Award Number: W81XWH-18-1-0322

TITLE: Targeting Cardiac Calcium Regulation and Fibrosis in DMD Models

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Jeong, Dongtak, PhD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

REPORT DATE: Sept 2020

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be	and reviewing this collection of in befense, Washington Headquarte aware that notwithstanding any	formation. Send comments rega ers Services, Directorate for Infor	arding this burden estimate or an mation Operations and Reports (n shall be subject to any penalty f	y other aspect of this cc 0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe or failing to comply with	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the llection of information, including suggestions for reducing prson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- n a collection of information if it does not display a currently		
1. REPORT DATE (DL	,	2. REPORT TYPE			DATES COVERED (From - To)		
Sept 2020 4. TITLE AND SUBTIT		Annual			Aug 2019 - 14 Aug 2020 CONTRACT NUMBER		
		d Fibrosis in DMD Mo	dels	Ja.	CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b	GRANT NUMBER		
					31XWH-18-1-0322		
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Dongtak Jeong				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER		
Derigian beerig				5e.	TASK NUMBER		
				5f. \	WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORG	GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT		
ICAHN SCHOOL (MOUNT SINAI	OF MEDICINE AT						
ONE GUSTAVE L							
NEW YORK NY 10	0029-6504						
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRES	S(FS)	10	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
U.S. Army Medica Material Command	I Research and		5(20)	10.			
Fort Detrick, Maryl							
21702-5012			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
	VAILABILITY STATEM c release; distribution						
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT Cardiomyopathy is a prominent feature of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) with increased intracellular Ca ²⁺ and significant interstitial fibrosis being major pathophysiological hallmarks. miRNA-25 has been identified as a suppressor of both SERCA2a and Smad7 in several experimental animal models. In Phase I, we united miR-25 TuD with AAV9, in order to assess the effect of miR-25 inhibition on cardiac function in aged dystrophin/utrophin (MDX/UTRN) KO mice which is a validated transgenic murine model for DMD. We found that AAV9 miR-25 TuD transfer resulted in the strong and stable inhibition of cardiac miR-25 levels. This resulted in increased SERCA2a and Smad7 expression and in turn improved cardiac function, decreased cardiac fibrosis, and also lead to a significantly improved survival rate. In Phase II, we generated new AAV vectors with various promoters to obtain tissue specific expression and minimize off-target effect. Conclusively, we could achieve a better tissue specificity using these promoters but there is clear imitation using current AAV constructs in terms of the intensity of target gene expression, which should be addressed in further studies.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS DMD, miRNA-25,), SERCA2a, Smad	7, Cardiomyopathy,	Troponin T, P	eriostin, Fibrosis, Exosome, CCN5		
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC		
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	42	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1. Introduction	4
2. Keywords	5
3. Accomplishments	6 - 14
4. Impact	15
5. Changes/Problems	16
6. Products	17
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	18
8. Special Reporting Requirements	19
9. References	20 - 21
9. Appendices	22 - 42

1. INTRODUCTION:

Heart disease profoundly affects the quality of life of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) patients. DMD is caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene ^{1,2}, which anchors the cytoskeleton, sarcolemma, and extracellular matrix (ECM) together to prevent cell membrane damage during muscle contraction. However, abnormal dystrophin expression weakens the link between the ECM and the cytoskeleton ³ resulting in affected muscle cells degenerating and necrotizing. Until recently, DMD patients life span was determined mostly by respiratory failure, with approximately 80% to 90% of DMD deaths ⁴⁻⁷. With improved respiratory care, death by cardiomyopathy has become more frequent, even approaching 40% in some studies ⁸. Additionally, myocardial fibrosis is the second leading cause of death in DMD ^{6,7,9,10}, occurring in more than 96% of DMD hearts. Fibrotic lesions cause fatal ventricular arrhythmias in DMD patients ^{7,11,12}. Although further research to clarify molecular mechanisms underlying dystrophin-deficient heart disease remains, evidence suggests that abnormal elevation of cytosolic calcium is central to the pathogenesis of DMD heart disease ¹³⁻¹⁷.

The sarcoplasmic reticulum is the primary calcium storage organelle in muscle cells. In CMs, removal of cytosolic calcium is mainly accomplished by the cardiac isoform of sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (SERCA2a) via its pump activity ¹⁸. SERCA2a expression/activity is reduced in various forms of heart failure (HF) in experimental animal models and human patients ^{19,20}. Additionally, aged mdx models show decrease in endogenous SERCA2a expression, which was restored by SERCA2a gene transfer ²¹. Furthermore, in mdx/utrophin double KO mice, which recapitulate human DMD pathophysiology, SERCA2a expression was found to be significantly decreased. Recently our group has found additional mechanisms to fine-tune SERCA2a posttranscriptionally through microRNAs (miRs). These small non-coding RNAs regulate protein expression by destabilization and/or translational inhibition of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs). Like mRNAs, expression of miRs is regulated in HF including cardiomyopathy ²²⁻²⁵. Advances in understanding the molecular mechanisms that are associated with pathological stress have offered numerous important CM specific targets for intervention ²³. Specifically, miR-25 has consistently been identified as a potent regulator of SERCA2a and showed anti-miR-25 treatment enhanced cardiac contractility and function through SERCA2a restoration in murine HF models ²⁶. Furthermore, *in silico* and in vitro experiments confirmed that miR-25 has an additional binding partner, SMAD7. SMAD7 is an endogenous antagonist of TGF-β signaling, a common pro-fibrotic pathway in many organs including the heart.

With the ultimate goal to enhance cardiac function in DMD patients, a stable and efficient delivery of antagonizing molecule against miR-25 is necessary. An inhibitory construct against miR-25, so-called miR-25 tough decoy, was successfully generated. It improved cardiac function and significantly reduced cardiac fibrosis in TAC-induced HF mouse model (*paper in revision*). This construct was combined with the traditionally used AAV9, a cardiac serotype, for gene therapy treatments ²⁷. However, there are still limitations which include off-target expression such as liver tropism ^{28,29}. Transcriptional regulation has been used to address these issues. Our approach will use a *cis*-acting regulatory module sequence ³⁰ to improve cardiac specificity and enhance expression. Additionally, two different promoters, periostin³¹ and troponin-T³², will be used to target MFBs and CMs, respectively.

2. KEYWORDS:

DMD, miRNA-25, Tough Decoy (TuD), SERCA2a, Smad7, Cardiomyopathy, Troponin T, Periostin, Fibrosis, Exosome, CCN5

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

1) What were the major goals of the project?

Since our group found that anti-miR-25 treatment enhanced cardiac contractility and function through SERCA2a restoration in murine HF models²⁶, an efficient and stable antagonism of miR-25 has been found, miR-25 TuD. Furthermore, we found that miR-25 has an addition binding partner, SMAD7. SMAD7 has been found to inhibit fibrosis through the TGF- β signaling pathway. Since cardiac complications that arise in chronic DMD patients are related to cardiac dysfunction and fibrosis, we hypothesize that miR-25 TuD will ameliorate these phenotypes and improve the quality of life of DMD patients. Furthermore, we will enhance the tissue-specific expression of miR-25 TuD using a cell type specific promoter and minimize off-target effect.

Proposed speficic aims;

Specific aim 1: Therapeutic evaluation of miR-25 TuD on cardiac function and fibrosis.

Preliminary data have shown that antagonizing miR-25 had a beneficial effect on HF animal model through the regulation of SERCA2a expression. Moreover, we have recently identified an additional binding partner, SMAD7, an endogenous antagonist of TGF- β signaling pathway, which is a major signaling pathway in cardiac fibrosis. Therefore, we will apply miR-25 TuD in DMD mouse model and evaluate the efficacy and efficiency in terms of cardiac function and fibrosis.

Specific aim 2: Therapeutic evaluation of miR-25 TuD using cell type specific promoters.

AAV9 is a widely used serotype for cardiac gene therapy ²⁷. However, there are still limitations including offtarget expression such as liver tropism ^{28,29}. Since we have identified that miR-25 has dual targets in the heart, we will apply two independent promoters for individual cell types (CMs and cardiac MFBs). AAV vectors have traditionally been used with the CMV promoter due to its high expression efficiency. Although cardiac specific promoters such as TnT exist, they have low expression profiles. Recently, we combined TnT with an Enh and achieved substantially high expression of the gene of interest, comparable to that of CMV, with minimal offtarget expression. Therefore, we will apply this with miR-25 TuD in terms of cardiac functional study. On the other hand, to target cardiac fibrosis, which occurs mainly by proliferation of residential CF ³¹, a Postn promoter will be applied, which is exclusively expressed in pathological cardiac fibrosis.

Proposed SOW for the second year of funding period;

Training-Specific Tasks: (only applicable to training award mechanisms)

Major Task 2: Development of cell type specific promoter with miR-25 TuD in an AAV9 vector	Months	Achievements
Subtask 1: Development of AAV construct containing Troponin T (TnT) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiomyocyte specific expression of miR-25 TuD	14-15	Completed.
Subtask 2: Development of AAV construct containing Periostin (Postn) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiac myofibroblast specific expression of miR-25 TuD	15-16	Completed.
Subtask 3: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module with TnT promoter in cardiomyocyte specificity (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	17-20	Completed.
Subtask 4: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module with Periostin (Postn) promoter in myofibroblasts specificity	21-24	40% Completed.

(20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)		Delayed due to COVID- 19 outbreak.
Subtask 5: Characterize the biodistribution for miR-25 expression (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	23-24	40% Delayed due to COVID- 19 outbreak.
Subtask 6: Comparison between ubiquitous CMV promoter with newly generated tissue specific promoters (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	21-24	40% Completed. Delayed due to COVID- 19 outbreak.
Milestone(s) Achieved: Characterization of effects of cell type specific promoter with enhancer in terms of miR-25 expression and cardiac function	24	80% completed. Delayed due to COVID- 19 outbreak. It can be completed for next 6 months.

2) What was accomplished under these goals?

Subtask 1: Development of AAV construct containing Troponin T (TnT) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiomyocyte specific expression of miR-25 TuD

- AAV construct containing Enh-TnT promoter has been successfully generated.
- DNA sequencing confirmed the orientation and mutation on DNA construct, which are shown below.
- Result



C InT promoter (406bps)

Periostin promoter (1064bps)

Figure 1. DNA constructs for AAV9 Enh-TnT/ Enh-Postn-miR-25 TuD.

A. AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR-25 TuD plasmid map B. AAV9 Enh-Postn-miR-25 TuD plasmid map C. DNA sequences for Troponin T and Periostin promoters

Subtask 2: Development of AAV construct containing Periostin (Postn) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiac myofibroblast specific expression of miR-25 TuD

- AAV construct containing Enh-Postn promoter has been successfully generated.
- All confirmed DNA sequencing data are shown in Figure 1.

Subtask 3: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module with TnT promoter in cardiomyocyte specificity.

- AAV viral vectors for 40 mice were successfully produced by Jan, 2020, which are shown in Figure 2.
- Method

AAV9 Enh-TnT and Enh-Postn TuD production

Self-complementary AAV (serotype 9) constructs were generated using the pds-AAV2-EGFP vector and the optimal TuD sequence against human miRNA-25, which was kindly donated by Dr. Brian Brown, an associate professor of Genetics in the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. The specificity of the miR-25 TuD was determined through a pMirTarget vector containing SERCA2a 3'-UTR under luciferase. The eGFP sequence was removed from the AAV construct due to viral packaging constraints. The recombinant AAV was produced by transfecting 293 T cells as described previously ³⁴. The AAV particles in the cell culture media were collected by precipitation with ammonium sulfate and purified by ultracentrifugation on an iodixanol gradient. The particles were then concentrated by exchanging iodixanol for Lactate Ringer's solution by multiple dilution and concentration steps using a centrifugal concentrator. The AAV titer was determined by quantitative realtime PCR and SDS-PAGE. AAV9-GFP was used as control.

Result-1

- 1. AAV viral vector with Troponin T promoter shows better yield than Periostin promoter in terms of viral production presumably due to higher promoter activity (Figure 2, upper panel)
- 2. Alkaline gel staining results show that the purified AAV vectors do not show any genomic degradataion (Firgure 2, middle panel)
- 3. EM picture (Figure 2, lower panel) reveals that more than 70% viral particle are fully packed with DNA, which indicates that the purified viral vectors are functional.



Coomassie blue staining

- 1. AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR TuD (2ul)
- 2. AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR TuD(4ul)
- 3. AAV9 Enh-Postn-miR TuD (2ul)
- 4. AAV9 Enh-Postn-miR TuD (4ul)

Alkaline gel staining

1. AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR TuD (10ul)

- 2. AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR TuD(2ul)
- 3. AAV9 Enh-Postn-miR TuD (10ul)
- 4. AAV9 Enh-Postn-miR TuD (2ul)

EM picture

AAV9 Enh-TnT-miR TuD

Figure 2. AAV9 Enh-TnT/ Enh-Postn-miR-25 TuD production and quality control.

Upper panel: Coomassie blue staining result for AAV9 Enh-TnT / Enh-Postn miR-25 TuD. Middle panel: Alkaline gel staining result for AAV9 Enh-TnT / Enh-Postn miR-25 TuD. Lower panel: Electron Microscopy picture with negative staining for AAV9 Enh-TnT miR-25 TuD.

Result-2

 AAV9 vectors with four different promoters (1e5 vg / cell) were transfected into the primary adult isolated cardiomyocytes (CMs). 5 days later, each transfected CM was harvested and miR-25 expression levels were measured. As shown in Figure 3, CMV promoter shows the most efficient inhibition of miR-25 expression and U6 and Enh-TnT promoters show comparable activity, which inhibit 50% reduction in miR-25 expression. On the other hand, Enh-Postn promoter didn't show any inhibitory effect on miR-25 expression in CMs, which indicates that Postn promoter doesn't have transduction activity on CMs.



Figure 3. miR-25 mRNA expression after gene transfer using various promoters-derived AAV vectors.

Subtask 4: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module (Enhancer) with Periostin (Postn) promoter in myofibroblasts specificity.

