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The object of this research is to investigate the rate of aerodynamic heat transfer on the surface of a blunt body of revolution flying at supersonic speed. A mathematical model, based on Illingworth-Stewartson transformation and a perturbation technique with a similarity analysis, which describes the aerodynamic heating processes associated with supersonic flight of a blunt-nose projectile has been developed. The governing transport equations are reduced to a set of coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations in first, third, and fifth order of the transformed coordinates. The equations were solved by a standard
numerical integration scheme. Results describing velocity and temperature, profiles inside the boundary layer, skin friction and local heat transfer rates are presented.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( b \) Dynamic viscosity coefficient
\( c \) Velocity of sound
\( C_f \) Friction coefficient
\( d \) Transformed coordinate
\( D \) Body diameter

\( f_1, g_3, g_5, h_5 \) Velocity functions
\( g \) Parameter defined in Eq. (2.8)
\( h \) Convective heat transfer coefficient

\( j, j \) Parameters defined in Eq. (2.17)
\( k \) Thermal conductivity
\( M_o \) Mach number
\( Nu \) Nusselt number
\( P \) Pressure
\( Pr \) Prandtl number
\( q \) Heat flux
\( Re \) Reynolds number

\( s_1, s_2 \) Parameters defined in Eq. (2.7)
\( S \) Relative enthalpy difference

\( S_0, z_2, z_4, v_4 \) Temperature functions
\( T \) Temperature
\( u \) Tangential velocity

\( u_1, u_2, u_3 \) Coefficients of velocity series
\( U \) Boundary layer edge velocity

\( x, \bar{x} \) Coordinates along body surface
\( \xi \) Transformed coordinate
\( y \) Coordinate normal to body surface
\( \alpha \) Angle
\( \beta, \bar{\beta} \) Velocity parameters defined in Eqs. (2.7) and (2.9)
\( \gamma \) Specific heat ratio
\( \eta \) Similar independent variable
\( \mu \) Dynamic viscosity
\( \nu \) Kinematic viscosity
\( \rho \) Density
\( \tau \) Shear stress

**Subscripts**

0 Stagnation
1 Boundary layer edge
w Wall
I. INTRODUCTION

Aerodynamic heating of a blunt body is a result of flow of air at high speed about it. Direct compression and internal friction at and near the stagnation regions of forward surfaces of the body convert the kinetic energy of motion into heat within the boundary layer of air which surrounds the body. Consequently, aerodynamic heating problems dictate the design of blunt bodies, not only for structural reasons, but also because of thermal problems associated with protecting vital internal components, such as electronic packages which are usually located in the forward part of the body.

Previous investigations concerning aerodynamic heating problems have concentrated in the area of high supersonic or hypersonic flows at high altitude low density atmospheric flight, such as in entry or re-entry cases.[1] However, current interest in blunt bodies which fly at moderate supersonic speeds, but in the dense low altitude atmosphere, call for further investigation in aerodynamic heating problems characterized by relatively lower Mach and Reynolds numbers. The objective of this study is primarily to obtain heat transfer coefficients and recovery factors along the forward surfaces of the body to allow for coupling these parameters into a complete heat-transfer analysis inside the body. This information can be obtained by solving a three-dimensional, compressible boundary layer around a body with a blunt nose, which may or may not have an additional rotating speed complication.

*Bracketed numbers refer to entries in REFERENCES.
The basic nonlinear partial differential equations [2] which govern the motion of a steady, axisymmetric, compressible nonrotating laminar boundary layer flow about a body of revolution have been transformed into a more convenient form by a modified Illingworth-Stewartson transformation [3]. A special procedure to relate the physical sensible external flow conditions with the transformed ones was presented. Similarity variables were found by applying the systematic one-parameter group theory. The simplified governing equations were then transformed again by the well-known similar analysis [3]. A perturbation scheme based on the transformed coordinates was constructed to render a series of coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations which are readily solved by standard numerical integration subroutines to provide the desirable flow property distributions, including heat transfer rates. The purposes of this report are to present solutions to the differential equations and to examine velocity and temperature profiles within the boundary layers as well as shear stresses and heat transfer rates at the surface of the body.
2. ANALYSIS

2.1 Governing equations

The basic nonlinear partial differential equations which describe mass, momentum and energy transport for steady, axisymmetric, compressible, non-rotating laminar boundary layer flow about a body of revolution have been transformed into a series of coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Details describing this analysis are presented elsewhere [3] and are not cited here. For convenience, however, only the ordinary differential equations are presented. These equations are given, after some modification, from those previously reported [3]

\[ \begin{align*}
    \frac{d}{dz} \left( f'_1 \right)' &= -2 f'_1 f''_1 + f'_1^2 - 1 - S_0 \\
    S'_0 &= -2 f'_1 S_0 \\
    \frac{d}{dz} \left( g'_3 \right)' &= -2 f'_1 g'_3 + 4 f'_1 g'_3 - 4 f''_1 g'_3 - 2 f'_1 f''_1 \\
    &\quad - 4(1 + S_0) - z_2 \\
    z'_2 &= -2 f'_1 z_2 + 2 f'_1 z_2 - 2(2 g'_3 + f'_1) S'_0 \\
    \frac{d}{dz} \left( g'_5 \right)' &= -2 f'_1 g'_5 + 6 f'_1 g'_5 - 6 f''_1 g'_5 - 4 f'_1 f''_1 \\
    &\quad - 6(1 + S_0) - z_4 \\
    z'_4 &= -2 f'_1 z_4 + 4 f'_1 z_4 - 6 S'_0 g'_5 - 4 f'_1 S'_0
\end{align*} \]
\[ h_5''' = -2f_1 h_5'' + 6f_1' h_5' - 6f_1'' h_5 + 3g_3'' - 4g_3 g_3' \]
\[ - 2f_1'' g_3 - 2f_1' g_3' + 2f_1 f_1' - 3(1 + S_0) \]
\[ - 4z_2 - w_4 \]  
\[ w_4'' = -2f_1 w_4 + 4f_1' w_4 + 2g_3 z_2 - 4g_3 z_2' - 2f_1 z_2' \]
\[ - 6S_0 h_5 - 2S_0 g_3 + 2f_1 S_0' \]  

with corresponding boundary conditions

\[ n = 0: \]
\[ f_1 = 0 \quad g_3 = 0 \quad g_5 = 0 \quad h_5 = 0 \]  
\[ f_1' = 0 \quad g_3' = 0 \quad g_5' = 0 \quad h_5' = 0 \]  
\[ s_0' = 0 \quad z_2' = 0 \quad z_4' = 0 \quad w_4' = 0 \]  

or
\[ s_0 = s_w \quad z_2 = 0 \quad z_4 = 0 \quad w_4 = 0 \]  

\[ n = \infty: \]
\[ f_1 = 1 \quad g_3 = 1 \quad g_5 = 1 \quad h_5 = 0 \]  
\[ s_0 = 0 \quad z_2 = 0 \quad z_4 = 0 \quad w_4 = 0 \]  

