

22 December 1942

NRL Report No. R-1972  
BuShips Problem M54

NAVY DEPARTMENT

Report of  
Test on Insulating Material

Submitted by  
Birnback Radio Company

FR-1972

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ANACOSTIA STATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Number of Pages:           Text - 4           Tables - 3

Authorization:           BuShips ltr. S67/61 (933) of Nov. 6, 1942

Date of Test:           Nov. 3 - Nov. 18, 1942

Prepared by:           J.E. Martin, Contract Employee

Reviewed by:           W.B. Burgess, Principal Radio Engineer  
                          Head of Measurement & Direction Finder Section

A. Hoyt Taylor, Chief Physicist  
                          Superintendent, Radio Division

W.B. Goulett, Lt. Comdr. USN

Approved by:           A.H. Van Keuren, Rear Admiral, USN, Director

Distribution  
BuShips (10)

1el

**Distribution Unlimited**

Approved for  
Public Release

22 December 1942

NRL Report No. R-1972  
BuShips Problem M54

NAVY DEPARTMENT

Report of  
Test on Insulating Material

Submitted by  
Birnback Radio Company

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ANACOSTIA STATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Number of Pages:

Text - 4

Tables - 3

Authorization:

BuShips ltr. S67/61 (933) of Nov. 6, 1942

Date of Test:

Nov. 3 - Nov. 18, 1942

Prepared by:

---

J.E. Martin, Contract Employee

Reviewed by:

---

W.B. Burgess, Principal Radio Engineer  
Head of Measurement & Direction Finder Section

---

A. Hoyt Taylor, Chief Physicist  
Superintendent, Radio Division

---

W.B. Goulett, Lt. Comdr. USN

Approved by:

---

A.H. Van Keuren, Rear Admiral, USN, Director

Distribution  
BuShips (10)

1e1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
Authorization . . . . .	1
Object of Test . . . . .	1
Abstract of Test. . . . .	1
Conclusions . . . . .	1a
Recommendations . . . . .	1b
Description of Material Under Test. . . . .	2
Method of Test . . . . .	2
Data Recorded During Test . . . . .	3
Probable Error in Results . . . . .	3
Results of Test . . . . .	3

APPENDICES

Dielectric Properties. . . . .	.Table I
Moisture Absorption . . . . .	.Table II
Modulus of Rupture . . . . .	.Table III

## AUTHORIZATION

1. This problem was authorized by reference (a). References (b), (c) and (d) are also pertinent.

### References:

- (a) BuShips ltr S67/61 (933) of Nov. 6, 1942 to NRL.
- (b) BuShips ltr. S67/61(480V) of July 17, 1942 to NRL.
- (c) BuShips ltr. S67/61 (5-23-480) of May 23, 1941 to NRL.
- (d) Specifications RE 13A 317F.

## OBJECT OF TEST

2. The object of the test was to determine whether the samples submitted by Birnbach Radio Co., comply with reference (d) for Grade F or G insulating material and also to determine the modulus of rupture of this material.

## ABSTRACT OF TEST

3. The dry and wet loss factors were determined by measurements made at 1000 kilocycles, in compliance with paragraph two of reference (c), paragraph 6-1 of reference (d), and in accordance with A.S.T.M. Standards on Testing Electrical Insulating Materials of December 1941. The wet loss factor was measured after the samples had been immersed in distilled water for 48 hours. The modulus of rupture test was made on a Standard Southwalk Testing Machine.

4. Moisture absorption measurements were made in accordance with paragraph 3 of reference (b).

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded:

(a) That these samples do not comply with reference (c) for Grade F or G insulating material.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended:

(a) That these samples submitted by the Birnbach Radio Company not be approved as Grade G or F insulating material.

## DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL UNDER TEST

5. The three plates numbered 516, 517 and 518 by NRL were approximately 15.45 cm square and 0.65 cms thick. The four cylindrical rods numbered 61 to 64 inclusive by NRL were approximately 6 inches long and 1-1/8 inches thick.

## METHOD OF TEST

6. Physical measurements of the samples were made with micro-meters and a metric rule; the electrical measurements by the susceptance variation method of parallel substitution. The dielectric properties were determined from these data.