• Experiments and analysis were completed on Aug, 2020. **Method**

Isolation of primary cardiaomyocytes (CMs) and cardiac fibroblasts (CFBs)

To isolate in tissue primary cardiac cells from the heart tissue, Langendorff-based isolation was applied as utilizing previous protocol ³³. In brief, after heparin (50 U) was injected, animals were anaesthetized with intra-peritoneal ketamine (100mg/g). The heart was quickly removed from the chest and the aorta was retrogradely perfused at 37 °C for 3 min with calcium-free Tyrode buffer (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 10 mM 2, 3-butanedione monoxime, and 5 mM taurine) gassed with 100% O2. The enzymatic digestion was initiated by adding collagenase type B (300 U/ml; Worthington) and hyaluronidase (0.1 mg/ml; Worthington) to the perfusion solution. When the heart became swollen after 10 min of digestion, the left ventricle was quickly removed, cut into several chunks, and further digested in a shaker (60-70 rpm) for 10 min at 37°C in the same enzyme solution. The cell suspension was filtered through a cell strainer (100 μ m pore size; BD Falcon) and gently centrifuged at 500 rpm for 1 min. This procedure usually yielded \geq 80% viable rod-shaped ventricular myocytes with clear sarcomere striations. The isolated cardiomyocytes were plated onto a laminin-coated plate and cultured in modified Eagle's Medium (MEM) with Hanks' Balanced Salt solution, supplemented with 2 mM of L-carnitine, 5 mM of creatine and 5 mM of taurine, and 100 IU/mI of penicillin.

Results

- 1. AAV9 Enh-Postn miR-25 TuD efficiently reduces miR-25 expression as comparable as CMV promoter.
- 2. AAV9 Enh-TnT miR-25 TuD failed to inhibit miR-25 expression in primary CFBs.



Figure 4. AAV9 Enh-postn miR-25 TuD exclusively down-regulates miR-25 expression in primary cardiac fibroblasts (CFBs) but not Enh-TnT.

Subtask 5: Characterize the biodistribution for miR-25 expression.

- Experiments and analysis are ongoing.
- Mice were injected with AAV9 vectors and all planned experiments will be completed by Nov, 2020.
 Methods

Quantitative real-time PCR

Transcript levels were determined by real-time PCR using a QuantiTect SYBR Green real time PCR Kit (Qiagen Ltd; Valencia CA). Total RNA was isolated from samples with Trizol reagent (Gibco BRL; Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed at 50°C for 20 min, and cDNA was amplified in 20 μ L reaction volumes using 10pmol of primers for 37 cycles: 94°C for 10 s, 57°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 5 s. 18S RNA was used as an internal control to calculate the relative abundance of the mRNAs.

Western blot analysis

Membrane and tissue homogenates were prepared as previously described (Wahlquist et al., 2014). Proteins were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels followed by transfer to PVDF membranes (Millipore, MA, USA). Detection of the proteins bands was performed according to standard lab protocols. Antibody raised against SERCA2a was produced by 21st Century Biochemicals, and were purchased from Lifespan biosciences (Seattle, USA) and antibody raised against Smad7 (B-8) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (CA, USA).

Results

Total 40 mice were injected with each AAV with different promoters (10 mice each) on Aug 13th, 2020. Mice will be sacrificed in six weeks.

Subtask 6: Comparison between ubiquitous CMV promoter with newly generated tissue specific promoters.

- Experiments and analysis are ongoing.
- In Figure 2, I have already shown the comparison result using primary isolated cardiomyocytes and fibroblasts in vitro but in vivo experiments have not been accomplished.
 Method

Mice are monitored daily basis and recorded.

Echocardiograpghy and Treadmill (exhaustion test) will be applied to each group of mice and analyzed. **Results**

Cardiac and skeletal functions will be evaluated six weeks after gene transfer.

3) What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

- 1) Junior Faculty Mentorship Committee Meeting was held in Feb, 2020.
- Rigor and Reproducibility and Ethical Behavior in Biomedical Research course was completed July 31st, 2020.

4) How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

HF is characterized by a debilitating decline in cardiac function, in which calcium dysregulation occurs. Of the many intracellular mechanisms that contribute to Ca²⁺ handling, the sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum ATPase 2a (SERCA2a) has been shown to be the dominant generator of Ca²⁺ re-uptake within cardiomyocytes during cardiac relaxation. Furthermore, it has previously been shown that both SERCA2a mRNA and protein expression are significantly decreased in the hearts of MDX mice. Subsequently, increased SERCA2a expression via gene transfer has been shown by our group to improve ECG performance in aged MDX mice. Recently, we have found that miR-25 is a key microRNA that regulates SERCA2a and we showed anti-miR25 treatment enhanced cardiac contractility and function through SERCA2a restoration in murine HF models. From this result, we hypothesize that inhibition of miR-25 expression may be one of promising therapeutic approach to enhance cardiac function in DMD-induced cardiomyopathy. However, the expression of cardiac miR-25 in the preclinical DMD animal models or DMD patients has not been elucidated. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of miR-25 in DMD mouse model. As a result, we found that miR-25 expression is significantly increased and SERCA2a and SMAD7 expression are down-regulated in DMD. Since we have identified Smad7 as a new target of miR-25, miR-25 TuD treatment maybe suggested as a dual-therapeutic intervention to normalize cardiac function and fibrosis, respectively in DMD patient.

5) What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

Specific Aim 2: Therapeutic evaluation of miR-25 TuD using cell type specific promoters		
Major Task 2: Development of cell type specific promoter with miR-25 TuD in an AAV9 vector	Months	Achievements
Subtask 1: Develop of AAV construct containing Troponin T (TnT) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiomyocyte specific expression of miR-25 TuD	14-15	Completed
Subtask 2: Develop of AAV construct containing Periostin (Postn) promoter with cis-elementary module (aka enhancer (Enh)) for cardiac myofibroblast specific expression of miR-25 TuD	15-17	Completed
Subtask 3: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module with TnT promoter in cardiomyocyte specificity (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	24-26	Ongoing
Subtask 4: Evaluation of cis-regulatory module with Periostin (Postn) promoter in myofibroblasts specificity (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	24-26	Ongoing
Subtask 5: Characterize the biodistribution for miR-25 expression	26-27	Ongoing

Modified SOW for No-cost extension for the rest of funding period;

(20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)		
Subtask 6: Comparison between ubiquitous CMV promoter with newly generated tissue specific promoters (20 mice per group x 2 groups = 40 mice total)	26-27	Ongoing
Milestone(s) Achieved: Characterization of effects of cell type specific promoter with enhancer in terms of miR-25 expression and cardiac function	30	

Due to the COVID-19 outbreak in New York, which is my primary performance site, many critical experiments for my award have been heavily restricted so that my entire research has been delayed. Therefore, I requested a No-cost extension on June 9th, 2020 and obtained an approval letter on June 22nd. The new project end date is February 14th, 2021.

4. IMPACT:

- 1) What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
- Normalization of miRNA levels as a therapeutic approach for DMD-induced cardiomyopathy. Cardiac gene therapy is emerging as a novel strategy to treat inherited and acquired cardiomyopathies. miRNAs are interesting targets for gene transfer because individual miRNA regulates collections of mRNA expression that often have related functions, thereby governing complex biological processes. Therefore, deregulation of one particular miRNA is sometimes enough to cause a specific cardiac disease, while small effects of miRNA can be amplified and generate striking alterations. However, there are many hurdles to overcome before miRNA gene transfer can be used for treating cardiac diseases clinically. Challenges include, but are not limited to, cardiac targeting, optimal dosing, appropriate vector, and choice of targeting the right miRNAs. Currently, there is no report of viral vector mediated miRNA gene transfer for treating DMD-induced cardiomyopathy in large animals or clinical studies. We have developed a cardiac specific promoter consisting of a TnT promoter with a calsequestrin enhancer that restricts expression to the cardiac cells while de-targeting the liver and lungs. Our group has extensive experience in using AAV vectors for treating HF, with successful results also in large animal studies. Taking advantage of our expertise, we aim to establish a viral-mediated decoy down regulation of miR-25 approach in a DMD-induced cardiomyopathy model.

2) What was the impact on other disciplines?

Application for government sponsored research grant

We have demonstrated that small non-coding microRNA can be a therapeutic target to treat DMDinduced cardiomyopathy from this research. Therefore, a reasonable next step is to apply a government sponsored grant to continue further validation of our finding in the setting of large animal model for translational research.

3) What was the impact on technology transfer? Nothing to report

4) What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

 Heart disease profoundly affects the quality of life of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) patients. Over a couple of decades, many approaches have been made clinically to treat DMD but no cure has been reported yet. Therefore, novel therapeutic approach for DMD is highly demanding. miR-25 has been known a major regulator for SERCA2a, a critical calcium regulator in cardiac function. Furthermore, we have observed that this particular miRNA is upregulated in DMD-induced cardiomyopathy models. Therefore, it is critical to understand the underlying mechanism of miR-25 for the treatment of DMD patient with cardiac abnormality.

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

I have requested the No-cost extension (NCE) for this particular grant due to COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, the NCE application was approved on June 22nd, 2020. Therefore, the new project end date was changed to Feb 14th, 2021

6. PRODUCTS:

- 1) Publications, conference papers, and presentations;
- Published articles
- a. Oh JG, Lee P, Gordon RE, Sahoo S, Kho C, **Jeong D****. Analysis of extracellular vesicle miRNA profiles in heart failure. *J Cell Mol Med. 2020 Jun 2;24(13):7214-7227. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15251.*
- b. Lee MA, Raad N, Song MH, Yoo J, Lee M, Jang SP, Kwak TH, Kook H, Choi E, Cha T, Hajjar RJ, Jeong D**, Park WJ**. The matricellular protein CCN5 prevents adverse atrial structural and electrical remodeling. J Cell Mol Med. 2020 July 30 (in press)

• Pending articles

a. Sacha V. Kepreotis, MBBS*; Min Ho Song, BS*; Jimeen Yoo, MS; Jae Gyun Oh, PhD; Changwon Kho, PhD; Brian Brown, PhD; Agustin Rojas-Muñoz, PhD; Christine Wahlquist, PhD; Mark Mercola, PhD; Fadi Akar, PhD; Dongtak Jeong, PhD**. AAV9 miR-25 tough decoy transfer improves cardiac function in aged MDX/UTRN KO mice. *Under revision*

• Presentations

- MDA conference 2020, Orlando, FL (On-line)
 Title: miR-25 Tough Decoy enhances cardiac contractility and ameliorates cardiac fibrosis in aged MDX/UTRN KO Mice.
- 2) licenses applied for and/or issued; Nothing to Report
- **3) degrees obtained that are supported by this training grant;** Nothing to Report
- 4) development of cell lines, tissue or serum repositories; Nothing to Report
- 5) informatics such as databases and animal models, etc.; Nothing to Report
- 6) funding applied for based on work supported by this training grant;
 - I. NIH/NHLBI 04/01/2021 03/31/2025 Title: The therapeutic potential of CCN5 in myocardial fibrosis associated with heart failure Role: Principal Investigator
 - II. NIH/NHLBI 04/01/2020 03/31/2025 Title: Targeting RV fibrosis in chronic pulmonary hypertension Role: Co-Investigator
 - III. Department of Defense-Development Award (DA) 03/01/2021- 2/28/2023 Title: Inhibition of miR-25 attenuates pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with congenital heart defects Role: Principal Investigator

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project? Nothing to Report

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period? Nothing to Report

What other organizations were involved as partners? Nothing to Report

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1) COLLABORATIVE AWARDS: Nothing to Report

2) AWARD CHARTS: Attached in Appendix section

9. REFERENCES

- 1 Cox, G. F. & Kunkel, L. M. Dystrophies and heart disease. *Curr Opin Cardiol* **12**, 329-343 (1997).
- 2 Duan, D. Challenges and opportunities in dystrophin-deficient cardiomyopathy gene therapy. *Hum Mol Genet* **15 Spec No 2**, R253-261, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddl180 (2006).
- Bostick, B., Yue, Y., Long, C. & Duan, D. Prevention of dystrophin-deficient cardiomyopathy in twenty-one-month-old carrier mice by mosaic dystrophin expression or complementary dystrophin/utrophin expression. *Circ Res* **102**, 121-130, doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.162982 (2008).
- 4 Inkley, S. R., Oldenburg, F. C. & Vignos, P. J., Jr. Pulmonary function in Duchenne muscular dystrophy related to stage of disease. *Am J Med* **56**, 297-306 (1974).
- 5 Ishizaki, M. *et al.* Mdx respiratory impairment following fibrosis of the diaphragm. *Neuromuscul Disord* **18**, 342-348, doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2008.02.002 (2008).
- 6 Mukoyama, M., Hizawa, K., Kagawa, N. & Takahashi, K. [The life spans, cause of death and pathological findings of Fukuyama type congenital muscular dystrophy--analysis of 24 autopsy cases]. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku* **33**, 1154-1156 (1993).
- 7 Wehling-Henricks, M. *et al.* Arginine metabolism by macrophages promotes cardiac and muscle fibrosis in mdx muscular dystrophy. *PLoS One* **5**, e10763, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010763 (2010).
- 8 Baxter, P. Treatment of the heart in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Dev Med Child Neurol* **48**, 163, doi:10.1017/S0012162206000351 (2006).
- 9 Finsterer, J. & Stollberger, C. The heart in human dystrophinopathies. *Cardiology* **99**, 1-19, doi:68446 (2003).
- 10 Miyoshi, K. Echocardiographic evaluation of fibrous replacement in the myocardium of patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Br Heart J* 66, 452-455 (1991).
- 11 Kubo, M., Matsuoka, S., Taguchi, Y., Akita, H. & Kuroda, Y. Clinical significance of late potential in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Pediatr Cardiol* **14**, 214-219, doi:10.1007/BF00795373 (1993).
- 12 Yanagisawa, A. *et al.* The prevalence and prognostic significance of arrhythmias in Duchenne type muscular dystrophy. *Am Heart J* **124**, 1244-1250 (1992).
- 13 Alloatti, G., Gallo, M. P., Penna, C. & Levi, R. C. Properties of cardiac cells from dystrophic mouse. J Mol Cell Cardiol 27, 1775-1779 (1995).
- 14 Dunn, J. F. & Radda, G. K. Total ion content of skeletal and cardiac muscle in the mdx mouse dystrophy: Ca2+ is elevated at all ages. *J Neurol Sci* **103**, 226-231 (1991).
- 15 Fauconnier, J. *et al.* Leaky RyR2 trigger ventricular arrhythmias in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 1559-1564, doi:10.1073/pnas.0908540107 (2010).
- 16 Goonasekera, S. A. *et al.* Mitigation of muscular dystrophy in mice by SERCA overexpression in skeletal muscle. *J Clin Invest* **121**, 1044-1052, doi:10.1172/JCI43844 (2011).
- 17 Williams, I. A. & Allen, D. G. Intracellular calcium handling in ventricular myocytes from mdx mice. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* **292**, H846-855, doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00688.2006 (2007).
- 18 Sarma, S. *et al.* Genetic inhibition of PKA phosphorylation of RyR2 prevents dystrophic cardiomyopathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 13165-13170, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004509107 (2010).
- 19 Kawase, Y. & Hajjar, R. J. The cardiac sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase: a potent target for cardiovascular diseases. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* **5**, 554-565, doi:10.1038/ncpcardio1301 (2008).
- 20 Lipskaia, L., Chemaly, E. R., Hadri, L., Lompre, A. M. & Hajjar, R. J. Sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca(2+) ATPase as a therapeutic target for heart failure. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* **10**, 29-41, doi:10.1517/14712590903321462 (2010).
- 21 Shin, J. H., Bostick, B., Yue, Y. P., Hajjar, R. & Duan, D. S. SERCA2a gene transfer improves electrocardiographic performance in aged mdx mice. *J Transl Med* **9**, doi:Artn 13210.1186/1479-5876-9-132 (2011).

