AD-A014 267

3000-HP ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. VOLUME V AIRCRAFT TIEDOWN TESTING

G. F. Gardner, et al

United Technologies Corporation

Prepared for:

Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory

July 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:



254090

وه بر دهمره

mare contractifications at a threaden as the contraction of the second states.

USAAMRDL-TR- 73-98E



153

3000-HP ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM Volume V - Aircraft Tiedown Testing



Prepared for

•

EUSTIS DIRECTORATE

U. S. ARMY AIR MOBILITY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY Fort Eustis, Va. 23604

EUSTIS DIRECTORATE POSITION STATEMENT

This report is one of six volumes of the final report under this contract. The objective of this program is to conduct research on the feasibility of a high-reduction-ratio roller gear transmission of 3000 horsepower. This report covers the aircraft tiedown testing of the roller gear transmission. The roller gear transmission successfully completed to 50-hour tiedown test; however, posttest ultrasonic inspection showed cracks in the second-row pinion rollers, emanating from the root of the electron beam welds. The grease-lubricated tail and intermediate gearboxes piggybacked on this program as an economy measure performed satisfactorily. In the interest of safety, the aircraft flight tests were deleted and replaced by redesign and fabrication of modified hardware for reliability bench testing.

The technical monitor for this contract was Mr. James Gomez, Technology Application Division.

DISCLAIMERS

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Trade names cited in this report do not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

. 11 |

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM					
REPORT NUMBER 2 SOUT ACCESSION N	D. 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER					
USAMIRDL-TR-73-98E						
TITLE (and Subility)	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE					
3000-HP ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION DEVELOP-						
MENT PROGRAM, Volume V - Aircraft Tiedown	Final Report					
resting	6 PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER Sikorsky Report 61165					
AUTHOR(a)	CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)					
G.F. Gardner	1					
D.O. Alams	DAAJ02-69-C-0042					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10 PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS					
likorsky Aircraft	62207A 1F162207AA72 01					
ivision of United Technologies Corporatio	n 006 EK					
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 PEPORT DATE					
Lustis Directorate	July 1975					
U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory	13 NIINBER OF PAGES					
Fort Lustis, Virginia 23604	15.3					
4 MOKITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office	15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)					
	Unclassified					
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING					
	SCHEDULE					
OMSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio	n unlimited.					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributic Torread for public release; distributic Torread for public release; distributic Torread for the exercect entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent	n unlimited.					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio T DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report.	n unlimited.					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio T DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed embered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report.	n unlimited.					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio TOISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Black 20, II dillerent SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-Volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by black numb	n unlimited. from Report)					
CONSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio T DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed embered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears	n unlimited. from Report)					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) the observed entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers	n unlimited. from Report)					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) the observed entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head	n unlimited. from Report)					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributic JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) the observed entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Attract Rotor Head	n unlimited. from Report) er)					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio proved for public release; distributio DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers AITCRAFT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report procents the results of a bo	<pre>n unlimited. // // // // // // // // // // // // //</pre>					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributic JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head Asstract (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a helprografy. The primary purpose of this teep	n unlimited. from Report) er) er) icopter tie/lown test st was to evaluate a					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (n) the observed entered in Block 20, il different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head AsstRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a he: prograf. The primary purpose of this tes roller gear transmission in an aircraft	n unlimited. from Report) er) er) licopter tie/lown test st was to evaluate a installation and to					
Approved for public release; distribution Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head AsstRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a heliprogram. The primary purpose of this test roller gear transmission in an aircraft : conduct a 50-hour tiedown test at a power	n unlimited. from Report) er) er) icopter tie/lown test st was to evaluate a installation and to r spectrum equivalent					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio JISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) the observed embedded in Block 20, il dillerent SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a heli program. The primary purpose of this tes roller gear transmission in an aircraft : conduct a 50-hour tiedown test at a power to helicopter military usage.	n unlimited. from Report) er) er) icopter tie/lown test st was to evaluate a installation and to r spectrum equivalent					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) this Report) Approved for public release; distributio proved for public release; distributio supplementary notes Volume V of a six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor flead ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a hel program. The primary purpose of this teg roller gear transmission in an aircraft : conduct a 50-hour tiedown test at a power to helicopter military usage.	n unlimited. from Report) er) er) icopter tie/lown test st was to evaluate a installation and to r spectrum equivalent					
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) the Report) Approved for public release; distributio proved for public release; distributio DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a) the observed embedded in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Volume V of a Six-volume report. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Helicopters Gears Transmission Rollers Aircraft Rotor Head Asstract (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb This report presents the results of a hel program. The primary purpose of this tee roller gear transmission in an aircraft : Conduct a 50-hour tiedown test at a power to helicopter military usage.	n unlimited. from Report) erry erry from test st was to evaluate a installation and to r spectrum equivalent 000 hp at 203 rpm to a					

I

ar banda di selamatan ana di seconda s

Statute Street, Street

2

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (M) on Date Entered)

Unclassified

พระปกระชิมสามาร์สุดราชที่ได้รูกหน้าได้สุด

A Statement and the statement

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dere Entered)

General Electric axial-flow turboshaft engines, each producing 1,870 hp at 18,966 rpm. A 19.85:1 roller gear unit is the final reduction stage in the transmission.

AND A REAL AND AND A DAMA OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND

1

The 50-hour tiedown test program was successfully completed. Leardown inspection, however, revealed fatigue cracks in the electron-beam welds used in the fabrication of the roller gear components. Further testing of these cracked components was conducted in a ground test facility during which fracture of a roller gear pinion occurred. This report covers the event up to the fracture of this pinion.

In addition to the testing of the roller gear transmission, performance evaluations were made of the General Electric 7758-GF-16 engines, grease-lubricated tail and intermediate gearboxes used to transmit power to the tail rotor, the rotor head control system, and the general safety and adequacy of the test aircraft.

The tests performed showed that the roller gear transmission is a feasible high-reduction-gearing concept and that the aircraft controls and airframe modifications necessary to adapt the transmission into a integral helicopter subsystem are structurally airworthy.

Unclassified

PREFACE

This report, the fifth of six volumes dealing with the development of a 3,000-hp roller gear development program, covers the helicopter tiedown test of the roller gear transmission. The task was performed under Contract DAAJ02-69-C-0042, Task 1G162207AA7201, for the Eustis Directorate, U. S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia. This work is part of the overall effort to evaluate the roller gear concept when applied to helicopter transmissions.

The work was under the technical direction of Mr. James Gomez of the Eustis Directorate. Principal participants at Sikorsky included Mr. Lester Burroughs, Program Manager; David Adams, Cognizant Test Engineer; Lawrence Russell, Lizabeth Moriarty, Hugh Kearney and Patrick Laffey, Test Engineering; G. F. Gardner and Thomas Lally, Transmission Design; and pilots Stuart Craig, Charles Reine, Byron Graham, and Kurt Cannon.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1000

	Page
PREFACE	1
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	5
	_
LIST OF TABLES	9
INTRODUCTION	11
Transmission Design	12
Transmission Bench Test, 200-Hour Endurance	23
TIEDOWN TEST HELICOPTER	27
Engine System	29
Transmission System	32
Flight Control System	34
Fuselage System	42
STATIC AIRCRAFT TESTING	43
Control System Proof Load	43
liydraulic System Proof Pressure Test	44
Engine Shake Test	45
Drive Shaft Critical Speed Test	46
Static Test Results	47
AIRCRAFT INSTRUMENTATION	49
Engines	49
Roller Gear Main Transmission	52
Grease-Lubricated Tail and Intermediate	
Gearboxes	55
Rotor liead	56
Airframe	57
DATA ACOUISITION	58
Test Log	58
Test Data	58
Tiedown Test Facility	66
Operating Procedure	70
AIRCRAFT TIEDOWN TEST	72
Initial Tests	72
Endurance Test	75

Proceding page blank

Page

TIEDOWN	TLST	RESU	JLTS	5.	• •	٠	~	•	•	,	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	78
	Eng	ine 1	Perf	Eori	nan	ce		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	٠	86
	Rol	ler i	Sear	c T1	ran	SM.	iss	sic	n_	Pe	erf	Eor	:ma	inc	:e	٠	•	•	٠	٠	101
	Ins	pecti	ion	, Ro	511	er	Ge	ear	: 1	ſra	ins	Smj	.89	10	n	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	108
	Gre	ase-]	Lubi	rica	ate	d '	Tai	i1_	ar	nd	Ir	ite	er.	ieć	lia	ate	5				
	G	earbo	DXES	3	• •	٠	٠	٠	•	٠		•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	138
	Con	trol	Sys	ster	n .	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•		٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	152
	Air	framo	3.	•	• •	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	154
CRACK PR	OPAG	ATIO	V TI	CST.	, S	EC	ONI)-F	ROV	* (SE/	\R£	5		•	•	•		•	•	157
	Rol	ler (Gear	r Ba	ack	-te	0-I	Bac	:k	Te	est	t F	'ac	:i]	lit	tv			•	•	157
	Cra	ck Pi	copa	adat	tio	n '	Pes	sts	3									-	-		129
	Cra	ck Pr	ron:	anal	tio	n '	Tos	=+	De	- -	114	÷e	Ţ	•	-	•	Ţ	•	-		120
	CIU		opt	iya.				a 14	1.46	- 47 6		ت م	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	129
CONCLUSI	ONS	•••	• •	•	• •	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	166
RECOMMEN	DATI	ons .	• •	•	• •	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	169
APPENDIX																					
	А.	Frac	cto	ira	phi	c i	And	aly	/si	Ls,	, :	Sec	or	ıd-	-Re	Ŵ					
		Gear	r Ro	511	er	Fra	act	tur	e:	•	•	۲	•	•	•	•	•	4	٠	¢	170

14 A

LIST OF ILLUSTIGATIONS

77.5

-

Figure		Page
1	Helicopter, 5-61 Type HSH-3A	13
2	Cross-Sectional Drawing, Roller Gear Transmission	15
3	Drawing, Roller Gear Drive	17
4	Component Arrangement, Roller Gear Unit .	19
5	Assembled Unit, Roller Gear Drive	20
6	Main Housing, Roller Gear Transmission .	21
7	Gear Timing, First-Row Pinion	22
8	Regenerative Bench Test Facility	24
9	Aircraft General Arrangement	28
10	Engine Installation Drawing	29
11	Engine Installation Views	30
12	Engine Drive Shaft	31
13	Accessory Section, Roller Gear Transmission	32
14	Hultiple Disc Coupling, Tail Drive	33
13	Drive Shaft Arrangement, Roller Gear Aircraft	35
16	Tail and Intermediate Gearboxes, Grease Lubricated	37
17	Primary Servo Spacing Schematic	38
18	Control System Layout Schematic	39
19	Alpha-1 Coupling, Rotor Head Modification	41
20	Aircraft Tiedown Fixtures	42

Figure

li i santi i sa

สระแปลสรรษไปมีสร้างสายชื่อสายสอง ประสง ประสงชาวิละสิปณ์ 2001

Page

21	Proof Load Fixture, motor Fead Control System	43
22	Aircraft Hydraulic System Schematic	44
23	Input Drive Shaft Layout - Critical Spead Analysis	46
24	Vibration Pickup Locations, YT58-GE-16 Engine	51
25	Vibration Pickup Locations, !lain Transmission	52
26	Thermocouple Locations, Main Transmission	53
27	Static Calibration Rig, Main Transmission	55
29	Rotor Head, Roller Gear Aircraft	56
29	Strain Gage Locations, NSH-3A Airframe .	59
30	Aircraft, Tiedown Test	67
31	Data Acquisition, Tiedown Facility Control Room	68
32	Aircraft Cockpit Instruments	69
33	Wind Direction Limits, Aircraft Operation	71
34	Fuel Divider, YT58-GE-16 Engine	72
35	Engine Speed Selector Controls, NSN-3A λircraft	73
36	Roller Gear Tiedown Aircraft	77
37	Chronological Summary, Roller Gear Tiedown Test	78
38	Fuel Control Speed Selector Sensitivity Graph	85
39	Power Versus Power Turbine Temperature .	93
40	Gas Generator Speed Versus Power Turbine Temperature	94

Figure		Page
41	Specific Fuel Consumption Versus Power Turbine Temperature	95
42	Shaft Horsepower Versus Fuel Consumption	96
43	Engine Vibration Graphs	99
44	Loise Level, Roller Gear Transmission	102
45	Lubrication Components, Roller Gear Transmission	107
46	Frosted Roller Surface, First-Row Pinion	103
47	Roller Gear Assembly	109
48	Fretting, Second-Row Gear Assembly	111
49	Wear Pattern, Input Bevel Pinion	112
50	Electron-Deam-Weld Locations, Second-Row Gear	135
51	Ultrasonic Inspection Equipment	136
52	Ultrasonic Inspection Schematic	136
53	Ultrasonic Inspection C-Scans, Second-Row Gear Prior to Test	139
54	Ultrasonic Inspection C-Scans, Second-Row Gear After 50-Hour Test	141
55	Fractured Roller Weld, Second-Row Gear .	143
56	Vibration Spectrum, Intermediate Gearbox	145
5 7	Vibration Spectrum, Tail Gearbox	147
53	Posttest Inspection, Grease-Lubricated Intermediate Gearbox	150
59	Posttest Inspection, Grease-Lubricated Tail Gearbox	151
60	<pre>back-to-Back Roller Gear Test Rig</pre>	159
61	Ultrasonic Inspection C-Scans, Crack Propagation Test	161

William to the second second

.

A THE R. COMPANY AND M. LT. .

Figure		Page
62	Fractured Second-Row Gear	164
63	Primary Fracture, Second-Row Gear	165
A-1	Second-Row Gear Lower Roller Weld Fracture	173

LIST OF TABLES

"这大学,是不是我的名词是不是不是我的的人们是不是我们?""这个我们的?" "这里我想,这个男人不是,这些男人不是,不是你是不是我的人,就是你们就能够给你的你的,你们

1.388

CALC:

2.0

副作用す

1.7. 557.01

147 Nil

Table		Page
1	S-61 Roller Gear Transmission Design Requirements	14
2	Endurance Test Spectrum - 110 Hours	25
3	Endurance Test Spectrum - 90 Hours	25
4	Test Aircraft Description	27
5	Drive Shaft Natural Frequency Test Results	48
6	YT58-GE-16 Engine Performance Ratings at Standard-Day, Sea-Level-Static Conditions	50
7	Thermocouple Nomenclature, Roller Gear Transmission	54
8	5-Hour Break-in Spectrum	74
9	Endurance Test, 10-Hour Cycle	76
10	5-Hour Bryak-in Test Power Levels	81
11	Endurance Test Power Levels	81
12	Test Power Spectrum	83
13	Fuel Control/Speed Selector Sensitivity .	85
14	Operating Data, YT58-GE-16 Engines	87
15	YT58-GE-16 Engine Standardized Performance Data, Number One Engine S/N 297004	89
16	YT58-GE-16 Engine Standardized Performance Data, Number Two Engine S/N 297006	91
17	Figine Component Temperatures	97
18	Engine Oil Sample Analyses	100
19	Engine Oil Consumption Data	100
20	Acoustic Test Results	101

Table		Page
21	Oil Flow and Pressure, Roller Gear Transmission	103
22	Transmission Temperatures, Roller Gearbox	104
23	Vibration Survey, Roller Gear Aircraft ,	105
24	Roller Gear Unit Inspection: 50-Hour Tiedown Test	113
25	Roller Gearbox Inspection: 50-Hour Tiedown Test	119
26	Bearing Temperatures, Intermediate and Tail Gearboxes	144
27	Control Loads Survey	152
28	Airframe Stress Survey	155

INTRODUCTION

The roller gear drive is a combination of the roller transmission, which transmits power through friction in a planetary arrangement of preloaded rollers, and a conventional geared planetary or epicyclic gear train in a compound arrangement. The rollers, which are integral with and located on either side of the gear members, have outside diameters coincident with the gear pitch diameters. In addition to providing support (in place of bearings) for the gear members, they also contribute to the driving power as in the pure roller drive. As a component portion of a helicopter main transmission, the roller gear drive is used in an epicyclic gear reduction in a star system arrangement, and has a ratio of 19.85 to 1.

As part of a long-range investigation of advanced helicopter drive train concepts, the U. S. Army funded a test of a model roller gear drive¹ and a parametric study of the roller gear system.² This work, plus later studies^{3,4} indicated that the roller gear had good potential for reducing weight and improving the efficiency and reliability of helicopter transmissions. An early full-scale demonstration of the roller gear was a 75-hour test program of a transmission using an 1,100-hp roller gear drive.⁵ Although limited in scope, the program did demonstrate that the roller gear transmission represented, with minor redesign, "an improvement in the state of the art for helicopter transmissions". Based on this work, a program to develop a full-scale helicopter roller gear transmission was initiated.

- 1. ENDURANCE TEST OF AN-1 ROLLER GEAR DRIVE, USAAVLABS Technical Report 65-31, USAAML, Fort Eustis, Virginia, August 1965.
- 2. Dr. A. L. Nasvytis and J. E. Bauer, PARAMETRIC STUDY ON THE ROLLER GEAR REDUCTION DRIVE, USAAVLABS Technical Report 64-29, USAAML, Fort Eustis, Virginia, June 1965.
- 3. C. W. Bowen, C. E. Braddock, and R. D. Walker, INSTALLATION OF A HIGH-REDUCTION-RATIO TRANSMISSION IN THE UH-1 HELI-COPTER, USAAVLABS Technical Report 68-57, USAAML, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1969.
- 4. L. R. Burroughs and N. L. Chivaroli, CH-54A HIGH SPEED ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION FEASIBILITY STUDY, Sikorsky Engineering Report SER-64202, January 1970.
- 5. A. L. Nasvytis and J. H. Hemlein, 1100-HP ROLLER GEAR DRIVE, USAAVLABS Technical Report 70-3, USAAML, Fort Eustis, Virginia, January 1970.

Sikorsky Aircraft, under contract with the Eustis Directorate of the U. S. Army Air Hobility Research and Development Laboratory, is conducting a program involving the design, fabrication, and testing of a roller gear transmission for use with the Sikorsky Aircraft S-61 helicopter. The design, fabrication, and 200-hour bench test parts of the program have been successfully completed. This report covers the aircraft ground test portion of the program.

The bench test program⁶ included a no-load lubrication test, a gear pattern development test, initial development tests, a 200-hour endurance test and an efficiency test. The tests were successfully completed after some design modifications to the roller gear unit components. The changes, resulting from fractures originating at electron-beam-welded joints, were incorporated during initial development tests which preceded the 200-hour endurance tests. The tests showed that the efficiency of the roller gear transmission is comparable to that of transmissions of conventional design.

For the ground test, a bailed S-61 type NSH-3A aircraft, shown in Figure 1, was nodified to accept the roller gear main transmission. In addition, the aircraft was equipped with YT58-GE-16 turboshaft engines, a modified rotor control system, and tail-rotor-driven grease-lubricated tail and intermediate gearboxes. The ground tests included control system proof tests and a 50-hour tiedown test which included evaluations of transmissions, controls, engine, and airframe.

