AD-A017 097 DEVELOPMENT OF DEICING TECHNIQUES FOR DIELECTRIC WINDOWS Edward A. Strouse Perkin-Elmer Corporation

Prepared for: Air Force Materials Laboratory

August 1975

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 322067 AFML-17-75-99

# DEVELOPMENT OF DEICING TECHNIQUES FOR DIELECTRIC WINDOWS

THE PERKIN-ELMER CORPORATION NORWALK, CONNECTICUT 06856

AUGUST 1975

FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD APRIL 1973-APRIL 1975



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Prepared for AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

> Rep. oduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Propartment of Commerce Springfield, VA 22151

UNCLASSIFIED

, ---

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1 REPORT NUMBER	GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
AFML-TR-75-99				
4 TITLE (and Subtitle)		5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
DEVELOPMENT OF DEICING TECHNIQUES I	FOR	April 1973 - April 1975		
DIELECTRIC WINDOWS		6 PERFORMING OKG. REPORT NUMBER		
		12464		
7. AUTHOR(#)		8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)		
Edward A. Strouse	Edward A. Strouse			
9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
The Perkin-Elmer Corporation		Task 7371 Project 737101		
Norwalk, Connecticut 06856		Work Unit 73710145		
11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	<u> </u>	12 REPORT DATE		
Air Force Materiais Laboratory (LPO)	)	August 1975		
Air Force Systems Command		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Of	is 45433	14 D		
		Unclassified		
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)				
17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entored in	Black 20, 11 dilferent tro	m Report)		
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and	dentify by block nu., ber;			
Conductive Coatings				
FLIR Windows (ZnS, ZnSv, ZnSSe)				
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i	dentify by block number;			
This report covers measurements and techniques that have led to the development of the first prototype windows coated with a continuous thin-film heater trans- parent in the 8 to 12 micron spectral region. The effort involved the measure- ment of the complex optical constants of indium-tin-oxide and the dependence of these values on both process parameters and the conductivity of the films. The performance of a full coated window has a transmission greater than 70%, and a sheet resistance of 160 $\Omega$ /square is described. Also included is a thermo-optical				
DD I JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLE	TE UNC	CLASSIFIED		

i

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASS1FIED

Se l'anna

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

analysis of conductive films and patterns for zinc sulfide, -inc selenide, and zinc sulfo-selenide windows.



### FOREWORD

This report was prepared by The Perkin-Elmer Corporation. Norwalk, conn. under Contract No. F33615-73-C-1080, Project 7371, Task 737101, entitled "Development of Deicing Techniques for Dielectric Windows". The work was administered under the direction of the Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohto. Mr. D.W. Fischer was project engineer and E.A. Strouse was the principal investigator.

This is the Final Technical Report for Contract F33615-73-C-1080. It covers the period April 1973 to April 1975.

The report was submitted by the author in May 1975.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Conductive Mulcilayer Films 1.2 Background 1.3 Program Objectives	1 1 3
2	OPTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INDIUM-TIN-OXIDE FILMS AT IR WAVELENGTHS	4
	<ul> <li>2.1 Experimental Results</li> <li>2.2 Optical Constants of Indium-Tin-Oxide on</li> <li>2nS and ZnSe</li> </ul>	4
	2.3 Dependence of n and k on Film Conductivity	10
3	DESIGN OF CONDUCTIVE ANTIREFLECTION COATINGS FOR IR WAVELENGTHS	12
	<ul> <li>3.1 Induced Transmission Filter Technique</li> <li>3.2 Technique Applied to Indium-Tin-Oxide Films</li> <li>in the 8 to 11.5 Micron Spectral Region</li> </ul>	12
	(Theory) 3.3 Experimental Effort	12 19
4	ANTIREFLECTION COATINGS	24
	<ul> <li>4.1 Theoretical Design</li> <li>4.1.1 Single Layer Designs</li> <li>4.2 Double Layer Designs</li> </ul>	24 24 28
	4.1.2 Double-Layer Designs 4.2 Experimental Effort	28 28
5	USE OF CONDUCTIVE COATINGS IN NONPARALLEL BUS BAR CONFIGURATIONS	38
	5.1 Nonuniform Coating Techniques 5.2 Glacier Process Description 5.2.1 Definition Phase 5.2.2 Mask Preparation 5.2.3 Deposition Phase	38 39 39 39 40
	5.3 Experimental Effort 5.4 Conclusions	40 41
6	THERMO-OPTICAL ANALYSIS OF CONDUCTIVE FILMS AND PATTERNS	47
	6.1 Assumed Conditions	48
	6.2 Basic Analytical Procedure 6.3 Power Density and Temperature Control	49
	Kequirements 6.4 Steady State Thermal Stresses	49 52

and the second second

74.59 314

والمعدو معقراتك

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Ticle	Page				
	6.5 Combined Steady-State Stresses					
	(at Exterior Surface)					
	0.6 Transient Thermal Stresses	60				
	6.7 Temperature Control Circuit Malfunction	60				
	6.8 Wavefront Degradation (Uniform EC Coating					
	on Interior Surface)	61				
	6.9 Lateral Temperature Gradients	61				
	6.10 Increasing Coated Window Transmission with					
	Conductive Patterns	64				
	6.11 Wavefront Distortion of Heater Patterns	75				
7	FABRICATION AND EVALUATION OF COATED ZINC SULFIDE					
	WINDOWS	88				
	7.1 Fabrication of Test Fixtures	88				
	7.2 Fabrication of Windows	88				
	7.3 Evaluation of ZnS Windows	91				
	7.3.1 MTF Measurements	91				
	7.3.2 Optical Performance of Coated Witness					
	Pieces	91				
	7.3.3 Coating Durability	92				
	7.3.4 Measurement of the Thermal Profile					
	Across a lleated Window	92				
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	106				
	8.1 Transmission Values	106				
	8.2 Coatings for Irregular Shaped Windows	106				
	8.3 Follow-On Investigations	107				
	REFERENCES	108				
		108				
Appendix						
А	OPTICAL TEST DATA FOR UNCOATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS	109				

В

· -----

vi

OPTICAL TEST DATA FOR COATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS 121

-

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1	Reflection and Transmission Through a Tin-Doped Indium Oxide Film	5
2	Reflection and Transmission Input Data for ZnS Window Study	6
3	Typical Computer Output	7
4	k Versus Sheet Resistance for an Indium-Tin-Oxide Film 0.16 , Thick	11
5	Transmission for Nonabsorbing Substrate	14
6	Transmission for Three-Film System	15
7	Herpin Equivalent Index Value for Three-Film System	16
8	Three-Film System with Antireflection Coating of Thorium Fluoride	17
9	Indium-Tin-Oxide Three-Film System with Antireflection Coating of Thorium Fluoride	18
10	Three-Film Herpin Sections	20
11	Spectral Performance of Coating Design Deposited on ZnS	21
12	Spectral Response for ZnS	25
13	Spectral Response for ZnSe	26
14	Herpin Equivalent Index Film System	27
15	Herpin System for ZnS	29
16	Herpin System for ZnSe	30
17	Spectral Response for ZnS Double-Layer Design	31
18	Spectral Response for ZnSe Double-Layer Design	32
19	Spectral Response for ZnS Coated with ZnSe and ${ m ThF}_4$	33
20	Spectral Response for ZnSe Coated with ZnSe and ${ m ThF}_4$	34
21	Spectral Performance of ZnS with Protective Film of CeF <sub>3</sub>	36
22	Spectral Performance of ZnSe with Protective Film of CeF3	37
23	Overcoating of Graded Film	39
24	Variable Resistance Coating	42
25	Transmission Wavefront Interferograms	4 <b>3</b>
26	Trapezoidal Window Layout	44

-

ara walanda ana

ومحاولة فيراط ومتل فتبد ستهزده وسترج

aan aa

---

-----

1.140

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

1

1

Figure	Title	Page
27	Temperature Control Schematic, IR Window Defogger/ Deicer with Guard Heater	53
28	Thermal Schematic of Heated 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" ZnSe Window with EC Coating on Exterior Surface	56
29	Thermal Schematic of Heated 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" ZnSe Window with EC Coating on Interior Surface	57
30	Loss of Performance Due to Window with Axial Tempera- ture Gradient and Pressure Differential	62
31	Window Mount Concept	63
32	Temperature Cradients in Quarter Window	65
33	Loss of Performance Due to Window with Radial Tempera- ture Gradient	66
34	Loss of Performance Due to Window with Radial Tempera- ture Gradient	67
35	Loss of Performance Due to Window with Radial Tempera- ture Gradient	68
36	Insulating Window Mount Concept	69
37	Nodal Temperature Diagram	73
38	Nodal Temperature Diagram	77
39	Nodal Temperature Diagram	78
40	Nodal Temperature Diagram	79
41	Distribution of Optical Path Difference Around Each Heater Stripe	83
42	Affect of Thermally-Induced Optical Path Differences	84
43	Thermally-Induce ' Point Spread Function	85
44	Striped Pattern Window Heater with Central Bus Bar	87
4	Thermal Vacuum Chamber	89
46	Insulated Chamber Housing	90
47	Spectral Performance at 70.8°J	94
48	Spectral Performance at Ambient	95
49	Spectral Performance at 0°F and 120°F	96
50	Spectral Performance at 70.0°F	97
51	Spectral Performance at Ambient	98
52	Spectral Performance at O°F and 120°F	99
53	Spectral Performance at 69.0°F	100

where a subscription of the second se

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
54	Spectral Performance at Ambient	101
55	Spectral Performance at 0°F and 120°F	102
56	Positions and Recorded Temperatures of Thermocouples	103
57	Positions and Recorded Temperatures of Thermocouples	104

## LIST OF LABLES

# Number

# <u>Title</u>

# Page

Ŵ

1	n and k for $In_2O_3/SnO_2$ on ZnS	9
2	n and k for $In_2O_3/SnO_2$ on ZnSe	9
За	Coating Design	22
3b	Durability Evaluation	22
<u>4</u>	Window Characterization	45
5	Recorded Temperatures of Nonuniformly Coated Window	46
6	Recorded Temperatures of Uniformly Coated Window	46
7	CVD Material Properties	50
8	Summary of EC-Coated ZnSe and ZnSSe Window	54
9	Steady-State Thermal Stresses	58
10	Effect of Window Support Conditions on Steady-State Window Stresses	59
11	Diffracted Image Intensity	71
12	Temperature Distribution Comparison	74
13	Temperature Distribution Comparison	80
14	Average Transmission of Striped Window Heater Patterns	81
15	Optical Path Difference Distribution Around Each Stripe	82
16	MTF Measurements	91
17	Optical Performance	93
18	Coating Durability	93

ورخته فيستوقده فسأسترض بترامى ويترو ريام

. . . فن

فتفخفف

#### SECTION 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 CONDUCTIVE MULTILAYER FILMS

Continuous thin-film heaters transparent in the visible spectrum have been in use for some time now as deicing and defogging elements on aircraft transparencies. The heaters are generally made from either gold or semiconductor films based on indium oxide or tin oxide. The oxide films for pilot windshield defogging are generally deposited by a chemical pyrolitic decomposition technique; temperatures in excess of 500°C are involved in this process. For high quality optical windows such as those used in aircraft camera bays, the oxide films are deposited by RF sputtering or thermal evaporation techniques. Perkin-Elmer employs a proprietary process that combines conductive films with dielectric films in the form of interference multilayers, which can actually improve the transmission through a glass surface as well as provide deicing and defegging capabilities.

A characteristic of all highly conductive thin films, whether gold or oxide based, is a strong attenuation of wavelengths longer than 2  $\mu$ . The absorption edge begins in the region of 1  $\mu$  and, for oxide semiconductor materials, reaches a steady level in the 2-3  $\mu$  region. The attenuation in gold films increases progressively with wavelength. This report describes more fully the nature of the attenuation in indium-tin-oxide films and how the understanding of the complex optical constants enable these films to be combined with dielectric films to produce conductive multilayers with relatively high transmission values in the 8-12  $\mu$  spectral region.

### 1.2 BACKGROUND

In the region of transparency, a conductive film can be designed into a multilayer system in the same way as any other transparent dielectric film. Perkin-Elmer has already employed this technique in the production of a variety of devices requiring high transparency conductive film systems based on; the indium-tin-oxide films. The indium-tin-oxide films have a refractive index of

2.0 at visible wavelengths, and there are a number of well known antireflection coating designs for glass surfaces that can be adapted to accommodate films of this index.

In the regions where the conductive films are nontransparent, it is necessary to use those design techniques that are used for metallic films. To illustrate this, it is convenient to consider designs used for gold-based coatings that are transparent at visible wavelengths. 150 Å thick gold films show a transmission of approximately 65% in the visible spectrum yet still have a high conductivity. By placing suitable thickness and refractive index dielectric films on either side of the gold film, one can increase the transmission to approximately 85% in the same spectral region. This program was designed to apply similar techniques to the existing Perkin-Elmer indium-tinoxide conductive film for use in the 8 to 12 micron region and to fabricate a demonstration ZnS window.

The multilayer designs are very similar to the induced transmission through gold films. The oxide semiconductor films are treated essentially as metallic films, the thickness of the films being substantially less than interference thickness in the 8 to 12 micron range.

The thermo-optical analysis examines deicing techniques as applicable to infrared windows. These techniques will be limited to uniform, transparent, electrically conductive coatings. Analysis determined the image spoiling or wavefront degrading effects due to each material investigated.

The thermal analysis was not restricted to the optical effects but included an investigation of the thermal stress/shock imposed by heating ind cooling rates. Furthermore, the deicing techniques that were developed include a study of the safety aspects in the event of potential thermal runaway. The danger of a catastrophic failure due to heating was eliminated by designing a fail-safe thermal system to preclude overly rapid heating rates or absolute overheating in the event of a failure of the thermal controller.

After the most promising deicing and antireflective coating techniques were established and fully developed through the coating experimental work, a test demonstration was conducted to demonstrate the achievement of the thermal

and optical goals. A demonstration ZnS optic has been fabricated consisting of a customer-supplied plano-parallel window to which the deicing technique has been applied.

#### 1.3 PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

A study and experiments were conducted to investigate various methods for deicing zinc sulfide, zinc selenide, and zinc sulfo-selenide windows. The goal was to develop a deicing method that will not significantly degrade the performance of a sensor receiving radiation through the window. The projected flight envelope of the B-1 was to be used as the anticipated window environment, and the deicing technique developed was to be consistent with FLIR window configurations for the B-1 and with B-1's FLIR window performance requirement.

The work performed under Contract F33615-73-C-10°O for Wright-Patterson Air Force Base is presented as follows:

- Optical characteristics of indium-tin-oxide films at IR wavelengths
- Conductive antireflection coatings for IR wavelengths
- Autireflection coatings
- Use of conductive coatings in nonparallel bus bar configurations
- Thermo-optical analysis of conductive films and patterns
- Fabrication and evaluation of coated zinc sulfide windows
- Conclusions

#### SECTION 2

## OPTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INDIUM-TIN-OXIDE FILMS AT IR WAVELENGTHS

The transmission and reflection characteristics of a 0.15 micron thick film are shown in Figure 1. It can be seen that whereas the transmission value stays approximately level in the region from 2.5 to 14.0 microns, the reflection value rises steadily with increasing wavelength. This is indicative of a complex index behavior in which the real part (n) remains essentially constant whereas the imaginary part (k) increases with increasing wavelength. In the first phase of this program, a detailed examination of these optical constants in the 8 to 14 micron region was made. The relationship between the values of n and k for various process parameters was evaluated. The first optical constant measurements were made on films of identical thickness processed by controly the same techniques as the films used in the low-light-level TV window conductive transparent coatings. Process parameters were then varied to produce films with a range of conductivity values in order to assess the dependence of the optical constants on this parameter.

## 2.1 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The optical constants of the films were calculated from spectral measurements made through the plate (T), double surface reflection from the plate measured from the coated side (R), and double surface reflection measured from the uncoated side (R'). Figure 2 shows a diagram of these measurements. The optical constants of the plate itself were determined by deparate experiments The measured data is computer-reduced to yield the optical constants of the film in the spectral range of interest. The input data and a typical computer output are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Spectral measurements were made on a Perkin-Elmer Model 180 spectrophotometer, and film thickness measurements were made on a Sloan angstrometer.

The n and k program takes the normalized transmission and reflection data (shown in Figure 2) and converts this data to single surface values.



Figure 1. Reflection and Transmission Through a Tin-Doped Indium Oxide Film C '5  $\mu m$  Thick and 85  $\Omega/Square$  Sheec Resistance

Ns = ZnSe

t.

i

Ns Thk = 1.32 mm

Ns = 2.40

Wavelength (Microns)	% T	% R	% R'	Remarks
8.0	30.82	37.24	18.44	
8.5	30.01	37.43	18 27	Т
9.0	30.51	27.41	18.23	Uncoated
9.5	30.44	37.34	18.12	Coated
10.0	30.86	37.09	18.04	
10.5	30,81	37.72	17.89	T Coated <b>†</b>
11,0	30.94	37.67	17.83	
11.5	31.11	37.97	18.02	
12.0	31.31	37.98	17.78	Coated Substrate
12.5	31.28	38.06	17.92	In and Sn (87.5: 12.5) MT = $1602\mathring{A}$
13.0	31.15	38.39	17.95	(measurements taken after vacuum baking)
13.5	31.08	38.06	17.70	
14.0	30.71	38 <b>. 7</b> 5	17.75	
14.5	30.43	39.60	17.82	
15.0	30.17	38.90	17.95	

Figure 2. Reflection and Transmission Input Data for ZnS Window Study

33105-91	100					11.23.04	05/16/73
TZNS4B	can.	FILH C	II ZIS.	RUN IVO.	27NS4B	•••••	••••
APPAIN =	1.500						
ANIAX =	2,500						
NRAIN =	3.000						
акљах =	6.000						
WFR =	1.0						
WFT =	1.0						
WFA = '	1.0						
EEI = 1.0	000						

# **\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

1

ŝ

WVL	RC-RM	IC-IM	AC-AM	N	K	SEC
8.000000	-0.17	0.00	0.16	1.830	4.020000	6.5
8.500000	-0.15	-0.01	0.16	1.830	4.220000	6.2
<b>9.00</b> 0000	-0.11	0.02	0.09	1.850	4.410999	6.2
<b>9.</b> 500000	-0.04	0.02	0.02	1.956	4,599999	6.2
10.000000	0.06	-0.02	-0.04	1.940	4.770999	6.2
10.500000	0.05	-0.03	-0.02	1.782	4,099999	6.2
11.000000	0.02	-0.11	0.09	1.920	5,139999	6.2
11.500000	0.03	-0.04	0.01	1.940	5.319999	6.1
12.000000	0.06	-0.03	-0.02	1.830	5.399999	6.2
12.500000	-0.02	0.05	-0.04	1.712	5.553999	6.1
13.000000	0.06	-0.04	-0.03	1.714	5.719999	6.2
13,500000	-0.07	0.04	0.03	1.622	5.879999	6 2
14.000000	-1.31	-2.11	3,43	1,490	5,919999	6 1
14.500000	-13,58	-11.93	25.51	1.490	4.759999	6 1
<b>15.0</b> 00000	-1.48	24.87	-23.39	2.522	6.219909	δ.2
						-

# **\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

TOTAL TIME = 92.9

Figure 3. Typical Computer Output

The film constants are then calculated. Figure 3 shows the output of a typical calculation. The symbols used are as follows:

WVL = Wavelength

RM = Single surface reflection at air boundary obtained from data

- RC = Calculated single surface reflection using film n and k
- TM = Single surface transmission obtained from data
- TC = Calculated single surface transmission using film n and k
- AM = Single surface reflection at substrate boundary obtained
   from data
- AC = Calculated single surface reflection using film n and k
- N = The real part of the film index

K = The imaginary part of the film index

RC-RM = Deviation of measured and calculated film reflectance

TC-TM = Deviation of measured and calculated film transmission

AC-AM = Deviation of measured and calculated film absorption

The deviation values give an estimate of the validity of the calculation. It can be seen that the dispersion of the imaginary part (k) of the complex refractive index  $(\widetilde{N})$  is approximately linear with wavelength  $(\lambda)$ . The complex index can then be defined by the expression

 $\tilde{N} = n - jk = 1.9 - j(1 + 3/8\lambda)$  for ZnS

in the region in which n is essentially constant.

