AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-18-1-0508

TITLE: Interactions of Gut Microbiome, Genetic Susceptibility, and Environmental Factors in Parkinson's Disease

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Haydeh Payami, PhD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL 35294

REPORT DATE: September 2019

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

					Form Approved		
			NPAGE		OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this data needed, and completing a	collection of information is estin and reviewing this collection of in	nated to average 1 hour per resp nformation. Send comments rega	onse, including the time for revie arding this burden estimate or an	wing instructions, searc	thing existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ellection of information, including suggestions for reducing		
this burden to Department of D	efense, Washington Headquart	ers Services, Directorate for Infor	mation Operations and Reports	0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-		
valid OMB control number. PL	EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	R FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDR	ESS.	or raining to comply with			
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. D	DATES COVERED		
SEPTEMBER 2019)	Annual		0	1 Sep 2018 - 31 Aug 2019		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Interactions of Gut Microbiome, Genetic Susceptibility, and Environ			nmental Factors in	5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. W8	GRANT NUMBER 1XWH-18-1-0508		
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Havdeh Pavami, PhD				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e.	TASK NUMBER		
E-Mail: baydeboay	ami@uabmc edu			5f. 1	WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8 P	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT		
				N	IUMBER		
University of	Alabama at						
Birmingham							
UAB							
701 S 20 th St							
Birmingham, AI	35294-0001						
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
U.S. Army Medica	Research and Ma	teriel Command					
Fort Detrick, Maryl	and 21702-5012			11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT		
				NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT							
Approved for Dublic Delegant Distribution Unlimited							
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
14. ABSTRACT Gene	etic and environme	ntal factors explain a	fraction of Parkins	on's disease ri	sk, prompting the question if the		
microorganisms in	the gut hold the tri	gger. Attempts to ide	entify PD-associated	d microorganis	sms have produced inconsistent		
results. It is unknown if low reproducibility can be overcome by rigorous study design or its unsurmountable due to dynamic							
nature of microbiome. Our aim was to determine if it is possible to attain robust and reproducible association signal with gut							
rigor from CMAS	i so, to identify the	for volidation		DIUSIS IN PAIK	DNA from stool investigated 46		
ngui nun GWAS,	are conducted mic	robiomo wido accor	viotion study with tw	-region using	DINA ITOITI SIOOI, ITVESIIYaled 40		
	work analysis to inf	or intoractions 15 a	anon sludy with tw	tod with Parki	acon's at microbiomo wido		
significance level	in both datasets w	ith both methods, wi	th or without covari	teu with Farking	The associations were not		
significance rever, in both datasets, with both methods, with or without covariate adjustment. The associations were not independent rather represented 3 polymicrohial clusters. Cluster 1 was composed of opportunistic pathogens; all were							
alevated in PD. Cluster 2 were short-chain-fatty-acid producing bacteria: all were reduced in PD. Cluster 3 were carbobydrate-							
metabolizer probiotics: elevated in PD. In conclusion robust reproducible results are attainable. Overabundance of							
pathogens in PD gut is a novel finding and their identity provides the lead to experimentally test their role in triagering disease.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
Parkinson disease, gut microbiome, opportunistic pathogens, short-chain fatty acids, probiotics.							
		OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	USAMRMC			
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area		
			Unclassified	13	code)		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified					

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Keywords	4
3.	Accomplishments	4
4.	Impact	8
5.	Changes/Problems	9
6.	Products	10
7.	Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	11
8.	Special Reporting Requirements	12
9.	Appendices	N/A

• INTRODUCTION:

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a common, progressive and debilitating disease which currently cannot be prevented or cured. Numerous genetic and environmental risk factors have been identified but none is sufficient to cause disease, not individually, not in combination, and not in interaction. There must be more to gene-environment interaction in PD than the human genome and the environmental factors that we know of. This proposal is based on new evidence that has revealed the gut microbiome is a key player in pathogenesis of PD. The project will identify the microbial pathways that contribute to PD pathogenesis, and identify the specific microorganism that interact with genetic and environmental risk factors.

• **KEYWORDS**:

Parkinson's disease, gut microbiome, genetics, environmental factors

• ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

• What were the major goals of the project?

See next page.