Solution of these differential equations with associated boundary conditions is presented in Chapter 3 with results discussed in Chapter 4.
2.2 Boundary layer characteristics

Once solutions to the ordinary differential equations given in Sec. 2.1 are available, quantities such as velocity and temperature profiles within the boundary layer as well as shear stresses and heat transfer rates at the surface of the body can be evaluated. The purpose of this section is to present expressions which may be utilized to evaluate these quantities as well as others that are generally employed to describe boundary layer characteristics. It is convenient to introduce certain dimensionless variables which allow presentation of results and discussion to be considerably simplified. Examination of definitions and expressions for transformed variables, stream function and relative enthalpy difference as presented in Ref. [3] reveals that the following dimensionless variables can be defined in terms of the previously introduced parameters.

\[ \begin{array}{l}
\bar{x} = x/D , \quad \bar{y} = yD/c_0 , \quad \bar{f} = fD^2 = \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \\
\bar{d} = \bar{x}/bD
\end{array} \] (2.7a-f)

\[ \begin{align*}
\bar{s}_1 &= \frac{u_3b^2D^2}{u_1} = \frac{4(2g + 3)}{6} \\
\bar{s}_2 &= \frac{u_3b^4D^4}{u_1} = \frac{t^2(28g^2 + 72g + 45)}{120}
\end{align*} \]

where

\[ g = \frac{3\gamma - 1}{2(\gamma - 1)} \] (2.8)
\( \bar{\beta} \) is expressed as
\[
\bar{\beta} = M_{\infty} \left\{ \frac{3(\gamma - 1) M_{\infty}^2 + 2}{(\gamma + 1) M_{\infty}^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{2 M_{\infty}^2} - (\gamma - 1) \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} \right\}
\] (2.9)

Employing the above dimensionless variables, the distance along the surface measured from the tip of the blunt body is expressed as
\[
\bar{x} = \bar{d} + \bar{d}^3 \frac{1}{2} + \bar{d}^5 \frac{1}{3} + \bar{d}^7 \frac{1}{2} + \bar{d}^9 \frac{3}{2}
\] (2.10a)

For positions near the tip of the body, \( \bar{d} \) is small and Eq. (2.4) reduces to
\[
\bar{x} = \bar{d}
\] (2.10b)

Because of the method employed in the analysis to describe the boundary layer edge velocity, \( \bar{x} \) is limited to values less than about 0.611 which corresponds to an angle \( \alpha \) as defined in Ref. [3] of 35°. The maximum value of the transformed coordinate \( \bar{d} \) is dependent on the limiting value of \( \bar{x} \). In terms of \( \bar{x} \), \( \bar{d} \) can be written as
\[
\bar{d} = \bar{x} - \bar{x}^3 \frac{1}{3} + \bar{x}^5 \frac{1}{5} + \bar{x}^7 \frac{3}{2} + \bar{x}^9 \frac{3}{10}
\] (2.11)

In expressing Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11), up to the fifth order results were retained in the perturbation scheme [3]. Similar expressions for the distance measured normal to the body surface can be developed but are not presented here.
In most applications, the tangential velocity component within the boundary layer is of interest since its gradient determines the drag experienced by the body as it passes through a gas. Consequently, only results for the tangential velocity are presented. Expression for the normal velocity component may be developed using the definitions as reported in Ref. [3]. Utilizing the definitions for the stream function as well as for the boundary layer edge velocity, the normal velocity component is expressed as

$$\frac{u}{U_1} = \frac{f'_1 + \frac{d^2}{s_1} g_3' + \frac{d^4}{s_2} (g_5' + \frac{a^2}{s_1} h'_5)}{1 + \frac{d^2}{s_1} + \frac{d^4}{s_2}}$$

(2.12a)

where $U_1$ represents the boundary layer edge velocity. Velocity functions $f'_1, g_3', g_5$ and $h'_5$ are solutions to the ordinary differential equations and are understood to be dependent on the similar independent variable $\eta$. At a sufficiently large value of $\eta$ corresponding to the boundary layer edge, the velocity ratio in Eq. (2.12a) attains a value of unity. For small values of $\eta$, this ratio is given by

$$\frac{u}{U_1} = f'_1(\eta)$$

(2.12b)

which is a solution to Eq. (2.1). At the surface of the body where $\eta = 0$, the velocity ratio is zero as can be observed by imposing the boundary conditions given in Eq. (2.5).

Temperature profile within the boundary layer is determined from the definition of the relative enthalpy difference [3]. After some
manipulations and recognizing the dimensionless variables, the gas temperature normalized with the free stream stagnation temperature is written as

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = (S + 1) - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \left( \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{U}_1} \right)^2$$

(2.13a)

The relative enthalpy difference $S$ introduced in Eq. (2.13) is given in terms of solutions to the differential equations as

$$S = S_0 + \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} \bar{s}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \frac{d^4}{d\eta^4} (\bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 + \bar{s}_1 \bar{w}_4)$$

(2.14)

where temperature functions $S_0$, $\bar{z}_2$, $\bar{z}_4$ and $\bar{w}_4$ are functions of $\eta$. On the surface of the body, Eq. (2.13) reduces to

$$\frac{T_w}{T_0} = S_w + 1$$

(2.15)

where $T_w$ is surface temperature which may vary with $\bar{x}$. Values of $S_w$ less than zero correspond to cooled surface $T_w/T_0 < 1$ and greater than zero to a heated surface $T_w/T_0 > 1$. At the boundary layer edge, $S = 0$ by boundary conditions cited in Eq. (2.6) and the temperature is

$$\frac{T_1}{T_0} = 1 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \left( \bar{u} \right)^2$$

(2.16)

where subscript "1" refers to the boundary layer edge. Thus, the boundary layer edge temperature is just a function of $\bar{x}$ and free stream Mach number. In the analysis [3], the Prandtl number was assigned a
value of unity. Hence, the adiabatic wall boundary condition yields $S = 0$ within the boundary layer which implies that the temperature distribution is solely dependent on the tangential velocity distribution for a given $x$ position [4]. This can be observed by substituting a value of zero for $S$ in Eq. (2.13a). In addition, the wall temperature for $Pr = 1$ with adiabatic boundary condition equals the free stream stagnation temperature and the recovery factor is unity [5]. For sufficiently small values of $\tilde{d}$, Eq. (2.13) may be written as

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = S_0(n) + 1 \quad (2.13b)$$

where it was further assumed that the velocity is small. This is justifiable since small values of $\tilde{d}$ correspond to the stagnation region of the blunt body where the velocities are small.

