AD-A007 551

A CRASHWORTHY ARMORED PILOT SEAT FOR HELICOPTERS

Bernard Mazelsky

Naval Air Development Center

Prepared for:

Army Aviation Systems Command

18 January 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NOTICES

REPORT NUMBERING SYSTEM - The numbering of technical project reports issued by the Naval Air Development Center is arranged for specific identification purposes. Each number consists of the Center acronym, the calendar year in which the number was assigned, the sequence number of the report within the specific calendar year, and the official 2-digit correspondence code of the Command Office or the Functional Department responsible for the report. For example: Report No. NADC-73015-40 indicates the fifteenth Center report for the year 1973, and prepared by the Crew Systems Department. The numerical codes are as follows:

CODE OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT 00 Commander, Naval Air Development Center 01 Technical Director, Naval Air Development Center 02 Program and Fiscal Management Department 03 Anti-Submarine Warfare Program Office Remote Sensors Program Office 04 05 Ship and Air Systems Integration Program Office 10 Naval Air Facility, Warminster Aero Electronic Technology Department 20 30 Air Vehicle Technology Department 40 **Crew Systems Department** 50 Systems Analysis and Engineering Department 81 Administration and Technical Services Department 85 **Computer Services Department**

PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT - The discussion or instructions concerning commercial products herein do not constitute an endorsement by the Government nor do they convey or imply the license or right to use such products.

ADDESSION for K715 World Section Biff Stolen Ъ÷--10 51 1741

13 Mar 1974 APPROVED BY: DATE:

N

L.H. BLACKBURN, CAPT, MC, USN

REPORT NUMBER NADC-74018-40 TITLE (and Sublille) A CRASH WORTHY ARMORED PILOT SEAT AUTHOR(e) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9175 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUME 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD FOR HELICOPTERS Final Report 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT NO. N62269-72-C-0657 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJEC AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2 from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
NADC-74018-40 TITLE (and Subtilie) A CRASH WORTHY ARMORED PILOT SEAT AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9175 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington. D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS CONTROLUION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in CONTROL OF STATEMENT (O	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD FOR HELICOPTERS Final Report 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER CONTRACT NO. N62269-72-C-0657 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJEC AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2 from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
A CRASH WORTHY ARMORED PILOT SEAT AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered for CONTROLED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISC	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD FOR HELICOPTERS Final Report DATE: Second State
A CRASH WORTHY ARMORED PILOT SEAT AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9175 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(***********************************	FOR HELICOPTERS Final Report Final Report PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER USAAVSCOM TR 73-34 CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER Contract No. N62269-72-C-0657 N62269-72-C-0657 N62269-72-C-0657 N62269-72-C-0657 N62269-72-C-0657 AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS Vork Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2. from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT N USAAVSCOM TR 73-34 6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER Contract No. N62269-72-C-0657 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJEC AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2 from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington. D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(*/ different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	
AUTHOR(*) Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	
Bernard Mazelsky ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	Contract No. N62269-72-C-0657 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJEC AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18 January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2. from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
ARA, Inc., W. Covina, Calif. 9179 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in DISTRIBUTION STAT	10 N62269-72-C-0657 10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 11 NUMBER OF DATE 18 12 REPORT DATE 18 13 NUMBER OF PAGES 732 14 Is 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJEC AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 3.2. from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
Crew Systems Department Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	Area & WORK UNIT NUMBER AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18 January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep 14. Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington. D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is	AirTask No. F41451 Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18 January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES / 32. trom Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
Warminster, Pa. 18974 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is	Work Unit No. DKS Work Unit No. DKS 12. REPORT DATE 18 January 1974 13. NUMBER OF PAGES / 3.2. from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy <u>Washington. D.C. 20361</u> MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered if DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered if 	12. REPORT DATE 18. January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered is	18 January 1974 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 14. J 32 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO 19. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
Department of the Navy <u>Washington, D.C. 20361</u> MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>II different</i> U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS '. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is	13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. NUMPER OF PAGES 13. 2 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep 16. Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED In Block 20, 11 different from Report)
Washington, D.C. 20361 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered is	132 from Controlling Office) Ito SECURITY CLASS. (of this rep Unclassified IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED In Block 20, 11 different from Report)
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent U.S. Army Aviation Systems Comman Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered is	In Block 20, 11 different from Report)
Department of the Army St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED In Block 20, 11 different from Report)
St. Louis, Mo. 63166 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered i	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNO SCHEDULE TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered i	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
	Reproduced by
Reproduced from	NATIONAL TECHNICAL
best available copy.	US Department of Commerce Springfield, VA. 22151 PRICES SUBJECT
KEY WORDS (Carlinus on tayang alda il ancanany m	d Identify by block number)
Crashworthy Pilot Seat	mpact
Helicopters	
Restraint System	
Armored Seat	
Acceleration	·
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	I identify by block number)
Ine accelerations which car	nown to be injunious on fatal to human
fixed-wing aircraft nave been st	Now presson ADA Inc. developed a cu
pants. Under a joint Army and I	vavy program, AKA, Inc. developed a cl
survivable seat using Governmen	t rurnished equipment in the form of a
armored bucket, restraint system	T, and Cushions. The seat system was
signed to meet as many of the r	equirements of MIL-S-Souss (AV) within
physical limitations of existin	g space requirements in present nelic
D FORM 1473 EDITION OF LIQUES COLOR	
U 1 JAN 73 14/ J EDITION OF INOV 59 15 0850L S/N 0102-014-6601 1	
	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Whe
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

•

UNCLASSIFIED

LLUHITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. Based on a maximum of 8 inches of vertical stroke when the seat is in the lowest position, the seat pan accelerations were within the tolerable decelerations for the 95th percentile crash, that is, a 50 feet per second crash velocity with a triangular deceleration pulse of 48 g's.

The weight penalty of the crash survivable armored seat compared to the existing seat in the UH-1 helicopter, which is limited to crash decelerations of 8 g's, is 7 1/2 pounds. By modification of the present GFE cushion and restraint system in the UH-1, this weight penalty could be reduced so that the weight penalty due to crash survivability is negligible.

In addition to meeting the crash worthiness requirements of MIL-S-58095(AV), all of the required environmental tests were also concluded. The results of all the environmental tests, which the seat successfully met, are summarized in this report.

ja

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

FOREWORD

The work described in this report, although performed by ARA, Inc. under contract to the U. S. Navy, also included the important contributions of the U. S. Army Aviation Systems Command and U. S. Navy/Naval Air Development Center personnel. In particular, Mr. Daniel Sabo was the AVSCOM Project Engineer who directed the overall effort of the program and was instrumental in solving many of the interface problems of the crashsurvivable seat with the aircraft. Mr. Marvin Schulman of the U. S. Navy/NADC, was not only the principal technical director of the program, but conducted all the dynamic tests at the NADC sled and drop tower facility. Numerous other personnel at ARA, Inc., AVSCOM, and NADC, contributed measurably to this program. Their efforts are appreciated.

10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1	SUMMARY	1
11	INTRODUCTION	2
HL	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF SEATING SYSTEM	3
IV	DYNAMIC ANALYSIS	9
V	STRESS ANALYSIS	25
VI	DEVELOPMENTAL DYNAMIC TESTS	27
VII	ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS OF SEAT SYSTEM	33
VIII	SUMMARY OF NADC ACCEPTANCE TESTS	34
IX	REFERENCES	56
APPE	NDIX A – Stress Analysis of Seat Frame Components	A-1 through A-20
APPE	NDIX B - Report of Environmental Tests on Helicopter	B-1 through B-39
	Seat	

1 d_

LIST OF FIGURES

. . c ·

		Page
1	Photograph, Seat Side View (650–145)	4
2	Photograph, Seat Rear View (650-46)	5
3	Photograph, Seat Front View (650–45)	6
4	Drawing D-2387, Assembly, Seat Frame	7
5	4–Way Seat Adjustment	10
6	Typical Impact Pulse	12
7	General Layout, Highest Seat Position	16
8	Acceleration Response in Vertical Impact	18
9	Acceleration Response in Horizontal Impact	19
10	Acceleration Response in Oblique Angle Impact	20
11	Seat Displacement in Vertical Impact – 95th Percentile	21
12	Seat Displacement in Horizontal Impact – 95th Percentile	22
13	Seat Displacement in Oblique Angle Impact – 95th	23
	Percentile	
14	Human Tolerance to Vertical Accelerations	24
15	Photograph, Position of Seat and Dummy for ARA Drop	28
	Test (650-20)	
16	Photograph, Horizontal Impact Test Sled (650–28)	31
17	Acceleration Record – Test #1A Combined Angle Drop Test	38
18	E/A Load Record Test #1A Combined Angle Drop Test	39
19	Photograph, Combined Angle Drop Tower Test Configuration	41
	Prior to Impaci (650~59)	
20	Photograph, Combined Angle Drop Tower Test Configuration	42
e.	After Impact (650–58)	
21	Photograph, Vertical Drop Tower Test Configuration Prior	44
	to Impact (650-84)	
22	Photograph, Vertical Drop Tower Test Configuration After	45
	impact (650–83)	
23	Vertical Drop Tower Test #2A	46

ii

LIST OF FIGURES

rigure	-	Page
24	Photograph, Combined Angle Sled Test Configuration Prior to Impact (650–126)	47
25	Photograph, Combined Angle Sled Test Configuration After Impact (650–125)	48
26	Combined Angle Sled Test 3B	
27	Photograph, Forward Sled Test Configuration Prior to Impact (650–126)	50 52
28	Photograph, Forward Sied Test Configuration After Impact (650–147)	53
29	Forward Sled Test	54

iii

LIST OF TABLES

Table

		Page
1	Summary of Seat-Filot Dynamic Response for 5th and 95th Percentile Pilots	13
11	Pilot-Seat Weight Configuration	14
111	Response of E/A Members	17
IV .	Summary of Drop Tests	29
V	Summary of Horizontal Sled Test	32
V)	Army Armored E/A Cressesan Suct, Test Results - Acceleration Record	36
VII	Army Armored E/A Crewman Seat, Test Results ~ E/A Load and Displacement	37

1 ¥

Final Report on "Armored Crash-Worthy Seat for Fixed Seat Aircraft"

SUMMARY

ł

The accelerations which can occur during crashes of rotary- and light fixed-wing aircraft have been shown to be injurious or fatal to human occupants. Under a joint Army and Navy program, ARA, Inc. developed a crash survivable seat using Government Furnished Equipment in the form of an armored bucket, restraint system, and cushions. The seat system was designed to meet as many of the requirements of MIL-S-58095 (AV) within the physical limitations of existing space requirements in present helicopters. Based on a maximum of 8 inches of vertical stroke when the seat is in the lowest position, the seat pan accelerations were within the tolerable decelerations for the 95th percentile crash, that is, a 50 feet per second crash velocity with a triangular deceleration pulse of 48 g's.

The weight penalty of the crashsurvivable armored seat compared to the existing seat in the UH-1 helicopter, which is limited to crash decelerations of 8 g's, is 7-1/2 pounds. By modification of the present GFE cushion and restraint system in the UH-1, this weight penalty could be reduced so that the weight penalty due to crashsurvivability is negligible.

In addition to meeting the crashworthiness requirements of MIL-S-58095(AV), all of the required environmental tests were also concluded. The results of all the environmental tests, which the seat successfully met, are summarized in this report.

IL INTRODUCTION

The impact forces due to decelerations which occur during a potentially survivable encoder of rotary and light-fixed-wing military aircraft have often been found to be injurious or fatal to flying personnel. The Army, Navy and Air Farce have been seeking an attenuation system which will limit these impact forces to human tolerance levels and increase the chance of crash survivability. There are cases where some seats in military light aircraft and helicoptors have failed in accidents in which the integrity of the fusaloge structure which surrounds occupants was maintained. In order to alleviate the farces transmitted to occupants during a cresh, a shock attenuating seat-accupant system is considered to be necessary.

The Army has studied previous crashes and consolidated the design criteria of aircraft structural crashworthiness and accupant acceleration environment into the Crash Survivel Design Guide ^[1]. Information presented in this design guide will be used throughout this report. From collected crash data, the ninety-fifth percentile (95th%) crash load was determined. The crash pulse was found to be closely approximated by a triangular pulse. The human injury level is in general well below the peak loads sustained during a crash. The ability to reduce the crash lated to the human tolerance level with minimum structural weight, cost and long term reliability are all factors considered important by the Army in their design guide.

The present report is a summary of the work done under Contract N62269-72-C-0657 in developing an armored energy attenuating crewmen seat for fixed scat eircraft. The description of the bucket and frame support system is given in Section II. A two-dimensional mathematical model for developing the design and mathematical analysis of the seating system was developed.

- 2 -

The analytical results of the dynamic response to various impact conditions are described in Section III. The loads obtained from the dynamic analysis were used to evaluate the stress and size of the frame members. This stress analysis is presented in Section IV. The next two sections, namely V and VI describe, respectively, the results of the developmental dynamic tests and the environmental tests of the seat system. Upon completion of ARA's test program, prototype units were delivered to NADC for evaluation. These prototype units incorporated all structural changes required by the ARA test program. Section VII describes the results of the NADC acceptance test.

W. R. S. Line Bloke

III. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF SEATING SYSTEM

The assembled configuration of the armored seat and supporting frame structure is shown in Figures 1 through 3 and the engineering top assembly drawing is given in Figure 4, ARA Drawing D-2387. The armored bucket is attached to the upright frame through a system of six (6) energy absorbers. The energy absorbers (E/A) are ARA's velocity insensitive TOR-SHOKs which have unique square wave load-deflection characteristics. During an impact, the stroking E/A's attenuate the accelerations experienced by the pilot. The degree of attenuation is determined by the combined mass of the seat bucket and pilot, and the preset loads in the E/A's. The final E/A loads in the seating system were determined by the dynamic analysis and a series of dynamic tests.

The purpose of using a shock attenuating seat frame is to limit the maximum G load experienced by the pilot to within the human maximum allowable level. In the dynamic analysis, the pilot and the bucket are treated as a single rigid body and this combined body sustains about half the G load of the input peak.

