AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-15-2-0025

TITLE: Evaluation of a Brief Marriage Intervention for Internal Behavioral Health Consultants in Military Primary Care

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. Jeffrey A. Cigrang

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Wright State University Dayton, OH 45402

REPORT DATE: 30 September 2019

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report Year 4

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

R	EPORT DOC				Form Approved
			-	wing instructions sear	OMB No. 0704-0188 ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be	and reviewing this collection of ir lefense, Washington Headquart aware that notwithstanding any EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	of the second	arding this burden estimate or an mation Operations and Reports a shall be subject to any penalty t	y other aspect of this c (0704-0188), 1215 Jeff for failing to comply with	ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- h a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		-	DATES COVERED
30 Sep 2019		Annual Report Y	ear 4		Sep 18 to 31 Aug 19
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT					
Evaluation of	a Brief Marria	age Interventio	n for Internal		1XWH-15-2-0025
Behavioral Hea	alth Consultant	ts in Military	Primary Care	5b.	GRANT NUMBER
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
Jeffrey A. Cio	grang, Ph.D., A	ABPP		5e.	TASK NUMBER
E Maile Toffer		t odu		5f.	WORK UNIT NUMBER
7 PERFORMING OP	.cigrang@wright GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS/ES)		2 1	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
WRIGHT STATE U 3640 COLONEL (JNIVERSITY	/		-	NUMBER
DAYTON OH 4543					
		IAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. Army Medica	Research and Mat	teriel Command			
Fort Detrick, Maryl	and 21702-5012			11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATEN	IENT			
Approved for Publ	ic Release; Distribu	tion Unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	YNOTES				
participants is (Lackland AFB condition comp at the one-mor participants s for a six-mont All regulatory	<pre>Into the study; & Wright-Patter oleted all three oth (96%) and s still pending of th no-cost exter y requirements</pre>	e target N is 4 erson AFB). Th ee appointments six-month follo completion of s ension to compl	82) using our t e vast majority . Survey compi w-up (95%) poin ix-month follow ete final follow (IRB Annual Pro	two remaini y (86%) ass letion rate nts are hig w-up survey ow-up surve ogress Repo	ment target (enrolled 490 ing active data sites signed to the treatment es for treatment completers gh. Currently we have 18 y. We received approval ey and begin data analyses. ort & HRPO Continuing d March 2019.
15. SUBJECT TERMS		rolationa com	plo thoras	nimanı aara	<u></u>
		relations, cou		-	
16. SECURITY CLASS Unclassified	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	14	····· 7
					Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Keywords	4
3.	Accomplishments	4
4.	Impact	8
5.	Changes/Problems	9
6.	Products	9
7.	Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	10
8.	Special Reporting Requirements	13
9.	Appendices	14

Page

Evaluation of a Brief Marriage Intervention for Internal Behavioral Health Consultants

in Military Primary Care

Year 4 Annual Report

Introduction

The most challenging community problems faced by senior military leaders are closely linked to the quality of marriage relationships. These include family violence, spouse maltreatment, and suicide. Despite the potential high costs of chronic marital distress, very few couples seek therapy. Thus, there is a substantial need in the military for early detection and preventative care for deteriorating couples. The Marriage Checkup (MC) addresses this issue by providing a less-threatening option for couples to seek early preventative care before they have begun to identify as distressed. In our pilot study the original MC was adapted for military couples and fit into the fast-paced environment of primary care. The results of the pilot study provide preliminary evidence suggesting that the MC can be effectively adapted to a military population, and successfully used by behavioral health consultants (BHCs) working in an integrated primary care clinic. The overall purpose of the current study is to build on the pilot study findings by conducting a randomized trial of the military-adapted MC delivered in primary care by BHCs. Specific Aims of the study are (1) conduct a randomized trial comparing MC for use in military primary care clinics to a wait list control condition, (2) examine the effects of MC participation on relationship health at post-treatment and a six-month follow-up period, and (3) determine whether the MC is successful at reaching couples at risk for marital deterioration who would otherwise be unlikely to seek traditional couple counseling. The randomized trial is being conducted at four military primary care clinics at Lackland, Andrews, Luke, and Wright-Patterson Air Force Bases.