2.2 OPTICAL CONSTANTS OF INDIUM-TIN-OXIDE ON ZnS AND ZnSe

Table 1 lists the optical constants of 1600 Å thick 85% indium oxide/ 15% tin oxide after a 250°C vacuum bake. The results shown are the average of four coating runs. Table 2 lists the optical constants of the same thickness and process parameters when deposited onto ZnSe.

Because of the oxidation of ZnSe during film processing, it was impossible to achieve good reproducibility of the optical constants. One can observe by comparing Tables 1 and 2 that the optical properties of the conductive film vary with the substrate being coated.

# TABLE 1. n AND k FOR In203/Sn02 ON ZnS

<u>λ(μ)</u>	n	<u>_k</u>
8.0	1.85	4.35
8.5	1.85	4.57
۶.0	1.95	4.78
9.5	2.00	4.99
10.0	2.12	5.14
10.5	2.13	5.37
11.0	2.25	5.58
11.5	2.35	5.82
12.0	2.28	6,00
12.5	2.12	6.20
13.0	2.02	6.38
13.5	1.98	6.59
14.0	1.72	6.62

# TABLE 2. n AND k FOR $In_2O_3/SnO_2$ ON ZnSe

<u>λ(μ)</u>	<u>n</u>	i.
8.0	2.05	5.41
8.5	1.98	5,76
9.0	2.05	6.00
9.5	2.06	6.28
10.0	2.11	6.52
10.5	2.22	6.78
11.0	2.11	7.06
11.5	2.13	7.33
12.0	2.04	7.57
12.5	2.01	7.84
13.0	1.99	8.08
13.5	2.01	8.32
14.0	1.97	8.64

## 2.3 DEPENDENCE OF n AND k ON FILM CONDUCTIVITY

Figure 4 shows the variation of the imaginary refractive index k (at 10 microns) with sheet resistance for a 0.16  $\mu$ m thickness indium-tin-oxide film. The film composition is 85% indium oxide, 15% tin oxide. The sheet resistance values were varied by subjecting the films to a number of different vacuum bake regimes. The plot points are the average values from three films of the same thickness and composition.

The value of  $75\Omega/sq$ . represents the lowest value to which the film resistance can be reduced by vacuum baking without the liberation of free metal particles. These free metal particles drastically change the absorption properties of the film at iong wavelengths making it very difficult to achieve reproducible values of n and k for the film. For sheet resistances greater than 200 $\Omega$ /square, the variation in k is approximately linear, inversely proportional to the sheet resistance, and k is reducing at a rate of -0.12 per 100 $\Omega$ /square.

The corresponding variation in n with sheet resistance was impossible to evaluate from the set of data that yielded the variation in n for each of the three films tested; following each of the process adjustments, these variations could not be correlated with conductivity values for the films. It is more likely that the variations were attributable to differences in the free metal content of the films. Generally, the range of values for n that were recorded fell in a fairly tight range and could not be anticipated as strong an influencing factor in the multilayer design considerations as the much larger variations in  $\kappa$ .



Figure 4. k Versus Sheet Resistance for an Indium-Tin-Oxide Film 0.16  $\mu$  Thick

#### SECTION 3

### DESIGN OF CONDUCTIVE ANTIREFLECTION COATINGS FOR IR WAVELENGTHS

In this program phase, the n and k information gathered in the previous phase was used to generate transparent conductive coating designs using the induced transmission filter technique.

#### 3.1 INDUCED TRANSMISSION FILTER TECHNIQUE

The technique of augmenting the transmission through metal films by the use of additional dielectric films is referred to by thin-film filter designers as the induced transmission filter technique. The technique involves reducing the reflection contribution of the imaginary part (k) of the complex index of the film by matching it to the real index of a dielectric film or the effective real index of a dielectric film stack. These matching films are disposed symmetrically on either side of the metallic film. The symmetrical grouping of metal and dielectric films has an effective k value that is significantly lower than the value for the metal film and, for the purpose of impedance matching into the substrate and the surrounding medium, the grouping can be treated as though it had a real index only.

The multilayer groupings of dielectric films are required when the k value of the metallic film exceeds the highest real value that can be obtained using a single material. In systems such as these, the index value is strongly dispersive and the high transmission is obtained only over a narrow spectral region. The technique is in fact employed in the fabr.cation of narrow-band spectral filters. In the following section, we will trace step by step the development of an induced transmission design for a ZnS window based on a conductive film.

# 3.2 TECHNIQUE APPLIED TO INDIUM-TIN-OXIDE FILMS IN THE 8 TO 11.5 MICRON SPECTRAL REGION (THEORY)

Using the optical constants shown in Table 1, the transmission was computed through the uncoated side of a zinc sulfide plate (costed on the other

side with the indium-tin-oxide film) and is shown in Figure 5. The transmission value in the range is approximately 24%, a value far too low for the film to be useful as a heater element.

Figure 6 shows the transmission through a three-film system of germanium/indium-tin-oxide/germanium. The thickness of the films is chosen such that the sum of the phase retardation introduced by the germanium film and the phase retardation suffered on reflection at the germanium-indium-tin-oxide boundary is  $\pi/2$ . The index of germanium in the 8 to 12 micron region is equal to 4.0, which is the closest approximation of the k value for the indium-tin-oxide that we can achieve with a single discrete material. The transmission peaks at 10.0 microns at a value of 72% but falls off rapidly at both larger and shorter wavelengths. Figure 7 shows the behavior of the complex Herpin equivalent index value for the three-film system. It can be seen that the equivalent k value for the system has been reduced to less than 1.0 over most of the spectral range while the equivalent real index value at wavelengths longer than 10.0 microns is on the order of 2.2.

The next stage in the design formulation is to provide films for the reduction of the reflections from the three-film system and the air and substrate values. The simplest of these approaches is based on the assumption that the real index of the system is 2.2. In this case, the system is already a good index match to the substrate, so no films are necessary at the substrate/system boundary. The problem then reduces to one of providing an antireflection coating for a medium of index 2.2. The simplest such coating would be a single quarter-wave optical thickness (at  $\lambda = 10.0$  microns) of material with a refractive index equal to 1.47. A close approximation to this in practice would be a film of thorium fluoride (n ~ 1.40). Figure 8 shows the computed performance of such a system. It can be seen that the transmission gain over the three-film group at the peak transmission wavelength is not spectacular. Reasonable gains is refracted at the off peak wavelengths giving the four-film system a fairly flat transmission characteristic.

Using the same design approach, a coating design was calculated using an 800 Å indium-tin-oxide conductive film. The anticipated resistance would be 200 ohms per square and would have approximately 86% transmission as shown in Figure 9.

33105-91100 11.31.32 04/27/73 CIND-IR CONDUCTIVE FILM ONLY ON IPT-2 SUBSTRATE DESIGN FOR SIDE 1 FILM SATCK PARAMETERS WR = 9.500000 MICRONS NO. MATERIAL THK (MU) OPT.TIK. (WR UNITS) 2.2200 SUB 1 COND-IR 0.16020 0.03204 ENN 1.0000 TOPAL THICK. 0.16020 MICRONS. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 11.32,08 04/27/73 33105-91100 COND-IR CONDUCTIVE FILM ONLY ON IRT-2 SUBSTRATE DESIGN FOR SIDE 2 FILM SATCH PARAMETERS WR = 9.500000 MICPONS THK (MU) OPT.TIK. (WR LETTS) NO. MATERIAL SUB 2.2200 1.0000 ENN YOTAL THICK. 0.0 MICRONS. \*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* 11.32.49 04/27/73 33105-91100 COND-IR CONDUCTIVE FILM ONLY ON IRI-2 SUBSTRATE WR = 9.500000 MICRONS 1/NR = 0.10526LATA FOR BOTH SIDES CONSINED : T=T1\*T2/(1.0-RSUB1\*RSUB2) PERLETT ENN-SIDE TRANSMITTANCE AT NORMAL INCIDENCE PCT. 20 40 80 100 WAVE 0 60 TRANS IE IGTH 24.5376 8.000000 + + è + **•** 24.6114 8.500000 + 24.6462 9.000000 + + 8 9.500000 + + 0 + 0 24.6473 24.6188 10.000000 + 24.5642 10.500000 + + 🗄 **24.**4867 11.000000 + **24.**3883 11.500000 + + 🔶 + 🛉

**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

N. A. COLLAND AND PROPERTY AND

Figure 5. Transmission For Nonabsorbing Substrate

33105-91300 14.43.51 06/14/73 OC ON ZNS FILM STACK PARAMETERS WR = 8.000000 MICRONS NO. MATERIAL THK (HU) OPT.THK. (WR UNITS) SIB 2.2200 1 Ge 0.49610 0.25363 2 ALPHA-1 16020 0.03805 3 Ge 0.49610 0.25363 ENN 1.0000 TOTAL THICK. 1.15240 MICRONS. \*\*\*\*\* 33105-91300 14.45.34 06/14/73 OC OI 7NS WR = 8.000000 MICRONS 1/1R = 0.12500PERCENT EN.-SIDE TRANSMITTANCE AT NORMAL INCIDENCE PCT. WAVE 0 20 40 60 80 100 TRANS LENGTH 43.4093 8.000000 + + + 55.7373 8.500000 + + + 65.9380 9.000000 + + + 71.4626 9.500000 + + + 72.2915 10.000000 + + + 70.1781 10.500000 + + + 66.9025 11.000000 + + + 63.5884 11.500000 + ÷ + 60.6600 12.000000 + Ŧ + 58.2650 12.500000 + ÷ + 56.3170 13.000000 + + 4 **\$\$\$\$\$\$**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ n=4,m4=1[ n=4,,~4=15,p4=.3125,

Figure 6. Transmission for Three-Film System

ait and a strength

04/27/73 33.05-91100 06.23.33 CONDUCTIVE FILM . 1602 MU. THICK ON IRT-2(ZNS) COND-IR1 FILM SATCK PARAMETERS WR = 10.000000 MICRONS OPT.THK. (WR UNITS) NO. MATTRIAL THK (MU) 2,2200 SUB 0.52109 0.21000 2 Ge 0.03044 3 COND-IR 0.16020 0.21000 4 Ge 0.52109 1.0000 ENN TOTAL THICK. 1.20238 MICRONS. 04/27/73 06.23.33 33105-91100 COND-IR1 CONDUCTIVE FILM . 1602 MU. THICK ON IRI-2(ZNS) WR = 10.000000 MICRONS1/WR = 0.10000AT COMPLEX HERPIN INDEX DEGREES ANGLE OF INCIDENCE. 0.0 12 2 ų б 8 10 WAVE 0 <u>I+++++++</u>I<del>+++++</del>I<del>+++++</del>I+ <del>╡╡╡┊</del>╪╪┿<mark>┇┽┽┼┿</mark>╞╪╪┽┽<mark>┇┿┼┿┼</mark>╱╪╪┿┿<mark>┇</mark> REAL(O) IMAG(=)LENGIH 2.8416 4.2001 8.000000 + 3.2772 1.4824 8.500000 + 0.7672 2.1908 9.000000 + 0.3264 0,9809 9.500000 + (7 1.2147 0.2613 10.000000 + 1.7471 0.3703 10.500000 +2.0239 0.4116 11.000000 +2.1885 0.4322 11.500000 ++ 2,2925 0.4454 12.000000 + 12.500000 + 2,3603 0.4556 2.4034 0.4651 13.000000 + = **#2+\$\$**9\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

71

Figure 7. Herpin Equivalent Index Value for Three-Film System

33105-91300 14.48.44 06/14/73 CC ON ZNS FILM STACK PARAMETERS WR = 8.000000 MICRINS NO. MATERIAL THK (MU) OPT.THK. (WR UNITS) SUB 2.2200 1 0.49610 Ge 0.25363 2 ALPHA-1 0.16020 0.03805 3 Ge 0.49610 0.25363 4 ThF4 1.62637 0.31250 ENN 1.0000 TOTAL THICK. 2.77877 MICRONS. \*\*\*\*\* 33105-91300 14.49.39 06/14/73 CC ON ZNS WR = 8.000000 MICRONS 1/NR = 0.12500PERCINT ENN-SIDE TRANSMITTANCE AT NORMAL INCIDENCE PCT. WAVE 0 20 40 60 80 100 TRANS LENGTH I+++++I++I++++++I++I++ 62.9819 8.000000 + + + +0 69.3645 8.500000 + + + + 72.2881 9.000000 + + + + 73.6980 9.500000 + + + + 74.4654 10.000000 ++ + ÷ 74.8284 10.500000 + + + + 74.7563 11.000000 + + + + 74.2769 11.500000 + + t + 12.000000 + 73,4272 + + Ŧ 72.3500 12.500000 + + + + 71.0765 13.000000 + + + + **\$\$\$\$\$**\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

Figure 8. Three-Film System with Antireflection Coating of Thorium Fluoride

11.11.54 04/27/73 33105-91100 COND-IR2 CONDUCTIVE FILM STACK ON IRT-2 (2NS) SUBSTRATE FILM SATCK PARAMETERS WR = 9.500000 MICRONS

NO. MATERIAL THK (MU) OPT. THK. (WR UNITS)

SUB 2.2200

1	Ge	0.50494	0.21500
2	COND-IR	0.08000	0.01600
3	Ge	0.50494	0.21500
4	ThF4	1.54761	0.25000
ENN	1.0000		

TOTAL THICK. 2.63750 MICRONS. \*\*\*\*\*\*

33105-91100 11.13.24 COND-IR2 CONDUCTIVE FILM STACK ON IRT-2 (ZNS) SUBSTRATE

04/27/73

WR = 9.500000 MICRONS 1/WR = 0.10526

PERCENT ENN-SIDE TRANSMITTANCE AT NORMAL INCIDENCE

PCT.	WAVE	0	20	40	60	80	100
TRANS	LENGIH	I++++	+++++ <u>1</u> +++++	- <del>++++</del> I++++	<del>++++</del> 1 <del>+++</del> +	<del>╶╸┥╪╡</del> <u>┇</u> <del>╡╡╞╞╞</del> ╡	+++I
83.4769	8.000000	+	+	+	+	+ ⊕	
86.5780	8.500000	+	+	+	+	+ 🕀	
87.4465	9.00000	÷	+	+	+	+ Ð	
87.5176	9.500000	+	+	+	+	+ 🚯	
87.1023	10.000000	+	+	+	+	+ ⊕	
86.1393	10.500000	+	+	+	+	+ 🖯	
84.5900	11.000000	+	+	+	+	+ 🕀	
82.5731	11.500000	+	ŕ	+	+	+0	
<b>\$\$\$\$</b> \$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$					

Figure 9. Indium-Tin-Oxide Three-Film System with Antireflection Conting of Thorium Fluoride Other more complicated designs are, of course, possible, but the real limiting factor for the transmission that can be induced through the indiumtin-oxide film is the absorption in this film. More complex multilayers could not be expected to yield, even in theory, more than 2 or 3% more transmission than the design used in the foregoing example. In practice, the reproducibility of these complex designs will be limited by the reproducibility of the n and k values for the indium-tin-oxide film.

Figure 10 shows the use of three-film Herpin sections to obtain a higher real index for impedance matching purposes. This technique yields a higher maximum transmission (86%) but has a much narrower bandwidth (~ 1.0 micron) due to the dispersive properties of the Herpin sections.

#### 3.3 EXPERIMENTAL EFFORT

The initial prototype coatings using the theoretical design shown in Figure 8 exhibited extremely poor durability and low transmission. Thin films of ZnS and  $\text{ThF}_4$  were inserted into the film system. During this study the following was observed:

- a. The optical constants of the conductive films are influenced by the substrate and previously deposited films.
- b. The sticking coefficient of the conductive film is a function of the surface being coated.
- c. The n and k values of the indium-tin-oxide may be varied by post deposition processes such as air or vacuum bake cycles.
- d. Diffusion between the germanium film and conductive film occurs at temperatures < 250°C.</p>

The final coating design and durability is shown in Table 3. Figure 11 shows the spectral performance of the coating design when deposited onto ZnS. All attempts to increase the transmission by the use of 800 Å conductive films resulted in marginal increase in transmission and a tenfold increase in resistance.