- 3 -





- 5 -





,



G in the triangular pulse in the vertical impact. The 5th and 95th percentile pilot were used in the dynamic analysis and the 95th percentile pilot was used in the dynamic tests. Since the human bady can telerate higher G leads in the herizontal direction than in the vertical direction, and the elecatif crash data shows that a greater peak G impulse exists in the vertical direction, the present easting system is primarily designed such that the energy absorbers bring the seat to rest in a controlled attenuation rate in the case of the most severe vertical impact. Reference I shows that the impact G load in horizontal crashes is within human telerance. Therefore, the attenuation system in the horizontal direction is designed to minimize the structural weight of the frame system. The dynamic enalysis for the horizontal impact case allows for this eptimization while the herizontal sled test substantiates the structural integrity of the sust system.

The weight of the seat frame, including the E/A's is approximately 30 peanes, which is a decrease of 25 pounds over the previous energy-attenuating seat designed by ARA, Inc. ^[2]. The decrease in weight is attributed to rearranging the E/A's and modifying the back frame. The two main vertical tubes which represent the major structural members have been reduced in height from 35-1/2" to 20". The new design is much more compact end stiffer then the previous one, and yet, is only 7-1/2 pounds heavier than the non-energyebserbing frame used in current fixed seat alreadt. The seating system is placed on reils with 16-inch centers, which is compatible with most current fixed seat eircreft.

The adapter breckets for current fixed sout aircreft armored ceremic buckets have been designed. Using the existing hole pattern, they are used to mount necessary clevises and hardware for attaching the inertie real, sout belt and E/A members. In the event that a new bucket is fabricated, the hole pattern

- 8 -

in the bucket should be modified to eliminate the use of these adapter brackets.

The range of vertical and horizontal seat adjustments which meet the requirements of Military Standards MIL-STD-1333 and MIL-S-58095 (AV) is shown in Figure 5. The 4-way seat adjustment is for the 5th through 95th percentile pilot population, and provides a 5-inch vertical adjustment and a 3-inch fore-and-aft adjustment in increments of 1/2 inch.

IV. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

The three most important degrees of freedom in defining the motion of the crewmen seat during a symmetric cresh are pitching, foreard and vertical movements. They constitute the main limiting factors in determining the E/A straking forces. The computer code to analyse this three-dimensional dynamic response of the seat and pilot has been developed previously by ARA, Inc. under Navy Contract N00156-71-C-0890. The geometry and loading conditions were assumed to be symmetric with respect to a plane passing through the seat's CG and foreand-aft axis. This computer program was used to calculate the initial value for the E/A stroking ferces and to locate the optimum E/A positions for the present seating system. The detailed formulation of this two-dimensional crewman-seat model is given in Reference 3. The following assumptions are made in the mathematical model:

(1) The pilot and bucket are treated as a single rigid body.

(2) Elastic deformation of the seat frame is small and negligible when compared to the E/A's stroking distance.

(3) The pilot and the seat system are symmetric with respect to the vertical plane passing through the seat's CG and the fore-and-aft axis.

- 9 -





- 10 -

The factors which effect the final design of the energy absorbing system include the input pulse, the effective occupant weight, the weight of the movable part of the seat, the characteristics of the seat cushion, and the evailable stroke distance. A typical impact pulse used in the dynamic analysis is shown in Figure 6. The vertical, horizontal, and oblique impact decelerations with magnitudes as suggested in Reference 1 were performed in the computer simulation when the seat was at the uppermost position. Table 1 summarizes the analysical results obtained for the displacement and resulting G load experienced by the combined pilotseat mass for three design impact pulses. The 95th percentile pilot was used to establish the worst impact condition imposed on the seating system. The pilotseat weight configuration is summarized in Table II. It should be noted that the effective weight of a seated occupant as suggested in Reference 1 has been used in computing the responses for the vertical impact.

During the study of the motion of the seat, several dynamic characteristics pertinent to the design of the E/A's were observed for all loading conditions. The bottom E/A was often in compression during the impact. In order to limit the amount of seat pitching and to maintain a minimum clearance between the seat bottom and the supporting frame structure, the bottom E/A was allowed to stroke in tension only. For the case of vertical impacts, the middle E/A dominates the energy absorbing capability of the system. It was found that the final vertical displacement of the seat varied approximately linear to the force setting of the middle E/A. If the allowable vertical displacement is known, the force setting of the E/A can be easily determined. For the present design, the available maximum vertical stroke distance is approximately 8 to 8.5 inches. For the horizontal impact, it was observed that the top E/A plays the most important role in estimating the pitching response of the seat. The force setting of this

- 11 -





- 12 -

Table 1. Summary of Seat-Pilot Dynamic Response for 5th and 95th Percentile Pilots

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

	Doub 1		Dart	k	Seat-Pi	lot Resp	onse				
Impact				 د	•			Dia	1000m		
	U						-				
		Hori zo	lotu	< <	tical		word	Do Do	hward	Pito	h**
		9545	546	1.50			nes)	E.	ches)	(decs. r	Inclusion!
			5	uncx	5th	95th	5th	9.5th	5.1		10014400
Hori zontal	30	23.1	35.0	ſ					5	40th	5th
			0.02	с. /	2.9	5.3	24	3.6	~ ~		
Vertical	48	8.7	0 0	101	č) ;	-	D.0	8°3
Chlicano			<u> </u>		21.9	-0.9		8.5	5.9	2	r
	4 8	17.9	18.8	21.7	22.0	, ,	0			5	0./-
		. •	•			1	7.7	0.8	7.0	3,2	3.2
			•								
- - - - -			1								
Sear displac	cemente ara li-					-	1				

7

Seat displacements are limited by top E/A's stroking to its maximum allowable length.

** Initial pitch angle of bottom surface of bucket relative to floor is -6.0°.



Direction of Impact

- 13 -

Table II. Pilor-Seat Weight Configuration

Regional de la compañía de la

Pilot Weight Percentile	95th	5th
Weight of Pilot & Equipment, Ibs.	211	146
Weight of Bucket, Ibs.	127	127
Total Weight, Ibs.	338	273
Rotational Inertia, Ib. (mass)-in ²	232	199
Effective Weight, Ibs.	293	241
Effective Inertia, Ib. (mass)-in ²	209	183

E/A was so chosen such that the maximum angular pitch angle of the seat was limited to 18 degrees.

The geometry of the final seat configuration with E/A's is shown in Figure 7. The force setting of E/A's and the stroking responses due to three design Impact pulses are given in Table III. The attenuated G load experienced by the ailor-roat single rigid body with the 5th and 95th percentile pilots for different impacts are shown in Figures 8 through 10. The final displacements of the seet for the 95th percentile pilot are shown in Figures 11 through 13. For a vertical crash pulse, Figure 8 shows that the 95th percentile clothed pilot experiences an average deceleration of 16-1/2 G and the 5th percentile clothed occupent experiences approximately a 20 G average deceleration. Thus, the greatert secting system meets the requirement of Section 6.3.9 of MIL-S-58095 (AV) for the 5th through 95th percentile occupants. Figure 14 combines the average responses of the present analysis with that of Figure 12 in MIL-S-58095 (AV). This figure clearly shows that the occupants do not experience acceleration with plateous lasting longer and/or greater in magnitude than the values represented by the maximum acceptable acceleration duration-magnitude curve given in Figure 12 of MIL-S-58095 (AV). If a boron carbide bucket had been used in this analysis such that the bucket weight would have been reduced by 25 pounds, then the difference between the 5th and 95th percentile vertical responses would have been larger. On that basis, the 5th percentile would have exceeded the tolerance ievel of MiL-S-58095 (AV). In order to reduce the response for the 5th percentile, then a larger strake would be required for the 95th percentile, requiring a hole in the floor. Thus, the optimum cent is not necessarily the one with the lightest busket from the standpoint of either stroking requirements or cost.

- 15 -



(Nin and a start star

Table III. Response of E/A members.

4

-							
TOk-SHOK	Energy Absorber	Top		Midd	e	Bottor	E
Preset Force	, Ibs.	3000		1 380		2100	
	Pilot Percentile	95th	5th	95th	5th	95th	5th
	Vertical Impact	3.0	1.8	7.8	6.1	0	0
Stroke, in	Horizontal Impact	3.0	- 4	o	0	0	0
	Oblique Impact	3.0	3.0	6.4	5.5	0	0

- 17 -



Figure 8. Acceleration Response in Vertical Impact.

- 18 -





Figure 10. Acceleration Response in Oblique Angle Impact.

- 20 -





-.21 -





_ -. 22 -





-, 23 -



o - 24 - معاناته المعانية - 24 -

Ð

Figure 14. Human Tolerance to Vertical Accelerations

It is important to note that the pilot-bucket response curve shown in Figure 8 has the same general shape as the curve of the optimum energy absorber resonanced in References 4 and 5. Due to the transient response of the occupant, an optimum energy absorber would be required to deflect at a higher force level early in the impact, and then yield at a lower level when occupant constraint farce develops. This is to control the phenomenon known as dynamic overshoot of the occupant relative to the seat. One of the major assemptions made in constructing the mathematical model in the dynamic analysis was that the pilot and the bucket were treated as a simple rigid body. If further dynamic analyses should be required, the mass model should be revised to study the dynamic overshoot and to reflect the relative motion which exists between the pilot and the seat bucket.

In addition to calculating the dynamic response of the pilot-seat rigid body, the computer program also calculated the dynamic loads applied to the seat supporting frame during the Impact. It is these loads that were used to analyze the stresses and to determine the size of the various numbers of the seat frame. The stress analysis is discussed in the following section.

V. STRESS ANALYSIS

The design loads of the frame members were obtained from the dynamic analysis described in the previous section. The detailed stress analysis is given in Appendix A. Unless otherwise stated, a safety factor of 1.5, relative to the yield strength of the material, was used in designing all load carrying members of the supporting frame. This safety factor was chosen because some uncertainty exists in the prediction of the dynamic loads due to the idealized assumptions made in the analytical model. In addition, loads used herein reflect only the

- 25 -

symmetric loading, which may be inadequate when the loads due to coupling between the symmetric and enti-symmetric conditions are introduced. A safety factor less than 1.5 is accepted in the final design of certain members only when the loads are clearly defined or are non-critical.

Except for the sliding rails, alloy steel AISI 4130 heat-treated to en ultimate strength of 180,000 psi was used in most of the structural critical parts. For noncritical parts, low carbon steel 1018 was used. The bettom relis were made of eluminum alloy 7075-T6 because of its excellent machinability and light weight. The design mechanical properties presented in Tables 2.3.1.1 (a) and 3.2.7.0 (f) of MIL Handbook 5 were used for the materials mentioned above. For steel joints wulded after heat treatment, Tables 8.2.1.1.2 (a) and (b) of MIL Handbook 5 were used for the ellowable strength near the weld. For material heat treated after weiding, the ellowable strength in the parent metal near a welded joint was taken to be equal to the allowable strength for the material in the heat-treated condition.

In some cases where MIL Handbook 5 denotes only the ultimate strengths, the corresponding yield strengths of AISI 4130 as given in Table 2.3.1.1.(a) of the same handbook are used. The yield strength in shear is also assessed to be equal to the ultimate strength in shear multiplied by the ratio of yield strength and ultimate strength in tension.

The engineering data on the response of the present energy-absorbing crew soat system to various types of impact is limited. In designing this seat frame structure, some conservative engineering judgements have been used. As more of engineering data of the seat becomes available, it will be possible to refine the system and use lower factors of safety in its design. This would result in a lower weight structure.

- 26 -
VI. DEVELOPMENTAL DYNAMIC TESTS

Upon completion of the design, complete seats were fabricated and tested on the ARA, Inc. drop tower and sled facility. The major accomplishments of this phase of work were the verification of the structural integrity of the seat frame and the establishment of the proper E/A loads for meeting the performance specifications of MIL-S-58095 (AV).

A photograph showing the position of the seat and dummy prior to a drop tower test is given in Figure 15. A 95th percentile male dummy was placed in the seat and the seat was then positioned in its rearmost horizontal location and its uppermost vertical location. The uppermost vertical location was chosen as it results in the maximum loads applied to the seat frame during an impact. All E/A lengths and the seat's location relative to the floor and frame were measured. The drop platform was then raised to the desired height and released. The G load on the seat frame was controlled by four large E/A's positioned at the bottom of the drop tower. After the impact, the lengths of all E/A's and the relative position of the seat were again measured. The difference between the initial and final positions is the motion of the seat.

Two drops were performed, 1A and 1B. After measuring the final position of the seat at the end of the test 1A and without repositioning the seat, the platform was raised again to the desired height and dropped constituting test 1B. In the test 1A, only one accelerometer was mounted on the platform to record the impact pulse on the seating frame. An additional accelerometer was mounted on the bottom panel of the bucket in the test 1B. Two switches at 6" apart were located right near the impact point so that the actual impact speed could be found. Table IV summarizes the impact and responses. It should be noted that the responses

- 27 -



- 28 -

Drop Test	1A	18	Remarks
Drop Weight, lb.	830	830	
Drop Height, in. Static E/A force in Bottom Platform , Ile.	84 27200	86 27200	Measured Input
Bottom Platform Movement, in	1.4	1,3	
Time to First Switch, soc.	9.656	0,656	•
Time to Second Switch, sec.	0.681		Data Trace Reading
Input: Pulse Duration, sec.	0.021	0.021	
Inpate: Pulse Peak G	53.0	57.0	
Theoretical Impact Speed, ft/sec	21.2	21.4	Calculated from Drop Height
Actual Impact Speed, ft/sec.	20.4	20.4	Calculated from Distance Between Two Switches
Input Pulse Average G	30.2	30.2	Calculated fm. impact Spd & Duration of Japur Pulse
NSRP Displacement, Horizontal, in	1.30	0.05	5
NSRP Displacement, Vertical, in	3.50	1.43	
Initial Thigh Target Angle, deg.	6	12	Measured Responses
Final Thigh Target Angle, deg.	12	17	4
E/A Stroke, Top, in	0	0.10	
E/A Stroke, Middle, in	3, 33	1.40	
E/A Stroke, Bottom, In	0	0	

Table IV. Summary of Drop Tests.

