

12

# THE STRUCTURE AND RECALL OF NARRATIVE PROSE

DONALD R. GENTNER

ADA 017093

CENTER FOR HUMAN INFORMATION PROCESSING  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, California 92093

Sponsored by  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
and the Office of Naval Research  
ARPA Order No. 2284

October, 1975



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

<b>ADDITION for</b>		
<b>WTS</b>	White Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>BS</b>	Buff Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>UNANNOUNCED</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>JUSTIFICATION</b> .....		
.....		
<b>BY</b> .....		
<b>DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES</b>		
<b>Dist. AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL</b>		
<b>A</b>		

# The Structure and Recall of Narrative Prose

Donald R. Gentner

Contract Number: N00014-75-C-0757

ARPA Order Number: 2284

Task Authority Identification Number: NR 156-360

Program Code Number: 5W10

Effective Date of Contract: 1 February, 1975

Contract Expiration Date: 31 January, 1976


Amount of Contract: \$106,509

Organization: University of California, San Diego

Principal Investigator: Donald A. Norman

[Phone (714) 452-2947]

Scientific Officer: Dr. Marshall Farr, Director  
Personnel and Training Research Programs  
Office of Naval Research



The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Office of Naval Research, or the U.S. Government.

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Office of Naval Research of the Department of Defense and was monitored by ONR under Contract No. N00014-75-C-0757.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) The Structure and Recall of Narrative Prose,		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
6. AUTHOR(s) Donald R. Gentner		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. Donald A. Norman Center for Human Information Processing University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0757, <i>ARPA Order-2</i>
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel and Training Research Programs Office of Naval Research (Code 458) Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-156-360
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE October, 1975
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13 (2) ZIP.
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Memory, cognitive psychology, recall, prose recall, learning, text recall, understanding prose, prose structure.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report describes a study of the recall of narrative prose. Subjects listened to repeated presentations of a tape recording of two pages from a history book, with verbal recalls collected after each presentation. The elements of the passage were organized according to a serial structure based on order in the passage and a story grammar structure based on causal relations. While the serial structure at first influenced which elements of the passage were remembered, as the subjects remembered more of the passage, the story grammar structure became the dominant influence over the elements remembered on subsequent recalls.		

408267 ✓

VB

## THE STRUCTURE AND RECALL OF NARRATIVE PROSE

Donald R. Gentner  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, California 92093

Learning a complex story often requires several readings. Each re-reading of the passage adds to the overall understanding, with the new knowledge picked up at a reading being added to the general semantic structure of the previously acquired knowledge. Recent developments in the study of semantic memory (Norman, Rumelhart, and the LNR research group, 1975; Rumelhart, 1975) suggest ways of investigating how a person's understanding of a prose passage develops with successive exposures to the passage. In this study, subjects listened several times to a tape recording of a prose passage, and their developing knowledge was followed by collecting recalls after each presentation of the prose passage.

In the past few years, a number of studies have been published on the organization and recall of prose. Generally they have been concerned with descriptive prose, and the structures proposed for the organization of prose have been simple hierarchies. Meyer and McConkie (1973, see also Meyer, 1975) analyzed descriptive passages about nuclear reactors and parakeets into a hierarchy of "idea units" and found that idea units higher in the hierarchy were more frequently recalled than idea units lower in the hierarchy. Frederiksen (1972, 1975) looked at the recall of set relations in prose and reported that most errors were related to the acquisition process, rather than the memory retrieval process. Crothers (1972) has developed a method for characterizing stimuli and scoring responses for recall of descriptive prose involving set relations.

Monk and Kintsch (1974) measured reaction times to answer true-false questions or recognize sentences after reading paragraphs of varying length. They found that the time to correctly respond "true" or recognize an old sentence increased with the length of the paragraph, while the time to correctly respond "false" or reject a new sentence was independent of the length of the paragraph.