Shear stress at the body surface indicates the drag on the body and is evaluated from the following expression

$$\tau_w = \mu_w \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \sqrt{b \nu_0 \gamma_0 P_0 \bar{b}} \bar{b} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

$$\left(\frac{\tau_1}{T_0}\right)^8 \left[ s_1' + d^2 \frac{1}{s_1} s_3' + d^4 (s_2' g_5'' + s_1^2 h_5'') \right] = 0 \quad (2.17a)$$

Second derivatives of the velocity functions are thus related to the shear stress. Equation (2.17) reduces to the following for small values of $\tilde{d}$

$$\tau_w = \sqrt{b \nu_0 \gamma_0 P_0 \bar{b}} \bar{b} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} f_1'(0) \quad (2.17b)$$
Another quantity of interest is skin friction coefficient given by

\[
C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_w U_1^2} = \frac{2 (S_w + 1)}{d} \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{u_1 D^2}} \frac{s_1}{(1 + d^2 \bar{s}_1 + d^4 \bar{s}_2)} \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
f_1'' + d^2 \bar{s}_1 g'' + d^4 (\bar{s}_2 g_5'' + \bar{s}_1 h_5'') \frac{n}{n} = 0
\]  
(2.18a)

For small values of \(d\), skin friction coefficient is

\[
C_f = \frac{2(S_w + 1)}{d} \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{u_1 D^2}} \frac{f_1''(0)}{f_1''(0)}
\]  
(2.18b)

Finally, the Reynolds number-skin friction parameter is expressed as

\[
\frac{C_f \sqrt{Re_w}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{d \ln d}{d \ln x}} \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
[f_1'' + d^2 \bar{s}_1 g'' + d^4 (\bar{s}_2 g_5'' + \bar{s}_1 h_5'')] \frac{n}{n} = 0
\]  
(2.19a)

where

\[
\frac{d \ln \frac{d}{d \ln x}}{1 + d^2 \bar{s}_1 g/3 + d^4 \bar{s}_1 g (7g + 3)/30}
\]  
(2.20)
The Reynolds number introduced in Eq. (2.19) is defined as

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{U_x x}{v_w} \]  

(2.21)

where all properties are evaluated at the body surface. Near the stagnation region of the blunt body, this parameter assumes the form

\[ \frac{C_f \sqrt{\text{Re}_w}}{2} = f_1^\prime\prime(0) \]  

(2.19b)

Heat flux at the body surface is evaluated from

\[ q = -k_w \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y_w} = -k_w T_0 \sqrt{\frac{u_1}{v_0}} \left( \frac{S_1}{T_0} \right) \left( \frac{1}{S_w + 1} \right) S'(0) \]  

(2.22a)

where \( S'(0) \) is found by taking derivative of Eq. (2.14) with respect to \( \eta \) and evaluating at \( \eta = 0 \). Thus, heat transfer is related to first derivatives of the temperature functions. For small \( \lambda \) values, Eq. (2.22) is written as

\[ q = -k_w T_0 \sqrt{\frac{u_1}{v_0}} \left( \frac{1}{S_w + 1} \right) S'(0) \]  

(2.22b)

Positive and negative values of heat flux imply heating and cooling of the surface, respectively. The boundary condition with \( S'(0) = 0 \) yields a zero heat flux which corresponds to adiabatic or insulated wall. A convective heat transfer coefficient can be defined as follows

\[ q = h(T_w - T_0) \]  

(2.23)
where the free stream stagnation temperature has been employed. Several other definitions [4,5] employ the adiabatic wall temperature which is the temperature acquired by an adiabatic surface. However, for the existing analysis with $Pr = 1$, the adiabatic and free stream temperatures are identical. The local Nusselt number can then be written as

$$\frac{Nu}{\Delta x} = - \sqrt{\frac{Re_w}{S_w}} \sqrt{\frac{d \ln d}{d \ln x}} \frac{S'(0)}{(1 + \frac{\bar{d}^2}{s_1} + \frac{\bar{d}^4}{s_2})^{1/2}} \quad (2.24a)$$

This expression reduces to the following form for small values of $\bar{d}$

$$Nu = - \sqrt{\frac{Re_w}{S_w}} S'(0) \quad (2.24b)$$

The dependency of the Nusselt number on the Reynolds number as displayed in Eq. (2.24) is similar to that observed for a flat plate, cylinder or sphere for laminar boundary layer flow [6].

A final parameter that is useful in examining boundary layer characteristics is the Reynolds analogy parameter which for the present analysis acquires the form

$$\frac{C_f}{2Nu} = - \frac{S_w}{S_0} \left[ f_1'' + \frac{\bar{d}^2}{s_1} f_3'' + \frac{\bar{d}^4}{s_2} (\frac{s_2}{s_1} f_5'' + \frac{s_2^2}{s_1^2} h_5'') \right] \eta = 0 \quad (2.25a)$$

For small values of $\bar{d}$, this parameter reduces to

$$\frac{C_f}{2Nu} = - \frac{S_w f_1''(0)}{S_0'(0)} \quad (2.25b)$$
The Reynolds analogy parameter illustrates the interrelationship between fluid friction and heat transfer processes. If the Prandtl number is included in this parameter, then the dimensionless grouping of \( \frac{Nu}{Re \cdot Pr} \) is known as the Stanton number. Laminar boundary layer on a flat plate with zero pressure gradient yields a value of one for the Reynolds analogy parameter [7].
3. METHOD OF SOLUTION

The method employed to obtain solutions to the ordinary differential equations as given in Sec. 2.1 was a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration scheme using double precision arithmetic on IBM 360/65 digital computer system. It was found advantageous to first solve the set of equations given in Eq. (2.1) with appropriate boundary conditions. Using these results, solutions to Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) were acquired. Finally, the results for Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) were employed to obtain solutions to Eq. (2.4). The technique for solving each set of equations is now outlined with additional information for solving ordinary differential equations of the boundary layer type available elsewhere [8,9].

Since the integration scheme requires knowledge of values for the functions as well as their derivatives at \( \eta = 0 \), initial guesses for the unknown derivatives (for example, in Eq. (2.1), \( f_1'(0) \) and \( S_0'(0) \) are unknown) were made and the integration carried out to some \( \eta_{\text{max}} \) value (initially 2) where boundary conditions specified in Eq. (2.6) must be satisfied. If these boundary conditions were not met, then the guesses for the derivatives must be adjusted and the integration repeated. The method employed to obtain new estimates for the derivatives is attributed to Nachtscheim and Swigert [9]. Upon satisfaction of the boundary conditions for the particular value of \( \eta_{\text{max}} \), it was then necessary to establish if \( \eta_{\text{max}} \) corresponded to a sufficiently large value as required by Eq. (2.6). \( \eta_{\text{max}} \) was then increased (for example, next value was 4) and the integration scheme as well as adjustment of values for the unknown derivatives repeated until the boundary conditions were
again satisfied. This procedure was continued until values for the unknown derivatives at \( \eta = 0 \) did not vary. In all cases, the maximum value for \( \eta \) was 8 where all boundary conditions were generally within \( 10^{-12} \) of the required values. An integration step size of 0.005 was found to give sufficiently accurate results of at least eight decimal digits for all initial derivatives and reasonable computational times.