Second Contraction of the second second

and the second second second

a la se

- 29 -

of the seat in tests 1A and 1B are different because their initial positions are different. The structural integrity was maintained during the two drops. No simple structural failure was detected. The 4-way seating adjustment functioned properly after the tests.

n. 16 - 10 marsh water balland a star a star and be water a star

For horizontal impact testing, the seat was first attached to a specially designed harizontal impact sled. Figure 16 is a photograph showing the crew seat attached to the sled. For the test, the sled was accelerated to the desired velocity by pushing it with a truck. At a distance of 120 feet from the rigid barrier, the truck was braked allowing the sled to roll freely the remaining distance into the barrier. Sled guidance was provided by connecting the right front wheel of the sled to a guidance cable and a control arm. The G-level to which the seat was subjected was controlled by six E/A's (ARA's censtant force TOR-SHOK energy absorber) mounted on the front of the sled. As in the drop tests, the seat was pacificated in its rearmast horizontal location and its uppermost vertical location. All E/A lengths and the seat's location relative to the floor and frame were recarded before and after the test. The motion of the seat is then the difference between the initial and final positions. A 95th percentile male dummy was used to represent the pilot. To aid in the interpretation of the impact, high speed motion pictures were taken during the test.

A review of the slow motion pictures shows that the impact speed of the sled was 53.3 ft/sec. The sled and the seat were subjected to a constant 27.4 G pulse with a duration of 0.057 seconds. Table V summarizes the impact and responses of the seat during the sled test. It was observed that most of the kinetic energy of the seat was taken out by the top E/A members as expected from the dynamic analysis. The left side panel of the bucket became loose but remained

- 30 -



Table V. Summary of Horizontal Sled Test

INPUT	
Sled Weight, 1b.	2300
Impact Speed, A/sec	53.3
Impact Duration, sec	0.057
Sled Front E/A Force, Ib	63000
Sled Front E/A Stroke, in	15.9

RESPONSE OF SEAT										
	Top Left	1.95								
E/A Stroke, in	Top Right	2.00								
,, , .	Middle Left	0,10								
		Middle Right	0.25							
	Rear Bottom Corner	Left	0.13							
Vertical Displacement		Right	0.25							
in.		Left	3.33							
	Front Bottom Corner	Right	3.45							
		Left	0.30							
Horizontal Displacement	Rear Bottom Corner	Right	0.35							
	-	Left	0.10							
	Front Bottom Corner	Right	0.25							
	Left	4.9								
Thigh Tangent Angle, de	Right	-5.6 (Pitchdown)								

- 32 -

on the bucket. The fact that the back panel of the bucket bent during the test indicates that the pitching of the upper torso due to the flexibility of the harness restraint system added an angular acceleration to the 27 G horizontal acceleration. Again, no structural failure in the seat frame was detected and the seat adjustment mechanisms worked properly after the impact.

The impact at a speed over the required 50 ft/sec as stated in Reference 1 demonstrated the structural integrity of the crew seat frame. The basic engineering concept of attenuating the G-load experienced by a pilot during the impacts through the use of a system of E/A's was verified by this series of vertical and horizontal impact tests.

VII. ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS OF SEAT SYSTEM

Prior to the full acceptance of any crashworthy armored fixed seat for installation in an aircraft, certain environmental tests must be conducted to verify the adequacy of the seat to those environmental conditions described in ML-S-58095(AV) and ML-STD-810B, Notice 1. Based on these two documents the following environmental tests were conducted:

- A. High Temperature Test
- B. Low Temperature Test
- C. Humidity Test
- D. Fungus Test
- E. Salt Fog Test
- F. Dust Test
- G. Vibration Test

The tests were conducted by Ogden Technology Laboratories, Inc. during a period from 19 December 1972 to 23 February 1973. A.U. S. Government

- 33 -

Representative witnessed all the tests. An Ogden prepared report, No. F-72683 was submitted to ARA, inc. and a copy of this complete report is provided in Appendix B. In summary, this report states that the seat frame completed the test program without visible evidence of any physical damage or deterioration. After the seat had experienced all the environmental tests, each TOR-SHOK was activated individually and the running loads on each wese found to be within [±] 7% of the loads measured originally prior to the environmental tests. Based on these measurements, the seat frame assembly met all requirements of MIL-S-58095(AV) and MIL-STD-810B, Notice 1. Finally it should be noted that in order to meet the vibration test requirements, a complete seat assembly using the armored bucket, and restraint system as well as an anthropormorphic dummy was utilized in the test to properly simulate the loading conditions on the frame assembly.

VIIL. SUMMARY OF NADC ACCEPTANCE TESTS

Crash load tests of pilot and co-pilot models of the crashworthy armored seat were conducted at the NAVAIRDEVCEN (Naval Air Development Center) horizontal accelerator and drop tower facilities located at Philadelphia, Pa.

"A 95th percentile ballasted anthropomorphic dummy (Alderson CG95QA) weighing 213.5 lbs., with flight suit, APH-5 helmet, and shoes, was used for all tests. The restraint system consisted of a conventional 3 inch wide lap belt (Type IV per MIL-W-25301, modified to facilitate attachment of the belt directly to the bucket), and 1-23/32 inch wide shoulder straps (Type VIII per MIL-W-4088). The major modification to the lap belt was the location of the belt length adjusters near the attachment release bucket at the center of the lap belt.

Monitored data included input acceleration at the drop tower base or sled deck, input acceleration at the seat mount plate, triaxial dummy and seat

- 34 -

accelerations, and selected energy attenuation loads."

During the drop tower tests a modification to the upper TOR-SHOKs were required in order to prevent the seat from bottoming out on the deck. The modification consisted of placing "stop" rings on the outer and inner tubes of the TOR-SHOKs. With this arrangement the upper TOR-SHOKs could not break loose as was evidenced during Test 1 and Test 2 on the drop tower. Once the rings were installed, the two drop tower tests were repeated and the seat operated properly.

During the sled tests the rod ends attached to each end of the TOR-SHOK were failing due to improper heat-treat of the body portion of the rod end. When all of the rod ends were replaced with properly heat-treated ball joints, the seat operated as designed for ell of the sled tests.

A summary of the test acceleration data obtained from the drop tower and sled tests is provided in Table VI. Test Nos. 1A and 2A represent the two drop tower tests with the upper TOR-SHOKs modified with the "stopping"rings. Tests 38 and 4 represent the two sled tests with the added change of replacing the rod ends on all TOR-SHOKs with properly heat-treated components. Additional information is provided in Table VII for the same four tests with respect to the loads and maximum displacements of the TOR-SHOKs.

The most impostant acceleration traces are those associated with the combined angle drop tower test (Test #1A). The acceleration traces for this case are provided in Figure 17. In addition the associated TOR-SHOK force measurements are provided in Figure 18.

A detailed description of each of the tests has been summarized in a letter from the Commander, Naval Air Development Center to the Commanding General, U. S. Army Aviation Systems Command. "Eight dynamic tests of the armored

- 35 -

14 0101

ARAIY ARMORED E/A CREWMAN SEMT

TEST RESULTS - ACCELERATION ACCAD

<u> </u>					PE	9× G-	12137		
<u>א א</u>	EST VO.	TEST DESCRIPTION	SEAT POSITION	SEAT	r BUCK	ET	na	with be	SINZ
		· · ·		PERT.	HORIZ.	147	PERT.	HOKI?.	CMT.
	7	CCMBINED ANDLE URDY TEST DT= 50.2 FTSEC GMAX = 47.5	LOWEST Dary	41.2	30.5	11.0	38.0 25.0	20.0	0.C
N	Ţ	VERTICHL DROP TEST 20=44 FYSCC GAMAX=49.5	HIGHEST Pert	450	502	7.6	3 6.0 19.6	14.0	1.7
m	Ø	COMBINED ANGLE SLED TEST DT= 49.2 FV&C G AMX = 28.8	антянга 15014 1хэд 15Эм07	20.2	32.7	13.5	13.8	27.7	14.0
	4	FCXWARD SLED TEST 2T= 48,3 FVice GAMA = 29.5	THERE DEAL	15.6	В.02 В.	6.8	13.0	37.8	0. S
 *	12 *	S. DEAK SHOWN							

- 36 -

Table VII

ARMY ARMORED E/A CREWMAN SEAT

TEST RESULTS - E/A LEAD AND PLENTACEMEN

	ſ			2	ų ,			i								
		ELA	NO1.	5700		20		0		· · ·		0				
		SIDE	801	aro1	and a	(1997)				1	4635	WN				
NI N		AND	3700	STROLE	(m)	7) }	7.4		0		s.				
The sur		x \ \ \	IN	1040	a d		2267	1449	2318	1.0		N'N				
		27	2	STROKE	(W)	8		N N		0 N		ن. تر.				
		1 	2	AV6	1582		6766	1	13776	- 7325		11.005				
	P. 1	TTON		STROKE	(N)	6		0		0		0		لا		
	E E/	8	1000	The	(2BS)	WN		1x		WN		NN/		70 26.		
	ND SIC	2700	(Three	27446	(21)	2:5		20		<i>S</i> .		6		ETUEN	a	
:	HIT HA	M	20402	AVC.	(1887)	2400		334	0/0-	WW		WN		NOT A	VITORE	
•	RIG	3	STARK	(11)		6 0		2. A		8	- -	<i>i</i> <i>i</i>		ゆいつ む	T Mer	
		70	2002	AVG	1.871	* 52°	. 1	* 16072	- +	WW		75:40	 \.	READIN	24 - 14	۰.
	;	TEST	ØX	-		d/		¥ ,		S.S.	<u>∤</u> 	4	North	*	X	
					-		-				L					





crashwarthy seat were conducted from 10 April 1973 to 30 May 1973, four tests on the drop tower and four on the horizontal accelerator. The seat system was to be qualified in accordance with MIL-S-58095(AV). Two objectives were required for the dynamic tests: (a) no loss of structural integrity of the seat system, and (b) limitation of the seat pan acceleration to a value not in excess of human tolerance to vertical acceleration." Excerpts of the NADC letter to AVSCOM concerning the tests are provided herein in order to provide detailed information.

A. Combined Angle Drop Tower Tests (1 and 1A)

Photographs of the installation for this test are shown in Figure 19 prior to impact, and in Figure 20 after impact. "The bucket was tested in the fulldown position and rear most adjustment with respect to the rails. This aft horizontal position was used for all subsequent tests. To preclude the possibility of complete breakaway of the side panel armor from the seat system, the following modifications and precautionary measures were taken for all tested seats: (1) The aft side panel adjustment hole (position used for all tests) was reamed out to a depth of 1/4 inch to insure positive locking of the spring-loaded adjustment pin, and (2) a 3/16 inch hole was drilled in the side panel lip to permit the attachment of a safety line which would preclude breakaway of the panel but not affect normal operation. "

"Test 1 resulted in stroking and separation of the top pair of TOR-SHOK E/A's. Separation occurred at the end of the impact pulse and was followed by impact of the right forward corner of the bucket with the deck. The pitching motion of the bucket caused the bottom TOR-SHOKs to come in contact with the horizontal adjustment actuator arm deforming it, however, the seat remained firmly locked in position at all times. It was concluded that the upper E/A's required a modification to keep the inner and outer tubes from separating."

- 40 -





CSUM A 24 181 2

"Test 1A a repeat of Test 1, was conducted with modified upper E/A's which were designed so that they would not separate at the end of stroking"

in the second second

"The bucket impacted the deck at the right forward corner. Measured downward deflection of the seat was 6-5/16 inch on the right side and 4-5/8 inch on the left side. The forward pitching motion of the bucket caused the bottom TOR-SHOKs to contact and deform the horizontal adjustment actuator arm. The seat remained firmly locked in position throughout the crash test with the dummy restrained in the seat. It was concluded that the seat met the intent of the Test 1 condition of MIL-S-58095(AV)."

B. Vertical Drop Tower Tests (2 and 2A)

Photographs of the installation for this test are shown in Figure 21 prior to impact and in Figure 22 after impact. The bucket was tested in the full-up position and rear most adjustment with respect to the rails. The vertical input crash pulse simulated the pulse required in MIL-S-58095(AV).

Test 2 was conducted with a co-pilot model seat using the unmodified upper TOR-SHOK E/A's. "The test resulted in stroking and separation of the top and middle pairs of TOR-SHOKs. E/A separation was immediately followed by bucket impact with the deck."

Test 2A, a repeat of Test 2 was conducted with modified upper E/A's identical with those used in Test 1A.

"Inspection of the seat after the test showed it to be intact and firmly attached to the floor track. No portion of the seat contacted floor structure and the dummy was restrained by the shoulder and lap belt. Measured downward deflection of the seat was 7-9/16 inch on the right side and 8-1/2 inch on the left side. Because of the large vertical displacement resulting from this test condition, the top TOR-SHOKs made contact with the middle TOR-SHOKs during seat stroke and were indented. It was concluded that the seat functioned within the design specifications and withstood the vertical crash pulse, Figure 23."

- 43 -



. .









Figure 23 .Vertical Drop Tower Test 2A 46A





- 47 -



地理の行動がある

1/2



- 48 -

C. Combined Angle Sled Tests (3, 3A and 3B)

Photographs of the installation for these tests are shown in Figure 24 prior to impact and in Figure 25 after impact. The seat was subjected to the Test 2 condition of Table iV of MIL-S-58095(AV).

Test 3 and its repeat, Test 3A resulted in the failure of the bucket attachments to the TOR-SHOKs. Specifically, the ball joint rod ends failed because of insufficient heat treatment.

Test 3B was conducted with modified rod ends rated at 11,000 lbs. "Inspection of the seat after the test disclosed that the port side rail partially failed in Test 3B just before the end of the input pulse. Analysis of the data and camera coverage indicated that the threads of the tiedown bolts were stripped pilor to the shear failure of the rail. Inspection of the seat after the test showed that it was intact and still attached to both rails. The damaged port side rail warped the seat so that the right forward corner of the bucket was tipped toward the deck. The dummy was fully restrained by the shoulder and lap belts. It was concluded that the seat met the intent of the Test #2 conditions of MIL-S-58095(AV). Figure 26."

- 49 -



D. Forward Sled Test (4)

Photographs of the installation for this test are shown in Figure 27 prior to impact and in Figure 28 after impact.