TRANSMISSION DESIGN

NY APPENDING ASSAULT WANT AL.

The design requirement for the roller gear transmission, as outlined in Table 1, are for a 27,000-pound gross weight growth version of the S-61 type helicopter.

The roller gear transmission is designed to transmit the full power capability of two YT58-GE-16 engines (3,740 hp dual engines, 1,870 hp single engine). The gearbox consists of three reduction stages: bavel, combining spur, and the roller gear drive. The aircraft accessory drive pads, located in the aft portion of the gearbox, and the tail rotor are driven by a bevel gear located on the combining spur gear shaft.

^{6.} G. F. Gardner and R. E. Haven, LABORATORY BENCH TEST, 3,000-HP ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, USAAMRDL Report 73-98D, USAAMRDL, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1974.



Figure 1. Helicopter, S-61 Type NSH-3A

TABLE 1. S-61 ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION	DESIGN REQU	IREMENTS
Location	Speed (rpm)	Power (hp max)
Input Drives Dual engine Single engine	18,966 18,966	3,700 1,870
Main Rotor, Roller Gear Stage Output	203	3,000
Tail Takeoff Total	7,031	700
Tail Rotor Takeoff	3,025	565
Accessory Drives Generator (Left) Generator (Right) Tachometer Servo Hydraulic Pump Aux Servo Hydraulic Pump Utility Hdraulic Pump Lubrication Pump	8,100 8,100 3,900 4,107 4,005 4,055 5,149	54 54 1 6.5 6.5 13 4

seeine a mai da du namb wara inakan aya akada mula mula ka kana dina tana muta kana du sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa

The actual arrangement of these components is shown in the gearbox cross-sectional drawing, Figure 2. The engine output shaft drives the input pinion of the first-stage spiral bevel gear mesh at 18,966 rpm. The centerline of the spiral bevel gear of this 3.05-to-1 first-stage reduction is parallel to the centerline of the main rotor shaft. Within the bevel gear shaft is an overrunning cam-roller type freewheel unit. The output of the freewheel unit drives the pinion of the secondstage 1.54-to-1 spur gear reduction set, which combines the power of the two inputs onto a centerline common with the main rotor shaft.

The last stage, Figure 3, is the roller gear drive unit with a reduction ratio of 19.85 to 1. The unit consists of a fixed cage with two stationary rows of pinions, ring gear output, and sun gear input. A split power path at the sun gear and ring gear induces symmetrical loading for each mesh in the roller gear unit. Two rollers per mesh, whose diameters equal the gears' pitch diameters, straddle the gears of the sun, first-row pinions, and second-row gears. The seven first-row pinions are positioned by the sun and second-row rollers and are thus accurately located by three contact points. Seven second-row gears are similarly positioned by the rollers of the



States of



first-row pinions and the separating component of the ring gear. This gear separating force ensures contact of the rollers at all times. The symmetry of the design of the rollers and the forces induced on them allows the planets to be held parallel. Flanges on the rollers provide axial location of the first- and second-row pinions. Torque is reacted on the roller gear drive unit through spherical bearings located in the second-row gears. Power is thus transmitted by the gear treth while kinematic stability is provided by the rollers. 2.1

This design eliminates planet bearings except in the last row, where they are necessary to transmit the reaction torque, and ensures parallel alignment of all elements within manufacturing tolerance. The roller gear drive unit has inherently more stable load-sharing characteristics than conventional planetaries due to the accurate positioning of the pinions by the rollers. Figure 4 shows the arrangement of the roller and gear members of the drive. Figure 5 is the assembled unit.





19

Preceding page blank



ţ

Figure 5. Assembled Unit, Roller Gear Drive

The roller gear transmission was designed using current stateof-the-art design practice, materials, and stress levels. Bearings were designed for 3000 hours B-10 life. All major bearings were made from 52100 CVM steel. All primary power gearing was made from AMS 6265 (AISI 9310 CVM) case carburizing steel. Gearbox shafting is 9310 or 4340 steel.

The smaller gearbox housings are $\Lambda Z-91C$ magnesium casting, while the main housing, shown in Figure 6, is made from ZE41A, a zirconium base magnesium alloy. This casting material was chosen because of its excellent strength and moldability.





The roller gear hardware presented unique manufacturing problems. For this advanced development unit, extremely close tolerances were demanded. The timing between gear members in the "stepped" roller gears of the first- and second-row pinions was held extremely close, as was the concentricity of the rollers to one another and to the pixch diameter of each gear. Gear members of roller gear pinions were joined by electron-beam-welding techniques, as were the rollers to the pinion assemblies. The drive sides of the two end gears of the first- and second-row pinions were "timed" to the center gear to within +0.0002 inch. Figure 7 depicts the first-row pinion timing. The rollers were held concentric with one another and the gear pitch diameters to within 0.005 inch total indicated reading (T.I.R.). The second-row gears were made to similar tolerances. Both firstand second-row pinions were manufactured in matched sets of seven pinions.

The roller gear ring gear presented a special design problem. To provide the necessary flexibility for equal load sharing on this split ring gear, a thin web was required on this 27.6-inch pitch-diameter gear. To produce this flexibility and maintain tight tolerances on the finished part, the gear teeth were hobbed, carburized, heat treated, and finish ground, and then the gear web was machined to a final thickness of 0.090 inch.



Figure 7. Gear Timing, First-Row Pinion

TRANSMISSION BENCH TEST, 200-HOUR ENDURANCE

いいいい

The objective of the bench test program, which included no-load lubrication tests, gear pattern development tests and endurance testing, was to demonstrate that the transmission system components met the design requirements. The program was designed to develop and delug the transmission systems, to determine the mode of failure of the system component, to demonstrate that all catastrophic modes are out of the planned operating range, and to demonstrate that all noncatastrophic modes of failure are detectable.

The roller gear transmission tests were conducted in the S-61 regenerative bench test stand, Figure 8, which tests two gearboxes installed "back-to-back" at the same time. The test gearbox is loaded in the same manner as in the aircraft installation. Although rotation of the dummy (or slave) gearbox components is in the opposite direction, all torques are in the same direction as the test box and all gears are loaded on the correct side of the gear teeth. All components other than those used in the lubrication system are essentially the same as those in the test gearbox.

The first test performed on the roller gear transmission was the no-load lubrication test, the primary objective of which was the determination of optimum lubrication parameters. The factors under evaluation were the amount of lubricant and jet sizes required to provide adequate transfer of heat from the dynamic components of the gearbox while minimizing frictional losses caused by oil churning. The test also locates any lubricant flow problems such as restrictive oil paths and improper drainage. Ancillary objectives included checks on the mechanical functioning of the roller drive gearbox and test instrumentation.

The primary objective of the gear pattern development test was the evaluation of gear patterns generated within the gearbox when operated under load. Examination of the gear patterns provided verification of the manufacture of the gears and the proper loading and alignment of gears and bearings.

Initial development testing included evaluation of the manufacturing methods used in the fabrication of the sun gear and firstand second-row pinions of the roller gear drive. These gears were manufactured with the use of electron beam welds. Electron beam welding is a relatively recent development which employs a highly concentrated beam of electrons to melt and thereby fuse components together. Since this program marked the first time that electron-beam-welded gears have been used extensively in a helicopter main transmission, the initial development testing was necessary to check the endurance capabilities of these gears before the start of the 200-hour





arte kindli

endurance test. Modifications necessary to ensure failure-free performance of the electron-beam-welded gears for extended periods of time were made as a result of this phase of testing.

rin and profiles control White also see all statistical time and ASS Multiples the area and or

The 200-hour endurance test was designed to evaluate the effects on the roller gear transmission of long-term operation in a fatigue environment. The test was divided into two parts. After 110 hours were completed per the test spectrum of Table 2, both test and dummy gearboxes were removed from the test stand for inspection. Upon completion of inspection, the gearboxes were replaced in the test stand, and the test continued for an additional 90 hours per Table 3.

TAPLE 2.	ENDURANCE TEST SPECTRUM -	110 HOURS
Time (hr:min)	Total Input Power (hp)	Tail Power (hp)
15:00 50:00 25:00 10:00 6:30 1:30 1:30 :30	1,100 1,950 2,400 2,700 3,000 1,950 3,560 3,700	250 250 250 250 425 425 425 425 425

TABLE 3.	LUDURANCE TEST SPECTRUM -	90 HOURS
Time (hr:min)	Total Input Power (hp)	Tail Power (hp)
0:45 7:30 32:00 12:30 7:00 19:30 4:30 4:30 1:45	400 1,100 1,950 2,400 2,700 3,000 1,950 3,560 3,700	40 250 250 250 250 425 425 425 425 425

Following completion of the testing, both gearboxes were removed from the test stand and completely disassembled. λ11 gearbox components were subjected to magnaglow inspection. Those components containing electron beam welds were also subjected to pulse-echo ultrasonic inspection to check the integrity of the welds. Coincident with the 200-hour endurance test, an efficiency test was conducted, the objective of which was the accurate determination of the efficiency of the roller gear drive transmission by means of heat loss calculation. This was accomplished by insulating the test gearbox with a sheet of fiberglass insulation on all nonrotating surfaces. The lube oil was plumbed through a water-oil heat exchanger with both oil lines and heat exchanger insulated. During the test, input power, tail takeoff power, main shaft power, water-in temperature, water-out temperature, and water mass flow rate were continuously recorded. From the data gathered, the heat loss (a measure of the inefficiency of the system) was calculated from the mass flow rate and the enthalpy of the heat exchanger water.

Results, 200-Hour Bench Test

The no-load lubrication and gear pattern development tests were completed with good results. The roller gear unit exhibited excellent load-sharing characteristics with very uniform loading of both first- and second-row pinions.

Initial development testing produced cracking in the firstand second-row pinion gears originating from electron beam welds. These problems were resolved by redesign of the affected parts. Ultrasonic inspection methods and acceptance criteria for electron beam welds were developed during this program and proved to be excellent tools in the evaluation of these components.

The 200-hour endurance test was successfully completed without major problems. The condition of the gears and roller surfaces at the end of testing was excellent.

The efficiency of the roller gear transmission compared favorably to the efficiencies of transmissions of conventional design. The frictional loss of the gearbox was found to be 100 hp with 3,740 input hp, an efficiency of 97.3%.

As a result of more than 250 hours of testing, it was concluded that the roller gear drive was a feasible reduction unit for helicopter transmissions and that the program should continue into the tiedown test program.

TIEDOWN TEST HELICOPTER

「「「「「」」、「」、「」、」、

The ground test vehicle for the roller gear tiedown program was a Navy model NSH-3A helicopter. This S-61 type series helicopter was developed as a twin turbine-powered growth version of the S-58 single reciprocating-engine helicopter. It was designed for extended antisubmarine warfare missions and equipped for carrier operation. The basic NSH-3A helicopter has a gross weight of 19,100 pounds and is powered by two General Electric T58-GE-8B engines, each developing 1,250 hp at 30 minutes rating.

The roller gear drive transmission was designed specifically around the requirements of the Ceneral Electric YT53-GE-16 engine and for installation in an S-61 type helicopter. Figure 9 shows the aircraft general arrangement. A summary description of the test aircraft is given in Table 4.

TABLE 4. TEST AIRCRAFT	DESCRIPTION
Helicopter Type:	NSH-3A
Aircraft Bureau Mumber:	152105
Engine Manufacturer:	General Electric
Engine Type (2):	YT58-GE-16
30-Minute Rating	1,870 hp
Maximum Continuous Rating	1,700 hp
Mumber of Main Rotor Blades:	5
Main Rotor Speed:	203 rpm
Blade Type:	Constant chord HACA 0012
Rotor Radius:	31 ft
Blade Twist:	8°
Blade Chord:	18.25 in.
Number of Tail Rotor Blades:	5
Tail Rotor Speed:	1,243 rpm
Elade Type:	Constant chord NACA 0012
Blade Chord:	7.34 in.
Tail Rotor Diameter:	10 ft 4 in.
Maximum Gross Weight:	19,100 lb



Figure 9. Aircraft General Arrangement

LNGINE SYSTEM

Statistical and South a second

The YT58-GE-16 engine is a 1,870-shp axial-flow compressor turboshaft engine incorporating a two-stage free turbine, mechanically independent of the gas generator rotor. Within its normal operating range, power turbine speed can be maintained or regulated independent of output power. The YT58-GE-16 gas generator utilizes the existing T58-GE-10 tenstage compressor and annular combustor with a new two-stage air-cooled gas generator turbine. Stall-free operation is provided by use of the variable stator principle in the compressor. The inlet guide vanes and stator vanes in stages one, two, and three are variable.

The general arrangement of the engine installation on the roller gear aircraft is shown in the drawing of Figure 10. Various views of the installation are shown in Figure 11. In the center picture can be seen accelerometers attached to the static torque tube and gimbal yoke; these are used for instrumentation during engine vibration tests.



Figure 10. Engine Installation Drawing



The engines are mounted on a three-point suspension system consisting of an aft gimbal and two clastomeric front supports that are free to flex. These front supports are attached through vertical struts to the engine support ring at station 156.378. Each engine is supported at the rear by bolting a gearbox static torque tube to the engine power takeoff pad. This is attached to the gearbox input pad through elastomeric mounts in a gimbal which allows two-axis notion for alignment of the engine to the gearbox. The pivot point of this gimbal is coincident with that of the main flex gear coupling of the highspeed input drive shaft.

COT CAR IN TRANSFORMEN, MIT AND

(学校)开始的内容

Sala Land Lak we

detween the engine and gearbox input is a phase-line torquemeter mounted on the engine drive shaft (Figure 12). The torquemetering system was designed in accordance with the specifications of Reference 7. Attached to each end of the drive shaft are outer shafts on which are machined, on the free end, equally spaced pole pieces. Under the influence of torque, the drive shaft twists, causing a displacement of the pole pieces. A magnetic pickup located on the stationary torque tube converts the relative position of the pole pieces into a series of electronic pulses which are operated upon to produce a voltage proportioned to the applied torque. This voltage is read out on a torque indicator.



Figure 12. Engine Drive Shaft

7. SPECIFICATION FOR DUAL ENGINE TORQUE MONITORING SYSTEM, Sikorsky Engineering Report MC-RGD-3, Sikorsky Aircraft, Stratford, Connecticut, December 1969.

TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

The roller gear transmission is located on a 2-inch-thick adaptor plate. Twelve mounting bolts of the roller gear transmission are screwed into the plate, which is in itself bolted to the NSH-3A airframe by six bolts.

Located on the rear cover of the main transmission are power takeoff pads for fitting two generators, a tachometer, three hydraulic pumps, the rotor brake, and one lubrication pump as shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13. Accessory Section, Roller Gear Transmission

Two gearbox-driven lubrication pumps, one mounted on the accessory section and the other on the lower sump, are each capable of supplying the required amount of oil for adequate lubrication of the main transmission. This oil is collected from the sump via the two pumps and fed by a common line into a 46 micro-inch cleanable element filter. Oil then passes to an oil cooler through which air is blown from a fan driven from the rear cover of the main transmission. This clean, cooled oil is then fed back into the main transmission.

To adapt the roller gear transmission to the existing NSH-3A tail drive system, an adaptor gearbox was used. A multiple disc coupling assembly attached to the tail drive flange and shown in Figure 14 absorbs the angular misaligment of the tail drive shafting and adaptor gearbox output.



Figure 14. Multiple Disc Coupling, Tail Drive Shaft The tail and pylon drive shafts shown in Figure 15 transmit power from the main transmission to the tail rotor. The tail shafting (between the main transmission and intermediate gearbox) is supported on the fuselage by five viscous damped bearings. The intermediate gearbox incorporates two spiral bevel gears which transmit power to the tail gearbox without speed reduction. The tail gearbox uses a 2.44:1, 90-degree spiral bevel gear set to drive the tail rotor at 1,243 rpm. Both tail and intermediate gearboxes, depicted in Figure 16, are lubricated with Helicopter Transmission Grease conforming to MIL-G-83363 (USAF); this grease is packed around the gear meshes and bearings and retained by shields.

FLIGHT CONTROL SYSTEM

North Contract of Contract of

Billion and the second states of the second states

The S-61 type helicopter utilizes a single main rotor and a torque compensating tail rotor. The flight control system is composed of two (auxiliary and primary) separate hydraulic-powered positional servo systems, series connected with mechanical linkages.

Located within the cockpit are the azimuth (control stick), the collective pitch control lever, and directional control pedal. Through mechanical linkages, motions of the controls are transmitted to auxiliary servos which:

- a. React rotor head loads in the event that a malfunction occurs in the primary servo system.
- b. Provides a boost to overcome friction in the linkages to the primary servos.
- c. Reacts rotor head loads continuously in the directional control channel.

The output from the auxiliary servo is directed to the mixing units, located aft of the cockpit, which combine all motions through mechanical linkages into proportional signals to the primary servos and, in the directional channel, to the tail rotor pitch control mechanism. Due to the configuration of the roller gear transmission main housing, the S-6J type 90-degree spacing of the primary servos (which are attached to the housing) is relocated to a 120-degree spacing as depicted in Figure 17.

The 120-degree spacing of the primary servos necessitated a coordinated differential motion on all three servos to establish the swashplate (cyclic) plane of inclination. An analog cyclic mixer (swashplate) is installed in the control closet above the AFCS servo as shown in the schematic layout, Figure 18. The mixer inputs, longitudinal and lateral, are aligned with the AFCS servo outputs. Three mixer outputs are geometrically aligned with the 120-degree spacing of the primary servo. longitudinal input causes the swashplate to rotate about the lateral input axis and results in a cyclic plane of inclination required for control response. The three outputs are displaced proportional to the tilt of the mixer. The resultant output signals position the three primary servos and tilt the main rotor swashplate at the same angle of inclination as the analog mixer. Lateral input signals tilt the analog mixer about the longitudinal input axis with corresponding outputs to the primary servos. A scissor assembly prevents rotation of the analog mixer. The mixer accepts any combination of lateral and longitudinal input movions with corresponding output motions to the primary servos.




interesting and the second

Preceding page blank



Figure 17. Primary Servo Spacing Schematic

The rotor head swashplate is composed of a stationary (nonrotating) star and a rotating star, which along with rotating pushrods are used to co. ert linear motion of the primary servos into angular rotation of the main rotor blades about their feathering axes. The position of the primary servos, as established by the cumulative pilot and AFCS inputs, determines the plane of the swashplate assembly. When the swashplate is other than perpendicular to the main iotor shaft, a sinusoidal cyclic pitch change is imparted to each blade as it traverses a complete revolution. This results in flapping angle variations experienced by the blades which establish the tip path plane and consequently the direction of the azimuth thrust vector; a change in the collective pitch is provided by the axial displacement of the swashplate along the main rotor shaft, which will cause a change in the coning angle and thus the magnitude of the resultant force vector. Rotational drag forces on the stationary star are reacted by a scissor link assembly which imposes no restraint on the positioning of the swashplate. A separate scissor imparts the torque to turn the rotating star with the rotor head.





