

## NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

# THESIS

CONTINUED MODERNIZATION OF THE NPS TRANSONIC COMPRESSOR TEST RIG

by

Keenan S. Harman

September 2019

Thesis Advisor: Second Reader: Anthony J. Gannon Garth V. Hobson

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form A No.	Approved OMB 5. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE September 2019	3. REPORT TY	T TYPE AND DATES COVERED Master's thesis		
<ul> <li>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE CONTINUED MODERNIZA COMPRESSOR TEST RIG</li> <li>6. AUTHOR(S) Keenan S. Harris A. Har</li></ul>	TION OF THE NPS TRANSONIC		5. FUNDING	G NUMBERS	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)8. PENaval Postgraduate SchoolORGMonterey, CA 93943-5000NUM				MING ATION REPORT	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) N/A			10. SPONSO MONITORI REPORT NI	ORING / ING AGENCY IUMBER	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NO</b> official policy or position of the second	<b>TES</b> The views expressed in this the Department of Defense or the U.	hesis are those of t S. Government.	he author and d	do not reflect the	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT12b. DISTRIBUTION CODEApproved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.A			RIBUTION CODE A		
<b>13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)</b> The research objective of this thesis is to continue the modernization efforts of the Naval Postgraduate School's transonic compressor test rig. The current transonic compressor rig, used for testing, research and development, was built in the 1960s and operates using a compressed air turbine drive. A new design that is more efficient, more robust and less maintenance-intensive will utilize an electric drive train as the prime mover. The project is building a new rig based on the designs of the current one. This research continued to model new components using Solidworks and conducted structural and fluid flow analysis of rotating parts using ANSYS Workbench and will be used to move toward further development, manufacturing and testing of the new rig.					
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> transonic compressor test rig, transonic compressor, compressor test rig			15. PA	5. NUMBER OF AGES 81	
			16.	5. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY</b> <b>CLASSIFICAT</b> <b>ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	ION OF AB	). LIMITATION OF BSTRACT UU	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

#### Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

### CONTINUED MODERNIZATION OF THE NPS TRANSONIC COMPRESSOR TEST RIG

Keenan S. Harman Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy BSME, University of Wisconsin, 2007

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

### NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL September 2019

Approved by: Anthony J. Gannon Advisor

> Garth V. Hobson Second Reader

Garth V. Hobson Chair, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

### ABSTRACT

The research objective of this thesis is to continue the modernization efforts of the Naval Postgraduate School's transonic compressor test rig. The current transonic compressor rig, used for testing, research and development, was built in the 1960s and operates using a compressed air turbine drive. A new design that is more efficient, more robust and less maintenance-intensive will utilize an electric drive train as the prime mover. The project is building a new rig based on the designs of the current one. This research continued to model new components using Solidworks and conducted structural and fluid flow analysis of rotating parts using ANSYS Workbench and will be used to move toward further development, manufacturing and testing of the new rig.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	DES	SIGN PROCESS	7
	А.	OVERVIEW	7
	B.	COMPONENT MODELING	8
	C.	MECHANICAL ANALYSIS	10
	D.	FLUID ANALYSIS	18
III.	DIS	CUSSION/CONCLUSION	23
IV.	FUT	URE WORK	25
APP	ENDI	X A. ANSYS MODAL ANALYSIS PROJECT REPORT	27
APP	ENDE	X B. ANSYS REPORT DATA FOR CFX ANALYSIS	59
LIST	T OF R	EFERENCES	63
INIT	TAL D	DISTRIBUTION LIST	65

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Legacy Transonic Compressor Test Rig1
Figure 2.	Legacy 1000 kW Allison-Chalmers Compressor Versus New 300 kW Synchrony Electric Motor. Source: [1]2
Figure 3.	TCR Manual Control Unit. Source: [1]
Figure 4.	Legacy 500 kW Elliot Compressor Versus New 55 kW Chicago Pneumatic Compressor. Source: [1]4
Figure 5.	Legacy TCR Facility Setup. Source [1]7
Figure 6.	Assembly of Modernized TCR Model8
Figure 7.	LT Byrd Model of Electric Motor Support and Housing. Source: [1]9
Figure 8.	TCR Discharge Support Components10
Figure 9.	TCR Transmission
Figure 10.	Cross Sectional View of the TCR with Rotating Transmission in Blue
Figure 11.	TCR Shaft and Supports12
Figure 12.	First Shaft Bending Mode12
Figure 13.	Second Shaft Bending Mode
Figure 14.	Natural Frequencies for Shaft13
Figure 15.	Bending Mode for Shaft and Rotor Attachment14
Figure 16.	Additional Deformation Mode 814
Figure 17.	Additional Deformation 1014
Figure 18.	Natural Frequencies for Shaft and Rotor Attachment15
Figure 19.	Maximum Principal Stress15
Figure 20.	Bending Mode for Entire Transmission Assembly16
Figure 21.	Additional Bending Mode 1216

Figure 22.	Additional Bending Mode 14	16
Figure 23.	Campbell Diagram for Entire Transmission Analysis at 2nd Engine Order.	17
Figure 24.	TCR Balance Piston	18
Figure 25.	Flow Setup of Balance Piston Wedge	19
Figure 26.	Pressure Drop Across Labyrinth Seal.	20
Figure 27.	Mach Number Across Labyrinth Seal with Inlet Pressure of 1 Bar	21
Figure 28.	Supersonic Flow from Labyrinth Seal at 2 Bar Inlet Pressure	21

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.     I otal force and Mach Number of each Test Run
--

### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Hz	Hertz
in	inches
kN	kilonewton
kW	kilowatt
m	meters
m/s	meters per second
NPS	Naval Postgraduate School
rpm	revolutions per minute
TCR	Transonic Compressor Test Rig
TPL	Turbopropulsion Laboratory

### I. INTRODUCTION

The current Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) transonic compressor test rig (TCR) has been allowing students and faculty to test, research, and develop compressor blades and stages for over five decades. Since 1968, the TCR has been a test platform for innovative flow measurements and has provided experience for graduate students to operate and test high speed compressors [1]. The legacy test rig is shown in Figure 1. Most advances in high-speed compressor fan technology have been improvements in computer simulation, however, there is still a need to test these simulations in a real-world environment and evaluate their accuracy against experimental data [2]. The NPS Turbopropulsion laboratory (TPL) is one of only a handful of facilities in the world capable of these tests: "The most amazing aspect of this rig is that it was designed on the late 60's by the late Professor Mike Vavra and the rig is still state-of-the-art today" [2].