"The seat was subjected to the Test #2 condition of Table IV of MIL-S-58095(AV). The bucket was tested in the full-up position. Because of the failure of the rail mount bolts experienced in Test 3B, the coarse-threaded 1/4 inch bolts were replaced by MS-20004 allen bolts. Inspection of the system after the test event showed the seat to be intact with the dummy restrained by the harness straps. The cantilevering action of the dummy on the forward edge of the bucket plus the inertia load of the side panel assembly were sufficient to pull out some bolts retaining the seat back to the right side and rear of the seat pan. Five bolts along the right inboard side of the seat and six bolts along the bottom of the seat back pulled out of their tapped holes. However, the seat back and side remained attached. It was concluded that the seat met the design specification and performed satisfactorily, Figure 29." This was the last test conducted by NADC on this seat program.

In summarizing the NADC acceptance test program, the following general remarks are provided by the NADC letter report:

"The system was to be evaluated in terms of structural integrity and the limitation of vertical accelerations on the seat system occupant. Tests 1A, 2A, 3B and 4 all met the criteria for seat structural integrity and dummy retention.

Although no test resulted in the breakaway of a component from the seat system, deceleration of the bucket in Tests 1, 2, 3 and 3B was momentarily uncontrolled due to previously noted failures. The bucket impacted the deck in Tests 1, 2, 1A, 3, 3A and 3B. With the exception of Test 2, the bucket was in the full-down position with an available clearance of 8 inches for

- 51 -



-52-





vertical stroking. Aside from the obvious failures in Tests 1, 2, 3 and 3A, it was expected that the front corner of the bucket would contact the deck during the combined angle vertical drop test. Past experience has shown that the combination of pitch and roll would cause an asymmetrical loading on the system resulting in the unequal stroking of the E/A's forcing the front side edge of the seat to tip downward and sideward. Most of the Input energy was dissipated during the movement of the seat and it had little differential velocity in relation to the deck when it made contact. For those tests where the seat contacted the deck the traces indicate a short duration spike with an "overshoot" acceleration. In all cases where it was concluded that the seat performed satisfactorily, there was little damage to the underside of the bucket. Contact of the seat front edge with the deck occurred during Test 3B because of the partial failure of the track. As noted previously, the track feilure was attributable to the use of improper tiedown nuts and bolts."

"Throughout the test program the side armor panels were retained on the seat. Although the moveable panel was released from its upper guide bracket in tests 1A, 2, 3, 33 and 4, restraint was still provided by the panel mount and springloaded adjustment pin. The GFE seat cushions identical to those presently being used in the Army UH-1 helicopter armored crawman seat, were used during all testing. The one piece cushion is constructed from aluminum tubing welded together to form a frame. Raschel netting is used as the crewman support surface. Inspection of the frame after each test revealed evidence that the dummy's coccyx contacted a partian of the tubing after the netting supports failed. A two piece cushion has been proposed as a substitute for the GFE cushion. It is constructed from sheet aluminum bent into seat and back support forms and is covered with Raschel netting. *

- 55-

Measured vertical seat accelerations for the drop tower tests indicate only merginal compliance with the criteria of ML-S-58095 (AV). Figure 12 of ML-S-58095 (AV) requires the limitation of vertical seat accelerations to 23 G or less for all durations in excess of .0058 seconds. The longer durations at the 23 G level for Tests 1A and 2A ware apparently caused by the "stop" rings in the upper TOR-SHOKs. Although the new TOR-SHOKs result in higher s pat accelerations at the end of the seat displacement, they also provide a more controlled deceleration of the seat since the bucket is always supported, even in the event of 100% utilization of available stroke. Strain gages placed on the TOR-SHOKs to aid in evaluation of seat system performance gave force readings which were generally much higher than the preset forces specified in the design. Since deformation of the TOR-SHOK cylinders was evident in some cases (oil-canning of the TOR-SHOK end cap, etc.), the force gages will give higher readings than actual due to the occurrence of some plastic deformation of the TOR-SHOK tubing.

and the second second

Some additional comments on the performance characteristics of the crashworthy seat appear warranted. It should be noted that the upper or top TOR-SHOKs do not strake but rotate during the initial vertical displacement of the bucket. Consequently during this period of time the vertical deceleration of the bucket, as shown in Figure 17, is well within the tolerance level specified by ML-S-58095 (AV). However since all the energy must be absorbed within 8 inches of vertical displacement, the upper TOR-SHOKs after rotation to the horizontal position has been completed, then start to stroke, which provides for an additional component to the bucket vertical deceleration. As the bucket moves further vertically, the upper TOR-SHOKs have rotated to an almost vertical position (which contributes even further to the bucket vertical deceleration.) and in addition, due to their small stroking capacity, start to slide the helical wire

_56 _

elements which further increases the force level in the upper TOR-SHOKs. These two combined effects cause the "bottoming out" effect shown in the vertical seat pan deceleration curve of Figure 17 (first curve). The increase in the force level of the top TOR-SHOKs when the wire is sliding is shown in Figure 16. Also shown in this figure is the relative constant values of the middle TOR-SHOKs which do not experience any appreciable wire sliding. For this impact condition, the bottom TOR-SHOKs do not experience any appreciable stroking and consequently were not instrumented. The bottom TOR-SHOKs do stroke when large lateral accelerations are experienced by the seat pan. H

こことのないたかられたいの

And the second states

in order to insure a soft "bottoming out" condition of the seat pan in the vertical direction, the support rings in the upper or top TOR-SHOKs were a necessity, due to the very limited available displacement of the bucket and the length of the upper or top TOR-SHOKs. By use of the multiple force variation of the upper or top TOR-SHOKs and the rotation angle, the vertical deceleration of the seat pan can be made constant at a tolerable level for most of its travel but yet retain a soft "bottoming out" condition, as shown in the first trace of Figure 17. It should be noted that this trace represents a very severe crash condition, namely, a 95th percentile crash (50 feet per second) and the relatively large weight of a 95th percentile pilot. If either or both of these two conditions are reduced in severity, say a 50th percentile crash and a 50th percentile pilot, this soft "bottoming out" condition would not exist and the maximum seat pan decelerations would be well within the tolerable limits specified by ML-S-58095 (AV). Based on the maximum available seat displacement of 7-1/2 to 8 inches, the present design optimizes the Intent of MIL-S-58095 (AV) which specifically requires a minimum vertical seat pan displacement of 12, and not 7-1/2 to 8 inches.

-57-

Since the present ARA, Inc. design was tailored to fit existing aircraft configurations as well as using existing @FE seat components, the performance of the present seat in terms of tolerable vertical decelerations appears to be optimized.

The vertical dummy decelerations are largely dictated by not only the vertical seat pan decelerations but in addition, by the elasticity in the restraint system. Unfortunately the dummy experiences no vertical deceleration until half of the impulse deceleration duration was been experienced (See Figure 17, fourth curve). This characteristic means that the elasticity of the restraint system provides no restraint initially, but then the restraint system "catches up" with the dummy, resulting in high vertical deceleration loads. This situation can be alleviated by relocating the inertia reel to the top of the armored bucket (which reduces the length of shoulder harness webbing and consequently the stretch of the webbing), as well as by reducing the stretch of the shoulder harness restraint by stiffer and/ or wider webbing. When these modifications are made in the restraint system, further improvements in the dummy vertical deceleration will be obtained.

Comparison of restraint effectiveness with an aluminum faced bucket using aluminum oxide tile, in comparison to the epoxy fiberglass backed boron-carbide bucket tested in Reference 2, appears warranted. The epoxy fiberglass boroncerbide bucket was found to be extremely more flexible than the aluminum backed aluminum oxide mosaic tile GFE bucket. Even after the epoxy fiberglass bucket was reinforced with additional aluminum brackets, during a forward facing sled test conducted at the ARA, Inc. facility, the dummy moved forward in the bucket to a point where he was almost completely out of the seat. Due to the elasticity of the back of the bucket and the shoulder restraint system, the use of energy absorber alleviation was meaningless since the restraint system in the forward direction was completely inadequate. The bucket and restraint system elasticity

- 584 -

do not about energy, but merely store the energy and then release it in the form of a large rebound velocity. In order to avoid this situation the elasticity of the bucket and the shoulder restraint must be reduced. <u>Thus the use of the aluminum</u> <u>beelead accentic tile bucket appears mandatory for crashworthy dynamic response</u>. Although epoxy fibergians ceramic tile could be used for the sides and possibly for the bottom of the bucket if adequate festening procedures are used, the manufecturing costs of the aluminum backed bucket is considerably less than the epoxy fibergians backed bucket, and therefore, the aluminum backed bucket should be considered as the most optimum configuration.

Obviously further improvements in cackpit design will allow for better performance in seat pan decelerations by permitting optimum vertical seat pan displacements. Improved restraint systems, their optimum location on the bucket, and stiff seat back buckets will improve the vertical deceleration response of the occupant. Thus much remains to be done; however, the present crashworthy armored fixed seat demonstrates the enormous improvement in crash survivability that can be accomplished using existing cockpit arrangements and existing, relatively inexpensive, armsred buckets. This improvement can be made at a negligible weight and cost penalty over present non-crashworthy fixed seats.

-595 -

IX. REFERENCES

Reproduced from best available copy

1. Turnbow, J. W., D. F. Carroll, J. L. Haley, Jr. and S. H. Robertson, "Crash Survival Design Guide", USAAVLABS Technical Report 70-22, U. S. Army Aviation Material Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia, 1969.

2. ARA, Inc., "An Armored Energy Attenuating Cressman's Seat for Rotaryand Light Fixed-Wing Aircraft", <u>NADC Report</u> No. 72186-CS, Naval Air Development Center, Werminster, Pennsylvania, 1972.

3. ARA, Inc., "Crew Seat Support and Energy Absorbing System for Rotaryand Light Fixed-Wing Aircraft", <u>ARA Report</u> No. 144, ARA, Inc., West Covina, Celifornie, 1972.

Renneker, D. N., "A Besic Study of Energy-Absorbing Vehicle Structures
end Occupant Restraints by Mathematical Model", SAE Paper No. 670897,
Proceedings of SAE Automotive Safety Dynamic Modeling Symposium, Anaheim,
California, Oct. 12, 1967, pp. 45–50.

5. Carr, R. W. and N. S. Phillips, "Definition of Design Criteria for Energy Absorbing Systems", NADC-AC-7010, Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville, Wesneinster, Pennsylvania, June 1970.

_695

APPENDIX A

STRESS ANALYSIS OF SEAT FRAME COMPONENTS

L
DIAGONAN BRACE (DWG, NO. 2300) 1.1 AT'L 4130 STL H.T. Fru= 180 KSI COMPRESSIEN AND BUCKLING LOAD : P= 9,400 LOS SECTION. 1.00 OD x .035 WALL A= . 106 112 I = . 0124 1N4 LENGTH : L= 12.1 W STRESS : fe = 9.400 = 88.600 psi SAFETY FACTOR ST = - For = 173.000 = 200 for 58,600 - ----BUCKLING. $P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 ET}{1^2} = \frac{\pi^2 (3 \cdot 10^2) (.0124)}{(12.1)^2} = 25,000 \quad (12.1)^2$ SAFSIY FACTOR: SF = - 75.000 = -2.6 A-1

PLUC, BRACE, DIAGONAL TUBE (Dulg. NO. 2301) MAT'L . 4130 STL, H.T. Fro - 180 KSI CONTRACSSIVE BEHING LOAD : P= 9, 800 (BS SECTION Abr= 438 x.25 = 11 14 2 STRESS : for= 9,000/1,= 85.000 ps: Fbry = 230,000 ps: 5.F = ______ 85,000 = 2.7 SAFETY ENCTOR . CLEVIS, DIAGONAL BRACE (DWG. NO. 2283) 4130 STL, HT. Fty = 130 KSI LIAT'L COMPRESSIVE BEPRING LEAD. p= 9.400 LBS SECTION Abr = (1.438-1040) (50) = .20" Sincess . for 9.000 / 20 . 07.000 ps: Fory = 230 oro pri WEETT FACTOR S.F. - 230,000 47,000 = 0.1 A-2

TENTICAL COLUMN (DIUG. NO. 2286) MAT'L . 4130 STL, H.T. Ftv=180 Ks. BENDING AT THE JOWER COLLAR. LOADING . MAX. SENDING MOMENT = 27, 200 M (2) <u>SECTION:</u> 1.75 0.D. × . 120 WALL AREA : = (1.752 - 1.512) = . 62 10 $I = \frac{77}{69} (1.75^{6} - 1.51^{6}) - (.266)(.120)(.215)^{2} = .185^{10^{6}}$ 2 - I = 27 - 2(190) - 212 143 <u>STRESS</u> for M 17,200 - 128,000 psi SAFETY FACTOR . 5. F. = 163.000 = 1.27 TENSION AT LOWER END WELDING. 10170 Pr= 7700 (0's SECTION: At = T. Dt = T (1.70)(10) = 5:0" STRESS /1 2700 = 14.500 psi 1155 (4) = 105 (25) = 83 253 100 AUCLDING (NILL MORE - 5. 5. 5 1.12 SATETY THETCH S.F. 83.000 = 5.7 A-3

TERTICAL COLUMN (CONT.) SHEAR STRESS AT DERT. ADJUST HOLES TSKI. ADJUST. MIAX. TORCE . T= 270 185 x 224 /2 = 2970 185 SECTION A: 2 ((.50 - .266) (.120)] = .0563 "" <u>STRESS</u> fs. 2.970 . 53,000 psi SAFETY FACTOR: 5.F. = Fsy = 97.700 = 1.84 fs 53,000 = 1.84 BEARING STRESS AT THE HOLES ! SECTION. Abr = (.250) (.120-,037) =.0208 tin = T = 2970 Abr = 143.000 ps; SAFETY FACTOR: S.F. = Fory = 230,000 = 1.61 A-4

- **1**177

PIN, LOCKING, TERTICAL (DWG NO 2295) MATIL 4130 STL, H.T. Fru - 180 Kri SHERR STRESS LOAD T= 270 105 x 22 /2 . : 470 105 SICTION. & DIA As = . 09.9 1.12 STRESS . fs - T - 3970 - 60,600 ps. SAFETY FACTOR: S.F. FS 51.700 1.61 A-5

CLEVIS, TEXTICAL COL. (DIVG NO. 2282) MATL . 4130 STL, H.T. -180 rs. SUCAR STUESS LOND Pv= 7,700 c85 SECTION . As = 2 (.50)(.20) = .20 fs = Pv = 7,700 = 38,500 ps; $5.T = \frac{F_{sy}}{f_s} - \frac{97.790}{38.500} = = 5.11$ SHFETY FACTOR :





$$f_1 = \frac{P}{A} = \frac{3.000}{325} = 9.250 \text{ ps}^{\prime}$$

$$S.F = \frac{57.000}{7.250} = 6.15$$

(I) ×= 45.