A listing of the digital computer program to obtain values of unknown initial derivatives is given in Appendix A. Also, a program which uses these results to generate the values for all functions at different \( \eta \) values for listing, plotting and analysis purposes is supplied. Results from this numerical method are presented in Chapter 4.
4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

4.1 Solutions of governing equations

Utilizing the method of solution as discussed in Chapter 3, solutions for the unknown values of derivatives at the body surface were acquired for several values of wall enthalpy difference $S_w$ from -1 to 6 and results presented in Table 4.1. Employing these values as well as those in Eq. (2.5) as initial conditions for the Runge-Kutta integration scheme, velocity and temperature functions were evaluated for different values of the similar independent variable and are presented in Figs. 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 for Eqs. (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. Only first and second derivative results for the velocity functions are displayed since these correspond to velocity and shear stress, respectively. As identified in Sec. 2.2, the various quantities used to describe boundary layer characteristics are expressed in terms of the functions $f_1$ and $S_0$ for positions near the stagnation point. These functions are shown in Fig. 4.1. Only results are illustrated for values of $n$ up to 4 where it can be observed in the graphs that the boundary conditions specified by Eq. (2.6) are adequately satisfied. Several general comments can be made concerning results presented in these figures. First, tangential velocities within the boundary layer may exceed the boundary layer edge velocity. This can be observed by recognizing the greater than unity values shown by the first derivatives of the velocity functions. Furthermore, the second derivatives of the velocity functions increase as wall enthalpy difference increases, and the shear stress is expected to exhibit
### TABLE 4.1  Surface derivatives for velocity and temperature functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_w$</th>
<th>$f''''(0)$</th>
<th>$S_1'(0)$</th>
<th>$g'''(0)$</th>
<th>$z_2'(0)$</th>
<th>$g_5''(0)$</th>
<th>$z_4'(0)$</th>
<th>$h'''(0)$</th>
<th>$w_4'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.82185961</td>
<td>0.69893287</td>
<td>1.7489331</td>
<td>0.7249094</td>
<td>2.0905660</td>
<td>0.9389806</td>
<td>0.57759317</td>
<td>-0.22042164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.0761390</td>
<td>0.36652255</td>
<td>2.4491549</td>
<td>0.3919492</td>
<td>3.1223245</td>
<td>0.5234716</td>
<td>0.67536028</td>
<td>-0.13919842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3119376</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0895944</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0559696</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.76599771</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5343082</td>
<td>-0.39377873</td>
<td>3.6888016</td>
<td>-0.43479157</td>
<td>4.9236384</td>
<td>-0.59781512</td>
<td>0.86174012</td>
<td>0.17726846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7462793</td>
<td>-0.81018297</td>
<td>4.2570991</td>
<td>-0.90344018</td>
<td>5.7436466</td>
<td>-1.1252388</td>
<td>0.95079634</td>
<td>0.38234580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1464554</td>
<td>-1.6991555</td>
<td>5.3248052</td>
<td>-1.9212323</td>
<td>7.2788461</td>
<td>-2.6926758</td>
<td>1.1218152</td>
<td>0.85384748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8801426</td>
<td>-3.6528033</td>
<td>7.2726883</td>
<td>-4.1982672</td>
<td>10.069236</td>
<td>-5.955466</td>
<td>1.4412350</td>
<td>1.9662938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4.1 First-order perturbation results
Fig. 4.2 Third-order perturbation results
Fig. 4.3 Fifth order perturbation results, first set of equations
Fig. 4.4 Fifth-order perturbation results, second set of equations
a similar trend. The adiabatic boundary condition yields $S = 0$
throughout the boundary layer. This implies that the total energy
\( (c_p T_0) \) remains constant within the boundary layer and the surface
is at the free stream stagnation temperature. Finally, heating of the
surface by the gas occurs for wall enthalpy differences less than zero
and cooling for wall enthalpy differences greater than zero.

4.2 Results for boundary layer characteristics

Velocity and temperature profiles within the boundary layer for
several locations along the body and for various wall enthalpy dif-
ferences are illustrated in Fig. 4.5 for a Mach number of 1.5 and
specific heat ratio of 1.4. Results for $x = 0$ correspond to the stag-
nation region where the boundary layer edge velocity is zero. At this
location there would be no hydrodynamic boundary layer. Positions
slightly removed from the stagnation point have velocity profiles
represented by those for $x = 0$ as illustrated by Eq. (2.12b). Tan-
gential velocities greater than the boundary layer velocities are dis-
played for the higher values of wall enthalpy difference. Velocity
ratios greater than unity are attributed to the increase in volume
imparted to the gas due to the high wall temperatures. The gas of
lower density is accelerated by the pressure in spite of it being
decelerated by viscous forces. A thermal boundary exists near the
stagnation region for wall temperatures different from the free stream
stagnation temperature and grows along the body. The decrease in tem-
perature ratio along the body is a result of an increase in the amount
of stagnation energy being transformed into kinetic energy. The
Fig. 4.5 Velocity and temperature profiles \((M=1.5, \gamma=1.4)\)
boundary layer edge velocity increases from the stagnation point with a corresponding reduction in boundary layer edge temperature. Results for nonuniform wall temperatures can be obtained from those presented in Fig. 4.5 by specifying the wall temperature for each position and selecting the corresponding curve. Boundary layer edge velocity and temperature results are not influenced by the wall temperature.

As previously mentioned, surface shear stress is related to the drag experienced by a body as it passes through a gas. Representative values for shear stress normalized with respect to the factor of \( \sqrt{\rho_0 T_0 B} \) are illustrated in Fig. 4.6 as a function of distance along the body for a free stream Mach number of 1.5 and specific heat ratio of 1.4. The maximum distance along the body for which the analysis [3] applies is limited by the applicability of the method to describe the boundary layer edge velocity. Results for a particular value of wall enthalpy difference correspond to an isothermal surface. For nonisothermal surfaces, similar results can be obtained from those presented in Fig. 4.6 provided the distribution of wall enthalpy difference along the body is specified. Results illustrate that wall shear stress is lower when the surface is cooled. This is attributed to wall dynamic viscosity \( (\mu_w T_w) \) and second derivatives of velocity functions (see Table 4) exhibiting smaller values on a cooled surface. Near the stagnation region, shear stress increases almost linearly with distance as also can be observed from Eq. (2.17b). The shear stress is found not to be a strong function of wall temperature. For example a twofold increase of wall enthalpy difference from 1.0 to 2.0 yields only about a
Fig. 4.6 Surface shear stress \( (M_\infty = 1.5, \gamma = 1.4) \)
20% increase in shear stress at a location of 0.4. The decrease in shear stress with increasing distance is believed to be a result of the boundary layer beginning to separate from the body. However, further investigation is needed to establish the validity of this conjecture.

