

ADA018131

11/2

AD

R-TR-75-034

IMPROVED MANGANESE PHOSPHATE COATINGS

HENRY CRAIN

RECEIVED
DEC 10
C

APRIL 1975



RESEARCH DIRECTORATE

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.


GENERAL THOMAS J. RODMAN LABORATORY
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL
ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS 61201

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS:

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

DISCLAIMER:

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER A-R-TR-75-034	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) IMPROVED MANGANESE PHOSPHATE COATINGS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Technical Report	
7. AUTHOR(s) Henry/Crain	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS CDR, Rock Island Arsenal GEN Thomas J. Rodman Laboratory Rock Island, Illinois 61201	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS DA 1T062105A328 AMS Code 502E-11-294	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Director Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	11. REPORT DATE Apr 1975	12. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 1. Manganese phosphating 2. Conversion coatings 3. Phosphating bath		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Work was conducted to determine the mechanism by which superior manganese phosphate coatings are produced. The phosphate coatings were applied at temperatures above 212°F and with manganese-organic compounds added to the phosphating solution. Experimental baths and solubility studies have shown that the manganese concentration in the bath is critical and that the main function of the manganese-organic compounds is to increase the manganese concentration. The necessity of a processing temperature higher than 212°F has been attributed		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. Cont

to an exponential increase in the reaction rate constant with temperature for the conversion of manganese dihydrogen phosphate $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2]$ to manganese phosphate $[\text{Mn}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2]$.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
DD Form 1473 (Document Control Data - R&D)	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	111
TABULAR DATA	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
PROCEDURE	2
RESULTS	3
DISCUSSION	6
CONCLUSIONS	8
RECOMMENDATIONS	8
DISTRIBUTION	9





TABULAR DATA

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
I	Analyses of Solution and Coating for Phosphating Baths of Differing Compositions	4
II	Atomic Absorption Analysis Results	5
III	Percentage by Weight of Manganese and Iron Present with Increasing Temperature in a Phosphate Bath Enriched with Manganese Tartrate	7

INTRODUCTION

Manganese phosphate coatings have been applied to ferrous articles for more than sixty years. The coatings are simple to apply and offer an excellent base for oil or paint treatment. These coatings by themselves are required by specification MIL-P-16232 to withstand a 1-1/2 hour, 5 per cent salt spray test.

The conventional manganese phosphate bath contains manganese dihydrogen phosphate [$Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$], ferrous iron (Fe^{++}), and phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4). The processing temperature range is usually 205°F - 210°F. The base metal is pickled initially by the acid; hydrogen is liberated and consequently the pH of the solution is lowered at the metal-solution interface. The following hydrolytic reactions then occur to form the manganese and iron phosphate coating:



*k represents the reaction rate constant

Recently, a new and vastly improved manganese phosphate coating was produced in this laboratory. This new coating is formed by the addition of a metal-organic compound such as manganese tartrate, manganese gluconate, or manganese citrate to the conventional manganese phosphating bath and processing at temperatures in excess of 212°F. This coating is capable of withstanding more than a thousand hours in a 5 per cent salt spray test and exhibits the same remarkable corrosion resistance even after being subjected to a 450°F heat treatment for one hour.

Several problems, however, have arisen in attempts to control the continuous reproduction of the superior coatings. To solve these problems required initiation of this study to obtain a better understanding of the underlying phenomena and reaction mechanisms of the modified manganese phosphating process.

PROCEDURE

The several phosphating baths that were used were of the following compositions:

- a. Manganese citrate bath - 10 grams/liter of manganese citrate was added to the conventional bath.
- b. Manganese tartrate bath - 10 grams/liter of manganese tartrate was added to the conventional bath.
- c. Iron-free bath - 20 grams/liter of manganese dihydrogen phosphate $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2]$ was added to deionized water. The free acid value was adjusted to 2.0 with phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) and manganese carbonate (MnCO_3).
- d. Manganese dihydrogen phosphate bath - 10 grams/liter of $\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$ was added to the conventional bath.