 $f_{f} = -\frac{P_{10}A}{A} + \frac{P_{12}AA}{2} = 6.550 + 20.300 = 26.250 \text{ ps.}$ $f_{s} = -\frac{P_{10}A}{A} = 6.550 \text{ ps.}$ $f_{s}(max) = \frac{f_{10}}{2} + \int \overline{f_{10}} \int \overline{f_{s}} = 27.000 \text{ ps.}$ $S.F. = -\frac{57.000}{2} = 2.1^{12}$

WELDMENT, TER-SHER CLEVIS JOINT (CONT)

STRESS & SAFETY FACTUR

(II)
$$f_{5(400)} = \sqrt{(\frac{f_{1}}{2})^{4} f_{1}^{4}} = 13.900 \text{ ps};$$

 $S.F. = \frac{F_{5y}}{f_{5}} = \frac{34,000}{13.900} = 2.45$

(II) d = 90°

 $f_{\mu} = \frac{P(.625)}{2} = \frac{3000(.625)}{(.0653)} = 28.700 \text{ psi}$ $f_{3} = \frac{P}{A} = 9.250 \text{ psi}$

filling = - ft + / (1-) + fs = 10, 000 + 17.100 = 31.500 p:

 $S.F = \frac{57,000}{31,500} = 1.8$

fs 6100, - 17.100 ps:

5. F = 34,000 = 1.98

eproduced from est available cou CON TUBE, SUPPERT, BACK FRAME (DWG, NO 2297, 2287) 4130 STL, UKIDING AFT H.T TO THISOKI MAT2 COMPRESSION ON DIAGONAL CICHINER LEAD PUE 3.360 LOS (FREM WIPCH Pe: P. = 5,060 105 SECTION 3 aD - O3S WALL 1= 18" A= . 0786 14' Z . . 0030 144 STRESS: for Fe 5,460 - 67.500 ps: STRENGTH OF WELDED SECTION For = POKS (-75)=71.0 tsi (-8.2 11.2) SAFETY FACTOR : $SF = -\frac{F_{cY}}{f_{c}} = -\frac{71.0}{F_{g}} = 1.03$ (O.K BECAUSE OF LOCAL STRESS 4 CURVED SECTION) BURGANG OF DIACOPAL MEMPER FOR FINED ENDS COLUMN : Per 6.761 6 + (Grid Harris) = 18,310 611 T. = 12, 400 SAFETY PROPER : 3.34 A-10

A said a set of sea & said

TUBE, SUPPORT, PACK FRAME (CONT.)

SHEAR STRESS AT WELDING

<u>SAFETY</u> = Acroc 5 F = $\frac{F_{sy}}{f_s} \frac{34}{14.1} = 2.4$

LOCKING PIN, HORIZONTAL ADJUSTMENT (DWG NO 2263, 2262) MATL: 4130 STL, H.T. TO Fre=1Bots: SHEAR OF PIN LOAD : P= 270 x 226/20 = 2970 LBJ DIA - . 25 ~ SECTION A = # (.25) = 149 m2 STRESS fs = P . 2,970 . 60,600 ps: Fsy= 97.700 ps: SAFCTY FACTOR: 5.F = 97700 - 1.61 BEARING STRESS AT RAIL SECTION ALT= (25) (195)=.049 112 STRESS $f_{0r} = \frac{p}{A_{u}} = \frac{2,970}{049} = 60,60c \text{ ps}.$ Fory = 93,000 psi (7075.76 AL) SAFETY FACTOR S.T = Tory 93 = 1.53 A-12

OUTER TUBE TOR-SHOK (DWG. NOS 2315, 2311) MAT'L 4130 STL END CAP IS WELDED TO THE FUBE AFTER H.T. STRESS AT WELDING JOINT LOAD PEBOOD LOS MAX 1. SECTION. TUBE 12 0.0 x . 035 WALL A = TD1 = .161 m2 STRESS f= 5.500 - 34,200 ps: Fin = 80,000 ps: (MIL HDEK S. 2. 1.1) Fig = 80(-75) = 63 ts. SAFETY FACTOR . S.F. = 63,000 = 1.84 34,200 _____

BOLT, PH JOHT. BLAGOLAL BRASE
LOUSCH END
$$\frac{1}{2} = 1\frac{1}{2}$$
 SHOCKDER SCREW
Fau=140.000 pc: (MIS SHUJE-SI)
CIPPER CAD: $\frac{7}{16} - 14 \times 1\frac{1}{2}$ HEX HD SCREW
FRU=150.000 ps:
PIW= R5.400 TRS (TENSION)
PSW: 60 % (PL)- PSCO 18:
Psy: $\frac{2}{3}$ St: CBS ($\frac{122}{150}$) = E.35. CRS (SINGLE
SHERX)

UPPER END JOINT IS MORE CRITICITY.

1000 P= 9.150 185 SAFETY FACTOR: S.F. 9.150 1.82

BOLT LOWER END JEINT, VERTICAL COUMAN

SECTION - × 13 STIDULDER SCREW (MIS 51-75-32)

LOAD. p: 7.700 LBS

SATETY FACTOR LESS CHITICHE THAN JUNTS

SHOULDER SCREW, BALL JONY SECTION 5 - 3 SHOULDER SCHEW A= 21/21: 077 14 MS 51975-10 Ft. - 140,000 fs: Four 20 % Fin 84.000 ps: Fsy = 152 (84.000) = 74.000 js.

1040, P= 3,000 (115

<u>STRESS</u> (DOUBLE SHEAR) fs = <u>P</u> <u>3.000</u> = 19.000 ps: 2H 20077 = 19.000 ps:

A-15

SAFETY FARTER: 5. F= 78000 = 3.8

SCHEW, ATTACHMANT BUICKET Sections 4-20 - 1 11-X-110 Section France 150 HS: M15 90728-14 Per= 4.750 185 Psu= 65% (120)= 2700 105 Psy = 130 (22001: 2,380 (BS (SINGLE SHEAR) LORD. USE PERCON 105 (AT I CHER DRACKET, EQUAL TO

THE FORCE . F TOP TER-SHOKS)



1000 P=7.700 (BS

ASSUME CINIFORM DISTRIBUTICH WITHIN L * d=60% (1275)=.165 M

STRESS & SAFETY FACTOR

 $\frac{TENS.ON OF WEB}{f_{1}} = \frac{P}{R_{1}} = \frac{7.7CC}{(2.8)(.50)} = 13,700 \text{ psi}$ $S F_{1} = \frac{F_{11}}{R_{1}} = \frac{61.000}{(2.8)(.50)} = 44.475 \text{ H}$

 $\frac{F(A,D)(A,C)}{f_{5}} = \frac{f(F)(A)}{f_{5}} \left(\frac{A}{A}\right) = \frac{F(A,C)}{(A)} =$

SUPPOR LOW R (DNI, NO. 2316, 2298) WELDMENT SECTION Assume MELDMENT SECTION ab HAS TO CARRY FOULE P.= 1.380 LAS OR P2= 2,000 LAS Fer 105 KSi, Fy = 83 Ksi, Fer 65 Ks. Fsy = So Ksi SECTION 8-1 .75 a A= 2 (-)(-75)=.188 " Is= 2(3 (E (.75) = .0352 100 2 = .0352 /0.75 .047 STRESS & SATETY FACTORS FINDING & SHEPR DUE TO P, fo= 11 (1.380)(.24) -24.600 ps. $f_s = \frac{P}{A} = \frac{1.380}{188} = 7.350 \text{ ps}$ fs (max) = [(1) + fs']' = 14.300 ps. $5.F.=\frac{50}{123}=3.5$ ftimaxi = 1 + fsinsai = 26.600 psi 57 - 23 = 3,1 TENSION Mis TO P. fr: 1 = 10, 600 ps: SF= 10, 7.8 A-17



LOAD. INITIAL TENSION P. 40 LBS MIN INSTAL LENGTH LINE 12.55 W MAX. " LIZ=17.25

SPANIS FONCE & STREAS

SURING ROFE, K= - GIL (11.5-106) (11-2) = 15 (35/1) FORCE . F,=40 + 15 (12.25 11 48) = 48.5 LBS F. : 40 + 15 (17.25 . 11.68) = 123.5 (BS STRESS . fs=K. 3 PD Fw=11 FT. 1: 6.6 fs=11 - 8 (1235) (1.068) = 87.000 ps. SAFETY PACTA Fsy = 120 (196) = 105 + 5: S.F. = -125 1.2 (O.K. Bechuse OF FIXED DISPEACEMENTS

$$\frac{\left| \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

.

**

`...

A-19

85,000

16.





is P

STRESS I SAFETY FACTOR

 $\frac{f \in ND ING}{f_{6}} = \frac{PI}{2} = \frac{(50)(16.0)}{.0071} = 112.000 \text{ ps}:$ $S = \frac{F_{12}}{f_{6}} = \frac{163}{112} = 1.45$

TORSION Ts = <u>NI</u> = <u>50 - 16</u> = 51,000 ps: = 2A_1 = -(7 (.usi))(.oug) = 51,000 ps: $S.F. = \frac{F_{3Y}}{f_{5}} = \frac{97.7r^{10}}{51,000} = 1.92$

APPENDIX B

.

1. . "

<u>``</u>.

د. - در

i

REPORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS ON HELICOPTER SEAT

ß

÷

UNIVERSAL REPORT NO
ORIGINATORS REPORT NO. F-72683
REVISION

REFORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS ON ARA, INC. HELICOPTER SEAT

TESTS PERFORMED BY Ogden Technology Laboratories, Inc. TESTS AUTHORIZED BY ARA, Inc. Purchase Order No. 2514 CONTRACT NUMBER N62269-72-C-0657

e . 1	DATE	SIGNATURE	,
Tests Initiated	12-19-72		
Tests Completed	2-23-73		
Report Written By	5-10-73	B. J. Trekelligtt	
Test Engineer	5-10-73 (Affennandez	
Supervisor			
Supervisor	5-10-73	R.D. Mot	
Quality Assurance	5-10-73	R.J. Jackellisott	CO CA
Government Rep.	5-10-73	BMfamilta	a:
Final Release	5-9-73		- -

B-1-

. \ . .

bls

REPORT SUMMARY SHEET >mponent/Parts: Program: elicopter Seat, Seat Frame sembly and Complete Seat isembly Originators Report No.: F-72683 riginator's Report Title: Test Completed: 2-23-73 Report Completed: 5-10-73 port of Environmental Tests Test Type: Qualification ecifications: A. MIL-S-58095 AV в. MIL-STD-810B, Notice 1 GH TEMPERATURE TEST - Seat Frame Assembly Paragraph 4.5.4.1 ecifications: Α. Method 501, Procedures I and II в. st Conditons: I - Exposure to 160°F for 48 hours, operation at 160°F, and post-test operation at room ambient temperature. II - Exposure to 3, 12-hour temperature cycles, 120°F for 6 hours, 154°F for four (4) hours with one (1) hour transitions; then stabilization and operation at 160°F and post-operation at room ambient. No indication of malfunction or evidence of damage. sults: N TEMPERATURE TEST - Seat Frame Assembly Paragraph 4.5.4.2 ecifications: Α. Method 502, Procedure I в. Stabilization for 4 hours and operation at -65°F and st Conditions: post operation at room ambient. sults: No indication of malfunction or evidence of damage. IIDITY TEST - Seat Frame Assembly Paragraph 4.5.4.3 cifications: A. Method 507, Procedure I Β. t Conditions: Exposure to 95% RH with temperature cycled from 90 to 160 to 90°F in 24 hour cycles for 10 cycles, post operation at room ambient. ults: No evidence of damage or deterioration.

	REPORT SUMMARY SHEET
FUNGUS TEST - Repr	esentative Samples
Specifications:	A. Paragraph 4.5.4.4 B. Method 508
Test Conditions:	Exposure to 95% RH at 86°F for 28 days after innocu- lation with specified spore suspension.
Results:	No evidence of fungus growth or attack.
SALT FOG TEST - Se	at Frame Assembly
Specifications:	A. Paragraph 4.5.4.5 B. Method 509
Test Conditons:	Exposure to fog from a 5% solution for 48 hours at 95 •F. Post test operation.
<u>desults</u> :	No evidence of damage or deterioration.
UST TEST - Seat I	Frame Assembly
pecifications:	A. Paragraph 4.5.4.6 B. Method 510
est Conditions:	Exposure to dust at 0.22 grams/ft ³ and 1740 feet/minute for 6 hours at 73°F and 6 hours at 145°F with 16 hours at 145°F, no dust, 240 feet/minute air between 6 hour exposures.
esults:	No visible evidence of damage.
(BRATION TEST -)	Complete Seat Assembly with anthropormorphic dummy
mecifications:	 A. Paragraph 4.5.4.7 B. Method 514, Procedure I, Parts 1, 2, and 3.
st Conditions:	3 hours of vibration, resonance search, dwell and cycling in each of three (3) axes, 5 to 500 Hz maxi-
	mum of ± 2.5 g.