Changes to the rotor head include the installation of additional pushrods to provide increased rotor collective range, thereby enabling the 3,000 hp delivered by the main transmission to be absorbed at the rotor head.

The NSH-3A rotating pushrods are replaced by an Alpha-1 couple modification kic. This consists of two short pushrods and an idler assembly, Figure 19, which changes the angle of the pushrod with respect to the blade horn. This results in a coupling effect which increases blade angle as the blade lags back in the power "on" condition and results in an increase in collective pitch range.



Figure 19. Alpha-1 Coupling, Rotor Head Modifications

41 Preceding page blank

FUSELAGE SYSTEM

Except to accommodate the YT58-GE-10 engines and the rotor control systems, no other major changes were made to the NSH-3A airframe. This airframe, which consists of large numbers of stringers, multielement frames, bulkheads, beams, spars and ribs, provides a highly redundant, failsafe structural design as is evident from the success of the S-61 type aircraft manufactured. The hardware used to physically fasten the aircraft to the tiedown pad is attached to the fuselage transverse frames below the main transmission (Figure 20). The center of rotor lift passes through the apex of the tiedown cables.



Figure 20. Aircraft Tiedown Fixtures

STATIC AIRCRAFT TESTING

To verify the adequacy of the aircraft, a series of static tests was conducted to ensure that modifications incorporated within the aircraft structure could withstand the design limit loads. The drive train systems were also subjected to vibration surveys to determine their natural frequencies.

CONTROL SYSTEM PROOF LOAD

The control system was subjected to a proof test whereby test loads were applied manually in the aircraft cockpit and reacted at the main rotor head. The loads, applied to the pilot's control stick, were measured on the copilot's stick through force gages. The pilot effort plus the force outputs of the auxiliary and primary servos was reacted by locking the main rotor release against pitch change motion with the pentagonal locking fixture encircling the main rotor head (Figure 21).



Figure 21. Proof Load Fixture, Rotor Head Control System

The tests were performed with the primary servo pressure both on and off. With the pressure off, the primary servo acted only as a mechanical link rather than a power boost unit; therefore, the control system between the auxiliary servo and primary servo input was more heavily loaded due to friction in this servo. The loads applied within the control system are shown on the schematic of Figure 18. Strain gaged and calibrated servo mounting pads, rotating pushrods, and control rods were monitored during testing to verify the calculated loads. As the control loads were cycled through their extreme positions, the system was checked for interference, binding and ratcheting.

HYDRAULIC SYSTEM PROOF PRESSURE TEST

Two independent hydraulic power boost systems were utilized within the flight control system. Each hydraulic system contained its own pump, reservoir, valves and supplementary components necessary to service the auxiliary servo system and primary servo system. The hydraulic pumps, located on the accessory gearbox, were powered by the tail rotor drive system; thus, hydraulic power from these pumps was available only when the main rotor was turning. To provide hydraulic power for ground checkout and system operation, an electric-motor-driven hydraulic pump was incorporated into the auxiliary hydraulic system. The suction line of this electric pump was plumbed into the reservoir and supply lines to the manifold assembly, shown in the aircraft hydraulic schematic cf Figure 22.



Figure 22. Aircraft Hydraulic System Schematic

44

From the manifold, the fluid was directed to the auxiliary servos, and a return line delivered the fluid back to the reservoir. The primary hydraulic pump delivered fluid directly to a line common with the three primary servos and hence back to its own reservoir.

The hydraulic plumbing and components were subjected to a test pressure of one and one-half times operating pressure for a period of two minutes in order to demonstrate circuit integrity.

ENGINE SHAKE TEST

Because of the complexity of the airframe-engine mounting system vibration modes, an engine installation shake test was conducted to determine if detrimental responses existed or if vibration levels exceeded the allowable limits. Vibration pickups, located at points designated by the engine manufacturer, enabled engine mode shapes and frequency response curves to be determined when excited by an electromagnetic shaker.

DRIVE SHAFT CRITICAL SPEED TEST

The natural frequencies of both engine output drive shafts, the oil cooler blower shaft, and first section of the tail rotor drive shaft were determined by conducting "rap" tests. This consisted of installing a vibration pickup to the midspan of the shaft, the output of which was recorded with a light beam oscillograph when excited by an external force applied to the shaft. The trace was the natural frequency in a decaying curve, which, when compared to a 60-Hertz reference trace, enabled the first critical mode of the shaft to be determined.

This data from the engine output drive shaft was used to support the engine shake test data. Overspeed operation of the YT58-GE-16 engine was 117 percent, and normal engine redline speed was 112.5 percent. The power turbine/drive shaft/input bevel pinion shafting configuration is shown in Figure 23. This was used to determine analytically the first bending mode of 26,669 rpm. The bending mode agrees with analytical computer studies conducted by General Electric in its "VAST" program.









`,

4

•

46

STATIC TEST RESULTS

All of the major objectives and requirements of the aircraft static tests were met. Several problems were discovered, as was the objective of the tests. These were resolved, and che aircraft was considered to be structurally adequate for tiedown testing.

Control System Proof Load

The controls were found to be structurally adequate to react pilot effort loads. Some problems were experienced with the system, including elastic buckling and deflections of the mixer supporting structure and slight interference of rod ends and bellcrank levers. These were resolved and a postest inspection was conducted. It was recommended that:

- a. Usage of this control system be limited to the tiedown program until changes to eliminate the buck-ling are incorporated.
- b. During tiedown, the structure supporting the mixer unit be periodically observed to ensure that no problems arise during operation.
- c. At the completion of tiedown tests, the entire control system be completely inspected to ensure that no abnormalities are present in the system.
- d. Operation of the control system with the primary servos shut off be minimized to reduce the loading of the system between the auxiliary servo output and primary servo input where the least buckling and deflections are present.

Hydraulic System Proof Test

The two independent circuits of the hydraulic system withstood the test operation pressure of 2,250 psi, which is equivalent to one and one-half times normal operating pressure, without leakage or deformation.

Engine Shake Test

The General Electric Company, manufacturer of the YT58-GE-16 engine, conducted the shake test and found no responses in the engine stator structure to indicate an engine operation vibration problem. However, a high-speed shaft response was found at 22,860 rpm (statically) on the right-hand engine and at 21,960 rpm on the left-hand engine. While these are zerospeed analysis conditions, the frequency is expected to be higher during actual shaft operation. However, it was recommended that a careful scrutiny be made of the engine vibration levels in the overspeed region and that these shafts be field balanced as found necessary.

Drive Shaft Natural Frequency Test

sidentification and the second second

It proved to be very difficult to "rap" the engine/transmission high-speed shaft. As can be seen from the drive shaft configuration of Figure 23, the drive shaft is enclosed within the torque tube. Table 5 lists the drive shafts tested and compares their natural frequencies with respect to normal operating frequency. All natural frequencies are well above the 125 percent of maximum operating range; the high-speed shaft, however, will be monitored during tiedown testing.

TABLE 5. DRIVE SHAFT	NATURAL FREQUE	NCY TEST RES	SULTS
Shaft	Natural Frequency (cps)	Operating Speed (rpm)	Operating Frequency (cps)
Engine to Transmission High-Speed Shaft	2,500+	18,966	316
Transmission to Oil Cooler Blower Direct-Driven Shaft	275	7,031	117
First Section of Tail Drive Shaft	165	3,026	50
Second Section of Tail Drive Shaft	165	3,026	50
Tail Drive Shaft Between Intermediate and Tail Gearbox With Instru- mentation Slipring	90	3,026	50

AIRCRAFT INSTRUMENTATION

The instrumentation installed on the aircraft for tiedown testing was used to monitor and assess the performance of the aircraft subsystems. As this was the first time the YT58-GE-16 engines were installed in an aircraft, they were extensively instrumented in order that General Electric could compare tiedown performance with laboratory test cell results. Strategic points on the airframe were monitored by measurement of strain gage output. The roller gear transmission and tail and intermediate gearboxes were monitored for temperature and vibration. In these gearboxes, excessive temperature and/or malfunction would curtail the test and warrant inspection.

ENGINES

The YT58-GE-16 engine as defined by the basic Military Specification MIL-E-8593 is supplemented by General Electric Model Specification E1005. Variations from MIL-E-8593 are the result of specific requirements for helicopter powerplants.

Performance ratings and associated shp's for the YT58-GE-16 engine are defined in terms of gas generation speed and power inlet turbine temperature. The military rating is obtained at maximum gas generator speed of 26,800 rpm and/or power turbine inlet maximum temperature of 815°C. The normal rating is obtained at a power inlet turbine maximum temperature of 785°C. Specification minimum horsepower ratings are defined at standard-day, sea-level-static conditions and are taken at the power turbine output shaft. They are 1,870 hp at military rating and 1,770 hp at normal rating.

The specification minimum horsepower is associated with a power turbine speed of 20,280 rpm. At any given gas generator speed and power turbine inlet temperature, the output power is essentially constant while the power turbine speed is within its normal operating range. Table 6 shows the engine performance summary for the YT58-GE-16 engine as published by the engine manufacturer. The table makes no allowance for helicopter installation for inlet and exhaust duct losses, for accessory power extraction, or for air extraction other than that required by the engine.

	TABLE 6.	YT58-GE-16 SEA-LEVEL-S	ENGINE PERFORMANCE TATIC CONDITIONS	RATINGS AT STAN	IDARD-DAY ,
Rating	Min ghs	Min Torque (inlb)	Max Gas Generator Speed (rpm)	Rated Power Turbine Speed (rpm)	Max Power Turbine Inlet Temp (°C)
Military (30 min)	1,870	5,815	26,800	20,280	805
Normal	1,770	5,504	8	20,280	785
90 Percent Normal	1,593	4,953	ı	20,280	I
75 Percent Normal	1,328	4,129	ł	20,280	8
Ground Idle	ł	444 (max)	ı	o	I
Ratings are Max allowab	at power le operat	-turbine out ing speed of	put shaft. Torque the power turbine	is at rated pow is 21,275 rpm a	er turbine speed. t no-load condition.

50

and the state of the second second

Gas generator speed (Ng), power turbine speed (Nf) and power turbine inlet temperature (T5) were monitored in the aircraft cockpit as well as in the control room.

Pickups (accelerometers) were located on both engines and torque tubes, as shown in Figure 11, to measure engine vibrations. These barium titanate accelerometers, insulated against electrical and temperature environment, were capable of measuring low frequencies excited by main rotor, tail rotor blade passage and low-speed shafting, etc., and high frequency emitted by high-speed shafting, engine rotors, reduction gearing, etc. In the YT58-GE-16 engine view of Figure 24 can be seen the power turbine crotch bracket on which is mounted a vibration pickup.





RULLER GEAR MAIN TRANSMISSION

The vibration pickups for the main gearbox were located as shown in Figure 25.

Thermocouples, located at all gearbox primary bearings, as shown in Figure 26 and tabulated in Table 7, were of the washer type. Lead wires from the thermocouple washers extended through gland fittings in the main housing to strip chart recorders in the control room. Also shown on Figure 26 are the locations of the main rotor shaft torque and bending bridge strain gages. These were used to measure the torque delivered to the main rotor head and the bending moments induced by the rotating main rotor blades. The strain bridges were calibrated by statically inputting known torques and bending moments into the main rotor shaft in the static calibration rig, Figure 27. Main rotor torque (NR) was measured as a percentage, with 100 percent torque equivalent to 3,000 hp transmitted at 203 rpm.

Lubrication oil sump temperatures and gearbox oil pressures were recorded in the aircraft cockpit as well as in the control room.









TABLE 7.	THERMOCOUPLE NOMENCLATURE, ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION
Number	Location
1	L.H. input bevel pinion stack ball bearings
2	R.H. input bevel pinion stack ball bearings
3	L.H. input bevel pinion stack ball bearings
4	R.H. input bevel pinion roller bearing
5	L.H. input bevel gear lower roller bearing
6	R.H. input bevel gear lower roller gearing
7	L.H. input bevel gear duplex bearings
8	R.H. input bevel gear duplex bearings
9	L.H. input spur gear upper roller bearing
10	R.H. input spur gear upper roller bearing
11	Main rotor shart roller bearing
12	Outer shaft roller bearing
13	Outer shaft tapered roller bearings
14	Sevel pinion T.T.O. forward tapered roller bearing
15	Spur gear T.T.O. ball bearing
16	Spur gear T.T.O. roller bearing
17	Main rotor shaft duplex ball bearings
18	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
19	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
20	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
21	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
22	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
23	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
24	Second-row pinion spherical bearing
25	Adaptor gearbox input forward ball bearing
26	Adaptor gearbox output ball bearing
27	Lubrication "oil in" temperature
28	Lubrication "oil out" temperature



Figure 27. Static Calibration Rig, Main Transmission

GREASE-LUBRICATED TAIL AND INTERMEDIATE GEARBOXES

These test gearboxes are standard S-61 gearboxes modified for grease lubrication. The bearings are shielded to retain grease, yet still permit excess grease to be purged. Also, the gears themselves are shielded to retain grease around the gear teeth. Bayonet-type thermocouples are located at each bearing for temperature monitoring. In addition, two vibration pickups are located on the tail gearbox and one on the intermediate gearbox. A bulb indicator, set to light at 150°C, was installed in the cockpit to forewarn the cockpit personnel of tail and intermediate gearbox bearing overheating. Temperatures were constantly monitored in the control room. All vibration

ROTOR HEAD

The rotor head used for the roller gear aircraft, Figure 28, was a modified S-61 type grease-lubricated blade fold head. For the purpose of the tiedown test, the head was modified by locking out the blade fold mechanism and installing Alpha 1 couplings. These increased the collective range of the blades by mechanical linkage through the action of pushrods and levers. The pushrods were reciprocated in the plane of the main rotor shaft by the rotating swashplate, thereby oscillating the blade. The angle of the rotating swashplate was affected by the positions of the three 120-degree spaced primary servos operating on the stationary swashplate.

The S-61 rotor head is a well-proven, fully articulated system used normally to absorb power from two T58-GE-8B engines. These produce a combined input power of 2,500 hp, of which 2,300 hp is available at the main rotor system; the roller gear transmission delivers 3,000 hp to the same rotor system. To ensure structural adequacy of this system, critical components were strain gaged and monitored throughout the test. These included the rotating scissors which position the rotating swashplate. In Figure 28 can be seen the strain gage wires leading from bridges adhered to the stationary swashplate and the location of bridges on its restraining link, the stationary scissor.



Figure 28. Rotor Head, Roller Gear Aircraft

AIRFRAME

The aircraft on which the roller gear transmission was mounted had an NSH-3A type S-61 airframe. This U. S. Navy version of the S-61 has provision for tail pylon fold. No major modifications to the stringers, multielement frames, etc., were made except for the fitting of the tiedown plate fixture.

The multielement redundant airframe structure designed to conservative static loadings provided adequate margin for fatigue loading. Tiedown testing, however, imposed severe strains on the airframe due to the in-ground-effect rotor downwash and the reaction of lift from the tiedown fittings. Severe buffeting of the aft fuselage structure occurred even when a hydraulic accumulator arrangement was fitted to the tail wheel. To measure the loads imposed on the tail pylon, the hinge fittings and tail cone frame at station 493, were strain gaged as shown in Figure 29.

The transmission was fitted to the airframe by an adaptor plate which allowed the 12-bolt fitting of the roller gear transmission to adapt to the 6-bolt fitting on the airframe. These transmission mountings, tied into frames at stations 290 and 243.5, were also strain gaged, as shown in Figure 29. The tiedown plate fitting was attached to these frames.

DATA ACQUISITION

The following documentation requirements were established for the roller gear tiedown test program.

TEST LOG

A test log was maintained in the control room. The log contained a chronological listing of significant events such as:

Test Conditions Aircraft Time Test Times Engine Time and Starts Inspections Malfunctions Parts Replacement Adjustments Test Status Summary Witnesses' Signatures

TEST DATA

Test information was monitored and recorded during the tiedown test program by various methods, depending on the type of data required and the time frame in which it could be obtained.

Direct-Reading Indicators

Information from the aircraft instrument panel in the cockpit and from control room panel-mounted indicators was periodically recorded on data sheets. Information taken in this manner was as follows:

Engine and Rotor Speeds, pct (Ng, Nf, and NR) Engine Temperature (T5), °C Engine Torque, pct Engine Fuel Flow (wf), lb/hr Engine Oil Pressure, psi Engine Oil Temperature, °C Main Transmission Oil Pressure, psi Main Rotor and Tail Rotor Torque, pct Rotor Controls Positions, deg Main Rotor Blade Lag Angle, deg Engine Vibration Levels, mils



Recorders

Two temperature recorders maintained a continuous operational record of 30 main transmission component temperatures, 20 engine component temperatures, and 10 temperatures of the tail and intermediate gearboxes. Periodic excerpts from the recorders were compiled on data sheets for comparative reference.

Two strip-chart recorders mounted in the control room provided a continuous record of main rotor shaft torque and of tail drive shaft torque.

Direct-Writing Oscillograph

Three direct-writing recording oscillographs were utilized to monitor airframe and component vibrations, control loads and stresses, and airframe loads and stresses.

Parameter Monitoring

During the roller gearbox tiedown test, the following parameters were monitored and/or recorded.