Rumelhart (1975) has proposed a grammar for understanding narrative prose and representing the information in a network structure. In the Rumelhart story grammar, stories are decomposed into smaller units, such as settings, episodes, actions, reactions, events, and goals. These smaller units, which may be decomposed further, are interrelated with causal predicates, such as INITIATIVE, MOTIVATE and ALLOW. For example, an episode consists of an event which INITIATES a reaction. (A portion of the structure which is produced when the story grammar is applied to a history text is shown in Figure 1.) The story grammar provides a useful tool for investigating the developing knowledge structures of the learner. This paper examines recall of narrative prose for evidence of underlying structures such as those proposed by the story grammar.

#### METHOD

The text used in this study was a passage about two pages in length (around 925 words) from Morison's The Oxford History of the American People (1965, pp. 638-640). A text from a history book was chosen because of its similarity to materials used in real educational situations and because it could be analyzed with the story grammar which had been developed to describe narratives of human

actions. A portion of the text is quoted in the results section of this paper. The text is a self-contained description of General Grant's early forays in the West: The capture of Forts Henry and Donelson and the battle of Shiloh.

The experiment consisted of playing a tape recording of the text four times and collecting verbal recalls after each presentation of the text. The subjects were first told that they would be listening to a tape recording of approximately two pages from a history book, that the recording would be played four times, and that after the recording was finished each time they would be asked to tell all they could remember. The tape recording, which lasted about six minutes, was then played for the subject and when it finished, the subject was asked to tell everything he could remember from the passage. The subject indicated that he could not remember anything else, the passage was played again, and the subject was then asked to tell all that he could remember, including things that he had mentioned earlier. This sequence was repeated for a total of four trials, where a trial consists of a presentation of the tape recorded passage and the subsequent recall.

The subjects used in this experiment were 13 undergraduate students at the University of California, San Diego who had volunteered for the experiment in return for class credit or payment. No subject had any unusual knowledge or interest in the American Civil War.

## RESULTS

### Method of Analysis

In order to analyze the recalls, the Morison text, consisting of 47 sentences, was divided into 143 "facts." These "facts" correspond to the units described by the story grammar such as settings, actions, consequences, goals, and plans. Although the method of dividing the text into facts was not completely systematic, in general a fact corresponds to a phrase or simple sentence in the original text. Many of the facts could be decomposed into simpler propositions, but that was not necessary for this analysis. Examples of several facts can be seen as the numbered statements in Figure 1.

### The Story Grammar Structure

The facts derived from the Morison text were structured according to the story grammar. A small portion of the story grammar structure is shown in Figure 1. This structure represents some of the facts derived from the following section of text:

In the summer of 1861 the Confederates began to throw up earthworks at various points along the Mississippi where the old Spanish forts used to choke down-river trade. In order to force a passage past them, J. B. Eads, an engineer of St. Louis, constructed a fleet of river gunboats, each with a partially armored casemate shaped like a mansard roof, and a flat-bottomed hull.

Less than 50 miles up the Ohio from Cairo the Tennessee and Cumberland rivers offered parallel routes into Tennessee, Alabama, and Mississippi. Grant observed that Forts Henry and Donelson, the Confederate earthworks






Figure 1. A portion of the story grammar structure for the passage.

which closed these rivers, were the twin keys to the rebel West. Their capture would open a navigable waterway into the enemy's center and drive in his flanks. On 30 January 1862 Grant, after consulting with Commodore Andrew H. Foote, commanding the gunboat flotilla, obtained Halleck's reluctant consent to try, and was furnished with the necessary transports and gunboats. (Morison, 1965, page 639.)

The complete story grammar structure for the passage contains 143 facts interconnected with about 200 predicates or relations: 131 of these facts are explicitly contained within the text, and 12 "implicit facts," while not explicitly mentioned in the passage, were required by the story grammar. For example, the story grammar requires that all activities, such as "Eads constructed a fleet of gunboats," be motivated by plans. I therefore added the plan, "North decided to use gunboats," as an implicit fact even though it was not explicitly mentioned in the Morison text (implicit facts are shown in parentheses in Figure 1). For any structure such as the story grammar structure, we can count the facts which are neighbors of any given fact. A neighboring fact is one which is connected to the given fact by a single relation or predicate. For example in the structure shown in Figure 1, fact 7 has three neighbors (facts 6, 8, and 11), while fact 8 has only one neighbor (fact 7).