Ĩ

e test item completed the test program without visible evidence of ysical damage or deterioration.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT COVER PAGE	Page Number 1
REPORT SUMMARY SHEET .	2
NOTICES PAGE	5
ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	6
DESCRIPTION OF TEST APPARATUS	7
TEST PROCEDURES	9
RESULTS OF TESTS, SUMMATIONS, ANALYSES	13
TEST DATA	16
PHOTOGRAPHS	36

B - 4 -

Report No. F-72683

NOTICES

When government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or ccrporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Report No. F-72683

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

1. PURPOSE OF TEST: To perform Environmental Tests to determine the extent of compliance with the specifications cited below. 2. MANUFACTURER: ARA, Inc. 3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST ITEM: **HELICOPTER** Seat **REFERENCES:** MIL-S-58095(AV) and MIL-STD-810B 5. QUANTITY OF TEST ITEMS: One (1) Seat Frame Assembly and one (1) Completely Assembled Seat 6. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified 7. DATE TESTS COMPLETED: 2-23-73 8. TESTS CONDUCTED BY: Ogden Technology Laboratories, Inc. 1536 East Valencia Drive Fullerton, California 92631 9. TEST ITEM DISPOSITION: Returned to: ARA, Inc. 2017 West Garvey Avenue West Covina, Calif. 91790 10. PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER: 2514 11. SOURCE INSPECTION: DCAS QAR, and OTL QA GOVERNMENT CONTRACT NO .: 12. N62269-72-C-0657

8-6-

1.0 DESCRIPTION OF TEST APPARATUS

- 1.1 All quantitative test measurements were made with certified accurate instruments in current calibration, and all instruments used had a valid calibration sticker attached. All instruments were calibrated in accordance with MIL-C-45662A and MIL-Q-9858A. A list of the test apparatus follows:
- 1.2 HIGH TEMPERATURE TEST

Leatherman High Temperature Chamber, Controlled by Honeywell S/N 935809, -100 to +200°F; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 1-20-73. OTL Control No. 5009

1.3 LOW TEMPERATURE TEST

Conrad High-Low Temperature Chamber, controlled by Honeywell, S/N 948196, -125 to +325°F, 1% accuracy; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 2-15-73. OTL Control No. 453

- 1.4 HUMIDITY TEST

Fielden Humidity Chamber, controlled by Honeywell, S/N 954704, 0 to 200°F, 1% accuracy; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 2-22-73. OTL Control Nc. 5275

1.5 FUNGUS TEST

Leatherman Fungus Chamber, 3' x 3' x 3', 0 to 200°F, 1% accuracy controlled by Honeywell, S/N 844905, calibrated at 6 month intervals due 5-9-73. OTL Control No. 5006

1.6 SALT FOG TEST

Industrial Filter Salt Spray Chamber, Model 411-1C, S/N 53736, +1% accuracy, ambient to +140°F, calibrated at 6 month intervals due 1-16-73. OTL Control No. 1853

Sargent Specific Gravity Scale, 1.000 to 1.070; calibration Not Required. OIL Control No. 67108

LaMotte Chemical Co. Colormatic Comparator, By-Color Reader, Calibrated by Manufacturer. OTL Control No. E-2701-5

B- 7 -

FACTUAL DATA

1.7 DUST TEST

Leatherman Sand and Dust Chamber, 2' x 2' x 2', 0-200°F, 1% accuracy, 9% RH, controlled by Honeywell, S/N 935807; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 4-11-73. OTL Control No. 5008

1.8 VIBRATION TEST

Feldmar Stop Watch, Model 601; calibrated at 12 month intervals due 9-14-73. OTL Control No. 3021

Bruel & Kjaer Automatic Exciter Control, Model 1025; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 7-26-73. OTL Control No. 1495

Endevco Accelerometer, Model 2242; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 7-10-73. OTL Control No. 2673

Unholtz-Dickie Amplifier, Model 8 PMCV; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 3-19-73: OTL Control No. 585

Endevco Accelerometer, Model 2245; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 3-16-73. OTL Control No. 2135

Unholtz-Dickie Amplifier, Model 8 PMC; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 2-24-73. OTL Control No. 1162

Honeywell X-Y Recorder, Model 320; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 3-1-73. OTL Control No. 3208

Moseley Log Converter, Model 60D; calibrated at 6 month intervals due 3-14-73. OTL Control No. 3220

MB Power Amplifier, Model 5140; calibration Not Required

MB Vibration Exciter, Model C-210; calibration Not Required

8 - 8 -

2.0 TEST PROCEDURES

- 2.1 GENERAL
 - 2.1.1 The tests were conducted in strict accordance with MIL-STD-810B as outlined in MIL-S-58095, Paragraph 4.5.4.1 through 4.5.4.7. The following discussion is to provide details of the testing and to assist in the interpretation of the test data.

2.1.2 Only the Seat Frame Assembly was subjected to the Temperature, Humidity, Salt Fog and Dust Tests. The complete Seat Assembly was subjected to the Vibration Test. Representative samples were subjected to the Fungus Test.

- 2.2 HIGH TEMPERATURE TEST (Method 501 of MIL-STD-810B)
- 2.2.1 Procedure I The test item was installed in the test chamber as shown in Photograph No. 1 and exposed to a temperature of 160°F for 48 hours.

At the conclusion of the test the unit was operated at 160°F, the lever was actuated and the spring loading was reset. The operation was repeated after the unit was returned to room ambient temperature.

- 2.2.2 Procedure II The test chamber was programmed for the following temperature cycle:
 - a. 6 hours at 120°F
 - b. 120°F to 154°F in one (1) hour
 - c. 154°F maintained for 4 hours
 - d. 154"F to 120"F in one (1) hour

The test item was subjected to three (3) consecutive programmed cycles. At the conclusion of the test the unit was operated at 120° F and again at room ambient temperature.

2.3 LOW TEMPERATURE TEST (Method 502, Procedure I)

2.3.1 The test item was installed in a test chamber and subjected to a temperature of -65°F until the unit was completely stabilized, approximately four (4) hours.

2.3.2 Following stabilization the unit was operated at the low temperature.

B - 9 -

FACTUAL DATA

- 2.3.3 The test item was then stabilized at room ambient temperature and operated.
- 2.4 HUMIDITY TEST (Method 507, Procedure 1)
- 2.4.1 The test chamber was' programmed for the following temperature cycle with the relative humidity maintained at 95±5 %:
 - a. Room ambient to 160°F in 2 hours
 - b. 160°F maintained for 6 hours
 - c. 160°F gradually to room ambient (68 to 100°F)
- 2.4.2 The test item was installed in the test chamber and subjected to 10 continuous and consecutive programmed cycles.
- 2.4.3 At the conclusion of the test the test item was operated and inspected for evidence of corrosion or deterioration.
- 2.5 FUNGUS TEST (Method 508)

Representative samples of the Seat Materials were sprayed with fungus spores and incubated for 28 days. The spore suspension was prepared, the units were innoculated and inspections were performed by a Ph. D. Mycologist.

- 2.6 SALT FOG TEST (Method 509)
- 2.6.1 The test item was installed in the salt spray test chamber and subjected to a wet, dense, salt fog from a 5% solution for 48 hours, additional information was as follows:

Type of Salt:	Mortons 999 (99.998 NaCl)
Type of Water:	Distilled
pH of Solution:	6.8
S.G. of Solution:	1.040
Chamber Temperature:	Maintained at +95°F

2.6.2 At the conclusion of the exposure the test item was removed from the chamber, salt deposits were washed off with tap water and the test item was visually examined for deterioration or corrosion, The test item was then subjected to a Operation Test.

B - 10 -

- 2.7 DUST TEST (Method 510)
 - 2.7.1 The test item was installed in the test chamber as shown in Photograph No. 2.
 - **2.7.2** The test chamber was programmed for the following conditions:

Dust Density	-	0.22	grams per cubic	foot
Air Velocity	-	1740	feet per minute	
Temperature	-	73°F	-	
Relative Humidity	-	Less	than 22%	

The test item was exposed to these conditions for 6 hours.

2.7.3 The chamber was then programmed for the following:

Dust Density	- None, dust turned off
Air Velocity	- 240 feet per minute
Temperature	- 145°F
Relative Humidity	- Less than 10%

These conditions were maintained for 16 hours.

- 2.7.4 The conditions of paragraph 2.7.2 were then imposed on the test item for six (6) hours except that the temperature was maintained at 145°F.
- 2.7.5 At the conclusion of the test, the test item was removed from the chamber. Dust deposits were brushed off, and the test item was visually examined for damage. The test item was then operated.
 - 2.7.6 Following the Dust Test, the Seat Frame Assembly was returned to A ARA, Inc. for assembly with the Seat for the Vibration Test.
 - **2.8** <u>VIBRATION TEST</u> (Method 514.1, Procedure I, Part 1)
 - 2.8.1 Installation

The Seat Assembly, with an anthropomorphic dummy installed, was mounted on the head of the vibrator, as shown in Photograph No. 3, for vertical axis vibration.

- The assembly was mounted on Team Tables for vibration in the other two (2) axes as illustrated in Photograph No. 4.
- 2.8.2 Instrumentation

The control accelerometer was mounted on the test fixture. A monitor accelerometer was mounted on the bottom of the seat. Both accelerometers were maintained in the axis of vibration. The outputs were recorded on an X-Y recorder as indicated below.

B- 11 -

2.8.3 Vibration

The Seat Assembly was subjected to three (3) hours of vibration in each of three (3) orthogonal axes. Testing consisted of a resonance search, dwell vibration at resonance (as applicable), and cycling vibration at the following levels:

(Curve M, Figure 514.1-3, reduced 50%).

Frequency	Range	(Hz)	Levels	
5 -	20		0.1 inch da	
20 -	33		+ 2 g	
33 -	500		+ 2.5 g	

2.8.4 A summary of the testing follows:

Axis	Test Description	Duration (Minutes)	Recorded
Vertical	Resonance Search <u>&</u> Cycling 5 - 500 - 5Hz	60	C ontrol and Response
	Dwell at 29 Hz	30	
	Dwell at 34 Hz	30	
	Dwell at 50 Hz	÷ 30	
	Dwell at 435 Hz	30	
Front to Back	Resonance Search	15	Control and Response
	Dwell at 43 Hz	30	
- 1	Cycling 5-500-5 Hz	135	Response, 1 Cycle
Side to Side	Resonance Search	15	Control and Response
	Cycling 5-500-5 Hz	165	None

- 2.8.5 At the conclusion of the tests in each axis, the test item was visually examined for damage.
- 2.8.6 At the conclusion of the test, the test item was returned to AFA, Inc. for final evaluation.
 - NOTE: Rods were installed on the test item at the beginning of the testing. These rods were not a part of the test item, but were installed for information purposes by ARA, Inc.

B- 12 -

- **3.0 RESULTS OF TESTS**
- 3.1 GENERAL

The test item completed the test program without visible evidence of physical damage or deterioration.

3.2 HIGH TEMPERATURE

There was no visible evidence of damage resulting from the exposure, and operation was normal at 160°F, 120°F, and at room ambient temperatute following the tests.

3.3 LOW TEMPERATURE

The test item operated normally at -65°F and at room ambient following the test. There was no visible evidence of deterioration noted.

- 3.4 HUMIDITY TEST

There was no visible evidence of corrosion or deterioration, and the test item operated normally, at the conclusion of the test.

3.5 FUNGUS TEST

The three (3) test samples showed no evidence of fungus growth.

3.6 SALT FOG TEST

There was no evidence of corrosion or deterioration, and the test item operated normally at the conclusion of the test.

3.7 DUST TEST

There was no visible evidence of damage, and operation was normal at the conclusion of the test.

3.8 VIBRATION TEST

There was no visible evidence of physical damage resulting from the vibration.

B - 13 -

FACTUAL DATA

4.0 TEST DATA

4.1 GENERAL

All information recorded on data sheets is reproduced in this section in the following order:

4.2 HIGH TMPERATURE TEST

One (1) exposure data sheet for Procedure I and one (1) for Procedure II.

4.3 LOW TEMPERATURE

One (1) exposure data sheet.

4.4 HUMIEITY TEST

One (1) exposure data sheet and a typical 24-hour circular chart.

4.5 FUNGUE TEST

Mycological Report, one (1) page.

4.6 SALT FOG TEST

One (1) exposure data sheet.

4.7 DUST TEST

One (1) exposure data sheet.

4.8 VIBRATION TEST

One (1) exposure data sheet, a sketch showing test axes designations, and 10 X-Y recordings are presented.

4.9 PHOTOGRAPHS

Photographs are reproduced at the end of this section, as follows:

No. 1 - Typical Test Chamber Installation No. 2 - Dust Test Setup

B - 14 -

FACTUAL DATA

- 4.9 PHOTOGRAPHS (Continued)

No. 3 - Vertical Axis Vibration Test Setup No. 4 - Typical Horizontal Axis Test Setup

4.10 TEMPERATURE CHARTS

Temperature charts will be retained on file at OTL, File No. F-72683, and can be made available to authorized persons on request.