Figure 1. Legacy Transonic Compressor Test Rig.

In order to continue to be seen as an advanced testing facility, the TCR requires a modern upgrade. The University of Notre Dame Transonic Axial Compressor facility operates a single stage axial compressor test rig driven by a DC motor [3]. Likewise, the Technische Universität Darmstadt in Darmstadt, Germany operates two high-speed compressor test rigs driven by an electrical drive [4]. Government facilities with similar capabilities include the NASA Glenn Research Center [5] and the Compressor Research Facility at the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base [6]. Each of these test rigs are driven by electric motors. The TPL has the unique distinction of being in both the government and academic sectors. The driving force for the legacy TCR is two opposed-rotor turbine stages driven with compressed air from a 12-stage Allison-Chalmers axial compressor [3]. The modern design will replace this oversized and out dated prime mover with an electric motor made by Dresser-Rand shown side by side in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Legacy 1000 kW Allison-Chalmers Compressor Versus New 300 kW Synchrony Electric Motor. Source: [1].

While reliably supporting the NPS turbomachinery laboratory for many years, the TCR has drawbacks. Based on power input to the compressor and power output of the shaft, the TCR runs at around 30% energy efficiency [1]. Likewise, the TCR has a

lengthy setup process and requires around 30 minutes for the system to reach stable conditions once started. It is operated manually via a system of throttles and dump valves to control the compressed air flow from the input compressors. The control unit can be seen in Figure 3. This process is cumbersome and requires at least three people to operate. Once operating and stable, the TCR functions at a single speed, approximately 27,000 rpm, and is difficult to adjust.



Figure 3. TCR Manual Control Unit. Source: [1].

The modernized test rig will replace the turbine drive with a 300 kW variable speed electric motor. The electric motor will be integral with the test rig and will eliminate the need for the main compressor that took up the space of an entire room next

to the test cell. The new electric motor will also greatly reduce maintenance time and improve performance reliability due to the active magnetic bearing on the Synchrony motor [7]. The electric motor is expected to operate above 90 percent efficiency compared to the 30% energy efficiency of the legacy TCR [1].

Along with efficiency, the overall energy savings is large. The test rig also requires a compressor to supply air to the balance piston to remove axial thrust. Previously, a large 500 kW Elliot Compressor was used for this purpose. It has since been replaced by a 55 kW Chicago Pneumatic compressor shown side by side in Figure 4. The legacy system drew around 1500 kW between the two compressors. The new TCR will operate using around 355 kW total. It will use less energy to operate but also allows for growth in the future to test larger compressors while not needing more energy than the legacy test rig required.



Figure 4. Legacy 500 kW Elliot Compressor Versus New 55 kW Chicago Pneumatic Compressor. Source: [1].

The new motor will operate at a top speed of 21,000 rpm but can be varied to achieve desired speeds. While this is lower than the legacy test rig, the key parameter is not rotational speed of the compressor but rather tip speed of the compressor blade. In

order to reach the tip speeds required to simulate operations similar to today's modern aircraft engines, the new test rig needs to be larger. Previously, compressor blades were designed to be 0.287 m (11.3 in) in diameter and the test rig could achieve a tip speed of around 405 m/s or a Mach number of 1.19. With a lower rotational speed, the new TCR was designed to be larger and more robust. A larger transmission shaft, balance piston, and support system allows compressor stages to be around 0.452 m (17.8 in) in diameter, achieving a tip speed of 495 m/s or Mach 1.45. This is a 22% higher tip speed achieved compared to the legacy test rig.

### II. DESIGN PROCESS

#### A. OVERVIEW

In order to maintain the TPL at NPS as a leading compressor test facility alongside the others mentioned in the introduction, the TCR modernization is vital. This research consisted of three different focus areas in order to continue the modernization of the TCR. The new rig is based off of the legacy TCR design and had already been started from previous projects, but modeling was not completed [1]. New components were designed to further the modernization. The second area was a mechanical analysis of existing modeled components using ANSYS Workbench. Specifically, the rotating transmission of the TCR was analyzed to determine deformation modes of the rig and natural frequencies that should be avoided during operation. The third focus area was a fluid analysis of flow over the balance piston to ensure adequate size of both the balance piston and the secondary compressor. A schematic of the legacy TCR facility and air supply system is shown in Figure 5 and an assembly view of the new TCR model is in Figure 6.



Figure 5. Legacy TCR Facility Setup. Source [1].



Figure 6. Assembly of Modernized TCR Model.

#### **B.** COMPONENT MODELING

Much of the new TCR had been modeled in previous work by thesis student LT Andre Byrd and Engineering Assistant Louie Duriez [1]. There was a shift in the design following LT Byrd's design for mounting of the electric motor. The back stanchions on which bear the weight of the motor were lowered from the legacy design and the motor is fix to a thick steel plate rather than resting in a cradle as in LT Byrd's design. The previous design can be seen in Figure 7. The next components to be modeled were the discharge support components of the rig. The key factors were to size the components to achieve the desired tip speed of the compressor blades and to maintain a similar flow area relationship to the legacy TCR.



Figure 7. LT Byrd Model of Electric Motor Support and Housing. Source: [1].

The rotational speed of the legacy TCR is 27,000 rpm, which equates to a tip speed of around 405 m/s. However, the electric motor of the modernized design has a max rotational speed of 21,000. To achieve the same tip speed as the older model, the new TCR would have to be built to a larger diameter. With the modernization, it was desired to not just meet the capabilities of the old design but to exceed them. With that in mind, the new test rig was modeled to achieve results as if the old TCR were at a speed of 33,000 rpm. The diameter of the compressor blades was increased from 0.287 m (11.3 in) to 0.452 m (17.8 in) which will allow testing of tip speeds up to 496 m/s or a Mach number of 1.45. The model of these new components can be seen in Figure 8. Once modeling was complete, the components were added to the assembly of the TCR seen in Figure 6.



