$$S_{12} = y_1$$

$$S_{11} - S_{22} = m_2$$

$$S_{22} + S_{12} = y_2$$
(12)

This gives four equations in the four unknowns, Sij, which have the following solution

$$S_{12} = y_1$$

$$S_{22} = y_2 - y_1$$

$$S_{11} = m_2 + y_2 - y_1$$

$$S_{33} = \frac{1}{2}(m_2 - m_1 + 2y_2 - 4y_1)$$
(13)

With the S<sub>Ij</sub> determined, the D<sub>ij</sub> can be computed by inversion of equation (9). The details of carrying out this procedure are illustrated with actual data from fiberboard specimens in the next section.

#### **RESULTS**

The purpose of this section is to illustrate the procedure described above with some actual experimental data obtained using the apparatus previously described. The result will be the specification of the orthotropic moment-curvature law for V3C corrugated fiberboard.

The moment-curvature data for several values of the orientation angle is presented in Table I. It will be noted several sets of data were obtained for each value of  $\theta$  and that in addition to the data, Table I includes the values of  $\operatorname{Sin}^2(\theta)\operatorname{Cos}^2(\theta)$  and  $\operatorname{Cos}^2(\theta)$ which are needed in carrying out the data reduction. The parameters (S'\_{11} + S'\_{12}) and S'\_{12} are presented graphically as functions of  $\operatorname{Cos}^2 \theta$  and  $\operatorname{Sin}^2 \theta \operatorname{Cos}^2 \theta$  respectively in Figure 5 along with the least squares linear fit of the data. The equations for these linear functions are

$$(S'_{11} + S'_{12}) = 0.02659 + 0.03037 \cos^2(\theta)$$
 (14)  
 $S'_{12} = -0.01799 - 0.006715 - Sin^2(\theta)Cor^2(\theta)$ 

Thus the values of the slopes and intercepts are

$$y_1 = -0.01799$$
  
 $y_2 = 0.02659$  (15)  
 $m_1 = -0.006715$   
 $m_2 = 0.03037$ 

substitution of these values into equation (13) gives

S <sub>12</sub>	-	-0.91799	1/N-m
S <sub>22</sub>	Ŧ	0.04458	1/N-m (16)
S <sub>1 1</sub>	=	0.07 <b>495</b>	1/N-m
S33	=	0.08111	1/N-m

_
ш
_
<b></b>
8
٦.
<b>_</b>

MOMENT-CURVATURE PROPERTIES AS A FUNCTION OF SPECIMEN ORIENTATION

	θ Deg.	∆M; N	Δκί : <b>1/m</b>	Δκ <sub>22</sub> 1/m	S'i 1/N-m	S <sub>12</sub> 1/N-m	S <sub>i1</sub> +S <sub>i2</sub> 1/N-m	$\cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta$	Cos² 0
	0	14.99 7.87	1.26 0.53	-0.34 -0.17	8.41 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 6.73	-2.27 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> -2.16	6.14 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 4.57	0.0	1.0
	15	16.06 11.30	0.89 0.84	-0.23 -0.24	5.54 7.34	-1.43 -2.12	4.11 5.22	0.0625	0.933
	30	11.48 7.52	0.91 0.69	-0.27 -0.19	7.93 9.17	2.35 2.53	5.58 6.64	0.1875	0.75
16	45	12.63 13.83 9.56	0.76 0.79 0.71	-0.23 -0.33 -0.17	6.02 5.71 7.43	-1.82 -2.39 -1.78	4.20 3.32 5.65	0.25	0.5
•	80	14.81 12.10 12.72	0.85 0.62 0.61	-0.24 -0.17 -0.21	5.74 5.12 4.79	1.62 1.40 1.65	4.12 3.72 3.14	0.1875	0.25
	75	15.03 8.14	0.69 0.35	-0.26 -0.16	4.59 4.30	-1.73 -1.96	2.86 2.34	0.0625	0.066
	06	14.06 8.85	0.61 0.27	-0.23 -0.11	4.34 3.05	-1.64 -1.24	2.70 1.81	0.0	0.0



Figure 5. Plc.s of Bending Flexibilities Used in Data Reduction

The stiffness parameters  $D_{j^2}$  which are the most useful for theoretical work are given in terms of the  $S_{jj}$  as:

$$D_{11} = S_{22}/S_{11} S_{22} - S_{12}^{2}$$

$$D_{12} = -S_{12}/S_{11} S_{22} - S_{12}^{2}$$

$$D_{22} \equiv S_{11}/S_{11} S_{22} - S_{12}^{2}$$

$$D_{33} = 1/S_{33}$$
(17)

Using the values given in (16), the following are the orthotropic stiffness parameters for V3C fibert/oard

$$D_{11} = 14.77 \text{ N-m}$$

$$D_{12} = 5.96 \text{ N-m}$$

$$D_{22} = 24.84 \text{ N-m}$$

$$D_{33} = 12.33 \text{ N-m}$$
(18)

This provides a complete specification of the bending stiftness through the  $D_{ij}$  and the bending compliance through the  $S_{ij}$ . The  $D_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}$  are the orthotropic constructs relative to the principal directions and are used to compute the compliance and stiffness parameters relative to any other directions according to transformations given by Hearmon<sup>\*</sup>.