B

15
Philo Statistical Test ENTA Portigence (V/1) $12, 12, 122$ Separation (with plant) $12, 32, 1226$ Separation (with plant) $12, 32, 1226$ Separation (with plant) $1253, 1226$ Separation (with plant) $12, 32, 1226$ Separation (with plant) $1253, 11266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 1266, 12666, 1266, 1266, 1266$					1			
Product Product Test Data Product Specific According to the second specific and the second specific						•		
Date Startedi TEST INTA Period Ref. Date Conjetecii Spectrum Interception 011.0.1. 011.0.1. 12:37:72: Seat DESy Custoff 011.0.1. Period Ref. Period Ref. 011.0.1. 011.0.1. Period Ref. Period Ref. Custoff Custoff Custoff Parte Starten Period Ref. Custoff Custoff Gov't. Insp. Parte Starten Period Ref. Custoff Gov't. Insp. Feither Parte Time Temp Gov't. Insp. Feither Feither Parte Time Temp Gov't. Insp. Feither Parte Time Temp<	ş		94 1					
Date Statisti This interpretation This interpretation M_{2}^{2} Second Numeric Listic OTL 0. A. Forder Interpretation M_{2}^{2} Second Numeric Listic OTL 0. A. Forder Interpretation M_{2}^{2} Second Numeric Listic OTL 0. A. Forder Interpretation M_{2}^{2} M_{2	!					• ·		: ۹
Date Corpored is spectral function Oth O.A. March 215 Separt PSSU Cust. Intel. Figlin 2152 High TENPERPTURF Cust. Intel. Fillen 2152 High TENPERPTURF Cust. Intel. Fillen 2152 High TENPERPTURF Cust. Intel. Fillen 2152 High TENPERPTURF Govit. Intel. Fillen 2152 High TENPERPTURF Govit. Intel. Fillen 2152 High TENPERPTURF Govit. Intel. Fillen 2157 Mill Strp 81073 Racc.1 Diffee Time Tenp Interpretation Cust. MET Govit. Intel. 12-19 Hills Interpretation Cust. MET Govit. Intel. 12-19 Hills Interpretation Cust. MET Interpretation Cust. MET 12-20 Hold Interpretation Cust. MET Interpretation Cust. MET 12-21 O200 Hold Interpretation Cust. MET 1200		Date St	tarted: 9-72			TEST DATA	Б	-Periorined By:
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	Date Co	amierca:	Specie	en lesci	ription:		OTL Q.A.
Image: Normalized Field Fert PERPERTURP: Cust. Insp. Item 4 15.2 H16H TEXPERATURP: Gov't. Insp. F726837 H16H TEXPERATURP: Gov't. Insp. R0. 2574- M11.STD 81033 Race.1 DTTE TIME TEMP Gov't. Insp. 12-19 H155 Gov't. Insp. 12-19 H155 Gov't. Insp. 12-19 H155 Gov't. 12-20 H20 Gov't. 12-20 H20 Gov't. 12-20 H20 '' 12-21 G202 H20 12-21 G20 Start Fracebure M2 12-21 G20 Gov't. 12-21 G20 Gov't. 12-21 G20		12.21	-72	Se	EAT 6	Issy		QA-2
F 72683 Customer: FR. 47. TAKC. Gov't. Insp. R0. 2574 MILSTD 81073 Racc.1 DATE TIME TEMP 12-19 MILSTD 81073 Racc.1 12-19 MILSTD 81073 Racc.1 12-19 MILSTD 7 - MISTAILED TEST ITEMS IN Chromosher, 1445 12-19 MILSTD 160 Constructions MET 12-19 MILSTD 160 TEST ITEMS IN Chromosher, 2202 140 Chromoson MET 12-20 140 '' 12-20 140 '' 12-20 140 '' 12-20 140 '' 12-20 140 '' 12-20 160 '' 12-20 160 '' 12-21 0202 160 '' 12-20 160 '' '' 12-21 0202 160 '' '' 12-21 0202 160 '' '' 12-21 0202 160 '' '' 12-21 0202 160 ''		Temp. 1 SEE Below	L15%	HIG	bYE	NPERATURIE	•	Cust. Insp.
R0.2574· $M11STD 810T3$ $Racc 1$ $Ditte<$ $Time$ $Temp$ $12-19$ $14/5$ $ uistalleb Test iteas in chamber, iteas 12-19 14/5 160 Constrains Met 12-19 14/5 160 Constrains Met 12-20 160 iteas iteas 12200 160 iteas iteas 12200 160 iteas iteas 12200 160 iteas iteas 12200 160 iteas iteas 1420 160 iteas iteas 1420 160 iteas iteas 12200 160 iteas iteas 1200 160 iteas iteas iteas 1600 160 iteas iteas iteas 1600 160 iteas iteas iteas 1600 120 iteas iteas iteas iteas 1700 120$		F726	83	Custo	R.A.	TANC		Gov't. Insp.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		F.O. 25	74.	Mil-	570 8	103 Braci		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				•	•	•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DATE	TIME	TEMP			· ·	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			<u> </u>	-			·	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		12-19	1415		<u> </u>	INSTRILED TES	TITEM	5 IN CHAMBER.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1445	160		CONDITIONS	MET	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1800	140	·	Chryshed PA	herk	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0000	160	1	CUAMOE Le	1.	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	10 44	2200	107				······································
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12-20	0200	100		/,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0620	160	<u> </u>	1	/)	• • •
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1000	160				
1800 160			1400	160	<u> </u>	11		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		·	1800	160	ļ		11	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	12200	160),	÷,	
0600 160 11 11 1000 160 11 11 11 1000 160 11 11 11 1445 160 END PROCEDURE 1 PREPRIE FOR PROCE*2. 1300 120 START PROCEdure No 2. 1600.120 1400 120 Chranber Check 1900.120 1900 120 INCREASE TEMP TO +1374 2000 154 COMPLITIONS AMET 2200 154 Chranber Chick 2400 154 DECREASE TEMP TO +12095 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1. REPERT Oycle No1 FER 0100 120 FORFT Gycle No2 1700.5 1300 120 STRET Gycle No2 1300.1 1300 120 END Cycle No2 & STRET Cycle No3 2 1300 120 END Cycle No3 & STRET Cycle No3 2 1300 120 END Cycle No3 & STRET Cycle No3 2 1300 120 END Cycle No3 & STRET Cycle No3 2 1300<		12-21	0200	160			11	•
10.00 1/0 1. 1. 1445 160 END PROCEDURE 1 PREPRIE FOR PROC.#2. 1300 120 START PROCEDURE 1 PREPRIE FOR PROC.#2. 1600 120 Chamber Check 1900 120 Chamber Check 1900 120 INCREASE TEMP TO +1349F 2000 154 Computer For Proceedings 2400 154 DECREME TEMP TO +1209F 12-22 0100 120 4400 154 DECREME TEMP TO +1209F 12-22 0100 120 57RET Cycle No 1 RepEAT Oucle No1 FER 0100 120 STRET Cycle No 2 1300 120 STRET Cycle No 2 1300 120 END Cycle No 2 1300 120 END Cycle No 2 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 2-23 0100 120 8-16 THERE WAS NO DOMMON NOTED S 8-16 THERE WAS NO DOMMON NOTED S			0600	160		11	· /1	
1445 1/40 END PROCEDURE 1 PREPRIR FOR PROC.#2. 1300 120 START PROCEDURE 1 PREPRIR FOR PROC.#2. 1600 120 Chamber Check 1900 120 INCREASE TEMP TO +1349F 2000 154 COMBITIONS MET 2200 154 Chamber Check 2400 154 Chamber Check 2420 END Cycle Mod Teper Oycle Abl Fer 2520 200 20 212-22 Cloo 20 21300 20 STRET Cycle Mod 2 1300 120 END Cycle Mod 2 & STRET Cycle Mod 3 2-23 OLOO 20 END Cycle Mod 2 & STRET Cycle Mo			1000	160				2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		·	IN HIS-	11.0		END BOARD	IDE I Ż	TENDO FOR BOR#2
1300 120 START PROCEdure NO 2 1600 120 Chamber Check 1900 120 INICREASE TEMP TO + 1549F 2000 154 CONDITIONS MET 2200 154 Chamber Check 2400 154 Chamber Check 2422 COO 120 END Cycle No1 Repert Oycle Abl Fer 0100 120 STRET Cycle No2 1300 120 FAND Cycle Abl 2 & START Cycle No3 1300 120 END Cycle Abl 3 & END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle Abl 3 & END TEST 1400 120 END Cycle Abl 3 & END Abrody 1510 120		[140		ENC TROCEMU	<u> </u>	Capital Contraction
1600 120 Срамьев Сьеск 1900 120 INICREASE TEMP TO + 157495 2000 1574 Сомплатов мет 2200 1574 Срамьев Сьюск 2400 1574 Сравение Сьюск 242-22 СЛОО 120 4 Татан об 3 Суслев Сусле Ала 2 Суслев 0100 120 Бало Сусле Ала 2 С Stават Сусле Ала 3 1300 120 Емо Сусле Ала 2 С Stават Сусле Ала 3 2-23 0100 120 Емо Сусле Ала 3 8-16 Сала 5 Сусле Ала 3 С выла с С Ала 2 8-16 Сала 5 С с Ала 3 С выла с С Ала 5			1300	120.	· ·	START PROCEDU	RE NO 2	2
1900 120 INICREASE TEMP TO +15495 2000 154 CANDITIONS MET 2200 154 Chamber Chieck 2400 154 Diec BEASE TEMP TO + 12005 2400 154 Diec BEASE TEMP TO + 12005 22-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1 1300 120 STRET Cycle No2 1300 120 FAID Cycle No2 2-23 0100 120 8-16 ThERE WAS NO DAMAGE NOTED CHI			1600	120_	<u> `` `</u>	ChAMBER ChE	CK	
2000 154 CONDITIONS MET 2200 154 Chamber Chirck 2400 154 Dec REASE TEMP TO + 1200F 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1 Repent Oycle Abl Fer 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle No1 Repent Oycle Abl Fer 0100 120 END Cycle No 2 1300 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € START Cycle No 3 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € START Cycle No 3 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 1300 120 END Cycle No 3 € END TEST 140 B-16 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1900</td> <td>120</td> <td></td> <td>INCREASE TEM</td> <td>0 TO +15</td> <td>495</td>			1900	120		INCREASE TEM	0 TO +15	495
2200 154 CHANDER CHICK 2400 154 DECREPSE TEMP TO + 120°F 12-22 0100 120 END CYCLE NOI REPERT OUCLE NOI FER A TATRI OF 3 CYCLES 0100 120 STRET CYCLE NO2 1300 120 FAID CUCLE NO2 2-23 0100 120 END CUCLE NO3 & END TEST THERE WAS NO DOMOGE NOTED & 211 B-16 TUNCT FERIORMED NOTED & 211			2000	154		CONDITIONS NO	IET	
2400 154 DEG BERSE TEMP TO + 1200F 12-22 0100 120 END Cycle NOI REPERT Oycle NOI FOR A TOTAL OF 3 Cycles 0100 120 STRET Cycle NO2 1300 120 END Cycle NO2 2-23 0100 120 END Cycle NJ3 & END TEST THERE WAS NO POMMOR NOTED & DII B-16 TUNICT HEREDRALED NOTED & DII			2200	154		ChAMBER Chi	FCK	
12-22 0100 120 A TATAL OF 3 Cycles 0100 120 1300 120 2-23 0100 120 B-16 TURICT HERED ADDRANDLY			2400	154_	ļ	DEC BERSE TEM	2 TO + 120	30F
A TOTAL OF 3 Cycles 0100 120 STRET Cycle NO2 1300 120 END Cycle NO2 É STRET Cycle NO3 2-23 0100 120 END Cycle NO3 É END TEST 1500 120 END Cycle NO3 É END TEST		12-22	0100	120		END CYCLE NOI	REPER	TOycle Abl FER
0100 120 STRET CYC./F. NO2 1300 120 END CYCLE NO2 É STRET CYCLE NO3 2-23 0100 120 END CYCLE NI33 É END TEST THERE WAS NO DAMAGE NOTED É 211 B-16 TUMICT FIERFORMED NORMALY			<u></u>	·	<u> </u> -	H TATRI OF 3	CYCLES	المحاجبة محير محياتها والمراجع والمتحد والمحاجبة والمحاج المحاج المحاج المحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج
2-23 0100 120 END CYCLE NO2 & START CYCLE NO3 2-23 0100 120 END CYCLE NI33 & END TEST THERE WAS NO DAMAGE NOTED & ALL B-16 TUNICT FERFORMED NORMALY			0100	120_		STRET CYC./EN	102	
2-23 0100 120 EAD CICLE ALS & EAD TEST THERE WAS ALD DAMAGE ALOTED & DIL B-16 TUDICT FERFORMED ALOTADALY			1300	120		FAID CHELENAS	<u>É STAR</u>	T CYCLEND3
B-16 TURERE WAS ALD DAMAGE NOTED & ALL B-16 TURICE FEREDRANED ADRADDLY		2-23	0100	120		END GICLE NIS 3	É END T	EST
B-16 FILARED ABRADALY				e é		THERE WAS NO	DOMOCA	NOTED & All
					B-16	TUNICE HERFORA	AED Abr	nady

•					
L.		•	AN		
1	Date St	arted	7	OGDEN TECHNOLOGY LACORATORIES, INC.	Performed By:
~ · · ·	12-2	-72	- Julyar	TEST DATA	TOWNIZEN
Ĺ			Specim L/~/	en bescription:	OTL Q.A. OGDEN :
I	Temp.	iumid.ty	Test:	OPTER JER	Cust. Insp.
-	SEE Below	<15%	H1-7	EMP	
	F.77/2	83	Custor	ner:	Gov't. Insp.
	100				
	PO 25	14	DAIL-5	TD 810B MROC 2	
~					
-	DOTE	TIME	TELAD		•
		1222216-	OF		
	102 80			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	1972	+	AJOTED	· · · ·	
-		<u> </u>			
	12-21	0900	+120	START TEST	
-		<u>1200</u>	120	ChAMBER ChECK	
-		1500	120	INCREASE TEMPTO+154	
		1600	1500	CONDITIONS MET	
		1800	1574_	ChAMBER CHECK	
~		2000	154	DECREASE TEMP TO +120%	
~	ļ	2100	120	END CUCLE NO 1 REPERTO	CYCLENOI
		<u> </u>		FOR A TOTAL OF 3 Cycl	ES
	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		
	ļ	2100	ļ	START CUCLEND 2	
. –	12-22	0900	ļ	END CUCLE NO 2	
	1	0900	L	START CUCIE NO 3	
		2100		END CUCLENO 3 GEND.	TEST
-					
~				THERE WAS NO VISIBLE	DANAGE
				DUE TO THE TEMP EXPOSI	URE
-				All FUNICTIONIAL TIEST O	PERATED
				PROPERIU.	
~					
					-
<i>.</i>		1			
	·	1	1		
-			<u> </u>		
~		1	1		. «
				f	
	L	1	1		

.