By comparing Figures 8 and 11, it can be seen that at the peak transmission wavelength, the agreement between measured and computed data is excellent, the difference of approximately 2% containing the contributions

NARROW B	1300 AND CONDUCTEV	E COATING				1 11 19 12	027	21715
FILM ST	AUK PARAVET	THERS WR	= 9.500		RONS	1.667		
NO. MA	IETIAL	1116 ( #10 )	,	UCLAL INA	(AP 00	1131		
6Ub	2.21:05							
1	Ge	0.04017	(	0.23000				
2	ZnS	0.99183	(	0.23000				
4 NL	PHA-1	0.15998	(	0.03368				
5	Ge	0.54017	Ċ	0.23000				
6	ZnS	0.97193	Ś	0.23000				
7	Ge	0.54017	(	0.23000				
E GG	1.4000	1.09043	(	0.25000				
		4 00014	1100/000	<i>,</i>				
******	11 J G K + * * * * * * * * * * * *	***	TCROIN	C •				
33105-9	1300					13:50:0	2 02/	21775
NARROW B	AND CONDUCTIN	E COATING						
		nR = 9,	500000	104045	1Z.iv	= 0.10	52 <i>(</i>	
		NR = 9, PERUCY 1	500000 - 114-5 cm	MICHUAS E TRAISM	IZAR ITTANC	= 0.10 8 AT 609.	527 MAL 1901	ಗ್ರಾರಳ
PCT.	WAVE	HR = 9,0 PERUCY 1	500000 1 (114-040) 20	105005 E TPARE1 40	IZAP ITVA.IC	= 0.10 8 AT GOP. 60	526 (AL 1801 70	າວ ເວຍ 1.00
PCT. TRANS	HAVE LENGT	<pre>nR = 9,1 PERUTY 1 0 1++++++</pre>	500000 1994–5000 200 ++ I ++ ++	*105005 E TPADE* 4* +++ >>1++	۲۷۵۲ ITTALIC ++++++	= 0.10 8 AT09. 60 +1++++++	527 AL 1801 Fr ++11+++	یں <sub>ک</sub> ر ۱۵۲ ++++1
PCT. TRANS 11/67	HAVE LENGT: R.GOCCOO	HR = 9, PERUCY 1 0 1++++++ + 0	500000 (194–500) (20 ++ I ++++	місколія Напральм 40 +++ маі++	۱۷۵۳ Its A.IC ++++++	= 0+10 8 4T 009. 60 +1++++++	527 (AL 1901 	າ <sub>ນ ເ</sub> ປະ 1 ກາ ++++1
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760	HAVE LENGT: 8.000000 8.099999	<pre>nR = 9,1 PERUIY 1 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0</pre>	500000 120-0000 20 ++ I ++ ++	*105055 E TPAIS* 4* +++ **1++	12.50 IT5 a.30 ++++++	= 0+10 8 AT 008. 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1903) #**11#***	ייט, טיי 1 סר 1 ++++1
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.0760 8.2181	HAVE LENGT 8.000000 8.099999 8.200000	<pre>nR = 9,1 PERUT'1  0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 </pre>	500000 214-0319 20 ++ I ++ ++	*105045 E TPARE* 4* +++ **1++	17.44 IT'i A.R ++++++	= 0+10 7-4T-009 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1901 	ილიკიფ 1 oc +++++I
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 1.5467	HAVE LENGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000	nR = 9, PERCT'1 0 1++++++ + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8	500000 1 1*5-0300 20 ++1++++	*105005 5 (PARS* 40 +++ >>1++	17.44 IT'i A.RC ++++++	= 0.109 8 AT09. 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1001 #* +++1 1 ++++	ne ,de 1 oc ++++1
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999	nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	50,0000 - 1 1*54-0310 20 ++1++++	*105945 5 (ГРАЛЬ) 4* +++>>(1++	17.44 IT'i A.30 ++++++	= 0.10 6 AT 500 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (*****	+++++I 1 oc 1 oc
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999 8.599999	nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	500000 204-0000 ++1++++	*105945 5 (TPAJE) 4* +++>>1++	12.14 IT'i A.IC ++++++	= 0.10 6 AT 500 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (*****	າ <sub>ປີ ເ</sub> ປະ 1 ວດ 1
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.699999	nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	500000 ° 20 ++ I++++ ₽ ₽ +₽	*105005 5 (TPAUS) 4* +++ >>1++	1Z.44 1T'1 A.33	= 0.10 6 AT 500 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (*****	າ <sub>ຍ</sub> ູບະ 100 +++++I
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 26.5514	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.299999 R.40000C 8.299999 8.599999 8.599999 8.699999 8.799999	nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8 + 8	50.0000 1 200 ++ I++++ 60 +00 + 60	*105005 5 (TPAUS) 4* *** **1**	1Z.# 1T5 A.C ++ ++++	= 0.10 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (F) ++1[++++	יינא איז ו מר +++++I
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5914 33.5500	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999	nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	50.0000.1 20 ++ I++++ • • • •	*105045 5 TPALE* 4* *** **1**	1Z.# IT5 A.33	= 0.10 6 AT 509. 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (F) ++11++++	ng (39 1 or ++++1
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914	HAVE EFIGT: R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.90000 8.999999 9.099999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'1 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0</pre>	50.0000.1 20 ++ I++++ • • • • •	*105005 5 TPALE* 4* +++ >>1++ ₽	1Ζ.Φ ΙΤΊ Α.ΙΟ ++++++	= 0.10 6 AT 509. 60 +1+++++	527 (AL 1831 (*****	ייז, גופ ו סר +++++I
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5914 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 54.8136	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 R.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.90000 8.999999 9.099999 9.199999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'1 0 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0</pre>	50 00 b(, 1 210 ++ I ++ ++ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ # #	1Ζ.Φ ΗΤΊ Α.ΙΟ ******	= ೧.10 - 4T09. 60 +1++++++ + <b>₽</b>	527 (AL 1831 (F) ++11++++	ייז, גופ ו סר +++++I
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914 33.5500 26.5914	HAVE EFING II: R. COCCCOO 8. 099999 8. 200000 8. 299999 8. 400000 8. 499999 8. 599999 8. 599999 8. 599999 8. 599999 8. 599999 9. 199999 9. 199999 9. 299999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'1 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	50 00 b(, 1 210 ++ I ++ ++ + € + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ # # + +	1Ζ.Φ ΗΤΊ Α.ΙΟ ******	= ೧.10 - 4T09. 60 +1++++++ + ₽ +	627 (AL 18:31 ₽∩ +++11++++	ng (Je Tor ++++FI
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 54.8136 75.9639 43.9512	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.500000 8.999999 9.199999 9.199999 9.299999 9.399999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	60 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ # + + +	12.44 115.4.33 ++++++	= 0.10 - 4T0 - 60 + 1++++++ + 9 + -	6 € € € • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ng (Je   or +++++]
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.5639 83.5512 56.7257	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.509999 8.99999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	600000 20 ++ I++++ 60 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ * * + + + + + + + +	12.44 115.4.33 ++++++	= 0.10 - 4T0 - 60 + 1++++++ + 1+++++++ + 9 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4	6 4AL 18:01 ₽∩ +++11++++ + 6 + 6 + 6	ng (Je   or +++++]
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 86.7697 84.3105 78.1863	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.299999 9.399999 9.399999 9.599999 9.599999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	600000 20 ++ I++++ 60 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ * * + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 175.4.33 ******	= 0.10 - 4T0 60 + 1++++++ + + + + + + + + + +	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	ng (Ja Tor +++++]
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 86.7697 84.3105 78.1863 70.4807	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.499999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	600000 20 ++ I++++ 60 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ * + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	12.44 115.4.33 ++++++	= 0.10 - 4T0 60 + 1++++++ + 9 + + + + + + + + + + + •	6 4AL 18:01 ₽^ +++11++++ + 6 + 6 €	ng (Ja Tor ++++T
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 84.3105 78.1863 70.4807 62.7110	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCOO 8.099999 8.20000 8.299999 8.40000C 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.499999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1++++++++ +</pre>	600000 20 ++ I++++ 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1Ζ.Φ ΗΤΊ Α.ΙΟ ******	= 0.10 - 4T0 60 + 1++++++ + 1+++++++ + 0 + 0 + 0	62 ( (AL TEC) 	ng ,39   or ++++I
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 84.3105 78.1863 70.4807 62.7110 55.6611	HAVE EFNGT R.GOCGCOO 8.099999 8.20000 8.299999 8.40000C 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.499999 9.599999 9.599999 9.699999 9.899999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1++++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 6 + 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + +	*10kg//S E TPALE* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	12.44 115.4.33 ++++++	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	€ (AL I:C)	ng ,39   or ++++I
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 84.3105 78.1863 70.4807 62.7110 55.6611 49.1468	HAVE EFNGT R.GOCGCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.499999 9.499999 9.499999 9.599999 9.699999 9.899999 9.899999 10.099998	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 6 + 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + +	*10x00x5 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 IT: A.33 ++++++ +	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + 1+++++++++ + 1++++++++++	€ (AL I:C)	na .Ja 1 or +++++I
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 11.5467 13.5664 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.9639 83.9512 84.3105 75.1863 70.4807 62.7110 55.6611 49.7558	HAVE EFNGT R.COCCCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.400000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.199999 9.2999999 10.2999998	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 + 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + + +	*10x00x5 E TPALE* +++ xx1++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 IT: A.30 ++++++ + +	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + 1+++++++++ + + + + + + + +	€ (AL 1:01 = +++11 ++++ + • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	na .Ja 1 or +++++I
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.5136 75.5639 83.5512 55.6611 49.7457 52.7110 55.6611 49.7558 37.4329	HAVE EFNGT R. GOCGCOO 8. 099999 8. 200000 8. 299999 8. 299999 8. 400000 8. 499999 8. 599999 8. 599999 8. 599999 8. 599999 9. 199999 9. 199999 9. 199999 9. 199999 9. 499999 9. 499999 9. 499999 9. 499999 9. 499999 9. 599999 9. 699999 9. 699999 10. 099999 10. 099999 10. 299999 10. 299999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 IT: A.30 ++++++ + +	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + 1++++++++ + 1+++++++++ + 1++++++++	€ (AL 1:01 = +++11 ++++ + • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100 100 *****I
PCT. TRANS - 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 53.0275 53.0265 53.0275 53.0265 53.0275 53.0275 53.0275 53.0275 53.0275 53.0265 53.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.0275 55.02755 55.02755 55.0275555 55.0275555555555555555555555555555555555	HAVE EFNGT R.GOCGCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.299999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.399999 9.399999 9.2999999 9.299999999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 + 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 ITSA.33 ++++++ +	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + 1+++++++++ + + + + + + + +	€ (AL 1:01 F^ +++1 1 ++++ + • € + • € €	100 100 *****1
PCT. TRANS 7.1767 7.0760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.5664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5514 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 53.2265 53.227 53.2265 53.2275 75.2661 145.267 53.22710 53.265 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.22710 53.2275 53.2265 53.22710 53.27710 53.277700 53.27700000000000000000000000000000000000	HAVE EFNGT: R.GOCGCOO 8.099999 8.200000 8.299999 8.200000 8.499999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.399999 9.399999 9.499999 9.499999 9.499999 9.499999 9.499999 9.599999 9.499999 9.599999 9.699999 10.099998 10.199999 10.299999 10.299999 10.399999 10.399999 10.599999 10.599999	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT'I 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	6 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 6 + 6 + 6 + 6 + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	17.44 IT5 A.33 ++++++ +	+ 9 + 1+++++++ + 1++++++++ + + + + + + + +	€ (AL 1:01 F^ +++1 1 ++++ + + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6	100 100 *****1
PCT. TRANS 1767 7.C760 8.2181 9.6754 11.5467 13.9664 17.1126 21.2220 26.5914 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.1126 33.5500 42.3627 53.0265 64.1136 31.550 55.6611 49.7497 44.8105 75.96611 49.7497 44.8105 75.96611 49.7497 44.8105 75.96611 49.7497 31.45588 31.45588 31.45588 31.45588 31.45588 31.45588 31.45588 31.458	HAVE EFNGT: R.60C600 8.099999 8.20000 8.299999 8.20000 8.299999 8.599999 8.599999 8.599999 9.399999 9.399999 9.399999 9.399999 9.499999 9.499999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 9.599999 10.099999 10.099999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.29999999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.299999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999999 10.2999999 10.299999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.29999999 10.29999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.29999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.2999999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.299999 10.2999 10.29999 10.2999 10.299	<pre>nR = 9, PERUT' 1 0 1+++++++ +</pre>	50 00 00 1 20 ++ I ++ ++ 6 + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*105045 E TPALE* 4* +++ **1++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	12.44 IT' A.C ++++++ + •	= 0.10 AT	€ (AL 1::01 F^ +++1 1 ++++ + ⊕ + ⊕ €	100 (J9 100 +++++1

.

.

ţ

21.5200 26.4361 25.5516 24.2619 24.2619 24.2619 25.4792

22.20

.,

17

10.999998 + 11 099998 +

11.299998 +

11.399999 +

11,599998 +

11.699999 +

11.1.1.1.1.1.+ 11. 444446 +

Figure 10. Three-Film Herpin Sections

+ + + + +

+

+

+ 9

+ ++

8 •

\*\*\*



Figure 11. Spectral Performance of Coating Design Deposited on ZnS

TABLE 3a. COATING DESIGN

1

Step	Film Material	Thíckness	Substrate Temperature (°C)	Notes
	ZnS	1/2∧ ₫ 0.441 μ	120°	Binder Film
(م	Ge	λ 3 1.690 μ	120°	Impedance Matching Film
m	ZnS	$1/2\lambda$ C 0.441 $\mu$	120°	Diffusion Barrier Film
4	Indium-Tin-Oxide	1600 Å		
5				Post Deposition Processes
Q	$\operatorname{ThF}_4$	1/4A 3 0.473 µ	250°	Díffusion Barrier and Binder Film
7	Ge	λ 3 1.690 μ	250°	Impedance Matching Film
ω	${ m ThF}_4$	Х₫1.920 и	250°	Matching Film System Into Air

TABLE 3b. DURABILITY EVALUATION

Test	Results
Washing	Passed
Adherence per MIL-M-13508B	Passed
Abrasion per MIL-M-13508B	Passed
Abrasion per MIL-C-675A	Failed
Humidity per MIL-C-675A	Passed

from the uncertainty in the k values for the indium-tin-oxide film, absorption in the dielectric films, and absorption at film interfaces.

The coating design then clearly demonstrates the viability of the induced transmission technique as a means of providing conductive thin-film coatings with high infrared transmission. The work directed towards the understanding of the optical constants of the indium-tin-oxide films has enabled us to obtain an idea of some of the practical limits of the technique for considerations such as film durability and the spectral coverage that can be obtained.

#### SECTION 4

## ANTIREFLECTION COATINGS

The design types that can be used most effectively for high index substrates such as zinc sulfide and zinc selenide comprise one or more films, each being one-quarter wavelength optical thickness at a wavelength centered in the frequency range of interest.

4.1 THEORETICAL DESIGN

#### 4.1.1 Single Layer Designs

The simplest design is the well known single quarter-wave design, which provides for a minimum reflectivity value at or close to zero when

$$n_1 = (n_0 r_s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

 $n_1$  is the refractive index of the film  $n_0$  is the refractive index of the medium  $n_c$  is the refractive index of the substrate

For zinc sulfide (n = 2.2),  $n_1 = 1.48$  and for zinc selenide (n = 2.4),  $n_1 = 1.55$ ; the spectral response of these film systems are shown in Figures 12 and 13. Since no known nonabsorbing material having the above indices in the 8 to 12 micron region exists, it will be necessary to simulate this index.

One method of realizing films having refractive indices not available in any practical material is the use of the Herpin equivalent index film system technique. This technique basically involves the use of a symmetrical system of 3, 5 or some other odd multilayer stack of film to simulate a single film with some index intermediate between the values for the two films. Figure 14a illustrates the single film design for ZnSe and Figure 14b illustrates the simplest equivalent stack, a three-11lm equivalent of a single quarter-wave optical thickness. The solution can be affected with either two high index

12:12:21

33105-41500 A.R.COALINGS

- - - -

33105-91500 A.P.CUALLNGS

## 12:12:40

HR = 9.00000 mlCrobs 1/mr = 0.10020

PERCENT ENA-SIDE REPLECTANCE AT NURMAE INCIDENCE

PUL.	NAVE	. 1		1		4			Ċ		C)	10
HEFL.	Lenoth	1++	++++	4 + 1 +	•+++*	++++;+-	+++	+++++	1+++	+++++	1 24.44	++++i
2.0301	1.50000	+		+	<del>(†</del> •							
1.7300	1.10000	+		<del>11</del> 7								
1.3005	3.0000	+	tt:									
0.7710	ちょくついいい	Ŧ										
し。コイソソ	0.00000	Ŧ	φ.									
1.2744	3.10F1C	+₩										
1240	ショル ルール	+#										
0.0299	1.25(1).	÷										
1.11.12	イ・ンロローに	<b>++</b> ;										
6.0210	7.150M	<del>47</del>										
0.1011	tu.uuua	+ (†										
0.2152	10.255.50	+#										
0.3021	し、ついいい	+ +	4									
(.23/4	10.1000	+	#									
1.1344	11. CEOC	+	₩									
しょメキタト	11.250m.	+	H;									
1.1//5	11.00000	÷	++)									
1.4105	11.75098	÷	÷+	i i								
1.0031	12.0000	+		н.								
1.9149	10.0000	+		֥								
1.1090	12.2000	+		<b></b>	Ħ							
2.4253	12.15000	+		٦	*tj							
2.0321	5. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+		+	<del>4,</del>							
1 1	соклас	1	<b>v</b> - A	. L. I	) t )	:						
:{ =	J. 9190	1	- 77	• 2	14	n <b>*</b>	=	0.915	16			
11V   =	イ・シロハロロ	11 4 6	ノニ・	(. Ca	NGGO	74 V 1	<del>-</del>	つ• 200	ハノニュ			
さらいうううしい	コンシンシンシンシンシン	\$										

Figure 12. Spectral Response for ZnS
33105-91000
 12:0:00

 A.R.COATINGS
 PILA STACK PARAMETERS in = 9.0000 MICh(0.00

 NO. MATERIAL
 1.1K (MJ)

 NO. MATERIAL
 1.1K (MJ)

 OPT.TEX. (MCC.115)

 SUS
 2.4000

 1
 1.55320

 ENM
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.1000

 SUS
 2.2000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.1000

 IOTAL
 1.2000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.1000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

 IOTAL
 1.0000

.1\_\_\_\_

nk = y. Diraw aldr has 1/nn = 0.11020

PERCENT CHO-SIDE REPLACIANCE AT SUBJECT FACE

261.	HAVE	۱.		2	4.		6	۱. ۱	10
KERL.	Lanuali	1+++	+++++	1++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1+++++	*****	• + + + + + 1
3.2012	1.10000	+		+	( <del>h</del> )		-	• • • • •	•
2.4)34	1.15012	+		+ <del>  </del> ;					
1.0413	0.00000	+	t <del>u</del>						
1.1221	どっこうしいし	+	<del>(I)</del>						
0.0340	0.20000	+ 41							
0.3000	0.10116	+ ++)							
0.1949	y.(a() a,	+#							
0.0303	4.20000								
0.0000	4.00000								
0.0331	4.10000	<del>(1)</del>							
0.1200	10.00000	+#							
0.2014	10.20000	+#							
0.4010	10.50000	+ #							
0.0041	10.19000	+ +							
0.9141	11.00000	+	49						
1.1308	11.20000	+	<del>,t)</del>						
1.404	11.50000	+	<del>th</del>						
1./00/	11.19000	+							
2.0000	12.00000	+		<del>h.)</del>					
2.3/14	12.20000	+		+ ++					
2.0723	12.00000	+		+ ++					
3.0001	12.10000	+		+ 4	4				
3.3241	13.00000	+		+	₩				
1 14 1 2	<b>JKALE</b>	.)	v Aì.	JE.	5				
к = I	.2101	1	= yd.1		- h/ =	= 1.210	1		
1.v = /	.500000	1142	= 13.0	0000		= 0.250			
555555555555	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	,							

Figure 13. Spectra: Response for ZnSe

un de la marchel de la casa mainte a dura democrata companya da da



ł





films and one low index film, as shown, or two low index films and one high index film. The selection of the particular arrangement for any two materials would depend on practical considerations such as adhesion to the substrate, abrasion resistance, etc.

Figures 15 and 16 (ZnS and ZnSe, respectively) show the Herpin equivalent film system that replaced the single film previously shown.

### 4.1.2 Double-Layer Designs

The effective range of antireflection coverage can be extended through the use of double-layer designs using two quarter-wavelength thick films. One solution for such a design occurs when

$$\frac{n_2}{n_1} = \left(\frac{n_s}{n_o}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

 $n_1$  is the refractive index of the outer film  $n_2$  is the refractive index of the inner film

By assuming  $n_1$  to be 1.40 (ThF<sub>4</sub>) one calculates the theoretical value of  $n_2$  to be 2.07 for ZnS and 2.17 for ZnSe. The spectral response of these coatings is shown in Figure 17 for ZnS and in Figure 18 for ZnSe. By the use of the Herpin equivalent film system,  $n_2$  is replaced by ZnSe and ThF<sub>4</sub> films. The spectral response of these coatings is shown in Figure 19 for ZnS and in Figure 20 for ZnSe.

### 4.2 EXPERIMENTAL EFFORT

To increase the durability of these coatings, a thin protective film of  $\text{CeF}_3$  was used as the outer film. The following table lists the coating design and spectral performance curves of 2.0 mm thick ZnS and ZnSe substrates coated on both surfaces.

