Intelligent Distributed Systems

A Stephen Morse
YALE UNIV NEW HAVEN CT

11/15/2019
Final Report

Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTA2
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Air Force Materiel Command

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER *(Include area code)*

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

1. REPORT DATE. Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.

2. REPORT TYPE. State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.

3. DATES COVERED. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.

4. TITLE. Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER. Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.

5b. GRANT NUMBER. Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER. Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.

5d. PROJECT NUMBER. Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.

5e. TASK NUMBER. Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER. Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.

6. AUTHOR(S). Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S). Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/ monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT. Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.

14. ABSTRACT. A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.

15. SUBJECT TERMS. Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION. Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

The aim of this project has been to develop new tools for analyzing distributed dynamical networks and for controlling them. More specifically, our work has focused mainly on three interrelated-objectives. First, we have sought to develop algorithms for the distributed computation of solutions to systems of equations of all types across a network of mobile autonomous agents. Second we have focused on crafting a variety of algorithms for estimating the state of a linear system whose sensed outputs are distributed across a network. Third we have used graph rigidity theory and nonlinear system theory to develop techniques for autonomously maintaining the correct relative positions of mobile autonomous agents in a large agent network.

We have made important advances in the area of distributed computation. In particular we have invented a distributed algorithm for finding a common fixed point of a family of m suitably defined nonlinear maps M_i from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ [1, 2]. S common fixed point is computed simultaneously by m agents assuming each agent i knows only its own private map M_i, the current estimates of a common fixed point generated by its neighbors, and nothing more. Each agent recursively updates its estimate by utilizing the current estimates generated by each of its neighbors. Neighbor relations are characterized by a time-dependent directed graph $\mathbb{N}(t)$ whose vertices correspond to agents and whose arcs depict neighbor relations. We have shown that for any family of maps M_i which are "paracontractions" from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a common fixed point and any sequence of "repeatedly jointly strongly connected graphs" $\mathbb{N}(t)$, $t = 1, 2, \ldots$, the algorithm causes all m agents' estimates to converge to a common fixed point of the M_i [1]. These results have been generalized to asynchronous systems in [3] and necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence to a common fixed point have been given in [4]. The effects of limited data transmissions have been examined in [5] and extension of the algorithm to a broader class of maps called "strongly quasi-nonexpansive" has been carried out in [6]

There are many meaningful examples of paracontractions. For example, the orthogonal projection $x \longmapsto \arg\min_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \|x - y\|_2$ on a given closed convex set $\mathcal{C}$ is a paracontraction with respect to the two-norm. So is the gradient descent map $x \longmapsto x - \alpha \nabla f(x)$ where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and differentiable function, ∇f is Lipschitz continuous with parameter $\lambda > 0$, and α is a constant satisfying $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda}$. The proximal map $x \longmapsto \arg\min_{\{} y \in \mathcal{C}\} f(y) + \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|_2$. associated with a closed proper convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is another example of a paracontraction. These types of paracontractions typically arise in optimization algorithms.

Our original motivation for studying the problem of computing a common fixed point of a family of maps grew out of our efforts to improve on our earlier work in [7]. The work in [7] is concerned with the development of a distributed algorithm for finding a solution to the linear equation $Ax = b$ assuming the equation has at least one solution and agent i knows a pair of the matrices $(A_i^{n_i \times n}, b_i^{n_i \times 1})$ where $A = \text{block column}\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m\}$ and $b = \text{block column}\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m\}$. While the algorithm in [7] solves the problem, one of its limitations is that it requires each agent to initially compute a solution to its own private equation $A_i x_i = b_i$, since for large A_i such a computation can be daunting. To circumvent this problem we successfully developed a new algorithm with the same convergence properties as the one in [7], which consists of m local update rules of the form $x_i(t + 1) = A_i' G_i(A_i x_i(t) - b_i), \quad i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ where G_i is a suitably defined positive definite gain matrix [8]. The affine linear maps $x \longmapsto x - A_i' G_i(A_i x - b_i)$ are paracontractions with respect to the two norm and any common fixed point they have is a solution to $Ax = b$. Over the course of this project we've pursued other questions related to the algorithms in [7] and [8]. For example, necessary and sufficient conditions for exponential convergence are derived in [9] and an asynchronous version of the algorithm in [7] is studied in [10]. A continuous-time gradient-descent

