

ADA014118

DEPARTMENT
OF
OPERATIONS RESEARCH

12
14



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853

Y D D C
AUG 25 1975
B

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA, NEW YORK

9 TECHNICAL REPORT, NO. 256 14 TR-256

11 May 1975

13.297

A (k+1)-DECISION TWO-STAGE SELECTION PROCEDURE
FOR COMPARING k NORMAL MEANS WITH A FIXED KNOWN
STANDARD: THE CASE OF COMMON UNKNOWN VARIANCE,

by

10 Robert E. Bechhofer and
Bruce W. Turnbull

DDC
RECEIVED
AUG 25 1975
B

Prepared under contracts

4 DAHCO4-73-C-0008, U. S. Army Research Office - Durham
and
NO0014-67-A-0077-0020 Office of Naval Research

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

A-

1473
DN
403133 ✓

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE
CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE
ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER
AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

A (k+1)-DECISION TWO-STAGE SELECTION PROCEDURE
FOR COMPARING k NORMAL MEANS WITH A FIXED KNOWN
STANDARD: THE CASE OF COMMON UNKNOWN VARIANCE

by

Robert E. Bechhofer^{1/}
and
Bruce W. Turnbull^{2/}

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Summary	
1. Introduction	1
2. Assumptions	1
3. Goal, probability requirement, and procedure	1
3.1 The goal	2
3.2 The probability requirement	2
3.3 The selection procedure	2
4. Theorems and proofs of theorems	4
4.1 Statement and proof of Theorem 1	4
4.2 Statement and proof of Theorem 2	8
5. The expected sample size and the choice of N_0	9
6. Tables	11
7. A numerical example of use of tables	11
8. Extensions and directions of future research	11
9. References	22

^{1/} Department of Operations Research, Cornell University. Part of the research of this author was done while he was visiting the Departments of Management Science and of Mathematics, Imperial College, London

^{2/} Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford.

Summary

A $(k+1)$ -decision two-stage selection procedure is proposed for the problem of comparing k normal means with a fixed known standard when the associated populations have a common unknown variance. None or one of the populations is to be selected, the procedure having the property that (1) with probability at least P_0 (specified), no population is to be selected when the largest population mean is sufficiently less than the standard, and (2) with probability at least P_1 (specified), the population with the largest population mean is to be selected when that mean is sufficiently greater than its closest competitor and the standard. Tables to implement the procedure are provided. Applications and generalizations are described.

Some key words: Ranking procedures, selection procedures, two-stage procedures, comparisons with a fixed standard, indifference-zone approach, sampling plans.

1. Introduction

In an earlier paper, Bechhofer and Turnbull (1974), the authors proposed a $(k+1)$ -decision single-stage selection procedure for comparing k normal means with a fixed known standard when the k populations have a common known variance. In the present paper we propose a two-stage procedure for the same problem when the populations have a common unknown variance. Thus the present paper bears the same relationship to our earlier paper as does Bechhofer, Dunnett, and Sobel (1954) to Bechhofer (1954). The reader is referred to our earlier paper for motivation concerning the practical situations which lead us to consider such $(k+1)$ -decision selection problems, and to B-D-S (1954) and Dudewicz (1971) for the rationale associated with adopting a two-stage procedure when the experimenter is faced with situations in which the common variance is unknown.

2. Assumptions

We assume that we have k normal populations Π_i with unknown population means μ_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) and a common unknown variance σ^2 ; there is also a given known standard μ_0 with which the μ_i are to be compared. The ranked values of the μ_i are denoted by $\mu_{[1]} \leq \mu_{[2]} \leq \dots \leq \mu_{[k]}$. It is assumed that the experimenter has no prior knowledge concerning the pairing of the Π_i with the $\mu_{[j]}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq k$); it is further assumed that the experimenter has no prior knowledge concerning how many or which (if any) populations have μ -values which are $\geq \mu_0$.

3. Goal, probability requirement, and procedure

In this section we formulate our goal and probability requirement using the indifference-zone approach, and propose a two-stage procedure which will guarantee this probability requirement.

3.1 The goal

The goal (i.e., objective of the experiment) is:

"To select the population associated with $\mu_{[k]}$ provided that $\mu_{[k]} > \mu_0$; if no population has a μ -value which is $> \mu_0$, then no population is to be selected." (1)

3.2 The probability requirement

It is assumed that prior to the start of experimentation, the experimenter can specify five constants $\{\delta_0^*, \delta_1^*, \delta_2^*; P_0^*, P_1^*\}$ $\{0 < \delta_1^*, \delta_2^* < \infty, -\delta_1^* < \delta_0^* < \infty; 2^{-k} < P_0^* < 1, (1-2^{-k})/k < P_1^* < 1\}$ the values of which are to be based on economic considerations. The specified constants along with the known standard are incorporated into the following probability requirement:

$$\Pr\{\Pi_0\} \geq P_0^* \text{ whenever } \mu_{[k]} \leq \mu_0 - \delta_0^*, \quad (2a)$$

$$\Pr\{\Pi_{[k]}\} \geq P_1^* \text{ whenever } \begin{cases} \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_0 + \delta_1^* \\ \text{and} \\ \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_{[k-1]} + \delta_2^* \end{cases} \quad (2b)$$

where Π_0 ($\Pi_{[k]}$) denotes the event of selecting no population (the population associated with $\mu_{[k]}$).

3.3 The selection procedure

The two-stage procedure which we adopt in this paper, and which guarantees (2a) and (2b), is:

- "a) In the first stage take a common number $N_0 > 1$ of observations X_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq N_0$) from each of the k populations.
- b) Calculate
$$s^2 = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} (X_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} X_{ij}/N_0)^2/n$$
 which is an unbiased estimate of σ^2 based on $n = k(N_0-1)$ degrees of freedom.
- c) Enter the appropriate $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ table with $k, n = k(N_0-1)$, and the specified quantities $\{\delta_0^*, \delta_1^*, \delta_2^*; P_0^*, P_1^*\}$ and obtain a scalar h and a constant c (in the units of the problem). (3)
- d) In the second stage, take a common number $N - N_0$ of additional observations from each of the k populations where $N = \max\{[(hs/(\delta_0^* + c))^2] + 1, N_0\}$, and $[x]$ denotes the largest integer less than x .
- e) Calculate the k over-all (first-stage plus second-stage) sample means
$$\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$
 and denote their ranked values by $\bar{X}_{[1]} < \dots < \bar{X}_{[k]}$.
- f) If $\bar{X}_{[k]} < \mu_0 + c$, select no population; if $\bar{X}_{[k]} > \mu_0 + c$, select the population that produced $\bar{X}_{[k]}$ as the one associated with $\mu_{[k]}$."