The behavior of the compliances  $S'_{11}$  and  $S'_{12}$  as a function of orientation is given by equations (10). This behavior for V3C fiberboard based on the results given in equation (16) is shown in Figure 6 along with the experimentally determined values of  $S'_{11}$  and  $S'_{12}$ . Examination of these results indicates that the orthotropic model specified by the '16) is in good agreement with the experimental results from constants Sij of equal. which it was derived, thus justifying the assumption of the orthotropic model. Note that the orientation of 0° corresponds to the situation in which the corrugations of the fiberboard are parallel to the  $x'_1$  axis. It is expected that in this orientation the corrugations would be most effective and the fiberboard would be least compliant or flexible. However, the data presented in Figure 6 indicate that this is not the case and that the least flexible orientation is that corresponding to an angle of 90°. One possible explanation of this behavior is that the corrugations do not provide any significant inherent stiffness but merely act as separators for the face sheets and the orthotropic behavior observed here is due solely to the behavior of the face sheets which are assembled with their most flexible orientation parallel to the corrugations.

\*2. R.F.S. Hearmon, An Introduction to Applied Anisotropic Elasticity; Oxford University Press; 1961.





The question of possible stiffening of the fiberboard by the attachment of the strain geges was addressed by making stiffness measurements of a number of specimens both with and without strain geges. The loading apparatus used was that shown in Figure 2 with load-deflection curves obtained directly from the instron testing machine. The slopes of these load deflection curves which are a measure of stiffness are given in Table II for specimens with and without strain geges of several orientations. Examination of this data reveals that no clear indication of stiffening is evident. In two cases, 45° and 60°, the specimens with strain geges are on the order of 17% stiffer. The remainder of the samples are divided between cases with the specimen having strain geges being stiffer and less stiff. The increase or decrease in all these cases is on the order of 2 to 3%. Thus the case for stiffening due to strain gauge bonding is not compelling. In addition, this data further confirms that, as discussed above, the stiffest or least flexible orientation corresponds to an orientation of 90°.

# TABLE II

## COMPARISON OF SPECIMEN STIFFNESS WITH AND WITHOUT STRAIN GAGES

. ....

---

	Stiffne	es — N/m
Orientation Angle (°)	With Strain Gayes	Without Strain Gages
0	8.19 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	8.41 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
15	8.14 ·	7.95
30	8.76	8.55
45	9.99	8.98
60	10.28	9.47
75	9.63	9.82
90	11.42	10.61

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

An experimental procedure and an essociated data reduction scheme for the determination of the orthotropic banding properties of fiberboard has been developed. Results from an application of the procedure to V3C corrugated fiberboard revealed the following:

1. The assumption of orthotropic behavior is valid.

2. The least Anxibia prioritation of VCC fiberboard does not correspond to the direction parallel with the corrugations.

3. The use of adhesive bonded resistance wire strain gages on fiberboard doe, not remain sufficient stiffening of the fiberboard to cause degradation of the results.

Although the application here was to fiberboard there is nothing in the procedure to limit it to that material.

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

D <sub>ij</sub>	Orthotropic Stiffness Constants
d <sub>1</sub> ,d <sub>2</sub>	Test Fixture Dimensions
h	Thickness of Specimen
M <sub>11</sub> , M <sub>22</sub> , M <sub>12</sub>	Moment Components
m <sub>1</sub> , m <sub>2</sub>	Slopes of Linear Functions
P	Applied Force
s <sub>ij</sub>	Orthotropic Compliance Constants
w	Normal Displacement Component
$x_1, x_2, x_3$ $x'_1, x'_2, x'_3$	Coordinates Corresponding to the Axes of Orthotropic Symmetry Coordinates Defining Specimen Orientation
Y1, Y2	Intercepts of Linear Functions
$\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{22}, \epsilon_{12}$	Strain Components
θ	Angle Specifying the Specimen Orientation
$\kappa_{11}, \kappa_{22}, \kappa_{12}$	Curvature Components
()'	Denotes Parameter Referred to the $x'_1$ , $x'_2$ , $x'_3$ Coordinates

23

のないないのである

Constant of Maria 2 with the