Figure 8. TCR Discharge Support Components.

### C. MECHANICAL ANALYSIS

The rotating components or transmission of the new TCR were designed by Engineering Assistant Louie Duriez during his internship at NPS. A modal analysis was performed on these modeled components using ANSYS Workbench. The rotating transmission is shown in Figure 9. These are the rotating portion of the model, which can be seen highlighted in blue in Figure 10.



Figure 9. TCR Transmission. 10



Figure 10. Cross Sectional View of the TCR with Rotating Transmission in Blue.

Analysis was started by modeling the shaft of the transmission alone to ascertain the deformation, maximum principal stress and the natural frequency modes. The test speed was set to the design rotational velocity of 21,000 rpm. A cylindrical support was placed at each end to radially support the shaft. The support closest to the balance piston also provided axial support as the balance piston prevents axial movement of the transmission. No bending constraint was applied to accurately represent bearing support boundary conditions. The shaft and the supports are shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. TCR Shaft and Supports.

The CFX solution showed bending modes for the shaft with natural frequencies around 1600 Hz. The two bending mode results are shown in Figure 12 and Figure 13. The natural frequencies of the first six modes are shown in Figure 14.



Figure 12. First Shaft Bending Mode.



Figure 13. Second Shaft Bending Mode.



Figure 14. Natural Frequencies for Shaft.

For the next model, the rotor attachment was added to the analysis. This added mass to the components and would change the deformation modes. Again, the modes of concern were bending modes on the shaft which again occurred around a frequency of 1600 Hz, shown in Figure 15. The addition of the rotor attachment also added deformation modes not seen with the shaft alone. The first mode is believed to be a

numeric anomaly and doesn't appear to represent a realistic deformation mode. Mode 2, shown in Figure 15 is the first bending mode. Examples of other modes are shown in Figure 16 and Figure 17. Figure 18 shows the natural frequencies of this configuration.



Figure 15. Bending Mode for Shaft and Rotor Attachment.



Figure 16. Additional Deformation Mode 8.



Figure 17. Additional Deformation 10.



Figure 18. Natural Frequencies for Shaft and Rotor Attachment.

Lastly, the balance piston and coupling devices were added to analyze the entire transmission. The highest principal stress was found to be in the coupler and occurred at a place with a sharp angle, a typical stress concentration point, shown in Figure 19. This component could be redesigned in order to lower the stress depending on manufacturing abilities, possible with a chamfered angle instead. Similar bending modes were found on the shaft, shown in Figure 20, with a slightly raised natural frequency around 1630 Hz. Even more deformation modes were introduced centering around vibrations of the balance piston, shown in Figure 21 and Figure 22.



Figure 19. Maximum Principal Stress.



Figure 20. Bending Mode for Entire Transmission Assembly.



Figure 21. Additional Bending Mode 12.



Figure 22. Additional Bending Mode 14.

A Campbell diagram for the full transmission is shown in Figure 23. This shows the natural excitation frequency versus the rotational speed of the shaft. The Campbell diagram was created in ANSYS and it shows each mode in 2000 rpm increments up to the max speed of 21,000 rpm. The black line is the engine order line. Where this line crosses the mode lines is a critical speed shown by the red triangle. This is the operating point where natural frequency of the transmission could be excited by the running speed of the rig and should be avoided. The first engine order showed no realistic critical frequencies. The second engine order is shown in the Campbell diagram in Figure 23. It crosses two different modes at the critical speed around 17,300 rpm. This speed is close to the expected operating speed of the test rig and will need closer examination to determine if it will be acceptable or if a modification to the design could change the critical speed.



Figure 23. Campbell Diagram for Entire Transmission Analysis at 2nd Engine Order.

### **D.** FLUID ANALYSIS

The TCR is equipped with a balance piston, shown in Figure 24, that eliminates axial thrust on the shaft and bearings caused by the test compressor when at speed. Compressed air is supplied by the secondary compressor to the right side of the balance piston and causes a force on the piston in the opposite direction from forces by the spinning test compressor blades. CFX was used to model the flow of compressed air against the balance piston and through its labyrinth seal to determine adequate size for both the compressor and balance piston.



Figure 24. TCR Balance Piston.

First, the flow area around the balance piston was designed in Solidworks using the part file for the balance piston. The spacing for the labyrinth seal was set at 216 microns (0.0085 in) based on the minimum diameter tolerance for manufacture of the balance piston and maximum diameter tolerance for the casing. This would model flow through the labyrinth seal at the largest possible gap. The flow area was then reduced to a  $5^{\circ}$  slice to lower the computing time. The flow around an axis through the center of the piston is assumed to be symmetric.

In CFX, the flow inlet was set to a constant 1 bar of pressure from the compressor. The outlet was set to ambient pressure. The walls representing the casing around the balance piston were stationary while all surfaces of the rotating equipment were set to revolve at the TCR design speed of 21,000 rpm. This would simulate any flow caused by the rotating equipment. Figure 25 shows the CFX setup of the balance piston flow.



Figure 25. Flow Setup of Balance Piston Wedge.

The solution from CFX showed the flow of air into the front of the piston and the flow through the labyrinth seal. The pressure drop across the seal can be seen in Figure 26. CFX also calculated the force on the balance piston in the axial direction. Since the model was reduced to a 5° slice of the total cylinder, the force was multiplied by 72 to generate the total force on the balance piston. The air exiting the labyrinth seal remained at a subsonic velocity for the first solution set at 1 bar of pressure. Figure 27 shows the velocity gradient of the air through the seal. The flow exiting the labyrinth seal goes reaches sonic speed for all inlet pressures above 2 bar. An example is shown in Figure 28.



Figure 26. Pressure Drop Across Labyrinth Seal.


Figure 27. Mach Number Across Labyrinth Seal with Inlet Pressure of 1 Bar.