Remark 1: In (3b) it is assumed that the experimenter has employed a completely randomized design in the first stage of experimentation; in fact, he must use

$\frac{1}{\lambda}$ For the special case in which the experimenter specifies $\delta_0^* = 0$, $\delta_1^* = \delta_2^* = \delta^*$ (say), the design constants (h, c) can be obtained from Table 1 in Section 6 which is entered with h and $\gamma = c/\delta^*$.

that design rather than (say) a randomized blocks design, for were he to use the latter design it would turn out that

$$S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} (X_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} X_{ij}/N_0 - \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ij}/k + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} X_{ij}/kN_0)^2/n$$

based on $n = (k-1)(N_0-1)$ d.f. will underestimate the variance associated with the total experiment. This is so because the final inference (3f) is made based on comparisons with the fixed known standard rather than on contrasts among the \bar{x}_i . (The situation here is different than that in B-D-S (1954).)

The selection procedure (3) is completely defined once values of the design constants (h,c) are assigned; as noted above, these depend on k,n and the specified quantities $\{\delta_0^*, \delta_1^*, \delta_2^*; P_0^*, P_1^*\}$. Theorem 1, stated and proved in the next section, tells how to determine (h,c) so as to guarantee (2a) and (2b).

In closing this section we emphasize that the total sample size N is a random variable (since S^2 is a random variable) its distribution depending not only on k,n and $\{\delta_0^*, \delta_1^*, \delta_2^*; P_0^*, P_1^*\}$ but also on σ^2 ; the variance of the distribution of S^2 decreases rapidly with increasing $n = k(N_0-1)$.

4. Theorems and proofs of theorems

4.1 Statement and proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1: The (h,c) which guarantee (2a) and (2b) are the pair which satisfy the simultaneous equations:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \phi^k(hz)g_n(z)dz = P_0^* \quad (4a)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_{(c-\delta_1^*)hz/(c+\delta_0^*)}^{\infty} \phi^{k-1}(y + \delta_2^*hz/(c+\delta_0^*))\phi(y)dy \right] g_n(z)dz = P_1^* \quad (4b)$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$ are the standard normal distribution and density function, respectively, and $g_n(\cdot)$ is the density function of $(\chi_n^2/n)^{1/2}$.

In many applications it will be sufficient to consider a specialization of (4). This is done in (5a,b).

Of special interest is the case $\delta_0^* = 0$, $\delta_1^* = \delta_2^* = \delta^*$ (say). Letting $\gamma = c/\delta^*$ we then have that (h^*, γ^*) , $h^* = h_n^*(k, P_0^*, P_1^*)$, $\gamma^* = \gamma_n^*(k, P_0^*, P_1^*)$ are the pair which satisfy the simultaneous equations:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \phi^k(hz)g_n(z)dz = P_0^* \quad (5a)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_{(\gamma-1)hz/\gamma}^{\infty} \phi^{k-1}(y + hz/\gamma)\phi(y)dy \right] g_n(z)dz = P_1^*, \quad (5b)$$

and $N = \max\{[(hS/\gamma\delta^*)^2] + 1, N_0\}$. Tables of (h^*, γ^*) for $k = 1(1)6, 10$ and selected n and $\{P_0^*, P_1^*\}$ are given in Section 6. An example of the use of the tables is given in Section 7.

Proof of Theorem 1

We first consider (2a) using (3), and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{\Pi_0 | \mu_{[k]} \leq \mu_0 - \delta_0^*\} &= \Pr\{\bar{X}_{[k]} < \mu_0 + c | \mu_{[k]} \leq \mu_0 - \delta_0^*\} \\ &= \Pr\{\bar{X}_i - \mu_i < \mu_0 - \mu_i + c \ (1 \leq i \leq k) | \mu_i \leq \mu_0 - \delta_0^* \ (1 \leq i \leq k)\} \\ &\geq \Pr\left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} < \frac{\delta_0^* + c}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} \ (1 \leq i \leq k) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6a)$$

We proceed by first conditioning on S^2 , noting that conditional on S^2 the distribution of \bar{X}_i is $N(\bar{X}_i | \mu_i, \sigma^2/N)$, and unconditioning on S^2 (the distributions of \bar{X}_i and S^2 being independent when N is fixed). Continuing, we see that the r.h.s. of (6a) is no less than

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr \left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} < h_0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq k) \right\} \\ &= \Pr \left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/N}} < h_0 \sqrt{\frac{S^2}{\sigma^2}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \phi^k(h_0 z) g_n(z) dz \end{aligned} \quad (6b)$$

provided that

$$N \geq \left(\frac{S}{\delta_0^* + c} \right)^2 h_0^2. \quad (7)$$

We next consider (2b) using (3), and find (using the same conditioning argument as above, and the monotonicity results proved in B-T (1974)) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr \{ \Pi_{[k]} | \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_0 + \delta_1^*, \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_{[k-1]} + \delta_2^* \} \\ &= \Pr \left\{ \bar{X}_{(k)} > \mu_0 + c, \bar{X}_{(i)} < \bar{X}_{(k)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k-1) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_0 + \delta_1^* \\ \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_{[k-1]} + \delta_2^* \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ &\geq \Pr \left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_{(k)} - \mu_{[k]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} > \frac{\bar{X}_{(i)} - \mu_{[i]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} - \frac{\delta_2^*}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k-1), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\bar{X}_{(k)} - \mu_{[k]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} > \frac{c - \delta_1^*}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (8a)$$

where $\bar{X}_{(i)}$ denotes the over-all sample mean based on N independent observations from the population having mean $\mu_{[i]}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Continuing we see that the r.h.s. of (8a) is no less than