### The Serial Structure

The 143 facts were also structured in a simple linear chain according to the serial order in which the facts occurred in the original passage. This is referred to as the serial structure. Except for the initial and final facts, all facts in the serial structure have two neighbors. Note that the serial structure contains the same implicit and explicit facts included in the story grammar analysis. The implicit facts were added in a position to produce the most acceptable narrative.

### Scoring of Recalls

For each recall of each subject, I noted whether each fact was absent, part-correct, or correct in the recall. A fact was scored as correct if the recall contained a substantially correct paraphrase of the fact. A fact was scored as part-correct if some of the material in the fact was mentioned in the recall (i.e., the recall might contain a partially correct or incorrect statement of the fact). If the information in the fact was not mentioned at all, it was scored as absent.

As an example consider the fact: "The Confederates began to throw up earthworks along the Mississippi." The fact was scored as correct in this recall: "...it talked about how the Confederate forces had taken over most of the Mississippi by sort of throwing up earthworks..." The fact was scored as part-correct in this recall: "... and the Confederates were starting to move in along the Mississippi...."

I thus obtained the following data for analysis:

- a) For each subject on each of four trials, each fact scored as absent, part-correct, or correct.
- b) A story grammar structure interconnecting the 143 facts.
- c) A serial structure interconnecting the 143 facts.

### Recalls

The average performance of subjects shows a steady, almost constant improvement on successive trials (Figure 2). The variation among subjects, however, was surprisingly large. Combining the four trials, the worst subject got 14 facts correct and 56 facts part-correct, while the best subject got 251 facts correct and 90 facts part-correct. A fact was given a score of two if it was correct, one if it was part-correct, and zero otherwise. Thus the range of scores (combining all trials) was from 84 to 592.

To get a detailed look at how neighbors in the story grammar structure affect the recall of facts, I looked at each of the first three trials of a subject, classified each fact as absent, part-correct, or correct, and then noted the score for that same fact on the subject's next trial. All scores were combined to yield a score on trial n+1 for facts which were absent, part-correct, or correct on trial n, averaged over values of n from one to three.





Figure 2. Overall performance of the subjects on the recall task.

First consider the effect of the complete story grammar structure. Neighbors in this analysis are called a priori story grammar neighbors, since the number of neighbors in the complete story grammar structure are counted whether or not the subject has actually recalled them on any particular trial.<sup>1</sup> The number of a priori story grammar neighbors appears to have little, if any, effect on the subsequent recall of facts (the left graph of Figure 3; the slopes of the regression lines shown in Figure 3 are given in Table 1).<sup>2</sup>

In contrast to the number of a priori neighbors in the complete story grammar structure, the remaining graphs in Figure 3 show the effect of the number of neighbors which the subject actually mentioned (as part-correct or correct) on trial  $n$ . The center graph of Figure 3 shows the average scores on trial  $n+1$  for facts which were absent, part-correct, or correct on trial  $n$  as a function of the number of story grammar neighbors of that fact which were mentioned on trial  $n$ . There is a clear positive effect. No matter what the initial status of the fact, as the number of its story grammar neighbors mentioned on a trial increases, the fact is more likely to be remembered on the next trial.

One question is whether alternative structures might also show an effect comparable to that of the story grammar structure. A simple alternative is the serial structure, linking the facts together in a linear chain according to their serial order in the Morison text. Here, of course, each fact has two neighbors, except for the initial



Number of a priori Story Grammar Neighbors.

Number of Story Grammar Neighbors Mentioned on Trial n.

Number of Serial Neighbors Mentioned on Trial n.

Figure 3. The effect of neighbors (on trial n) in the story grammar and serial structures on the average score for a fact (on the trial n+1). Scoring: Absent = 0, Part-correct = 1, Correct = 2. C<sub>n</sub>, P-C<sub>n</sub>, and A<sub>n</sub> indicate facts which were respectively correct, part-correct, and absent on trial n. The vertical bars indicate ± 1 standard error.