Figure 28. Supersonic Flow from Labyrinth Seal at 2 Bar Inlet Pressure

The solution was repeated in CFX using inlet pressures of 0.5, 2, 3, 5, 7.5, and 10 bar. Table 1 shows the results of total axial force on the balance piston and the Mach number of the flow exiting the labyrinth seal. The 1 bar inlet pressure setting generated a force of 7.602 kN. This value should be more than adequate to counter the axial force made by the test compressor. The air pressure from the secondary compressor has a max output of 10 bar which modeled a force on the balance piston of 64.957 kN. Since the expected thrust of from the spinning test compressor is less that 5 kN, the size of both the balance piston and the secondary air compressor are large enough to make the TCR function and allow for much larger compressor blades to be tested.

An attempt was made at this point to refine the mesh around the tip region to ensure accuracy of the calculations. The number of nodes in the mesh was increased from 266,959 to 675,950 with a negligible change to both velocity of the tip region and force on the balance piston.

Test Pressure (bar)	0.5	1	2	3	5	7.5	10
Total Force (kN)	4.417	7.602	13.691	19.797	32.311	48.357	64.957
Mach Number	0.83	0.88	1.14	1.31	1.54	1.79	2.06

 Table 1.
 Total force and Mach Number of each Test Run

#### III. DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION

Once built, the modernized design of the transonic compressor test rig should be extremely beneficial to students and staff at NPS and maintain the Turbopropulsion Laboratory as a leader in high-speed compressor fan research. The new design will allow for more frequent use of a broader range of possible designs all while lowering energy consumption. The new TCR progressed towards completion with new components being modeled. Modal analysis of the rotating components of the TCR showed deformation modes of concerns and corresponding natural frequencies. This analysis will allow operators to know what frequencies are most likely to cause damage within the TCR and avoid operating at those speeds. This will ideally limit maintenance and repair costs and extend the operating life of the modernized TCR. Fluid analysis of the balance piston provided valuable data to ensure that both the secondary air compressor and balance piston are adequately sized for the new test rig. THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### **IV. FUTURE WORK**

The Modernized TCR is very close to being fully modeled. Continued work to design the TCR should be completed so that the manufacturing stage can begin. Further mechanical analysis of the completed model of the TCR and a fluid analysis of flow through the entire rig will be necessary.

One of the issues that came up when analyzing the flow around the balance piston was the excess pressure that was created due to the rotation. This caused an anomaly where pressure in the chamber would be higher than the inlet pressure from the compressor. It warrants further investigation into whether the balance piston could be designed to use this pressure rise and eliminate the need for a compressor entirely. THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### APPENDIX A. ANSYS MODAL ANALYSIS PROJECT REPORT

# **Project**

First Saved	Wednesday, May 15, 2019
Last Saved	Wednesday, May 22, 2019
Product Version	19.2 Release
Save Project Before Solution	No
Save Project After Solution	No



# Contents

- <u>Units</u>
- <u>Model (A4, B4)</u>

0

- o <u>Geometry</u>
  - Parts
  - <u>Materials</u>
    - <u>Structural Steel</u>
- o <u>Coordinate Systems</u>
- o <u>Connections</u>
  - <u>Contacts</u>
    - Contact Regions
- o <u>Mesh</u>
- o <u>Named Selections</u>
- o <u>Static Structural (A5)</u>
  - Analysis Settings
    - Rotational Velocity
    - Loads
    - Solution (A6)
      - Solution Information
      - Results
- o Modal (B5)

.

- Pre-Stress (Static Structural)
- Analysis Settings
- <u>Rotational Velocity</u>
  - Solution (B6)
    - <u>Solution Information</u>
    - <u>Total Deformation</u>
    - <u>Campbell Diagram</u>
- Material Data
  - o <u>Structural Steel</u>

# Units

#### TABLE 1

Unit System	Metric (m, kg, N, s, V, A) Degrees RPM Celsius
Angle	Degrees
Rotational Velocity	RPM
Temperature	Celsius

# Model (A4, B4)

Geometry

# TABLE 2Model (A4, B4) > Geometry

Object	Geometry				
State	Fully Defined				
Definition					
Source	E:\KeenanHarman\ANSYS\shaft+rotor+balance_piston\shaft+rotor+balance_piston .x_t				
Туре	Parasolid				
Length Unit	Meters				
Element Control	Program Controlled				
Display Style	Body Color				
	Bounding Box				
Length X	0.4714 m				
Length Y	0.26645 m				
Length Z	0.26645 m				
	Properties				
Volume	1.9559e-003 m <sup>3</sup>				
Mass	15.354 kg				
Scale Factor Value	1.				
Statistics					
Bodies	3				
Active Bodies	3				
Nodes	187691				
Elements	91100				
Mesh Metric	None				
	Update Options				
Assign Default Material	Νο				
	Basic Geometry Options				
Solid Bodies	Yes				
Surface Bodies	Yes				
Line Bodies	No				
Parameters	Independent				
Parameter Key	ANS;DS				
Attributes	No				
Named Selections	No				
Material	No				
rioportioo	Advanced Geometry Options				

Use Associativit y	Yes
Coordinate Systems	No
Reader Mode Saves Updated File	No
Use Instances	Yes
Smart CAD Update	Yes
Compare Parts On Update	No
Analysis Type	3-D
Mixed Import Resolution	None
Clean Bodies On Import	No
Stitch Surfaces On Import	No
Decompos e Disjoint Geometry	Yes
Enclosure and Symmetry Processing	Yes

TABLE 3Model (A4, B4) > Geometry > Parts

Object Name	B2103_rotor_attachment	B2105- 1_main_drive_shafts	B2108- 3_balance_piston		
State		Meshed			
Graphics Properties					
Visible		Yes			
Transparency	1				
Definition					
Suppressed	No				
Stiffness Behavior	Flexible				
Coordinate System	D	Default Coordinate System			
Reference Temperature	By Environment				
Behavior	None				