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_{(k)} - \mu_{[k]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} > \frac{\bar{X}_{(i)} - \mu_{[i]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} - h_2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq k-1), \frac{\bar{X}_{(k)} - \mu_{[k]}}{\sqrt{S^2/N}} > -h_1 \right\}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_{-h_1 z}^{\infty} \phi^{k-1}(y + h_2 z) \phi(y) dy \right] g_n(z) dz \quad (8b)$$

provided that

$$N \geq \max\{(Sh_1/(\delta_1^* - c))^2, (Sh_2/\delta_2^*)^2\}. \quad (9)$$

Combining (7) and (9) we see that we must have

$$N \geq S^2 \cdot \max\{(h_0/(\delta_1^* + c))^2, (h_1/(\delta_1^* - c))^2, (h_2/\delta_2^*)^2\}. \quad (10)$$

Now we will be choosing h_0, h_1, h_2, c so that the probabilities (6b), (8b) are equal to P_0^*, P_1^* , respectively. Subject to these conditions we see that the r.h.s. of (10) is minimized when

$$h_0^2/(\delta_1^* + c)^2 = h_1^2/(\delta_1^* - c)^2 = (h_2/\delta_2^*)^2.$$

Thus, denoting h_0 by h we find that if

$$h_1 = h(\delta_1^* - c)/(\delta_0^* + c), \quad h_2 = h\delta_2^*/(\delta_0^* + c) \quad (11)$$

we will be able to select the most economical sample size N . Substituting this minimax choice of h_1, h_2 in the expressions (6b), (8b), and equating the resulting integrals to P_0^*, P_1^* , respectively, we obtain (4a), (4b), respectively. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1: For $n \rightarrow \infty$, the pair $h (= h_\infty^*)$, $c (= c_\infty^*)$ which guarantee (2a) and (2b) are the pair which satisfy the simultaneous equations:

$$\phi^k(h) = P_0^* \quad (12a)$$

$$\int_{(c-\delta_1^*)h/(c+\delta_0^*)}^{\infty} \phi^{k-1}(y + \delta_2^*h/(c+\delta_0^*))\phi(y)dy = P_1^*, \quad (12b)$$

and if $P_0^* > 2^{-k}$, $P_1^* > (1-2^{-k})/k$, then $h_\infty^* > 0$ and $-\delta_0^* < c_\infty^* < \delta_1^*$.

Proof: Obvious, since $S^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$ w.p. 1.

Remark 2. The case $n \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the case when σ^2 is known. When this is so, the probability requirements (2a,b) are clearly satisfied by taking $N_0 = N = \sigma^2(h_\infty^*/(\delta_0^* + c_\infty^*))^2$, ignoring the integer condition on N . With this substitution the equations (12a,b) reduce exactly to the equations (4a,b) of Bechhofer and Turnbull [1974].

4.2 Statement and proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2: The same (h,c) which guarantee (2a,b) when $\delta_1^* = \delta_2^* = \delta^*$ (say), also guarantee

$$\Pr\{\Pi_{[k]} \text{ or } \Pi_{[k-1]} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } \Pi_{[k-t+1]}\} \geq P_1^*$$

whenever

$$a) \quad \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_0 + \delta^*, \quad \mu_{[k-t+1]} \geq \mu_0 \geq \mu_{[k-t]}$$

or

$$b) \quad \mu_{[k]} \geq \mu_0 + \delta^*, \quad \mu_{[k-t+1]} \geq \mu_{[k-t]} + \delta^*,$$

for any t ($1 \leq t \leq k$), the probability being strict if $t > 1$; here the event $\Pi_{[i]}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) corresponds to selecting the population associated with $\mu_{[i]}$, and we define $\mu_{[0]} = -\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2

The proof follows the same lines as those given for Theorem 2 in B-T (1974), and thus is not given here.

5. The expected sample size and the choice of N_0

Following Stein (1945), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\{N\} = N_0 \Pr\{\chi_n^2 < nN_0 z^2 / \sigma^2\} \\ + (\sigma^2 / z^2) \Pr\{\chi_{n+2}^2 > nN_0 z^2 / \sigma^2\} + \theta \cdot \Pr\{\chi_n^2 > nN_0 z^2 / \sigma^2\} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $z = (\delta_0^* + c)/h$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$. A similar expression can be derived for $E\{N^2\}$. (An expression analogous to (13) has been tabulated in Tables I-IV of Seelbinder (1953); his $c = d/\sigma$, t, n_0 correspond to our $(\delta_0^* + c)/\sigma, h, k(N_0 - 1)$, respectively; however, his tables are applicable here only when $k = 1$.)

If the experimenter has some idea as to the possible values of σ , this information can be used to assist in the choice of N_0 . For example, suppose that it can be reasonably assumed that σ lies in the range $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$. Using the minimax regret criterion of Seelbinder (1953), N_0 would be chosen to

minimize the maximum expected loss in number of extra observations needed due to ignorance of σ , i.e., N_0 minimizes

$$\max_{\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2} (E\{N\} - (\sigma h_{\infty}^* / (\delta_0^* + c_{\infty}^*))^2), \quad (14)$$

where h_{∞}^* , c_{∞}^* are the solutions of (12a,b). In (14), $E\{N\}$ of course depends on N_0 and σ , while by Remark 2 in Section 4.1 the second term represents the total sample size (within unity) if σ were known. For the special case $\delta_0^* = 0$, $\delta_1^* = \delta_2^* = \delta^*$, the value of h_{∞}^* and c_{∞}^* ($= \gamma_{\infty}^* \delta^*$) can be obtained from the bottom line of the appropriate column in the tables of Section 6, or, alternatively, from the tables in Bechhofer and Turnbull (1974). (There, quantities λ_1^* , λ_2^* are tabulated; these are related to h_{∞}^* , γ_{∞}^* by $h_{\infty}^* = \lambda_1^*$, $\gamma_{\infty}^* = \lambda_1^* / \lambda_2^*$.)

It is clear that the variance of N increases as N_0 decreases. Hence, as an alternative to the above procedure for choosing N_0 , we may wish to increase N_0 (perhaps by only a small number of observations if k is large) in order to reduce the probability of being required to take an extremely large N ; this gain is purchased at the cost of a slight increase in $E\{N\}$. Moshman (1958) has discussed such criteria in a similar two-stage problem, and his methods are applicable here.

Finally, it may be that there is a specified upper bound N^* on the total sample size N . If the procedure calls for more than N^* observations, then N^* observations are taken, and the probabilities P_0^* , P_1^* are reduced. Such a procedure can be legitimately constructed by using methods similar to those of Wormleighton (1960).