Results for the Reynolds number-skin friction parameter as defined in Eq. (2.19a) are displayed in Fig. 4.7 as a function of distance for a Mach number of 1.5 and specific heat ratio of 1.4. Values of this parameter for points near the stagnation region are given by values of $f'(0)$ which are tabulated in Table 4.1. This parameter is observed not to be a strong function of distance along the body.

Heat transfer and local Nusselt number results for isothermal surfaces are presented in Fig. 4.8 for several values of wall enthalpy differences with Mach number of 1.5 and specific heat ratio of 1.4. The surface is cooled for values of wall enthalpy difference less than zero and heated for values greater than zero. Results corresponding to zero heat flux are for adiabatic surface where the wall temperature is equal to the free stream stagnation temperature. For $S_w -1.0$, the wall temperature is at absolute zero and, thus, there would be an infinite heat transfer rate to the surface. Near the stagnation region, heat flux is given by the function $S'_0(0)$ and is nearly independent of distance. A twofold increase of wall enthalpy difference from 1.0 to 2.0 yields approximately 40% increase in heat flux for the stagnation region. The decrease of heat flux with distance is believed to be attributed to boundary layer separation. Evaluation of Nusselt number for adiabatic wall condition poses problems since both $S_w$ and $S'(0)$ are
Fig. 4.7 Reynolds number - skin friction parameter
($M_\infty = 1.5, \gamma = 1.4$)
Fig. 4.8 Heat transfer and Nusselt number
\( \text{Re}_w = 1.5, \gamma = 1.4 \)
zero. However, results from some preliminary numerical experiments illustrate that as $S_w$ approaches zero, the ratio of the first term for $S'(0)$ to $S_w$, namely, $S'_0(0)/S_w$, approaches a value of about 0.76. Thus, it appears that the Nusselt number is defined for adiabatic wall boundary condition. Additional information is needed to further define this ratio.

The relationship between fluid friction and heat transfer is expressed by the Reynolds analogy parameter which is shown in Fig. 4.9 as a function of distance along the body for several wall enthalpy difference values with Mach number of 1.5 and specific heat ratio of 1.4. Near the stagnation region, this parameter is given by Eq. (2.25b) which includes the ratio of $S_w/S'_0(0)$. For the adiabatic wall condition, this ratio appears to acquire a value of 1.3. This then results in a value of 1.7 for the Reynolds analogy parameter. This parameter exhibits values which are greater than unity and which increase with wall enthalpy difference. These trends are similar to those found in other investigations [7,10].
Fig. 4.9 Reynolds analogy parameter (\( M_\infty = 1.5, \gamma = 1.4 \))
5. CONCLUSIONS

An analysis has been developed which transforms the governing transport equations for steady, axisymmetric, compressible, nonrotating boundary layer flow about a body of revolution into a set of nonlinear coupled ordinary differential equations. Solutions to the ordinary differential equations subjected to specified boundary conditions were obtained using a standard numerical integration technique. Results were presented for velocity and temperature profiles within the boundary layer as well as skin friction and heat transfer rates along the body.

Several additional studies are necessary in order to completely establish the applicability of the present analysis. First, the accuracy of including each successive term in the perturbation scheme needs to be examined. Near the stagnation region of the body, the first term would be sufficient. However, the effect of additional terms for points removed from this area needs to be established. Second, additional results from this analysis for other values of the parameters should be acquired and analyzed for trends. There is a need to better define the ratio of $S'(0)/S_w$ as $S_w$ approaches zero. Third, comparison of results from the analysis with experimental results would help to establish the range of applicability of the analysis. Comparison of present results with numerical solutions of the governing transport equations should be considered. Finally, temperatures within the boundary layer may attain sufficient levels where gaseous radiation
can contribute significantly to surface heat flux. Effects of radiant transport within the boundary layer and at the body surface need to be defined.
6. REFERENCES


Computer programs employed to obtain solutions to the ordinary differential equations as well as to obtain lists and plots of these solutions are furnished. For convenience in discussing the programs, Eqs. (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) are referred to by AERO, AER1, AER2 and AER3, respectively. The computer program called AER used to solve for values of the unknown derivatives at \( \eta = 0 \) includes MAIN, READ, RUNKUT, FCN and INCON routines. The purpose of each routine is briefly noted in Table A-1. The FCN routine is different for each AER. Furthermore, as observed by boundary condition in Eq. (2.6), the routine INCON is slightly different for AER3. Initial values for the functions as well as their derivatives at \( \eta = 0 \) may be substituted into the AERL program to generate lists or plots. Routines which make-up AERL are briefly described in Table A-2. Listing of routines for AERL is also supplied.
TABLE A-1  Computer Program AER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAIN</td>
<td>Controls calling sequence for other routines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READ</td>
<td>Input of program parameters as well as initial values for known and unknown functions at $\eta = 0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNKUT</td>
<td>Fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration scheme from $\eta = 0$ to $\eta_{\text{max}}$ in steps of $\Delta \eta$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCN</td>
<td>Evaluates functions at specified value of $\eta$. Includes perturbation equations for adjusting guesses [9].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCON</td>
<td>Adjusts initial values for unknown derivatives and checks for convergence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAIN

C INTEGRATION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,U-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)
C READ INPUT VARIABLES
1 CALL READ(NOD,NOR,H,ETA0,ETAM,EMAX,FD,NEQ)
    NADJ=1
C RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION
2 CALL RUNKUTT(NOD,NOR,H,ETA0,ETAM,FD,NEQ)
C ADJUST INITIAL CONDITIONS
    CALL INCON(ETAM,EMAX,FD,F,NADJ,NEQ,NOD)
WRITE(6,100) NADJ
    IF(NADJ.NE.0) GO TO 2
    GO TO 1
100 FORMAT(10X,'INITIAL CONDITION',I5)
END