Visually Monitored Aircraft Cockpit Parameters

Roller Gearbox Sump Temperature, °C Roller Gearbox Oil Pressure, psi Primary Servo Hydraulic Pressure, psi Auxiliary Servo Hydraulic Pressure, psi Rotor Speed (NR), pct Engine Free Turbine Speeds (Nf), pct Engine Gas Generator Speeds (Ng), pct Engine Interturbine Temperatures (T5), °C Engine Oil Temperatures, °C Engine Oil Pressures, psi Engine Fuel Flows, lb/hr Engine Torques, pct Outside Air Temperature, °C Collective Stick Position, deg Cyclic Stick Position, deg Pedal Position, deg Damper Lag Angle, deg

Preceding page blank

Control Room Recorder Display

No. 1 Engine Component Temperatures, °C

Anti-Ice Valve Ignition Box Power Management System Amplifier Start Bleed Valve Flow Divider Oil Cooler Power Turbine Accessory Drive Power Turbine Shaft (Nf) T5 Harness Fuel Pump

No. 2 Engine Component Temperatures, °C

Power Management System Amplifier Stator Vane Actuator Combustor Casing 1st Stage Turbine Casing 2nd Stage Turbine Casing Compressor Casing 12 o'clock Compressor Casing 3 o'clock Compressor Casing 6 o'clock Compressor Casing 9 o'clock Exhaust Casing

Control Room Meter Display

No. 1 and No. 2 Engine Vibrations, Mils

Power Turbine Crotch Lateral Power Turbine Crotch Vertical Torque Tube Lateral Torque Tube Vertical

Magnetic Tape Record

No. 1 and No. 2 Engine Vibrations, Mils

Front Frame Horizontal Front Frame Vertical Compressor Rear Frame Turbine Casing Horizontal Turbine Casing Vertical Power Turbine Crotch Horizontal Torque Tube Axial Torque Tube Horizontal Torque Tube Vertical Control Room Oscillograph Display

Gearbox and Aircraft Vibrations, Mils

Pilot Seat Vertical Pilot Seat Lateral Roller Gearbox Left Input Vertical Roller Gearbox Right Input Lateral Roller Gearbox Rear Cover Roller Gearbox Rear Cover Lateral Roller Gearbox Rear Cover Axial Roller Gearbox Tail Bearing Vertical Cooler Shaft Vertical Tail Gearbox Input/Horizontal Tail Gearbox Output/Vertical Intermediate Gearbox Input

Control Room Recorder Display

Roller Gearbox Temperatures, °C

Input Stack Bearing Left Input Stack Bearing Right Input Pinion Roller Bearing Left Input Pinion Roller Bearing Right Input Gear Roller Bearing Left. Input Gear Roller Bearing Right Input Gear Housing - Duplex Ball Bearing - Left Input Gear Housing - Duplex Ball Bearing - Right Freewheel Roller Bearing Left Freewheel Roller Bearing Right Main Rotor Shaft Roller Bearing Outer Shaft Roller Bearing Outer Shaft Housing - Tapered Roller Bearing Tail Takeoff Housing - Roller Bearing Forward Tail Takeoff Drive Roller Bearing Main Rotor Shaft Ball Bearing Roller Gear Post Spherical Bearings (7) Adaptor Housing Driver - Ball Bearing Adaptor Housing Driven - Roller Bearing Oil Cooler In Oil Cooler Out

Control Room Meter Display

Roller Gearbox Oil Flows, gpm and Pressures, psi

Total Oil Flow Pump Pressure Main Manifold Pressure Right Manifold Pressure Roller Gear Drive Inlet Pressure Oil Cooler Out Pressure

Control Room Recorder Display

Tail Gearbox Bearing Temperature, C

Input Outer Input Inner Output Inner Output Middle Output Outer

Intermediate Gearbox Bearing Temperature, C

Input Outer Input Inner Output Inner Output Outer

Control Room Display/Recorder

Main Rotor Shaft Torque (chart recorder) Jain Rotor Shaft Bending (oscilloscope) Tail Rotor Shaft Torque (chart recorder) Barometric Pressure (meter)

Control Room Oscillograph Display

Flight Control System Loads

Rotating Scissors Rotating Pushrod Stationary Scissors Stationary Swashplate Control Rod - Primary Servo Input

Main Gearbox Mount Stress

Transmission Rear Frame - Right Transmission Rear Frame - Lower Transmission Rear Frame - Inboard Transmission Forward Attachment

Tail Pylon Stress

Tail Pylon Hinge - Top Tail Pylon Hinge - Aft Tail Pylon Hinge - Top Left Tail Pylon Hinge - Bottom Left Stabilizer Attachment - Top Stabilizer Attachment - Bottom Tail Cone - 5 o'clock Tail Cone - 4 o'clock Tail Cone - 3 o'clock Tail Cone - 1 o'clock

TIEDOWN TEST FACILITY

The tiedown facility consisted of an asphalt-covered area containing an integral steel reinforced concrete pad in which cable tiedown fittings were embedded. A multilayered steel wire fence partially enclosed three sides of the test pad area. A blockhouse type control room was located on one side, from which test personnel viewed the test area through an impact-resistant window. Figure 30 shows the tiedown area as viewed from outside the control room. Boxed channels running from the control room to the aircraft contained instrumentation cables which connected aircraft instrumentation with the control room.

Control Room

The facility control room housed the majority of the test data acquisition equipment and provided the working area for test personnel. Figure 31 shows the magnetic tape, oscillograph and recorder equipment used, as well as instruments and meters used for real-time monitoring of the test program. Additional instrumentation was located in the test aircraft for use by the aircraft operators.

An intercom system provided voice communication between control room and aircraft personnel; in addition, the aircraft crew maintained radio voice communication with the control tower.

Aircraft Cockpit

The S-61 aircraft provided for side-by-side seating of the pilot and copilot. The primary flight instruments were duplicated at each seat position and were located on the seat centerline (Figure 32). The engine and transmission instrumentation was located in the center of the console for monitoring by either pilot. This display included gas generator tachometer (Ng), power turbine inlet temperature (T5), engine oil pressures, transmissic. oil pressure and hydraulic pressures.

The bottom center section of the instrument panel contained collective and lateral stick positions, pedal and damper lag positions.



South and the state of the second



TANDAR PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY INTERTY INTER

PROPER NO. 1. 1. 1.

The fail of the way of the

Figure 31. Data Acquisition, Tiedown Facility Control Room



n franzielitet het der Bereichen eine beschlich sin der Bereichen und eine sichten der Bereichen der Sterner der

A DESCRIPTION OF STREET, STREE

Figure 32. Aircraft Cockpit Instruments

69

OPERATING PROCEDURE

Although the aircraft is restrained to the ground with cables, it is operated as a flight aircraft and is maintained and inspected in a condition of "flight readiness". This section presents the tiedown test procedures which were used in the roller gear tiedown test program.

The test aircraft and the test facility were subject to periodic quality control inspections. "Postflight" inspections were conducted to discover any damage or discrepancy on the test aircraft which may have developed during the inspection interval of 5 test nours or 5 calendar days, whichever was shorter.

A "preflight" inspection was conducted to determine if the aircraft was ready for each day's run. A "walk-around" inspection was conducted prior to any test run.

Safety Review

Prior to any operation of the test aircraft and subsequent to installation of the aircraft on the tiedown pad, an area safety review was conducted. Any discrepancies were corrected prior to first test runs.

Emergency Procedures Review

Prior to first run of the test aircraft, the qualifications of the crew in emergency procedures were reviewed and any required training was carried out. A review of emergency procedures for the specific aircraft under test was conducted, attended by all cognizant test personnel, crew, engineers and pilots.

Prerun Briefing

Prior to each test run, the cognizant test engineer conducted a briefing attended by the pilots and crew. The following items were typically discussed:

- 1. Posttest observations from previous runs
- 2. Aircraft changes since last run
- 3. Emergency procedures
- 4. Immediate test plans
- 5. Possible test problems resolution methods
- 6. Data acquisition points
- 7. Quality control requirements

Aircrew Utilization

The aircraft was initially operated by qualified pilots at both the pilot's and copilot's stations. After the initial engine starts, overspeeds, and first power runs were completed, the aircraft was operated with one qualified pilot at the pilot's station, handling engine and rotor controls, and a qualified assistant at the copilot's station to monitor cockpit instruments and to assist in securing the aircraft systems in the event of an emergency.

Aircraft Operation

The test aircraft was maintained, inspected, and operated using standard flight test procedures. The starting, rotor engagement, shutdown, and emergency checklists, based on standard S-61 checklists, were modified to suit the roller gear aircraft and the tiedown test program. Standard wind limits for rotor engagement and operation at high tail rotor power were strictly observed. These wind speed and direction limits are shown in Figure 33.





AIRCRAFT TIEDOWN TEST

The following pages list the tests conducted on the roller gear tiedown aircraft. For these tests, the helicopter was ballasted to a maximum gross weight of 19,100 lb by securing sandbags on the cabin floor at the aircraft center of gravity. The helicopter was restrained to the tiedown pad by proof-tested cables and the tail wheel was secured by attachment to a hydraulic accumulator. The helicopter instrumentation and communication systems were connected to the control room, the fuel tanks were filled to capacity, and initial inspection accomplished. The main rotor blades were not installed for the initial tests in order to reduce rotating inertia for better control and feedback response.

INITIAL TESTS

Initial Engine Starting

As the YT58-GE-16 engines are not in service, the General Electric Company asked for specific engine data relating to starting cranking rpm, time to engine light-off, maximum transient temperature at T5, and starter drop-out rpm. As each engine was started individually and allowed to run at ground idle against the rotor brake, thereby not allowing rotation of the engine output drive shaft, the above data was recorded. During the initial starting and operation of Number 2 engine (right-hand location), the fuel flow divider, shown in Figure 34, leaked fuel externally. This divider had to be replaced.



Figure 34. Fuel Divider, YT58-GE-16 Engine

Initial Drive-Train Tests

The following tests were also accomplished without main rotor blades installed, the S-61 rotor head and blades having previously been fully qualified for operation to 117 percent speed. Tail rotor blades were installed.

A normal Number 1 engine start and rotor engagement were made, and a vibration record was obtained of the engagement and run-up to 100 percent rotor speed. All instrumentation was checked out and a complete set of data was obtained. After stabilization, a normal shutdown was conducted and the aircraft was inspected.

In order to verify resonance-free operation of all drive-train shafts up to the autorotative redline speed of 117 percent, it was necessary to drive the rotor with the engines over their normal redline of 112.5 percent.

The stops on the engine speed selector/fuel control, Figure 35, were removed for this test. The rotor was slowly accelerated from 100 percent to 112.5 percent while vibration data was monitored and then was accelerated, as quickly as could be done smoothly, from 112.5 percent to 117 percent and back again - on the order of 5 seconds overspeed for each engine. Satisfactory operation of drive shafts was then determined by examination of data to find any damaging vibration "peaks" or excessive increase in vibration with speed up to 117 percent rotor speed. Data was examined from the engine drive shafts, the oil cooler blower shaft, and the first section of the tail drive shaft. Engine vibration survey records were examined to determine if any engine pickup registered vibration in excess of 3 mils steady state and 5 mils transient (G. E. limits) up to 112.5 percent rotor speed.

The rotor blades were then installed for the remainder of the tests.




First Power Runs

للمتلفظ فاستنكره وورام ومنزوات والمقافي والأتركم والامور كالمعربة والالام

With main rotor blades installed, the 5-hour spectrum, Table 8, was run. Initial starts, engagements, and applications of power were closely monitored for proper functioning of all systems, load sharing of the tiedown cables, and any evidence of possible problems. Data records were obtained at the beginning and end of each condition.

Tł	ABLE 8. 5-HOUR BREAK	-IN SPECTRUM.
Time (hr)	Total Main Gearbo Input (hp)	ox Tail Rotor Power (hp)
1	Flat Pitch	Neutral
1	1,000	100
1	2,000	250
1.5	3,000	350
0.5	Maximum Ratings	* 375 **
* Maximum rat: rotor, 1,870 cent Ng. Po ratings are	ings are: 3,740 hp to) hp each engine, 814 ower is increased unt reached.	otal input, 3,000 hp main ° T5, and 102.2 per- il one or more of the

** Tail gearbox endurance limit.

Power-Train Response Tests

Normal engine starts and rotor engagement were made. A dualengine power condition of 2,200 total horsepower at 100 percent NR was established for power train response tests, the Number 2 engine speed selector was locked in position, and the Number 1 speed selector was moved up and down while the reaction of NR, Nf, Ng and T5 was observed. The selector was moved slowly at first and then more rapidly as engine and rctor response were determined. Particular attention was paid to any unusual delay or sensitivity of the speed selector/engine/rotor response. Overshoot of Nf relative to NR was noted. The Number 2 speed selector operation, with the Number 1 lever locked, was checked as in the foregoing tests. The power-train response of both speed selectors operating simultaneously was deleted because of the extreme sensitivity of the speed selectors.

ENDURANCE TEST

The 50-hour endurance test, designed to test the roller gear transmission and evaluate its compatibility within the overall helicopter, was run in five 10-hour cycles as listed in Table 9. The power spectrum was generally in accordance with military specification MIL-T-8679. The takeoff, military and normal ratings are defined in Table 6.

During the test, the cyclic and directional controls were cycled 15 times per hour to their extremes during the normal rated power (NRP) runs. At other times, they were held fixed in either cruise position or vertical ascent position, whichever was appropriate for the power level being run. Operation of the roller gear transmission freewheel unit and rotor brake was checked six times per hour during the takeoff portion of the test, thereby accumulating a minimum of 60 operations throughout the test.

During one of the 10-hour endurance cycles, the normal vibration pickups on the rear cover were replaced with accelerometers which drove a high-frequency-response oscillograph system. At each of the power conditions of a 10-hour endurance cycle, a vibration record was made of the rear cover vibration character.

The roller gear transmission and other aircraft dynamic components were visually inspected at suitable intervals throughout the test program. Figure 36 shows the NSH-3A aircraft equipped with the roller gear transmission on the tiedown pad.

	TAB	LE 9. ENDURANCE	TEST, 10-HOUR	CYCLE		
Test Condition	Time	MGB Input	Rotor Speed	Torg Main Rotor	ue Tail Rotor	
(Per MIL-T-8679)	(hr)	(hp)	(8)	(8)	(8)	Note
Takeoff	П	3,540/Idle	100/Idle	102/Idle	63/Idle	-
Military	Ч	3,700/2,120	100	107/60	63/36	7
Normal Rating	٣	3,540	100	102	63	m
908 Normal Rating	Ч	3,190	100	92	57	4
80% Normal Rating	-	2,830	100	18	50	4
60% Normal Rating	1	2,120	16	66	40	4
Over speed	Ч	3,540	110	63	58	4
Single Engine	Ч	1,870	100	52	31	ß
Notes: 1. "Takeoff" is	a l-hour	: period of alter	nate runs of 5	minutes at	takeoff pov	Ver
and 5 minutes	s with bo	oth engines at gr	ound idle after f the idle run.	: applying a	nd releasi	ng the
2. The "militar"	v power"	test condition i	s a 1-hour peri	od with the	controls	
positioned for with the cont	or "vert] trols hel	cal ascent at m d in "cruise pos	ition" at 60% r	ormal ratin	g for the	
remaining 30	minutes.	cost condition co	rore a 3-hour r	iariod Fif	teen times	
hour the mair	n rotor c	yclic control and	d the direction	al controls	are posit:	ioned
and held for	10 secor	nds each at forwa	rd, aft, left,	and right.	Control de	eflections
4. Continuous of	a tnose e perations	encountered in it with controls h	rmal illgnt. eld in "cruise"	position.		
5. Single-engine	e operati	ion - 30 minutes	each engine per	: 10-hour cy	cle.	

「「「And Sound of Long to An a







.

Figure 36. Roller Gear Tiedown Aircraft

TIEDOWN TEST RESULTS

This chapter discusses the tiedown test to which the roller gear aircraft was subjected. During this test program, a total of 57.6 hours of rotor turning was logged. All major requirements of the test plan were met. A chronological summary of significant events is shown in Figure 37.



Figure 37. Chronological Summary, Roller Gear Tiedown Test

Actual Power Spectrum

The total rotor turning time of 57.6 hours exceeded the 50-hour test requirement; however, the actual power levels applied were less than planned due to engine performance degradation, primarily attributable to "hot-day" conditions. The actual test conditions applied are shown in Tables 10 and 11 for the 5-hour break-in test and endurance test, respectively. This information is further broken down and listed in terms of actual power levels in Table 12.

During the "military power" test condition, which consisted in part of 30 minutes at maximum rated power (30-minute rating), the actual power level obtained average 3,370 hp, or an average loss of 330 hp from the planned level of 3,700 hp. This lower power level was obtained when turbine temperature limits, T5, were reached.

The reduction in the planned power levels in normal rated power (maximum continuous power) amounted to an average of 310 hp, from 3,540 hp to about 3,230 hp. This power loss was noted when the maximum continuous engine temperature limits were observed. The required power levels were obtainable for the 90 percent normal rated power and 80 percent normal rated power runs.

During the 60 percent normal rated power test condition, the required rotor speed of 91 percent was below the normal governing range of the YT58-GE-16 engines. The first hour of this condition was conducted at the 91 percent rotor speed by operating on "emergency" throttles. However, the high level of airframe vibration precluded further operation at that condition. Subsequent 60 percent NRP test conditions were conducted using the speed selectors in governing range, 93-94 percent rotor speed.

When the "overspeed" endurance test condition was run, two problems were encountered which reduced the actual power level from the planned power level. First, with the engine controls at the maximum stop, the rotor speed was 112 percent in flat pitch. Engine droop characteristics then prevented the application of high power at the required high speeds. Second, the maximum continuous engine temperature limits were reached at about the same point. The test condition was then run at 109-110 percent rotor speed at an average of 2,820 hp, an average loss of 720 hp from the planned level of 3,540 hp.

Single-engine maximum power was also unobtainable. The reduction in planned power levels amounted to an average of 180 hp, from 1,870 hp to 1,690 hp, in Number 1 engine and an average of 190 hp, from 1,870 to 1,680 hp, in Number 2 engine when the engine 30-minute gas generator speed and temperature limits were observed.

Operational Problems

والمواسمة والمقالية المروان والمراثلة والمقال فألموهم المقار ومعروده والمتكلفات كرورو وروم

During monitoring of Number 2 engine, a fuel leak occurred at the interface between the flow divider and the engine supply port. This engine was repaired with a modified flow divider and new fuel control. No problem with flow divider leaks was encountered during the remainder of the test.

After 33.8 hours of test, Number 2 engine began to leak oil from an air scavenge line. General Electric approved operation of this engine for the remainder of the test.

At 26.9 hours test time, the exhaust stub of Number 1 engine developed a 2- to 3-inch horizontal crack on the top aft inboard edge. This crack was welded and no further problem was encountered.

Each of the engines was initially equipped with "experimental" fuel control units for investigation of performance. In early test programs, the speed selectors were determined to be too sensitive in some ranges of operation. When the Number 2 flow divider was being repaired, a "production" fuel control was installed on this engine. Some sensitivity problems still existed, and measurements were made of fuel control speed selector input shaft position as a function of power. These results, shown in Table 13 and plotted in Figure 38, indicate that Number 2 engine is very sensitive right up to maximum power and that both engines are sensitive in the low power area.

Some evidence of engine torque tube/transmission gimbal mount rubber damage was noted at 28 test hours. This may be due to the vibration in that area and/or degradation from gearbox oil breather leaks.

The engine drive shafts were equipped with a phase-displacement type of torquemeter system where a magnetic pickup senses shaft windup by relative displacement of two pole pieces. Due to the stiffness in the drive shafts, total windup is small and the system did not function satisfactorily until near the end of the test. Power measurement and power matching of engines without the engine torquemeters is possible since main and tail rotor torques were used as a measure of power and the engines matched by using T5 and Ng.

Г		—			-	-	_		
			Time (hr)	.	•••			8.4	
		Drive Train	Speed***	001				100	
		Frictional Losses	and Accessory Power (hp)	1 1 6	251-551	108-100	101-01	118	
		Rotor	Power (hp)	111-110	311-150	203-271	96-102	9	
		Tail	Torque [.]	55-60	55-62	36-48	17-18	2	c 203.8 rpm) rpm). laft,
	MER LLVELS	n Rotor	Power (hp)	2.940-3.000	2.670-3.000	1,800-2.010	990-1.140	330	,312 ft-1b at ft-1b at 3,030 ngine Drive Sh Rotor
	-IN TEST PO	201	Torque* (1)	98-100	89-100	60- 67	33- 38	11	000 hp (77 5 hp (979 1,966 rpm Ed Ed
	10. 5-ILOUR UREAK	Total Main	Gearbox Input (hp)	3,390-3,440	3,110-3,480	2,130-2,410	1,080-1,370	64	Actor Torque = 3, Actor Torque = 56 Train Spied = 18 Sain Rotor, 1,243
	TABLE		Test Condition	mumt xek	3,000 hp	2,000 hp	1,000 np	Flat Pitch	• 100% 3410 - • 100% 7411 : •• 100% Drive 203.8 Fpm ::

۰.