All the above baths were operated at 213°F - 215°F. This temperature range was achieved by use of steam pressures less than one p.s.i.g. in an autoclave type processing vessel.

The specimens were mild steel panels measuring 2 by 3 by 1/16 inch. The specimens were degreased in trichloroethylene, abrasive-blasted with steel grit, and processed in the selected phosphating bath. Total immersion time for the processing of steel panels was 35 minutes.

The phosphate coating was stripped by a five-minute immersion in a solution of chromic acid heated to 200°F. The difference between the weight of the panel after processing and the weight after stripping gives the coating weight. The difference between the weight of the panel before processing and the weight after stripping yields the amount of iron etched.

Concentrations of the manganese and iron present in the bath, coating, and sludge were obtained by atomic absorption analysis. Titrations with sodium hydroxide were used to determine the free and total acid values.

Solubility studies were conducted in an attempt to measure the so-called "inverse solubility" of the phosphates. The filtered precipitate was dried and weighed from a known volume of phosphating solution that had been kept in an isothermal bath for a 30-minute period. The filtrate was then placed in an isothermal bath of higher temperature and the process outlined above was repeated. Additional solubility data were obtained with samples taken at regular temperature intervals from the processing autoclave, as the temperature was increased.

A study of pH at the metal-solution interface versus time of processing was attempted at various temperatures. In these experiments, a ball of degreased steel wool was wrapped around a hydrogen electrode, and the resulting pH was measured continuously on a recorder.

RESULTS

Various parameters of interest for the five types of phosphate baths used are summarized in Table I. The free and total acid values are approximately the same for the conventional manganese gluconate bath and for the manganese citrate bath. The $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$ and iron-free baths have a higher total acid since an excess of $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$ was added to the solution. The coating weights of the panels processed in baths containing some form of manganese enrichment are much greater than those panels processed in the conventional bath. The salt spray tests results show a five-hundred fold increase in corrosion resistance for these panels processed in a manganese-enriched bath as opposed to the conventional bath. The iron etched by pickling is also given in Table I.

Atomic absorption analyses of coatings, baths, and sludge to determine percentage manganese and iron are shown in Table II. Analyses of manganese citrate, tartrate, and gluconate sludges and coatings have been previously reported by Menke.¹ The manganese percentages for the coatings are very close to the calculated value of 46 percent for manganese in $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$. Percentages for the solutions are in weight percent and show a decrease in the manganese after each use of the processing solution. The insignificant amount of iron found in the coating of panels processed in the iron-free bath arises from the use of technical grade $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$ and from the iron etched from the panels themselves.

¹ Menke, J., "A Study of Manganese Phosphating Reactions", Rodman Laboratory, Rock Island Arsenal Technical Report RE-TR-71-60, 1971.

TABLE I

Analyses of Solution and Coating for Phosphating
Baths of Differing Compositions

Bath type	Free acid in points NaOH*	Total acid in points NaOH*	Coating weight milligrams/square foot	Salt spray hours	Iron etched milligrams/panel
Conventional	4.0 maximum	24-27	1500	2	55
Manganese tartrate	2.5	30	7500	>1000	50
Manganese citrate	2.6	30	7500	>1000	60
Manganese dihydrogen phosphate	2.7	47	9000	>1000	45
Iron free	2.4	46	9200	>1000	45

*One point equals one milliliter of 0.1N NaOH per 10 milliliters of sample.