6. Tables

Table 1 gives the solution (h^*, γ^*) of equations (5a,b) for $k = 1(1)6, 10$ and selected n and $\{P_0^*, P_1^*\}$. The tabulated values of (h^*, γ^*) are calculated to an accuracy of $\pm 10^{-4}$ in the associated (P_0^*, P_1^*) -values.

7. A numerical example of the use of the tables

A consumer is to decide whether or not to purchase one lot of bolts from among three lots which are being offered for his consideration. The tensile strength (X) of the bolts in the i th lot ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) may be assumed to be normally distributed with unknown variance σ^2 and unknown mean μ_i . A lot is deemed acceptable only if the bolts in the lot have a mean tensile strength of at least 60,000 psi. Suppose that he asks for a procedure which will guarantee $P_0^* = 0.95$ and $P_1^* = 0.90$ with $\delta_0^* = 0$, $\delta_1^* = \delta_2^* = 250$ psi and $\mu_0 = 60,000$ psi. How should we proceed to guarantee his requirement?

The choice of $N_0 \geq 2$ is optional. Suppose that we take a preliminary sample of $N_0 = 16$ observations (bolts) from each lot, and using (3b) we obtain the estimate $S^2 = (753.1 \text{ psi})^2$ of σ^2 based on 45 d.f. Entering Table 1 with $k = 3$, $n = 45$, $P_0^* = 0.95$, $P_1^* = 0.90$ we find that $h^* = 2.1855$ and $\gamma^* = 0.6234$. Hence, $c = (0.6234)(250) = 156$ psi, and from (3d) we see that $N = \max\{[(2.1855)(753.1)/(0.6234)(250)]^2 + 1, 16\} = 112$. Therefore 96 additional observations (bolts) are taken from each lot, and the three sample means (based on all 112 observations per lot) are computed. If all of these sample means are less than $\mu_0 + c = 60,156$ psi, then no lot is accepted; otherwise the lot that produced the largest sample mean is accepted.

8. Extensions and directions of future research

It is straightforward to extend the results in this paper to the case of known variance ratios, i.e., when it is assumed that the variance of population

Table 1

Values of h^* and γ^*

In the table, h^* is the upper entry and γ^* is the lower entry

K = 1

n	P ₀ [*] =										
	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	
n	P ₁ [*] =										
	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99	
1	1.0000	3.0777	3.0777	3.0777	6.3138	6.3138	6.3138	31.8205	31.8205	31.8205	31.8205
	0.5000	0.7548	0.5000	0.5000	0.8633	0.6723	0.5000	0.9695	0.9118	0.8344	0.5000
2	0.8165	1.8856	1.8856	2.9200	2.9200	2.9200	2.9200	6.9646	6.9646	6.9646	6.9646
	0.5000	0.6978	0.5000	0.7815	0.6076	0.5000	0.5000	0.8951	0.7869	0.7046	0.5000
3	0.7649	1.6377	1.6377	2.3534	2.3534	2.3534	2.3534	4.5407	4.5407	4.5407	4.5407
	0.5000	0.6816	0.5000	0.7547	0.5897	0.5000	0.5000	0.8558	0.7349	0.6586	0.5000
4	0.7407	1.5332	1.5332	2.1318	2.1318	2.1318	2.1318	3.7469	3.7469	3.7469	3.7469
	0.5000	0.6743	0.5000	0.7421	0.5817	0.5000	0.5000	0.8349	0.7096	0.6374	0.5000
5	0.7267	1.4759	1.4759	2.0150	2.0150	2.0150	2.0150	3.3649	3.3649	3.3649	3.3649
	0.5000	0.6701	0.5000	0.7350	0.5772	0.5000	0.5000	0.8224	0.6951	0.6255	0.5000
7	0.7111	1.4149	1.4149	1.8946	1.8946	1.8946	1.8946	2.9980	2.9980	2.9980	2.9980
	0.5000	0.6655	0.5000	0.7271	0.5725	0.5000	0.5000	0.8083	0.6794	0.6128	0.5000
9	0.7027	1.3830	1.3830	1.8331	1.8331	1.8331	1.8331	2.8214	2.8214	2.8214	2.8214
	0.5000	0.6531	0.5000	0.7229	0.5700	0.5000	0.5000	0.8006	0.6711	0.6062	0.5000
11	0.6974	1.3634	1.3634	1.7959	1.7959	1.7959	1.7959	2.7181	2.7181	2.7181	2.7181
	0.5000	0.6616	0.5000	0.7203	0.5684	0.5000	0.5000	0.7958	0.6659	0.6021	0.5000
13	0.6938	1.3502	1.3502	1.7709	1.7709	1.7709	1.7709	2.6503	2.6503	2.6503	2.6503
	0.5000	0.6606	0.5000	0.7185	0.5674	0.5000	0.5000	0.7925	0.6625	0.5994	0.5000
15	0.6912	1.3406	1.3406	1.7531	1.7531	1.7531	1.7531	2.6025	2.6025	2.6025	2.6025
	0.5000	0.6598	0.5000	0.7172	0.5667	0.5000	0.5000	0.7901	0.6600	0.5975	0.5000