- 22 Brown, B. D. & Naldini, L. Exploiting and antagonizing microRNA regulation for therapeutic and experimental applications. *Nat Rev Genet* **10**, 578-585, doi:10.1038/nrg2628 (2009).
- 23 Condorelli, G., Latronico, M. V. & Cavarretta, E. microRNAs in cardiovascular diseases: current knowledge and the road ahead. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **63**, 2177-2187, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.01.050 (2014).
- 24 Melman, Y. F., Shah, R. & Das, S. MicroRNAs in heart failure: is the picture becoming less miRky? *Circ Heart Fail* 7, 203-214, doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.113.000266 (2014).
- 25 Mullokandov, G. *et al.* High-throughput assessment of microRNA activity and function using microRNA sensor and decoy libraries. *Nat Methods* **9**, 840-846, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2078 (2012).
- 26 Wahlquist, C. *et al.* Inhibition of miR-25 improves cardiac contractility in the failing heart. *Nature* **508**, 531-535, doi:10.1038/nature13073 (2014).
- 27 Bish, L. T. *et al.* Adeno-associated virus (AAV) serotype 9 provides global cardiac gene transfer superior to AAV1, AAV6, AAV7, and AAV8 in the mouse and rat. *Hum Gene Ther* **19**, 1359-1368, doi:10.1089/hum.2008.123 (2008).
- Fechner, H. *et al.* Expression of coxsackie adenovirus receptor and alphav-integrin does not correlate with adenovector targeting in vivo indicating anatomical vector barriers. *Gene Ther* **6**, 1520-1535, doi:10.1038/sj.gt.3301030 (1999).
- Hiltunen, M. O. *et al.* Biodistribution of adenoviral vector to nontarget tissues after local in vivo gene transfer to arterial wall using intravascular and periadventitial gene delivery methods. *FASEB J* 14, 2230-2236, doi:10.1096/fj.00-0145com (2000).
- 30 Rincon, M. Y. *et al.* Genome-wide computational analysis reveals cardiomyocyte-specific transcriptional Cis-regulatory motifs that enable efficient cardiac gene therapy. *Mol Ther* **23**, 43-52, doi:10.1038/mt.2014.178 (2015).
- 31 Kanisicak, O. *et al.* Genetic lineage tracing defines myofibroblast origin and function in the injured heart. *Nat Commun* **7**, 12260, doi:10.1038/ncomms12260 (2016).
- 32 Iannello, R. C., Mar, J. H. & Ordahl, C. P. Characterization of a promoter element required for transcription in myocardial cells. *J Biol Chem* **266**, 3309-3316 (1991).
- 33 Oh, J.G. *et al.* PICOT increases cardiac contractility by inhibiting PKCzeta activity. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 53, 53-63 (2012).
- 34 Zolotukhin, S. *et al.*, Byrne, B.J., Mason, E., Zolotukhin, I., Potter, M., Chesnut, K., Summerford, C., Samulski, R.J., and Muzyczka, N.. Recombinant adeno-associated virus purification using novel methods improves infectious titer and yield. *Gene therapy* 6, 973-985 (1999).

10. APPENDICES:

1) Modified contract notice with No-cost extension (NCE)

Impact:

This FY17 DMDRP Focus Area is cardiac studies, proposing to apply potential therapeutic interventions for DMD caused cardiac dysfunction and fibrosis. Ultimately, this research will help older DMD patients, a growing subpopulation due to improved respiratory care, who is suffering from cardiac dysfunction and fibrosis that affect patient's quality of life. Clinically, there is potential for gene therapy by inhibiting a miR-25 using tissue specific viral vectors and transcription factors to improve cardiac regulation. Furthermore, by using a single microRNA to improve both cardiac contractility and decrease potential fibrosis, symptoms due to cardiac issues will be decreased.

ii. Funding Overview

		Federal funds	Cost Sharing	Total amount
a.	Obligated or deobligated this action	<mark>\$0</mark>	N/A	<mark>\$0</mark>
b.	Cumulative obligations to date, including this and previous actions	\$464,180.00	N/A	\$464,180.00
c.	Planned project costs in the currently approved budget through the end of the period of performance, to include any future incremental funding obligations	\$464,180.00	N/A	\$464,180.00
d.	Total value, which includes any unexercised options for which amounts were established in the award	\$464,180.00	N/A	\$464,180.00

6. Obligation/Effective Date: See SF-30, Block 16c.

- Period of performance: 15 August 2018 14 February 2021
- 8. Authorities: This award is made under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2358.
- 9. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420-Military Medical Research and Development
- 10. Project Performance Information:
 - This award is for research and development. Construction activities under this award are not authorized. (Reference Department of the Army Pamphlet 420-11, dated 18 March 2010, for the definition of construction activities.)
 - ii. Statement of Work and Budget: The revised Statement of Work (SOW) dated 20 June 2018 and the revised budget dated 20 June 2018 for your application submitted in response to the Fiscal Year 2017 DoD Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Research Program, Career Development Award, Program Announcement (Funding Opportunity Announcement Number W81XWH-17-DMDRP-CDA, which closed 10/18/2017) are incorporated herein by reference. You may rebudget allowable costs in accordance with applicable cost principles and in accordance with the prior approval requirements as stated in this award. Additional terms and conditions applicable to this award are in Division III and Division III.
 - iii. The following terms and conditions are incorporated herein by reference:
 - Division III USAMRAA Addendum to the DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions available at <u>http://www.usamraa.army.mil/Pages/Resources.aspx.</u>
 - b. The DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions (September 2017), available at <u>http://www.onr.navy.mil/Contracts-Grants/submit-proposal/grants-proposal/grants-termsconditions.aspx</u>.
 - iv. These USAMRAA Award Specific Research Terms and Conditions are in addition to the terms and conditions incorporated above. Any inconsistencies in the requirements of this award will be resolved in the following order:
 - a. Federal statutes
 - b. Federal regulations

2) Award chart

W81XWH-18-1-0322 (Annual Phase II): Targeting Cardiac Calcium Regulation and Fibrosis in DMD Models

PI: Dongtak Jeong, PhD, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, NY Budget: \$ 464,180.00 Topic Area: DMDRP Mechanism: FY17-DMDRP-CDA

Research Area(s): 0810 (Gene therapy)

Award Status: 15 August 2018 - 14 February 2021

Study Goals: Since miR-25 has been found to affect both cardiac function and fibrosis, it is hypothesized that

therapeutic application of AAV9 miR-25 Tough Decoy (TuD) will rescue the cardiac dysfunction and fibrosis phenotypes of DMD.

Specific Aims:

Aim 1: Therapeutic evaluation of miR-25 TuD on cardiac function and fibrosis. Aim 2: Therapeutic evaluation of miR-25 TuD using cell type specific promoters.

Key Accomplishments and Outcomes:

Publications:

Published articles

- a. Oh JG, Lee P, Gordon RE, Sahoo S, Kho C, Jeong D**. Analysis of extracellular vesicle miRNA profiles in heart failure. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2020 Jun 2;24(13):7214-7227. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15251.
- b. Lee MA, Raad N, Song MH, Yoo J, Lee M, Jang SP, Kwak TH, Kook H, Choi E, Cha T, Hajjar RJ, **Jeong D****, Park WJ**. The matricellular protein CCN5 prevents adverse atrial structural and electrical

remodeling. J Cell Mol Med. 2020 July 30 (in press)

Pending articles

 Sacha V. Kepreotis, MBBS*; Min Ho Song, BS*; Jimeen Yoo, MS; Jae Gyun Oh, PhD; Changwon Kho, PhD; Brian Brown, PhD; Agustin Rojas-Muñoz, PhD; Christine Wahlquist, PhD; Mark Mercola, PhD; Fadi Akar, PhD; Dongtak Jeong, PhD**. AAV9 miR-25 tough decoy transfer improves cardiac function in aged MDX/UTRN KO mice. *Under revision*

Patents: none to date

- Funding Obtained: pending
- NIH/NHLBI 04/01/2021 03/31/2025
- Title: The therapeutic potential of CCN5 in myocardial fibrosis associated with heart failure,
 Role: Principal Investigator

 NIH/NHLBI
 04/01/2020 03/31/2025
- Title: Targeting RV fibrosis in chronic pulmonary hypertension, Role: Co-Investigator
- Department of Defense-Development Award (DA) 03/01/2021- 2/28/2023
 Title: Inhibition of miR-25 attenuates pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with congenital heart defects Role: Principal Investigator

3) Original copy for the publications

 Oh JG, Lee P, Gordon RE, Sahoo S, Kho C, Jeong D**. Analysis of extracellular vesicle miRNA profiles in heart failure. J Cell Mol Med. 2020 Jun 2;24(13):7214-7227. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15251.

 Received: 4 December 2019
 Revised: 25 February 2020
 Accepted: 13 March 2020

 DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.15251

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Analysis of extracellular vesicle miRNA profiles in heart failure

Jae Gyun Oh¹ | Philyoung Lee¹ | Ronald E. Gordon² | Susmita Sahoo¹ | Changwon Kho^{1,3} | Dongtak Jeong¹

¹Cardiovascular Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

²Pathology Department, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ³Division of Applied Medicine, School of Korean Medicine, Pusan National University, Republic of Korea

Correspondence Dongtak Jeong, PhD, Cardiovascular Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (ISMMS), 1 Gustave L. Levy place, New York, NY, USA. Email: dongtak.jeong@mssm.edu

Funding information Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Grant/Award Number: W81XWH-18-1-0322 and W81XWH-19-1-0382; American Heart Association, Grant/Award Number: 18TPA34170460

Abstract

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) have recently emerged as an important carrier for various genetic materials including microRNAs (miRs). Growing evidences suggested that several miRs transported by EVs were particularly involved in modulating cardiac function. However, it has remained unclear what miRs are enriched in EVs and play an important role in the pathological condition. Therefore, we established the miR expression profiles in EVs from murine normal and failing hearts and consecutively identified substantially altered miRs. In addition, we have performed bioinformatics approach to predict potential cardiac outcomes through the identification of miR targets. Conclusively, we observed approximately 63% of predicted targets were validated with previous reports. Notably, the predicted targets by this approach were often involved in both beneficial and malicious signalling pathways, which may reflect heterogeneous cellular origins of EVs in tissues. Lastly, there has been an active debate on U6 whether it is a proper control. Through further analysis of EV miR profiles, miR-676 was identified as a superior reference control due to its consistent and abundant expressions. In summary, our results contribute to identifying specific EV miRs for the potential therapeutic targets in heart failure and suggest that miR-676 as a new reference control for the EV miR studies.

KEYWORDS

bioinformatics, extracellular vesicle, heart failure, microRNA, microRNA array, microRNA control, miR-676, U6

1 | INTRODUCTION

A heart is a highly complex structure composed of multiple types of cellular and acellular tissues.^{1,2} Of cardiac components, cardiomyocyte is a major contributor to cardiac composition and output.¹ Non-myocytes such as fibroblasts, leucocytes and endothelial cells serve as a spatial buffer and more importantly modulate cardiomyocyte functions in response to physiological and pathological stimuli. Thus, cardiomyocytes and non-myocytes closely communicate to maintain cardiac homeostasis, and the imbalance in this communication causes cardiac diseases.⁶ Metabolites such as nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species and signalling molecules including extracellular matrix proteins, cytokines and growth factors are known to be classic mediators of intercellular communication.^{6,4}

Recently, extracellular vesicles (EVs) emerged as a mechanism underlying this intercellular communication. Although EVs had incipiently been considered as a means of cellular waste disposal, accumulating data recently indicated that genetic cargos such as mRNAs,

[°]Oh and Lee contributed equally to this manuscript.

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24:7214-7227.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

microRNAs (miRs) and proteins are transported by EVs. Therefore, EVs are being re-evaluated as a critical modifier of cellular functions.⁵ During last decade, tremendous efforts have been placed to understand the mechanism and the function of EVs in diverse fields of studies including cardiovascular researches. For example, EVs derived from stem cells or cardiac progenitor cells were generally beneficial, 4-9 whereas EVs from fibroblasts or endothelial cells exhibited deleterious effects on cardiac functions.10-12 In these previous studies, the origin of EVs was confined to in vitro cultured cells or blood plasma, but the role of EVs in cardiac tissues has been poorly investigated. Therefore, it is important to characterize in tissue EVs under pathological conditions. Recently, Loyer X. et al characterized the functions of EVs isolated from mouse hearts subjected to myocardial infarction.15 In this study, we further analysed the expression profiles and functions of miRNAs in EVs isolated from pressure overload-induced failing hearts compared to normal hearts.