Material					
Assignment	Structural Steel				
Nonlinear Effects		Yes			
Thermal Strain Effects		Yes			
	Bound	ding Box			
Length X	3.1979e-002 m	0.41656 m	5.334e-002 m		
Length Y	0.12192 m	6.604e-002 m	0.26645 m		
Length Z	0.12192 m	6.604e-002 m	0.26645 m		
	Proj	perties			
Volume	2.1226e-004 m <sup>3</sup>	1.2105e-003 m <sup>3</sup>	5.3313e-004 m <sup>3</sup>		
Mass	1.6663 kg	9.5023 kg	4.1851 kg		
Centroid X	0.46072 m	0.24638 m	2.748e-002 m		
Centroid Y	1.2463e-008 m	-2.5602e-009 m	1.1571e-007 m		
Centroid Z	3.9542e-010 m	-9.5338e-011 m	-5.2754e-006 m		
Moment of Inertia Ip1	2.9714e-003 kg·m²	4.6939e-003 kg·m²	2.8334e-002 kg·m²		
Moment of Inertia Ip2	1.5668e-003 kg⋅m²	0.10696 kg m²	1.4352e-002 kg·m²		
Moment of Inertia Ip3	1.5668e-003 kg⋅m²	0.10696 kg m²	1.4355e-002 kg·m²		
	Sta	tistics			
Nodes	4244	11362	172085		
Elements	2317	6527	82256		
Mesh Metric	None				

# Coordinate Systems

TABLE 4 Model (A4, B4) > Coordinate Systems > Coordinate System					
Object Name	Global Coordinate System	Coordinate System			
State	Fully Defi	ned			
	Definition				
Туре	Cartesian	Cylindrical			
Coordinate System ID	0.				
Coordinate System		Program Controlled			
APDL Name					
Suppressed		No			
	Origin				
Origin X	0. m				
Origin Y	0. m				
Origin Z	0. m				
Define By		<b>Global Coordinates</b>			
Location		Defined			
	Directional Vectors				
X Axis Data	[ 1. 0. 0. ]	[01.0.]			
Y Axis Data	[0.1.0.]	[0.01.]			
Z Axis Data	[0.0.1.]	[ 1. 0. 0. ]			

Principal Axis					
Axis		Z			
Define By		Global X Axis			
Orientation About Principal Axis					
Axis		Х			
Define By		Default			
Transformations					
Base Configuration		Absolute			
<b>Transformed Configuration</b>		[0.0.]			

### **Connections**

TABLE 5

Model	(A4,	B4)	>	С	0	nne	ctic	ons

Object Name	Connections		
State	Fully Defined		
Auto Detection			
Generate Automatic Connection On Refresh	Yes		
Transparency			
Enabled	Yes		

TABLE 6 Model (A4. B4) > Connections > Contacts				
Object Name	Contacts			
State	Fully Defined			
Definit	ion			
Connection Type	Contact			
Scop	e			
Scoping Method	<b>Geometry Selection</b>			
Geometry All Bodie				
Auto Dete	ection			
Tolerance Type	Slider			
Tolerance Slider	0.			
Tolerance Value	1.5087e-003 m			
Use Range	No			
Face/Face	Yes			
Face Overlap Tolerance	Off			
Cylindrical Faces	Include			
Face/Edge	No			
Edge/Edge	No			

**Statistics** 

Priority

Group By

Search Across

**Active Connections** 

Connections

Include All

Bodies

Bodies

2

2

Model (A4, B4) > Connections > Contacts > Contact Regions			
Contact Region	Contact Region 2		
Fully Defined			
Scope			
Geometry	Selection		
3 Faces	7 Faces		
7 Fa	ices		
B2103_rotor_attachment	B2105-1_main_drive_shafts		
B2105-1_main_drive_shafts	B2108-3_balance_piston		
N	0		
Definition			
Bonded			
Automatic			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
1.5087e-003 m			
No			
Advanced			
Formulation Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Program Controlled			
Geometric Modification			
No	ne		
None			
	Contact Region Fully D Scope Geometry 3 Faces 7 Fa B2103_rotor_attachment B2105-1_main_drive_shafts N Definition Bon Autor Program ( Program ( 1.5087e N Advanced Program ( Program ( Prog		

TABLE 7
Model (A4 B4) > Connections > Contacts > Contact Regions

### Mesh

TABLE 8 Model (A4, B4) > Mesh			
Object Name Mesh			
State	Solved		
Display			
Display Style	Use Geometry Setting		
Defaults			
Physics Preference	Mechanical		
Element Order	Program Controlled		
Element Size	Default		
Sizing			
Use Adaptive Sizing	Yes		
Resolution	Default (2)		
Mesh Defeaturing	Yes		

Defeature Size	Default
Transition	Fast
Span Angle Center	Coarse
Initial Size Seed	Assembly
Bounding Box Diagonal	0.60349 m
Average Surface Area	1.4542e-003 m <sup>2</sup>
Minimum Edge Length	5.0924e-005 m
Quality	
Check Mesh Quality	Yes, Errors
Error Limits	Standard Mechanical
Target Quality	Default (0.050000)
Smoothing	Medium
Mesh Metric	None
Inflation	
Use Automatic Inflation	None
Inflation Option	Smooth Transition
Transition Ratio	0.272
Maximum Layers	5
Growth Rate	1.2
Inflation Algorithm	Pre
View Advanced Options	No
Advanced	
Number of CPUs for Parallel Part Meshing	Program Controlled
Straight Sided Elements	No
Number of Retries	Default (4)
Rigid Body Behavior	<b>Dimensionally Reduced</b>
Triangle Surface Mesher	Program Controlled
Topology Checking	Yes
Pinch Tolerance	Please Define
Generate Pinch on Refresh	No
Statistics	
Nodes	187691
Elements	91100

### **Named Selections**

TABLE 9				
Model (A4, B4) > Named Selections > Named Selections				ions
	Object Name	bearing1	bearing2	
	State	Fully D	Defined	
	Scope			
	Scoping Method	Geometry	Selection	
	Geometry	1 F	ace	
	Definition			
	Send to Solver	Y	es	
	Protected	Program	Controlled	
	Visible	Y	es	

Program Controlled Inflation	Exclude		
Statistics			
Туре	Manual		
Total Selection	1 Face		
Surface Area	4.5832e-003 m <sup>2</sup>		
Suppressed	0		
Used by Mesh Worksheet	No		