:

]

1

Time (hr) ~~~~~~~~~~ 2.6 2.3 Drive Train Speed*** 100 40 100 100 100 100 91 - 94 108-110 100 100 Frictional Losses and Accessory Power (hp) 113-128 128-129 1128-129 1132-136 1132-136 1121-122 1127-129 133-136 30 125 30 Teil Rotor Torques Power (%) (hp) 288-339 288-339 198-232 266-339 277-328 266-350 180-218 180-218 153-175 10 254-367 159-181 100% Main Rotor Torque = 3,000 hp (77,312 ft-lb at 203.% rpm). 100% Tail Retor Torque = 565 hp (979 ft-lb at 3,030 rpm). 100% Drive Trein Speed = 18,966 rpm Engine Drive Shaft, 203.% rpm Main Rotor, 1,243 rpm Tail Rotor. 51-60 47-60 49-58 47-62 35-41 35-41 38-58 **45-65** 27-31 5 28-32 2,730-3 270 1,800-7,310 2,550-1,150 2,650-1,150 2,610-2,820 1,610-2,640 2,010-2,640 2,670-3,150 60 1,353-1,440 1,320-1,440 60 Main Rotor Forgues Fower (1) ENDURANCE TEST POWER LEVELS 91-109 60- 67 85-105 885-105 880- 83 60- 70 62- 80 89-105 = ₿ v 45-: otal Main Gearbox Input (hp) 3,080-3,600 100 2,120-3,740 2,120-2,3590 2,576-3,590 3,129-3,290 1,120-2,940 1,950-2,250 2,490-2,020 1,640-1,750 1,630-1,730 100 TABLE 11. Takeoff High Takeoff (Idle) Wilitary High Wilitary Low 100 NRP 808 NRP 808 NRP Test Condition Overspeed Single Engine Engine No. 2 Ground Idle Single D No. 2 No. 1 : .:

.

₽

2

.

	T			_		_			-										 			
		Test Condition	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual ngine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine	Dual Engine, Flat Pitch	Dual Engine, Ground Idle	Dual Engine, Overspeed	Dual Engine, Underspeed	Single Engine No. 1	Single Engine, No. 2				
	71me	(nr)	0.2	1.2	4.9	8.6	10.6	3.7	1.6	2.2	0.2	1.5	4.8	4.9	4.0	4.0	2.6	2.7				
	Drive Train Speedeee	6	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	C4	108-110	91- 94	100	100				
	Total Muin Gearbox Input	(du)	3,740	3,480-3,600	3,320-3,490	3,190-3,330	3,070-3,220	2,810-2,930	2,370-2,410	2,120-2,170	1,800	1,210-1,370	490	100	2,490-3,020	1,960-2,250	1,640-1,750	1,639-1,730				
	Friction Losses and Accessory Power	(db)	138	117	135-136	134	133-134	131-132	129	128	126	122-123	118	30	129-132	127-129	125	125	ft-15 at 201 B rom)		n Drive Shaft,	
R SPECTRUM	Rotor Power	rdu)	919	294-339	254-367	283-339	289-322	255-351	204-272	198-209	170	96-104	9	2	232-342	380-218	181-651	153-175	CIF 771 ad 0	hp (979 ft-11	66 rpm Engine	pm Tail Rotor
TEST FOW	Torque	3	60	52-60	45-65	50-60	51-57	47-62	36-48	35-37	30	17-18	2	Ś	38-55	35-41	28-32	27-31	00 2 - 00	que = 565	sed = 18,9	r. 1.243 r
TABLE 12.	Rotor Power	rdui	3,270	3,060-3,150	2,916-3,000	2,790-2,880	2,610-2,760	2,400-2,550	1,980-2,010	1,800-1,630	1,500	990-1,140	330	60	2,010-2,640	1,630-1,970	1,350-1,440	1,320-1,440	Main Rotor Tor.	Tail Actor Tore	Drive Train Spe	CUM MAIN ROLOI
	Torques	3	109	102-105	97-100	93-96	87-92	80- 85	66- 67	60- 61	20		11	<u>،</u>	08 - 29	60- 70	45- 48	44- 48	seat .	1001	1001	203.8

Preceding page blank

TABLE 13.	FUEL CONTROL/SPEED	SELECTOR SENSITIVITY	
Condition (hp)	Fuel Consumption (lb/hr)	Fuel Control Shaft Position (deg)	
No. 1 Engine			
483	400	78	
720	490	81	
1110	660	87	
1508	810	90	
1728	910	111	:
No. 2 Engine			
523	420	71	
656	490	72.5	
1079	670	77.5	
1479	840	82.0	
1671	920	83.0	



Figure 38. Fuel Control Speed Selector Sensitivity Graph

85 Preceding page blank

ENGINE PERFORMANCE

Performance of the YT58-GE-16 engines was generally good during the test, although specified power levels could not be reached primarily due to hot-day performance loss and the absence of any power margin. Several minor engine-related operational and maintenance problems were ncountered and were resolved.

In view of earlier observations of fast-starting characteristics of these engines, the flow dividers were set on JP-4, while in fact JP-5 fuel was used during the test program. This procedure produced acceptable starting characteristics. Typical values of parameters measured during starts were: 21 to 24 percent starter Ng; between 3 and 10 seconds to light off; between 15 and 30 seconds to reach ground idle; maximum T5, 736°C Number 1 and 754°C Number 2; and starter dropout at 45-50 percent Ng. All are within limits. Typical operating data for both engines is shown for various powers in Table 14. These parameters remained within specified tolerance levels throughout the test program.

Performance Data

Standardized engine performance data for both engines is presented in Tables 15 and 16 and is plotted in Figures 39 through 42. This data is from single-engine runs on several days during the tiedown program and is corrected for local outside air temperature and barometric pressure. General Electric test cell data for these engines is also shown in the figures. Good agreement between test cell and tiedown data is obtained.

Temperature Data

Typical conjunct component temperature data, corrected to a 15°C standard day, is listed in Table 17 for various power levels, along with nominal limits and maximum observed temperatures. Since the engines were uncowled, all temperatures were well below limits.

1			1
		Over-	400 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40
		Military Power	890 930 814 814 814 101.8 100.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 110 43 43
		1001 NRP	840 900 785 785 1001.7 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6 1000.6
I	+ 100	308 NRP	830 890 1778 1778 100000 1000000
	lest Condi	801 NRP	830 840 769 999 1000 1000 14 14 14 14
		60 8 NRP	660 650 650 650 650 95.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0
		Flat Pitch	325 325 500 500 500 1000 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
ENGINES.		Ground Idle	130 650 650 334.7 739 739 739 739 739 739 739 739 739 73
TABLE 14. OPERATING DATA, YT58-GE-16		. Parareter	<pre>Nc. 1 Fuel Flow (1b/hr) No. 2 Fuel Flow (1b/hr) No. 1 T5 (°C) No. 1 Gas Generator Speed (\$)* No. 1 Eas Generator Speed (\$)* No. 1 Free Turbine Speed (\$)* No. 1 Free Turbine Speed (\$)* No. 1 Engine Oil Temperature (°C) No. 1 Engine Oil Temperature (°C) No. 1 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si) No. 2 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si) No. 1 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si] No. 2 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si] No. 2 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si] No. 2 Engine Oil Pressure (\$si] No. 1 Engine Pressure (\$si] No. 1 Engine</pre>

ł

TABLE 15.	VT58-GU-16 UNGINE	STANDARDIZHD PENPON	WANCE DATA, NUMBER C	DE L'NGINE S/N	297004
	Constitution 2001	Theorem the	Gar Convertor	Chaft	Pacine.
Tany	Spectrac f det			14 min	Putito Chafe Coord
Consumption,	consumption,	.emperature,	, paade	HOLS COMEL	nt the sugt a sheet'
	W +/SHP	Te // **		Sup / 4/0	2
(Jp7hr)	(du-rh/dl)	(8 ⁸)	" (rpm)	(dy)	(rpm)
883	.522	1.968	26.271	1.668	18,985
508	529	1,876	26.298	1.671	16.985
	•	1 576	24 541		18 966
892	• 244	1,858	26,401	1,632	T90' 6T
881	•	1,850	26,117	•	18,947
695	•	1,701	24,732	•	18,966
666	•	1.715	24,732	•	18,947
861	•	1,838	26,013	•	18,985
852	.517	1,845	25,965	1,609	19,061
847	.514	1,843	25,940	1,610	19,080
926	530	1.928	26,611	1.746	19,004
857	•	1,903	26.272	*	19.080
879	*	1.876	26,168	•	18,909
868	. 505	1,809	26.143	1.673	19,061
777	•	1.796	25,500	- -	16,985
384	- 796	1.422	22.514	468	19.023
121	654	1 498	23.256	699	19.042
764	573	1 649	24.325	. 078	18.966
000	512	1 787	105.20	1.265	18.947
2.23	508	1,856	26.092	1.666	19.061
494	690	1 519	107 20		19 042
6 C Y	591	1.661	24.422	1.081	18.947
		1.597	23,986	• •	18,890
	•			•	
726	•	I,748	24,962	•	19,004
* Dual	i engine data, horsep	ower split unknown			
• · · •	(T + 273.16)/288.16.	T = OAT in C			
	(Baromorrio argecurg	1/29.92 nressing	in inches of mercury		

ALC: NOT THE OWNER

]

Preceding page blank

Interturbine Temperature, T5/0 ** (*R)	Gas Generator Speed, Ng/(0 ** (rpm)	Shaft Shaft Horsepower, SHP/&/0 •••	Engine Drive Shaft Sp Nf (rpm)	, bed
1,885 1,885 1,885 1,858 1,859 1,859 1,859 1,859 1,755	26,375 26,375 25,936 25,936 26,336 26,332 26,323 26,323 26,323 26,323 26,323 26,323 25,912 25,44 22,555 22,555 22,555 23,555 23,555 23,555 23,555 24,555 25,555 26,335 26,3555 26,3555 26,3555 26,3555 26,3555 26,35555 26,35555 26,35555	1,668 1,704 1,668 1,668 1,668 1,668 1,668 1,649 1,6439 1,6	18,909 19,516 19,516 19,516 19,516 19,509 19,509 19,528 19,928 10,928 10,928 10,928 10,928 10,928 10,928 10	
Wer split unknown T = OAT in °C /29.92, pressure in	inches of mercury			
	1,885 1,895 1,895 1,851 1,858 1,858 1,856 1,856 1,876 1,876 1,864 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,487 1,5631	1,885 26,375 1,895 26,504 1,898 26,504 1,898 26,323 1,898 26,323 1,876 26,323 1,876 26,348 1,876 26,348 1,809 26,348 1,809 25,647 1,826 26,194 1,863 25,1912 1,443 25,553 1,608 25,194 1,443 25,553 1,657 23,733 1,911 26,334 1,911 26,334 1,911 26,334 1,653 23,680 1,653 24,475 1,736 23,344 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 23,687 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 24,525 1,563 26,555 1,563 24,525 1,563 26,555 1,563 26,555 1,563 24,525 1,563 26,555 1,565 24,555 1,565 24,555 1	1,885 26,375 1,668 1,895 25,936 1,706 1,887 25,935 1,640 1,898 25,945 1,640 1,898 26,324 1,640 1,898 26,324 1,666 1,876 26,324 1,666 1,871 26,324 1,666 1,871 26,324 1,666 1,872 25,680 25,647 1,666 1,864 25,553 1,666 1,443 25,563 1,649 1,666 1,443 25,553 1,666 1,433 23,733 24,475 1,652 1,435 23,353 1,619 1,435 23,355 1,94 1,015 1,435 23,355 1,94 1,015 1,653 23,355 1,94 1,015 1,653 23,355 1,94 1,015 1,653 23,355 1,049 1,653 23,355 1,049 1,655 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 1,049 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,555 2,5	1,885 26,375 1,668 18,905 1,851 25,935 1,704 18,965 1,851 25,935 1,704 18,965 1,858 25,935 1,640 19,535 1,876 26,324 1,640 19,535 1,876 26,324 1,640 19,004 1,876 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,876 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,871 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,871 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,871 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,871 26,324 1,666 18,990 1,871 26,324 1,566 18,990 1,871 26,194 1,566 18,990 1,867 26,194 1,568 19,004 1,867 25,555 1,049 19,042 1,867 26,194 1,628 19,042 1,867 26,194 1,658 19,042 1,867 26,194 1,658 19,042 1,857 <td< td=""></td<>

.

Preceding page blank

16

Ĺ





Preceding page blank



The state of the second states

Figure 40. Gas Generator Speed Versus Power Turbine Temperature



Figure 41. Specific Fuel Consumption Versus Power Turbine Temperature





TABLE 17.	ENGINE COMP	ONENT TE	MPERATURES		
	Tes	t Condit.	ion		
	Overspeed	NRP	Military Power	Maximum Recorded	G.E. Limits
No. 1 Engine					
	1				
AULT-ICE VALVE	58	49	58	77	204
Ignition Box	21	19	19	35	160
PMS Amplifier	67	54	63	96	
Starting Bleed Valve	26	19	27	1 Y	
Flow Divider	55	54	62	35	
Oil Cooler	67	49	54	2 4	201
Power Turbine Accessory Drive	84	99	. 0		
High-Speed Shaft	16	, c , c	2 7 7		501
T5 Harness) t 1	5 7 3	9 V2	010
Fuel Pump	33	29	32	1	1010
	•		1	4	777
No. 2 Engine					
PMS Amplifier	46	90	07	ġ	,
Stator Vane Actuator		с. Г.	ה ו שיר	89	121
			1	4 J	129
CURRENCE LOS ING	214	209	212	224	496
Store a lurbine Casing	1	8	1	1	621
stage 2 lurbine Casing	406	409	413	465	621
Compressor Casing, 12 o'clock	238	230	240	253	343
Compressor Casing, 3 o'clock	208	209	210	220	343
Compressor Casing, 6 o'clock	235	239	240	250	543
Compressor Casing, 9 o'clock	208	209	217	227	242
Exhaust Casing	392	389	391	465	621
NOTES: l. All vahle entries are temnerat					
2. All values except "Marimum Rec	utes in "C. Orded" and "C				
a 15°C standard day.			LS ALE CO	DELECTED TO	
 Maximum outside air temperatur 	e 33°C.				

Vibration Data

The engine vibration pickups mounted at the General Electric specified locations (Figure 24) indicated that the amplitudes of vibration were within the manufacturer's required limits of 3 mils double amplitude in steady state and 5 mils double amplitude transient (2 seconds maximum). Of the six pickups on each engine, the highest in amplitude are shown in the graphs of Figure 43. The G. E. limits are also shown for the engine crotch location. Number 1 engine vibration levels were less than those of Number 2 engine.

The vibration pickups located on the engine torque tube are not engine pickups and are therefore not subject to the G. E. limits. Plots for these pickups are presented in the graphs of Figure 43, where some evidence of a resonance is seen at 90 percent rotor speed. No limits have been determined for these locations; however, the vibration levels observed there may have contributed to the gimbal mount deterioration. Since these resonances are below normal operating speed and are not divergent, no safety problem is involved.

Oil Analysis/Consumption

The results of engine oil sample analysis are presented in Table 18. The threshhold limit criteria used are: Al-12 ppm, Fe-42 ppm, Cr-12 ppm. Any increase of 10 ppm between samples would warrant recommendations of investigation by the laboratory performing the analysis. These results show no damage or evidence of deterioration.

The oil consumption of these engines is listed in Table 19. The Number 2 engine developed an oil leak at 33.8 test hours which is responsible for the increase in consumption of that engine.



AL. -



	···	TABLE	18. EN	IGINE (DIL SAN	APLE AN	NALYSES	5		
Time (hr)	Al (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Ag (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	Mg (ppm)	Ti (ppm)	Ni (ppm)	Si (ppm)
<u>No.</u> 1	L Engi	ne								
12.6 24.2 54.2 57.6	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 4	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3
<u>No. 2</u>	2 Fingi	ne								
12.6 24.2 54.2 57.6	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 4	0 0 0 1	0 5 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 4	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 4

ALC: NO. OF

- T

·

ТАВ	BLE 19. ENG	INE OIL CON	SUMPTIC:: DATA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Test Time (hr)	Oil Added No. 1 Engine (cc)	Oil Added No. 2 Engine (cc)	Consumption Rate No. 1 Engine (cc/hr)	Consumption Rate No. 2 Engine (cc/hr)
35.5	-	-	234	246
39.6	600	600	146	146
43.7	590	1,130	144	276
47.6	54^	1,050	138	269
52.6	860	1,080	172	216
57.6	1,170	1,990	234	· 28

ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION PERFORMANCE

The roller gearbox main transmission performed very well during the tiedown test program. Fatigue cracks, though, were found in the second-row pinion rollers of the roller gear drive during the posttest teardown inspection. The gearbox ran cool, maintained good oil pressure and flow, and exhibited only minor operational problems. Manual effort required to turn the installed gearbox was considered high at the start of the test, but decreased to a relatively low level at the end of the 50-hour test. No evidence of metallic debris (beyond minor assembly debris) was detected in the gearbox chip detectors and filters throughout the test.

Test Data

At 2,000 main rotor horsepower, the measured cabin interior noise levels with the roller gear transmission were approximately the same as with a standard S-61 main gearbox when in hover flight. This comparison is illustrated in Figure 44. A full listing of noise levels of the roller gear transmission during tiedown tests at various power settings is given in Table 20.

	TABLE 20	ACOUSTIC TI	EST RESULTS	
Octave	Flat	1000 hp	2000 hp	3000 hp
Band	Pitch	(Main Rotor)	(Main Rotor)	(Main Rotor)
Center	Noise	Noise	Noise	Noise
Frequency	Level	Level	Level	Level
(cps)	(db)	(db)	(db)	(db)
Overall	111	114	115.5	118
31.5	89	93	96	97
63	97	100	102.5	109
125	103	105	108,5	109
250	104	104.5	107.5	109
500	106	107	111	116
1,000	105	106	103.5	105
2.000	102	100	110	108
4.000	101	104	104	105
8,000	102.5	103.5	104	105.5
Note: All m benea	easurement th roller	s caken in ain gear transmis	ccraft cabin d sion.	lirectly



FREQUENCY, CYCLES PER SECOND



Main gearbox lubrication system data at various power levels is presented in Table 21.

TABLE 21. OIL FLOW AN	D FRESSORE		
Parameter	Minimum Recorded Value (100% NR)	Maximum Recorded Value (100% NR)	Ground Idle, (50% NR)
Lube Oil Flow (gpm) Right Manifold (psi) Main Manifold (psi) Pump Outlet (psi) Cooler Outlet (psi) Left Manifold (psi) Roller Drive Inlet (psi)	22.8 30 34 68 42 34 22	24.4 40 41 80 48 39 26	16 17 20 42 26 18 12

TABLE 21. OIL FLOW AND PRESSURE, ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION

Main gearbox temperature data is presented in Table 22 in terms of typical temperatures at various power levels, corrected to a 15°C standard day. Maximum observed temperatures are also shown. The highest observed temperature was 121°C at the left input stack bearing on a 33°C day. Redline temperature had been established at 140°C. The mean temperatures recorded in the back-to-back transmission test stand, also shown in Table 22, compared well with the tiedown test gearbox and were exceeded only on hot days.