Major Goals		% Complete	
Aim 1: Delineate the dysbiosis of microbiome in PD			
Major Task 1: Enrollment of 500 PD cases and 300 controls			
Subtask 1: Identifying potential subjects in the clinic. 500 PD cases and 300 controls	1-20	79%	
 Subtask 2: Enrolling subjects. 500 PD cases and 300 controls Consent Blood draw in clinic, Collecting Environmental Exposure Questionnaire, Give subjects stool collection kit, go over the instructions on collecting stool and filling out Gut Microbiome Questionnaire and mailing them back. 	1-20	 Consent 79% Blood, saliva 63% Returned EEQ 54% Returned stool & GMQ 54% 	
500 PD cases and 300 controls			
 Subtask 1: Extracting DNA at UAB Core. 500 PD cases and 300 controls Human DNA and plasma from blood. 500 PD cases and 300 controls Microbiome DNA from stool. 500 PD cases and 300 controls 	1-20	11% Human DNA	
Subtask 2: Banking serum, PAXgene, and DNA in -80 freezers. 500 PD cases and 300 controls	1-20	79%	
 Subtask 3: Data entry 500 PD cases and 300 controls Environmental Exposure Questionnaire. 500 PD cases and 300 controls Gut Microbiome Questionnaire. 500 PD cases and 300 controls Data extracted from medical records. 500 PD cases. 	1-20		
Major Task 3: Data generation.			
Subtask 1: Genotyping will be done in two batches at the end of year 1 and year 2 at Genotyping Core of HudsonAlpha Inst. For Biotechnology. 250 PD cases, 150 controls in batch 1 (month 11-13), and 250 PD cases, 150 controls in batch 2 (month 21-23)	11-13 and 21- 23		
Subtask 2: Sequencing microbiome will be done in two batches at the end of year 1 and year 2 at Sequencing Core of HudsonAlpha Inst. for Biotechnology. 250 PD cases, 150 controls in batch 1 (month 11-13), and 250 PD cases, 150 controls in batch 2 (month 21-23)	11-13 and 21- 23		
Subtask 3: QC Approximately 250 cases, 150 controls in batch 1 (month 11-13), and 250 cases, 150 controls in batch 2 (month 21-23), and all data combined 500 cases and 300 controls. Genotypes Sequences	14-16 and 24- 26		
Major Task 4: Data analysis Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls Meta analysis =1039 PD cases, 607 controls.			
Assemble exposure, genotype and microbiome data, assemble covariate and confounder data, conduct bioinformatics, and statistics analysis on dataset 1 (enrolled prior to this study, data will be analyzed here), dataset 2 in two batches genotyped in year 1 and 2, meta-analysis of dataset 1 and 2. Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls Meta analysis=1039 PD cases, 607 controls. <i>Milestone #1: Co-author manuscript on in-depth characterization of dysbiosis of</i>	1-24	Dataset 1 completed.	
microbiome in PD	24		

Major Goals	Timeline in	% Complete
	months	
Aim 2: Investigate the interaction of the microbiome with the host genome		
Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls		
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls		
in A im 1)		
Dataset 1 – 530 PD cases 307 controls		
Dataset 2 = 500 PD cases 300 controls		
Subtask 1: Metagenome-wide interaction test with each of 28 PD suscentibility		
loci starting with the highest GWAS neak (SNCA) and working down the list		
sequentially, in dataset 1.		
Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls		
Subtade 2. Derlighte in detect 2		
Sublask 2: Replicate in dataset 2 Detest 2 = 500 PD acces 200 controls		
Dataset 2– 500 FD cases, 500 controls		
Subtask 3: delineate the replicated findings at species/strain level	25-36	
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls		
Subtask 4: discern gene function and pathway		
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls		
Subtask 5: use two datasets explore microbiome x host genome interaction both		
genome-wide		
Datasets 1 and $2=1039$ PD cases, 607 controls.		
Milestone #2: Co-author (several) manuscripts on the interaction of microbiome	36	
and host genome on PD		
Aim 3: Investigate the interaction of the microbiome with the environmental risk		
factors		
Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls		
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 500 controls		
generated cleaned and assembled in Aim 1)		
Dataset 1 – 539 PD cases 307 controls		
Dataset $2 = 500$ PD cases, 300 controls		
Subtask 1: test effects of smoking/caffeine on microbiome in dataset 1		
Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls		
Subtask 2: conduct metagenome-wide interaction test with smoking/caffeine in		
dataset 1,		
Dataset 1= 539 PD cases, 307 controls		
Subtade 2. Demliante in deterret 2	27 42	
Sublask 5: Replicate in dataset 2 Detect $2 = 500$ PD coses 300 controls	57-42	
Dataset 2– 500 FD cases, 500 controls		
Subtask 4: delineate the replicated findings at species/strain level		
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls		
Subtask 5: discern gene function and pathway		
Dataset 2= 500 PD cases, 300 controls		
Milestone #3: Co-author manuscripts on the interaction of smoking, microbiome	36	
and PD		
Milestone #4: Co-author manuscripts on the interaction of caffeine, microbiome	36	
ana PD		