Apart from the characterization study, we also sought to identify an alternative internal reference for miR studies. In general, Uó is the most commonly used control for the normalization of miR expression. However, it is yet controversial whether Uó is a suitable control because variable expression patterns of Uó were observed in a number of studies.^{14–19} Analysing the abundancy and stability of miR expression, Uó was confirmed as an appropriate control being consistently expressed throughout our samples. In addition, we identified miR-676 as an alternative candidate as a control and observed that it exhibited a significant correlation with Uó. Taken together, although a further validation is necessary in other tissues or organs, miR-676 may serve as an alternative control for the EV miR studies in the heart.

In summary, this study analysed miR profiles in the cardiac EVs isolated from murine normal and failing hearts. Our results demonstrated that the cardiac EVs contain diverse miRs with contradictory functions. The results may reflect various cellular origins of EVs and dynamic alternations of miR expressions in these EVs during heart failure. Nevertheless, our study may contribute to understand intercellular communication between cardiac cells under the pathological condition and suggests EV miRs as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for the intervention of heart failure.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Animal care and TAC

All procedures were approved by and performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Mount Sinai School of Medicine. The investigation conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Studies were conducted in male C57BL/6 mice aged 8 – 10 weeks (weight, 25 – 30 g) obtained from Jackson Laboratories. Transverse aortic constriction (TAC) was conducted as previously described with minor modifications.¹⁰ Briefly, mice were anesthetized with a solution mixture of 95 mg/kg ketamine and 5 mg/kg xylazine administered via intraperitoneal injection. The transverse aortic arch was ligated between the innominate and left common carotid arteries with an overlaid 27-gauge needle. The needle was then immediately removed, leaving a discrete region of constriction. Two month after TAC operation, the mice showed less than 40% of fractional shortening in average which is typically considered as failing heart and included in this study (Figure S3). Sham-operated mice underwent the same surgical procedures, except that the ligature was not tied.

2.2 | EV isolation and purification

To isolate in tissue cardiac EVs from the heart tissue, Langendorffbased isolation was applied as utilizing previous protocol to isolate in tissue EV from liver.²⁰ Hearts were extracted from sham or TACoperated animals, and the aorta was retrogradely perfused at 37°C for 3 minutes with Tyrode buffer (137 mmol/L NaCl, 5.4 mmol/L KCI, 1 mmol/L MgCI,, 10 mmol/L glucose, 10 mmol/L HEPES [pH 7.4], 10 mmol/L 2, 3-butanedione monoxime, and 5 mmol/L taurine) gassed with 100% O_n. The enzymatic digestion was initiated by the addition of collagenase type B (300 U/mL: Worthington) and hyaluronidase (0.1 mg/mL; Worthington) to the perfusion solution. After 20 minutes of digestion, the heart tissue was removed, cut into several chunks and gently pipetted for 2 minutes in Tyrode buffer with 5% BSA. The mixtures of cell, extracellular matrix and EVs were then separated by several centrifugations according to a previously described protocol.²¹ EVs were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 100 000 × g at 4°C for 90 minutes and resuspended in 200 µL of PBS solution

2.3 | Transmission Electron microscopy for EVs with negative staining

EVs from fresh ventricles were collected as described above and pre-fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 minutes. Deposit 5 μ L resuspended pellets on Formvar-carbon-coated EM grid. Add 10 μ L of 2% uranyl acetate on the grid and incubate for another 10 minutes. After thorough washes, store grid in the grid box at room temperature and dark until imaging. Samples were viewed under a transmission electron microscope (HITACHI H-7650, Japan) operated at 80 kV. Images were taken at 10K, 20K and 30K-folds magnification.

2.4 | Western blot of EV markers and Coomassie blue stain

50 μ L of EV samples from Sham and TAC EV was directly mixed with 5x SDS sample buffer, boiled at 95°C for 3 minutes and then separated on a SDS-PAGE gel. The total loaded protein amount was calculated by the intensity of Coomassie blue stain. The membrane

7216 VILEY

was blocked in 5% skim milk solution and incubated overnight with an antibody directed against hnRNA A2B1 (Ab6102, Abcam, 1:5000), CD63 (Ab1318, Abcam, 1:1000), Flotillin 1 (Ab133497, Abcam, 1:10 000), TSG101 (Ab83, Abcam, 1:1000), H3 (Ab1791, Abcam, 1:1000) and SERCA2a (custom antibody from 21st Century Biochemicals, 1:3000). The membrane was then incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma) and developed with Western Lighting chemiluminescence reagent (PerkinElmer).

2.5 | Nanoparticle tracking analysis

Samples were loaded into the assembled sample chamber of a NanoSight LM10. 60-second video images were acquired by a Hamamatsu C11440 ORCA-Flash 2.8 digital camera and analysed by NanoSight NTA 2.3 software.

2.6 Extracellular vesicle microRNA isolation and microRNA array profiling

100 µL of EV samples from Sham and TAC EV was used to extract RNA for microRNA array profiling and validation using qRT-PCR. Total EV RNA was isolated with mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion). The RNA samples were sent, and the microarray procedure was carried out at Exigon Vedbaek, Denmark, as followed the previous description.²² Briefly, the samples were labelled using the miRCURY LNA™ microRNA Hi-Power Labeling Kit, Hy3™/Hy5™ and hybridized on the miRCURY LNA[™] microRNA Array (7th Gen).

2.7 | gRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated with mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion). Reverse transcription was performed using qScript microRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta). PCR was performed using an ABI PRISM Sequence Detector System 7500 (Applied Biosystems) with SYBR Green (Quanta) as the fluorescent dye and ROX (Quanta) as the passive reference dye. The relative amount of each gene to U6 snRNA was used to quantify cellular RNA. The primers used for qRT-PCR were as follows:

miR-92b (forward): 5'-TAT TGC ACT CGT CCC GGC CTC C-3' miR-139 (forward): 5'-TCT ACA GTG CAC GTG TCT CCA G-3' miR-328 (forward): 5'-CTG GCC CTC TCT GCC CTT CCG T-3' miR-331 (forward): 5'-CTA GGT ATG GTC CCA GGG ATC C-3' miR-345 (forward): 5'-GCT GAC CCC TAG TCC AGT GCT T-3' miR-378a (forward): 5'-ACT GGA CTT GGA GTC AGA AGG-3' miR-490 (forward): 5'-CCA TGG ATC TCC AGG TGG GT-3' miR-655 (forward): 5'-ACC AGG AGG CTG AGG TCC CT-3' miR-767 (forward): 5'-TGC ACC ATG GTT GTC TGA GCA-3' miR-874 (forward): 5'-CTG CCC TGG CCC GAG GGA CCG A-3' miR-99b (forward): 5'-CAC CCG TAG AAC CGA CCT TGC G-3'

miR-124 (forward): 5'-TAA GGC ACG CGG TGA ATG CC-3' miR-184 (forward): 5'-TGG ACG GAG AAC TGA TAA GGG T-3' miR-200b (forward): 5'-TAA TAC TGC CTG GTA ATG ATG A-3' miR-302a (forward): 5'-TAA GTG CTT CCA TGT TTT GGT GA-3' miR-411 (forward): 5'-TAG TAG ACC GTA TAG CGT ACG-3' miR-455 (forward): 5'-GCA GTC CAC GGG CAT ATA CAC-3' miR-676 (forward): 5'-CCG TCC TGA GGT TGT TGA GCT-3' miR-300 (forward): 5'-TAT GCA AGG GCA AGC TCT CTT C-3' miR-204 (forward): 5'-TTC CCT TTG TCA TCC TAT GCC T-3' miR-453 (forward): 5'-AGG TTG CCT CAT AGT GAG CTT GCA-3' miR-3085 (forward): 5'-TCT GGC TGC TAT GGC CCC CTC-3' miR-146a (forward): 5'-TGA GAA CTG AAT TCC ATG GGT T-3' Reverse primer: PerfeCTa® Universal PCR Primer (Quanta) U6 (forward): 5'-CTC GCT TCG GCA GCA CA-3' U6 (reverse): 5'-AAC GCT TCA CGA ATT TGC GT-3'

OH ET AL.

2.8 | Bioinformatics analysis

The global UP and DOWN cardiac microRNA target genes were generated using miTarBase (Http://mitarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw). Bioinformatics analyses (Gene Ontology) were performed using the UniProt database and Human Protein Reference Database.23 Protein-protein interactions analyses by STRING 11.0 database with medium confidence STRING annotated interactions (STRING score > 0.4) for the bait proteins.24 Cytoscape software (Ver 3.6.1; http://www.cytoscape.org/) was used to visualize the network.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Where appropriate, the data are expressed as means ± s.e.m. Comparisons of the group means were made by using Student's t test or one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni post-test analysis. Correlation test was performed with the Pearson's correlation coefficient measure. A P-value of <.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 Cardiac EVs from normal and failing hearts have distinctive physical and molecular signatures

Pressure overload-induced heart failure was generated in murine hearts by applying transverse aortic constriction (TAC). The cardiac EVs were isolated from normal (Sham EVs) and failing (TAC EVs) hearts using the Langendorff-based isolation followed by purification through multiple rounds of ultracentrifugations (Figure 1A). The isolated EVs were negatively stained and visualized by electron microscopy with 30 000-fold magnification. Morphological analysis revealed that EVs showed a typical cup-shaped structure with a diameter of 70 - 160 nm and that TAC EVs tended to be larger than Sham EVs (Figure 1B). Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA)



OH ET AL.

7218 WILEY-

FIGURE 1 Characterization of in tissue cardiac EVs. A, Schematic procedure for the EV isolation. B, Representative images of electron microscopy. The bar indicates 100 nm. Arrows indicate EVs. C, Average densities and sizes of Sham and TAC EV as analysed by NTA. n = 6-7. D, Ensemble averages from several identical size distributions of Sham (n = 7) and TAC EV (n = 6). Upper: Absolute particle numbers per 1 mL, Lower: Relative concentration normalized to total nanoparticle concentrations. Arrow heads and arrows indicate the primary peak and the second or third peak, respectively. E, Representative images of Western blot and (F) quantified data. Sham EV, TAC EV and NTA indicate EVs from normal hearts, EVs from failing hearts and nanoparticle tracking analysis, respectively. *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001 versus Sham EV, as determined by Student's t test. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m



FIGURE 2 microRNA array results of tissue cardiac EVs. A, A scheme of entire procedure to identify differentially expressed microRNAs. B, Heat map and hierarchical clustering. The clustering was performed on the top 50 miRs with highest standard deviation. C, Volcano plot depicting the fold changes (X-axis) and P-value (Y-axis) in miR expression levels between Sham and TAC EV. Coloured points refer significantly up-regulated (Red), down-regulated (Blue) or unchanged (Green) microRNAs



FIGURE 3 Validation of microRNA expression profiles by qRT-PCR. The levels of the up-regulated (A) or downregulated (B) miRs were quantified by qRT-PCR. The relative levels of each microRNA were normalized to the Uó snRNA. n = 4. *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001 versus Sham EV, as determined by one-way ANOVA. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m

was performed to precisely measure the concentration and sizes of EVs. Failing hearts secrete -5 × 10° EVs per heart, whereas normal hearts release -2 × 10° EVs per heart (Figure 1C). Average size of TAC EVs was significantly larger than that of Sham EVs, which is consistent with the electron microscopic data (Figure 1D upper panel). Size distribution profiles of EVs indicated that the majority of EVs were 100 nm in size in both Sham and TAC groups. Two additional groups of Sham EVs were 150 nm and 200 nm in size. On the other hand, one group of 130 nm in size was additionally observed in TAC EVs (Figure 1D, black arrow; Sham EVs, red arrow; TAC EVs). Furthermore, Sham and TAC EVs exhibited a significant difference in their protein contents. Same volumes of EV samples (50 µL, a quarter of the total EVs from a heart) were loaded in a SDS-PAGE gel and quantified by Coomassie blue staining. In line with NTA results, 50% more proteins were detected in TAC EVs (Figure 1E and F). As shown in Figure 1F, several EV markers were analysed by Western

bolt analysis. The Flotillin level was not altered between Sham and TAC EVs, but hnRNP A2B1 expression was significantly increased in TAC EVs. On the other hand, TSG101 and CD63 expressions were substantially reduced in TAC EVs (Figure 1F). Taken together, the cardiac EVs from normal and failing hearts showed distinctive features in their physical and molecular properties.

3.2 | microRNA profiles of cardiac EVs and validation

To further characterize cardiac EVs, microRNA (miR) expression profiles were explored. Cardiac EVs were isolated from three normal and three failing hearts. All EV preparations were immediately subjected to quality control and miRNA array analyses that were conducted using the 7th generation of miRCURY[™] LNA array system (Exiqon). Of the 1,195 miRs displayed on the array, 731 miRs were eliminated due to a lack of significant signal intensity. The remaining 464 miRs were then sorted out by criteria indicated in Figure 2A (Table S1). The heat map diagram demonstrated a clear separation of miR expressions in Sham and TAC EVs (Figure 2B). Compared to Sham EVs, 107 miRs were up-regulated (23%) and 47 miRs were down-regulated (10%) in TAC EVs (Figure 2C). miRs with p-values higher than 0.05 were considered as 'not altered' (67%). The 154 miRs differentially expressed on the array were further validated for their abundancy using qRT-PCR. Consequently, we identified seven up-regulated (miR-378a, miR-665, miR-139, miR-345, miR-328, miR-767 and miR-92b) and three down-regulated (miR-99b, miR-124 and miR-411) miRs (Figure 3A and B).

3.3 | Potential target prediction for the identified miRs

To investigate the roles of the identified miRs under pathological conditions, bioinformatics analyses were performed as shown in Figure 4A (Tables 1 and 2). First, putative targets listed in miRTar-Base (http://mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw) as validated by both reporter assay and Western blot analysis were selected. Second, the selected targets were further sorted out according to their tissue distribution as reported in the proteomics database (www.prote omicsdb.org). Through these two subsequent analyses, 29 putative targets associated with the seven up-regulated miRs and 49 putative targets associated with the three down-regulated miRs were identified. Lastly, these putative targets were categorized based on their subcellular localization, biological process and protein-protein interactions (Tables 3 and 4). The analysis of subcellular localization revealed that miRs of TAC EVs appeared to target proteins predominantly located in the plasma membrane, nucleus and cytoskeleton (Figure 4B). Functional analysis suggested that target proteins for the up-regulated miRs were involved in cell cycle (19%), signal transduction (15%) and apoptosis (15%). On the other hand, intracellular targets for the down-regulated miRs were predicted to contribute to transcription/translation (22%), apoptosis (18%) and cell cycle (14%) (Figure 4 and D). The protein-protein interaction map generated by the STRING database (https://string-db.org/) is shown in Figure 5. The most frequently matched targets controlled by the up-regulated miRs in TAC EVs were NOTCH, CD44 and PTEN. Meanwhile, targets modulated by the down-regulated miRs were CDH2, GRB2 and ITGB1. Taken together, these results suggest that miRs in TAC EVs are indeed closely related to the essential biological processes.