# **Static Structural (A5)**

TABLE 10			
Model (A4, B4) > Analysis			
Object Name	Static Structural (A5)		
State	Solved		
Definition			
Physics Type	Structural		
Analysis Type	Static Structural		
Solver Target	Mechanical APDL		
Options			
Environment Temperature	22. °C		
Generate Input Only	No		

# TABLE 11 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Analysis Settings

Object Name	Analysis Settings		
State	Fully Defined		
	Restart Analysis		
Restart Type	Program Controlled		
Status	Done		
Step Controls			
Number Of Steps	1.		
Current Step Number	1.		
Step End Time	1. s		
Auto Time Stepping	Program Controlled		
Solver Controls			
Solver Type	Program Controlled		
Weak Springs	Off		

Solver Pivot	Program Controlled		
Large	Off		
Inertia	Off		
Relief	Rotordynamics Controls		
Coriolis			
Effect	On		
Conorata	Restart Controls		
Restart Points	Program Controlled		
Retain Files After Full Solve	Yes		
Combine Restart Files	Program Controlled		
	Nonlinear Controls		
Newton- Raphson Option	Program Controlled		
Force Convergen ce	Program Controlled		
Moment Convergen ce	Program Controlled		
Displacem ent Convergen ce	Program Controlled		
Rotation Convergen ce	Program Controlled		
Line Search	Program Controlled		
Stabilizatio n	Off		
Output Controls			
Stress	Yes		
Strain	Yes		
Forces	No		
Contact Miscellane ous	No		

General Miscellane ous	No
Store Results At	All Time Points
	Analysis Data Management
Solver Files Directory	E:\KeenanHarman\ANSYS\shaft+rotor+balance_piston\shaft+rotor+balance_piston _files\dp0\SYS\MECH\
Future Analysis	Prestressed analysis
Scratch Solver Files Directory	
Save MAPDL db	No
Contact Summary	Program Controlled
Delete Unneeded Files	Yes
Nonlinear Solution	No
Solver Units	Active System
Solver Unit System	mks

# TABLE 12 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Rotations

Object Name	Rotational Velocity	
State	Fully Defined	
	Scope	
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection	
Geometry	All Bodies	
Definition		
Define By	Components	
Coordinate System	Global Coordinate System	
X Component	21000 RPM (ramped)	
Y Component	0. RPM (ramped)	
Z Component	0. RPM (ramped)	
X Coordinate	0. m	
Y Coordinate	0. m	
Z Coordinate	0. m	
Suppressed	No	

FIGURE 1 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Rotational Velocity



 TABLE 13

 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Loads

Object Name	Cylindrical Support	Cylindrical Support 2	
State	Fully Defined		
Scope			
Scoping Method	Named Selection		
Named Selection	bearing1	bearing2	
Definition			
Туре	Cylindrical Support		
Radial	Fixed		
Axial	Fixed	Free	
Tangential	Free		
Suppressed	No		

# Solution (A6)

 TABLE 14

 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution

 Object Name
 Solution (A6)

 State
 Solved

 Adaptive Mesh Refinement

 Max Refinement Loops
 1.

 Refinement Depth
 2.

 Information

Status	Done		
MAPDL Elapsed Time	37. s		
MAPDL Memory Used	2.834 GB		
MAPDL Result File Size	53.375 MB		
Post Processing			
Beam Section Results	No		
On Demand Stress/Strain	No		

#### TABLE 15

### Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Solution Information

Object Name	Solution Information	
State	Solved	
Solution Inform	ation	
Solution Output	Solver Output	
Newton-Raphson Residuals	0	
Identify Element Violations	0	
Update Interval	2.5 s	
Display Points	All	
FE Connection V	sibility	
Activate Visibility	Yes	
Display	All FE Connectors	
Display Draw Connections Attached To	All FE Connectors All Nodes	
Display Draw Connections Attached To Line Color	All FE Connectors All Nodes Connection Type	
Display Draw Connections Attached To Line Color Visible on Results	All FE Connectors All Nodes Connection Type No	
Display Draw Connections Attached To Line Color Visible on Results Line Thickness	All FE Connectors All Nodes Connection Type No Single	

#### TABLE 16

### Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Results

Object Name	Directional Deformation	Maximum Principal Stress	
State	Solved		
	Scope		
Scoping Method	Geometr	y Selection	
Geometry	All E	Bodies	
	Definition		
Туре	<b>Directional Deformation</b>	Maximum Principal Stress	
Orientation	X Axis		
By	Time		
Display Time	Last		
Coordinate System	Coordinate System		
Calculate Time History	Yes		
Identifier			
Suppressed	No		
Results			
Minimum	0. m	0. Pa	
Maximum	0. m	0. Pa	
Average	0. m	0. Pa	
Minimum Occurs On	B2103_rotor_attachment		

Maximum Occurs On	B2103_roto	pr_attachment	
Information			
Time		1. s	
Load Step		1	
Substep		1	
Iteration Number		1	
Integration Point Results			
Display Option		Averaged	
Average Across Bodies		No	

FIGURE 2

Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Directional Deformation



 TABLE 17

 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Directional Deformation

 Time [s]
 Minimum [m]
 Maximum [m]
 Average [m]

 1.
 0.
 0.
 0.

FIGURE 3 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Maximum Principal Stress



 TABLE 18

 Model (A4, B4) > Static Structural (A5) > Solution (A6) > Maximum Principal Stress

 Time [s]
 Minimum [Pa]
 Maximum [Pa]
 Average [Pa]

 1.
 0.
 0.
 0.