Major Goals	Timeline in months	% Complete
Aim 4. Develop a microbiome-based predictive biomarker		
1039 PD cases, 607 controls, 100 RBD cases		
Major Task 1: Enrollment of 100 RBD cases		
Subtask 1: Identifying and enrolling subjects with RBD without PD or		
neurological symptoms		
100 RBD cases		
• Consent		
Blood draw in clinic,		
Collecting Environmental Exposure Questionnaire,	1-48	10%
• Give subjects stool kit, go over instructions on collecting stool and		
filling out Gut Microbiome Questionnaire and mailing them back to		
the attending physician		
 Send questionnaires and specimen to Payami lab 		
Send data on conversion to PD to Payami lab		
Major task 2: Specimen/Data processing (as in aim 1)	1-48	10%
100 RBD cases	1-40	1078
Major task 3: Data generation (as in aim 1)		
100 RBD cases		
Subtask 1: genotyping (as aim 1)		
100 RBD cases		
Subtask 2: sequencing (as in aim 1)		
100 RBD cases	42-45	
Subtask 3: QC (as in aim 1)		
100 RBD cases		
Major task 4: Data analysis	15-18	
100 RBD cases – using results from 1039 PD cases, 607 controls	07-70	
Milestone #5: Co-author manuscript on microbiome/RBD/PD	36	

• What was accomplished under these goals?

Enrollment. We have enrolled 639 new subjects (481 PD cases+148 controls+ 10 RBD) under DoD protocol that will constitute Dataset 2 when completed. We are ahead of targeted enrolment for PD. We are behind on the targeted enrollment for controls, because many patients are not bringing a spouse to their clinic visit. To expand our reach for controls beyond spouses in clinic, we obtained IRB approval to recruit from outside clinic. We have posted fliers at the University and have begun to get volunteers. We are behind in RBD enrolment because the Montreal site is still awaiting for HRPO approval to begin enrolment. 30% of subjects who consent to study and take the packet home do not return the stool sample, despite emphasis at clinic and follow-up calls. Hence we need to enroll larger numbers to meet the targeted goal with complete data. **Data analysis** The raw data for Dataset 1 was in hand at start of this grant and was planned to get cleaned and analyzed as part of this grant. 16S rRNA sequences and metadata for dataset 1 was interrogated for quality control. DATA2 was used for bioinformatic pipeline, and SILVA for taxonomic assignment. Global composition of microbiome was tested using PERMANOVA . Thirteen methods for differential abundance testing were assessed and two were chosen for analysis. Population structure was detected using principal component analysis. Covariates were interrogated as potential confounders by (a) inclusion as covariate in model and adjusting (b) stratified analysis.

Major findings. Within dataset 1, unexpected difference in gut microbiome was found between data collected in Alabama vs. data collected in Seattle, New York and Atlanta. Since this so called "population structure" is a well-known confounder for association studies, the geographic sites were kept separate and analyzed in parallel. Dysbiosis of gut microbiome in PD was evident and highly significant irrespective of geography and confounders. We detected overabundance of opportunistic pathogens and reduced levels of short-chain fatty acid producing organisms in the gut microbiome of persons with PD.

• What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

Zachary Wallen is a graduate student working full time on this project. He is being personally trained by the PI. He has conducted bioinformatic and statistical analyses of dataset 1 outlined above. He attended and presented a poster at the 5th World Parkinson Congress, June 2019, Kyoto, Japan. The received a travel Award from the Congress; and his Abstract was in top 25% and chosen for additional evening poster tour.

• How were the results disseminated to communities of interest? Nothing to report.

• What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

Aim 1.