Vibration levels at the five main gearbox locations (shown in Figure 25) are included in the listing of Table 23. Each of these five locations showed a high-frequency vibration (3,500 cps or higher) due to gear clash and bearing frequencies from the roller gearbox. The lower frequencies observed can be attributed to drive shaft and rotor response characteristics.

TABLE 22. TRAN	SNISSI	ION TEM	PERATURI	S, ROLLER	GEARBOX	
			Tes.	t Conditi	uo	
Thermocouple Location	808 NRP	1008 NRP	Over- Speed	Military Power	Maximum Recorded	Benc: Test Hean Temp
Input Stack Bearing Left	89	104	82	101	121	115
Input Stack Bearing Right	92	106	83	103	119	115
Input Pinion Roller Bearing Left	1	1	1	1	ı	110
Input Pinion Roller Bearing Right	ł	,	1	95	114	110
Input Jear Roller Bearing Left	82	96	34	63	114	105
Input Gear Roller bearing Right	79	94	80	06	109	105
Input Gear Housing Left	87	103	06	66	120	115
Input Gear Housing Right	89	105	16	96	119	115
Freewheel Roller Bearing Left	73	87	75	84	103	100
Freewheel Koller Bearing Right	75	06	76	86	106	100
Main Rotor Shaft Roller Bearing	55	70	54	65	82	06
Outer Shaft Rouler Bearing	71	86	72	81	101	98
Outer Snaft Housing	77	92	77	88	108	98
Tail Takeoff Housing Forward	76	06	76	87	107	100
'rail Takeoff Ball Bearing	51	66	50	75	109	80
Tail Takeoff Drive Roller	54	70	52	77	107	80
Main Rotor Ball Bearing	68	84	68	80	66	105
Roller Gear Post No. 1	56	72	54	80	103	001
Roller Gear Post No. 2	68	83	67	77	98	100
Roller Gear Post No. 3	58	75	55	78	95	100
Roller Gear Post No. 4	56	72	53	71	89	100
Roller Gear Post No. 5	61	76	58	76	95	100
Roller Gear Post No. 6	58	76	57	76	106	100
Roller Gear Post No. 7	59	75	55	75	97	100
Adaptor Housing Driver	39	41	27	42	61	70
Adaptor Housing Driven	33	54	37	55	69	70
Oil-Cooler In	70	86	69	80	102	\$ \$
Oil-Cooler Out	Գ	62	42	61	80	70
Notes: 1. All table entries are 2. All values except "May	tempe Kimum	ra tures Recorde	in °C.	"Test Star	"anang ju	D
corrected to a 15°C st	andarc	l day.			2	
3. Redline temperature i 4. Maximum outside air te	s 140° empera	C. tire 33	٢			
			;			

TABLE 23.	VIDRATION SURVEY	, ROLLEN GI	AR AIRCIMFT						
Vibration Parameter	Maximum Level	Frequency (cps)	Maximum F Level	(sdo) (cbs)	Max1mum Level	Frequency (cps)	Test	: Condition	
Main Gearbox Input Left	0.2-0.7 in./sec	3,500	0.2 In./sec	340	0.7 in./sec	19	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Main Gearbox Input Right	0.2 in./sec	3,700	0.5 in./sec	340	0.8 in./sec	19	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Main Gearbox Rear Cover Vertical	0.5 in./sec	5,000	1.0 in./sec	60	,	ı	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Main Gearbox Rear Cover Lateral	0.35 in./sec	6,900	0.5 in./sec	60	ł	1	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Main Gearbox Rear Cover Longitudinal	0.3 in./sec	4,300	0.7 in./sec	60	•	ł	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Cooler Shaft Vertical	0.2 in./sec	1,140	2.0 in./sec	17	ı	1	1008 NRP, N	eutral Convrols	
Tail Bearing Vertical	0.2 in./sec	360	0.5 in./sec	55	0.4 in./sec	19	Cverspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Intermediate Gearbox	2.5-3.0 g	550	2.5 9	54	ı	ł	1000 NRP, L	eft Pedal	
Tail Genrbox Input	1.0-4.5 g	30.0	1.0 g	20	ı	ł	1000 NRP, L	eft Pedal	
Tail Gearbox Output	0.8-2.0 g	600	0.7 9	22	ı	F	Overspeed,	Neutral Controls	
Pilot Vertical	0.4-1.2 g	165	0.3 g	17	ı	ı	1004 NRP, F	orward Cyclic	
Pilot Lateral	0.05 g	600	0.2-0.4 g	140	0.3 g	11	1004 NRP, F	orward Cyclic	
Note:									
When the measured vibrati	ion is composed of	more than							

When the measured vibration is composed of more than one frequency component, the amplitude and frequency of each component are listed.

ł

105

. '

Operational Problems

When the Number 2 engine was driving the main transmission at 100 percent rotor speed and the Number 1 engine speed was being accelerated for engagement, the freewheel unit was observed to fail to engage immediately and allowed the engine to overshoot by about 5 percent. The Number 2 freewheel unit also occasionally malfunctioned in this manner. The problem was first noted after 2.0 hours of test time. A temporary solution was to bring Number 1 up to 100 percent speed slowly, so that the freewheel unit engagement forces were low.

An improved main gearbox breather system was installed after 3.2 hours of test time to stop transmission oil leakage through the breather. This breather is the same as that used on the CH-53 accessory gearbox.

The main gearbox "Air Maze" filter was checked after 13.6 hours of test. After reinstallation, it began to leak due to distortion of the filter cover. A new cover was installed and the problem was eliminated. Figure 45 shows the location of this filter and the lube oil fitting which leaked oil at 29.5 test hours, when the fitting threads were found to be stripped due to too shallow engagement. The problem was solved by reworking the fitting to ensure deeper thread engagement. No further leakage occurred.





107 Preceding page blank

INSPECTION, ROLLER GEAR TRANSMISSION

After the 50-hour tiedown test was completed, a teardown inspection of the roller gear transmission was conducted. The visual and non-destructive testing results are presented in Table 24 for the roller gear unit components and in Table 25 for the remaining gearbox components, excluding the roller gear transmission. The results are summarized below.

Visual inspection of the roller gear components revealed slight surface distress on the lower small-diameter rollers of firstrow pinions serial numbers 55 and 56 (Figure 46). As seen from Figure 47, which shows the assembled location of the roller gear components, the identity of the bearings and post within the second-row gear and the appropriate thermocouple (T number), the second-row gear, serial number 60, mated with these pinions. The second-row gear rollers showed only faint markings due to the rolling action with these pinions. Examination of the first-row pinion distressed area revealed a frosted surface approximately 0.12 inch wide around the circumference. This area started on the roller surface where the crown relief of the second-row roller commenced.



Figure 46. Frosted Roller Surface, First-Row Pinion

As a result of insufficient blending of the first-row pinion roller radius serial number 69, the sun gear exhibited a hard line close to the shoulder radius on the upper roller. Apart from these surfaces, all other roller surfaces were in excellent condition. The second-row gear rollers still retained the Dulite (black oxide) coating that was applied for corrosion protection.

The gear teeth on all the roller gear components showed excellent contact patterns with only negligible signs of wear.



ing the construct of the court part the South of

1011 102 W. A

÷

Figure 47. Roller Gear Assembly

The second-row gear assemblies all exhibited slight fretting corrosion between the outer race of the spherical roller bearing and the gear bore. Figure 48 shows the extent of this fretting. Experience has shown that this type of fretting corrosion is not detrimental. Figure 48 also shows the slight fretting corrosion around the holes through which the taper lock bolts fastened the gear/flange assembly to the second-row gear. This corrosion was caused by flexure of the gear/flange assembly induced by the ring gear mesh forces. This same flexure caused wear of the spacer (shown in Figure 48) which was used to provide axial clamp-up of the outer race of the bearing.

Both left and right input bevel pinions shower. Scinct tip interference from mating gears. Figure 49 shows the hard line resulting from this interference. The gear tooth bearing pattern is barely discernible in the picture, but is identical to the desired pattern. Visual inspection of the mating bevel gear revealed a line along the tip of the drive side of the gear teeth. The edge break along this tip was 0.004 inch. This was insufficient and resulted in the line on the input pinion. Also visible in Figure 49 is slight fretting between the roller bearing and the pinion shaft. This was not considered to be detrimental to shaft or bearing operation.

The left-hand freewheel unit had a tendency to engage late (i.e., overshoot). Inspection of this unit showed all the components to be in satisfactory condition; however, eight of the fourteen rollers exhibited wear marks from chucking in the cage pockets. Corresponding wear marks were visible in the cage pockets. Dimensional inspection of the rollers showed them all to be within +0.0001 inch, and similar inspection of the cam and housing revealed them to be within drawing tolerance. Comparison with the right-hand freewheel unit revealed no differences except for the wear bands on the left-hand rollers. During the 200-hour endurance test, chucking on both left- and right-hand freewheel units occurred. Stronger springs were insulled for the 50-hour tiedown test, increasing the force on the cage from 2.1 lb to 2.6 lb when in the driving mode. This markedly decreased the degree of chucking on both units. Future tests will be conducted using springs which will provide an increased force on the cage of 3.1 lb when in the driving mode.

Apart from slight fretting oxidation on free-floating quill shaft splines, the remaining transmission dynamic components Were in excellent condition. Gear teeth contact patterns on the accessory gears and adaptor box gears were excellent.



WITH ALLAN

Figure 48. Fretting, Second-Row Gear Assembly



ないである。日本のでは、「「「「」」」」」

Figure 49. Wear Pattern, Input Sevel Pinion

9K:	· · ·		OLLER G	EAR UHT	T INSPECTION 1 50-NOUR TIEDON	53.2 12	T (5).40t 1)	and a sub-
Part Xunuar	FON	avne :	ature	suriul 30.	Visual Inspection	heff	Ultrannic, najlov, ur 2yglov Inspection•	Coursents
AGJ51-11181-041	50	eni) r	L	11	Goar tvoth excellent - llard line on uppur roller shoulder	<u>9</u>	Indicat ions	ifear mark caured by intuition crown bloading by first-row pinton 3/8 69
RGJ51-11182-062	1 # 6	202	pinion	3	Rollers and gear teath excellent	5	i mlications	,
RG J51-1112-062	146	101	pinion	56	Lorer inside roller exititit 1/3-inch-vide vear path - year teeth excetiont	2	Indications	Frosting of roller nurface
KG351-11182-062	lat	2	pinion	56	Lowur inside rollor exists 1/3-incu-wide wear path - gour teeth excullent	02	Indications	Frostiny of roller surface
RG J51-11132-062	186	201	pinion		Rollers and ynar teeth excellent	¢,	Indications	•
rG351-11182-062	lat	ror	pinion	99	uurnimi narku on inner Fullors - year teoth excellont	50 T	ndications	ŗ
RGJ51-11132-062	1# C	201	pinion	a 3	Nollers and grar teeth oxeellent - upper roller surface is not pollshed	No 1	.ndications	9
RG151-11192-067	1s c	201	pinion	63	Hard ling on upper roll er year teoth excellent	102	ndications	Insuffictent crown blend with odje radius
RGJ51+11131+067	2nd	201	pinion	45	Rollers and year testh uxcellent	Crac	k indication at r roller weld*	Crack originating from
RG351-11181-062	2nd	rov	pinion	65	Rollors and your toeth excellent	Crac	k indication at r roller weld*	Crack originating from EB wold
RGJ51-11181-062	2nd	202	pinion	09	Rullers and year touth excellent	Crac	k Indication at r roller weld*	Crack originating from ED weld
RGJ51-11181-062	2ni	LON	pinion	Ģ	Rollors and year teath awallent	Crac	k indication at r roller weld*	Crack originating from EH weld
RG351-11181-062	24	Š.	pinion	3	Rollors and gear testi excellent	X0 L	ndications*	8

.

[]]

-
T
_
2
÷.
T
5
e
A

n sailar à

OLLIK GUAK UMIT INSPLETION 50-HOUR TIEDOM TEST (Sheat 2)	ultragate, Serial Serial Aspection Maynaylow or 27910w Lure No. Visual Inspection Inspection. Corrects	pinion 65 Rollers and gear teeth Crack indications at Crack originating from excullent KD wold	pinion 71 Hollers and gear teeth Crack indications at Crack originatiny from exectiont EB weld	03 Lixcullent No indications -	ey 07 Excellent No indications -	sy 04 Excellent %0 indications -	plate 04 7 toth located sym- No indications Reaction torgue deflected in the shibit full to indications the second of the second se	- Excellent No indications -	- Excollent No indications -	- Excellent No indications -	- Excollent No indications -	- L'xcollent No ŝndicationa -	- Excellent No indications -	- Excellent No indications -	gear oi Geur pattern excellent No indications -	sy Del Encontecte No indications	t ' Excilent No indications -	09 Trxc//lent No India tions -	14 E/dellent No indications	10 Excellent No indications -	
ACHI TIKU N	rial Xo.	65 Rolla excul	71 Kolle exect	03 Lxcul	07 Excel	04 Lixcol	04 7 toe netri face	- Excel	- Excol	- Excel	- Excel	- Lxcel	- Excel	- Excel	01 Gear	Jul 13101	L toxa	1/jox.1 60	14 EVcel	10 Excel	
ורה 24. מסונוגא פהע	Sound lature	2nd rov pinion	2nd row µinton	dub	Plate assy	Plate assy	Splined plate	Sut	Xuc	.duc	Хис	Xut	Nuc	Nuc	oil pump gear	Plate assy	Post	Post	Post	Post	
0VL	Part Numbor	RG351-11181-062	HG351-11181-062	RG351-11105-103	RGJ51-11185-041	RG351-11176-041	HGJS1-11107-101	RG351-11173-101	NG351-11173-101	10(-0111-15034	101-CT111-12CDN	RG351-11173-101	RG351-11173-101	101-67111-12CDR	RG351-11139-101	RG351-11186-041	RG351-11177-101	RG351-11177-101	RG351-11177-101	NG351-11177-101	

ľ

:

Wear due to working of 2nd row year/flange assy Wear due to working of 2nd row goar/flange assy Hear due to working of 2nd row gear/flange assy Wear due to working of 2nd row year/flange and Wear due to working of 2nd row gear/flanye assy Wear due to working of 2nd row gear/flange assy Near due to working of 2nd row gear flange assy Excellent year patterns Connonts Ultrannic, Mıçniylov, or 2yqlov anipection [Ghuet]] No indications BUOLINALSADOR ON No indications TABLE 24. ROLLER GLAR UNIT INSPECTION: 50-HOUR TIEDORI TEST Vinual Inspection* Vace vorn .001 - .003 Face worn .001 - .003 Pace worn .001 - .003 Face worn .001 - .003 Gnar tweth secontant · Indicates crack found by Ultrasonic Inspection. Excellent Excollent 1.xcullont **Cxcollent** LXCellont Excultant Excellent Lxcollont Serial No. 2 ő 2 2 610 P17 P.2.7 723 đ 2 Spherical A-s thuerscal hry Spherical Brg Spherical Bry Spherical urg sphorical ury Spherical May No.10nclature Riny sear Spar cr HG351-11179-101 Spacer Shuror spacor Spacer Spacer Spacer Poat Post RG351-11179-101 ac151-11179-101 101-67111-12031 101-67111-1205 86351-11184-041 KGJ51-11179-101 RC351-11177-101 RG351-11179-101 101-11111-101 Part Number DAV CACSC DAV CICSS 22313 VAG 22313 VAG 22313 VAC 2221 × VAG 223333 VAG And the second is not a second s

J.

Preceding page blank 117
Preceding page blank

TAI	BLE 25. ROLLER (50-110UR	TIEDOW	N TEST (Sheet 1)	GEAR CUMPONENIS)	
Part Number	Nomenclature		Visual Inspection	Magnaylow or Zyglow Inspection	Connents
RG351-11113-101	Input hevel 311	60	Hard line in teeth root - Slight fretting between roller bearing and pinion	No indications	llard line caused by insufficient teeth edge chamfer on driven gear
M1212VN9-SitbR-A 1584	Stack brgs RH	109	Excellent	1	ŧ
SB2154-1	Roller brg Hi	115	Excellent	ŧ	t
RG351-11117-102	Nut RII	ı	Excellent	No indications	1
RG351-11117-101	Nut Rif	ı	Excellent	No indications	,
RG351-11113-101	Input bevel Li	01	Mard line in teeth root - Slight fretting between roller hearing and pinion	No indications	llard line caused by insufficient teeth edge chamfer on driven gear
11212VИ9-SMBR- А1584	Stack brgs LH	106	Excellent	ı	۰.
Sb2154-1	Roller bry LH	111	Lxcellent	ŧ	
RG351-11117-102	Nut LH	ı	Excellent	No indications	•
kG351-11117-101	Nut Ll:	1	Excellent	No indications	1
RG351-11117-103	Nut LU	ı	Excellent	No indications	8 .
111-KS-400	Bearing RH	t	Excelient	ł	ı
NAS 1493-14	Nut RI	1	Excellent.	No indications	ŧ
RG351-11146-101	Quill shafc RH	60	Int & ext splines show slight fretting	No indications	Reguires improved lubrication
RG351-11139-102	Bushing RH	1	Excellent	1	ı
1838-JD-400-B	Dall brg	E22	Excellent	ł	ı
RG351-11109-102	Nut RII	1	Excellent	No indications	ı
RG351-11136-101	Pin (2 pcs) RH	1	Excellent	•	3

LAS AND ADD AND A DAMAGE

Excellent load pattern Insufficient chamfers on edge of gear testi Common occurrence Requires improved lubrication Common occurrence Connents ŧ Magnaglow or Zyglow Inspection ROLLER GEARBOX INSPECTION (EXCLUDING ROLLER GEAR COMPONENTS) 50-10003 TIEDOWN TEST (Sheet 2) No indications Slight fretting about wounting holes in flange Hard line on teeth edge Visual Inspection Slight fretting on ext spline Slight fretting about holes in mounting Excellent **Excellent** scriul No. 1336 ĥ 466 90 88 3 60 6 ង E16 203 1 1 A housing RH Bevel shaft Rif devel gear RH Roller brg RH Roller RH (14 pcs) Roller brg RH Spur shaft Ril RG351-11132-101 Spur gear RH Nonenclature Duplex b**all** brg RH RG351-11139-101 Bushing RH Housing RH Caye RII Nut RII Cam Ref Nut RI Hut RH NUE RH RG351-11133-041 RG351-11117-104 RG351-11128-101 RG351-11126-041 RG351-11109-101 RG351-11117-103 RG351-11135-101 RG351-11131-101 RG351-11129-101 RG351-11143-101 RG351-11134-101 MR-1922-C-400 Part Number sa 1056-2 SB2157-2 X3762

Preceding page blank

121

No indications

Excellent

Bearing LH

Nut LH

A1-6611 2AN 111-KS-400

Excellent

的现在分词 arra arrada da sina mana a radio a radio di mara Crasta na anca in sa di mata di mana di mana di seconda da se

TABLE 25.