Modal (B5)

TABLE 19			
Model (A4, B4) > Analysis			
Object Name	Modal (B5)		
State	Solved		
Definition			
Physics Type	Structural		
Analysis Type	Modal		
Solver Target	Mechanical APDL		
Options			
Generate Input Only	No		

TABLE 20Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Initial ConditionObject NamePre-Stress (Static Structural)StateFully DefinedDefinitionPre-Stress EnvironmentStatic Structural

Pre-Stress Define By	Program Controlled
Reported Loadstep	Last
Reported Substep	Last
Reported Time	End Time
Contact Status	Use True Status
Newton-Raphson Option	Program Controlled

 TABLE 21

 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Analysis Settings

- - -

Object Name	Analysis Settings			
State	Fully Defined			
	Options			
Max Modes to Find	15			
Limit Search to Range	No			
Spin Softening	Program Controlled			
	Solver Controls			
Damped	Yes			
Solver Type	Program Controlled			
	Rotordynamics Controls			
Coriolis Effect	On			
Campbell Diagram	On			
Number of Points	12			
	Output Controls			
Stress	No			
Strain	No			
Nodal Forces	No			
Calculate Reactions	No			
General Miscellaneo us	No			
Damping Controls				
Stiffness Coefficient Define By	Direct Input			
Stiffness Coefficient	0.			
Mass Coefficient	0.			
Analysis Data Management				

Solver Files Directory	E:\KeenanHarman\ANSYS\shaft+rotor+balance_piston\shaft+rotor+balance_piston _files\dp0\SYS-1\MECH\
Future Analysis	None
Scratch	
Directory	
Save MAPDL db	No
Contact Summary	Program Controlled
Delete Unneeded Files	Yes
Solver Units	Active System
Solver Unit System	mks

TABLE 22 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Rotations			
Object Name	Rotational Velocity		
State	Fully Defined		
	Scope		
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection		
Geometry	All Bodies		
D	efinition		
Define By	Components		
Coordinate System	Global Coordinate System		
X Component	Tabular Data		
Y Component	Tabular Data		
Z Component	Tabular Data		
X Coordinate	0. m		
Y Coordinate	0. m		
Z Coordinate	0. m		
Suppressed	No		

# TABLE 23 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Rotational Velocity Points V [rpm] V [rpm] Z [rpm]

Points	X [rpm]	Y [rpm]	Z [rpm]
1	0.		
2	2000.		
3	4000.		
4	6000.		
5	8000.	0.	0.
6	10000		
7	12000		
8	14000		
9	16000		
43			

10	18000
11	20000
12	21000

## Solution (B6)

TABLE 24				
Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution				
Solution (B6)				
Solved				
Adaptive Mesh Refinement				
1.				
2.				
Information				
Done				
13 m 51 s				
7.4072 GB				
1.5539 GB				
ing				
No				

The following bar chart indicates the frequency at each calculated mode.



FIGURE 4 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6)

Set	Solve	Mode	Damped Frequency	Stability	Modal Damping	Logarithmic
1	FUIII	1	81 335	ני יב	Nalio	Decrement
2		2	812.65			
2. 3		2.	813.02			
 _∕I		0. 1	013.92			
<del>т</del> . 5		- <del>-</del> .	001 04			
5. 6		<u> </u>	991.94			
0. 7		0. 7	1102.3			
7. 8	1	7. 8	1609.1			
0. Q	1.	0. Q	1609.1			
10		10	1632.2			
10.		10.	1632.2			
12		12	2626.1			
12.		12.	2626.0			
17.		10.	2020.9			
14.		14.	2112.1			
16		10.	81 335			
17		1. 2	780.08			
17.		2.	846.03			
10.		<u> </u>	020.25			
20		- <del>-</del> . -5	037.80			
20.		- <u>5</u> .	1061.2			
21.		0. 7	1001.2			
22.	2	7. 8	1514.5	0.	0.	0.
23.	Ζ.	0. Q	1631.5			
24.		10	1633.4			
20.		10.	1700.4			
20.		12	2501.7			
27.		12.	2757 5			
20.		14	2707.0			
30		15	2823.7			
31		10.	81,335			
32		2	750.02			
33		3	869.84			
34		4	881 89			
35		5	937.89			
36		6	1102.3			
37		7	1133 7			
38	3.	8	1425 7			
39.		9.	1630.6			
40.		10.	1634.2			
41		11.	1816.4			
42		12.	2383.1			
43.		13.	2772.7			
44		14.	2823.8			

TABLE 25 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6)

15		15	2804 7
46		1	81 335
40. 47		2	720.38
48		<u>2</u> . 3	814 69
40. 49		<u> </u>	918 17
50		5	937.89
51		6	1102.3
52		0. 7	1210 /
52.	1	7. 8	12/10.4
57	4.	0.	1620.7
55		9. 10	1625.1
55.		10.	1033.1
50.		11.	1928.7
57.		12.	2270.7
58.		13.	2772.6
59.		14.	2823.9
60.		15.	3038.
61.		1.	81.335
62.		2.	692.05
63.		3.	763.66
64.		4.	937.88
65.		5.	955.78
66.		6.	1102.3
67.		7.	1265.3
68.	5.	8.	1291.3
69.		9.	1628.8
70.		10.	1636.
71		11	2046 7
72		12	216/ 3
73		12.	2104.3
73.		13.	2112.3
74.		14.	2824.
15.		15.	3187.4
76.		1. C	81.335
11.		2.	664.99
78.		3.	716.58
79.		4.	937.88
80.		5.	994.65
81.		6.	1102.3
82.		7.	1193.3
83.	6.	8.	1376.2
84.		9.	1627.9
85.		10.	1636.9
86.		11.	2063.8
87.		12.	2170.1
88		13	2772 3
80.		14	2824 1
09. QA		15	33/10 7
90. 04	7	10.	01 225
91.	1.	1.	01.335

92.		2.	639.2
93.		3.	673.24
94.		4.	937.88
95.		5.	1034.8
96.		6.	1102.3
97.		7.	1126.6
98.		8.	1464.8
99.		9.	1627.
100.		10.	1637.9
101.		11.	1969.
102.		12.	2298.7
103.		13.	2772.2
104.		14.	2824.3
105.		15.	3503.6
106.		1.	81.335
107.		2.	614.63
108.		3.	633.42
109.		4.	937.88
110.		5.	1064.7
111.		6.	1076.2
112.		7.	1102.3
113.	8.	8.	1556.8
114	2.	9.	1626.1
115		10	1638.8
116		11	1879 7
117		12	2432.2
117.		12.	2432.2
110.		13.	2111.9
119.		14.	2024.3
120.		15.	01 225
121.		1.	81.335
122.		Ζ.	591.24
123.		<u> </u>	78.080
124.		4.	937.88
125.		5.	1007.5
126.		б. —	1102.3
127.	-	7.	1118.7
128.	9.	8.	1625.2
129.		9.	1639.7
130.		10.	1652.2
131.		11.	1795.6
132.		12.	2570.4
133.		13.	2771.7
134.		14.	2824.8
135.		15.	3841.8
136.		1.	81.335
137.	10.	2.	563.3
138.		3.	569.04