	~					. 6
	r	•		•		. •
Date St	arted:			BESON 5103		Performed is
Date Co		Srecu	en bescr			OTL O A LOCAL
12-29	1-72	S	EAT 1	75511		
Temp.	iumidity	Test:			•	Cust. lnsp.
Bekau	NA.	bow Custo	TEMP	<u>. Operation</u>	15	Gov't Inch
F-726	83		2.R.A.	•		
	•		•		•	
		•	1	T		•
•						•
DATE	TIME	TEMP			· ·	
		0F				
1972		NATED				
and and a dealer of the second		LL X			•	
12.20	0810		•	HICTALLER Too	T 1 ma 1 +	unhaut ===
14.47				Densences 7		LADE
<u></u>	0845	-15		Canpitions	EMPLE_E	
•	0015	-1-		about 5	a heald	•
	0715	-62		CINERADER (<u>ineck</u>	
	0975	-103				·
	10/2	-65			<u> </u>	
**************************************	1115	- 102				
•	11.1	-03				· · ·
	19.5	-02	· · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1212	-03		END SOAK C	PERATED	TEST ITEM
	}	L		10 TEN TIME	S_TEST.	ITEM OPERA
				PROPERIY_IN	Alloper	etians
		i				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
1917 - A. 1927 - Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An						
-						
	· .					
alter Region Automatica		•	•	•		
		e. L		• •		
	,		-			· · · ·
میں جم یہ امریک کیا ہے۔ ۔						

DAJE SI	TARTED:					IST ENGINEER:	
	7-12		-	PART	<u>Ş TEŞT DAT</u>	<u>A</u>	TAUNZEN
DATE CO	DHPLETED	:	SPECIM	EN DESCR	IPTION:		GROUP LEADER;
1-8-	<u>73</u>		<u></u>	AT I	2554		Allergin V
TEMP:			Test:				XF12683 /
NOTED				HUMIDITY	TEST		
LUNIDIT	TY:		VENDOR				
NOTED				R.A.			
C. TEST		s	Exposur	E TABLE			
DATE	TIME	TA	RH	STEP	CYCLE	SAMPLE	COMMENT
	••		95	1-4	1-10		SPECIFIED 04-2
	1						SAMPLES PLACED IN
12.29	1700			1	1 1		CHANBER-HEAT ON
	1900	160	95	2	1	1	CONDITIONS MET
12-30	0100	160	95	13	1	1	HEAT OFF
	1700	90		4	1 1	1	END CYCLE 1
	1700	90		1-4	2		REPEAT CYCLE 1
12-31	1700	90		1-4	3		
1-1	1700	90		1-4	4	1	
1-2.	1700	90		1-4	5		
1-3	1700	90		11-4	6	1	
1-4	1700	90		11-4	7	1	
1-5	1200	190		1-4	8		
1-6	1700	40		11-4	9	1	
1-7	1700	190		11-4	10		REPEAT CYCLE 1
1-8-73	1700	90		-	. 10		END CYCLE 10 AND HUMIDITY EXPOSURE

THERE WAS NO VISIBLE DAMINGUE NOTED DUE TO THE HUMIDITY EXPOSURE

PAGE NO. BIG



Frank E. Swatek, Ph.D.

Industrial & Mycological Consultant 812 STEVELY AVENUE LONG BEACH , CALIFORNIA 90815

DATE:	1-1	7-73	

JCB NO. F-72683

CLIENT: Ogden Technology Laboratories, Inc.

ITEM: Three (3) Helicopter Seat Parts (ARA, Inc.)

INVESTIGATION: Fungus resistance test in accordance with specification.

PROCEDURE: The unit was sprayed with a suspension of viable fungus spores in

accordance with specification Mil-STD-810B

Spores from the following fungi were used:

Chaetomium globosum ATCC 6205 Aspergillus niger NLabs 386 Aspergillus flavus NLabs 380 Penicillium funiculosum NLabs 391 Aspergillus versicolor NLabs 432

The specimen was placed in the test chamber with an internal temperature of $86 \pm 4^{\circ}$ and a relative humidity of $95\% \pm 5^{\circ}$. This is accomplished by means of a heater immersed in water within the chamber which is controlled by a thermocouple placed in the chamber atmosphere, set to regulate the ambient temperature. At the end of the 28 day period the unit was visually examined for the presence of fungus growth and/or material deterioration.

CONTROLS: After 14 days all three (3) control material show fungus growth.

RESULTS:

There is no evidence of fungus growth on the external surfaces of the three (3) test specimens.

signature)

B- 21 -

	•	4	¢	÷ •	-		
		۰.		J	ob Number	F.7268	3
DEN TECH	NOLOGY LABORAT	ORIES, INC.		Di	ate <u>/-9-</u>	73	
		SALT SPRAY	DATA SHEET	Pa	age Number		
Customer	ARA						
Specimen_	SEAT Assi		Part No		Serial	No	
Speci fica	tion No. MIL-S	1 STD 810B	Para. No.		•		
P re parati	on of Specime	n(s)	NR				
Protectiv	e Coating or (Covering for	Non-Tested	Part	s		
			NA		-		
Jents, Po	orts, Connecto:	rs, etc. Cap	ped: Yes	No	Remarks		
Solution:	Salt% °F Specif	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c	% (by weigh of Solution	t) p 1040	H of Solut	95	8
Solution: at <u>95</u> Start dat Chamber T	Salt% °F Specif te and time/9 Temperature	H ₂ 0 <u>9</u> 5 ⁻ ic Gravity c -73 <u>090</u> 95^{-} °F <u>TEST</u> (Each	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col <u>RECORD</u> 24 Hours)	t) p 1040 Press umn T	H of Solut 2at ure emperature	95 	<u>ل</u> ے۔۔۔۔۔
Solution: at <u>95</u> Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours)	Salt% °F Specif :e and time/9 :emperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta 	H ₂ 0 <u>9</u> 5 ic Gravity o <u>95</u> °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volu e centimeter 1 Surface Ar rs per hour)	% (by weigh of Solution_ >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	t) p <i>l.040</i> Press umn T cted ue	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n <u>Gravity</u>		
Solution: at_95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _24 _48	Salt% °F Specif te and time/9 temperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta 	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c <u>95</u> °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volu e centimeter l Surface A: rs per hour) 28	% (by weigh of Solution_ >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	t) p //// Press umn T cted ue 3	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n <u>Gravity</u> <u>1.040</u>	Chambe: <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u>	
Solution: at_ <u>95</u> Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _ <u>24</u> <u>48</u>	Salt% °F Specif te and time/9 Temperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta % % %	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c <u>95</u> °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volu e centimeter l Surface A: rs per hour) 28	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 8 RECORD 24 Hours) 1 me) 7 S Colle 7 Val 1 6,6	t) p <i>l.044</i> Press umn T cted <u>ue</u> T 3	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n <u>Gravity</u> <u>1040</u> <u>1040</u>	Chambe: <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u> <u>17</u>	
Solution: at_95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _24 _48 Stop date	Salt% °F Specif :e and time/9 Cemperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta 	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c <u>95</u> °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue e centimeter l Surface Ar rs per hour) 28 128	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 8 Colle 7 Colle 7 Colle 7 Val 7 Val 7 Colle 7 Colle	t) p <i>l.044</i> Press umn T cted <u>ue</u> T 7 Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity LOHO ion4	Chambe: <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>16</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u>109</u> <u></u>	
Solution: at_95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) 24 Stop date Interrupt	Salt% °F Specif The and time/9 Temperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta fillilite e and time/// tions (explain	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c -23 090 95 °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue e centimeter 1 Surface Ar rs per hour) 28 -23 090	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 7 Water Col 8 Colle 7 Colle	t) p <i>l.O40</i> Press umn T cted <u>ue</u> T <u>B</u> Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity 1040 1.040 ion4 ch Dry	93 95 16 295 209 Chamber Temp. (°F) 95 95	-/ /0
Solution: at_95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) 24 Stop date Interrupt Results of	Salt% °F Specif te and time/9 Cemperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta f Horizonta e and time/// tions (explain of Test Exp	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c -23 <u>096</u> 95 °F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue e centimeter 1 Surface Ar 1 Surface Ar 28 -73 096) <u>Chramb</u> SURE - Or	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 7 Water Col 8 Colle 7 Colle	t) p press umn T cted ue n Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity 1040 1.040 ion4 ch Day	95 95 16 95 16 95 18 Chamber Temp. (°F) 95 95 95	-/ /0
Solution: at95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _24 Stop date Interrupt Results of Photograp	Salt% °F Specif Temperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta f Horizonta e and time/// tions (explain of Test of Test temperature collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta f Horizonta f Test tions (explain f Test f Test	H ₂ 0 <u>95</u> ic Gravity c <u>95</u> <u>96</u> <u>95</u> $^{\circ}$ F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue e centimeter 1 Surface Ax rs per hour) 28 <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>128</u> <u>12</u>	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 7 Water Col 8 Colle 7 Colle	t) p press umn T cted ue T Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 10	93 95 16 93 16 93 16 97 7 95 95 95 18	-/ 200
Solution: at95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _24 Stop date Interrupt Results of Photograp Test Tech	Salt% °F Specif ce and time/9 Cemperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta inililite e and time/// cions (explain of Test ch taken: Yes nnician Juma	H ₂ 0 95 ⁻ ic Gravity c 2-23 090 95 ^{-°} F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue centimeter 1 Surface A: rs per hour) 28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel 7 Water Col 8 Water Col 7 Water Col 7 Water Col 7 Water Col 7 Colle 7 Colle	t) p press umn T cted um T Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity 1040 1.040 ion4 ch Dry The Sa	93 93 16 93 16 93 16 93 76 93 93 93 8	
Solution: at95 Start dat Chamber T Blapsed Time (hours) _24 Stop date Interrupt Results of Photograp Test Tech Inspector	Salt% °F Specif ce and time/9 cemperature Collected So per 80 squar of Horizonta f Horizonta c and time/// cions (explain of Test ch taken: Yes nnician // c (Customer/Go	H ₂ 0 95 ⁻ ic Gravity c 2-23 090 95 ^{-°} F <u>TEST</u> (Each lution (Volue centimeter 1 Surface A: rs per hour) 28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1	% (by weigh of Solution_ 20 Nozzel Water Col RECORD 24 Hours) Ime) cs Colle Cea pH Val Val 6,8 Colle Cea pH Val Const ER ChECK ANTION ON CANTION ON	t) p press umn T cted ue T Durat	H of Solut 2at ure emperature Solution Specific n Gravity 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 10	10n 93 93 16 93 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 100 109 </td <td></td>	

				Job 1	Number <u>F-72683</u>
			•	Date	1-11-73
	DODEN TECHN	OLOGY LABORATORI	20, INC.	Page	Number
		<u>SA</u>	ND AND DUST DA	TA SHEET	
/ `-	Customer_	A.R.A.			
· –	Specimen_	SEAT ASSY	Part	NoS	erial No
	Specifica	tion No. MIL-57	D 810 B Para	. No. <u>3./</u>	
	Preparati	on of Specimen(s	;)	NA	
• -	Protectiv	ve Covering on No	on-Tested Parts	NA	
~~	Vents, Po	orts, Connectors,	etc. Capped:	Yes <u>No</u> Re	marks
	Support M	iethod ML	TAL GRATE		
	Orientati	Lon of Specimen(s	s) HORIZ	·····	
	Chamber C	Controls: Sand a	and Dust Densit	<u>y_0.3 ± 0.2</u>	grams/cubic foot
—	•	Wind N	/elocity_ <u>/7577</u>	250 £ 300 ± 200	2_feet/minute
		Relati	Lve Humidity <u><</u>	22. EX10	percent
		Temper	rature <u>73 f</u>	145	*F
-	Elapsed Time (hours)	Sand And Dust Density (grams/cu.ft.)	Air Velocity (ft/minute)	Temperature (°	Relative Humidity F) (%)
	6		1740	73	<u></u>
-	16_		240	145	_ <10
	6	22	1740	145	
-					
~	Remarks:	No DANAG	E NOTED DU	LE TO THE SEI	EXPOSURE
	Interrup	tions during tes	t (explain):	NONE	
·		OPERAT	ION OK.		······································
	Results:	Damage or Defo	rmation: Yes_	_No <u>/</u> (expla	in above)
	Photo gra;	ph taken: Yes_	No		
	Test Tec	nnician Minag	Tes	st Engineer A. Ho	INANDEZ
-	Inspecto	r (Customer/Gov'	t)		
-				Nos net	list
\sim		· ·		Quality Assu	irance Manager
—		۰. د			
	•			ź	
	¢		B- 23 -	· ·	
. ·					•
		1			

TECHNICIAN D. IL. Schurter	PC - 77193	INVES [] NO	E DYYES [] NO	UVES IN NO	J COMMENTS	2	LOA-201						gack becke.					broke an exeling		. (577)	200 EN	77	Z. j				
/ D.11.	R. T		SE AMACHE	INCURRED	OFERATION		كفالمدلح						No spill			Serech		C.Ve Kod	Segreb	(NO DW	וצורי						
HULLEN WA	The Bauth Kin		mmerica / 2 2	6 DAMAGE	SPECIMEN		Risonance				Duert	DWALL	שהידר	Diverh	CYSINS	Resonance	טוניברר	cfcling	Keschince	Creling	Resure en	/					
		10 11	10 × 50	4 2 / C	x / 2 (24					29	34	5	435			43										
RCIVIE L Acro	11 57	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	400	12 200	30/05	5 C/2					1 42	Ś	اجح	<u>ي:</u>			4.0		•					ļ	1	ļ	
5	4		24	200	1/4	ED ANS					Vere	N	Ń	4			λ										
BRAT		(r)		War	20	NOT					2	m	4	ζ	16	7	S	6	2	11	21						
کر ا			4	LEE	2	2152	15				30	30	30	30	58	1.5	30	135	5.	30	135			 	 		
DIOSN				00	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	ocyu	00 •				ł	J	•	1			1	1	۱	(7						
No -		220	ad i	cej e	.0	X-Y-Z	1202				じんじ	RĮ	57	41	Ż	کر	χ	X	X	X	X						
NT N	۲. ۲.		135, CEV	and a		6/mx		ې. بې	1.5	Sider	.1.0	5.5	s S	2,5	+ +	11	3.5	1.1	11	84	¥ 1						
T TC	A T	C.	Nr. of	io j		H CH	`			SHIC					s Sed	559		15-52	بالم کر د	اوغل ر	5 520						
II-	Eng AC		200	-		Ηz	5.30	0-33	3-5.4	60-S	29	34	50	435	AL SWI	7 246	4.5	246	1. 245	Alle.	1410 3						
Q	73			Mar North	2 / Y	40		-B	Ĩ	<u>``</u>		.}						5	5								
57-13	2 3 4			WY AC	N	HOUR	1255				1325	1355	14:5	1515	أنزلاح	1018	1037	Koll	1520	1540	0840						
2-21	DATE 2.				-	1973	12-2									3-22					2-23						

B - 24 -

¢





PAGE NO. B 26

. .

REPORT NO. 2-72683



<u>م</u>

PAGE NO.B27

REPORT NO T- 72683

OCDEN TECHNOLOGY CORP.



REPORT NO. F-72683



OGDEN TECHNOLOGY CORP.







REPORT NO.F-72683





PAGE NO.B34

REPORT NO. E-72683

OGDEN TECHNOLOGY CORP.