FIGURE 4 Proteomics analysis of the putative microRNA target genes. A, Analyses were conducted based on miRNA database (miRTarBase; http://mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw) and protein database (ProteomicsDB; www.proteomicsdb.org). Target proteins were displayed by their subcellular localization (B) and Gene Ontology (GO) categories (C and D) using the STRING database (https://string-db. org/)

WILEY

	P.Value		
Name	Microarray	qRT-PCR	Targets
miR-767-5p	1.7E-05	0.002	
miR-328-3p	1.9E-05	1.4E-06	ABCG2, CD44, H2AFX, KCNH2, MMP16, PLCE1, SFRP1
miR-92b-3p	2.0E-05	0.004	CDKN1C, CEBPB, DAB2IP, DKK3, ITGA6, NLK, NOX4, PTEN, RECK, SLC15A1, SMAD3
miR-139-5p	2.2E-05	7.6E-05	ADGRL4, BCL2, CXCR4, FOXO1, IGF1R, IRS1, JUN, MCL1, MET, MMP11, NOTCH1, NR5A2, OIP5, PAFAH1B1, RAP1B, ROCK2, TPD52, WNT1
miR-665-3p	2.2E-05	2.6E-05	
miR-378a-3p	8.0E-05	1.0E-07	CDK6, GALNT7, IGF1R, MAPK1, NPNT, NRF1, PGR, RUNX1, TGFB2, VEGFA, VIM, WNT10A
miR-345-5p	1.3E-04	0.001	ABCC1, CDKN1A

TABLE 2 Down-regulated miRs in TAC EV and their targets

	P.Value		
Name	Microarray	qRT-PCR	Targets
miR-99b-5p	6.4E-06	0.041	ARID3A, IGF1R, MFGE8, MTOR, RAVER2
miR-124-3p	8.7E-04	1.1E-04	ABHD5, ADIPOR2, AHR, AKT2, AMOTL1, AR, B4GALT1, CAMTA1, CAV1, CBL, CCL2, CCNA2, CCND2, CD151, CDH2, CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, CEBPA, CLOCK, DLX5, E2F6, EFNB1, EGR1, EZH2, FLOT1, FOXA2, HMGA1, HNRNPA2B1, HOTAIR, IL6R, IQGAP1, ITGB1, JAG1, LAMC1, MAPK14, MTDH, MTPN, MYO10, NFATC1, NFKBIZ, NR3C1, NR3C2, PEA15, PIK3CA, PNPLA2, PPP1R13L, PRRX1, PTBP1, PTBP2, RAB38, RAP2A, RHOG, ROCK1, ROCK2, RRA5, SART3, SIRT1, SLC16A1, SMOX, SMYD3, SOS1, SPHK1, SSSCA1, STAT3, SYCP1, TRIB3, UHRF1, VAMP3, VIM
miR-411-5p	3.3E-05	0.048	GRB2

3.4 | Identification of an alternative control for miR expression

There is no clear consensus on suitable controls for relative miR quantification in circulating miRs, although U6 has been considered as an endogenous normalization control.14-19 In this study, we tested whether U6 is an adequate control for the miR expression. U6 expression was shown to be abundant, stable and not significantly different across all 12 EV samples (Figure 6A). Therefore, U6 expression was used to normalize the qRT-PCR data presented in Figure 3. To further support and strengthen our results, we searched out potential candidates for more reliable controls that showed minimal differences in expressions between groups yet with strong signal intensity. Initial screening led us to identify five miRs, miR-676, miR-300, miR-204, miR-453 and miR-3085. Those were thoroughly tested and validated by qRT-PCR with multiple primer sets, and finally, miR-676 was selected as a new potential candidate for a EV miR expression control. As shown in Figure 6A, miR-676 indeed exhibited the most invariable expressions throughout all samples, which is comparable to U6. (Figure

B and C). In fact, in regard to Ct values obtained by the Mann-Whitney test between Sham and TAC EVs, miR-676 expression (P = .52) was more consistent than U6 expression (P = .11). These data suggest that miR-676 can be used as an internal control for EV miRs with high fidelity.

4 | DISCUSSION

As EVs are highlighted as an important mediator of cell-to-cell communications during heart failure, it becomes essential to analyse the contents of cardiac EVs. In the present study, we isolated and characterized cardiac EVs from normal and failing mouse hearts. In the physical and molecular analyses, TAC EVs showed distinctive features in terms of density, size, protein expression patterns and miR contents as compared to those from Sham EVs (Figure 1). EVs are bound to cell surface proteins, extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules and components of the blood plasma through its surface molecules, and located in the niche of ECM or plasma.²⁹ Several studies have characterized circulating EVs or miRs during cardiac diseases, but available

TABLE 1 Up-regulated miRs in TAC EV and their targets

TABLE 3	Putative targets of the up	-regulated miRs and their lo	ocalization, biological	process and cardiac expression
	i deserve congress of the up	Tegeneree minte ener n	oconcerent, ereregieen	process and cardiac expression

Target Genes	Primary Localization	Primary Biological Process	Cardiac Expression	Expression in Failing Heart	Reference
-	Primary Eduardation	Process	Cardiac Expression	Failing Frear C	Reference
miR-92b-3p				-	
CDKN1C	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.36	Down	[53]
CEBPB	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.63	Down	[55]
DAB2IP	Plasma membrane	Angiogenesis	3.74	No study	
DKK3	Extracellular	Signal transduction	4.03	Down	[54]
ITGA6	Plasma membrane	Cell adhesion	4.35	No study	
PTEN	Plasma membrane	Apoptosis	4.43	Down	[52]
RECK	Plasma membrane	Angiogenesis	3.34	No study	
SMAD3	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.17	Up	[59]
miR-139-5p					
BCL2	Cytosol	Apoptosis	3.94	Down	[56]
IGF1R	Plasma membrane	Signal transduction	3.98	Up	[41]
MCL1	Mitochondrion	Apoptosis	3.42	Down	[57]
NOTCH1	Nucleus	Angiogenesis	2.97	Up	[60]
PAFAH1B1	Cytoskeleton	Cell cycle	5.44	No study	
RAP1B	Plasma membrane	Signal transduction	5.47	No study	
ROCK2	Plasma membrane	Apoptosis	4.38	Up	[42]
TPD52	Endoplasmic reticulum	Differentiation	4.97	No study	
miR-328-3p					
CD44	Plasma membrane	Cell adhesion	4.3	Up	[61]
H2AFX	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.6	No study	
MMP16	Plasma membrane	Proteolysis	3.08	No study	
SFRP1	Plasma membrane	Differentiation	4.88	No change	
miR-345-5p					
ABCC1	Plasma membrane	Transport	3.66	No study	
miR-378a-3p					
CDK6	Cytoskeleton	Cell cycle	5.01	No study	
GALNT7	Golgi apparatus	Metabolic process	2.89	No study	
IGF1R	Plasma membrane	Signal transduction	3.98	Up	[41]
MAPK1	Cytoskeleton	Apoptosis	5.14	Up	[35]
NPNT	Extracellular	Cell adhesion	4.05	No study	
Nrf1	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.29	Up	[62]
VIM	Cytoskeleton	Signal transduction	7.38	Up	[47]

information was highly limited since most of the studies were conducted using blood plasma.^{26,27} Recently, Loyer X. et al investigated cardiac EVs in the model of myocardial infarction.¹⁶ In their report, they minced ventricles to obtain cardiac EVs, which might damage cardiac cells and increase potential contaminants from other intracellular components. In our study, on a contrary, Langendorff-based EV isolation was employed to minimize damaging cells (Figure 1A). In addition, we have also compared our data with a previous study about the circulating miRs in a canine heart failure model.²⁷ However, only thirteen miRs were confirmed to be identical out of fifty seven miRs reported in this paper (Table S1). This weak correlation suggests distinctive miR profiles of EVs in the ECM and plasma, which is probably due to different cellular origins of EVs. Plasma EVs could be released from the other organ or tissues, but EVs used for our study is strictly from cardiac tissues. In a similar context, we found the different size distribution and protein profiles between Sham and TAC EVs (Figure 1D-F). In fact, our NTA data showed that the size of EVs in HF is larger than that of Sham. Previously, Minghua et al showed that

WILEY-

TABLE 4 Putative targets of the down-regulated miRs and their localization, biological process and cardiac expression