Keywords

Military personnel, marital relations, couple therapy, primary care

Accomplishments

	Ti	meline
Major Task 1: Complete all preparatory work for project start.	Months	% complete
Subtask 1: Complete Research Protocol and Regulatory Documents		
Finalize baseline and follow-up measures; prepare data recording forms	1-3	100%
Establish process for participant incentive payments	1-3	100%
Refine eligibility criteria, exclusion criteria, screening protocol	1-3	100%
Finalize consent form & human subjects protocol	1-3	100%
Finalize methods for participant recruitment	3-6	100%
Purchase, establish use of IPADS for facilitating on-line baseline assessments	3-6	100%
Coordinate with Sites for flow chart for all study steps, web data collection and database requirements	3-6	100%
Coordinate with Sites for IRB protocol submission	1-6	100%
Coordinate with Sites for State University IRB review	1-6	100%

1. What were the major goals of the project?

(ORP/HRPO)	1-6	100%
Submit IRB amendments as needed	1-6	100%
Coordinate with Sites for annual IRB report for continuing review	1-6	100%
Milestone Achieved: Local IRB approval at all research sites and Universities	3	100%
Milestone Achieved: HRPO approval for all protocols and local IRB approval through State Univ.	6	100%
Subtask 2: Hiring and Training of Study Staff		
Coordinate with WSU Human Resources for job description design	1-2	100%
Advertise, interview and hire site-specific study coordinators	2-5	100%
Coordinate for space allocation for new staff at data collection sites	5-6	100%
Coordinate military base access for study coordinators	5-6	100%
Travel to sites to train IBHCs and study coordinators	7-9	100%
Milestone Achieved: Study coordinators hired	6	100%
Milestone Achieved: IBHCs and study coordinators trained	9 (Actual date: 6/23/16)	100%
Milestone Achieved: All sites 100% ready for beginning RCT	9	100%
	(Actual date: 6/23/16)	
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv	date: 6/23/16)	nent
	date: 6/23/16)	nent
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15	nent 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn	
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15	100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15 10-15	100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base management	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base management Conduct weekly clinical supervision with IBHCs	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base management Conduct weekly clinical supervision with IBHCs Conduct weekly supervision with study coordinators Submit amendments, adverse events and protocol deviations as needed	date: 6/23/16) ention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base management Conduct weekly clinical supervision with IBHCs Conduct weekly supervision with study coordinators Submit amendments, adverse events and protocol deviations as needed Perform data analyses; share output and finding with all investigators Meet recruitment targets (3 couples per site per mo. /total 144 all sites	date: 6/23/16) rention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
Major Task 2: Begin RCT; Participant recruitment, interv Conduct participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up assessments Conduct random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site Ensure process for securing documents w/ personal identifiers in PI's office Conduct website data transfer, data quality checks, data base management Conduct weekly clinical supervision with IBHCs Conduct weekly supervision with study coordinators	date: 6/23/16) ention, assessn 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15 10-15	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%

Continue participant recruitment, baseline and 1-month follow-up		
assessments	16-21	100%
Continue random assignment to MC or wait list stratified by site	16-21	100%
Continue weekly clinical supervision with IBHC's	16-21	100%
Continue weekly supervision with study coordinators	16-21	100%
Continue website data transfer, data quality check, data base management	16-21	100%
Meet recruitment targets (241 couples by the end of year 4 quarter 2)	16-21	100% as of Feb19
Submit amendments, adverse events and protocol deviations as needed	16-21	100%
Begin 6 mo. Follow-up assessments	16	100%
Begin offering MC to participants in wait list condition	16	100%
Perform data analyses; share output and findings with all investigators	20-21	0%

2. What was accomplished under these goals?

Protocol-level accomplishments:

- a. Processes and documentation required for participant consenting, administering and management of baselines measures, providing incentive payments, and obtaining and managing follow-up measures IAW IRBapproved protocol are all established and functioning effectively.
- b. The team holds a monthly teleconference to discuss updates and recruitment plans.
- c. In February 2019 we met our enrollment target (enrolled 490 participants into the study; target N is 482) using our two remaining active data sites (Lackland AFB & Wright-Patterson AFB). The majority (86%) assigned to the treatment condition completed all three appointments.
- d. Survey completion rates for treatment completers at the one-month (96%) and six-month follow-up (95%) points are high. Currently we have 18 participants still pending completion of six-month follow-up survey (see attached CONSORT chart).