Major task 1:

- continue and complete enrolment of PD cases and controls Major task 2:
 - extract DNA from blood, saliva and stool
 - Bank DNA
 - Begin data entry of dataset 2

Major task 3:

- GWAS genotyping the first batch of dataset 2 (250 PD, 150 controls)
- Sequence metagenome the first batch dataset 2 (250 PD, 150 controls)

Major Task 4

• Data analysis

Reach Milestone 1:

• Publish a paper on characterization of the dysbiosis of gut microbiome in PD

Aim 4

Major Task 1

- Continue to enroll RBD subjects at UAB
- Begin enrolling RBD at Montreal site.
- IMPACT:

• What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

Nothing to report

- What was the impact on other disciplines? Nothing to report.
 - What was the impact on technology transfer?

Nothing to report

• What was the impact on society beyond science and technology? Nothing to report

• CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

• Changes in approach and reasons for change

Nothing to report

• Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

- Problem: We are behind in enrollment of controls. We had relied on generosity of spouses of patients, but many patients come to clinic alone, and many spouses are not willing to participate
- Corrective action: We have obtained IRB approval to post fliers at the University and the Hospital for healthy volunteers. We also obtained IRB approval and cooperation of memory disorder clinic clinicians to recruit spouses and caregiver.
- Problem: Up to 30% of individuals who agree to the study do not return the take home part which includes stool and questionnaires.
- Corrective action: We tried different strategies to increase compliance (see below) but we are stuck with 70% compliance, and the only way we can make up for non-compliance is to enroll more subjects than we projected to reach the projected numbers with complete data.
 - (1) We made an effort to emphasize in clinic during recruitment that only if they are willing and able to complete the take home part, especially the stool, they should enroll in study. They agree, yet 30% do not comply.
 - (2) For a period, we made follow-up calls with reminders, some say they forgot, promise to send it, but they don't. Some say they lost it, ask for another kit, we send them a replacement, and still they don't return. We have learned if they do not send the kit back in a week or two after enrollment, they are not going to.
- Problem: We have not started enrolment at the Montreal site. There was a delay in HRPO review due to personnel change, but they are now back on track working with HRPO and their IRB to get HRPO approval.
- Correction action: We contacted Dr. Stephen Grate and he helped identify the source of initial delay and got the paperwork back on track for HRPO review.

We do not anticipate any major problems, because we are identifying problems and taking immediate action to stay on course. The only concern for which we have no control is getting HRPO approval so Montreal can begin enrollment.

• Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

No lasting changes. We would like to explain why our actual expenditure (\$287,561 including indirect) is less than the projected budget (\$594,834 including indirect) for year 1, noting that it reflects only a delay, and we are confident we can catch up and carry out the projects as proposed, albeit with a few months adjustment.

- The largest unspent item is DNA extraction, sequencing and genotyping. We had proposed to process the specimen in two batches of 250 cases and 150 controls staring in year 1 (DNA extraction from blood and stool, sequencing metagenome and GWAS genotyping, \$194,000 (direct) x1.48 (indirect) = \$288,000 in year 1). However, we have exceeded enrolment of cases and but are behind on controls. If we proceed now, we will have an imbalance (i.e., mostly cases in year 1 and mostly controls in year 2) that will cause a batch effect, a well-known confounder that can skew results. Hence we are waiting until we reach a scientifically balanced number of cases and controls before processing them, which we anticipate will happen in year 2.
- Another unspent item is the subaward with Montreal (\$23,000 year 1). They are still waiting for HRPO approval. Montreal site is confident they can catch up, hence we will carry forward their subaward from year 1.
 - Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Nothing to report

- Significant changes in use or care of human subjects Nothing to report
 - \circ $\;$ Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.

Nothing to report

• Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

• **PRODUCTS:**

Nothing to report

• Publications, conference papers, and presentations

Journal publications. Nothing to report

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications. Nothing to report Other publications, conference papers, and presentations. Nothing to report Website(s) or other Internet site(s) Nothing to report Technologies or techniques Nothing to report Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses Nothing to report

• Other Products

- data or databases: We have demographic, clinical and exposure data on subjects enrolled in the study. Currently, they are on paper. An electronic database is being built to enter the data.
- biospecimen collections: We have blood or saliva on all subjects enrolled in the study. We have received stool sample from ~70% of subjects (we aimed for 100%, 30% are non-compliant)

• PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

• What individuals have worked on the project?