ARIDANucleusTranscription and translation2.08No StudyIGF18Plasma membraneImmune response2.98Up[41]MFC68CytoskletonArgiogenesis4.51Down[62]MTORNucleusCell cycle3.48up[44]MFC68CytoskletonArgiogenesis3.57No StudyABHD5CytoskletonApogenesis2.56Down[64]AKT2NucleusApogenesis2.58No Study-EdGALT1Plasma membraneDevoloment2.79No Study-CAV1Plasma membraneImmune response6.78Down[65]CBLGelgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CDH2Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CDH2NucleusCell cycle4.14UP[48]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study-CDK3NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.24No Study-FUDT1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No Study-HMGA1NucleusCell cycle5.44No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.44No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.44No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.44No Study-HIMSA1 <th>Down-regulated</th> <th>microRNAs</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Down-regulated	microRNAs				
ARID3ANucleusTranscription and translation2.08No StudyIGF1RPisma membraneImmune response3.78Up on[41]MFGRVicolausAnglogenesis4.51Down[62]mTCRNucleusCeloide2.48uz(64)mE124-3pNucleusApotosis3.87No Study1AKT2NucleusApotosis3.87No Study1AKT2NucleusApotosis3.87No Study1GAU1Pisma membraneDevelopment3.78Down[65]GELGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CDL2NucleusCell adhesion4.82CertoreerialCDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[66]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[66]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.14No Study[67]FIN1Pisma membraneDifferentiation5.44No Study[67]FIN1Pisma membraneImmune response5.44No Study[71]FIN1Pisma membraneImmune response5.44No Study[71]FIN2Pisma membraneImmune response5.44No Study[71]FIN2Pisma membraneCell cycle6.57No Study[71]FIN2Pisma membraneCell cycle6.57No Study[71]FIN2Pisma membraneCell cycle6.57 <th>Target Genes</th> <th>Primary Localization</th> <th>Primary Biological Process</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Reference</th>	Target Genes	Primary Localization	Primary Biological Process			Reference
IGF1RPisma membraneImmune response3.98Up[41]MFG8NucleusCell cycle3.41Down[63]MT08NucleusCell cycle3.41Down[64]mi134-3pStudusCell cycle3.67N.5 tudy1.61AMT01CytosolAngiogenesis3.67N.5 tudy1.61AMT11CytosolAngiogenesis2.58No.5 tudy1.61GG11Pisma membraneDevelopment3.79Up100CAV1Pisma membraneSignal transduction3.59Up163CG15Pisma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up143]CDV2Pisma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up143]CDV2NucleusCell cycle5.01No.5 tudy143]CDV2NucleusCell cycle5.01No.5 tudy143]CDV3NucleusCell cycle5.01No.5 tudy143]CDV4NucleusCell cycle5.01No.5 tudy143]FL071Pisma membraneDifferentiation4.42No.5 tudy143]FL071Pisma membraneCell cycle5.01No.5 tudy144]LMC41NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No.5 tudy144]HMGA1NucleusApoptosis4.54No.5 tudy144]HMGA1NucleusApoptosis4.54No.5 tudy144]MT01NucleusApoptosis4.54 </td <td>miR-99b-5p</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	miR-99b-5p					
MFGEBCytoskeletonAngiogenesis4.51Down1931MTORNucleusCell cycle3.48up(46)miR-124-3p10(45)AKT2NucleusApoptosis2.68Down(54)AMOT11CytosolAngiogenesis2.88No Study(57)CAV1Plasma membraneImmune response6.78Down(65)CBLGolj apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up(57)CD12Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCD12Plasma membraneCell cycle4.34UP(43)CD12NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(56)CD14NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(56)CD14NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(57)EFN81Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No Study(57)FL071Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No Study(57)FL071Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study(47)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No Study(47)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.58No Study(47)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No Study(47)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.58No Study(57)INTENCytosolApoptosis <t< td=""><td>ARID3A</td><td>Nucleus</td><td>Transcription and translation</td><td>3.08</td><td>No Study</td><td></td></t<>	ARID3A	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	3.08	No Study	
MTORNucleusCell cycle2.48up[46]mit-124-2pABHD5CytosolDifferentiation2.64Down[61]AKT2NucleusApostosis3.57N o Study-AMOTL1CytosolAngiogenesis2.58No Study-B4GALT1Plasma membraneDevelopment3.79No Study-CAV1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[50]CDL2Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.52ControversialCDK2NucleusCell cycle4.11No change[66]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study-CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study-FL0T1Plasma membraneImmure response5.44No Study-CDK4NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[41]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No Study-ITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.54No Study-IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.64No Study-ITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.64No Study-ITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.64No Study-ITGB1	IGF1R	Plasma membrane	Immune response	3.98	Up	[41]
ABHD5 Cytosol Differentiation 2.66 Down [64] AKT2 Nucleus Apoptosis 3.97 No Study B4GALT1 Plasma membrane Development 3.79 No Study CAV1 Plasma membrane Development 3.79 No Study CAV1 Plasma membrane Immune response 6.78 Down [65] CBL Golgi apparatus Signal transduction 3.59 U [50] CDIS1 Plasma membrane Cell adhesion 4.52 Controversial CDH2 Plasma membrane Cell adhesion 5.96 Up [43] CDK2 Nucleus Cell cycle 4.34 UP [48] CDK4 Nucleus Cell cycle 5.01 No Study CDK6 Nucleus Cell cycle 5.01 No Study FLOT1 Plasma membrane Differentiation 4.42 No study FLOT1 Plasma membrane Differentiation 4.42 No study FLOT1 Plasma membrane Differentiation 5.49 Down [67] HMGA1 Nucleus Transcription and translation 6.57 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Transcription and translation 6.57 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.25 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.25 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.25 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.26 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.26 No Study LAMC1 Extracellular Cell adhesion 5.49 Down [67] HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.26 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.27 No Study HMGA1 Nucleus Apoptosis 4.26 No Study MAPK14 Nucleus Transcription and translation 5.69 No Study FKISCA Cytoseleton Apoptosis 4.26 No Study FKISCA Cytoseleton Apoptosis 4.28 No Study FKISCA Cytoseleton Apoptosis 4.28 No Study FRATI Nucleus Transcription and translation 5.69 No S	MFGE8	Cytoskeleton	Angiogenesis	4.51	Down	[63]
ABHDSCytosolDifferentiation2.66Down[64]AKT2NucleusAooptosis3.87No StudyAMOTL1CytosolAndiogenesis2.88No StudyGALAT1Plasma membraneDevelopment3.79No StudyCAV1Plasma membraneCell aphesion6.78Down[65]CBLGoli apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[60]CD123Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.66Up[43]CD42NucleusCell cycle4.34UP[46]CD43NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyCD44NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyFLN1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No StudyFLN1Plasma membraneInfferentiation4.44No StudyFLN1Plasma membraneInfferentiation5.49Down[67]HMRA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HMRNPA2B1ExtracellularCell adhesion5.68No StudyIGG81Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.69No StudyHMRA1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyIGGA1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyIGGA1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyIGGA1NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study	MTOR	Nucleus	Cell cycle	3.48	up	[46]
AKT2NucleusApoptosis3.87No StudyAMOTL1CytosolAngiogenesis2.88No StudyB4GALT1Plasma membraneDevelopment3.79No StudyCAV1Plasma membraneImmune response6.78Down[65]CBLGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82CentroversialCD42Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CDK2NucleusCell cycle4.31No change[66]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyCDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyFL071Plasma membraneDifferentistion4.42No StudyFL071Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No StudyMTDHNucleusApoptosis4.97Up[41]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.96No StudyFL171Plasma membraneImmune response5.48 <td>miR-124-3p</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	miR-124-3p					
AMOTL1CytosolAngiogenesis2.88No StudyB4GALT1Plasma membraneDevelopment3.79No StudyCAV1Plasma membraneImmune response6.78Down[65]CBLGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCD42Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CD42NucleusCell cycle4.34UP[46]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[66]CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[67]EFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No study[67]HMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response5.68No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.63No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.58No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.64No Study[7]IQGAP1NucleusApoptosis4.25No Study[7]ITGB1NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[7]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[5]PR31NucleusTranscription and translation5.6No Study[5]PR421Nucleus	ABHD5	Cytosol	Differentiation	2.66	Down	[64]
B4GALT1Plasma membraneDevelopment3.79NA StudyCAV1Plasma membraneImmune response6.78Down[65]CBLGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCD42Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCD42NucleusCell cycle4.34UP[43]CDK3NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyFL071Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No StudyFL071Plasma membraneInferentiation5.49Down[67]FL071Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No Study167]HNRPA2B1KtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study167]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.58No Study141]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.56No Study141]LMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study141]MAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study141]MTPMNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study141]MTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.49No Study141]MTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.69No Study141]PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No Study	AKT2	Nucleus	Apoptosis	3.87	No Study	
CAV1Plasma membraneimmune response6.76Down(65)CBLGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up[50]CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82CentroversialCDH2Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CDK3NucleusCell cycle4.41No change[66]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyEFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No studyFLOT1Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.48No StudyIGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.56No StudyIMTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyIMTDHNucleusApoptosis5.4No StudyPIS3C1NucleusApoptosis5.4No StudyPIS3C2CytoseletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPIS4C3NucleusTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPIS3C3CytoseletonApoptosis6.43No StudyPIS4C3NucleusTrans	AMOTL1	Cytosol	Angiogenesis	2.88	No Study	
CBLGolgi apparatusSignal transduction3.59Up150CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCDH2Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.86Up(43)CDK2NucleusCell cycle4.34UP(44)CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(56)CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(57)EFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No study(57)FLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No Study(57)HINRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation5.49Down(67)IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study(44)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.25No Study(44)LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study(44)MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.97Up(44)MTPNCytoseletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study(51)MTPNCytoseletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study(51)PEA15CytoseletonApoptosis6.43No Study(51)PIS12NucleusApoptosis6.43No Study(51)PIS14NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No Study(51)PIS15CytoseletonApoptosis6.43 <td< td=""><td>B4GALT1</td><td>Plasma membrane</td><td>Development</td><td>3.79</td><td>No Study</td><td></td></td<>	B4GALT1	Plasma membrane	Development	3.79	No Study	
CD151Plasma membraneCell adhesion4.82ControversialCDH2Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CDK2NucleusCell cycle4.34UP[44]CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[66]CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[7]FL0T1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No study[67]FL0T1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No Study[7]HNGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No Study[67]HNRPA281ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study[41]IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study[44]LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[51]PEA15CytoseletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.33No Study[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study <td>CAV1</td> <td>Plasma membrane</td> <td>Immune response</td> <td>6.78</td> <td>Down</td> <td>[65]</td>	CAV1	Plasma membrane	Immune response	6.78	Down	[65]
CDH2Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.96Up[43]CDK2NucleusCell cycle4.34UP[48]CDK4NucleusCell cycle4.11No change[60]CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyEFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No studyFLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.58No StudyITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.56No StudyMAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyMTRNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.68No StudyMTRNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.64No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis4.06No StudyPIK3CA	CBL	Golgi apparatus	Signal transduction	3.59	Up	[50]
CDK2NucleusCell cycle4.34UP(48)CDK4NucleusCell cycle5.01No change[66]CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study[67]EFNB1Plasm amembraneDifferentiation4.42No study[70]FLOT1Plasm amembraneImmune response5.34No Study[67]HMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation6.57No Study[67]HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study[67]IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study[67]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.57No Study[41]LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study[43]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[44]NR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[44]PRX11NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[51]PRX12NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[41]PRX13NucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[51]PRX14NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No Study[51]PRX15NotusTranscription and translation4.96No Study[51] </td <td>CD151</td> <td>Plasma membrane</td> <td>Cell adhesion</td> <td>4.82</td> <td>Controversial</td> <td></td>	CD151	Plasma membrane	Cell adhesion	4.82	Controversial	
CDK4NucleusCell cycle4.11No change(6)CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No Study(6)EFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No study(7)FLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No Study(7)FLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.49Down(67)HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study(7)IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study(7)IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.25No Study(41)LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study(44)MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.97Up(44)MTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study(5)MTRNQuesusApoptosis4.26No Study(5)MTRNCytoskeletonApoptosis2.99Up(5)PEA15CytosolApoptosis4.06No Study(5)PRX10NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No Study(5)PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.09No Study(4)PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No Study(4)PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No Study(4)PTBP1NucleusTranscription a	CDH2	Plasma membrane	Cell adhesion	5.96	Up	[43]
CDK6NucleusCell cycle5.01No StudyEFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No studyFLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study[7]IQGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.25No Study[44]MAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[51]MTRNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study[51]PEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis2.89Up[51]PRX14NucleusApoptosis4.06No Study[51]PPS1812ExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study[51]PPS1813NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No Study[51]PPS1814NucleusTranscription and translation4.3No Study[42]RRASPlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No Study[42]RRAGPlasma membraneSignal translation5.69No Study[42]PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No Study[42]RRAGPlasma membraneSignal transcr	CDK2	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.34	UP	[48]
EFNB1Plasma membraneDifferentiation4.42No studyFLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HNRNPA2E1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study[7]IQGAP1Plasma membraneCell adhesion6.25No Study[4]LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study[4]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.97Up[4]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.26No Study[4]MTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study[5]PEA15CytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.43No Study[5]PIK3CACytosolApoptosis4.26No Study[5]PIRX1NucleusApoptosis5.43No Study[5]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study[5]PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No Study[4]PRX1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No Study[4]PRX1NucleusTranscription and translation5.07No Study[4]PRX1NucleusTranscription and translation5.07No Study[4]RRASPlasma membrane	CDK4	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.11	No change	[66]
FLOT1Plasma membraneImmune response5.34No StudyHMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.68No StudyLAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No StudyMAP14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTRNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIRS1NucleusApoptosis4.06No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation5.07No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation5.07No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal translation5.07No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneDipotosis4.25UP[42] <td>CDK6</td> <td>Nucleus</td> <td>Cell cycle</td> <td>5.01</td> <td>No Study</td> <td></td>	CDK6	Nucleus	Cell cycle	5.01	No Study	
HMGA1NucleusTranscription and translation5.49Down[67]HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No Study10IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No Study10ITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.68No Study14LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No Study14MAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No Study14MTRNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No Study14PEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No Study15PIN3CACytoskeletonApoptosis2.89Up[51]PPP1131ExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study15PRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No Study14PTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.08No Study14PTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No Study142RA05APlasma membraneSignal transduction5.07No Study142RA05Plasma membraneSignal transduction5.07No Study142RA05Plasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No Study142RA05Plasma membraneTranscription and translation4.98No Study142<	EFNB1	Plasma membrane	Differentiation	4.42	No study	
HNRNPA2B1ExtracellularTranscription and translation6.57No StudyIQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.68No StudyLAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No StudyMAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMRSC1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis2.89Up[51]PPIR13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No Study-PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No Study-PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No Study-PTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No Study-PTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.3No Study-RAOCAPlasma membraneSignal transduction4.38UP(42]RACSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No Study-RACSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No Study-SART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up(42]RAASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No Study-SART3 <td>FLOT1</td> <td>Plasma membrane</td> <td>Immune response</td> <td>5.34</td> <td>No Study</td> <td></td>	FLOT1	Plasma membrane	Immune response	5.34	No Study	
IQGAP1Plasma membraneImmune response4.56No StudyITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.68No StudyLAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No StudyMAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[41]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneSignal transduction4.38UP[42]ROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.38UP[42]RARASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[42]SRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[4]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[4]SIRT1Plasma membra	HMGA1	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	5.49	Down	[67]
ITGB1Plasma membraneCell adhesion5.68No StudyLAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No StudyMAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyPRA15CytoskeletonApoptosis4.26No StudyPIK3CACytosolApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneSignal transduction5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]RACSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[45]SIRT1Plasma membraneTranscription and translation4.06No Study	HNRNPA2B1	Extracellular	Transcription and translation	6.57	No Study	
LAMC1ExtracellularCell adhesion6.25No StudyMAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyNR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIR31ExtracellularApoptosis2.89Up[51]PPP1R132ExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.03No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.38UP[42]RACSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudyRARSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[45]SIRT1Plasma membraneTranscription and translation5.16No Study	IQGAP1	Plasma membrane	Immune response	4.56	No Study	
MAPK14NucleusApoptosis4.97Up[44]MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyNR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolApoptosis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]RACSPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[42]SART3NucleusApoptosis4.38UP[42]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLCI6A1Plasma membraneTranspription and translation4.06No Study	ITGB1	Plasma membrane	Cell adhesion	5.68	No Study	
MTDHNucleusApoptosis4.25No StudyMTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyNR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.3No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]	LAMC1	Extracellular	Cell adhesion	6.25	No Study	
MTPNCytoskeletonTranscription and translation5.6No StudyNR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]RAC5Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]	MAPK14	Nucleus	Apoptosis	4.97	Up	[44]
NR3C1NucleusApoptosis4.26No StudyPEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	MTDH	Nucleus	Apoptosis	4.25	No Study	
PEA15CytoskeletonApoptosis5.43No StudyPIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	MTPN	Cytoskeleton	Transcription and translation	5.6	No Study	
PIK3CACytosolAngiogenesis2.89Up[51]PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]RASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	NR3C1	Nucleus	Apoptosis	4.26	No Study	
PPP1R13LExtracellularApoptosis4.06No StudyPRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PEA15	Cytoskeleton	Apoptosis	5.43	No Study	
PRRX1NucleusTranscription and translation4.03No StudyPTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PIK3CA	Cytosol	Angiogenesis	2.89	Up	[51]
PTBP1NucleusTranscription and translation5.69No StudyPTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PPP1R13L	Extracellular	Apoptosis	4.06	No Study	
PTBP2NucleusTranscription and translation4.98No StudyRAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PRRX1	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.03	No Study	
RAP2APlasma membraneSignal transduction4.3No StudyRHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PTBP1	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	5.69	No Study	
RHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	PTBP2	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.98	No Study	
RHOGPlasma membraneTranscription and translation5.07No StudyROCK1CytoskeletonApoptosis4.25UP[42]ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	RAP2A	Plasma membrane	Signal transduction	4.3	No Study	
ROCK2Plasma membraneApoptosis4.38UP[42]RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study			Transcription and translation	5.07	No Study	
RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	ROCK1	Cytoskeleton	Apoptosis	4.25	UP	[42]
RRASPlasma membraneDifferentiation5.33No StudySART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study	ROCK2	Plasma membrane	Apoptosis	4.38	UP	[42]
SART3NucleusTranscription and translation4.07Up[45]SIRT1NucleusApoptosis3.37Up[49]SLC16A1Plasma membraneTransport5.16No Study		Plasma membrane			No Study	
SIRT1 Nucleus Apoptosis 3.37 Up [49] SLC16A1 Plasma membrane Transport 5.16 No Study	SART3					[45]
SLC16A1 Plasma membrane Transport 5.16 No Study						
					-	
	SMYD3	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	3.99	No Study	

(Continues)

OH ET AL.