					Reproduced from best available copy.
33105-91: A • R • CUAI	500 1105				1211411/
FILA STA	CK PARAME	1545 NK =	8.50000	MICHONS	
NO. MAIL	ERIAL	THV(WO)	0.61	• THV• CHK	14112)
SUR 2	2000				
		0.1.1.1.20	0.1	3 4 40 /	
2 141	4	1.101/8	0.1	/410	
3 6.131	E	0.13328	Ú•C	)3307	
ENG 1.	.0000 .				
IUTAL IN	ICK.	1.44035	ALCRUNS.		
*****	****	** *			
33100-91:	<b>5</b> 00				12:14:40
A.R.CUA1	Linus				
		NR = 9.0	CONCEMICH	()45 1 <b>/</b> 4	H = U.10520
		PERCENT C	NH-SIDE H	GELECIAN	LE AT NORMAL INCLUENCE
PCI.	HAVE	ι,	2	4	0 a 10
ntrl.	LENGIA	1+++++++	•] +++++++	++ ++++	*+ ++ ] +++ +++++
3.5144	1.000 %	+	+	++)	
2.0504	1.10000	+	+ (+)		
1.1710	く。しけつけい	+	•		
1.1791	0.29(2)(	+ +			
0.1130	3.00000	+ +			
0.3312	う。19500	+ ++			
0.1025	ショビリトに	+#			
0.0407	Y. (2010	<del>99</del>			
しょうつつう	そうしつこう	H <del>T</del>			
0.0204	9.10000	H7			
0.1125	10.0000	++			
0.2420	10.25000	++			
0.4103	10.0000	+ (!!			
0.0075	10.15.70	+ ++			
V.0202	11.00000	+ 107			
40004	11.20000	+ +			
		+ ••			
		т 17 -			
1 • ; • <del>7</del> • •		+ 	197 . L. J.J.J.		
2 3 1 2 1 1		+			
		+	+ H4		
1.44.4					
in the second			د جنال	1	
- ir + i. ∖	•1525		110120. 11470	h' = 1.	• 1020
111 = 1	. 5000.00	11v2 = 13.	UKA DE ALE	n	• 2 (1) (N)
115550535	\$\$\$\$	۔ پ			

Figure 15. Herpin System for ZnS

33105-91500 A.R.COATINGS		12:04:07
FILA STACK PAHAME NO. MATERIAL	ТЕКS ИК = 9.50000 МІСКОИS ТНК (MU) 021. ГПК. (ИК ЦИ	(115)
SUB 2.4000 1 ZNSE 2 1.114 3 ZNSE EINN 1.0000	0.18293 J.04021 0.99795 D.14707 0.18293 0.04021	
101AL THICK.	1.30302 mlCnON5. ***	
33105-91500 A.H.COAT INGS	(	12:04:33
	HR = 9.0000 ALCRONS 174R PERCENT ENN-SIDE REFLECTANCE	= 0.10520 AI NURMAL INCILENCE
PC1. HAVE REFL. LingTH 4.4489 /.50000 3.2121 /.75000 2.2204 3.00000 1.4479 8.5000 0.6385 8.5000 0.64568 8.75000 0.1390 9.00000 0.0432 9.25000 0.0432 9.25000 0.0421 9.75000 0.0421 9.75000 0.3237 10.23000 0.3237 10.23000 0.5339 10.5000 0.7904 10.75000 1.6752 11.60000 1.6752 11.50000 2.319 12.0000 2.3519 12.5000 3.0315 12.5000 3.0315 12.5000 3.0315 12.5000 1.412 6 KAILE K = 1.4520 NVI = 7.50000	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 3 IÚ **! ********

いたとないないのですが、ことのない

Figure 16. Herpin System for ZnSe

33100-41000 12:10:20 A.H.CUALINOS FILA STACK PARAMELERS HR = 9.50000 MICROWS NO. MALERIAL INN(MU) UPI + LIN + (VIN UNLIS) 2.2000 503 2,0100 1.14/34 0.20000 1 2 1.4000 1.09043 J.2000 1.0000 12.14.4 2.043/1 MICHUND. ICIAL INICK. \*\*\*\*\*\*\* 33100-419(0) 12:10:40 K.R.CUALLINUS 111 # 2.5(1) 10 miler ). 3 1/11 4 0.10320 PERCENT BOX-DI TO REFLECTANCE AT ANNEAL INCIDENCE đ 3 -10 11/1 ¥ 12 C FUL. 17 £., исть. 7.50000 + 1.4300 117 1.10000 + 1.0421 HH; 0.00000 + 0.1343 51 0.25090 - \*\* 0.4020 (1.2040 0.50-16 +# J.1420 0.150N() +# 0.0090 ションスワイト 単 0.0149 ソーインしりし 田 いいいい ターンいいい 曲 0.0130 9.19000 H U. J100 10.00000 H 1.134 10.250.10 +th しょ1/50 10.50000 + @ く 2031 10.10000 +町 11. 3 Juin 11. Lipico + + 11.200 + W 11.00000 + 1.0124 H 0.1300 11.10000 + - 69 1.5/95 12. UNNOU + ++ 1.1244 12.00 1 + 1.13/0 12.0000 + 1.5474 12.10000 + 1.51/0 15.000000 - 644 I will be determined as a state of v r L J r 5 1  $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$ .... ····· 

Figure 17. Spectral Response for ZnS Double-Layer Design

33105-91500 A.R.CUAIING5			12‡0d‡04
FILM STACK PARAME. NO. MAIERIAL	IHRS HR = 9 IHR(MJ)	•50000 MilchOw 0P1+1HN+CW	к (14112) 2
SUB 2.4000 1 2.1700 2 1.4000 Enni 1.0000	.()9447  .09043	0.25000 0.25000	
1014L 1011CK. ***************	2./9090 mlC. ***	К()N2 •	
33135-91500 A • R • COAT INGS			12=00=25
	ык = У,5000	O MICHONS I	/nit = U.1020
	PERCENT ENN-	SIDE REFLECIA	NCE AL NURMAL INCILENCE
PC1.       nAve         nerL.       LSNOTH         1.3990       1.90000         0.9140       1.19000         0.9740       1.19000         0.9740       8.00000         0.3715       8.29000         0.3715       8.29000         0.3715       8.29000         0.3715       8.29000         0.3715       8.29000         0.0457       9.0000         0.0107       9.25000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0000       9.50000         0.0301       10.25000         0.2395       11.00000         0.2955       11.00000         0.2950       11.50000         0.2951       12.00000         0.3930       12.20000         0.923       12.20000         1.0552       12.90000         1.3305       13.00000         1.3305       13.00000         1.310       6.4453	U     2       1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	4 ++ + + + + + + + 1 + + + + + 	0 3 10 +++++ +++++++ +++++++

1

ことの いいで 町子 いいののたい しんいいい

Figure 18. Spectral Response for ZnSe Double-Layer Design

```
33100-91500
                                               12:10:20
 A.H.CUALLINGS
 FILA STACK FANAMEICHS IN = 9.50000 EICHONS
 NO. MAICHIAL
                THKARUD
                               UPL . LIN. (NR UNLIS)
 SUN
       2.2000
  1 2431
                  U.39940
                                0.10090
   2 18184
3 2400
                   0.20090
                                0.04220
                   0.39940
                                U.1:)UYU
   4 • 1 114
                   1.04043
                                0.25000
 LINN 1.0000
                  2. 13212 SAJANAS.
 101AL HILCH.
  ********
  331 79-91 900
                                               12:10:44
 A.H.CUALLINGS
                  118 = 4.5(400 all'm) 15 1/18 = 0.1020
                  MENCHAL ENA-SIDE REPERCINGUE AT ADREAL TAUTERACE
                                     d 0
                                                            10
 PUL.
         L mixer in
          ...Avn
                  1
                           ~
                                                  •5
 NI:rl. .
                  ╶<u>╎</u>┽┽┼┼┼┽┿┿╎┥┽┼┽╓┼┾┼╅╎┿┿┽┽┼┯┽┿┯╎┶┿┶┿┽┿┼┿┼┼╎┼┽┽┿┿┿┿┼
          1.100000 + ウ
 V. 1004
          1.19401 + +
 1.0219
 ...4402
          6. (. CON/ + +
 11.3042
          3.250 H + +
 0.2400
          5.50000 +0
 6.1414
           4.19HU +0
 0.0002
          У.Сладе 🕑
          7.25 (a) ( +
 6.11:51
 (L. C)IJ
          Zablaht H
 6.1131
          9. (998). W
 U. 1909 10. (1 H
 11.1214 11.20MAG +#
 4.22.31
          イリョンボバ (デー+冊)
 0.3435
          10.150,0 + +
 0.4420
         11.0000 - -----
         11.25111. *
 5.0000
                    et/
 1.301
         11. 11,000 +
 1. 12.44
          11.15000 +
 1.2333
          12.0000 +
          12.201 21 +
 1.430.0
 1.0121
          12. 1000 +
 1. 17 7 94
          12.150 0 +
 2.1300
        - 13. UNL (1 +
                           ++
 LARGENALL
                       VALJES .
  - R = 0.0100 1 = 24,3094 N* = 0.010
 AT = T. DARATE AV2 = 14. CORRER AVE = G. 2003 GA
```



33105-91 A+K+COA1	500 Ings				12:10:13	
FILA STA NO. MAI	ICN PARAMEI Erial	1685 ин = 168100)	・ ションしし) いい	) MICRUNS IHK. (AH	C UHT 12)	
SUH 2	.4000					
I ZNO	)t	0.42990	J.I	0802		
2 148	• 4	6.19377	0.0	)2895		
3 2.12	った	0.42990	0.1	0805		
4 J H	•4 •0000	1.09043	0.2	2000		
inat it	UCK.	2. 15012	D. I.C.HULLA			
*******	*****	***	in <b>Contonio</b>			
33105-91	500				12:10:37	
A + H + CUA I	1105					
		nk = 9.5	уосно жісн	(1),10 11	(nn = 0.1052	Ó
		PERCENT D	INN-SIDE F	EFLECIAN	CH AL NURMAL	INCIT ENCE
PCI.	nAvt	U	2	4	0	5 IC
HEFL.	LENULT	<b>1++++</b> ++++	-+1++++++	***!****	++++ <u>;</u> ++++++	·++ 1 +++++++
0.1991		+ #*				
		+ #				
(1.2334	0.200.0	++				
0.1/9/	0,10000 0,10000	+ (1)				
0.1000	0.10(1)()	+ 60				
0.)440	9.00000	H)				
0.011	Y	₩				
	9 <b>.</b> 50000	<del>H)</del>				
0.000						
0.000 0.0114	A*10000					
0.000 0.0114 0.0451	10.0000	ŧ				
0.000 0.0114 0.0491 0.1000	10.0000	₩ +₩				
0.000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772	10.0000	17 + (r) + (r)				
0.000 0.0114 0.0491 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740	0.0001.00 0.00002.01 0.00002.01 0.00002.01 0.00002.01	17) + (17) + (17)				
0.0.000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3676	0.000 0.000 0.000 10.000 10.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000000	17) + (1) + (1) + (1) + (1)				
0.0.000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3898 0.5234	0.000294.9 0.00029.01 0.00029.01 0.0002101 1.00000011 0.0000011 0.0000011	17) + 16) + 16) + 16) + 16) + 16)				
0.0.00 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3896 0.5234 0.5234 0.5732	10.0000 10.00000 10.00000 10.0000 10.0000 11.20000 11.20000 11.20000 11.20000	tt) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t)				
0.0000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3898 0.5234 0.5234 0.5732 0.6377 1.0150	10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.0000000000	tt) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t) + (t)				
0.000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3896 0.5234 0.5234 0.5732 0.6377 1.0150 1.2052	12.2500 00005.19 10.0000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 12.25000	tt) + tt)				
0.0.00 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3398 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5252 1.2052 1.2052	12.2000 00002.20 00002.01 10.0000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000 11.00000000	tt + tt + tt + tt + tt + tt + tt + tt +				
0.000 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3876 0.5234 0.5234 0.5234 0.5732 0.6377 1.0150 1.2052 1.4050 1.6137	15.1000 15.2000 15.2000 10.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 11.2000 12.2000 10.20000 10.2000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.200000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.20000 10.200000 10.200000 10.200000 10.200000 10.200000000 10.200000000000000000000000000000000000	H) + H) + H) + H) + H) + H) + H) + H) +	1			
0.0.00 0.0114 0.0451 0.1000 0.1772 0.2740 0.3898 0.5234 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5376 0.5576	13.0000 13.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 11.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 12.0000 13.00000 13.00000 13.00000 13.00000 13.00000 13.00000 13.00000 13.000000 13.000000 13.0000000 13.000000000000000000000000000000000000	tt) + tt)	4 47		·	
U.U.DOU U.J114 U.O451 U.1000 U.1772 U.2740 U.3346 U.5234 U.6732 U.6377 I.0150 I.2052 I.4050 I.4050 I.6137 I.6137 I.6299 I.N.I.E	9.75000 10.50000 10.50000 10.50000 10.50000 11.50000 11.50000 12.50000 12.50000 12.50000 12.75000 12.75000 12.75000 12.75000 12.75000 12.75000	tt) +	H HJ L いたっ	8		
U.U.DOU U.J114 U.O451 U.1000 U.1772 U.2740 U.3898 U.5234 U.6732 U.6377 1.0150 1.2052 1.4050 1.4050 1.6137 1.6137 1.6299 1.81 E K = (	9.7500 10.0000 10.25000 10.50000 15.75000 11.25000 11.25000 11.75000 12.25000 12.25000 12.75000 12.75000 12.75000 13.00000 13.00000 0 H A I E	H)       + H)        + H)	11 14 L い E つ 4 y ] ]	; H _ = U	١.٥.١	

Figure 20. Spectral Response for ZnSe Coated With ZnSe and  $\text{ThF}_4$ 

Substrate	Theoretical Design	Theoretical Ferformanco	Spectral Curve
ZnS	λ/4	Figure 15	Figure 21 (2)
ZnS	$\lambda/4 - \lambda/4$	Figure 19	Figure 21 (1)
ZnSe	λ/4	Figure 16	Figure 22 (2)
ZnSe	$\lambda/4 - \lambda/4$	Figure 20	Figure 22 (1)

57 J

The durability of the  $\lambda/4$  and  $\lambda/4 - \lambda/4$  stepdown coatings were evaluated, and the results are shown in the following table.

Test	Specification	Results
Adhesion	MIL-M-13508B	Passed
Abrasion	MIL-C-675A	Passed
Humidity	MIL-C-675A	Passed
Washing	Using Acetone & Alcohol	Passed

NO. 180-2005 ۵ v SPECTRUM NO. 6.3. SAMPLE ZALS 2.0 MM BOTH SURFACES CONTED ENERGY MODE CONST. IL **MODEL 180** Parameter mode: A 🚯 REMARKS 50. 33105 DESIGN O 24 24 DESIGN SAMPLING METHOD ... SPECTRUM NO. 23... TIME CONSTANT 3 OPERATOR KMG DATE 1-9-74 SUT PROGRAM.1 SUPPRESSION\_D. ORIGIN PURITY ..... SCAN TIME: GAIN 6 FINE 2 COARSE.1 3...... ----0 200 : PERKIN ELMER . : .1 200 50 ğ į . 1 ; ----! g : VALABLE NALESIGN DESIGN 400 : • ; 20 : i ; i ••••• 1 ž . . : 009 କ୍ର Т Ī ÷ i 15 0 ÷ ٠ 1 800 2 ..... Ði 1 ; 1 ļ . i i 1 1000 0 REFERENCE • 1 ; ÷ ; \$ : ÷ . 1200 -100% ۱. : 1 : ω • WAVELENGTH MICRONS • WAVENUMBER (CM') Ì ÷ 1400 NEWITTA (2) SCE ; ÷ ł : ţ ; ł i i ł 1600 ì 1 ; Ś : ; :

t







۳Ĩ

### SECTION 5

### USE OF CONDUCTIVE COATINGS IN NONPARALLEL BUS BAR CONFIGURATIONS

In using thin-film heating systems in relatively high quality transparencies, it is essential to ensure that nonuniformity of power dissipation in the film is avoided. Films made using the Perkin-Elmer conductive oxide process exhibit a high degree of uniformity of bulk resistivity, and any nonuniformity of the sheet resistance/power dissipation would only arise from thickness nonuniformity or effects due to either nonparallelism of the bus bars or end effects at the bus bars.

This phase of the program was intended to demonstrate the use of a nonuniferm coating technique applied to a fairly simple irregular configuration such as a trapezoidal or triangular window shape. It was intended to serve two purposes:

- a. A first practical experience with the nonuniform resistance conductive antireflection coating technique applying known technology
- b. A demonstration of significant potential value to the LLLTV portion of the B-1 sensor window program

### 5.1 NONUNIFORM COATING TECHNIQUES

In many circumstances involving windows of irregular shape (such as those originally planned for the B-l sensor housing) and spherical (dome) or other nonflat sections, it is not possible to attach electrical connections or bus bars to a window in order to make them parallel. This will create an uneven power dissipation on the element unless it is countered by making the appropriate change in film thickness.

Changing the film thickness or grading of the films could easily be accomplished by using the selective coating technique of the Perkin-Elmer Glacier<sup>(1)</sup> process. The disadvantage of this method would be that incorporation of the nonuniform films in coating designs would be difficult because of the continually changing thickness. For transparent regions of the conductive film, this problem could be overcome by overcoating the conductive film with a dielectric film of equal refractive index, whose thickness varied in the complementary sense. The two films would then be combined to form a uniform film of known optical thickness that could then be incorporated in antireflection coating designs by any of the techniques previously described. Figure 23 schematically illustrates the nonuniform film technique.

Filler Layer



Conductive Layer Figure 23. Overcoating of Graded Film

### 5.2 GLACIER PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The basic Glacier process involves a definition phase, a mask preparation phase, and a deposition phase.

### 5.2.1 Definition Phase

The first step in the procedure is to define a point-by-point map description of the desired film thickness required. The values for this approximately rectangular array of locat. As is required for the mask fabrication process and is inserted into a computer program. The map is used to construct a variable transmission mask that is inserted in the vapor stream of a coating chamber and modifies the flow of coating material to produce a variable thickness coating on the substrate.

### 5.2.2 Mask Preparation

The mask is prepared in several steps. The computer output data is corrected to the final format by point-by-point exposure of photographic film on an automatically controlled precision drafting table. The contrast of the pattern is enhanced by an autopositive contact printing process. This highcontrast image is then transferred to a layer of photoresist material that has

(1)Glacier Program, Perkin-Elmer Report No. 11200.

been laminated on a wire cloth carrier. After development, the remaining photoresist has the pattern that is desired for the final mask. But since the photoresist material is not suitable for use in a vacuum chamber, the pattern is transformed by evaporation, plating, and chemical bathing into an all-metal halftone. The resulting mask is fine enough to permit precise control of evaporant transmission, is sturdy enough to facilitate handling, and is well suited to the vacuum environment.

### 5.2.3 Deposition Phase

The key to the Glacier process is this transmission mask that can effectively regulate the amount of evaporant material deposited on any portion of the optical substrate. The mask ronsists of a multiplicity of halftone dots or holes in a metallic sheet supported by woven stainless-steel wire cloth. The size of each dot, and therefore its transmission, is controlled individually based upon the input map data. A typical mask may contain over one-half million halftone dots. Because the mask is placed suitably downstream and the evaporant source has an appreciable area, there is no residual halftone structure in the mask shadow on the workpiece. Therefore, smooth surfaces are produced.