Name:	Haydeh Payami, PhD			
Project Role:	Principal Investigator			
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	0 ID): 0000-0001-9084-5338			
Nearest person month worked:	3.9 CM			
Contribution to Project:	Responsible for the study. Implemented the study, nanages the daily activities, ensuring standardized and igorous study material and methods of subject election. Tracks and logs and coordinates subjects selection, enrollment, data processing, and banking.(b) enrolls RBD subjects at UAB.			
Name:	Mary Appah			
Project Role:	Data Analyst			
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	DID): N/A			
Nearest person month worked:	3.3 CM			
Contribution to Project:	Data manager. Assists with flow of data collection, error control, and manages database.			
Name:	Wanda Hall			
Project Role:	Subject Recruitment, Staff of UAB CCTS Clinical Research Support Program			
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	DID): N/A			
Nearest person month worked:	10-20 hrs per week, charged as hourly service to CCTS			
Contribution to Project:	Subject enrollment			
Name:	Jake Orr			
Project Role:	Subject Recruitment			
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	DID): N/A			
Nearest person month worked:	I CM			
Contribution to Project:	Subject enrollment			

Name:	Marissa Dean, MD
Project Role:	Movement Disorder Specialist Neurologist
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	DID): N/A
Nearest person month worked:	0.57 CM
Contribution to Project:	Identification of qualified subjects for enrollment
Name:	Zachary Wallen, MS*
Project Role:	Graduate student
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID	DID): N/A
Nearest person month worked:	12 CM
Contribution to Project:	Bioinformatics and statistical analysis, Biospecimen
processing	

* Zachary Wallen is a graduate students working full time on this grant. He is not paid by this DoD grant because he is on an NIH training grant. He has been working on this grant for the entire past year, since the start of the grant. We did not include him in this list for quarterly reports because we assumed it included only people who were paid by the grant. We noted *"regardless of the source of compensation"* in the instructions of this report.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period? Nothing to report

What other organizations were involved as partners? Nothing to report

- SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
 - COLLABORATIVE AWARDS: Nothing to report
 - QUAD CHARTS: Attached
- APPENDICES: None.

Interactions of gut microbiome, genetic susceptibility and environmental factors in Parkinson's disease PD170080 W81XWH1810508



PI: Haydeh Payami, PhD

Org: University of Alabama at Birmingham

Study/Product Aim(s)

•Hypothesis 1. Specific microorganisms in the gut contribute to the pathogenesis of PD.

•Hypothesis 2. Specific microorganisms determine if a genetically susceptible individual will develop PD.

•Hypothesis 3. The association of smoking and caffeine with PD is mediated by gut microbiome.

•Hypothesis 4. There exists a gut microbiome signature for prodromal PD.

Approach

We have begun enrolling the projected 500 persons with PD, 300 controls and 100 with RBD; we are collecting blood or saliva, stool, and environmental and clinical data for each subject. Later during the study we will generate genotypes, sequence the microbiome, and then analyze the data to test the 4 hypotheses.

Timeline and Cost

Activities	CY	18	19	20	21	22
Enrollment and data collection						
Genotyping human genome, sequencing gut microbiome						
Data analysis					1	
Publishing results						
Estimated Budget (\$	K)	\$198	\$590	\$489	\$330	\$254

Updated: 9/10/19



Created standardized tools and methods, obtained regulatory approvals, launched the study and have enrolled 508 subjects. Analyzed dataset 1 (existing), demonstrated dysbiosis in gut microbiome in PD and identified candidate microorganisms.

Goals/Milestones

CY18 Goals - Launch study to enroll PD, control, and RBD subjects.

 \checkmark Create study documents and obtain IRB and HRPO approval

- \checkmark Begin enrollment, collect blood, stool and environmental data
- CY19 Goal Continue data collection
- \checkmark Enrollment and data collection on PD, controls, RBD
- \Box Generate genome-wide genotype data
- \Box Generate microbiome sequence data

CY20, CY21, CY22 Goal

 $\Box \mbox{Continue}$ and complete data collection, genotyping and sequencing

□Data analysis

 \Box Manuscript publication

Comments/Challenges/Issues/Concerns

- If timelines change, comment here.
- If off by more than one quarter in spending, comment here.

Budget Expenditure to Date (9/1/18 – 8/31/19)

Projected Expenditure: \$594,834

Actual Expenditure: \$287,561