K = 1

n	P ₀ =									
	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
	P ₁ =									
	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99
18	0.6884	1.3304	1.3304	1.3304	1.7341	1.7341	1.7341	2.5524	2.5524	2.5524
	0.5000	0.6590	0.5000	0.5000	0.7158	0.5659	0.5000	0.7876	0.6574	0.5955
21	0.6864	1.3232	1.3232	1.3232	1.7207	1.7207	1.7207	2.5176	2.5176	2.5176
	0.5000	0.6585	0.5000	0.5000	0.7149	0.5653	0.5000	0.7858	0.6555	0.5940
24	0.6848	1.3178	1.3178	1.3178	1.7109	1.7109	1.7109	2.4922	2.4922	2.4922
	0.5000	0.6580	0.5000	0.5000	0.7141	0.5649	0.5000	0.7844	0.6541	0.5929
27	0.6837	1.3137	1.3137	1.3137	1.7033	1.7033	1.7033	2.4727	2.4727	2.4727
	0.5000	0.6577	0.5000	0.5000	0.7136	0.5646	0.5000	0.7834	0.6530	0.5921
30	0.6828	1.3104	1.3104	1.3104	1.6973	1.6973	1.6973	2.4573	2.4573	2.4573
	0.5000	0.6575	0.5000	0.5000	0.7131	0.5643	0.5000	0.7826	0.6522	0.5915
33	0.6820	1.3077	1.3077	1.3077	1.6924	1.6924	1.6924	2.4448	2.4448	2.4448
	0.5000	0.6572	0.5000	0.5000	0.7128	0.5641	0.5000	0.7819	0.6515	0.5909
36	0.6814	1.3055	1.3055	1.3055	1.6883	1.6883	1.6883	2.4345	2.4345	2.4345
	0.5000	0.6571	0.5000	0.5000	0.7125	0.5639	0.5000	0.7813	0.6509	0.5905
39	0.6808	1.3036	1.3036	1.3036	1.6849	1.6849	1.6849	2.4258	2.4258	2.4258
	0.5000	0.6569	0.5000	0.5000	0.7122	0.5638	0.5000	0.7808	0.6505	0.5901
42	0.6804	1.3020	1.3020	1.3020	1.6820	1.6820	1.6820	2.4185	2.4185	2.4185
	0.5000	0.6568	0.5000	0.5000	0.7120	0.5637	0.5000	0.7804	0.6500	0.5898
45	0.6800	1.3006	1.3006	1.3006	1.6794	1.6794	1.6794	2.4121	2.4121	2.4121
	0.5000	0.6567	0.5000	0.5000	0.7118	0.5636	0.5000	0.7801	0.6497	0.5895
∞	0.6745	1.2816	1.2816	1.2816	1.6449	1.6449	1.6449	2.3263	2.3263	2.3263
	0.5000	0.6552	0.5000	0.5000	0.7092	0.5621	0.5000	0.7752	0.6448	0.5858

K = 2

n	P ₀ *										P ₁ *									
	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99
2	1.4523	2.7433	2.7433	4.0750	4.0750	2.7433	4.0750	4.0750	9.4515	9.4515	9.4515	2.7433	2.7433	4.0750	4.0750	2.7433	4.0750	4.0750	9.4515	9.4515
4	0.6042	0.7602	0.7602	0.8291	0.8291	0.5745	0.8291	0.8291	0.9201	0.9201	0.9201	0.6042	0.6042	0.7602	0.7602	0.5745	0.7602	0.7602	0.9201	0.9201
6	1.2601	2.0722	2.0722	2.7215	2.7215	1.9047	2.7215	2.7215	4.5441	4.5441	4.5441	1.2601	1.2601	2.0722	2.0722	1.9047	2.0722	2.0722	4.5441	4.5441
8	0.5991	0.7274	0.7274	0.7822	0.7822	0.5632	0.7822	0.7822	0.8593	0.8593	0.8593	0.5991	0.5991	0.7274	0.7274	0.5632	0.7274	0.7274	0.8593	0.8593
10	1.2053	1.9047	1.9047	2.4170	2.4170	1.9047	2.4170	2.4170	3.6843	3.6843	3.6843	1.2053	1.2053	1.9047	1.9047	1.9047	1.9047	3.6843	3.6843	3.6843
14	0.5977	0.7176	0.7176	0.7674	0.7674	0.5600	0.7674	0.7674	0.8365	0.8365	0.8365	0.5977	0.5977	0.7176	0.7176	0.5600	0.7176	0.7176	0.8365	0.8365
18	1.1795	1.8294	1.8294	2.2849	2.2849	1.8294	2.2849	2.2849	3.3424	3.3424	3.3424	1.1795	1.1795	1.8294	1.8294	1.8294	1.8294	3.3424	3.3424	3.3424
22	0.5972	0.7130	0.7130	0.7603	0.7603	0.5584	0.7603	0.7603	0.8250	0.8250	0.8250	0.5972	0.5972	0.7130	0.7130	0.5584	0.7130	0.7130	0.8250	0.8250
26	1.1645	1.7866	1.7866	2.2113	2.2113	1.7866	2.2113	2.2113	3.1606	3.1606	3.1606	1.1645	1.1645	1.7866	1.7866	1.7866	1.7866	3.1606	3.1606	3.1606
30	0.5968	0.7103	0.7103	0.7562	0.7562	0.5576	0.7562	0.7562	0.8182	0.8182	0.8182	0.5968	0.5968	0.7103	0.7103	0.5576	0.7103	0.7103	0.8182	0.8182
36	1.1477	1.7399	1.7399	2.1321	2.1321	1.7399	2.1321	2.1321	2.9717	2.9717	2.9717	1.1477	1.1477	1.7399	1.7399	1.7399	1.7399	2.9717	2.9717	2.9717
42	0.5965	0.7073	0.7073	0.7515	0.7515	0.5566	0.7515	0.7515	0.8105	0.8105	0.8105	0.5965	0.5965	0.7073	0.7073	0.5566	0.7073	0.7073	0.8105	0.8105
48	1.1386	1.7149	1.7149	2.0902	2.0902	1.7149	2.0902	2.0902	2.8747	2.8747	2.8747	1.1386	1.1386	1.7149	1.7149	1.7149	1.7149	2.8747	2.8747	2.8747
∞	0.5963	0.7057	0.7057	0.7490	0.7490	0.5560	0.7490	0.7490	0.8063	0.8063	0.8063	0.5963	0.5963	0.7057	0.7057	0.5560	0.7057	0.7057	0.8063	0.8063
	1.1329	1.6993	1.6993	2.0643	2.0643	1.6993	2.0643	2.0643	2.8158	2.8158	2.8158	1.1329	1.1329	1.6993	1.6993	1.6993	1.6993	2.8158	2.8158	2.8158
	0.5962	0.7047	0.7047	0.7474	0.7474	0.5557	0.7474	0.7474	0.8037	0.8037	0.8037	0.5962	0.5962	0.7047	0.7047	0.5557	0.7047	0.7047	0.8037	0.8037
	1.1290	1.6886	1.6886	2.0467	2.0467	1.6886	2.0467	2.0467	2.7762	2.7762	2.7762	1.1290	1.1290	1.6886	1.6886	1.6886	1.6886	2.7762	2.7762	2.7762
	0.5961	0.7039	0.7039	0.7463	0.7463	0.5555	0.7463	0.7463	0.8018	0.8018	0.8018	0.5961	0.5961	0.7039	0.7039	0.5555	0.7039	0.7039	0.8018	0.8018
	1.1261	1.6809	1.6809	2.0339	2.0339	1.6809	2.0339	2.0339	2.7478	2.7478	2.7478	1.1261	1.1261	1.6809	1.6809	1.6809	1.6809	2.7478	2.7478	2.7478
	0.5961	0.7034	0.7034	0.7455	0.7455	0.5553	0.7455	0.7455	0.8005	0.8005	0.8005	0.5961	0.5961	0.7034	0.7034	0.5553	0.7034	0.7034	0.8005	0.8005
	1.1230	1.6726	1.6726	2.0203	2.0203	1.6726	2.0203	2.0203	2.7175	2.7175	2.7175	1.1230	1.1230	1.6726	1.6726	1.6726	1.6726	2.7175	2.7175	2.7175
	0.5960	0.7029	0.7029	0.7446	0.7446	0.5551	0.7446	0.7446	0.7991	0.7991	0.7991	0.5960	0.5960	0.7029	0.7029	0.5551	0.7029	0.7029	0.7991	0.7991
	1.1208	1.6667	1.6667	2.0106	2.0106	1.6667	2.0106	2.0106	2.6963	2.6963	2.6963	1.1208	1.1208	1.6667	1.6667	1.6667	1.6667	2.6963	2.6963	2.6963
	0.5960	0.7025	0.7025	0.7440	0.7440	0.5550	0.7440	0.7440	0.7981	0.7981	0.7981	0.5960	0.5960	0.7025	0.7025	0.5550	0.7025	0.7025	0.7981	0.7981
	1.1192	1.6623	1.6623	2.0035	2.0035	1.6623	2.0035	2.0035	2.6806	2.6806	2.6806	1.1192	1.1192	1.6623	1.6623	1.6623	1.6623	2.6806	2.6806	2.6806
	0.5959	0.7022	0.7022	0.7435	0.7435	0.5549	0.7435	0.7435	0.7973	0.7973	0.7973	0.5959	0.5959	0.7022	0.7022	0.5549	0.7022	0.7022	0.7973	0.7973
	1.1078	1.6322	1.6322	1.9545	1.9545	1.6322	1.9545	1.9545	2.5750	2.5750	2.5750	1.1078	1.1078	1.6322	1.6322	1.6322	1.6322	2.5750	2.5750	2.5750
	0.5957	0.7002	0.7002	0.7404	0.7404	0.5542	0.7404	0.7404	0.7920	0.7920	0.7920	0.5957	0.5957	0.7002	0.7002	0.5542	0.7002	0.7002	0.7920	0.7920