TABLE II

Atomic Absorption Analysis Results

<u>Description of Sample</u>	<u>Percent Manganese by Weight</u>
A. <u>Sludges:</u>	
Conventional	12.5%
Manganese citrate	28.2%
Manganese dihydrogen phosphate	18.6%
B. <u>Coatings from:</u>	
Iron free bath (1st run)	39%
Iron free bath (2nd run)	37%
Iron free bath (3rd run)	46%
C. <u>Solutions:</u> Before and after processing.	
Iron free before > 1st run	0.65%
Iron free after > 1st run	0.60%
Iron free before > 2nd run	0.68%
Iron free after > 2nd run	0.54%
Iron free before > 3rd run	0.62%
Iron free after > 3rd run	0.50%

Data for the solubility studies was difficult to obtain. In the study conducted by heating phosphate solutions in isothermal baths, a larger amount of precipitate was formed as the temperature of the bath was increased. However, the solubility was not only temperature-dependent but also time-dependent. Hence, even after the precipitate had been filtered from the solution, more formed because of the time dependency of precipitate formation. Atomic absorption analysis results for a solubility study that had been performed by the withdrawing of samples from the processing vessel at regular temperature intervals show an increase in precipitation with temperature (Table III). The largest increase in the amount of precipitate formed occurs between 200°F - 220°F.

A study of pH versus time of processing for various temperatures and additives was conducted to determine whether any relationship existed among these variables. Because of difficulties with the pH electrodes at the increased pressures, the results for temperatures above 210°F were impossible to obtain. For temperatures below 210°F, the plots varied slightly; but no definite relationships or trends could be established.

DISCUSSION

In the first experiments performed to produce superior corrosion resistant manganese phosphate coatings, manganese organic compounds were used. At that time, the beneficial effects of the manganese organic compounds were attributed to the organic part of the compound. The citrate, tartrate, and gluconate ions are well known for their chelating action; thus, investigators postulated that perhaps the manganese ion was held in solution by the chelate or combined with the iron to prevent its incorporation into the coating. Possible catalytic activity or buffering effects were also offered as explanations of the increased corrosion resistance. These experiments, however, indicate that the common factor for increased corrosion protection is manganese enrichment of the bath. All the baths that have yielded superior coatings have contained added amounts of manganese. Of more importance is the success of the conventional bath with 10 grams/liter of excess $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$; thus, the complete absence of any chelating ion indicates that the concentration of manganese is extremely critical in the production of corrosion resistant coatings. For elimination of the presence of iron as a factor in phosphating, a bath containing only $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$, H_3PO_4 , and deionized water was used. This bath produced four consecutive sets of phosphated panels that sustained salt spray tests for more than 1000 hours. The only intermittent addition made to the bath was manganese carbonate used to lower the free acid. The increase in manganese concentration permits more $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$ to be formed and, consequently, leads to a thicker, more protective coating. Because this coating is thicker, the amount of free pore area in the coating probably decreases so that less of the steel is exposed to corrosion.

TABLE III

Percentage by Weight of Manganese and Iron Present with
Increasing Temperature in a Phosphate Bath
Enriched with Manganese Tartrate

<u>Temperature</u>	<u>Percent Manganese</u>	<u>Percent Iron</u>
100°F	0.24	0.0034
200°F	0.23	0.0030
220°F	0.17	0.0027
240°F	0.14	0.0034
250°F	0.13	0.0029
260°F	0.12	0.0038
80°F*	0.18	0.0034

*stock bath unenriched

The other important factor necessary to produce superior phosphate coatings is that of a processing temperature above 212°F. Some investigators have used the term "inverse solubility" to explain the formation of more precipitate as the temperature is increased. However, the time dependency for precipitation, as shown in the solubility studies, indicates that the mechanism is a kinetic one rather than a solubility mechanism. The equation for a rate constant is given as:

$$k = Ae^{-E_a/RT}$$

where A = Constant

E_a = Energy of activation

T = Absolute temperature

R = Constant

As temperature increases, the rate constant will increase exponentially. Thus, for this particular phosphating system, the increase in temperature above 212°F becomes very important for the conversion of the soluble $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$ to the insoluble $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$. The increase in $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$ formation produces thicker coatings and, consequently, less free pore area for corrosion sites.

