United States General Accounting Office

Briefing Report to the Chairman, Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

September 1991

PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE

Defense Policies and Plans for Implementing Ada





GAO/IMTEC-91-70BR



GAO

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Information Management and Technology Division

B-245195

September 6, 1991

The Honorable John P. Murtha Chairman, Subcommittee on Defense Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to your March 5, 1991, request, we obtained information on the Department of Defense's implementation of Public Law 101-511, section 8092, which mandated using the Ada programming language for all software development, where cost effective. Although Defense mandated Ada use in 1987, congressional committees believed that the cost benefits of Ada were being substantially delayed because too many Defense systems were still using other programming languages. The Congress included a general provision in the 1991 Appropriations Act (P.L. 101-511) to enforce Defense's Ada policy and remove any doubt of a full transition to Ada, particularly in applications other than weapon systems.

As agreed with your office, we reviewed Defense's policies and plans to determine how it plans to implement the Ada law. We provided the results of our review in a formal briefing to your office in July 1991. At that time, we agreed to prepare this report containing the charts used in the briefing (see app. I).

Results in Brief

Defense and the services' current policies support the intent of the law to use Ada for all software development, which includes information systems and automated weapon systems. However, the section of the policy covering waivers is not consistently interpreted and uniformly applied among Defense components. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) plans to clarify its policy on waivers when it updates Defense software policies by the end of 1991.

Background

The Department of Defense developed Ada in the 1970s to stem the proliferation of programming languages in the Defense Department and help promote the software engineering principles of reusability, portability, maintainability, and reliability. Defense approved Ada as a military standard programming language in 1980. The Ada language was

1. 1. 1

	subsequently approved by the American National Standards Institute in 1983 and by the International Standards Organization in 1987.		
	In 1987, Defense directives declared Ada the single, common computer language for use in its automated weapon systems, and information sys- tems except where another language could be demonstrated to be more cost effective. However, in their individual policies, the services inter- preted the scope of the Ada policy differently. The Air Force and Army required Ada for all automated weapon and information systems, whereas the Navy required Ada only in weapon systems. The Navy allowed Ada or any other approved high-order language for its informa- tion systems.		
Defense Response to the Ada Law	More recently, Public Law 101-511, section 8092, went into effect, stating:		
	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, after June 1, 1991, where cost effec- tive, all Department of Defense software shall be written in the programming lan- guage Ada, in the absence of special exemption by an official designated by the Secretary of Defense.		
	In response to the law, the Office of the Secretary of Defense issued a memorandum on June 24, 1991, stating that the Ada law reinforces existing Defense policy and that further implementation guidance would be issued shortly. Also on June 24, 1991, the Navy issued policy guidance which required Ada in information systems as well as automated weapon systems. Army and Air Force officials felt that their current policies already implement the intent of the law; therefore, they did not issue additional policy guidance. The Air Force did, however, further clarify what is meant by cost effectiveness.		
Services' Ada Waiver Policies Vary	While the services' interpretation of the scope of the Defense Ada policy is now consistent, the policies for allowing programs to waive the Ada requirement still vary. For example, the services' policies range from requiring a waiver to develop any non-Ada code, to requiring a waiver if the non-Ada code to be developed is more than 15 percent of the total code. As a result, Defense policy is not being uniformly applied.		
~			

.

Because the services agreed that current Defense waiver policy was subject to interpretation, the Ada Joint Program Office¹ and the Ada Executive Officials² formed a working group to define a consistent waiver policy. At the time of our review, the working group had agreed on a consistent approach to Ada waivers, and developed a draft policy. OSD officials plan to consider this draft waiver policy as they update Defense software policies, including the waiver policy, by the end of 1991. Interim guidance on waivers may be issued sooner. Also, OSD officials intend to ensure that Defense policies are consistently interpreted and uniformly applied by reviewing the services' Ada policies.

Officials of the Department of Defense provided comments on a draft of this report. These comments have been incorporated where appropriate.

We performed our work in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, from June to August 1991. We interviewed Defense officials responsible for issuing or implementing Ada policy at the OSD level, in the Air Force, Army, and Navy. We analyzed their policies on Ada in regard to information systems, automated weapon systems, and waivers. In addition, we met with individuals at the AJPO to discuss the merits and progress made on a consistent waiver policy among services.

We are providing copies of this report to the Secretary of Defense; the Secretaries of the Air Force, Army, and Navy; and other interested parties. We will also make copies available to others upon request.

¹The Ada Joint Program Office (AJPO) is the Defense organization that manages the effort to implement, introduce, and provide life-cycle support for the Ada programming language.

²Ada Executive Officials were high-level representatives in each Defense service and component, designated by Defense Directive 3405.2, Use of Ada in Weapon Systems, to monitor and support Ada use. When Defense Instruction 5000.2, Defense Acquisition Management Policies and Procedures, superceded Defense Directive 3405.2 in February 1991, the Ada Executive Official in each service was replaced by a senior-level Software Executive Official who is the focal point for Ada usage and sound software engineering policy and practice.

Should you have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 275-4649. Other major contributors are listed in appendix II.

Sincerely yours,

front MBankin

Samuel W. Bowlin Director, Defense and Security Information Systems

	B-245195		*****
v			
	Page 5	GAO/IMTEC-91-70BR Policies and Plans for	Implementing Ada

4 m ---

a

Contents

Letter	1
Appendix I Briefing Charts	8
Appendix II Major Contributors to This Report	16

Abbreviations

- AEO Ada Executive Official
- AJPO Ada Joint Program Office
- DOD Department of Defense
- GAO General Accounting Office
- HOL high-order language
- IMTEC Information Management and Technology Division
- OSD Office of the Secretary of Defense

Page 6



Page 7

GAO/IMTEC-91-70BR Policies and Plans for Implementing Ada

GAO	Information Management and Technology Division
	Survey of the Ada Programming Language
	Presented to: Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives





GAO	Interpretation of DOD Policies Varied
	Services apply policy differently
	 Army and Air Force required Ada in automated weapon and information systems
	 Navy required Ada for weapon systems; Ada or approved HOL for information systems
	Services have different waiver policies

ų

GAO/IMTEC-91-70BR Policies and Plans for Implementing Ada









Appendix II Major Contributors to This Report

Information Management and Technology Division, Washington, D.C. Leonard J. Latham, Assistant Director Colleen M. Phillips, Evaluator-in-Charge Paula F. Bridickas, Computer Scientist

Ordering Information

وإرغاقا الربع مرمد محمد

the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to discounted 25 percent. 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following The first five copies of each GAO report are free. Additional

U.S. General Accounting Office P. O. Box 6015 Gaithersburg, MD 20877

Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 275-6241.

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548 First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100