7. The standard measuring circuit consists of the following equipment:

1000 kc crystal controlled master oscillator power amplifier,  
assembled by NRL;

NRL Standard inductance No. 6;

General Radio quartz insulated precision condenser, Type 722-Q  
Serial No. 460;

General Radio vacuum tube voltmeter, Type 726-A, Serial No. 1483.

8. The factor of merit of the variable capacitor is stated by the manufacturer to be better than  $0.003 \times 10^{-12}$  Farads. The factor of merit of the entire test circuit is better than  $1.11 \times 10^{-12}$  Farads or one C.G.S. electrostatic unit. The effective Q of the entire measuring circuit is approximately 344 units, measured at 1000 kc.

9. The dry loss factor was determined after allowing the test samples to come to a static equilibrium of ambient temperature and relative humidity with that of the standard measuring circuit, which is assumed to occur in about 24 to 48 hours. Each sample was made into a capacitor by applying foil to both surfaces with petroleum oil. The factors of merit of the standard circuit with and without the samples were measured and each expressed as the ratio of total effective conductance to the resonant angular velocity. The difference between the two factors thus measured is equal to the factor of merit of the sample. When the conductance of the sample is small and can be neglected in comparison with its susceptance, the power factor is equal to the ratio of the factor of merit to the capacitance. The capacitance is equal to the difference in reading of the standard, taken at resonance, with and without the sample; provided, the residual inductance (L) of the standard capacitor is sufficiently small to make  $W^2LC_s$  negligible as compared to unity.

10. The dielectric permittivity (K) was determined from physical measurements made upon the sample, as outlined in A.S.T.M. Standards. The loss factor is defined as the product of the power factor and the dielectric permittivity. The wet loss factor was determined in a similar manner after the samples had been immersed in distilled water for a period of 48 hours in compliance with reference (d).

11. The moisture absorption tests were carried out on newly fractured pieces as detailed in paragraph 6 of reference (d) where the newly fractured surface was approximately 50% of the unfractured surface of each sample. The samples were first immersed in distilled water at room temperature for 100 hours, during which time the water was boiled for a period of one hour during the 1st, 25th, 49th and 74th hours. At the end of 100 hours, all samples were removed from the water, carefully dried with filter paper and immediately weighed. They were then placed in a desiccator for 96 hours after which time they were again weighed.

12. The modulus of rupture test was made by applying a direct load, at a rate of 250 lbs. per minute, midway between two points of restraint. These points were separated by a distance of 5.00 inches. The radius of curvature of the three points was 0.125 inches. A standard Southwark Testing Machine was used for this purpose.

#### DATA RECORDED DURING TEST

13. The data recorded during test are given in Tables I, II and III.

#### PROBABLE ERROR IN RESULTS

14. The error in the determination of the power factor is not greater than 2%, while that of the loss factor is not greater than 3%. The error in the determination of the weight in the moisture absorption test is approximately 0.00125%. The error in Modulus of rupture measurements is not greater than 5%.

15. The data relating to dielectric properties have been corrected for the fringing of the dielectric flux external to the periphery of the electrodes.

16. Corrections to include the residual errors in the standard measuring circuit have not been applied to these data.

#### RESULTS OF TEST

17. Results of test are given in Table I, II and III. The data recorded in Table I shows the wet loss factor of each sample to be greater than the maximum acceptable value of 7%.

18. Table II shows that the samples do not comply with paragraph 6-2 of reference (d) for the moisture absorption test.



19. Table III gives the value of the Modulus of Rupture for the samples numbered 61, 62, 63 and 64.

CONCLUSIONS

20. It is concluded:

- (a) That these samples do not comply with reference (c) for Grade G or F insulating material.

Table I

## Dielectric Properties

NRL No.	Dielectric Constant		Power Factor		Loss Factor		Grade
	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	
516	4.99	5.37	0.820	1.88	4.13	10.1	0
517	5.37	5.40	0.737	1.45	3.99	7.83	0
518	6.26	5.58	0.656	1.99	4.14	11.8	0

Table II

## Moisture Absorption

NRL No.	Weight in Grams		Gain	Gain
	Dry	Wet	Grams	%
516	36,544	36,606	0.062	0.170

Table III

## Modulus of Rupture

NRL No.	Direct Load	Diameter	Modulus of Rupture
	lbs		lbs/sq in
61	1250	1.126	11,250
62	1010	1.115	9,260
63	890	1.126	7,930
64	1080	1.114	9,950