Site-Specific Accomplishments:

Lackland AFB, Texas.

a. Maj Abby Fields continues to serve as the sole therapist at Lackland AFB. Maj Fields provided the MC to all treatment couples enrolled at Lackland AFB and any continues to provide the MC to any control couples that are interested in receiving the MC upon completion of their final six-month survey. Ms. Michaela Rogers continues to serve as the RA at Lackland AFB. Ms. Rogers worked closely with Maj Fields to recruit and consent couples into the study. Currently, participant recruitment has concluded and Ms. Rogers is continuing to follow already enrolled participants with follow-up survey questionnaires. The last treatment and control couples are "due" for their final questionnaires on 2 October 2019 and data collection will conclude.. A total of 59 participants (29 couples 1 individual) were enrolled at Lackland in the past year.

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio

- a. Following Dr. Martorano's retirement in January 2019, Maj Michael Ann Glotfelter served as the therapist at WPAFB. Ms. Ashley Fedynich and Dr. Jeff Cigrang worked closely with Dr. Martorano and Maj Glotfleter throughout the year to recruit and consent couples at WPAFB. Currently, Ms. Fedynich is continuing to follow already enrolled participants with follow-up survey questionnaires. The last treatment and control couples are "due" for their final questionnaires on 7 November 2019 and data collection will conclude. A total of 24 participants (12 couples) were enrolled at Wright-Patterson in the past year.
- 3. <u>What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided</u>?

This project provided initial certification training to conduct Marriage Checkup for Primary Care to nine Internal Behavioral Health Consultants (IBHCs) at four medical treatment facilities in the Air Force. The project also provides ongoing clinical supervision to the nine IBHC. These nine psychologists are quickly becoming the most experienced therapists in the world for implementation of a brief relationship intervention in the primary care setting. Their growing expertise will serve as the foundation in the future for effective dissemination and implementation of Marriage Checkup for primary care in the DoD.

- 4. How were the results disseminated to communities of interest? N/A
- 5. What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
 - a. Complete handful of remaining follow-up surveys
 - b. Offer Marriage Checkup to any remaining control couples following completion of their six-month survey.
 - c. Begin data analysis and report writing

6. Impact

1. What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

The principle disciplinary field of the project is behavioral health services in an integrated primary care context. Our experience on the project over the past four years has convinced us that brief relationship assistance can be effectively incorporated into primary care. Our primary care therapists have consistently reported that they enjoy conducting Marriage Checkup and that it is a positive experience for the couples who participate.

2. What was the impact on other disciplines?

The primary care clinic teams at our four data collection sites now have an in-house resource for referring patients who are interested in and may benefit from brief consultation for improving their marital relationship.

- 3. What was the impact on technology transfer? Nothing to report
- 4. What was the impact on society beyond science and technology? Nothing to report

Changes/Problems

1. None

Products Nothing to report

Participants & Other Collaborating Organization Participants listed in the table below are those currently active on the project. For a list that includes current and previous participants please see most recent quarterly report.

Name	Project Role	Nearest person month worked	Contribution to Project	Funding Support
Dr. Jeffrey A. Cigrang	PI	6	Served as the Principal Investigator for the Marriage Checkup (MC) project. Led effort to prepare, submit, & obtain final IRB approval. Worked with WSU Human Resources to complete all tasks necessary to post positions and hire research assistants. Oversaw work done by subcontract team at Clark University. Coordinated all aspects of study with Air Force.	NA

Du Iomaa	C _o DI	E	Duine out accessibility for	UC
Dr. James Cordova	Co-PI	6	Primary responsibility for clinical supervision of study therapists. Oversaw all aspects of study at Clark University. Participated in weekly team teleconferences that include providing clinical supervision to therapists on MC.	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Capt Abby Fields	Co-PI	6	Served as Air Force PI for IRB purposes. Served as therapist seeing couples enrolled in study at Wilford Hall Medical Center. Helped supervise Research Assistants (Rosalyn Pace, Virginia Cruse, Sarah Young, and currently Michaela Rogers). Participated in team teleconferences.	NA
Maj Michael Ann Glotfelter	AI	6	Served as therapist seeing couples enrolled in study at Wilford Hall Medical Center in 2016. Most recently, Maj Glotfelter is serving as the local PI at Wrgiht-Patterson AFB.	NA
Ashley Fedynich	Graduate Student and Research Assistant	6	Had primary responsibility for revisions to IRB and other regulatory documents. Prepared drafts of quarterly reports. Took lead in orienting new RAs to study, assisted in completion of their IRB requirements. Scheduled team teleconferences. Prepared documents required for HRPO submission. Served as the local RA at WPAFB Helped development and implement participant recruitment plan. Served	NA