The substrate and mask are mounted in the chamber and the alignment of the substrate, mask, and coating source is performed. The deposition of material is initiated by controlling the evaporation sources. Monitoring of deposition rate and thickness is accomplished with two crystal monitors that are mounted on the edge of the substrate. When the crystal monitors indicate the required amount of material has been deposited, the coating process is terminated and the optical element is removed for testing. There is no fundamental limit to the amount of thickness variation that can be achieved.

### 5.3 EXPERIMENTAL EFFORT

Four BK-7 trapezoidal windows were fabricated to achieve a transmission wavefront error less than 2./15 at 6328 Å. The two parallel sides of these windows were 3 inches and 6 inches with a height of 4 inches. Two windows (A and B) were coated with a uniform conductive coating, and two windows (C and D) were coated using the Glacier technique to achieve a 2/1 thickness ratio. The latter two windows were then coated with the reverse film thickness variation

using a dielectric film whose index is equal to the conductive film. Figure " shows the schematical buildup of this film system. All windows were characterized at each stage of completion and the results are shown in Table 4. Figures 25a and b show the transmission wavefront interferograms before and after coating window B. Figures 25c, d and e show, respectively, the transmission wavefront interferograms before coating, after the 2.3/1 ratio conductive coating, and after correction of window D. Using this process, the observed degradation was less than  $\lambda/20$  at 6328 Å.

Windows B and D were chosen to evaluate the temperature profile of the heatable trapezoidal windows. Figure 26 shows the geometry and electrical connections made to a uniform and nonuniformly coated BK-7 window. The procedure used for this evaluation was to apply ac voltage (slowly) and to record the temperature at positions A through H (as shown in Figure 26) when the window has reached equilibrium (~ 10 minutes). Table 5 shows recorded temperatures of the nonuniformly coated window and Table 6 shows the uniform coating temperatures.

By comparing the data shown in Tables 5 and 6, one finds that at an average window temperature of approximately  $165^{\circ}F$ , the nonuniform window had a temperature variation of only  $39.4^{\circ}F$  as compared with  $65.1^{\circ}F$  for the uniform coating. This clearly indicates the effectiveness of the nonuniform coating technique to obtain uniform power dissipation. The data also indicates that special techniques for mounting heatable windows may have to be designed to eliminate edge effects. Section 6.9 describes the thermal problems due to lateral heat flow through an IR window to the frame.

### 5.4 CONCLUSIONS

For the transparent region of conductive films, the nonuniform coating technique described in this section is an ideal solution to the problem of providing a uniform power dissipation in an irregular bus bar configuration if an analytical solution can be determined for the thickness of the conductive film as a function of the geometry. The optical thickness of the coated optic can then be corrected for transmission wavefront uniformity and can be incorporated into a high efficiency multilayer coating.











Figure 26. Trapezoidal Window Layout

TABLE 4. WINDOW CHARACTERIZATION

Window	Transmission Wave front	Optical Thickness of Conductive Film at Center of Optic	Variation of the Transmission Wave- front Over Optic	Thickness Ratio	Optical Thick- ness of Correc- t've Film at Center of Optic	Final Varia- tion of the Transmission Wavefront
4	< 1/15	λ at 575 mμ (finished window)	< \/15 at 6328 Å	1/1	None	< \\15 at 6328 Å
4	< \//15	λ at 578 mμ (finished window)	< \\15 at 6328 Å	1/1	None	< \/15 at 6328 Å
υ	< \/15	λ at 620 mμ	3/4 \'s at 6328 Å	2.3/1	λ at 580 mμ	< \\$\9 at 6328 Å
Q	<ul><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li></ul>	λat 606 mμ	3/5 Å's at 6328 Å	1.8/1	λ at 610 mμ	< λ/9 at 6328 Å

### TABLE 5. RECORDED TEMPERATURES OF NONUNIFORMLY COATED WINDOW

WINDOW D

Voltage (ac)			T	emperat	ure (°F	)		
(Fig. 26)	A	в	С	D	E	F	G	н
15	104.8	104.3	103.8	106.0	104.3	99.1	100.6	97.2
30	146.1	141.0	144.6	147.3	136.1	124.3	130.1	119.1
35	172.6	163.4	169.4	173.3	157.8	140.0	149.7	133.9
60	238.0	237.3	238.8	241.4	220.8	209.3	212.2	185.5

### RESISTANCE (BUS TO BUS) = 149.3 OHMS

TABLE 6. RECORDED TEMPERATURES OF UNIFORMLY COATED WINDOW

WINDOW B

ľ.

RESISTANCE (BUS TO BUS) = 236.1 OHMS

Voltage (ac)			Te	emperati	ure (°F	)		
(Fig. 26)	A	В	С	D	E	Ŀ,	G	Н
30	96.2	95.9	94.9	95.1	93.5	85.8	89.1	86.8
50	137.4	143.2	1 <b>3</b> 0.8	137.4	129.9	106.7	124.3	109.3
σΟ	179.9	176.9	163.2	188.8	171.6	123.7	155.5	131.0

### SECTION 6

### THERMO-OPTICAL ANALYSIS OF CONDUCTIVE FILMS AND PATTERNS

Several infrared window deicing techniques utilizing electrically conductive films or patterns were analyzed to determine the extent of wavefront distortion and the stresses and strains induced by the temperature and pressure gradients imposed by the B-1 flight environment. Window substrate materials were limited to CVD (chemical vapor deposited) zinc sulfide (ZnS), zinc selenide (ZnSe), and zinc sulfo-selenide (ZnSSe) solid solution.

A study of the safety aspects, especially with regard to the possibilities of catastrophic failure due to temperature controller malfunction, was also performed. Several general conclusions were reached:

- a. The maximum window tensile stresses due to temperature and pressure gradients are well within the strength capabilities of the selected window materials with the possible exception of an abnormal situation that results in sudden cooling of a window that has been heated at high power densities.
- b. The wavefront degradation due to axial temperature gradients caused by applying the electrically conductive coating to the interior surface of the window is insignificant at angles of incidence up to  $30^{\circ}$ .
- c. There is appreciable wavefront distortion for radial (lateral) temperature gradients caused by heat loss to a cold window frame. A method of eliminating these gradients is described.
- d. The thermally-induced optical path differences (window thickness variations) due to striped heater patterns, utilized to increase the average window transmission, will cause negligible wavefront distortion for interior surface coatings on ZnSe at 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>.

- e. An attractive method for maximizing the overall transmission of the EC-coated window is to add a thin intermediate bus bar centered on the vertical dimension (parallel to the horizontal dimension). This reduces the electrical resistance of the coating by a factor of 4, thus permitting the use of thinner (higher transmission), high resistance EC coatings, uniform or striped.
- f. Thermal stresses are minimized by applying the EC coating to the exterior window surface, although higher power densities are then required to maintain a given interior surface temperature and the exterior coating is more subject to physical damage than an interior coating would be.

### 6.1 ASSUMED CONDITIONS

For purposes of the analyses, the following conditions were assumed for the IR window:

- Window dimensions, 8.8" x 10" x 0.75", with the 10" dimension horizontal (same as the preliminary dimensions for the B-1 germanium IR window, per Boeing).
- Average power density required, 4.5 to 6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (discussed later).
- Minimum desired average heater-coated window transmission, 0.80.
- Maximum available supply voltage, 230 V rms.
- Aircraft interior temperature, 70°F.
- Interior surface convective film coefficient, 0.5 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F (calculated value for free convection at 14.7 psia).
- Minimum ambient temperature, -65°F.
- Exterior surface film coefficient, 21.5 MTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F (per Joe Stein, NAR).
- Minimum external surface temperature, 35°F (to prevent frosting).
- Window frame is temperature controlled to minimize edge heat losses and concurrently reduce the lateral window temperature gradients.

• The bandpass of the transparent EC coatings is 8 to 12  $\mu m_{\star}$ 

The thermal conductivities of ZnS and ZnSe are within 10% of each other; therefore, they are considered interchangeably with regard to the temperature distribution. ZnSSe has a thermal conductivity equal to one-half that of ZnS and, therefore, represents the worst case of the three materials in this respect. The properties of these materials were taken from data supplied by Raytheon (Table 7) and References 2 through 6.

### 6.2 BASIC ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

The transient and steady-state temperature distributions were determined by nodal analysis for selected deicing configurations. From these, the resultant stresses and strains were computed. The latter were then used to calculate the distortion of a plane wavefront assumed to be incident over a selected region of the window.

Of the three potential configurations: (1) EC coating on the exterior surface, (2) EC coating on the interior surface, and (3) EC coating laminated between two window substrates, only the first two were considered because a suitable laminating adhesive having high transmission between 8 and 12  $\mu$ m was not available at that time.

### 6.3 POWER DENSITY AND TEMPERATURE CONTROL REQUIREMENTS

The initial axial temperature gradient analysis was based on the heat flux specified for the B-1 LLLTV window,  $6.9 \text{ watts/in}^2$  (Ref. 7). Subsequent

- (2) Caren, R.P., Funai, A.I, Frye, W.E. and Sklensky, A.F., "Properties of Infrared Sensor Materials", <u>Materials Research and Standards</u>, MTRSA, Volume 11, No. 6, June, 1971, p. 10.
- (3) Technical Report AFAL-TR-73-252, "Chemical Vapor Deposition of Multispectral Windows", Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, July, 1973, pp. 29, 30.
- (4) "Raytran ZnSe", Raytheon Data Sheet, Raytheon Research Division, 28 Seyon Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
- <sup>(5)</sup>Telecon, James Pappis, Raytheon with E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, December 1974. re: Recent CVD ZnSe Data.
- (6) Letter, James Pappis, Raytheon to E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, June 28, 1973, re: Properties of CVD ZnS, ZnSe and ZnSSe.
- (7) Specification No. L330C2001-1, Rockwell International, B-1 Division, p. 3.

Property	Standard ZnSe	ZnSSe	ZnS
Density (gm/cc)	5.27	5.15	4.08
Refractive Index (10.6 µm)	2.405 <sup>*</sup> (10.6 μm)	2.38 (est)	2.20
Absorption Coefficient (10.6 µm, 1/cm)	0.002 - 0.005**	0.007**	~ 0.15 <sup>**</sup>
Transmission Limits	0.5 - 22 μm	0.5 - 16 μm	0.5 - 14 μm
Hardness (Knoop 50 gm)	100	190	250*
Grain Size (Microns)	70*	100	(20 - 100)
Flexural Strength (psi, 4-point loading)	8000*	7700	16000*
Young's Modulus (psi)	9.75 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	9.16 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$10.8 \times 10^{6}$
Thermal Expansion Coeffi- cient (1/°C) RT-500°C	8.53 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$8.30 \times 10^{-6}$	7.85 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
RT - 170°C	7.57 x $10^{-6}$ *		
Thermal Conductivity (25°C, cal/sec-cm-°C)	0.043	0.02	0.040
Specific Heat (cal/gm-°C)	0.085	0.088 (est)	0.112
Electrical Resistivity (ohm-cm)	~ 10 <sup>12</sup>	~ 10 <sup>12</sup>	~ 10 <sup>12</sup>
dn/dt (10.6 µm, 1/°C)	5.9 x $10^{-5}$		
Turbidity Coefficient (1/cm) 0.6328 μm 10.6 μm	$0.16 - 0.43^{*}$ 1.5 - 3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> *		
Inhomogeneity 10.6 µm	$1.3 \times 10^{-6}$		

## TABLE 7.CVD MATERIAL PROPERTIES (REF. 3,<br/>EXCEPT ASTERISKED DATA AS NOTED)

\*Ref. 5 \*\*\*Ref. 6

1

discussions with the Thermodynamics Section personnel at Rockwell during September 1973 revealed that the nominal heat flux requirement for the B-1 IR window could be as low as 4 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (approximately 2000 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>) to maintain the exterior surface above 35°F. Perkin-Elmer analysis has indicated that a minimum of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (approximately 2200 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>) is required to achieve this condition with the -65°F exterior ambient temperature specified for the B-1 LLLTV window. Consequently, 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> was ultimately selected for the minimum required value. (Note that MIL-T-5842A, Ref. 8, requires a maximum of 4.3 watts/in<sup>2</sup> for windshield anti-icing heating at air speeds greater than 300 knots.) However, as will be shown later, higher power densities may be needed to prevent fogging on the interior surface with low exterior ambient temperatures.

This situation is primarily due to the relatively high thermal conductivity of the selected window substrates (near that of the austenitic stainless steels), which results in much lower axial temperature gradients than are usually encountered with the more common window glasses. The latter have thermal conductivities that are lower by factors of up to 10 or more. Therefore, the temperature control circuit should be designed to automatically deliver sufficient power to ensure that the minimum interior surface temperature is always maintained above the interior dewpoint temperature in addition to satisfying the 35°F minimum exterior surface temperature requirements. It is possible to provide a control system that will make the minimum interior surface temperature track the interior atmosphere dewpoint with a positive temperature differential of a few degrees. This method would minimize the heater power requirements. A simpler, alternate approach (which will obviously waste power at relative humidities of less than 100%) is to track the interior ambient atmosphere temperature with a small positive differential.

There is the possibility that a combination of circumstances can result in fogging of the exterior surface, e.g., this surface, operating near 35°F, is suddenly exposed to a warmer, high humidity environment during a flight maneuver. An external dewpoint or ambient temperature sensor track circuit,

 <sup>(8)</sup> Military Specification MIL-T-5842A, "Transparent Areas, Anti-Icing, Defrosting and Defogging Systems, General Specification for", September, 1950.

similar to that just described for the interior surface, would also be required if there is a chance that these circumstances would occur when the IR window is in use.

A schematic of the complete control system described above is shown in Figure 27. The edge guard (subframe) heater is required to minimize the optical degradation resulting from radial (lateral) temperature gradients (to be discussed later). The bimetallic, Klixon-type thermostat will shut down both controllers in the event of a circuit malfunction that causes the heater to inadvertently stay on, e.g., open circuit in any of the positive temperature coefficient ambient temperature sensors, shorted control transistor or thyristor, shorted positive temperature coefficient surface temperature sensor, etc. Control redundancy can thereby be provided by using a narrow differential thermostat having an actuating temperature above the highert anticipated interior dewpoint, which would automatically maintain the window fog- or frost-free but at the expense of higher power consumption and/or EMI caused by the ON-OFF switching transients.

### 6.4 STEADY STATE THERMAL STRESSES

The results of the steady state nodal analyses are summarized in Table 8 for the range of power densities considered here. The intermediate values listed therein are those necessary to maintain the interior window surface at the assumed interior ambient temperature (70°F) for either EC coating location. This condition guarantees that there will be no fogging on the interior surface at very high humidities.

The thermally induced stresses were calculated for 4.5 and 6.9 watts/ in<sup>2</sup> using the equation for the bending stress in a flat plate of uniform thickness with a linear temperature gradient and fixed edges<sup>(9)</sup>:

Stress =  $0.5 \cdot \Delta T \cdot \alpha \cdot E/(1-\nu)$ 

where

 $\Delta T$  = temperature gradient, °F  $\alpha$  = expansion coefficient, 1/°F E = modulus of elasticity, psi  $\nu$  = Poisson's ratio (dimensionless) = 0.3 (Ref. 2)

<sup>(9)</sup> Timoshenko, S. and Woinowsky-Krieger, S., "Theory of Plates and Shells", McGraw-Hill, 1959.





	Power Density	EC Inte	Coating O rior Surfa	n ce	EC Exte	Coating O rior Surfa	n ce
Window Material	$\left(\frac{Watts}{in^2}\right)$	Interior Surface Temp(°F)	Exterior Surface Temp(°F)	Temp Gradient (°F)	Interior Surface Temp(°F)	Exterior Surface Temp(°F)	Temp Gradient (°F)
ZnSe	4.50	51.62	38.28	13,34	38.68	38.58	0.10
	5.23	70.00	54.35	15.45	54.96	54.91	0.05
	5.91	86.99	69.60	17.39	70.00	70.00	0.00
	6.90	111.98	91.73	20.25	92.13	92.20	-0.07*
ZnSSe	4.50	66.51	37.93	28,58	38,78	38.58	0.20
	4.62	70.00	40.66	29.34	41.51	41.33	0.18
	5.91	106.40	69.15	37.25	70.00	70.00	0.00
	6.90	134.58	91.21	43.37	92.06	92.20	-0.14*

### TABLE 8. SUMMARY OF EC-COATED ZnSe AND ZnSSe WINDOW (STEADY-STATE TEMPERATURES BETWEEN 4.5 AND 6.9 WATTS)/ $IN^2$ )

\* Negative temperature gradient indicates that heat flows from exterior to interior surface.

### Conditions:

- 1. Window dimensions, 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" thick
- 2. No lateral heat flow
- 3. Interior ambient temp, 70°F

- 4. Exterior ambient temp, -65°F
  5. Interior surface film coefficient, 0.5 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F
  6. Exterior surface film coefficient, 21.5 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F

The results are given in Table 9 for interior and exterior EC coatings on ZnSe and ZnSSe. The corresponding stresses for ZnS are within 10% of those listed for ZnSe.

Obviously, each of the three substrate materials, ZnS, ZnSe and ZnSSe, is suitable from a stress standpoint when the EC coating is deposited on the exterior surface of the window. The exterior surface thermal resistance (R3, Figure 28), due to forced convection in flight, is much smaller than the interior surface resistance (R1). Consequently, most of the electrical heat flux must flow through R3. This, combined with the relatively high conductance of the window substrate material, results in an extremely small axial (thickness) temperature gradient, e.g., 0.10°F for ZnS or ZnSe and 0.20°F for ZnSSe at 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, with a correspondingly negligible stress. With the heater coating deposited on the interior surface (Figure 29), all three materials still have acceptable stresses despite the considerable increase in thermal gradient compared to the previous configuration (from 0.07°F to 20.25°F for ZnSe) because most of the applied electrical power must now flow through the substrate to the exterior ambient. Note that even at 6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, very little of the total electrical input (3.8 watts or 0.63%) is dissipated to the interior ambient because of the aforementioned large free convection resistance at the interior surface.

### 6.5 COMBINED STEADY-STATE STRESSES (AT EXTERIOR SURFACE)

The maximum stresses due to the temperature and pressure gradients were calculated<sup>(9)</sup> for three basic window support conditions at  $\Delta p$ 's of 2.5 and 10.5 psi (maximum expected  $\Delta p$  per Ref. 10). The worst case results, for ZnSSe at 6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, are summarized in Table 10. Raytheon<sup>3</sup> has determined that the room temperature flexural strength of this hybrid material, (with 10 percent sulfur substituted for selenium) is 7700 psi ±10%; therefore, the material would be conservatively loaded, especially since the soft elastomers, normally used for the window support to eliminate stress concentrations in the brittle substrates, provide conditions that approach the free edge case.

<sup>(10)</sup> Telecon, Phil Mueller and Ron Brigstorke of Boeing to E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, July 1973, re: B-1 Flight Parameters.