LA LA	BLE 25. ROLLER (50-HOUR	TICDON	N TEST (Sheet 3)	R GEAR COMPONENTS)	
Part Number	Nonenclature	bertal	Visual Inspection	'layna,low or Zyglov Inspection	Connents
101-94111-15631	Juill shaft Lii	50	lixt & int spline slight frettiny	io indications	Reguires improved Lubrication
RG351-11139-101	Bushiny Ll	ı	Ľxcollent	•	1
1838-JD-400-B	Ball bry Lil	67	Ľxcellent	1	ı
RG351-11109-102	Nut LH	1	Excellent	No indications	ł
RG351-11136-101	Pin (2 pcs) Lll	,	Excellent	ŧ	1
RG351-11135-101	Cage Lil	01	licar narks in corner pockets	No indications	Rollers chucking
RG351-11133-041	Cam Lil	05	Excellent	No indications	Exceilent load pattern
RG351-11117-104	Nut LII	•	Excellent	No indications	1
RG351-11117-104	Nut LH	ı	Excellent	No indications	9
S42157-2	Roller bry LH	443	Excellent	·	•
RG351-11131-101	Spur shaft LH	1	Excellent	No indications	ı
RG351-11132-101	Spur gear Lll	01	Lxcellent	No indications	8
RG351-11139-101	Jushing Lil	ı	Ľxcellent	·	•
X3762	Roller (14 pcs) LH	ı	All rollers exhibit wear bands	No indications	Rollers chucking
RG351-11128-101	Bevel gear LH	05	llard line on teeth edge	No indications	Insufficient chamfer on edge of teeth
RG351-11129-101	Bevel shaft LH	04	Slight fretting on internal spline	No indications	Insufficient lubrica- tion
SB1056-2	Duplex brg LH	1832	Ľxcellent	ı	1
RG351-11134-101	Cam hsg LH	86	Excellent	No indications	I

Preceding page blank

Excellent gear pattern Excellent gear pattern Requires more lubrication Insufficient lubrication Connents ۱ ilaynaylow or Zyglow Inspection ROLLER GEARBOX INSPECTION (IXCLUDING ROLLER GEAR COMPONENTS) 50-110013 TIEDOWN TEST (Shear 4) No indications 1 Visual Inspection Slight fretting on both external uplines Internal spline shows slight fretting Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent **Excellent** Excellent **Uxcellent** Excellert **Lxcellent** Excellent **L**xcellent Excellent Excellent Serial No. 3 03 50 3 2 ň 3 . ŧ Roller bry LH Nomenclature RG351-11157-101 Quill shaft Outer shaft Tinken brg Tinken brg Timken brg Timken brg Timken brg LL639219 Bavel year Bevel gear Nousing LM Oil tube RG351-11151-041 iisy assy RG351-11191-041 housing TABLE 25. RG351-11248-101 Spacer Spacer Nut LH Nut LH RG351-11156-102 Clip Nut Sut RG351-11143-101 RG351-1' 126-041 RG351-11155-101 RG351-11137-101 RG351-11153-101 RG351-11193-101 RG351-11266-041 RG351-11117-105 RG351-11178-101 RG351-11109-101 MR-1922-C-400 Part Number SB3253A-1 SiJ3253d-1 SB3602B-1 SB3602A-1 34306 34478

•

.

Preceding page blank

125

ենչերին երենսերերություն։

		1011-05	JR TILDO	a lest lance of		
	Part Number	Nomenclature	Scrial Ko.	Visual Inspection	Magnaylow or Zyglow Inspection	Contents
	NR-1340-C-400	Roller brg	11	Excellent		D
	RG351-11109-103	Nut	ı	Excellent	ilo indications	ı
	RG351-11212-101	Spur gear	02	Excellent	No indications	ı
	SB2132-1	Roller brg	3306	Lxccllent	ł	ı
	SB1106-1	Ball brg	T	Excellent	•	1
	B-107716	Seal	ı	Excellent	•	ı
	S6135-20053-3	Nut	ı	Excellent	ilo indications	1
	RG351-11211-101	Spur gear	05	Excellent	No indications	ı
	Si2102-1	Roller brg	3338	Excellent	·	•
	\$B1106-1	Ball brg	ł	üxcellent	3	ı
	B-107716	Seal	1	Excellent	J	ı
	S6135-20053-3	äut	ŧ	Excellert	No indications	1
در بر <u>سر ا</u>	RG351-11223-101	Gear shaft	90	Excellert	No indications	8
	SB2100-2	Roller brg	206	Excellent	t	ı
	RG351-11222-101	Gear	96	Ľxcellent	No indications	1
	RG351-11197-101	Gear	07	Excellent	No indications	ı
	WAS 1493-12	Nut	ı	Ľxcel lent	No indications	t
	SB1157-1	Ball brg	ı	Ľxcellent	1	3
	NAS 1493-13	Nut	ı	lixcollent	No indications	ı
	RG351-11196-101	Gear	04	Excellent	No indications	ð
	RG351-11209-101	Gear	03	Excellent	llo indications	ŧ
	HUI013EA35612	Roller brg	2	Ľkcellent	-	-

Preceding page blank

j

Part Number	Nomenclature	Serial io.	Visual Inspection	Magnaglow or Zyglow Inspection	Connents
SB2202-1	Roller bry	3342	Exccllent		•
Sullüs-1	Ball brg	131	Excellent	ı	ł
CB66-4	Seal	ı	Lxccllent	\$	ł
S6135-20053-2	Nut	I	Excellent	No indications	ŧ
Sf135-20181-2	Gear	723	Ľxcellent	No indications	3
Sb2102-1	Rcller brg	3347	Lxcellent	8	٩
Sull05-1	Ball brg	1	Excellent	•	•
C366-4	Seal	ł	Excellent	٦	
S6135-20053-2	Nut	ł	Excellent	No indications	1
RG351-11233-101	dund 130	1173	Slight fretting on internal square drive	t	Insufficient lubrication
RG351-11216-041	Elbow assy	003	Excellent	£	E
S6135-20053- '	Nut	ł	Excellent	No indications	8
SB1104-3	Ball brg	I	Excellent	ð	1
RG351-11216-101	Spacer	11	Excellent	No indications	ŧ
Sa2102-1	Roller bry	3338	Lxccllent	·	•
RG351-11214-101	Gear	05	Excellent	No indications	ı
RG351-11215-101	Quill shaft	71	slight fretting on external square drive	No indications	Insufficient lubrication
NAS1493-12	Nut	ı	Excellent	No indications	6
M211K4BRA1590	Ball brg	1	Ľxcellent	ı	\$
RG351-11255-101	Gear	05	Excellent	No indications	1

Preceding page blank

Ì

]

I

• .

der China and China Dawy in Arth News

TABLE 25. ROLLER GEARDOK INSPECTION (EXCLUDING ROLLER GEAR COMPONENTS) 50-HOUR THIDORA TEST (Sheet 7)

Part Number	Nomenclature	Serial No.	Visual Inspection	llagnaglow or Zyglow Inspection	Connents
Sal159-1	Ball brg	1	Excellent	Ĵ	Ð
NAS1493-10	Nut	1	Excellent	No indications	ı
21-E412AN	Nut	ı	Lkcellent	No indications	ı
M211KTBRA1596	Ball bry	•	Ľxcellent	f	•
NAS1493-12	Rut	,	Ľxcellent	No indications	ŀ
NAS1493-10	liut	ı	Excelient	No indications	•
SB1159-1	Ball brg	,	Ľxcellent	ð	•
RG351-11257-101	Gear	02	External spline shows slight wear pattern	No indications	Normal wear
HU-1012-LAR-3514	Roller brg	9	Excellent	·	ŀ
CB81-23	seal	ı	Excellent	ı	ł
S6137-23067-1	Flange	,	Ľxcellent	No indications	ŧ
S6137-23047-3	Nut	ŧ	Excellent	No indications	ı
RG351-11270-041	Coupling	ı	Excellent	No indications	ŧ
RG351-11270-041	Coupling	ı	Excellent	No indications	·
RG351-11160-042	llain rotor shaft	04	Excellent	No indications	ı
525519	Seal	ı	ı	ł	ł
R1838-C-400	Roller brg	63	8	ı	ł
RG351-11154-101	Spur gear	03	Excellent	No indications	I
CB63-4	Seal	ı	•	ı	·
SB1357-2	Ball brg		,	t	1

Preceding page blank

761

6	NDIE 25. FOLLER 50-11001	GEARBO	(Inspiration (Excluding Rolle A TEST (Sheet 8)	R GEAR COMPGNENTS)	
Part Number	Nomenclature	Scrial No.	Visual Inspection	Magnaylow or 2yglow Inspection	Comments
RG351-11194-101	Quill shaft	•0	Slight fretting at both ends	No indications	More lubricant required
RG351-11205-101	Quill shaft	02	Frettíng on large external splíne	No indications	Insufficient lubrication
56435-20713-1	Nut	ł	Excellent	No indications	6
S6135-20713-1	Nut	ı	Excellent	No indications	1
RG351-11256-101	Gear	02	Excellent	No indications	1
1211XUBRA1590	Ueariny (3)	ł	Excellent	P	ŧ
SU1159-1	Beariny	ı	Excellent	t	•
RG351-11251-041	llousing assy	60	Excellent	No indications	8
RG351-11253-041	Cover as sy	03	Excellent	No indications	\$
RG351-11102-041	lain hsg:	•0	Excellent	ſ	ł
SB2154-1	Bearing	111	Ľxcellent	£	ł
S02154-1	Deariny.	115	Ľxcellent	ı	£
MR1922C400	Bearing	10	Excellent	ł	0
MR1922C400	Bearing	E16	Excellent	ŧ	ı
su2100-2	Rearing	206	Excellent	ł	3
NG351-11104-041	Top coder assy	05	Excellent	ł	1
RG351-11158-041	Guide assy	60	Excellent	t	1
RG351-11186-041	Plate assy	•	Excellent	No indications	1
SD1357-2	Duplex brg	428	Excellent	\$	1
RG351-11119-341	Asse dund	B606	Excellent	1	-

remarking tresh din marking the state of the

Preceding page blank 551

6 9 K.

Ultrasonic Inspection, Roller Gear Components

The electron beam welds of the roller gear drive components were inspected by the pulse-echo ultrasonic inspection technique. Inspection was conducted in accordance with an ultrasonic inspection procedure develope' by Sikorsky Aircraft specifically for the roller gear electron-beam-welded components.

Prior to the start of the 50-hour tiedown test, ultrasonic inspection was performed on the electron beam welds of the roller gear components, and facsimile repordings C-scans were obtained. At the completion of the 50-hour test, this inspection was repeated, and the C-scans were compared to determine if any degradation had accrued in the weld. It was found that nome had occurred in the welds in the sun gear and the first-row pinion welds; however, the outer roller welds in the second-row gears, identified in Figure 50, did show indications of crack propagation at the root of the weld. Figures 51 and 52 show the ultrasonic inspection methods whereby the degradation of the welds was discovered.



Figure 50. Electron-Beam-Weld Locations, Second-Row Gear

Preceding page blank 135



TA MALANA MANA

Figure 51. Ultrasonic Inspection Equipment







Figures 53 and 54 depict the C-scans of the second-row gear roller welds before and after testing. The degradation can be seen in the lower roller welds of serial numbers 45, 59, 60, 63, 65 and 71. Also, slight degradation is visible in the upper roller welds of serial numbers 59 and 65. The C-scans are recorded on an 8.0-inch-diameter drum; the weld diameter is 9.03 inches. Thus, the indication depicted on the C-scans is slightly smaller than the actual crack in the part. To determine the nature and cause of the indication, the lower roller of second-row gear serial number 63 was partially removed to reveal a fractured zone 1.0 inch long by 0.22 inch wide. Figure 55 shows the fractured zone which was revealed by locating the zone from the C-scan and machining the case-hardened roller with a solid carbide endmill.

Metallurgical examination of the fractured surface revealed that the crack originated at the interface of coarse granular structure and refined grain structure. This material transition zone is between the weld melt and heat affected zone. Multiple origins could be witnessed which propagated along grain boundaries. This, and the findings that Fe304 (an iron oxide compound) in this coarse granular structure, indicates that the crack originated prior to the application of black oxide (Dulite). This mildly corrosion protective treatment is applied after completion of fabrication of the gear and after final inspection by immersing the gear in a bath of equal parts of sodium nitrate and sodium nitrite. A detailed report of a fractographic analysis of the fracture is given in Appendix A. An investigation into the effect of dynamic loading on the cracks in these roller welds, as conducted in a ground test facility, is reported in "Crack Propagation Tests".

GREASE-LUBRICATED TAIL AND INTERMEDIATE GEARBOXES

No problems were encountered with the grease-lubricated tail and intermediate gearboxes throughout the tiedown test program, and no maintenance was required. These gearboxes, modified for grease lubrication, were being tested in accordance with Contract DAAJ02-73-C-0005 for the U. S. Army Air Mobility Rcsearch and Development Laboratory.

Test Data

The bearing temperatures for various powers, including maximum recorded temperatures, are listed in Table 26 corrected to a 15°C standard day. The temperature limit of 145°C was never reached, the highest observed temperature being 113°C on the tail gearbox input inner bearing. One characteristic noted was the timedependent variation of the temperatures at a steady power level, as much as +5°C. This characteristic of grease-lubricated bearings has been observed in previous testing.

The overall maximum vibration levels for the two vibration pickups on the tail gearbox and the intermediate gearbox (as presented in Table 23) were higher than expected, and since Vibration monitoring was being considered as a possible failure detection method, an investigation was comducted into the characteristics of the vibrations. The vibration signals were subjected to a spectrum analysis, and the resulting plots are shown in Figures 56 and 57. The identifiable frequencies of interest are labeled in these figures. Intermediate gearbox spectrums were obtained early in the program and near the end, Figure 56. The plots show that a change occurred in both the character and the amplitude of the vibration as the test progressed. This change was also observed on oscillograph records as a change in waveform. No firm explanation for the change is offered since little experience has been gained in the measurement of gearbox spectrums on an operating helicopter, and none at all on grease-lubricated gearboxes. It is apparent that further work is required before vibration measurement and analysis may be used as a failure detection method. The spectrums presented here could be interpreted as indicating a deterioration of the intermediate gearbox, while in fact the postest inspection showed no discrepancy or indication of significant deterioration.

Spectrums for the two pickups on the tail gearbox are shown for reference in Figure 57. These spectrums were taken only once during the test. Oscillograth records again showed some variation in the amplitude and character of the waveforms from the tail gearbox pickups which did not appear to be dependent on test time.



j

Ultrasonic Inspection C-Scane, Second-Now Gear Prior to Test Pigure 53.

467

i arif 3 distribution designation of the last of the distribution of the distributi



141

Figure 54. Ultrisonic Inspection C-Scans, Second-Row Gear After 50-Nour Test

	040 HOIF	514 NDA0D
10F 0UTLA 80110M 0UTLA		S. N . S
IOF JUILE BOITOM OUTLE		0 S Z / S
109 OUTLA 40110M OUTLA		001/5
10F 0U14E B0110% CU11E		
10F DUI18 60110% OUI18		4422
107 04118 001104 04118		592
107 0UILA .		141

٢

o senieranica usodiaan maaa larendi oogene 13 m 2000 aasania ee araa. Beetadd daar wersena aa aa dhi aarmee



Figure 55. Fractured Roller Weld, Second-Row Gear

Same a cherical set

Preceding page blank

BEARING TEMPERATURES, INTERMEDIATE AND TAIL GEARBOXES TABLE 26.

		Test Con	dition		
•	608	808	\$00T	Over-	Maximum
	NRP	NRP	NRP	speed	Recorded
Bearing	(180-218 hp)	(266-355 hp)	(266-339 hp)	(232-342 hp)	
Tail Gearbox					
Tunit Onter	60	63	74	86	100
Thurt Thher	80	82	87	96	113
Ontront Onter	18	20	25	20	40
Outrut Middle	26	30	56	42	76
Output Inner	62	. 99	79	76	109
Intermediate Gearbox					
Incumute Center	40	46	46	54	78
Input Inner	38	50	51	63	85
Output Outer	21	26	54	48	75
Output Inner	31	38	49	44	85
NOTES :					
1. Table entries al 2 All values event	re temperatur ot "Maximum R	es in °C. ecorded" are (corrected to a	15°C standar	d dav.
3. Redline temperat	ture, 145°C.				•

4.

Maximum outside air temperature, 33°C.



シュンドの 目的 一般 きゅうのいちかい あみやまし

A START BULL AND A START OF A START







ł



147

Figure 57. Vibravion Spectrum, Tail Rotor Gearbox





ł

ļ

: {

Posttest Inspection

After the 50-hour tiedown test was completed, the greaselubricated intermediate and tail gearboxes were completely disassembled and visually inspected. The results of this inspection are presented below. 1

The MIL-G-83363 (USAF) grease lubricant in the intermediate gearbox showed no change in color or consistency from the as-new condition. A substantial amount of grease was retained in the gear mesh area as shown in Figure 58, and all gear teeth were coated with a film of lubricant. The gear teeth had a smooth, polished finish with no evidence of scoring or other surface distress. All bearings were in excellent condition with no evidence of surface distress or thermal damage. The bearing races and rolling elements all retained an ample film of lubricant. The gearbox lip seals evidenced no detectable wear or deterioration of the elastomer.

The grease in the tail gearbox exhibited a slight darkening with no detectable change in consistency. As in the intermediate gearbox, a substantial amount of lubricant was retained in the gear mesh area. The gearbox is shown with the input housing removed in Figure 59. The gear teeth also showed a film of grease. The teeth evidenced slight scoring as shown. This scoring is not considered to be a serious defect in light of the power levels at which the gearbox was operated.

The input and output gear shafts were magnetic particle inspected, and no crack indications were detected. The bearings were in good condition and showed substantial retained lubricant with no surface damage. The pitch control bearing showed some lubricant staining as well as a general darkening of the grease, but the rolling contact surfaces evidenced no distress. Both the input and output seals were in good condition with no detectable wear or elastomer deterioration.





CONTROL SYSTEM

No problems or any evidence of binding, interference, deflections, or buckling was found when both hydraulic systems were on (normal operation). All measured control system loads were found to be very low and typical of tiedown operations. Table 27 presents the maximum loads observed with both hydraulic systems on.