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Down-regulated microRNAs							
Target Genes	Primary Localization	Primary Biological Process	Cardiac Expression	Expression in Failing Heart	Reference		
SOS1	Cytosol	Immune response	3.31	No Study			
SSSCA1	Extracellular	Cell cycle	4.74	No Study			
STAT3	Nucleus	Transcription and translation	4.91	Up	[40]		
SYCP1	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.27	No Study			
UHRF1	Nucleus	Cell cycle	4.04	No Study			
VAMP3	Plasma membrane	Transport	4.88	No Study			
VIM	Cytoskeleton	Immune response	7.38	Up	[47]		
miR-411-5p							
GRB2	Plasma membrane	Signal transduction	5.19	No Study			



FIGURE 5 In silico protein-protein interaction map for putative microRNA targets. The protein-protein maps were constructed using STRING 11.0 database and Cytoscape. The putative molecular targets by up-regulated microRNAs (A) and down-regulated microRNAs (B). The iBAQ intensity represents the expression level of proteins in the heart

size of exosomes were changed in remote ischaemic preconditioning-mediated cardioprotection,²⁸ which is correlated with our morphological observations. Taken together, these differences are also presumably related to the dynamic changes in the cellular composition of the heart under pathological conditions. In addition, we also observed the differences in the expression of exosomal markers. For example, CD63 and TSG101 were decreased in TAC EVs and these markers were previously reported to be involved in cardiac fibrosis and hypertrophy, respectively.^{29,00} On the other hand, hnRNP A2B1 expression was increased and this was known to control the sorting of miRs into exosomes through specific binding motif.⁵¹ Although we particularly focused on miR profiles in EVs, this result also suggests a possibility that proteins in EVs could actively regulate cardiac intercellular communication.

From EV miR profiles, we have identified seven up-regulated and three down-regulated miRs in failing hearts compared to controls. Briefly, miR-757, miR-328, miR-92b, miR-139, miR-665, miR-378a and miR-345 were up-regulated, whereas, miR-99b, miR-124 and miR-411 were down-regulated during heart failure (Tables 1 and 2). Among the seven up-regulated miRs, three miRs (miR-92b, miR-139 and miR-378a) are known to be anti-hypertrophic⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ and another three miRs (miR-139, miR-378a and miR-345) are anti-fibrotic⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ (Table 5). These data indicate that up-regulated miRs are likely involved in the cardioprotective function. Interestingly, one



FIGURE 6 Identification of internal microRNA control. A, The Ct values of U6 and microRNA candidates as an internal control were quantified by qRT-PCR. n = 12, data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. B, The Ct values of U6 and miR-676 in entire 12 samples were presented. C, The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to measure the strength of a linear association between U6 and miR-676 expressions. R = 0.825, P = .001

of down-regulated miRs, miR-124, is also known to induce cardiac hypertrophy,58 attenuate cardiac function and stimulate angiogenesis.50 Therefore, EV miRs released from failing hearts may play important roles in cardioprotection (Table 5). On the other hand, a negative-inotropic miR, miR-328, was found to be increased and an anti-fibrotic miR, miR-411, was decreased. In addition, we evaluated EV miR-146a, a negative-inotropic miR, that we previously reported,10 as a positive control and it was also significantly increased (Figure S1). Therefore, even though anti-hypertrophic and anti-fibrotic EV miRs are mainly elevated in TAC EVs, the negative-inotropic and pro-fibrotic miRs are also enriched. These ambivalent features of TAC EVs may result from diverse cellular origins of EVs, and EV miRs are meticulously balanced in normal heart. This finding is in line with the previous reports that EVs from cardiac stem cells are normally salubrious and the EVs from fibroblasts and endothelial cells are deleterious.4,8-10,12

Furthermore, bioinformatics was applied as an alternative approach to predict the impact of EV miRs on cardiac function. First, we predicted the possible cardiac outcomes with previously reported targets for miRs identified in this study. Next, we performed literature studies and compared with previous results. In brief, molecular targets experimentally validated by both a reporter assay and Western blot analysis were initially selected based on the miR database (miRTarBase) and 52 targets that are not expressed in the heart were discarded. The remaining 77 putative targets of EV miRs are summarized in Table 3 (targets for up-regulated miRs) and Table 4 (targets for down-regulated miRs). Intriguingly, approximately 72% of targets anticipated to be up-regulated were confirmed to be elevated indeed in diseased conditions by previous reports,^{40–51} and 50% of targets expected to be down-regulated were actually reduced.^{92–57} This result proves that EV miRs from failing hearts substantially affect gene expressions in the heart.

Lastly, there have been controversial reports for the usage of U6 although it is the most frequently used endogenous reference control.14-19 For example, U6 expression was not stable in tissues or plasma from patients with cancer.14,15,17 Therefore, we deliberated an extra assessment for the evaluation of EV miR expression. Initially, U6 was confirmed to be abundant and stable in our samples and used as a reference control in this study. To avoid potential critics for the usage of U6, we decided to identify alternative reference miR. Through the miRNA profile analysis, miR-676 was appeared as a promising candidate due to its superior abundance and stability. Upon this result, additional normalization was performed using miR-676 (Figure S2) and a significant correlation between U6 and miR-676 was confirmed. Although miR-676 is reported to be elevated in the liver in the response to a high-fat diet,58 there are no reports relating to cardiac function. Therefore, miR-676 may be a suitable reference in EV miR research although further validations are necessary in various cardiac diseases.

Up-regulated microRNAs							
Name	Contractility	Hypertrophy	Fibrosis	Reference			
miR-92b	Unknown	Ļ	-	[33]			
miR-139	Unknown	4	Ļ	[32,36]			
miR-378a	Unknown	4	1	[34,35]			
miR-345	Unknown	Unknown	Ļ	[37]			
miR-328	÷	Ť	Ť	[68,69]			
Down-regulated microRNAs							
miR-124	4	Ť		[38,39]			
miR-411	Unknown	Unknown	1	[70]			

TABLE 5 Previously described functions of differentially expressed miRs in TAC EV

OH ET AL.

In summary, we isolated and characterized cardiac EVs in the context of miR contents using normal and failing hearts. EVs from both hearts exhibited substantial contrasts in physical and functional features. We also found that EVs from failing hearts contains both beneficial and detrimental miRs presumably because current EV miR profiles that we reported here were generated from mixed cellular origins in failing hearts, which is a limitation of this study.

Taken together, our study shows dynamic intercellular communications via EV miRs during heart failure and it will be critical to identify the origin of beneficial EVs for a therapeutic application to treat heart failure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs endorsed by the Department of Defense, through the FY17, DMDRP, Career Development Award programme under Award No. W81XWH-18-1-0322. C. K was supported by the American Heart Association (AHA) 18TPA34170460 and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs endorsed by the Department of Defense, through the FY18, CDMRP, Investigator-Initiated Research Award: No. W81XWH-19-1-0382.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JGO, PL and DJ designed the research, performed the experiments, analysed the data and wrote the paper. REG contributed in performing EM microscopy. SS and CK served as scientific advisor. DJ revised a manuscript and data.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files). Furthermore, the raw data sets generated during the current study are also available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ORCID

Dongtak Jeong () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4153-3498

REFERENCES

- Zhou P, Pu WT. Recounting cardiac cellular composition. Circ Res. 2017;118(3):368-370.
- Rienks M, Papageorgiou AP, Frangogiannis NG, Heymans S. Myocardial extracellular matrix: an ever-changing and diverse entity. Circ Res. 2014;114(5):872-889.
- Tian Y, Morrisey EE. Importance of myocyte-nonmyocyte interactions in cardiac development and disease. Circ Res. 2012;110(7):1023-1034.
- Bang C, Antoniades C, Antonopoulos AS, et al. Intercellular communication lessons in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2015;17(11):1091-1103.
- Valadi H, Ekstrom K, Bossios A, Sjostrand M, Lee JJ, Lotvall JO. Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel

mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9(6):654-659.

- Tachibana A, Santoso MR, Mahmoudi M, et al. Paracrine effects of the pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiac myocytes salvage the injured myocardium. Circ Res. 2017;121(6):e22-e36.
- Gallet R, Dawkins J, Valle J, et al. Exosomes secreted by cardiosphere-derived cells reduce scarring, attenuate adverse remodelling, and improve function in acute and chronic porcine myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2017;38(3):201-211.
- Agarwal U, George A, Bhutani S, et al. Experimental, systems, and computational approaches to understanding the microRNA-mediated reparative potential of cardiac progenitor cell-derived exosomes from pediatric patients. *Circ Res.* 2017;120(4):701-712.
- Khan M, Nickoloff E, Abramova T, et al. Embryonic stem cell-derived exosomes promote endogenous repair mechanisms and enhance cardiac function following myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2015;117(1):52-64.
- Oh JG, Watanabe S, Lee A, et al. miR-146a ssuppresses SUMO1 expression and induces cardiac dysfunction in maladaptive hypertrophy. Circ Res. 2018;123(6):673-685.
- Bang C, Batkai S, Dangwal S, et al. Cardiac fibroblast-derived microRNA passenger strand-enriched exosomes mediate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(5):2136-2146.
- Halkein J, Tabruyn SP, Ricke-Hoch M, et al. MicroRNA-146a is a therapeutic target and biomarker for peripartum cardiomyopathy. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(5):2143-2154.
- Loyer X, Zlatanova I, Devue C, et al. Intra-cardiac release of extracellular vesicles shapes inflammation following myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2018;123(1):100-106.
- Gouin K, Peck K, Antes T, et al. A comprehensive method for identification of suitable reference genes in extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1347019.
- Xiang M, Zeng Y, Yang R, et al. U6 is not a suitable endogenous control for the quantification of circulating microRNAs. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014;454(1):210-214.
- Chen ZH, Zhang GL, Li HR, et al. A panel of five circulating microRNAs as potential biomarkers for prostate cancer. Prostate. 2012;72(13):1443-1452.
- Peltier HJ, Latham GJ. Normalization of microRNA expression levels in quantitative RT-PCR assays: identification of suitable reference RNA targets in normal and cancerous human solid tissues. RNA. 2008;14(5):844-852.
- Davoren PA, McNeill RE, Lowery AJ, Kerin MJ, Miller N. Identification of suitable endogenous control genes for microRNA gene expression analysis in human breast cancer. BMC Mol Biol. 2008;9:76.
- Chen X, Ba Y, Ma L, et al. Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. Coll Res. 2008;18(10):997-1006.
- Ishiguro K, Yan IK, Patel T. Isolation of tissue extracellular vesicles from the Liver. J Vis Exp. 2019.
- Alexander M, Hu R, Runtsch MC, et al. Exosome-delivered microRNAs modulate the inflammatory response to endotoxin. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7321.
- Castoldi M, Schmidt S, Benes V, et al. A sensitive array for microRNA expression profiling (miChip) based on locked nucleic acids (LNA). RNA. 2006;12(5):913-920.
- Keshava Prasad TS, Goel R, Kandasamy K, et al. Human protein reference database--2009 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(Database):D767-D772.
- Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, et al. STRING 8--a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(Database):D412-D416.

WILEY

- Buzas EI, Toth EA, Sodar BW, Szabo-Taylor KE. Molecular interactions at the surface of extracellular vesicles. Semin Immunopathol. 2018;40(5):453-464.
- Gidlof O, Evander M, Rezeli M, Marko-Varga G, Laurell T, Erlinge D. Proteomic profiling of extracellular vesicles reveals additional diagnostic biomarkers for myocardial infarction compared to plasma alone. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):8991.
- Yang VK, Loughran KA, Meola DM, et al. Circulating exosome microRNA associated with heart failure secondary to myxomatous mitral valve disease in a naturally occurring canine model. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1350088.
- Minghua W, Zhijian G, Chahua H, et al. Plasma exosomes induced by remote ischaemic preconditioning attenuate myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury by transferring miR-24. Coll Death Dis. 2018;9(3):320.
- Takawale A, Zhang P, Patel VB, Wang X, Oudit G, Kassiri Z. Tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1 promotes myocardial fibrosis by mediating CD63-Integrin beta1 interaction. *Hypertension*. 2017;69(6):1092-1103.
- Essandoh K, Deng S, Wang X, et al. Tsg101 positively regulates physiologic-like cardiac hypertrophy through FIP3-mediated endosomal recycling of IGF-1R. FASEB J. 2019;33(6):7451-7466.
- Villarroya-Beltri C, Gutierrez-Vazquez C, Sanchez-Cabo F, et al. Sumoylated hnRNPA2B1 controls the sorting of miRNAs into exosomes through binding to specific motifs. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2980.
- Ming S, Shui-Yun W, Wei Q, et al. miR-139-5p inhibits isoproterenol-induced cardiac hypertrophy by targetting c-Jun. Biosci Rep. 2018;38(2).
- Hu ZQ, Luo JF, Yu XJ, et al. Targeting myocyte-specific enhancer factor 2D contributes to the suppression of cardiac hypertrophic growth by miR-92b-3p in mice. Oncotarget. 2017;8(54):92079-92089.
- Ganesan J, Ramanujam D, Sassi Y, et al. MiR-378 controls cardiac hypertrophy by combined repression of mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway factors. *Circulation*. 2013;127(21):2097-2106.
- Yuan J, Liu H, Gao W, et al. MicroRNA-378 suppresses myocardial fibrosis through a paracrine mechanism at the early stage of cardiac hypertrophy following mechanical stress. *Theranostics*. 2018;8(9):2565-2582.
- Jiang C, Tong Z, Fang WL, et al. Microma-139-5p inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition and fibrosis in post-menopausal women with interstitial cystitis by targeting LPAR4 via the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. J Cell Biochem. 2018;119(8):6429-6441.
- Chen W, Zhao W, Yang A, et al. Integrated analysis of microRNA and gene expression profiles reveals a functional regulatory module associated with liver fibrosis. *Gene.* 2017;636:87-95.
- Zhao Y, Yan M, Chen C, et al. MiR-124 aggravates failing hearts by suppressing CD151-facilitated angiogenesis in heart. Oncotarget. 2018;9(18):14382-14396.
- Bao Q, Chen L, Li J, et al. Role of microRNA-124 in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy inducedby angiotensin II. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). 2017;63(4):23-27.
- Cai K, Chen H. MiR-625-5p inhibits cardiac hypertrophy through targeting STAT3 and CaMKII. Hum Gene Ther Clin Dev. 2019.
- Batlle M, Castillo N, Alcarraz A, et al. Axl expression is increased in early stages of left ventricular remodeling in an animal model with pressure-overload. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(6):e0217926.
- Sunamura S, Satoh K, Kurosawa R, et al. Different roles of myocardial ROCK1 and ROCK2 in cardiac dysfunction and postcapillary pulmonary hypertension in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115(30):E7129-E7138.
- Derda AA, Woo CC, Wongsurawat T, et al. Gene expression profile analysis of aortic vascular smooth muscle cells reveals

upregulation of cadherin genes in myocardial infarction patients. Physiol Genomics. 2018;50(8):648-657.