K = 3

n	P* ₀ =									
	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
n	P* ₁ =									
	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.75	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.75	0.90
3	1.6363	2.6481	2.6481	3.5508	3.5508	3.5508	6.4616	6.4616	6.4616	6.4616
6	0.6413	0.7639	0.5997	0.8179	0.6760	0.5878	0.8936	0.7966	0.7307	0.5772
9	1.4708	2.1716	2.1716	2.6957	2.6957	2.6957	4.0135	4.0135	4.0135	4.0135
12	0.6370	0.7409	0.5889	0.7853	0.6461	0.5734	0.8477	0.7350	0.6723	0.5569
15	1.4218	2.0421	2.0421	2.4787	2.4787	2.4787	3.4867	3.4867	3.4867	3.4867
21	0.6358	0.7338	0.5856	0.7748	0.6370	0.5689	0.8316	0.7152	0.6543	0.5503
27	1.3984	1.9820	1.9820	2.3805	2.3805	2.3805	3.2618	3.2618	3.2618	3.2618
33	0.6353	0.7304	0.5840	0.7697	0.6326	0.5667	0.8236	0.7056	0.6457	0.5471
39	1.3846	1.9473	1.9473	2.3245	2.3245	2.3245	3.1378	3.1378	3.1378	3.1378
45	0.6350	0.7284	0.5830	0.7667	0.6300	0.5653	0.8189	0.6999	0.6407	0.5452
∞	1.3692	1.9089	1.9089	2.2632	2.2632	2.2632	3.0052	3.0052	3.0052	3.0052
	0.6346	0.7261	0.5819	0.7633	0.6272	0.5639	0.8135	0.6937	0.6352	0.5430
	1.3608	1.8881	1.8881	2.2303	2.2303	2.2303	2.9354	2.9354	2.9354	2.9354
	0.6345	0.7248	0.5814	0.7614	0.6256	0.5631	0.8105	0.6902	0.6321	0.5418
	1.3554	1.8751	1.8751	2.2097	2.2097	2.2097	2.8925	2.8925	2.8925	2.8925
	0.6343	0.7241	0.5810	0.7603	0.6246	0.5626	0.8086	0.6881	0.6303	0.5411
	1.3518	1.8662	1.8662	2.1957	2.1957	2.1957	2.8633	2.8633	2.8633	2.8633
	0.6343	0.7235	0.5807	0.7594	0.6239	0.5622	0.8073	0.6866	0.6290	0.5406
	1.3491	1.8597	1.8597	2.1855	2.1855	2.1855	2.8423	2.8423	2.8423	2.8423
	0.6342	0.7231	0.5805	0.7589	0.6234	0.5620	0.8064	0.6855	0.6280	0.5402
	1.3319	1.8183	1.8183	2.1212	2.1212	2.1212	2.7119	2.7119	2.7119	2.7119
	0.6339	0.7205	0.5793	0.7550	0.6203	0.5603	0.8003	0.6786	0.6220	0.5378