Table 1

Effect of Neighbors (on Trial n) on Average Score (on Trial n+1)

## Linear Regression Analysis

Independent Variable	Status of Fact on Trial <u>n</u>					
	Absent		Part-Correct		Correct	
	Slope	<u>t</u> <sup>a</sup>	Slope	<u>t</u>	Slope	<u>t</u>
Number of <u>a priori</u> Story						
Grammar Neighbors	.011	1.53	.032	1.68*	.024	1.34
Number of Story Grammar						
Neighbors Mentioned						
on Trial <u>n</u>	.108	6.54***	.173	4.20***	.105	2.69**
Number of Serial Neighbors						
Mentioned on Trial <u>n</u>	.172	8.86***	.125	2.94**	.080	2.04*
Number of Both Story						
Grammar and Serial						
Neighbors Mentioned						
on Trial <u>n</u>	.230	7.42***	.148	2.42**	.159	3.16***
Number of Story Grammar						
but not Serial						
Neighbors Mentioned						
on Trial <u>n</u>	.061	3.38***	.141	3.30***	.043	1.18
Number of Serial but not						
Story Grammar Neighbors						
Mentioned on Trial <u>n</u>	.131	5.36***	.069	1.42	-.018	-.43

<sup>a</sup>The t values test the hypothesis that the slope is n greater than zero.

\* $p < .05$

\*\* $p < .01$

\*\*\* $p < .001$



and final facts. The graph on the right side of Figure 3 shows the effect of the number of serial neighbors mentioned on trial  $n$ , on the average score for a fact on trial  $n+1$ . There is also a clear effect here, comparable to that for the story grammar structure. Of course there is considerable overlap between the story grammar and serial structures, as we should expect if the syntax of the passage (the serial structure) reflects the semantics of the passage (the story grammar structure). In this particular case, 30% of the facts which are neighbors in the story grammar structure are also neighbors in the serial structure, while 38% of the facts which are neighbors in the serial structure are also neighbors in the story grammar structure. The question still remains, however, as to what extent our effects reflect the story grammar structure, and to what extent they simply reflect the serial order of the facts in the passage.

To separate the effect of the story grammar and serial structures, a multiple linear regression analysis of the data was carried out. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2. When a fact is absent on trial  $n$ , serial neighbors mentioned on trial  $n$  are almost three times as effective as story grammar neighbors in improving the recall for that fact on trial  $n+1$ . When a fact is part-correct or correct on trial  $n$ , however, story grammar neighbors mentioned on trial  $n$  are more effective than serial neighbors in improving recall on trial  $n+1$ .

The effects of the story grammar and serial structures were also compared in a somewhat different manner by separating the neighbors of each fact into three groups: first, those which were

Table 2

Comparison of Effect of Story Grammar and Serial Neighbors  
 (on Trial n) on Average Score (on Trial n+1)  
 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Independent Variable	Status of Fact on Trial <u>n</u>					
	<u>Absent</u>		<u>Part-Correct</u>		<u>Correct</u>	
	Slope	<u>t</u> <sup>a</sup>	Slope	<u>t</u>	Slope	<u>t</u>
Number of Story Grammar Neighbors Mentioned on Trial <u>n</u>	.056	3.10***	.149	3.45***	.094	2.36**
Number of Serial Neighbors Mentioned on Trial <u>n</u>	.143	6.69***	.077	1.75*	.063	1.58

<sup>a</sup>The t values test the hypothesis that the slope is not greater than zero.

\*p<.05

\*\*p<.01

\*\*\*p<.001

neighbors in both the story grammar and serial structures; second, those which were neighbors in the story grammar structure but not in the serial structure (story grammar-only); third, those which were neighbors in the serial structure but not in the story grammar structure (serial-only). The results of this analysis are shown in Table 1. Again we see that the serial-only neighbors have a stronger positive effect on the subsequent recall of absent facts. However, while both serial-only and story grammar-only neighbors have positive effects on the subsequent recall of part-correct facts, the story grammar-only neighbors have the stronger effect. Finally, while the story grammar-only neighbors have a positive effect on the subsequent recall of correct facts, serial-only neighbors have no effect.<sup>3</sup>

#### DISCUSSION

In this study I have analyzed a natural narrative prose passage into two structures: a serial structure based on serial order in the passage, and a story grammar structure based primarily on causal relations within the passage. The results show that these structures are important for the memory and recall of the passage.