READ

SUBROUTINE READ(NOD,NOR,H,ETA0,ETAM,EMAX,FD,NEQ)
C INPUT PARAMETERS
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,U-Z)
DIMENSION F0(3,15),TITLE(10)
******************************************************************************
C NOD NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS AND DERIVATIVES
C NOR NUMBER OF DESIRED FUNCTIONS AND DERIVATIVES
C NEQ NUMBER OF EQUATION SETS
C H INTERVAL SIZE FOR ETA
C ETA0 INITIAL VALUE FOR ETA
C ETAM INITIAL VALUE FOR MAXIMUM ETA
C EMAX MAXIMUM ETA
C F3 INITIAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT ETA=ETAM
******************************************************************************
C READ IN TITLE DATA
READ (5,102,F10=99) TITLE
C READ IN *300* PARAMETERS
READ(5,100) NOD,NOR,NEQ,H,ETA0,ETAM,EMAX
C READ IN INITIAL VALUES OF FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES
READ(5,101)(FD(I,J),I=1,NOD),J=1,NEQ)
C PRINT OUT INPUTS
WRITE(6,103) TITLE,F0(1,4),H
WRITE(6,101)(F0(I,J),I=1,NOD),J=1,NEQ)
RETURN
99 CALL EXIT
100 FORMAT(115,10D15.7)
101 FORMAT(3D25,16)
102 FORMAT(10A)
103 FORMAT(111,16A8,'/17X,'SW=',D15.7/
     110X,'STEP SIZE=',F6.4//'10X,'INITIAL CONDITIONS')
END
SUBROUTINE RUNKUT(NDO,NOR,H,ETA,ETAM,FD,F,NEQ)
C Runga-Kutta integration scheme
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION FD(3,15),F(3,15),FC(3,15),AK1(3,15),AK2(3,15),
1 AK3(3,15),AK4(3,15)
C ZERO ARRAYS
DO 7 I=1,NEQ
DO 7 J=1,NOD
F(I,J)=0.DO
FC(I,J)=0.DO
FD(I,J)=0.DO
AK1(I,J)=0.DO
AK2(I,J)=0.DO
AK3(I,J)=0.DO
AK4(I,J)=0.DO
7 AK4(I,J)=0.DO
C INITIAL CONDITIONS
IE=1
DO 3 J=1,NEQ
DO 3 I=1,NOD
F(J,I)=FC(J,I)
FC(J,I)=FD(J,I)
WRITE(6,121)
WRITE(6,120) ETA0,(F(NEQ,I),I=1,NOR)
CALL FCN(ETA0,FC,FD)
C INTEGRATION
2 ETA=ETA+*(IE-1)*H
DO 3 J=1,NEQ
DO 3 I=1,NOD
AK1(I,J)=*FD(J,I)
3 FC(J,I)=F(J,I)+0.5D0*AK1(J,I)
ETA=ETA+0.5D0*H
CALL FCN(ETA,FC,FD)
DO 4 J=1,NEQ
DO 4 I=1,NOD
AK2(I,J)=*FD(J,I)
4 FC(J,I)=F(J,I)+0.5D0*AK2(J,I)
CALL FCN(ETA,FC,FD)
DO 5 J=1,NEQ
DO 5 I=1,NOD
AK3(I,J)=H*FD(J,I)
5 FC(J,I)=F(J,I)+AK3(J,I)
ETA=ETA+3.5D0*H
CALL FCN(ETA,FC,FD)
DO 6 J=1,NEQ
DO 6 I=1,NOD
AK4(J,I)=*FD(J,I)
6 FC(J,I)=F(J,I)+AK1(J,I)+2.DO*(AK2(J,I)+AK3(J,I))+AK4(J,I))/6.DO
IE=IE+1
IF(ETA>ETAM) GO TO 2
WRITE(6,100) ETA,(F(NEQ,I),I=1,NOR)
RETURN
100 FORMAT(F7.2,5D25.16)
101 FORMAT(3X,'ETA',12X,'F',24X,'F*',23X,'F**',24X,'G',23X,'G**')
END
SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA, F, FD)
C FUNCTIONS FOR AERO
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15), FD(3,15)
C DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,1)
FD(1,3)=-2.D0*F(1,1)*F(1,3)+F(1,2)*F(1,1)-F(1,4)-1.D0
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-2.D0*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
C X PERTURBATION
FD(1,6)=F(1,7)
FD(1,7)=F(1,8)
FD(1,8)=-2.D0*(F(1,6)*F(1,3)+F(1,1)*F(1,6)) + 2.D0*F(1,2)*F(1,7)
1-F(1,9)
FD(1,9)=F(1,10)
FD(1,10)=-2.D0*(F(1,6)*F(1,5)+F(1,1)*F(1,10))
C Y PERTURBATION
FD(1,11)=F(1,12)
FD(1,12)=F(1,13)
FD(1,13)=-2.D0*(F(1,11)*F(1,3)+F(1,1)*F(1,13)) + 2.D0*F(1,2)*F(1,12)
1-F(1,14)
FD(1,14)=F(1,15)
FD(1,15)=-2.D0*(F(1,11)*F(1,5)+F(1,1)*F(1,15))
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA,F,FD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)

C AERO EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,3)
FD(1,3)=2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,3)-F(1,4)-1.DO
FD(:,4)=F(:,5)
FD(:,5)=3.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)

C AERI EQUATIONS
C DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
FD(2,1)=F(2,2)
FD(2,2)=F(2,3)
FD(2,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,3)+F(2,2)-4.DO*F(1,3)*
1F(2,4)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,4)-4.DO*F(1,4)-F(2,5)
FD(2,4)=F(2,5)
FD(2,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,5)+2.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,4)-4.DO*F(2,1)*
2F(1,5)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)

C X-PERTURBATION
FD(2,6)=F(2,7)
FD(2,7)=F(2,8)
FD(2,8)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,8)+4.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,7)-4.DO*F(1,3)*
3F(2,6)-F(2,9)
FD(2,9)=F(2,10)
FD(2,10)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,10)+2.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,9)-4.DO*F(1,5)*
4F(2,6)

C Y-PERTURBATION
FD(2,11)=F(2,12)
FD(2,12)=F(2,13)
FD(2,13)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,13)+4.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,12)-4.DO*F(1,3)*
5F(2,11)-F(2,14)
FD(2,14)=F(2,15)
FD(2,15)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,15)+2.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,14)-4.DO*F(1,5)*
6F(2,11)
RETURN
END
PCN FOR AER2

SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA,F,FD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)

C AERO EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,3)
FD(1,3)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,2)*F(1,3)+F(1,4)+F(1,5)
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)

C AER2 EQUATIONS
FD(2,1)=F(2,2)
FD(2,2)=F(2,3)
FD(2,3)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,3)+6.0*FD(1,2)*F(2,2)-6.0*FD(1,3)*F(2,1)
1.0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,3)-6.0*FD(1,4)*FD(1,5)-F(2,4)
FD(2,4)=F(2,5)
FD(2,5)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,5)+4.0*FD(1,2)*FD(2,4)-6.0*FD(1,5)*F(2,1)
2.0*FD(1,2)*FD(1,5)

C DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
FD(3,1)=F(3,2)
FD(3,2)=F(3,3)
FD(3,3)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,3)+6.0*FD(1,2)*F(3,2)+6.0*FD(1,3)*F(3,1)

C X-PERTURBATION
FD(2,6)=F(2,7)
FD(2,7)=F(2,8)
FD(2,8)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,8)+6.0*FD(1,2)*F(2,7)-6.0*FD(1,3)*F(2,6)
7.0*FD(2,9)
FD(2,9)=F(2,10)
FD(2,10)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,10)+4.0*FD(1,2)*F(2,9)-6.0*FD(1,5)*F(2,6)
3.0*FD(2,11)