CONCLUSIONS

- a. The manganese concentration in the phosphating solution is critical for producing coatings with superior corrosion resistance.
- b. The main function of the manganese organic compounds is to provide the bath with an increased manganese concentration.
- c. The exponential increase with temperature of the kinetic rate constant for the conversion of $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$ to $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$ is an important factor for processing at temperatures higher than 212°F.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Further work should be conducted to determine:

- a. the critical manganese concentration needed to produce a superior coating,
- b. the differences in free pore areas that exist between conventional and Mn-enriched phosphate coatings, and
- c. a method to convert the $Mn_3(PO_4)_2$ sludge to the useful form of $Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$.

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

A. Department of Defense

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

1

Defense Documentation Center
ATTN: TIPDR
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

12

B. Department of the Army

HO DA (SAUS)
Washington, DC 20310

1

HO DA (DAMA-WSW)
Washington, DC 20310

1

HO DA (DAMA-RA)
Washington, DC 20310

1

HO DA (DAMA-AR)
Washington, DC 20310

2

Commander
U. S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATCD
Fort Monroe, VA 23351

1

Commander
U. S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCRD-JW Mr. Cosgrove
AMCRD-R Mr. H. Cohen
AMCOA

1

1

1

5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Commander
U. S. Army Armament Command
ATTN: AMSAR-RDP 1
 AMSAR-PP 1
 AMSAR-PPI-K 1
 AMSAR-PPI-WW 1
Rock Island, IL 61201

Commander
U. S. Army Missile Command
ATTN: AMSMI-RSM Mr. E. J. Wheelahan 1
 AMSMI-RRS Mr. R. E. Ely 1
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander
U. S. Army Test and Evaluation Command
ATTN: AMSTE-IN Mr. Morrow 1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Director
Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center
ATTN: AMXMR-PL 1
Watertown, MA 02172

Commander
Frankford Arsenal
ATTN: SARFA-A2000 1
 SARFA-L1000 1
 SARFA-C2500 1
Philadelphia, PA 19137

Commander
Watervliet Arsenal
ATTN: SARWV-RDR Dr. F. Sauter 1
 SARWV-RDT Library 1
Watervliet, NY 12189

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Commander Rock Island Arsenal ATTN: SARRI-L	1
SARRI-LS	1
SARRI-PE	1
SARRI-PR	1
SARRI-Q	1
Rock Island, IL 61201	
Commander U. S. Army Material Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: AMXSU-G Mr. Simmons	1
AMXSU-G Mr. Bruno	1
AMXSU-G Mr. Zeller	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commander U. S. Army Logistics Center ATTN: Maintenance Directorate Fort Lee, VA 23801	1
Commander U. S. Army CACDA ATTN: ATCACC Ft. Leavenworth, KS 66027	1
President U. S. Army Infantry Board Fort Benning, GA 31905	1
Commander U. S. Army Tropic Test Center ATTN: STETC-MO-A (Technical Library) Drawer 942 Fort Clayton, Canal Zone 09827	1
Director U. S. Army Production Equipment Agency Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, IL 61201	1

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

C. Department of the Navy

Commander
Naval Weapons Center
ATTN: Code 753 - Technical Library
China Lake, CA 93555

1

Commander
Naval Surface Weapons Center
ATTN: Technical Library
Dahlgren, VA 22448

1

Commander
Ground Operations Division
DEVCEM MCDEC
Quantico, VA 22134

1

D. Department of the Air Force

ADTC-DLD
ATTN: Mr. Auman
Eglin AFB, FL 32542

1

AFML
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST UPDATE

- - - FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE - - -

Government regulations require the maintenance of up-to-date distribution lists for technical reports. This form is provided for your convenience to indicate necessary changes or corrections.

If a change in our mailing lists should be made, please check the appropriate boxes below. For changes or corrections, show old address *exactly* as it appeared on the mailing label. Fold on dotted lines, tape or staple the lower edge together, and mail.