If we look at some particular fact on a given trial, the number of neighbors of that fact (according to either the serial or story grammar structure) which the subject has also mentioned will influence the recall of that fact on the next trial. In general, as a fact has more neighbors mentioned, it is more likely that the fact will be remembered correctly, and less likely that it will be forgotten, on the next trial. However, there are important differences in the

effects of the serial and story grammar structures. When a fact is absent on trial n, the number of neighbors in both the serial and story grammar structures mentioned on trial n affects the recall of that fact on trial n+1, but the number of neighbors in the serial structure has the greater effect. When a fact is partially-correct on trial n, again neighbors in both structures mentioned on trial n affect the recall of that fact on trial n+1, but now the number of story grammar neighbors mentioned has the greater effect. Finally, if a fact is correct on recall n, its recall on trial n+1 is influenced only by the number of its neighbors in the story grammar structure also mentioned on trial n; the number of its neighbors in the serial structure mentioned on trial n does not have any effect.

This pattern of results has a simple explanation. On first hearing the tape recording, the subjects perceive the passage as a collection of sentences or facts strung together in serial order, but as portions of the passage begin to "make sense," they perceive and organize the passage in a manner closer to its underlying meaning structure: the serial order loses its importance. I found that only the neighbors in the story grammar structure actually mentioned by the subject on one recall will affect performance on the subsequent recall. The structure inherent in a prose passage has no effect unless it is present in the subject's memory for that passage.

## References

- Crothers, E. J. Memory structure and the recall of discourse. In R. O. Freedle & J. B. Carroll (Eds.), Language comprehension and the acquisition of knowledge. Washington, D.C.: Winston, 1972.
- Frederiksen, C. H. Effects of task-induced cognitive operations on comprehension and memory processes. In R. O. Freedle & J. B. Carroll (Eds.), Language comprehension and the acquisition of knowledge. Washington, D.C.: Winston, 1972.
- Frederiksen, C. H. Acquisition of semantic information from discourse: Effects of repeated exposures. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1975, 14, 158-169.
- Monk, D., & Kintsch, W. Memory search I: Paragraph memory and the retrieval of information. In W. Kintsch (Ed.), The representation of meaning in memory. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1974.
- Meyer, B. J. F. The organization of prose and its effects on memory. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1975.
- Meyer, B. J. F., & McConkie, G. W. What is recalled after hearing a passage? Journal of Educational Psychology, 1973, 65, 109-117.
- Morison, S. E. The Oxford history of the American people. New York: Oxford University Press, 1965.
- Norman, D. A., Rumelhart, D. E., & the LNR Research Group. Explorations in cognition. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman, 1975.
- Rumelhart, D. E. Notes on a schema for stories. In D. G. Bobrow & A. M. Collins (Eds.), Representation and understanding: Studies in cognitive science. New York: Academic Press, 1975.

## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>The straight lines shown in Figure 3 are the least-squares fit to the data, with the points weighted in proportion to the number of cases they represent. For example in Figure 3 there were 1891 cases of an absent fact with one neighbor in the story grammar structure, but only 623 cases of an absent fact with two neighbors. Therefore these data were weighted in the ratio of 1891 to 623 in determining the least-squares-fit line.

While the maximum number of neighbors in the serial structure is two, facts can have up to seventeen neighbors in the story grammar structure. In order to simplify the graphs and make a fairer comparison between story grammar and serial neighbors, in the center graph of Figure 3 data for more than two story grammar neighbors mentioned (5% of the total data) have been grouped with data for two neighbors mentioned. Similarly, in the left graph in Figure 3, data for more than five a priori story grammar neighbors have been grouped with data for five neighbors. This procedure does not materially affect the results or conclusions in either case.

<sup>2</sup>Of course, the number of a priori neighbors is not completely independent of the number of neighbors actually mentioned by a subject, since for instance a fact with five neighbors in the complete structure has a greater potential number of mentioned neighbors than a fact with only one neighbor in the complete structure.

<sup>3</sup>This paper reports the effect of the number of mentioned neighbors (on trial n) on the average score for a fact (on trial n+1). I have also analyzed the data using other methods of scoring facts, looking at the effect of the number of correct neighbors, and assigning different weights to correct and part-correct neighbors. These analyses all yield results essentially identical to those presented here.