Nodes:

A = Interior Ambient,  $t_A = 70^{\circ}F$ B = Interior Window Surface,  $t_B = 92.13^{\circ}F$ C = Exterior Window Surface,  $t_C = 92.20^{\circ}F$ D = Exterior Ambient,  $t_D = -65^{\circ}F$ Temp gradient through window =  $t_B^{-1}t_C = -0.07^{\circ}F$ Resistances (Based on assumptions stated earlier): R1 = 3.2727^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU R2 = 0.0098^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU R3 = 0.0761^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU

Note: It is assumed that heat flow is unidirectional-perpendicular to window plane (an edge guard heater eliminates heat transfer to the window frame)

Figure 28. Thermal Schematic of Heated 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" ZnSe Window with EC Coating on Exterior Surface (6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup>)

$$q_2 = 3.8 \text{ Watts}$$
  
 $(12.97 \text{ BTU/hr})$ 
 $q_1 = 607.2 \text{ Watts}$   
 $(2072.4 \text{ BTU/hr})$ 
 $q_3 = 603.5 \text{ Watts}$   
 $(2059.75 \text{ BTU/hr})$   
 $A R1 B R2 C R3 D$ 

Nodes:

A = Interior Ambient,  $t_A = 70^{\circ}F$ B = Interior Window Surface,  $t_B = 111.98^{\circ}F$ C = Exterior Window Surface,  $t_C = 91.73^{\circ}F$ D = Exterior Ambient,  $t_D = -65^{\circ}F$ Temp gradient through window,  $t_B - t_C = 20.25^{\circ}F$ 

Resistances (Based on assumptions stated earlier):

R1 =  $3.2727^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU$ R2 =  $0.0098^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU$ R3 =  $0.0761^{\circ}F \cdot hr/BTU$ 

Figure 29. Thermal Schematic of Heated 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" ZnSe Window with EC Coating on Interior Surface (6.9 watts/ $in^2$ )

# TABLE 9.STEADY-STATE THERMAL STRESSES FOR UNIFORMLY HEATED8.8" X 10" X 0.75" ZNSE AND ZNSSE WINDOWS AT 4.5AND 6.9 WATTS/IN2 POWER DENSITY

Window	Maximum Tensile Stress <sup>*</sup> at 4.5 watts/in <sup>2</sup> (psi)		Maximum Tensile 2 Stress <sup>*</sup> at 6.9 watts/in (psi)	
Substrate	Exterior Coating	Interior Coating	Exterior Coating	Interior Coating
ZnSe	2.6	372	1.9	589
ZnSSe	5.2	732	4.1	1163

\* Occurs at colder surface (Table 8) and assumes rigidly held (fixed) edges; actual stress levels are usually reduced by deflection of the flexible elastomer sealant or gasket retaining the window.

فالقرب فالمواجع والمعالمات والمعالمة والمتعالمة المعالمة المعامل والمتارك والمتارك والمعالم والمعالم والمعالم

# EFFECT OF WINDOW SUPPORT CONDITIONS ON STEADY-STATE WINDOW STRESSES AT EXTERIOR SURFACE TABLE 10.

1

Worst Combined Stress (psi)	+1039 (at edge)	+1317 (at center)	+673 (at center)
:e Stress* (psi) Åp = 10.5 psi	-522 (at edge)	+503 (at center)	+673 (at center)
Maximum Pressur Ap = 2.5 psi	-124 (at edge)	+120 (at center)	+160 (at center)
Maximum Thermal Stress (psi)	+1163 (at edge)	+ 814 (at center)	0 (all over)
Edge Condition	Fixed	Simply Supported	Free**

\*Positive sign indicates tensile stress; negative sign, compressive stress.

\*\*Window is allowed to assume a spherical curvature with radius  $t/\Delta T$   $\alpha_{\text{s}}$  where t = thickness (corners not secured).

Conditions:

- 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" ZnSSe window Uniform EC Coating on interior surface 6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup> power density,  $\Delta T = 43.4^{OF}$
- ч. ч.

ł

### 6.6 TRANSIENT THERMAL STRESSES

During transient heating of the window with the EC coating on the interior surface, the thermal tensile stress does not exceed the steadystate values given in Table 7. If the heater power is interrupted after the steady-state temperature gradient is established, the tensile stress will increase due to the cooling of the exterior surface to approximately 650 psi for the ZnS material and to approximately 1240 psi for the ZnSSe material. These values are all acceptable; however, if the steady-state power input is increased to above 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, the ZnSSe material may become marginal. For the case where the EC coating (and the power input) is applied to the exterior surface of the window, there is no transient thermal stress problem.

The time to heat the outer surface of the ZnS window to  $35^{\circ}$ F, at -65°F ambient, is approximately 22 minutes when the EC coating is on the interior surface and 20 minutes when the coating is on the exterior surface. An increase in the maximum power input to above 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> will decrease this time. The ZnSSe material will require approximately 10% longer to come up to temperature.

The transient thermal response, thermal stress, and thermal runaway equilibrium temperature have not been computed separately for the inSe material. This was considered unnecessary since the response of the ZnSe material would be almost identical to the ZnS maters 1. The thermal conductivity, thermal capacity, thermal stress, and thermal diffusivity for both materials are very similar (within a few percent).

### 6.7 TEMPERATURE CONTROL CIRCUIT MALFUNCTION

Although the proposed circuit for the window heater controller includes a thermostatic switch bonded to the window to cut off power in case of excessive temperature, calculations indicate that 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> could be continuously applied to the EC coating with the local ambient at 70°F on both sides of the window. The ZnS window would stabilize below 200°F if the air stream velocity exceeds 500 ft/sec (340 mph). The temperature gradient (and hence, the thermal stress) would not be significantly different than for steady-state conditions. Only in the case of prolonged overheating followed by a power failure and simultaneous greatly reduced ambient would the thermal

stress indicate a probable window failure. With the EC coating on the outside, a structural failure due to overheating is unlikely.

### 6.8 WAVEFRONT DEGRADATION (UNIFORM EC COATING ON INTERIOR SURFACE)

A raytrace and wavefront analysis was performed for each of the three materials (ZnSe, ZnS, and ZnSSe) for a temperature gradient due to 6.9 watts/ $in^2$  ccompanied by a flight pressure differential of 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 psi at angles of incidence of 0°, 15° and 30°. The deflection due to the pressure differential tends to compensate for the thermal distortion; therefore, the worst case is for the largest  $\Delta T$  (for ZnSSe material with its lower thermal conductivity), the smallest pressure gradient, and the highest angle of incidence. The results for this combination are shown in Figure 30; wavefront degradation is insignificant and will obviously be less for lower power densities.

### 6.9 LATERAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS

A serious problem does exist with regard to lateral heat flow through the window to the frame. For the mount configuration shown in Figure 31, the conduction between the window and frame, through the 0.13-inch thick silicone elastomer, is on the order of 1.9 watts per degree F of their temperature difference (with the thermal conductivity of the silicone rubber = 0.18 BTU-ft/ hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F). Therefore, the heat loss could be as high as 331 watts for the extreme case of a ZnSSe window at 6.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (average edge temperature = 112.9°F) and a frame temperature of -65°F.

A nodal analysis was made to determine the in-plane temperature gradients due to conduction to the window frame. It was assumed that the frame was attached to the exterior of the turret in the usual fashion. The following typical flight conditions, obtained from Rockwell B-1 Section personnel, were used for these comportations:

- Aircraft velocity, 500-600 ft/sec
- Altitude 8000 10000 ft
- Ambient air temperature, 483°R (23°F)
- Exterior surface film coefficient, 21.5 BTU/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F
- Average turret diameter, 12" (looking forward)


Figure 30. Loss of Performance Due to Window with Axial Temperature Gradient and Pressure Differential



Figure 31. Window Mount Concept

The stagnation temperature for the first three conditions is  $500.7^{\circ}R$  or  $41^{\circ}F$  at a Mach number of 0.464 (500 ft/sec). This value was used here for the frame temperature.

Figure 32 shows the thermal contours for one quarter of the window. Figures 33, 34, and 35 show that significant wavefront degradation is caused by this temperature profile with ZnSe. The analysis is based on the value of dn/dT for the ZnSe material obtained at 6328 Å. Values of dn/dT for ZnSSe are presently unknown.

If, in order to eliminate lateral gradients, an additional 0.13-inch thickness of silicone rubber and closed-cell polyurethane foam were used to enhance the thermal insulation between the window and frame (Figure 36), approximately 247 watts would be required to maintain the added subframe at the maximum average edge temperature of  $112.9^{\circ}F$  for the ZnSSe window (frame at -65°F; thermal conductivity, BTU-ft/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F, for silicone rubber = 0.18 and for polyurethane foam = 0.022). This is a relatively large amount compared to that required for the window heater, but it appears to be essential for maintaining high optical performance. Note that extra power would be required anyway to compensate for the edge losses that are present with the simple window mount shown in Figure 31, as mentioned above. The extra power, if delivered to the subframe heater instead, is used more effectively to eliminate lateral gradients. Power is conserved by maximizing the ratio of polyurethane insulating foam to silicone rubber used between the subframe and frame, consistent with seal and support requirements.

### 6.10 INCREASING COATED WINDOW TRANSMISSION WITH CONDUCTIVE PATTERNS

A basic disadvantage of the electrically-conductive coatings considered here is their inherent decrease in optical transmission with decreasing resistivity (increasing thickness). Three possible approaches to solving this problem were selected for thermo-optical analysis. They are, not necessarily in order of preference:

a. Application of opaque, electrically-conductive nichrome films to the antireflection coated window in a regular pattern of narrow, parallel linear stripes.



Figure 32. Temperature Gradients in Quarter Window











Figure 35. Loss of Performance Due to Window with Radial Temperature Gradient Resulting from Conduction of Deicing Heat to Frame

Figure 36. Insulating Window Mount Concept



- b. The use of additional marrow intermediate bus bars located within the window clear aperture to permit the use of full sheet, uniform multilayer EC coatings having higher electrical resistance than those being considered and, possibly, higher optical transmission. The additional bus bars would minimize the supply voltage requirements by reducing the path lengths for current flow.
- c. Application of low sheet resistance, semi-transparent EC coatings to the antireflection coated window in a regular pattern of parallel linear stripes.

For the first approach considered (the opaque nichrome stripes), a pattern was chosen to give the minimum clear aperture obscuration for an average power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, consistent with the maximum recommended stripe power density for thin-film resistors of 50 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (Ref. 11). This pattern, which provides an overall transmission of 0.88, consists of nine 0.12-inch (3 mm) wide nichrome stripes spaced 1 inch (25.4 mm) apart and oriented parallel to the 10" window dimension, symmetrical with the window centerline. Because of the high local power density, this configuration will produce the highest temperature gradients in a given material and thus the worst case optical path differences.

The major optical effect of this arrangement is the spurious diffraction image it produces, a regularly spaced set of images displaced along a line perpendicular to the opaque conducting stripes at angles ( $\theta$ ) from the real image, where

$$\theta = \frac{m\lambda}{d}$$

with intensity ratio (with respect to the axial intensity)

$$I(6) = \left(\frac{s}{d-s}\right)^2 \qquad \left[\frac{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi s}{d}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{m\pi s}{d}\right)^2}\right]$$

<sup>(11)</sup> Rea, S.N. and Wriston, R.S., Development of Deicing Methods for Chalcogenide Windows for Reconnaissance and Weapon Delivery", Technical Report AFAL-TR-73-340, Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, October, 1973, p. 9.

where

- d = center-to-center separation of the stripes
- s = width of stripes
- m = integer 1, 2, 3, ...
- $\lambda$  = wavelength

 $I(\theta)$  versus m is given in Table 11. The main effects of these diffraction images are crosstalk among the detectors in an array and extraneous signals from an out-of-field bright object.

m	$\theta(\lambda = 10 \ \mu m)$	1(0)
1	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.017
2	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.015
3	$1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	0.014
4	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	0.008
5	2.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.005
6	2.4 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.002

TABLE 11. DIFFRACTED IMAGE INTENSITY

In addition to the diffracted images produced by a regular Hrray of opaque conductors, the total diffracted energy outside of a given angle from the image of a point source is obtained from a simple formula. If I. is the total length of edges in the aperture of the optical system at which diffraction can occur, LAH is the total energy scattered outside a 3° cone, where H is the irradiance at the diffracting edges and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the incident radiation. The scattered energy is essentially independent of the curvature of the edges as long as it is not too great. This formula points out the necessity of utilizing a small value of L (i.e., as few conductors as possible), which suggests the second approach in its simplest form (one narrow conductor) centered on the 8.8-inch dimension and parallel to the 10inch dimension. Obviously, diffraction effects are substantially reduced when compared with the nichrome striped pattern, which has 9 times the total edge length. The single intermediate bus bar will lower the terminal resistance by a factor of 4, allowing the use of EC coatings having sheet resistivities of 6000/sq. to produce a power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> with a 230 volt

supply. Furthermore, the central conductor can be grounded and the high voltage bus bars can be located, as usual, near the window frame, well shielded from accidental contact.

Another benefit of running the conductor along the horizontal dimension of the window is that it is probably the direction of scan of a potential IR sensor. If the scan is along the centerline of the window, the length of conductor seen at the focal plane will be constant over the scan. Had the conductor been placed along the other dimension of the window, the length in the field of view would vary with the frequency of the scan. In this case, any radiation emanating from the conductor because of its finite emissivity would be modulated and added to the signal instead of being averaged out as noise.

The key to the feasibility of this approach is how much of a transmission advantage the higher sheet resistance coatings (300 to  $600\Omega/sq$ .) would have over the existing 75 to  $100\Omega/sq$ . coatings.

Note that there is another parameter that can be varied to possibly eliminate the necessity for intermediate bus bars--the level of the supply voltage. For a given power density, doubling the sheet resistance requires  $\sqrt{2}$  times the voltage. The maximum voltage permissible, no doubt, is limited by salety considerations in addition to EMI requirements and problems with arcover or corona, which might occur in an unpressurized or depressurized area.

A steady-state computer nodal analysis was run for the case of the opaque, striped nichrome pattern on ZnS and ZnSSe substrates to obtain a comparison with the uniform sheet coating. A summary of the results is given in Table 12 and Figure 37.

As mentioned previously, the interior surface temperatures are below the aircraft interior temperature in all cases except on or adjacent to the nichrome stripe on the ZnSSe window, even though the exterior surface temperature is high enough to prevent frosting. This condition and the excellent temperature uniformity on the exterior surface are due to the relatively high substrate conductivity.





# TABLE 12. TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON (0.12" WIDE NICHROME STRIPES VERSUS UNIFORM EC COATING)

	Inte	rior Surfa	ICe	Exte	rior Surfa	ace
			Maximum			Maximum
Material	Maximum	Minimum	Lateral	Maximum	Minimum	Lateral
	Temp.	Temp.	ΔT	Temp.	Temp.	ΔT
	(oF)	(oF)	(oF)	(oF)	(2o)	(oF)
<u>Zuz</u>						
0.12" Nichrome	<b>59.7</b> *	46.3**	13.4	36.2*	35 <b>.8**</b>	0.4
Stripes						
Uniform Sheet	52.6	52.6	c	38.2	38.2	0
ZuSSe						
0.12" Nichrome	83 <b>.</b> 0*	56.1**	26.9	36.1*	35,3**	0.8
Stripes						
Uniform Sheet	66•ń	66 <b>.</b> 6	0	37.9	37.9	0

\*At center of stripe \*\*At midpoint between stripes

At midpoint between stripes

4.5 watts/in <sup>2</sup>	50 watts/in <sup>2</sup>	-650F	700F
cns: Average Power Input	Nichrome Stripe Power Density	Exterior Ambient Temperature	Interior Ambient Temnerature
Conditic			

The third approach considered, a pattern of semi-transparent stripes, would also produce diffraction effects similar to those obtained with the opaque stripes, but with somewhat lower intensities. The main problem with semi-transparent stripes is that they introduce a phase shift over the part of the aperture they cover with respect to the rest of the window. For a wavelength in the range of interest, this differential phase shift is  $\sim \pi$ , corresponding to a half-wave, resulting in a reduction of axial intensity from 1.00 to 0.89 for an EC coating having a transmission of 0.60, using the same dimensions as given for the opaque conductors. This loss of energy onaxis is redistributed among the rings of the diffraction image, corresponding to a larger blur circle. Obviously, this problem can be eliminated if the nonconducting portions of the window surface are covered with a coating having the same phase shift characteristics as the conductive coating.

Another disadvantage of semi-transparent stripes is the relatively high electrical resistance per stripe, requiring that the supply voltage be increased above 230 volts to obtain an average power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>. For example, if it is assumed that the minimum sheet resistance available is  $75\Omega/sq.$ , a pattern consisting of 1/2" wide stripes spaced 1" apart would give an overall transmission of 0.80 (with a coating transmission of 0.60) but would require 257.1 volts for 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>, unless perpendicular intermediate bus bars were introduced.

### 6.11 WAVEFRONT DISTORTION OF HEATER PATTERNS

Steady-state computer nodal temperature analyses were run for four different semi-transparent (Perkin-Elmer multilayer EC coating) striped window heater patterns, each producing an average power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> (for a total power input of 396 watts) with a pitch (spacing) of 1 inch. The window dimensions are 8.8" x 10" x 0.75" thick; material is either ZnS or ZnSe since they have similar thermal conductivities. The four configurations are as follows:

- Nine 1/2-inch wide x 10-inch long stripes, 4.4 watts/ linear inch
- Ten 1/2-inch wide x 8.8-inch long stripes, 4.5 watts/ linear inch

- Ten 1/4-inch wide x 8.8-inch long stripes, 4.5 watts/ linear inch and also with 6 watts/linear inch (6.0 watts/ in<sup>2</sup> or 528 watts total) to demonstrate the effects of higher power densities
- Ten 1/8-inch wide x 8.8-inch long stripes, 4.5 watts/ linear inch

In addition, the nodal analy is for the 1/8-inch wide x 10-inch long nichrome stripe pattern was rerun for a direct comparison.

A summary of the results of these analyses is given in Table 13 and Figures 38, 39, and 40. A finer nodal network was used for these runs, thus giving slightly different (more accurate) temperatures than previously reported for the case of the 1/8" wide nichrome stripes. Note that the temperatures obtained for the  $1/8" \times 10"$  stripes are lower than those for the 1/8" $\times$  8.8" stripes, which is due solely to the fact that the total length is somewhat greater for nine 10-inch long stripes than ten 8.8-inch long stripes, thus giving a slightly lower linear power density for the former pattern (4.4 watts/linear inch compared to 4.5 watts/linear inch).

From an inspection of Table 13 and Figures 38, 39, and 40, it is clear that, as expected, the wider stripes produce a more uniform temperature distribution. The maximum lateral temperature gradient at the upper (heated) surface ranges from a high of 16.1°F for the  $1/8" \times 10"$  stripes to a low of 6.5°F for the  $1/2" \times 10"$  stripes.

For comparison, the average transmission of each configuration, given in Table 14, was calculated based on a simple area ratio, assuming the following transmissions in the 8-12  $\mu$ m region: 0.60 for the semi-transparent EC coating, 0.95 for the antireflection coated intermediate regions (it has been assumed that this coating has the same phase shift characteristics as the EC coating), and 0.0 for the opaque nichrome stripes. The effects of diffraction caused by the regularly varying transmission perpendicular to the stripes have not been considered here. Note that the orientation of the stripes makes very little difference in the overall transmission as evidenced by the calculated values for the 1/2" wide stripes.











Nodal Temperature Diagram, EnS or 2nSe, 8.8" x 10" x 0.75", 4.5 w/sq. in., 396w Total Power, 1/8-inch Wide Electrically Conducting Stripe Figure 40.

TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON (SEMI-TRANSPARENT EC STRIPES OF VARIOUS WIDTHS VERSUS OPAQUE NICHROME STRIPES AND UNIFORM EC COATING) TABLE 13.

والم الألفان والمالا المالية والمعطول الألفاني والمساوية المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Pattern	Zinc Sulf	f <b>ide or</b> Zir	nc Selenide	2, 4.5 watts in <sup>2</sup>	Average 1	ower Input
	Inte	erior Surfa	ace	Exte	erior Surfa	lce
Configuration	Maximum.	Minimum	"laximum"	Maximum	Minimum	Minimum
	Temp.	Temp. (oF)	Lat. AI (oF)	Temp. (oF)	Temp. (oF)	Lat. AT (oF)
9 - 1/2" x 10" EC Stripes	53 <b>.</b> 3*	<b>**</b> 6*97	6.5	36 <b>.</b> 2*	36.0**	0.2
9 - 1/8" x 10" Nichrome (or EC) Stripes	61 <b>.</b> 0*	45 <b>.</b> 9**	16.1	36 <b>.</b> 1*	35.8**	0.3
10 - 1/2" x 8.8" EC Stripes	55.9*	49.3**	<b>6</b> •6	38.4*	38•2**	0.2
10 - 1/4" x 8.8" EC Stripes	57.7*	48 <b>**</b>	8 <b>.</b> 9	38°4*	38 <b>° 1</b> **	0•3
<pre>10 - 1/4" x 8.8" EC Stripes at 6.0 watts/in<sup>2</sup></pre>	97.4*	85 <b>.</b> 6**	11.8	71.9*	71.5**	4
<pre>10 - 1/8" x 8.8" EC (or Nichrome) Stripes</pre>	63 <b>.</b> 9*	48 <b>.</b> 5**	15.4	38 <b>.</b> 5*	38.**	<b>0</b> .4
Uniform EC Coating (For Ref≤rence)	52.6	52.6	0	38.2	<b>38.</b> 2	0
*At center of stripes **At midpoint between stripes			Condition Exterior Interior	IS: Ambient Ten Ambient Ten	aperature -	-65°F 70°F

Pattern Configuration	Average Transmission
9 - 1/2" x 10" EC Stripes	0.77
9 - 1/8" x 10" Nichrome Stripes	0.83
10 - 1/2" x 8,8" EC Stripes	0.78
10 - 1/4" × 3.8" EC Stripes	0.86
10 - 1/8" x 8.8" EC Stripes	0.91
Uniform EC Coating	0.60

TABLE 14. AVERAGE TRANSMISSION OF STRIPED WINDOW HEATER PATTERNS

The  $1/4" \ge 8.8"$  pattern on ZnSe operating at an average power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup> was selected for detailed optical analysis. The optical path differences (OPD's) were calculated for this configuration in 1/8" wide lateral and 1/8" thickness increments corresponding to the node spacings shown in Figure 39 using the following equation:

$$OPD = \sum_{\alpha}^{i=6} t \Delta T_{i \ i \ dT} + (n-1)(\alpha)$$

where

t = thickness increment = 0.125 inch = 3.175 mm
 ΔT<sub>i</sub> = temperature differential between lateral increments
 dn/dT = temperature coefficient of the index of refraction for ZnSe = 4.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> 1/°C (Ref. 4)
 n = index of refraction for ZnSe = 2.40
 α = thermal expansion coefficient for ZnSe = 7.2 x 10<sup>-6</sup> 1/°C between 270 and 290°K (26 and 62°F) (Ref. 12)

The calculated OPD values, which repeat around each stripe, are listed in Table 15. The maximum OPD of  $0.132\lambda$  satisfies the Rayleigh criterion,

<sup>(12)&</sup>quot;Kodak Irtran Infrared Optical Materials", Publication U-72, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York, 14650, September, 1971, p. 20.

Distance from Edge of Window (Inches)	Optical Path Difference (OPD) (Waves at 10µm)
0	-0.132λ
1/8	-0.121\
1/4	-0.085%
3/8 (edge of stripe)	-0.021λ
1/2 (center line of stripe)	0 (reference thickness)
5/8 (edge of stripe)	-0.021λ
3/4	-0.085λ
7/8	-0.121λ
) (midpoint between stripes)	-0.132λ

TABLE 15. OPTICAL PATH DIFFERENCE DISTRIBUTION AROUND EACH STRIPE

which states that an OPD of less than  $0.25\lambda$  will not sensibly affect the image quality. (A similar calculation for ZnS gives a slightly higher maximum OPD of  $0.187\lambda$  still satisfying this criterion.)

The shape of the distribution curve, plotted in Figure 41, is closely approximated by the cosine function:

 $OPD = -0.066 \cos(2\pi \times -2\pi) - 0.066$ 

(where x = distance divided by stripe pitch, dimensionless)

also plotted for comparison. Therefore, for purposes of this analysis, the OPD distribution was assumed to have a regular cosine variation with a peakte-peak value of 0.13 wave (at 10  $\mu$ m) in a direction perpendicular to the stripes. This function was used to calculate the optical modulation transfer function (MTF) and point spread function (PSF) for an 8.8-inch diameter circular aperture within a window having this striped heater pattern. The Perkin-Elmer computer program OTFGEN was used to determine these two functions. The results of these runs are plotted in Figures 42 and 43.



Figure 41. Distribution of Optical Path Difference Around Each Heater Stripe



Figure 42. Affect of Thermally-Induced Optical Path Differences on Modulation Transfer Function



Figure 43. Thermally-Induced Point Spread Function Perpendicular to Stripes (X Axis)

The shape of the resultant MTF curve in the worst case direction (perpendicular to that of the stripes) and the relatively high Struhl ratio<sup>\*</sup> of 0.93 indicate that the optical path variation across the window will not significantly degrade image quality, thereby substantiating the 0.25 $\lambda$  Rayleigh criterion mentioned previously. The MTF curve for the direction parallel to the stripes, also plotted in Figure 42, is equivalent to the ideal curv: for a diffraction-limited system since there is no OPD variation due to the heated stripes in that direction.

The point spread function for the thermally-induced OPD variation perpendicular to the stripes is plotted in Figure 42. Note that most of the energy is concentrated around the axis with small amounts of energy distributed in spurious diffraction images displaced  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  radian from the real image, again substantiating the small amount of degradation attributable to the heated stripes. In the direction parallel to the stripes, the energy distribution is similar with the same peak value but slightly more concentrated; however, there are no spurious diffraction images produced due to the absence of optical path variations.

With the 1/4" x 8.8" long stripes having a minimum resistance of  $75\Omega/sq.$ , the maximum power available for a supply voltage of 230V would be only 200 watts. However, by adding a third bus bar at the center of the window perpendicular to the stripes (Figure 44), the maximum power available with the same supply voltage is increased to 802 watts--more than sufficient to produce 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>--since the effective resistance of the pattern is reduced by a factor of 4 (coating resistivity remaining at  $75\Omega/sq$ ). Alternately, with this central bus bar, the resistivity can increase to  $152\Omega/sq$ . and still produce the desired average power density of 4.5 watts/in<sup>2</sup>.

Thus, it can be concluded that the EC neater pattern consisting of ten equally spaced 1/4" x 8.8" stripes with three bus bars (one centrally located) is an attractive compromise approach for significantly increasing the average transmission of heated infrared windows over that obtainable with a uniform EC coating (0.86 versus 0.60) without introducing appreciable thermal-optical degradation within the wavelength range of interest.

Ratio of the energy intensity at the peak of the diffraction pattern of an aberrated image to that at the peak of an aberration-free image (diffraction limited).



שת היר הגיאאמטינייטי <del>בריי א</del>מי

AT



### SECTION 7

# FABRICATION AND EVALUATION OF COATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS

Three ZnS windows were fabricated and coated using the designs developed during the experimental work on this program. The windows were evaluated for the following:

- MTF measurements were made before and after coating
- Transmission and reflection curves were made of one-inch diameter witness pieces at various operational temperatures
- Coating durability was evaluated on the one-inch diameter witness pieces
- Measurements were made of the thermal profile across a heated window

### 7.1 FABRICATION OF TEST FIXTURES

A test fixture was designed and fabricated to measure the transmission of the one-inch diameter witness pieces at 0°F, ambient temperature, and 120°F. Figure 45 shows the detailed drawing of this fixture.

A second fixture was designed and fabricated to hold a window in the "cold box" to perform a thermal profile of a heated window under operational condition. Figure 46 shows the detailed drawing of the housing.

### 7.2 FABRICATION OF WINDOWS

Six ZnS windows  $4.0" \times 3.5" \times 0.3"$  were fabricated and the best three were chosen to be fully coated and were used to evaluate the design concept. The coating design used for conductive antireflective coating is shown in Table 3 and the coating design for the antireflection coating is shown in Figure 19.





Figure 45. Thermal Vacuum Chamber



Figure 46. Insulated Chamber Housing

NOTES:

# 7.3 EVALUATION OF ZnS WINDOWS

# 7.3.1 MTF Measurements

MTF measurements were made by Texas Instruments on two windows (P/N  $610-545^{-2}$  and P/N 610-5457-6) before and after coating. The data received from Texas Instruments are given in Appendix A for before costing and in Appendix B for after coating.

Table 16 compares the before and after coating MTF values at 10 lp/mm and 20 lp/mm. Also given is the effective MTF of the coating only. Since there is a limited range of lp/mm, the image spread function cannot be calculated. The image spoiling effects due to the coating must be inferred by the MTF coating-only data at single frequencies (lp/mm).

		10 lp/mm MTF		20 lp/mm MTF			
s/n	Orientation	Before Coating	After Coating	Coating Only	Before Coaling	After Coating	Coating Only
610-5457-6	٥ ۵	0.979	0.973	0.994	0.947	0.900	0.950
610-5457-6	90°	0.955	0.975	1.021	0.893	0.972	1.089
610-5457-2	0°	0.972	0.973	1.001	0.949	0.955	1.006
610-5457-2	0.	0.515	0.992	0.999	0.922	1.043	1.123

TABLE 16. MTF MEASUREMENTS

Bob Crossland (Texas Instruments) stated that all existing FLIR systems are limited to frequencies of less than 20 lp/mm because of their short focal lengths (3 to 20 inches) and detector size. Since the MTF measurements are reproducible to + 0.02, the change in the MTF due to the coatings was not measurable. The apparent increase of the coating-only MTF is due to the use of a narrower bandpass filter to define the in-band transmission region. Therefore, any image spoiling effects will be caused by temperature gradients across the window and must be controlled by using appropriate mounting techniques and edge heaters.

# 7.3.2 Optical Performance of Coated Witness Pieces

One-inch diameter witness pieces, coated with the window, were evaluated for spectral performance. Table 17 lists the types of spectral measurement, conditions under which the measurement was made, and the corresponding figure numbers. The following summarizes the results of these measurements:

- The transmission of the coatings remains unchanged from O'F to 120°F
- The average transmission for the three windows is 68% in the 8 to 11 micron region
- The average reflectance for the three windows is 6% in the 8 to 11 micron region
- The peak transmission is 72% at approximately 9.5 microns

# 7.3.3 Coating Durability

One witness piece from each coating run was evaluated for coating durability. Table 18 summarizes the test method and results.

### 7.3.4 Measurement of the Thermal Profile Across a Heated Window

Bus bars were soldered to the window along the two four-inch sides of the window and were mounted in the test fixture shown in Figure 46 using RTV. Eight thermocouples were attached onto conductive coatings (inside the box). The position of the thermocouples and recorded temperatures at steady-state are shown in Figure 56. Three thermocouples were attached to the external surface (antireflection coating). The positions and recorded temperatures at steady state are shown in Figure 57. A small piece of plastic foam was placed over these thermocouples to insure that the temperature readings were of the window surface and not the cold air stream.

The fully instrumented package was placed in a cold box at an angle of 45° to the air stream. At the steady-state condition, the following parameters were recorded:

- Cold air temperature =  $0^{\circ}F$
- Air velocity, 5850 feet per minute
- Window was drawing 107 watts (107 volts and 1 amp)
- Power dissipation approximately 8.9 watts/in<sup>2</sup>
- Starting from ambient conditions, the steady-state condition is reached in thirty minutes

P/N	Measurement	Conditions	Figure No.
610-5457-1	Transmission	70.8°F	47
610-5457-1	Reflectance	Ambient	48
610-5457-1	Transmission	0°F & 120°F	49
610-5457-6	Transmission	70.0°F	50
610-5457-6	Reflection	Ambient	51
610-5457-6	Transmission	0°F & 120°F	52
610-5457-2	Transmission	69.0°F	53
610-5457-2	Reflection	Ambient	54
610-5457-2	Transmission	0°F & 120°F	55

TABLE 17. OPTICAL PERFORMANCE

Ľ

1

# TABLE 18. COATING DURABILITY

Test	Antireflection Coating	Conductive Coating
Washing	Passed	Passed
Scotch-Tape Test per MIL-M-13508B	Passed	Passed
Abrasion Test per MIL-M-13508B	Passed	Passed
Abrasion Test per MIL-C-675A	Passed	F <b>a</b> iled
Humidity Test per MIL-C-675A	Passed	Passed

a in the

Street rac















1163 109 1 1 10 1 17 1 1 10 1 1 1 1

Figure 50. Spectral Performance at 70.0°F






A DECIMANNAL AND A DECIMA





Figure 53. Spectral Performance at 69.0°F



Ŧ









Figure 56. Positions and Recorded Temperatures of Thermocouples on Heated Window Conductive Coating





- The minimum temperature on the outer surface was 38°F (35°F is the B-1 specification) with a gradient of 16°F
- The inside temperature of the enclosure was 34°F (in use, this temperature will be 70°F)

The results of the thermal profile test indicate that, as expected, special techniques for eliminating edge effects must be incorrorated into the mounting design and the power dissipation spec MIL-T-5842A and minimum external temperature can be achieved.

#### SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 8.1 TRANSMISSION VALUES

The work performed under this contract clearly indicates the feasibility of producing transparent, continuous thin-film heaters for the 8 to  $11.5 \mu$  spectral region. While the transmission of 72 percent of the demonstration windows does not compare with the spectacularly high values now achievable at visible frequencies, where the conductive films are essentially nonabsorbing, it is clearly well in excess of any value that might have been expected on a less than detailed examination of the transmission values of the indium-tin-oxide films alone. The value, in fact, falls less than 10 percent short of what used to be considered high for visible region transparent films before these values were increased by the use of multilayer interference filter techniques.

It is unfortunate that it was not possible to realize the slightly higher theoretically predicted values within the scope and funding of the contract; however, we believe that analysis of the experimental work clearly shows in which areas will further experimental work lead to transmission gains. Certainly, the sandwich-type chalcogenide windows currently being considered for FLIR systems would make the film system design problem simple in theory and in practice, as well as increasing the transmission potentially available.

## 8.2 COATINGS FOR IRREGULAR SHAPED WINDOWS

The degradation of the transmitted wavefront through the cest window, following the application of the programmed nonuniform coating and the subsequent correction of that value following the application of the compensating film, clearly indicates that the layers were deposited in the appropriate programmed nonuniform thickness.

The thermal measurements show that the nonuniform coating created a significantly more equal temperature distribution over the window. Special techniques for eliminating edge effects must be incorporated into a mounting design if greater temperatures uniformity is desired.

### 8.3 FOLLOW-ON INVESTIGATIONS

Despite the appearance of some negative results during the course of the investigation, we believe that there were more than sufficient positive results to justify further investigation in certain areas. In particular, a more careful study should be implemented of the relationship between the optical constants r and k and the substrate or the film on which they are deposited. Results of this effort should be used to feed a design study to improve transparency of the film system to the values that were theoretically predicted and to incorporate conductive films in designs for common aperture systems. A study of single wavelength designs that might be used for EMI suppression in narrow-band devices would be worthwhile. The nonuniform resistance coating technique for the control of window temperature uniformity should be continued on more complex geometries.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Glacier Program, Perkin-Elmer Report No. 11200.

Ť

- (2) Caren, R.P., Funai, A.I. Frye, W.E. and Sklensky, A.F., "Properties of Infrared Sensor Materials", Materials Research and Standards, MTRSA, Volume 11, No. 6, June, 1971, p. 10.
- (3) Technical Report AFAL-TR-73-252, "Chemical Vapor Deposition of Multispectral windows", Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, July, 1973, pp. 29, 30.
- (4) "Raytran ZnSe", Raytheon Data Sheet, Raytheon Research Division, 28 Seyon Street, Waltham, Massachusetts, 02154.
- <sup>(5)</sup>Telecon, James Pappis, Raytheon with E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, December 1974, re: Recent CVD ZnSe Data.
- <sup>(6)</sup>Letter, James Pappis, Raytheon to E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, June 28, 1973, re: Properties of CVD ZnS, ZnSe and ZnSSe.
- <sup>(7)</sup>Specification No. L330C2001-1, Rockwell International, B-1 Division, p. 3.
- (8) Military Specification MIL-T-5842A, "Transparent Areas, Anti-Icing, Defrosting and Defogging Systems, General Specification for", September, 1950.
- (9) Timoshenko, S. and Woinowsky-Krieger, S., "Theory of Plates and Shells", McGraw-Hill, 1959.
- (10) Telecon, Phil Mueller and Ron Brigstorke of Boeing to E.A. Strouse, Perkin-Elmer, July 1973, re: B-1 Flight Parameters.
- (11) Rea, S.N. and Wriston, R.S., "Development of Deicing Methods for Chalcogenide Windows for Reconnaissance and Weapon Delivery", Technical Report AFAL-TR-73-340, Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, October, 1973, p. 9.
- (12) "Kodak Irtran Infrared Optical Materials", Publication U-72, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York, 14650, September, 1971, p. 20.

## APPENDIX A

## OPTICAL TEST DATA FOR UNCOATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS

-----

ann at the second at the s

at we when a second



## **TEXAS INSTRUMENTS**

INCORPORATED

POST OFFICE BOX 6015 . DALLAS TEXAS 75222

Equipment Group
5 December 1974

In reply refer to: 230-83-1548 Mail Station 209

The Perkin-Elmer Corporation Electro-Optical Division Norwalk, CT 06856

ATTENTION	Mr. W. Schilling
	Purchasing

SUBJECT Optical Test Data for Uncoated Zinc Sulfide Windows

REFERENCE

(a) Perkin-Elmcr Purchase Order 86028 OS

ENCLOSURE (1) Technical Description of Optical Test Results of Uncoated Zinc Sulfide Windows (1 copy)

`entlemen:

Texas Instruments takes this opportunity to submit enclosure (1) in response to item 1.0 of the reference (a) purchase order. The customer furnished windows were shipped under separate cover on 27 November 1974. Enclosure (1), with its 4 figures and 6 data runs, represents a synops is of the test data obtained.

If we may be of further assistance or answer your questions on this information, please contact Mr. Bob Crossland at area code 214, 238-4233.