1

version of the algorithm in [8] is developed in [11] and a more efficient version of the algorithm in [7] is proposed in [12]

In the area of estimation, we have developed a number of algorithms for enabling a networked family of $m > 1$ agents to estimate the state of the multi-channel, time-invariant, linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax \quad y_i = C_i x, \;\; i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \tag{1}$$

in a distributed manner, assuming that for each i, agent i can sense y_i and, in addition, receive the current state-estimates of each of its neighbors . Neighbor relations are characterized by a directed graph $\mathbb{N}$, which depending on the problem of interest, may be fixed or varying with time. For the case when $\mathbb{N}$ is fixed and strongly connected, we have developed a linear time-invariant distributed observer whose state-estimation errors are guaranteed to converge to 0 exponentially fast at any preassigned rate [13, 14]. More recently we have crafted simplified distributed observers for both discrete and continuous time which are also capable of estimating state exponentially fast at any given preassigned rates [15, 16]. For the case when $\mathbb{N}$ changes with time, we have devised a hybrid observer with an exponential convergence rate which can function either synchronously or asynchronously [17, 18]. An alternative hybrid observer based the observer architecture developed in [15] is currently under development.

A major problem with existing distributed observer techniques is that none can deal with the situation when instead of (1) the goal is to estimate the state of the multi-channel system with inputs; i.e.,

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i u_i \quad y_i = C_i x, \;\; i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \tag{2}$$

We have made a major breakthrough along these lines by figuring out how to modify the observer developed in [14] to handle this situation provided each input u_i is a state feedback law of the form $u_i = F_i x$ [19]. This has resulted in what we believe is the first distributed feedback control system capable on stabilizing *any* jointly controllable, jointly observable multi-channel linear system of the form (2) with time-invariant distributed feedback control provided the associated communication graph is strongly connected. This finding contrasts sharply with well-known classical result [20] for the decentralized control of (2) which states that no matter what linear time-invariant decentralized controls are applied, the spectrum of the resulting closed loop system will contain a uniquely determined set of eigenvalues called the system's "fixed spectrum" [21, 22]. This means that if the fixed spectrum of such a system contains an unstable eigenvalue, then stabilization with decentralized control is impossible whereas the introduction of communication between agents enables stabilization with distributed control.

In the area of formation control we have extended to encompass three dimensional formations, our earlier discovery in [23] of robustness issues with rigidity based formation control of two dimensional systems [24]. We have also extended the results in [23] to formations with more realistic double integrator dynamic agent models [25]. Finally we have developed a technique for maintaining a rigidity based undirected formation when there are measurement biases [26].

References

[1] D. Fullmer and A. S. Morse. A distributed algorithm for computing a common fixed point of a finite family of paracontractions. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, pages 2833–2843,

2018.

[2] D. Fullmer, L. Wang, and A. S. Morse. A distributed algorithm for computing a common fixed point of a family of paracontractions. In *Proceedings of the 2016 NOLCOS Conference*, pages 552–557, 2016.

[3] D. Fullmer andJ. Liu and A. S. Morse. An asynchronous distributed algorithm for computing a common fixed point of a family of paracontractions. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CDC*, pages 2620–2625, 2016.

[4] D. Fullmer and A. S. Morse. Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for finding a common fixed point of a family of maps using a distributed algorithm. In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2019. to appear.

[5] D. Fulmer, L. Wang, and A. S. Morse. On the distributed computation of a common fixed point of a family of paracontractions. In *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 2289–2293, 2017.