# Distribution List

## Navy

- 4 Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director  
Personnel and Training Research Programs  
Office of Naval Research (Code 458)  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
495 Summer Street  
Boston, MA 02210  
ATTN: Research Psychologist
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
1030 East Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
ATTN: E.E. Gloye
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
536 South Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60605
- 1 Office of Naval Research  
Area Office  
207 West 24th Street  
New York, NY 10011
- 6 Director  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, DC 20390
- 12 Defense Documentation Center  
Cameron Station, Building 5  
5010 Duke Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Special Assistant for Manpower  
OASN (M&RA)  
Pentagon, Room 4E794  
Washington, DC 20350
- 1 LCDR Charles J. Theisen, Jr., MSC, USN  
4024  
Naval Air Development Center  
Warminster, PA 18974
- 1 Chief of Naval Reserve  
Code 3055  
New Orleans, LA 70146
- 1 Chairman  
Behavioral Science Department  
Naval Command & Management Division  
U.S. Naval Academy  
Luce Hall  
Annapolis, MD 21402
- 1 Chief of Naval Education & Training  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508  
ATTN: CAPT Bruce Stone, USN
- 1 Navy Personnel Research and  
Development Center  
Code 9041  
San Diego, CA 92152  
ATTN: Dr. J. D. Fletcher
- 1 Dr. Lee Miller  
Naval Air Systems Command  
AIR-413E  
Washington, DC 20361
- 1 Commanding Officer  
U.S. Naval Amphibious School  
Coronado, CA 92155
- 1 Mr. Arnold Rubinstein  
Naval Material Command (NAVMAT 03424)  
Room 820, Crystal Plaza #6  
Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Commanding Officer  
Naval Medical Neuropsychiatric  
Research Unit  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Director, Navy Occupational Task  
Analysis Program (NOTAP)  
Navy Personnel Program Support  
Activity  
Building 1304, Bolling AFB  
Washington, DC 20336
- 1 Dr. Richard J. Niehaus  
Office of Civilian Manpower Management  
Code 06A  
Washington, DC 20390

1 Department of the Navy  
Office of Civilian Manpower Management  
Code 263  
Washington, DC 20390

1 Chief of Naval Operations (OP-987E)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, DC 20350

1 Superintendent  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940  
ATTN: Library (Code 2124)

1 Commander, Navy Recruiting Command  
4015 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22203  
ATTN: Code 015

1 Mr. George N. Graine  
Naval Ship Systems Command  
SHIPS 047C12  
Washington, DC 20362

1 Chief of Naval Technical Training  
Naval Air Station Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054  
ATTN: Dr. Norman J. Kerr

1 Commanding Officer  
Service School Command  
U.S. Naval Training Center  
San Diego, CA 92133  
ATTN: Code 3030

1 Dr. William L. Maloy  
Principal Civilian Advisor  
for Education & Training  
Naval Training Command, Code 01A  
Pensacola, FL 32508

1 Dr. Alfred F. Smode, Staff Consultant  
Training Analysis & Evaluation Group  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Code N-00T  
Orlando, FL 32813

1 Dr. Hanns H. Wolff  
Technical Director (Code N-2)  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

1 Chief of Naval Training Support  
Code N-21  
Building 45  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508

1 Dr. Robert French  
Naval Undersea Center  
San Diego, CA 92132

1 LCDR C. F. Logan, USN  
F-14 Management System  
COMFITAEWWINGPAC  
Nas Miramar, Cal. 92145

1 Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

5 Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152  
ATTN: Code 10

1 D. M. Gragg, CAPT, MC, USN  
Head, Educational Programs Development  
Department  
Naval Health Sciences Education and  
Training Command  
Bethesda, MD 20014

#### Army

1 Headquarters  
U.S. Army Administration Center  
Personnel Administration Combat  
Development Activity  
ATCP-HRO  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249

1 Armed Forces Staff College  
Norfolk, VA 23511  
ATTN: Library

1 Director of Research  
U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit  
Building 2422 Morade Street  
Fort Knox, KY 40121  
ATTN: Library