TABLE	27. CONTRO	L LOADS SURVEY
Gage Location	Maximum Load (1b)	Test Condition
Rotating Scissors Rotating Pushrod Stationary Scissors Stationary Star No. 1 Stationary Star No. 2 Stationary Star No. 3 No. 1 Servo Input Rod No. 2 Servo Input Rod No. 3 Servo Input Rod	31+ 79 375+125 13+ 25 188+250 312+125 188+312 1120 249 160	100% NRP Forward Cyclic 100% NRP Forward Cyclic 100% NRP Neutral Controls Overspeed Neutral Controls 100% NRP Neutral Controls 100% NRP Forward Cyclic Overspeed Neutral Controls Overspeed Neutral Controls Primary Servos Off
Note: The limiting load specified for the +1900 lb.	l for the co alpha-one	ontrol system was that bellcrank bracket of

In tests with one hydraulic system off, the following was found:

Auxiliary Hydraulic System Off

The control force measured at the cyclic stick grip increased from approximately 1 pound to 16 pounds when the auxiliary hydraulic system was turned off. The force increase was due to the frictional drag of the inoperative auxiliary servo and the friction of the control system between the auxiliary and primary servos. These control forces are considered to be unacceptable for normal flight operations in the event of an auxiliary hydraulic system failure.

Primary Hydraulic System Off

ት በማስከት በማስከት በሆኑ እና የትርጉሙ የሆኑ የሚሰሩ የትርጉሙ የሆኑ የሆኑ የስት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰሩት የሚሰ

Turning off the primary hydraulic system while under power resulted in a loss of main rotor torque, blades out of track, and a one-per-rev aircraft oscillation. Early in the program, this power loss was up to 300 hp at high power levels. An investigation of the problem at the end of the program showed a loss of up to 600 hp when the primary servos were turned off at main rotor power level of 1,700 hp. The blades-out-of-track condition was observed to be 6-8 inches, and a strong one-perrev vibration was experienced. Due to the severity of the condition, tests at higher power levels were not attempted.

CARACTER AND

1

Further investigations were conducted to resolve the problem. Four possible contributors were found: control system stiffness, main rotor blade pitching moments, alpha-one coupling wear and main rotor damper timing.

In normal operation, the primary servos provide a hard point in the control system just below the rotor head. When these servos are off, acting only as mechanical links, the spring rate presented to the swashplate is reduced significantly since the next hard point in the system is at the auxiliary servo. The addition of the analog mixer system to this aircraft has reduced the system spring rate from that of standard S-61 helicopters, where operation with primary servos off is avoided but not prohibited.

The main rotor blades on the test aircraft were units that had had many hours of service, had a wide range of serial numbers and had a history of repairs. Following the tiedown test these blades were subjected to dynamic blade balance on the Sikorsky blade balance test stand. It was found that a divergence of blade pitching moment characteristics occurred at high power levels. This difference in moments among blades resulted in an out-of-track condition when the swashplate assembly was not held rigidly, as when the primary servos were off. The soft control system can allow the swashplate to deflect in a one-per-rev motion, which appears as an out-of-track.

The alpha-one couplings, which replaced the rotating pushrods, incorporated Teflon bushings which are subject to wear and deformation. The resulting slop can exaggerate the out-of-track condition produced by the soft control system and blade pitching moment differences. At the conclusion of the tiedown tests, this slop was found to be excessive.

Posttest inspection and testing revealed variations in damping rate among dampers. This can also contribute to a one-per-rev vibration on the aircraft.

AIRFRAME

Relatively few airframe problems were encountered during the test program. Of primary concern was the transmission mount area, which had been a problem area with standard S-61 helicopters. High stresses were measured, and a crack did occur in this area (Station 290) near the end of the tiedown program.

Stress Survey

The maximum stress and load levels observed in the test program with the conditions at which they occurred are presented in Table 28. Also shown in the table are typical maximum S-61 flight stresses.

Transmission mount stresses are higher than maximum flight levels at each of the four stations monitored. At the transmission rear support gage, for example, $32,000 \pm 3,500$ psi was measured on tiedown, while $25,000 \pm 3,000$ psi is a typical maximum flight level. Some of the tail pylon measurements are also above flight levels. The primary reason for the increase is the higher power level obtained on tiedown (up to 3,000 main rotor hp) as opposed to the S-61 flight conditions (up to 2,000 main rotor hp). In addition, the distribution of loads within the airframe on tiedown produces an acceleration of loading not found in flight.

Vibration Levels

Maximum vibration levels with the condition at which they occurred are shown in Table 23. The vibration pickups located on the gearboxes also serve as pirframe vibration pickups. Primary attention was directed to the low-frequency components of these measurements. Although some of the vibration levels are excessive, they are typical of tiedown vibration levels, where the operation at high power close to the ground produces an unnatural environment for the aircraft.

At 46 test hours, a station 290 crack occurred adjacent to the transmission mount strain gage on the riveted cap strip of the transmission transverse rear frame. The test aircraft had not been updated to the latest strap configuration in this area. The crack originated at a drilled rivet hole in the cap strip. The crack was stop-drilled and no further progression was noted.

TABLE	28. AIRFRAME	STRESS 3UI	RVEY	
Gage Location	Maximum Stress (psi)	Test (F Condition	Typical Maximum S-61 light Test Data (psi)
Transmission Mounts				
Rear Frame - Right Rear Frame - Lower Rear Frame - Inboard Forward Attachment	28,000+3,000 32,000+3,500 27,500+2,500 25,620+2,000	1005 NRI 1008 NRI 1008 NRI 1008 NRI	P Forward Cycli P Left Cyclic P Left Cyclic P Right Cyclic	c 15,000+4,000 25,000=3,000
Tail Pylon				
Tail Pylon Hinge Tail Pylon Hinge Tail Pylon Hinge Tail Pylon Hinge Stabilizer Attachment Stabilizer Attachment Tail Cone 6 o'clock position Tail Cone 4 o'clock position Tail Cone 3 o'clock position Tail Cone 1 o'clock position	5,700+1,240 11,400+2,900 8,300+1,240 11,900+1,240 1,600+1,240 2,060 6,190 6,190	1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR 1008 NR	P Left Pedal P Left Pedal P Left Pedal P Left Pedal P Left Pedal P All P Left Pedal P Left Pedal P Neutral Contr P All	10,500+3,300 9,100+2,400 10,700+2,400 7,700+2,200 8,150+6,600 8,150+6,600 4,000+ 900 650 01s 4,700+ 750

155

などのなんだとないというないました

ŗ

After 38.5 test hours, c skin crack occurred adjacent o a longitudinal stringer on the starboard topside of the t il cone. The tail cone skin of a tied-down S-61 type aircraft is prone to "oil-canning", and skin cracks of this sort are not considered serious. The crack was stop-drilled and patched. No further progression was noted.

and a second second

- C - C

After 46 test hours, a main blade tip cap was found cracked at the transition area from heavy to light gage construction; the cracked unit was replaced.

CRACK PROPAGATION TEST, SECOND-ROW GEARS

Upon completion of the 50-hour tiedown test, the sun gear, first-row pinion and second-row gears of the roller gear unit were subjected to ultrasonic inspection in order to verify the integrity of the electron beam welds. It was found that six of the seven second-row gears showed degradation in the lower roller weld when compared to ultrasonic C-scans obtained prior to testing. Examination of the C-scans shows that degradation occurred along a line of voids similar to those associated with weld pullout. The similarity of the degraded area in only the lower roller weld prompted reexamination; however, this only confirmed previous results. Removal of the degraded area by machining revealed that initial fracture probably resulted from excessive residual stresses due to welding and that fatigue cycling propagated the size of the initial fracture zone.

Investigation of the welding procedures used revealed the use of identical welding schedules for both top and lower roller welds. The only discrepancy in procedure was that a 24-hour time lag occurred between welding of the lower roller and stress relieving immediately after welding of the top roller. This agrees with the fractographic results documented in Appendix A that residual stresses due to welding resulted in initial fracture.

ROLLER GEAR BACK-TO-BACK TEST FACILITY

Further testing was conducted in order to determine the fatigue crack propagation rate of the remaining six second-row gears. This was conducted in a back-to-back test rig which subjects two roller gear units to 3,000 hp at 203 rpm. This facility, depicted in Figure 60, shows two roller gear units supported from the spherical roller bearings in the second-row pinions. The test roller gear is the upper unit; the slave, the lower unit. The input sheave, driven from a 150-hp electric motor, transmits power through the torque tube drive shaft to the upper and lower torque plates, whereby load is statically applied to both roller gear units. Drive is then transmitted to the upper roller year unit via the quill shaft. The ring gear output of this unit drives the ring gear of the slave and is the input for the lower roller gear unit. The lower quill shaft closes the torque loop by its attachment to the torque tube drive shaft. The torque from each unit is reacted through the spherical bearings of the second-row gears to the stationary posts and hence into the framework of the test rig. Torque is measured and monitored through slip rings from the calibrated strain-gaged torque tube drive shaft.

Lubrication of each roller gear unit is by methods similar to that used in the aircraft gearbox. Lube oil is fed into a manifold which directs oil to the spherical bearings in the second-row gears. A separate feed line supplies oil to seven probe jets which direct oil to the outgoing mesh of each gear and roller contact. A central membrane separates the two roller gear units and prevents any debris from the upper unit contaminating the lower unat. The exiting lube oil from each chamber passes through separate, continually monitoring, magnetic chip detectors before being combined at a facility oil pump.

CRACK PROPAGATION TESTS

The test unit was comprised of the roller gear unit components utilized during the 50-hour tiedown test. A replacement second-row gear was substituted for the dissected gear.

A 100-hour high-load test was proposed with ultrasonic inspections being conducted at 15, 25 and 100 test hours. After 15 test hours, at a steady 2,640 hp at 203 rpm, ultrasonic inspection revealed no significant increase in the size of the weld fracture zone. Similarly, after a further 25 hours of testing at 3,000 hp, no increase in flaw size was noticeable.

At 57 hours 45 minutes test time, the test was terminated. Initial indication came from the chip detector for the upper (test) roller gear unit. Examination of the particles indicated the necessity to remove the unit for further examination, whereupon second-row gear serial number 64 was found to be fractured.

CRACK PROPAGATION TEST RESULTS

Examples of the ultrasonic C-scan results at 15 and 40 test hours and at termination of testing are shown in Figure 61. When compared to the C-scans taken prior to the commencement of the crack propagation test, it can be seen that crack propagation was negligible.

Examination of second-row gear serial number 64 revealed that it was fractured into two pieces, as seen from Figure 62. Initial fracture occurred at the bearing bore weld; when this area fractured, secondary fracture occurred in the area of the outer roller weld due to the large leverage load from the unsupported ring gear mesh forces. Extensive pounding on the fracture surface prevented location of the initial fracture origin, but sufficient evidence was visible to show that fractare progressed from voids at the root of the weld to the inside bearing bore, Figure 63. The secondary fracture originated from the stress concentration notch at the roller location face.





.

State of the State

B

ł



Preceding page blank

161

Figure 61. Ultrasonic Inspection C-Scans, Crack Pigure 61. Propagation Test

STANDARD		STANDAR
	.040 HOLE	
TOP OUTER BJITOM OUTE		5/N45
TOP OUTER BOTTOM OUTE		\$/N\$9
TOP OUTER EOTTOM OUTE		N60
TOP OUTER BOTTOM OUTE		
TUP OUTER BOTTOM OUTE		1 N61
TOP OUTER BOTTOM OUTE		/N65
TOP JUTER BOTTOM OUTE		ICN/

STANDARD

.

.

Magnetic particle inspection of the roller gear components revealed cracks in the bearing bores of five second-row pinions. These fractures were in the area adjacent to the root of the weld, where the initial fracture of serial number 64 occurred.

The wear patterns on the gears was, except for some slight debris damage, excellent. The rollers themselves showed no damage.

Preceding page blank 163

والمتحملة والمتحملة والمحافظة والمحافظ





Figure 63. Primary Fracture, Second-Row Gear, S/N 62

CONCLUSIONS

The aircraft tiedown test program showed that the roller gear unit can operate within the fatigue environment of a helicopter main transmission. The roller gear unit gave no cause for concern throughout the 50-hour tiedown program.

It is concluded that:

- 1. The roller gear concept provides excellent load sharing and gear load distribution as evident from the gear wear patterns. During this test program, the roller gear unit transmitted an excess of 3,000 hp. The gears and pinion rollers showed no evidence of surface deterioration except for two adjacent firstrow pinion rollers. This was attributable to insufficient blending of the roller crown on the mating second-row gear roller.
- 2. The spherical bearings and cantilevered posts allowed the roller gear unit to be self-aligning and provided the flexibility to accommodate the angular displacement of the post when reacting torque. The spherical bearings in the second-row gears withstood a high degree of tolerance to debris. Fretted particles from the second-row gear spacers, used to provide bearing axial clamp-up, were continually being washed through the bearing without noticeable damage to the bearing element surfaces. Because of the successful operation of these bearings and the cantilevered bearing post design, these concepts have been incorporated in advanced geared planetary transmission units designed subsequently.
- 3. The input bevel gears designed with a modified contact ratio of 2.63, successfully operated above pitch-line velocities of 29,500 ft/min. This test advanced the state of the art of bevel gears and supporting ball stack bearing design. The ramp roller freewheel units evidenced slight malfunction on overspeed conditions. This is an occasional occurrence with experimental outerrace driving units and can be overcome by increasing the roller preload force.
- 4. Balancing of the high-speed shafts at 3,000 rpm proved to be unsatisfactory. By balancing at 11,000 rpm, operation vibration limits were within aircraft acceptable limits. The torque monitoring system did not function correctly until the end of testing. Interface problems between vendor and aircraft equipment were not solved until near the end of testing, when insufficient test time remained to assess the accuracy of the system.
- 5. The second-row gear weld cracks showed the necessity to machine out voids associated with the weld root. A full penetrating weld, machined on the weld entrance and root faces, simplifies inspection and interpretations of ultrasonic inspection C-scan results.

- 6. Ultrasonic inspection monitoring of the cracked second-row roller gear welds showed that the crack had arrested and that load cycling crack propagation tests did not measurably increase the size of the defect. Fractographic analysis concluded that this fracture resulted from weakened grain boundaries due to residual stresses induced by the rapid heating and cooling cycle induced by electron beam welding. This was verified by investigation of the manufacturing process. It is now mandatory that all electron beam welding be immediately followed by a stress-relieving operation.
- 7. The fracture of the second-row gear which terminated the crack propagation test resulted from voids at the root of an electron-beam-welded joint. These fractures show the desirability of designing welded joints whereby weld entrance and weld roots can be machined to remove weld undercut and root splatter.

It is thus concluded that the roller gear transmission limitations were a result of weld joint design and manufacturing processes. The roller gear transmission proved to be a successful transmission system for the 50-hour tiedown test. With the correction of the aforement oned electron-beam-weld associated problems, the roller gear unit should operate successfully in the fatigue environment of a helicopter transmission. It is further concluded that the aircraft performance was satisfactory throughout the tiedown test and that: 8. The grease-lubricated tail and intermediate gearboxes performed satisfactorily.

<u>"</u>,'

- 9. The General Electric YT58-GE-16 engines operated satisfactorily. The degradation in performance was attributable to not days and ingress of exhaust gases from turbulence created by rotor downwash and ground proximity.
- 10. The control system for the 120-degree servo spacing of the roller gear transmission proved to be less sensitive and stiffer than the standard S-61 type system for 90-degree servo spacing. This is largely attributable to the incorporation of the analog swashplate and the softness of the existing supporting structure within which the redesigned controls were installed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Š

1. It is recommended that the roller gear components be redesigned to eliminate "blind" electron beam welds and that testing of the roller gear unit alone continue in the ground test facility to evaluate the endurance characteristics of the roller gear transmission unit.

فللقائز فاعتباد فالمحافظ والمحافظ والمحادث فالمتعاد والمحافظ ومحما ومحمد والمحاف والمحافظ فكالمحافظ والمحافظ والم

- 2. A major unknown in the electron beam welding of the roller gear components is the relationship between welding imperfections and structural performance. The defects should be characterized so that their influence on static and fatigue performance can be predicted.
- 3. Ultrasonic inspection proved to be a valuable tool in determining weld cleanliness; however, small voids (less than .010 inch) are not characterized. A means of determining the three-dimensional location and size of all defects in the weldment is required.
- 4. The effect of welding residual stresses on the fatigue and fracture behavior of weldments needs to be determined. The requirement for stress-relieving should be established.
- 5. Further investigation and testing of grease-lubricated gears and bearings are required. Means to monitor degradation of gears and bearings in a grease environment should be developed.
- 6. Further testing of the successfull high-speed input bevel gears and bearing system arrangement should continue. Future-generation engines may operate at 30,000 rpm; thus bevel gear systems offering a high reduction and redirection of power would be required to operate at pitchline velocities approaching 35,000 ft/min.
- 7. Further effort should be conducted in correlating the analytical studies of high-speed shafting with the actual aircraft system. Although significant advances have been made in analyzing flexible shaft responses, there are still factors which require further definition.

169

APPLINDIX A

FRACTOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

SECOND-ROW GEAR ROLLER FRACTURE

A fractographic analysis of the dissected second-row gear serial number 63 wherein degradation of the low roller weld occurred during the 50-hour tiedown test was conducted.

Optical inspection of the entire fracture surface revealed two apparently different fracture modes. The initial mode, located along the exit side of the weld, was discolored and appeared coarse and brittle. The more predominant fracture mode was mostly flat, and badly rubbed, with several coarse "clamshe!!" markings emanating from the discolored brittle zone, Figure A-1.

Replicas taken from this initial fracture zone exhibited distinct evidence of intergranular cracking. The fractured grain facets showed partial dimple formations indicative of a mechanical separation as opposed to separation by chemical dissolution.

Electron diffraction analysis of a black powdery material found on the initial fracture zone (none was detected on the remainder of the fracture) was identified as the same iron oxide $(Fe_30_4, ASTM Card No. 11-614)$ which coated the overall surface of the subject gear. The presence of this oxide on the initial fracture zone suggests that incipient cracks exited at the weld prior to the oxide-forming treatment of the gear.

Replicas taken from the more extensive, relatively flat portion of the fracture exhibited vague, closely spaced fatigue striations, characteristic of a low-stress, high-cycle fatigue fracture. Despite the poor definition of the fatigue striations, it appeared clear that the fatigue crack rate remained fairly constant.

Cross sections were made of two ends of one-half of the fracture interface to determine the extent of intergranular cracking and its precise location within the weld zone. As shown in the end views, intergranular cracking was nonuniform across the crack width, penetrating to a maximum depth of 750 microns at one end, and a minimum of a few grains at the other. Inspection of the cross sections at a lower magnification showed that the intergranular cracks developed at the interface of the coarse-grained melt zone and the finer-grained heat-affected zone. A hardness traverse taken across this region revealed values of Rc38 for the melt and heat-affected zone, and Rc27 for the base metal.

It was concluded that:

- Fracture initiated from the exit side of the weld, at the interface of the melt and heat-affected zones.
- 2. The mode of initial fracture was intergranular, probably resulting from weakened grain boundaries and excessive residual stresses due to welding.
- 3. Fatigue developed after the initial intergranular crack and propagated approximately 6.0 mm for a total of 160,000 load cycles.
- 4. The rate of fatigue propagation remained essentially the same at 0.037 micron per load cycle for the duration of the fatigue crack.



Preceding page blank