Very truly yours,

Indon E. Leach Gordon E. Leach

Contract Negotiator

GEL/sr

Enclosure (1) to TT Letter 230-83-1548 Dated 5 December 1974

### TUCHNICAL DESCRIPTION OF OPTICAL TEST K'. (LTS OF UNCOATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS)

1. To Bay Staff to an int in total management of a

The following is a synopsis of Texas Instruments Image Evaluation Laboratory testing of two Zine Sulfide optical blanks (Perkin-Elmer Derial numbers 610+5457-2 and 610-5457-6). The following aspects are pertinent to the test setup:

- 1. The test was conducted with the S-3A standard cell which was designed for 2.0 cycles/milliradian.
- 2. An aperture of 3.25 inches square was placed over the cell and defined the area tested in each blank.
- 3. Knife orientation was vertical for all scans.
- 4. Of orientation of the blank was defined when the long dimension of the blank was vertical.
- 5. 90<sup>°</sup> orientation of the blank was defined when the long dimension of the blank was horizontal.
- b. The bandpass filter used for these tests has 50% absolute transmission points located at 7.72 and 11.88 microns which define the in-band transmission region.
- 7. A focal series was conducted after introducing the blank in real of the studend cell to remove any effects of power or tilt in the surfaces.

School number 610-5457-7 MTF results were 97.7% at  $0^{\circ}$  and 59.3% at 90% for a frequency of 10 lp/mm. The tabulated data for the standard cell and the respective blank are included as runs 9, 18, and 32. This data is plotted in Figures 1 and 2. The blank transmission in the spectral region previously specified was 63.3%.

Serial number (-10-5957-6) MTC results were 97.9% at  $0^{\circ}$  and 95.5% at  $90^{\circ}$  for a frequency of 10 lp/mm. The tabulated data for the standard cell and the respective blank are included as runs 9, 49, and 62. This data is plotted in Figures 3 and 4. The blank transmission was 62.8%.

			<b>h</b> : <u></u>	::::::::::::						
						FIGU	KE TAND			
			1			- 3-3A 7100	SHIFT	NKU UEI DE MSNI	610-5	457-6
MTF					<u> </u>	-O-DE	GREES		010-3	
					1					
<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
							· · · · · ·			
					$\sim$					
6										
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1							
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.2										
							· · · · · ·	•		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · ·						
	2.0	= 4.0 6	.0_8	.0 10	.0 12	.0 14	.0 16	.0 18	.0 20	.0
				FREOU	ENCY (	LP/MM)				
••••••	- O.	CORRECTED	MTF F	OR CEL	L					
		CORRECTER	MTE F	OP CEL	1 <u>UTU</u>	TEST				
	t - 112 (C.		/ CI II : F	UN VEL	L 74110	ILOI	DEWIN			

10 T.

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

						FIGURE	2			1.1.
ATE						S-3A S 7 INC S		D TCELL	10-545	7_2
F1 6 5						90 DEG	REES			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
6										
							$\sim$			
								$\sim$		
									<b>)</b>	
4										
					<u> </u>				the second second second second	
.2										
2										
2										
2										
.2										
2	2.0-4.0 6	, C 8	.0 10	.0 12	.0 14	.0 16	.0 18	.0 20		
2	2.0 4.0 6	. C 8	.0 10 REQUE	).0 12 (CY (LP	.0 14 /MM)	.0 16	.0 18	.0 20	.0	
2	2.0.4.0 6	. С 8 МТ F – F О	.0 10 REQUE	2.0 12 CY (LF	.0 14 /MM)	.0 16	.0 18	.0 20	.0	
2	2.0.4.0 6	. (° 8 MTF F0 MTF F0	.0 10 REQUEN	2.0 12 CY (LF	.0 14 /MM)	.0 16	.0 18	.0 20	2.0	

1.0			· · ; · , _									
								FIG	URE 3		511	
NTF								- Z I N	C SULF	IDE MS	N 610-	5457-2
								0 0	EGREES			
											_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				i 1		;: •						
				!	۲ ۲						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						<u>۲</u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · ·								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
	·							· · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · ·										
			···· <del>·</del> ··			<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		·				 						
2_				· ·	i . 	; ; ;			•	*****		
·····		· · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·			• •• • • • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · ·
					1 :	•	1			•••••		
			· · · · · · ·	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	2	n 4	<u>Г</u> . Е	<u> </u>	0 10	0 12	0 14	0 16	0 18	0 20	۰	
		· · · ·			FREDU	FNCY (	1 P/MM		.0 10	.0 .20		
<u> </u>				; ;				· · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	CORRE	CTED M	TF FOR	CELL	<b>.</b>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
		CORRE	CTED 1	ITE FOR	CELL	WITH T	EST BL	ANK				

۳î

	ا از میشون این میشون از میشون میشون از میشون از از مراجع از میشون از م از مراجع از میشون از									
						FIGU	RE 4			
						ZINC	SULFI	DE MSI	6.0-5	457-6
MIF						90-0	EGREES			
	2 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						0				
								==		
	2.0 4.0 -	5.0 -8	3.0_10	<b>].0</b> _]?	<b>2.0</b> 14	.0_16	.018	.0 20	,0 🚍	
			F	REQUENC	Y (IP)	MM				
	CORRECTED	MTF FO								
	CORRECTED	MIFF	RCEEL	WATH	IEST E	LANK				

4) 4)

State of the state

14.20 MAR

Я,

			0 P T	ICAL	TRANS	FER	FUNCIED	N
RUN_N	10.=	9		FOCAL	POSI	TION	= 529.0	MICRONS
J	, C .	11	-20	-74			_	
	S-3A	SIAND	ARD	CEIL	WITH	4 "X	3.5" AP	ERTURE
	STAN	DARD C	ELL	CHEC	κ		-	
<b></b>	UN A	XIS	S۸	SCAN				
FREQ	TR	1	*	НT	FU P	HASE	MTEC	
	000		2	1.000		.0	1.000	
5.0	,896	00	3	.896	-	,2	909	
10.0	<u>_714</u>	00	0	714	-	.0	.756	
15.0	.555	00	2	.555	-	.2	.632	
/*							-	

est. T

		06.	TICAL TH	LANSFER	FUNCTIO	N
KUN	NU,	18	FOCAL P	OSITION	1= 520.0	MICRONS
	J.C.	11-20	1-74			
	S=34	JANDAR	D.CELL W	ITH 4">	3.5" APP	ERTURE
	ZINC S	ULFIDE	H5N-61	0-5457-	2	
-	. Ø DEGH	REES	UN AXIS	5 A	SCAN	
FREQ	TR	TI	MTFU	PHASE	MYEC	
	_1.000		1.000	- 4	1_000	
2.0	.980		.980	= 1	.982	
A. 13	926	- 008	.926	- 5	934	
6.0	.851	914	.851	=1-0	869	
		- 920	.771	-1.5	700	
10.0	.695	- 929	695	- 1 - 7	735	
12.0	. 626	- 114	- 626	•1.3	#700 6812	
14.0	.563		- 565	- 4	633	
16.0	507	.005	.507	- • -	- UUZ 687	
18.0	. 450	. 012	. 450	- •0. 16	5 A L	
20.0	.394	.015	394	2 2	* 1 4 2 • 1 4 2	
/				E # 6	****0	

· · ·	OPTICAL TRA	NSFER FUNCTIO	N
RUN NO. # 32	FOCAL PO	SITION= 524.0	MICRONS
	1-28-74		
S-3A STAN	DARD CELL WI	TH 4"X3.5" AP	ERTURE
7 TNC SULF	TOP NSN=610	-5457-2	
OG DEGREE	S DN AXI	S SA SCAN	
	TT MTFU	PHASE MTFC	
и соди d	88 1.080	.0 1.000	
	A1 .981	1 .983	
	64 . 931	2 .939	
	87 860	.4 .878	
	11 783	8 .612	
	700	-1.1 .751	
	1 A 643	-1.3 .698	
	14 ,040		
		- 1 .597	
	196	1.1 .541	
2 <b></b>	110	616 1999	

1.

		001	FICAL TR	ANSFER	FUNCTIO	4
	NO	49	FOCAL P	OSITION	= 54H.0	MICRONS
3		11-24	1-74			
	_S-3A_	STANDARE	) CELL W	ITH 4">	3.5" AP	ERTURE
	ZINC	SULFIDE	MSN-61	9-5457-	6	_
	0 DEG	REES	ON AXI	5 S/	SCAN	
FREQ	TR	TI	MTFU	PHASE	MTFC	
	1.000_		1.000	. P	1.000	
2.0	979	-, 996	979	3	.981	
4.10	.922	- 912	.922	7	.931	
6.0	.847	014	.847	- 9	.965	
		012	.770	- 9	.798	
10.0	699	006	.699	= 5	.740	
		. 001	.635	.1	689	
14.0	573	. 009	. 573	.9	.641	
16.0	510	014	510	. 1.6	591	
18.0	448	.017	.449	2.2	.541	
28.0			.393	2.8	. 497	
/•					• • • • •	

adb 0000 al 10 al 10 al 10 al 10

ないないであるとないであるという

		OPTICAL	TRANSFER F	UNCTION
PIIN N	0. = 62	FOCAL	POSITION	540.0 MICRONS
	r : ::	1=22=74		
J .	C-JA STAN	DAPD CELL	WTTH 4"X3	54 APERTURE
والمحكور فالاخترية والمساحي ويبرين فساور والمناد		TOE NEN-	( 10=545%=f	<b>K</b>
	ZING SULF			
	90 DEGREE	5 UN A	INTO DRIFE	
FRED	TR	TI MI	TEN SHUDE	miru
	.000	100 1,000	, 0	1, ND0
2.0	.978 .9	101 ,978	3 .0	.980
4.0	921	.92		.929
<u>Б</u> И	. 842 - 9	100 .84	20	.859
4 13	759	aus .75	92	.787
	682 - 0	346 .68	2	.722
10.0		ANA 61	4	660
			· _ · · ·	616
14,0	•22N ••	NTN -22		667
<u></u>		013 49	₩	
18.9	.4290	715 .42	9 ~2,0	-219
20.0		015 .37	1 =2.4	.469

/+

APPENDIX B

1

## OPTICAL TEST DATA FOR COATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS

4.000

Lang. B.A.S. Land



## **TEXAS INSTRUMENTS**

INCORPORATED POST OFFICE BOX 6018 + DALLAS, TEXAS 78322 Equipment Group

25 March 1975

In reply refer to: 230-83-1681 Mail Station 209

The Perkin-Elmer Corporation Electro-Optical Division Norwalk, CT 06856

ATTENTION Mr. W. Schilling Purchasing, M/S 299

SUBJECT Optical Test Data for Coated Zinc Sulfide Windows

REFERENCE

еC с

ENCLOSURE (1) Technical Description of Optical Test Results of Coated Zinc Sulfide Windows (1 copy)

(a) Perkin-Elmer Purchase Order 86028 OS

Gentlemen:

Texas Instruments takes this opportunity to submit enclosure (1) in response to item 2.0 of the reference (a) purchase order. Enclosure (1), with its 4 figures and 5 data runs, represents a synopsis of the test data obtained. This submittal completes the contract effort.

If we may be of further assistance or answer your questions on this information, please contact Mr. Bob Crossland at area code 214, 239-4233.

Very truly yours,

Gordon E.

Contract Negotiator

GEL:slc

And the second second

de la fai locate comercia mana con

「「「「「「「「」」」」

13800 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY + DALLAS + 214-238-2011 + TELEX 7-3324 + TWX 810-887-4702 + CABLE: TEKINS

## TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION OF OPTICAL TEST RESULTS OF COATED ZINC SULFIDE WINDOWS

The following is a synopsis of Texas Instruments Image Evaluation Laboratory testing of two Zinc Sulfide optical blanks (Perkin-Elmer Serial numbers 610-5457-2 and 610-5457-6). The following aspects are pertinent to the test setup:

- 1. The test was conducted with the S-3A standard cell which was designed for 2.0 cycles/milliradian.
- 2. An aperture of 2.8 inches square was placed over the cell and defined the area tested in each blank.
- 3. Knife orientation was vertical for all scans.
- 4. O° orientation of the blank was defined when the long dimension of the blank was vertical.
- 5. 90° orientation of the blank was defined when the long dimension of the blank was horizontal.
- 6. Initial tests were conducted using the same bandpass filter as the previous tests on the uncoated blanks. However, the results indicated that the coating on the ZnS had a narrower spectral definition. Therefore, for this setup, one blank was placed at the blackbody source in addition to the bandpass filter while the other blank was being tested.
- 7. A focal series was conducted after introducing the blank in front of the standard cell to remove any effects of power or tilt in the surfaces.

Serial number 610-5457-2 MTF results were 97.3% at 0° and 99.2% at 90° for a frequency of 10 lp/mm. The tabulated data for the standard cell and the respective blank are included as runs 5, 44 and 39. This data is plotted in Figures 7 and 8. The blank transmission in the same spectral region as the previous uncoated test was 59.8%.

Serial number 610-5457-6 MTF results were 97.3% at  $0^{\circ}$  and 97.5% at 90° for a frequency of 10 lp/mm. The tabulated data for the standard cell and the respective blank are included as runs 5, 16 and 29. This data is plotted in Figures 5 and 6. The blank transmission was 57.3%.

Reproduced from Obest available copy.

I

			461	TICAL TRA	NSFER	FUNCTION		
	RHN.	N-) _ =	7	FOCAL PO	SITION	* 560.0 MI	CRONS	
	1.0.	,	93-13	5-75				
_		3-34	STANDAR	) CELL				
		NITH.	2.44 51	JARE APER	TURE			
		) J AX	15 5/	A SCAN				
5	RE11	TR	ΤT	HTFU	PHASE	MTFC		
•	(*	1. 334	.334	1.390	• 3	1.003		
2.	(A	, 177	• <b>G</b> (A 5	. 977	.3	.979		
4,	14	, 715	. M:N 5	.915	. 3	, 924		
6.	Ŵ	336	- 034	.336	2	.853		
H.	(I	.753	915	.753	-1.2	.784		
19.	4	. <b>67</b> n	421	.670	-1.7	.715		
12.	1	. 693	и14	<u>, 6И4</u>	=1.4	<u>.655</u>		
14.	א	.532	🗕 🖕 በማ4	. 332	4	.595		
10.	9	.431	.001	. 461	.2	,534		
18.	ra, ^	. 493	4011	. 193	1	. 474		
22.	<b>(1</b>	.334	พิลิจ	.334	-1.6	. 42?		

/\*

Copy available to EDC does and person fully legible reproduction

124

an a start and a start of the sta

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE

		(iP)	ΤΣΕΛΕ ΤΗ	ADSEER	FUNCTION	
HLIN	NG.E	18	FOCAL P	POSITIO	- 560.0 MICRONS	<b>i</b>
J.C.		03-1	3-75			
	ZINC	SULFIDE	55N+63	10-5457-	6 CUATED	
	5-34	STANDAR	D CELL-	2.H" 50,	APERTURE	
	6 CEC	SHEES .	TH AXIS	5 54	SCAN	
FREU	TR	TI	14 <b>T</b> F (	J PHASE	MTFC	· ·
. 61	1.000		1.000	• <del>R</del>	1.044	
2.0	. 971	· . 003	.971	-,2	,973	
4.0	. 497	- 000	,HO7	= , 14 *	.905	
 6.P	. 867	. 46.4	.807	.6	.823	
б.И	.725	.Ø15	.725	1.5	.752	
10.0	.657	.013	.657	1.1	.695	
15.4	, 593	. 441	.593	. 1	.644	
14.0	.521	009	.521	-1.0	.582	
 16.0	. 439	012	.439	-1.6	,509	
 18.0	.361	POR	,361	=1,0	,436	
20.0	.361	. 000	.361	. 0	.384	

1+

÷.

# Copy invailable to DDC does and permit fully legible reproduction

			UH.	TICAL TH	ANSFER	FUNCTION		
	RUN	N0.=	24	POCAL P	USITION	* 520,0 41	CRONS	
	J.C.	•	03-1	3-75				
		ZINC	SHEFIDE	MSN-61	0-5457-	6 COATED		
• •		S=3A	STANJAR	D CELL-2	.8" .50,	APERTURE		
		90 DE	GREES	ON AXI	S SA	SCAN		
	FKFQ	TR	LI	MTFU	PHASE	MTFC		
	• 11	1.423	.040	1.000	• 11	1,000		
	2.1	. 471	n 1 4	.971	.2	.973		
	4.0	400	200	699	.0.	. 997		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,	3.V	. 49.0	= 9:19	0.809	• • h	.826		
	3 . M	.727	017	.727	-1.4	,754		
	10.0	. 555	+.417	.659	-1.5	.697		
	12.4	, 745	- " NNB	.595	<b>→ ,</b> 8	.645		
	14.1	. 523	- 031	.523	1	. 5918		
	16.V	. 45.1	- 0.00		P	.528	وروار الله اللكانية والمحود والمحود والمحاومة	فالمسادي وارباري والمسارر بنوين
	19.0	. 386	003	.386	-,5	,465		
	20.0	. 325	000	.325	••0	.410		

医门口的

/\*

			OPT	ICAL THA	NSFFR	FUNCTION		
	RUN	NG,=	44	FOCAL PO	SITION	= 520.0	HICRONS'	
	J.C.		03-13	-75				
		ZINC	SULFIDE	MSN-619	-5457-	2 COATE	0	
		S-3A	STANDARD	FELL-2.	8" 50.	APERTUR	ε	
		Ø DEG	REES	UN AXIS	SA	SCAN		
	FREQ	ŤŔ	Γī	MTFU	PHASE	MTFC		
	.0	1,000		1.000	. 19	1.000		
	2.0	.973	.006	.973	.3	975		
	4.0	. 904	. 407	,994	. 4	.912		
• • • •	6.0		.001	.816	.1	.833		
	6.A	.732	002	.732	2	,758		
	10.0	.658	949	.658	<b>≈</b> . ₩	,696		
	12.0	.590	. 946	.590	.5	.649		
	14.0	.520	.007	.520	.8	,581		
	16.0	. 447	- 001	. 447	2	.517		
•• •	18.0	. 377	-,018	. 377	-2.7	.455		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	24.4	.318	028	.319	-5.2	493		

/+

		net	ICAL TH	INSFER	FUNCTION	
RL	IN NO.#	34	FUCAL PO	SITIO	= 500.0 MICRO	NS
J.	.C.	03-13	5+75		•	•
<b></b>	ZINC	SULFIDE	MSN-616	-5457-	2 COATED	
	8-34	STANDARD	CELL-2	8" \$Q.	APERTURE	الي محاجد و بعاده مواد و در در مانغان و وي و در در مانغان و و و در در مان و بعد مانه و . - الله محاجد و بعاده مواد و در در مانغان و و و در در مانغان و و و و در و و در و و و و و و و و و و و
	90 DF	GREES	ON AXIS	5 5Å	SCAN	
FRE	10 TR	TI	MTFU	PHASE	HTFC	•
• 14	1.000	<b>.</b> 0KN	1.000	.0	1.699	
2.1	.973	.006	973	.3	.975	
4.0	.904	.006	.904	.3	.912	
6.И		- 000 -	.818	1	.834	منهم ومسيعة بالترجي الأشب فالتكريب معامرتهما والمريومات والرواد
8.0	.737	- 028	.737	- 6	.764	
10.0	<b>.</b> 670	000	.670	- 8	709	
12.0	.513	004	613	- 4	.665	
14.0	.553	. 401	.553	.1	.619	
16.0	.486	. 942	486	.2	.563	
18.0	.415	017	.415	•.3	.500	
20.0	.348	- 917	.343	=1.2	. 4 4 0	
/*		-	-		• -	









iff.

🕸 1. 1. GOVERNMENT PRENETING OFFICE: 1975 - 657-630/182