1 Commandant  
United States Army Infantry School  
ATTN: ATSH-DET  
Fort Benning, GA 31905



1 Deputy Commander  
U.S. Army Institute of Administration  
Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216  
ATTN: EA

1 Dr. Frank J. Harris  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. Ralph Dusek  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Mr. Edmund F. Fuchs  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA

1 Dr. Leon H. Nawrocki  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. J.E. Uhlener, Technical Director  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. Joseph Ward  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 HQ USAREUR & 7th Army  
ODCSOPS  
USAREUR Director of GED  
APO New York 09403

Air Force

1 Research Branch  
AF/DPMYAR  
Randolph AFB, TX 78148

1 Dr. G.A. Eckstrand (AFHRL/AS)  
Wright-Patterson AFB  
Ohio 45433

1 Dr. Ross L. Morgan (AFHRL/AST)  
Wright-Patterson AFB  
Ohio 45433

1 AFHRL/DOJN  
Stop #63  
Lackland AFB, TX 78236

1 Dr. Martin Rockway (AFHRL/TT)  
Lowry AFB  
Colorado 80230

1 Major P.J. DeLeo  
Instructional Technology Branch  
AF human Resources Laboratory  
Lowry AFB, CO 80230

1 AFOSR/NL  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Commandant  
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine  
Aeromedical Library (SUL-4)  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Dr. Sylvia R. Mayer (MCIT)  
Headquarters Electronic Systems Division  
LG Hanscom Field  
Bedford, MA 01730

1 CAPT Jack Thorpe, USAF  
Flying Training Division (HRL)  
Williams AFB, AZ 85224

1 AFHRL/PE  
Stop 63  
Lackland AFB, TX 78236

## Marine Corps

- 1 Mr. E.A. Dover  
Manpower Measurement Unit (Code MPI)  
Arlington Annex, Room 2413  
Arlington, VA 20380
- 1 Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington, DC 20380
- 1 Director, Office of Manpower Utilization  
Headquarters, Marine Corps (Code MPU)  
MCB (Building 2009)  
Quantico, VA 22134
- 1 Dr. A.L. Slafkosky  
Scientific Advisor (Code RD-1)  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Washington, DC 20380
- 1 Chief, Academic Department  
Education Center  
Marine Corps Development and  
Education Command  
Marine Corps Base  
Quantico, VA 22134

## Coast Guard

- 1 Mr. Joseph J. Cowan, Chief  
Psychological Research Branch (G-P-1/62)  
U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters  
Washington, DC 20590

## Other DOD

- 1 Lt. Col. Henry L. Taylor, USAF  
Military Assistant for Human Resources  
OAD (F&LS) ODDR&E  
Pentagon, Room 3D129  
Washington, DC 20301
- 1 Mr. William J. Stormer  
DOD Computer Institute  
Washington Navy Yard, Building 175  
Washington, DC 20374
- 1 Col. Austin W. Kibler  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
Human Resources Research Office  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

- 1 Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr.  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
Human Resources Research Office  
1400 Wilson Boulevard, Room 625  
Arlington, VA 22209

- 1 Helga L. Yeich  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
Manpower Management Office  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

## Other Government

- 1 Dr. Eric McWilliams, Program Manager  
Technology and Systems, TIE  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550
- 1 Dr. Andrew R. Molnar  
Technological Innovations in Education  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550
- 1 Dr. Marshall S. Smith  
Asst Acting Director  
Program on Essential Skills  
National Institute of Education  
Brown Bldg. Rm 815  
19th and M St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20208

## Miscellaneous

- 1 Dr. Scarvia B. Anderson  
Educational Testing Service  
17 Executive Park Drive, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30329
- 1 Dr. John Annett  
The Open University  
Milton Keynes  
Buckinghamshire  
ENGLAND
- 1 Dr. Gerald V. Barrett  
University of Akron  
Department of Psychology  
Akron, OH 44325
- 1 Dr. Bernard M. Bass  
University of Rochester  
Management Research Center  
Rochester, NY 14627