C Y-PERTURBATION
FD(2,11)=F(2,12)
FD(2,12)=F(2,13)
FD(2,13)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,13)+6.0*FD(1,2)*F(2,12)-6.0*FD(1,3)*F(2,13)
5.0*FD(2,14)
FD(2,14)=F(2,15)
FD(2,15)=-2.0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,15)+4.0*FD(1,2)*F(2,14)-6.0*FD(1,5)*F(2,15)
1.0*FD(2,11)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA,F,FD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)

C AERO EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,3)
FD(1,3)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,3)*FD(1,2)-FD(1,4)-1.*DO
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,5)

C AER1 EQUATIONS
FD(2,1)=F(2,2)
FD(2,2)=F(2,3)
FD(2,3)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,3)+4.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(2,2)-4.*D0*FD(1,3)*
1FD(2,1)-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,3)-4.*D0*(1.*DG+FD(1,4))-FD(2,4)
FD(2,4)=F(2,5)
FD(2,5)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,5)+2.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(2,4)-4.*D0*FD(2,1)*
2FD(2,5)-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,5)

C AER3 EQUATIONS
C DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
FD(3,1)=F(3,2)
FD(3,2)=F(3,3)
FD(3,3)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,3)+6.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,2)-6.*D0*FD(1,3)*
1FD(3,1)-3.*D0*FD(2,1)*FD(3,3)-3.*D0*(1.*DG+FD(1,4))+2.*D0*FD(1,1)*
3FD(1,3)-4.*D0*FD(2,4)
FD(3,4)=F(3,5)
FD(3,5)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,5)+4.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,4)+2.*D0*FD(2,2)*
1FD(2,4)-4.*D0*FD(2,1)*FD(2,5)-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(2,5)-6.*D0*FD(1,5)*
2FD(3,1)-4.*D0*FD(1,5)*FD(2,1)+2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(1,5)

C X-PERTURBATION
FD(3,6)=F(3,7)
FD(3,7)=F(3,8)
FD(3,8)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,8)+6.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,7)-6.*D0*FD(1,3)*
1FD(3,6)=F(3,9)
FD(3,9)=F(3,10)
FD(3,10)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,10)+4.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,9)-6.*D0*FD(1,5)*
1FD(3,6)

C Y-PERTURBATION
FD(3,11)=F(3,12)
FD(3,12)=F(3,13)
FD(3,13)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,13)+6.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,12)-6.*D0*FD(1,3)*
1FD(3,11)=F(3,14)
FD(3,14)=F(3,15)
FD(3,15)=-2.*D0*FD(1,1)*FD(3,15)+4.*D0*FD(1,2)*FD(3,14)-6.*D0*FD(1,5)*
1FD(3,11)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE INCON(ETAM, EMAX, FOF, FF, NADJ, NEQ, NOD)
C ADJUST INITIAL CONDITIONS
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H, O-Z)
DIMENSION FOF(15), FOF(3, 15), FF(3, 15)
DO I = 1, NOD
  F0(I) = FDF(NEQ, I)
  F(I) = F(NEQ, I)
END DO
A11 = F(7) * F(7) + F(9) * F(9) + F(8) * F(8) + F(10) * F(10)
A21 = A22 = A22 = A22 = A22
A12 = F(2) * D0 * F(7) * F(4) * F(7) * F(3) * F(8) + F(5) * F(10)
B1 = -(F(2) * D0) * F(12) + F(4) * F(14) + F(3) * F(13) + F(5) * F(15)
B2 = -(F(2) * D0) * F(12) + F(4) * F(14) + F(3) * F(13) + F(5) * F(15)
DEN = A11 * A12 - A12 * A21
DEX = (A22 * A11 * A12) / DEN
DEY = (A11 * A22 - A21 * A12) / DEN
FOF(3) = FOF(3) + DEX
FOF(3) = FOF(3) + DEY
FOF(NEQ, 3) = FOF(3)
C CONVERGENCE CHECKS
WRITE(6, 100) DEX, DEY
IF(DABS(DEX/FOF(3)) GT .1D-12 .OR. DABS(DEY/FOF(5)) GT .1D-12) RETURN
E = (F(2) - 1.0) ** 2 + F(4) * F(4) + F(3) * F(3) + F(5) * F(5)
WRITE(6, 101) E
ETAM = 2.0 * ETAM
WRITE(6, 102) ETAM
IF(ETAM LE EMAX) RETURN
ETAM = EMAX
NADJ = 0
RETURN
100 FORMAT(10X, 'CHECK DEX AND DEY', 2D15.7)
101 FORMAT(10X, 'CHECK E', 10X, D15.7)
102 FORMAT(10X, 'CHECK ETAM', 7X, D15.7)
END
SUBROUTINE INCON(ETAM, EMAX, FOF, FF, NADJ, NEQ, NOD)
C ADJUST INITIAL CONDITIONS
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION FO(15), FOF(3, 15), FF(3, 15)
DO 1 I=1, N3D
FO(I)=FOF(VEQ, I)
1
FI1=F (VEU, I)
A11=F (7)*F (7)+F (9)*F (8)+F (10)*F (10)
A12=F (7)*F (12)+F (9)*F (14)+F (8)*F (13)+F (10)*F (15)
A21=A12
A22=F (12)*F (12)+F (14)*F (14)+F (15)*F (15)
B1=-(F (2)*F (7)+F (4)*F (9)+F (3)*F (8)+F (5)*F (10))
B2=-(F (2)*F (12)+F (4)*F (14)+F (3)*F (13)+F (5)*F (15))
DEV=A11*A22-A12*A21
DEEX=A22*B2-A12*B1)/DEN
DEY=(A11*B2-A21*B1)/DEN
FO(3)=F (3)+DEX
FO(5)=F (5)+DEY
FOF(VEQ, 3)=FO(3)
FOF(VEQ, 5)=FO(5)
C CONVERSE: ICE CHECKS
WRITE(6,101) E
IF (DABS(DEX/FO(3)) .GT. 1D-12 .OR. DABS(DEY/FO(5)) .GT. 1D-12) RETURN
E=F (2)*F (2)+F (4)*F (4)+F (3)*F (3)+F (5)*F (5)
WRITE(6,101) E
ETAM=2.00*ETAM
WRITE(6,102) ETAM
IF (ETAM .LE. EMAX) RETURN
ETAM=EMAX
NADJ=0
RETURN
100 FORMAT(10X,'CHECK DEX AND DEY', 2015.7)
101 FORMAT(10X,'CHECK E', 10X, D15.7)
102 FORMAT(10X,'CHECK ETAM', 7X, D15.7)
END
### TABLE A-2  Computer Program AEEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAIN</td>
<td>Input of program parameters and boundary conditions at ( \eta = 0 ) for all functions and their derivatives. Lists results and controls all routines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUPKUT</td>
<td>Fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCN</td>
<td>Evaluates functions at specified ( \eta )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAIN

C LIST OF AER
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/DATA/G(5,1610)
DIMENSION F(3,5),FO(3,5),TITLE(10)
C READ IN INITIAL VALUES
1 READ(5,100)END=99) TitLe
READ(5,101) NOR,NEQ,NPR,NPT,H,ETAO,ETAM
READ(5,102)(F0(I,J),J=1,NOR),I=1,NEQ)
C RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION
CALL RUNKUT(NOR,NPR,NPT,H,ETAO,ETAM,FO,F,NEQ)
C PRINT OUT RESULTS
NDATA=(ETAM-ETAO)/H+2.0010D0
!PR=1
WRITE(6,103) TITLE
DO2 I=1,NDATA
IF(I.NE.IP R) GO TO 2
IP R=IP R+NPR
ET A=ET AO+H*(I-1)
WRITE(6,104) ETA,J(I,J),J=1,NOR)
2 CONTINUE
GO TO 1
99 CALL EXIT
100 FORMAT(12A8)
101 FORMAT(1315,315,7)
102 FORMAT(13025,16)
103 FORMAT(14I1,12A8/3X,ETA,8X,'F',14X,'F**',11X,'G0',14X,'G**')
104 FORMAT(F2.5,5015,7)
END
RUNKUT

SUBROUTINE RUNKUT(NOD, NDR, H, ETA, ETAM, FO, F, NEQ)
C RUNGE KUTTA INTEGRATION SCHEME
IMPLICIT REAL*(A-I,O-Z)
COMMON/DATA/G(5,1610)
DIMENSION FO(3,15), FD(3,15), FC(3,15), AK1(3,15), AK2(3,15),
1 AK3(3,15), AK4(3,15)
C ZERO ARRAYS
DO 7 I=1,NEQ
DO 7 J=1,NOD
F(1,J)=.000
FC(1,J)=.000
FD(1,J)=.000
AK1(1,J)=.000
AK2(1,J)=.000
AK3(1,J)=.000
AK4(1,J)=.000
7 CONTINUE
C INITIAL CONDITIONS
IE=1
DO 1 J=1,NEQ
DO 1 I=1,NOD
F(J,1)=FD(J,1)
1 FC1(J,1)=FD(J,1)
DO 8 I=1,NOD
8 GI(IE,1)=FD(NEQ,1)
CALL FCV(ETA, FC, FD)
C INTEGRATION
2 ETA=ETA+(IE-1)*H
DO 3 J=1,NEQ
DO 3 I=1,NOD
AK1(J,I)=H*FD(J,1)
3 FC(J,1)=F(J,1)+0.500*AK1(J,1)
ETA=ETA+.500*H
CALL FCV(ETA, FC, FD)
DO 4 J=1,NEQ
DO 4 I=1,NOD
AK2(J,I)=H*FD(J,1)
4 FC(J,1)=F(J,1)+0.500*AK2(J,1)
CALL FCV(ETA, FC, FD)
DO 5 J=1,NEQ
DO 5 I=1,NOD
AK3(J,I)=H*FD(J,1)
5 FC(J,1)=F(J,1)+AK3(J,1)
ETA=ETA+.500*H
CALL FCV(ETA, FC, FD)
DO 6 J=1,NEQ
DO 6 I=1,NOD
AK4(J,I)=H*FD(J,1)
6 FC(J,1)=F(J,1)+(AK1(J,1)+AK2(J,1)+AK3(J,1)+AK4(J,1))/6.00
IE=IE+1
DO 9 I=1,NOD
9 GI(IE,1)=FD(NEQ,1)
IF ETA LT ETAM GO TO 2
RETURN
END
FUNCTIONS FOR AERO
IMPLICIT REAL*(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,3)
FD(1,3)=-3.0*F(1,1)*F(1,3)*F(1,2)*F(1,2)-F(1,4)-1.0
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-1.0*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
RETURN
END

FUNCTIONS FOR AER1
IMPLICIT REAL*(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)
FD(1,1)=F(1,2)
FD(1,2)=F(1,3)
FD(1,3)=-2.0*F(1,1)*F(1,3)*F(1,2)*F(1,2)-F(1,4)-1.0
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-1.0*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
RETURN
END
FCN FOR AER2

SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA,F,FD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)
C AERO EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,1)
FD(1,2)=F(1,2)
FD(1,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,3)+F(1,2)*F(1,2)-F(1,4)-1.DO
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
C AER2 EQUATIONS
FD(2,1)=F(2,1)
FD(2,2)=F(2,2)
FD(2,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,3)+6.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,2)-6.DO*F(1,3)*F(2,1)
1.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,3)-6.DO*F(1,0)*F(1,4)-F(2,4)
FD(2,4)=F(2,5)
FD(2,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,5)+4.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,4)-6.DO*F(1,5)*F(2,1)
2-4.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
RETURN
END

FCN FOR AER3

SUBROUTINE FCN(ETA,F,FD)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION F(3,15),FD(3,15)
C AERO EQUATIONS
FD(1,1)=F(1,1)
FD(1,2)=F(1,2)
FD(1,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,3)+F(1,2)*F(1,2)-F(1,4)-1.DO
FD(1,4)=F(1,5)
FD(1,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
C AER1 EQUATIONS
FD(2,1)=F(2,1)
FD(2,2)=F(2,2)
FD(2,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,3)+6.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,2)-4.DO*F(1,3)*
1.F(2,1)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,3)-4.DO*F(1,0)*F(1,4)-F(2,4)
FD(2,4)=F(2,5)
FD(2,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,5)+2.DO*F(1,2)*F(2,4)-4.DO*F(2,1)*
2.F(1,1)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
C AER3 EQUATIONS
FD(3,1)=F(3,1)
FD(3,2)=F(3,2)
FD(3,3)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(3,3)+6.DO*F(1,2)*F(3,2)-6.DO*F(1,3)*
1.F(3,1)-F(3,4)+3.DO*F(2,1)*F(2,2)-4.DO*F(2,3)-2.DO*F(1,3)
2.F(2,1)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,3)-3.DO*F(1,0)*F(1,4)+2.DO*F(1,1)*
3.F(1,1)-4.DO*F(7,4)
FD(3,4)=F(3,5)
FD(3,5)=-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(3,5)+4.DO*F(1,2)*F(3,4)+2.DO*F(2,2)*
1.F(2,4)-4.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,5)-2.DO*F(1,1)*F(2,5)-6.DO*F(1,5)*
2.F(3,1)-2.DO*F(1,5)*F(2,1)+2.DO*F(1,1)*F(1,5)
RETURN
END