- Rose BA, Yokota T, Chintalgattu V, et al. Cardiac myocyte p38alpha kinase regulates angiogenesis via myocyte-endothelial cell crosstalk during stress-induced remodeling in the heart. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(31):12787-12800.
- Han Y, Wang Q, Fan X, et al. Epigallocatechin gallate attenuates overload-induced cardiac ECM remodeling via restoring T cell homeostasis. Mol Med Rep. 2017;16(3):3542-3550.
- Li Q, Xie J, Wang B, et al. Overexpression of microRNA-99a attenuates cardiac hypertrophy. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(2):e0148480.
- Jeong D, Lee MA, Li Y, et al. Matricellular protein CCN5 reverses established cardiac fibrosis. J Am Coll Cordiol. 2016;67(13):1556-1568.
- Yu Z, Zhang H, Yu M, Ye Q. Analysis of gene expression during the development of congestive heart failure after myocardial infarction in rat models. Int Heart J. 2015;56(4):444-449.
- Oka S, Zhai P, Yamamoto T, et al. Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-alpha association with silent information regulator 1 suppresses cardiac fatty acid metabolism in the failing heart. Circ Heart Fail. 2015;8(6):1123-1132.
- Rafiq K, Kolpakov MA, Seqqat R, et al. c-Cbl inhibition improves cardiac function and survival in response to myocardial ischemia. *Circulation*. 2014;129(20):2031-2043.
- Perrino C, Naga Prasad SV, Schroder JN, Hata JA, Milano C, Rockman HA. Restoration of beta-adrenergic receptor signaling and contractile function in heart failure by disruption of the betaARK1/phosphoinositide 3-kinase complex. *Circulation*. 2005;111(20):2579-2587.
- Nie X, Fan J, Li H, et al. miR-217 promotes cardiac hypertrophy and dysfunction by targeting PTEN. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2018;12:254-266.
- Lalem T, Zhang L, Scholz M, et al. Cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1 C is a female-specific marker of left ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2018;274:319-325.
- Cao Q, Zhang J, Gao L, Zhang Y, Dai M, Bao M. Dickkopf3 upregulation mediates the cardioprotective effects of curcumin on chronic heart failure. *Mol Med Rep.* 2018;17(5):7249-7257.
- Tie Y, Zhai C, Zhang Y, et al. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta overexpression alleviates myocardial remodelling by regulating angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 expression in diabetes. J Coll Mol Med. 2017;22(3):1475-1488.
- Wu C, Dong S, Li Y. Effects of miRNA-455 on cardiac hypertrophy induced by pressure overload. Int J Mol Med. 2015;35(4):893-900.
- Thomas RL, Roberts DJ, Kubli DA, et al. Loss of MCL-1 leads to impaired autophagy and rapid development of heart failure. Genes Dev. 2013;27(12):1365-1377.
- Awazawa M, Gabel P, Tsaousidou E, et al. A microRNA screen reveals that elevated hepatic ectodysplasin A expression contributes to obesity-induced insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. *Nat Med.* 2017;23(12):1466-1473.
- Zhang WW, Bai F, Wang J, et al. Edaravone inhibits pressure overload-induced cardiac fibrosis and dysfunction by reducing expression of angiotensin II AT1 receptor. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2017;11:3019-3033.
- Khandekar A, Springer S, Wang W, et al. Notch-mediated epigenetic regulation of voltage-gated potassium currents. Circ Res. 2016;119(12):1324-1339.
- Salvador AM, Nevers T, Velazquez F, et al. Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 regulates left ventricular leukocyte infiltration, cardiac remodeling, and function in pressure overload-induced heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(3):e003126.
- 62. Watanabe S, Horie T, Nagao K, et al. Cardiac-specific inhibition of kinase activity in calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase-beta leads to accelerated left ventricular remodeling and

heart failure after transverse aortic constriction in mice. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(9):e108201.

- Deng KQ, Li J, She ZG, et al. Restoration of circulating MFGE8 (Milk Fat globule-EGF Factor 8) attenuates cardiac hypertrophy through inhibition of akt pathway. Hypertension. 2017;70(4):770-779.
- Jebessa ZH, Shanmukha Kumar D, Dewenter M, et al. The lipid droplet-associated protein ABHD5 protects the heart through proteolysis of HDAC4. Nat Metab. 2019;1(11):1157-1167.
- Bryant SM, Kong CHT, Watson JJ, et al. Caveolin 3-dependent loss of t-tubular ICa during hypertrophy and heart failure in mice. Exp Physiol. 2018;103(5):652-665.
- Mohamed TMA, Ang YS, Radzinsky E, et al. Regulation of cell cycle to stimulate adult cardiomyocyte proliferation and cardiac regeneration. Coll. 2018;173(1):104-116.e12.
- You XY, Huang JH, Liu B, Liu SJ, Zhong Y, Liu SM. HMGA1 is a new target of miR-195 involving isoprenaline-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2014;79(6):538-544.
- Du W, Liang H, Gao X, et al. MicroRNA-328, a potential anti-fibrotic target in cardiac interstitial fibrosis. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;39(3):827-836.

- Li C, Li X, Gao X, et al. MicroRNA-328 as a regulator of cardiac hypertrophy. Int J Cardiol. 2014;173(2):268-276.
- Ai P, Shen B, Pan H, Chen K, Zheng J, Liu F. MiR-411 suppressed vein wall fibrosis by downregulating MMP-2 via targeting HIF-1alpha. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2018;45(2):264-273.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Oh JG, Lee P, Gordon RE, Sahoo S, Kho C, Jeong D. Analysis of extracellular vesicle miRNA profiles in heart failure. J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24:7214–7227. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.15251 II. Lee MA, Raad N, Song MH, Yoo J, Lee M, Jang SP, Kwak TH, Kook H, Choi E, Cha T, Hajjar RJ, Jeong D**, Park WJ**. The matricellular protein CCN5 prevents adverse atrial structural and electrical remodeling. J Cell Mol Med. 2020 July 30 (in press)

Acceptance letter from JCMM editor

Dear Dongtak Jeong,

Article ID: JCMM15789 Article DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.15789 Internal Article ID: 16863297 Article: The matricellular protein CCN5 prevents adverse atrial structural and electrical remodeling Journal: Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine

Congratulations on the acceptance of your article for publication in Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

Your article has been received and the production process is now underway. We look forward to working with you and publishing your article. Using Wiley Author Services, you can track your article's progress.

Please click below to login - if you are using a different email address than this one, you will need to manually assign this article to your Dashboard (see <u>How do I assign a missing article to My Dashboard</u>?):

https://authorservices.wiley.com/index.html#login?campaign=email_invitation-new

If applicable, a list of available actions will appear below – check out your Author Services Dashboard for all actions related to your articles.

Track your article's progress to publication Access your published article

If you need any assistance, please click <u>here</u> to view our Help section.

Sincerely, Wiley Author Services

The matricellular protein CCN5 prevents adverse atrial structural and electrical remodeling

Min-Ah Lee, PhD^{1,*}, Nour Raad, MD, PhD^{2,*}, Min Ho Song, BS^{1,*}, Jimeen Yoo, MS², Miyoung Lee, BS¹, Seung Pil Jang, PhD³, Tae Hwan Kwak, MS³, Hyun Kook, MD, PhD⁴, Eun-Kyoung Choi, MS⁵, Tae-Joon Cha, MD, PhD⁵, Roger J. Hajjar, MD⁶, Dongtak Jeong, PhD^{2,7,**}, Woo Jin Park, PhD^{1,3,**}

¹ College of Life Sciences, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, Republic of Korea

² Cardiovascular Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

³Bethphagen, S3-203, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, Republic of Korea

⁴Basic Research Laboratory, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun-gun, Jeollanam-do, Republic of Korea

⁵Division of Cardiology, Kosin University Gospel Hospital, Busan, Republic of Korea

⁶Phospholamban Foundation, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

⁷Department of Molecular and Life Science, College of Science and Convergence Technology, Hanyang University ERICA Campus, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

*These authors contribute equally to this study.

Addresses for correspondence:

**Woo Jin Park, PhD, College of Life Sciences, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), 123 Cheomdangwagi-ro, Buk-gu, Gwangju 61005, South Korea. Tel.: +82-10-2607-2491; Fax: +82-62-715-2484; E-mail: <u>woojinpark@icloud.com</u>.

**Dongtak Jeong, PhD, Department of Molecular and Life Science, College of Science and Convergence Technology, Hanyang University ERICA Campus, 55 Hanyangdeahak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do, 15588, Korea E-mail: cooljdt@hanyang.ac.kr

Abstract

Atrial structural remodeling including atrial hypertrophy and fibrosis is a key mediator of atrial fibrillation (AF). We previously demonstrated that the matricellular protein CCN5 elicits anti-fibrotic and anti-hypertrophic effects in left ventricles under pressure overload. We here determined the utility of CCN5 in ameliorating adverse atrial remodeling and arrhythmias in a murine model of angiotensin II (AngII) infusion. Advanced atrial structural remodeling was induced by AngII infusion in control mice and mice overexpressing CCN5 either through transgenesis (CCN5 Tg) or AAV9-mediated gene transfer (AAV9-CCN5). The mRNA levels of pro-fibrotic and pro-inflammatory genes were markedly upregulated by AngII infusion, which was significantly normalized by CCN5 overexpression. In vitro studies in isolated atrial fibroblasts demonstrated a marked reduction in AngII-induced fibroblast transdifferentiation in CCN5-treated atria. Moreover, while AngII increased the expression of phosphorylated CaMKII and ryanodine receptor 2 levels in HL-1 cells, these molecular features of AF were prevented by CCN5. Electrophysiological studies in ex-vivo perfused hearts revealed a blunted susceptibility of the AAV9-CCN5-treated hearts to rapid atrial pacing-induced arrhythmias and concomitant reversal in AngII-induced atrial action potential prolongation. These data demonstrate the utility of a gene transfer approach targeting CCN5 for reversal of adverse atrial structural and electrophysiological remodeling.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most prevalent arrhythmia in humans, is often accompanied by heart failure (HF). This arrhythmia is associated with the increased risk of stroke, sudden death, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [1]. Despite intensive studies spanning over 100 years, a clear understanding of AF mechanisms is still lacking, hampering the development of effective therapeutic approaches that are currently limited to destructive ablation techniques or sub-optimal (and potentially pro-arrhythmic) pharmacotherapy [2-4]. While ablation is effective for treating paroxysmal AF episodes, its utility in the treatment of sustained AF arising in the setting of heart failure is inadequate. Instead, pharmacological approaches are used to achieve either rate or rhythm control. These approaches, however, are mired by extracardiac toxicities and pro-arrhythmic effects, including risk of torsade de pointes and sudden death.

Adverse atrial structural and electrical remodeling are believed to form both the substrate and triggers required for AF initiation and maintenance. Classic anti-arrhythmic approaches targeting individual ion channels have largely failed to prevent AF in structurally remodeled hearts, pointing to the need to address the structural abnormalities that promote AF, namely interstitial fibrosis, myocyte hypertrophy, and myolysis. Of particular importance to the maintenance of AF is the development of interstitial atrial fibrosis that ultimately disrupts cell-to-cell electrical conduction and triggers single/multiple-circuit reentry [5,6]. Fibroblast to myofibroblast trans-differentiation is the key mechanism underlying pro-fibrotic structural remodeling [7]. In addition, defective Ca^{2+} handling is widely believed to mediate the arrhythmic triggers required for AF initiation [8,9]. Specifically, abnormal diastolic Ca^{2+} leak from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) creates a transient inward current (I_{ti}) that produces delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs). In a mouse model, inhibition of Ca^{2+} and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) suppresses hyper-phosphorylation of ryanodine receptor 2 (RyR2) at Ser2814 and aberrant SR Ca^{2+} leak [10].

CCN5 is a member of the CCN family of matricellular proteins (CCN1-6) that shares conserved modular domains, including: (i) insulin-like growth factor-biding domain, (ii) von Willebrand factor type-C domain, (iii) thrombospondin type-1 domain, and (iv) C-terminal cysteine-knot domain [11]. CCN5 is indeed a structurally unique protein that lacks the cysteinerich C-terminal domain present in other CCN family members. Secreted and matrix-associated CCN5 regulates diverse aspects of cell function, including signaling, adhesion, migration, and proliferation [12]. In previous studies, we identified potent anti-hypertrophic and anti-fibrotic properties of CCN5 in the ventricles of mice that underwent transverse aortic constriction [13]. We further showed that CCN5 overexpression following TAC reverses pre-established ventricular fibrosis by promoting myofibroblast-specific apoptosis [7].

In the present study, the putative role of CCN5 in either preventing or treating adverse atrial remodeling and atrial arrhythmias was investigated in CCN5 overexpressing transgenic (CCN5 Tg) mice as well as mice treated with AAV9-mediated CCN5 gene delivery. We also utilized angiotensin II (AngII) to induce AF in mice. Activation of renin-angiotensin system is thought to play a key role in the development of AF in humans [14]. Accordingly, AngII is widely used to recapitulate the pathogenic scenarios of AF in animal models. Our data demonstrate that CCN5 attenuates AngII-induced atrial interstitial fibrosis, atrial electrical remodeling, and atrial arrhythmia. Moreover, CCN5 modulates phosphorylation of CaMKII and RyR2 in atrial myocytes *in vitro* and inhibits trans-differentiation of atrial fibroblast into myofibroblast *in vitro*. Therefore, these data suggest that CCN5 may be a viable target for the treatment of AF arising in the setting of structural heart disease.

Funding

During this work, D.J. was supported by the assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs endorsed by the Department of Defense, through the FY17, DMDRP, Career Development Award program under Award No. W81XWH-18-1-0322. W.J.P. was supported by grants from National Research Foundation of Korea (2019R1A4A1028534, 2019R1A2C2085457) funded by the Korean Government (MSIP).

Author contributions

W.J.P. and D.J conceptualized and supervised this work. M.-A.L., N.R., M.H.S., J.Y., M.L., S.P.J., T.H.K., H.K., E.-K.C., T.-J.C., R.J.H., D.J. and W.J.P designed the research, performed the experiments, analyzed the data. M.-A.L., N.R., M.H.S., D.J and W.J.P. wrote, revised, and performed a final revision of the manuscript.

Conflict of interests

T.H.K and W.J.P. have co-ownership interest in BethphaGen. The other authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.