[6] J. Liu, D. Fulmer, A. Nedich, T. Basar, and A. S. Morse. A distributed algorithm for computing a common fixed point of a family of strongly quasi-nonexpansive maps. In *Proceedings of the 2017 American Control Conference*, pages 686–690, 2017.

[7] S. Mou, J. Liu, and A. S. Morse. An distributed algorithm for solving a linear algebraic equation. *IEEE Transactiona on Automatic Control*, pages 2863–2878, 2015.

[8] L. Wang, D. Fullmer, and A. S. Morse. A distributed algorithm with an arbitrary initialization for solving a linear algebraic equation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 American Control Conference*, pages 1078–1081, 2016.

[9] J. Liu, A. S. Morse, A. Nedic, and T. Basar. Exponential convergence of a distributed algorithm for solving linear algebraic equations. *Automatica*, pages 37–46, 2017.

[10] J. Liu, S. Mou, and A. S. Morse. Asynchronous distributed algorithms for solving linear algebraic equations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, pages 372–385, 2018.

[11] B. D. O. Anderson, Shaoshuai Mou, A Stephen Morse, and Uwe Helmke. Decentralized gradient algorithm for solution of a linear equation. *Numerical Algebra, Control and Optimization*, pages 319–328, 2016.

[12] S. Mou, A. S. Morse, Z. Lin, Lili Wang, and D. Fullmer. A distributed algorithm for efficiently solving linear equations and its applications. *System and Control Letters*, pages 21–27, 2016.

[13] L. Wang and A. S. Morse. A distributed observer for a time-invariant linear system. In *Proceedings of the 2017 American Control Conference*, pages 2020–2025, 2017.

[14] L. Wang and A. S. Morse. A distributed observer for an time-invariant linear system. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 63(7):2123–2130, 2018.

[15] L. Wang, J. Liu, and A. S. Morse. A distributed observer for a continuous-time linear system. In *Proceedings of the 2019 American Control Conference*, pages 86–89, 2018.

[16] L. Wang, J. Liu, A. S. Morse, and B. D. O. Anderson. A distributed observer for a discrete-time linear system. In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2019. arXiv:1903.05486v1[cs.SY].

[17] L. Wang, A. S. Morse, D. Fullmer, and J. Liu. A hybrid observer for a distributed linear system with a changing neighbor graph. In *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 1024–1029, 2017.

[18] Lili Wang, A. Stephen Morse, and Ji Liu. A hybrid observer for estimating the state of a distributed linear system. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2019. submitted.

[19] L. Wang, D. Fullmer, F. Liu, and A. S. Morse. Distributed control of linear multi-channel systems: Summary of results. In *Proceedings of the 2020 American Automatic Control Conference*, 2019. submitted.

[20] S. H. Wang and E. J. Davison. On the stabilization of decentralized control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1973. 473-478.

[21] F. Liu and A. S. Morse. When does a multi-channel linear system have a structurally fixed spectrum? In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2019. to appear.

[22] Fengjiao Liu and A. S. Morse. Structural completeness of a multi-channel linear system with dependent parameters. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2019. submitted.

[23] S. Mou, M. A. Belabbas, A. S. Morse, Z. Sun, and B. D. O. Anderson. Undirected rigid formations are problematic. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, pages 2821–2836, 2016.

[24] Z. Sun, S. Mou, B. D. O. Anderson, and A. S. Morse. Rigid motions of 3-d undirected formations with mismatch between desired distances. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, pages 4151–4158, 2017.

[25] Z. Sun, B. D. O. Anderson, S. Mou, and A. S. Morse. Robustness issues in double-integrator undirected rigid formation systems. In *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, pages 1334–1339, 2017.

[26] F. Liu, A. S. Morse, and B. D. O. Anderson. Robust control of undirected rigid formations with constant measurement bias. In *Proceedings of the 2016 American Control Conference*, pages 143–146, 2016.