K = 4

n	P ₀ [*] =									
	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
n	P ₁ [*] =									
	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.75	0.90	0.95	0.75	0.90	0.95	0.95
4	1.7507	2.6201	2.6201	3.3401	3.3401	3.3401	5.4118	5.4118	5.4118	5.4118
	0.6625	0.7674	0.6137	0.8134	0.6775	0.5984	0.8789	0.7780	0.7153	0.5830
8	1.6065	2.2433	2.2433	2.7035	2.7035	2.7035	3.7990	3.7990	3.7990	3.7990
	0.6590	0.7496	0.6040	0.7882	0.6537	0.5853	0.8425	0.7302	0.6700	0.5642
12	1.5626	2.1357	2.1357	2.5310	2.5310	2.5310	3.4134	3.4134	3.4134	3.4134
	0.6580	0.7439	0.6009	0.7800	0.6462	0.5811	0.8301	0.7148	0.6559	0.5580
16	1.5413	2.0849	2.0849	2.4511	2.4511	2.4511	3.2427	3.2427	3.2427	3.2427
	0.6575	0.7411	0.5994	0.7760	0.6426	0.5783	0.8239	0.7073	0.6491	0.5550
20	1.5288	2.0553	2.0553	2.4051	2.4051	2.4051	3.1467	3.1467	3.1467	3.1467
	0.6572	0.7395	0.5985	0.7736	0.6405	0.5777	0.8202	0.7029	0.6451	0.5532
28	1.5147	2.0222	2.0222	2.3541	2.3541	2.3541	3.0425	3.0425	3.0425	3.0425
	0.6569	0.7376	0.5975	0.7709	0.6380	0.5763	0.8160	0.6980	0.6407	0.5512
36	1.5069	2.0042	2.0042	2.3265	2.3265	2.3265	2.9870	2.9870	2.9870	2.9870
	0.6567	0.7366	0.5969	0.7694	0.6367	0.5755	0.8136	0.6952	0.6382	0.5501
44	1.5020	1.9929	1.9929	2.3092	2.3092	2.3092	2.9526	2.9526	2.9526	2.9526
	0.6566	0.7359	0.5965	0.7684	0.6359	0.5750	0.8122	0.6935	0.6367	0.5494
∞	1.4803	1.9432	1.9432	2.2340	2.2340	2.2340	2.8058	2.8058	2.8058	2.8058
	0.6562	0.7330	0.5949	0.7642	0.6321	0.5728	0.8056	0.6860	0.6299	0.5462

K = 5

n	P* ₀ = 0.60										
	0.60	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	
n	P* ₁ = 0.60										
	0.60	0.60	0.75	0.60	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	
5	1.4170	1.8340	1.8340	2.6159	2.6159	2.6159	2.6159	2.6159	3.2343	3.2343	3.2343
	0.7504	0.8283	0.6768	0.8950	0.7705	0.7705	0.6233	0.6233	0.9188	0.8114	0.6793
10	1.3553	1.7057	1.7057	2.2999	2.2999	2.2999	2.2999	2.2999	2.7205	2.7205	2.7205
	0.7523	0.8250	0.6739	0.8863	0.7558	0.7558	0.6145	0.6145	0.9078	0.7907	0.6593
15	1.3358	1.6658	1.6658	2.2068	2.2068	2.2068	2.2068	2.2068	2.5755	2.5755	2.5755
	0.7530	0.8241	0.6730	0.8835	0.7511	0.7511	0.6116	0.6116	0.9041	0.7839	0.6529
20	1.3262	1.6464	1.6464	2.1623	2.1623	2.1623	2.1623	2.1623	2.5074	2.5074	2.5074
	0.7535	0.8236	0.6726	0.8821	0.7487	0.7487	0.6102	0.6102	0.9022	0.7806	0.6498
25	1.3205	1.6349	1.6349	2.1362	2.1362	2.1362	2.1362	2.1362	2.4678	2.4678	2.4678
	0.7537	0.8234	0.6723	0.8812	0.7473	0.7473	0.6093	0.6093	0.9011	0.7786	0.6479
35	1.3140	1.6219	1.6219	2.1070	2.1070	2.1070	2.1070	2.1070	2.4237	2.4237	2.4237
	0.7540	0.8231	0.6720	0.8802	0.7457	0.7457	0.6084	0.6084	0.8999	0.7763	0.6458
45	1.3105	1.6148	1.6148	2.0910	2.0910	2.0910	2.0910	2.0910	2.3997	2.3997	2.3997
	0.7542	0.8229	0.6719	0.8797	0.7449	0.7449	0.6078	0.6078	0.8992	0.7750	0.6446
55	1.3082	1.6102	1.6102	2.0810	2.0810	2.0810	2.0810	2.0810	2.3847	2.3847	2.3847
	0.7543	0.8228	0.6718	0.8794	0.7443	0.7443	0.6075	0.6075	0.8987	0.7742	0.6439
∞	1.2981	1.5900	1.5900	2.0365	2.0365	2.0365	2.0365	2.0365	2.3187	2.3187	2.3187
	0.7548	0.8223	0.6714	0.8778	0.7418	0.7418	0.6059	0.6059	0.8967	0.7707	0.6406

K = 6

n	P ₀ [*] =									
	0.60	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
n	P ₁ [*] =									
	0.60	0.60	0.75	0.60	0.90	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.60	0.95
6	1.5050	1.8998	1.8998	2.6214	2.6214	2.6214	2.6214	2.6214	3.1749	3.1749
	0.7624	0.8342	0.6874	0.8960	0.7732	0.6303	0.6303	0.6303	0.9180	0.6810
12	1.4480	1.7836	1.7836	2.3468	2.3468	2.3468	2.3468	2.3468	2.7401	2.7401
	0.7645	0.8316	0.6849	0.8887	0.7606	0.6223	0.6223	0.6223	0.9087	0.6637
18	1.4298	1.7470	1.7470	2.2642	2.2642	2.2642	2.2642	2.2642	2.6140	2.6140
	0.7653	0.8308	0.6841	0.8862	0.7565	0.6197	0.6197	0.6197	0.9056	0.6581
24	1.4208	1.7290	1.7290	2.2243	2.2243	2.2243	2.2243	2.2243	2.5541	2.5541
	0.7657	0.8305	0.6837	0.8850	0.7545	0.6183	0.6183	0.6183	0.9040	0.6553
30	1.4154	1.7184	1.7184	2.2009	2.2009	2.2009	2.2009	2.2009	2.5191	2.5191
	0.7660	0.8302	0.6835	0.8843	0.7533	0.6175	0.6175	0.6175	0.9030	0.6536
42	1.4093	1.7063	1.7063	2.1745	2.1745	2.1745	2.1745	2.1745	2.4800	2.4800
	0.7663	0.8300	0.6832	0.8835	0.7519	0.6166	0.6166	0.6166	0.9020	0.6518
54	1.4060	1.6996	1.6996	2.1601	2.1601	2.1601	2.1601	2.1601	2.4587	2.4587
	0.7665	0.8299	0.6831	0.8830	0.7511	0.6161	0.6161	0.6161	0.9014	0.6507
66	1.4038	1.6954	1.6954	2.1510	2.1510	2.1510	2.1510	2.1510	2.4453	2.4453
	0.7666	0.8298	0.6830	0.8827	0.7506	0.6158	0.6158	0.6158	0.9010	0.6501
∞	1.3943	1.6765	1.6765	2.1105	2.1105	2.1105	2.1105	2.1105	2.3862	2.3862
	0.7671	0.8294	0.6826	0.8814	0.7484	0.6143	0.6143	0.6143	0.8992	0.6471