		as primary POC for study	
		at Wright-Patterson AFB.	
		Scheduled, consented,	
		completed baseline	
		assessments for all	
		participants.	
Emily Maher	Graduate	Took over duties from	NA
	Student	Tatiana Gray at the end of	
		September 2016. Primary	
		team member responsible	
		for use of on-line follow-	
		up surveys. Monitors	
		implementation,	
		downloads de-identified	
		results, converts to	
		database for statistical	
		analyses. Prepared minutes from MC weekly	
		teleconferences. Assisted	
		Ashley in conducting	
		weekly teleconferences for	
5 5		the Research Assistants.	
Dr. Dawn-	AI	Served as therapist seeing	NA
Kessler Walker		couples enrolled in study	
		at Wilford Hall Medical	
		Center starting in January	
		2017. Helped supervise	
		RA (Rosalyn Pace).	
		Participated in weekly	
		team teleconferences.	
Michaela	Research	In August 2018, Michaela	
Rogers	Assistant	took over duties as the	
		Research Assistant at	
		Lackland AFB and	
		Randolph AFB from Sarah	
		Young. Michaela will	
		implement a participant	
		recruitment plan and serve	
		as primary POC for the	
		study at Lackland and	
		Randolph AFB. She will	
		manage scheduling,	
		consenting, and	
		completion of baseline	
		assessments for all	
		participants.	

Raymond	AI	Dr. Martorano served as a
Martorano		therapist seeing couples in
		the study at Wright-
		Patterson AFB starting in
		April of 2018. Dr.
		Martorano has assisted
		with the supervision of the
		local RA's (Ashley
		Fedynich and Dr. Jeff
		Cigrang) and participated
		in monthly team
		teleconferences.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

No changes

Special Reporting Requirements

See attached quad chart

Appendices

- a. Participant Enrollment: Actual versus Projected.
- b. COHORT chart
- c. Quad Chart

Evaluation of a Brief Marriage Intervention for Internal Behavioral Health Consultants in Primary Care

Log #14227006, W81XWH-15-2-0025

PI: Jeffrey A. Cigrang, Ph.D., ABPP

Org: Wright State University

niversity Award Amount: \$878,979

Study/Product Aim(s)

• Recruit service members and their partners who are in committed romantic relationships to participate in a Marriage Checkup (MC) delivered by Integrated Behavioral Health Consultants (IBHC) in primary care.

• Evaluate the efficacy of MC by comparing couples who receive MC to couples on a wait list control condition.

• Determine the ability of MC to attract military couples across a broad range of relationship functioning.

• Obtain qualitative data from IBHCs and couples after conclusion of the intervention study portion to measure MC implementation and factors impacting success.

Approach

Conduct a randomized clinical trial (N=240 couples) at four primary care clinics in the Air Force to evaluate effects of MC participation.

Timeline and Cost						
Activities CY	15	16	17	18		
Preparatory work for project start						
Begin RCT; meet recruitment targets						
Continue RCT; report 6 mo. f/u results						
Complete RCT and qualitative data collection						
Estimated Budget (\$878,979)	\$20,300	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$158,679		

Approved by: Juwanna Higgins Digitally signed by Juwanna Higgins Date: 2019.09.26 11:00:03 -04'00'



Accomplishments: (1) Achieved 100% of projected enrollment goal, (2) effectively completing 1 month & 6 month follow-up surveys (3) granted six-month no-cost extension to complete all surveys, begin analyses.

Goals/Milestones

CY15 Goal - Complete preparatory work for project start

- $\checkmark\,$ Obtain IRB approval at all sites and Universities
- $\checkmark\,$ Hire site-specific study coordinators.

CY16 Goals - Begin RCT

- ✓ Obtain HRPO approval
- $\checkmark\,$ Complete training for study coordinators and therapists.
- $\checkmark\,$ Begin participant consenting; meet recruitment targets
- CY17 Goal Continue RCT

✓ Continue participant consenting, follow-up survey completion.
CY18 Goal – Continue RCT

 \checkmark Continue participant consenting, follow-up survey completion CY19 Goal – Complete RCT

 $\hfill\square$ Complete follow-up surveys, analyze and share results

Budget Expenditure to Date

Projected Expenditure: \$878,979.00

Actual Expenditure: \$796,750.30 through 8/31/19