Remove Name From List

Change or Correct Address

Old Address:

Corrected or New Address:

COMMENTS

Date: _____ Signature: _____

Technical Report #

FOLD HERE

Return Address:

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
DOD 314



OFFICIAL BUSINESS
Penalty for Private Use \$300

Commander
Rock Island Arsenal
Attn: SARRI-IR
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

FOLD HERE

AD _____ Accession No. _____
CDR, Rock Island Arsenal
General Thomas J. Rodman Laboratory
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

IMPROVED MANGANESE PHOSPHATE COATINGS, by
Henry Crain

Report R-TR-75-034, April 75, 12 p. incl. illus.
tables, (DA Project 11062105A328, AMS Code 502E.11.294)
Unclassified report.

Work was conducted to determine the mechanism by which superior manganese phosphate coatings are produced. The phosphate coatings were applied at temperatures above 212°F and with manganese-organic compounds added to the phosphating solution. Experimental baths and solubility studies have shown that the manganese concentration in the bath is critical and that the main function of the manganese-organic compounds is to increase the manganese concentration. The necessity of a processing temperature higher than 212°F has been

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Manganese phosphating
2. Conversion coatings
3. Phosphating bath

DISTRIBUTION:
Copies available
from DDC

AD _____ Accession No. _____
CDR, Rock Island Arsenal
General Thomas J. Rodman Laboratory
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

IMPROVED MANGANESE PHOSPHATE COATINGS, by
Henry Crain

Report R-TR-75-034, April 75, 12 p. incl. illus.
tables, (DA Project 11062105A328, AMS Code 502E.11.294)
Unclassified report.

Work was conducted to determine the mechanism by which superior manganese phosphate coatings are produced. The phosphate coatings were applied at temperatures above 212°F and with manganese-organic compounds added to the phosphating solution. Experimental baths and solubility studies have shown that the manganese concentration in the bath is critical and that the main function of the manganese-organic compounds is to increase the manganese concentration. The necessity of a processing temperature higher than 212°F has been

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Manganese phosphating
2. Conversion coatings
3. Phosphating bath

DISTRIBUTION:
Copies available
from DDC

AD _____ Accession No. _____
CDR, Rock Island Arsenal
General Thomas J. Rodman Laboratory
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

IMPROVED MANGANESE PHOSPHATE COATINGS, by
Henry Crain

Report R-TR-75-034, April 75, 12 p. incl. illus.
tables, (DA Project 11062105A328, AMS Code 502E.11.294)
Unclassified report.

Work was conducted to determine the mechanism by which superior manganese phosphate coatings are produced. The phosphate coatings were applied at temperatures above 212°F and with manganese-organic compounds added to the phosphating solution. Experimental baths and solubility studies have shown that the manganese concentration in the bath is critical and that the main function of the manganese-organic compounds is to increase the manganese concentration. The necessity of a processing temperature higher than 212°F has been

UNCLASSIFIED

1. Manganese phosphating
2. Conversion coatings
3. Phosphating bath

DISTRIBUTION:
Copies available
from DDC

attributed to an exponential increase in the reaction rate constant with temperature for the conversion of manganese dihydrogen phosphate [$Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$] to manganese phosphate [$Mn_3(PO_4)_2$].

attributed to an exponential increase in the reaction rate constant with temperature for the conversion of manganese dihydrogen phosphate [$Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$] to manganese phosphate [$Mn_3(PO_4)_2$].

attributed to an exponential increase in the reaction rate constant with temperature for the conversion of manganese dihydrogen phosphate [$Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$] to manganese phosphate [$Mn_3(PO_4)_2$].

attributed to an exponential increase in the reaction rate constant with temperature for the conversion of manganese dihydrogen phosphate [$Mn(H_2PO_4)_2$] to manganese phosphate [$Mn_3(PO_4)_2$].