K = 10

n	P* ₀ =									
	0.60	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95
n	P* ₁ =									
	0.60	0.60	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95
10	1.7347	2.0765	2.0765	2.6693	2.6693	2.6693	2.6693	3.0960	3.0960	3.0960
	0.7910	0.8489	0.7130	0.8995	0.7813	0.6477	0.6477	0.8109	0.6870	0.6233
20	1.6904	1.9896	1.9896	2.4814	2.4814	2.4814	2.4814	2.8158	2.8158	2.8158
	0.7933	0.8477	0.7114	0.8949	0.7731	0.6417	0.6417	0.7995	0.6753	0.6149
30	1.6758	1.9613	1.9613	2.4223	2.4223	2.4223	2.4223	2.7297	2.7297	2.7297
	0.7941	0.8473	0.7108	0.8934	0.7703	0.6396	0.6396	0.7957	0.6714	0.6121
40	1.6685	1.9472	1.9472	2.3933	2.3933	2.3933	2.3933	2.6880	2.6880	2.6880
	0.7945	0.8471	0.7105	0.8926	0.7690	0.6385	0.6385	0.7938	0.6695	0.6106
50	1.6642	1.9388	1.9388	2.3761	2.3761	2.3761	2.3761	2.6634	2.6634	2.6634
	0.7947	0.8469	0.7104	0.8921	0.7681	0.6379	0.6379	0.7926	0.6683	0.6098
70	1.6592	1.9293	1.9293	2.3566	2.3566	2.3566	2.3566	2.6356	2.6356	2.6356
	0.7950	0.8468	0.7102	0.8916	0.7672	0.6372	0.6372	0.7913	0.6670	0.6088
90	1.6564	1.9240	1.9240	2.3459	2.3459	2.3459	2.3459	2.6203	2.6203	2.6203
	0.7952	0.8467	0.7101	0.8913	0.7666	0.6368	0.6368	0.7906	0.6662	0.6082
110	1.6547	1.9206	1.9206	2.3391	2.3391	2.3391	2.3391	2.6107	2.6107	2.6107
	0.7953	0.8467	0.7100	0.8911	0.7663	0.6365	0.6365	0.7901	0.6658	0.6079
∞	1.6468	1.9055	1.9055	2.3087	2.3087	2.3087	2.3087	2.5679	2.5679	2.5679
	0.7958	0.8465	0.7097	0.8902	0.7648	0.6353	0.6353	0.7880	0.6637	0.5063

Π_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) is $\sigma_i^2 = a_i \sigma^2$ where the a_i are known and σ^2 is unknown; the methods would be analogous to that used in B-D-S (1954).

It would be desirable to devise two-stage (or multi-stage) procedures when the a_i are also unknown. Presumably the methods of Dudewicz and Dalal (1971) or of Rinott (1974) could be extended to deal with this problem.

9. References

- Bechhofer, R. E. (1954). A single-sample multiple decision procedure for ranking means of normal populations with known variances. Ann. Math. Statist. 25, 16-39.
- Bechhofer, R. E., Dunnett, C. W., and Sobel, M. (1954). A two-sample multiple decision procedure for ranking means of normal populations with a common unknown variance. Biometrika 41, 1 and 2, 170-176.
- Bechhofer, R. E. and Turnbull, B. W. (1974). A $(k+1)$ -decision single-stage selection procedure for comparing k normal means with a fixed known standard: The case of common known variance. Technical Report No. 242, Department of Operations Research, Cornell University, December 1974.
- Dudewicz, E. J. (1971). Nonexistence of a single-sample selection procedure whose $P(\text{CS})$ is independent of the variances. South African Statistical Journal 5, 37-39.
- Dudewicz, E. J. and Dalal, S. R. (1971). Allocation of observations in ranking and selection with unequal variances. Accepted for publication in Sankhya.
- Moshman, J. (1958). A method for selecting the size of the initial sample in Stein's two sample procedure. Ann. Math. Statist. 29, 1271-1275.
- Rinott, Y. (1974). On two stage procedures for selecting the population with the largest mean from several normal populations with unknown variances. Submitted for publication.
- Seelbinder, B. M. (1953). On Stein's two-stage sampling scheme. Ann. Math. Statist. 24, 640-649.
- Stein, C. (1945). A two-sample test for a linear hypothesis whose power is independent of the variance. Ann. Math. Statist. 16, 243-258.
- Wormleighton, R. (1960). A useful generalization of the Stein two-sample procedure. Ann. Math. Statist. 31, 217-221.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 256	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A (k+1)-DECISION TWO-STAGE SELECTION PROCEDURE FOR COMPARING k NORMAL MEANS WITH A FIXED KNOWN STANDARD: THE CASE OF COMMON UNKNOWN VARIANCE		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Robert E. Bechhofer Bruce W. Turnbull		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Operations Research College of Engineering, Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAHC04-73-C-0008 ✓ N00014-67-A-0077-0020
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Sponsoring Military Activity U.S. Army Research Office Durham, N.C. 27706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Sponsoring Military Activity Statistics and Probability Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217		12. REPORT DATE May 1975
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ranking procedures, selection procedures, two-stage procedures, comparisons with a fixed standard, indifference-zone approach, sampling plans		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A (k+1)-decision two-stage selection procedure is proposed for the problem of comparing k normal means with a fixed known standard when the associated populations have a common unknown variance. None or one of the populations is to be selected, the procedure having the property that i) with probability at least P_0^* (specified), no population is to be selected when the largest		

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

population mean is sufficiently less than the standard, and ii) with probability at least P_1^* (specified), the population with the largest population mean is to be selected when that mean is sufficiently greater than its closest competitor and the standard. Tables to implement the procedure are provided. Applications and generalizations are described.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)