- 1 Dr. David G. Bowers  
University of Michigan  
Institute for Social Research  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106
- 1 Mr. Kenneth M. Bromberg  
Manager - Washington Operations  
Information Concepts, Inc.  
1701 North Fort Myer Drive  
Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Dr. Ronald P. Carver  
School of Education  
University of Missouri - Kansas City  
Kansas City, Missouri 64110
- 1 Century Research Corporation  
4113 Lee Highway  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Allan M. Collins  
Bolt Beranek and Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. H. Peter Dachler  
University of Maryland  
Department of Psychology  
College Park, MD 20742
- 1 Dr. Rene' V. Dawis  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Ruth Day  
Yale University  
Department of Psychology  
New Haven, CT 06520
- 1 ERIC  
Processing and Reference Facility  
4833 Rugby Avenue  
Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman  
American Institutes for Research  
Foxhall Square  
3301 New Mexico Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20016
- 1 Dr. Robert Glaser, Director  
University of Pittsburgh  
Learning Research & Development Center  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- 1 Dr. Henry J. Hamburger  
University of California  
School of Social Sciences  
Irvine, CA 92664
- 1 Dr. Richard S. Hatch  
Decision Systems Associates, Inc.  
11428 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852
- 1 Dr. M.D. Havron  
Human Sciences Research, Inc.  
7710 Old Spring House Road  
West Gate Industrial Park  
McLean, VA 22101
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 3  
P.O. Box 5787  
Presidio of Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 4, Infantry  
P.O. Box 2036  
Fort Benning, GA 31905
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 5, Air Defense  
P.O. Box 6057  
Fort Bliss, TX
- 1 Dr. Lawrence B. Johnson  
Lawrence Johnson & Associates, Inc.  
200 S. Street, N.W., Suite 502  
Washington, DC 20009
- 1 Dr. Arnold F. Kanarick  
Honeywell Inc.  
2600 Ridge Parkway  
Minneapolis, Minn. 55413
- 1 Dr. Milton S. Katz  
MITRE Corporation  
Westgate Research Center  
McLean, VA 22101
- 1 Dr. Roger A. Kaufman  
United States International Univ.  
Graduate School of Leadership and  
Human Behavior  
Elliott Campus  
8655 E. Pomerada Road  
San Diego, CA 92124

- 1 Dr. Steven W. Keele  
University of Oregon  
Department of Psychology  
Eugene, OR 97403
- 1 Dr. David Klahr  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- 1 LCol CRJ Lafleur, Director  
Personnel Applied Research  
National Defence HQ  
Ottawa, Canada K1A 0K 2
- 1 Dr. Alma E. Lantz  
University of Denver  
Denver Research Institute  
Industrial Economics Division  
Denver, CO 80210
- 1 Dr. Robert R. Mackie  
Human Factors Research, Inc.  
6780 Cortona Drive  
Santa Barbara Research Park  
Goleta, CA 93017
- 1 Mr. Brian McNally  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08540
- 1 Mr. A. J. Pesch, President  
Eclotech Associates, Inc.  
P.O. Box 178  
North Stonington, CT 06359
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petrullo  
2431 North Edgewood Street  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Joseph W. Rigney  
University of Southern California  
Behavioral Technology Laboratories  
3717 South Grand  
Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosentaum, Chairman  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. George E. Rowland  
Rowland and Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 61  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
- 1 Dr. Arthur I. Siegel  
Applied Psychological Services  
404 East Lancaster Avenue  
Wayne, PA 19087
- 1 Dr. Richard Snow  
Stanford University  
School of Education  
Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. C. Harold Stone  
1428 Virginia Avenue  
Glendale, CA 91202
- 1 Mr. Dennis J. Sullivan  
725 Benson Way  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
- 1 Dr. Benton J. Underwood  
Northwestern University  
Department of Psychology  
Evanston, IL 60201
- 1 Carl R. Vest, Ph.D.  
Battelle  
Memorial Institute  
Washington Operations  
2030 - M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036
- 1 Dr. Robert Vineberg  
HumRRO Western Division  
27857 Berwick Drive  
Carmel, CA 93921
- 1 Dr. David J. Weiss  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Anita West  
Denver Research Institute  
University of Denver  
Denver, CO 80210
- 1 Dr. Kenneth N. Wexler  
University of California  
School of Social Sciences  
Irvine, CA 92664