139.		4.	937.88
140.		5.	954.61
141.		6.	1102.3
142.		7.	1162.4
143.		8.	1624.3
144.		9.	1640.6
145.		10.	1716.6
146.		11.	1750.6
147.		12.	2712.8
148.		13.	2771.4
149.		14.	2825.1
150.		15.	4018.6
151.		1.	81.335
152.		2.	532.54
153.		3.	547.91
154.		4.	905.71
155.		5.	937.88
156.		6.	1102.3
157.		7.	1207.2
158.	11.	8.	1623.4
159.		9.	1641.5
160.		10.	1642.4
161.		11.	1851.7
162.		12.	2771.1
163.		13.	2825.4
164.		14.	2859.3
165.		15.	4200.2
166.		1.	81.335
167.		2.	518.12
168.		3.	537.75
169.		4.	882.67
170.		5.	937.88
171.		6.	1102.3
172.		7.	1230.
173.	12.	8.	1607.
174.		9.	1623.
175.		10.	1641.9
176.		11.	1903.3
177		12	2771
178		13.	2825.5
179		14	2933.9
180		15	4292 7
100.		10.	4232.1

 

 TABLE 26

 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6) > Solution Information

 Object Name
 Solution Information

 State

Solved

Solution Inform	ation					
Solution Output	Solver Output					
Newton-Raphson Residuals	0					
Identify Element Violations	0					
Update Interval	2.5 s					
Display Points	All					
FE Connection Visibility						
Activate Visibility	Yes					
Display	All FE Connectors					
Draw Connections Attached To	All Nodes					
Line Color	Connection Type					
Visible on Results	No					
Line Thickness	Single					
Display Type	Lines					

TABLE 27 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6) > Result						
Total Deformation						
Solved						
Scope						
Geometry Selection						
All Bodies						
finition						
Total Deformation						
1.						
No						
0. °						
No						
Results						
3.51e-007 m						
0.69976 m						
0.58735 m						
B2105-1_main_drive_shafts						
B2108-3_balance_piston						
rmation						
1						
81.335 Hz						
0. Hz						
0.						
0						

 TABLE 28

 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6) > Total Deformation

Set	Solve Point	Mode	Damped Frequency [Hz]	Stability [Hz]	Modal Damping Ratio	Logarithmic Decrement
1.	4	1.	81.335	0	0	0
2.	1.	2.	812.65	U.	υ.	υ.

3.		3.	813.92
4.		4.	937.88
5.		5.	991.94
6.		6. -	994.15
7.		7.	1102.3
8.		8.	1609.1
9.		9.	1609.4
10.		10.	1632.2
11.		11.	1632.7
12.		12.	2626.1
13.		13.	2626.9
14.		14.	2772.7
15.		15.	2823.7
16.		1.	81.335
17.		2.	780.98
18.		3.	846.93
19.		4.	929.25
20.		5.	937.89
21.		6.	1061.2
22.		7.	1102.3
23.	2.	8.	1514.5
24.		9.	1631.5
25.		10.	1633.4
26		11	1709.9
27		12	2501 7
28		13	2757 5
20. 20		17.	2131.3
29. 20		14.	2112.1
JU.		15. 4	2023.1
<u>১</u> ।.		1.	01.335 750.00
32.		2.	750.02
33.		3.	869.84
34.		4.	881.89
35.		5.	937.89
36.		6.	1102.3
37.		7.	1133.7
38.	3.	8.	1425.7
39.		9.	1630.6
40.		10.	1634.2
41.		11.	1816.4
42.		12.	2383.1
43.		13.	2772.7
44.		14.	2823.8
45.		15.	2894.7
46		1.	81.335
47		2	720.38
48	4.	3	814 69
40. <u>/</u> 0		<u></u> ⊿	018 17
73.		<b>.</b>	310.17

50.		5.	937.89
51.		6.	1102.3
52.		7.	1210.4
53.		8.	1342.7
54.		9.	1629.7
55.		10.	1635.1
56.		11.	1928.7
57.		12.	2270.7
58.		13.	2772.6
59.		14.	2823.9
60.		15.	3038.
61.		1.	81.335
62.		2.	692.05
63.		3.	763.66
64.		4.	937.88
65.		5.	955.78
66.		6.	1102.3
67.		7.	1265.3
68.	5.	8.	1291.3
69.		9.	1628.8
70.		10.	1636.
71.		11.	2046.7
72.		12.	2164.3
73.		13.	2772.5
74		14	2824
75		15	3187.4
76		1	81 225
77		י. 2	664 99
78		∠. २	716 59
70.		J. 1	027.00
19.		4. 5	931.00 004.65
0U. 04		ວ. ເ	994.00
01. 00		0. 7	1102.3
82.	0	1.	1193.3
83.	6.	<u></u> 8.	13/6.2
84.		9.	1627.9
85.		10.	1636.9
86.		11.	2063.8
87.		12.	2170.1
88.		13.	2772.3
89.		14.	2824.1
90.		15.	3342.7
91.		1.	81.335
92.		2.	639.2
93.	7	3.	673.24
94.	1.	4.	937.88
95.		5.	1034.8
96.		6.	1102.3

97.		7.	1126.6
98.		8.	1464.8
99.		9.	1627.
100.		10.	1637.9
101.		11.	1969.
102.		12.	2298.7
103.		13.	2772.2
104.		14.	2824.3
105.		15.	3503.6
106.		1.	81.335
107.		2.	614.63
108.		3.	633.42
109.		4.	937.88
110.		5.	1064.7
111.		6.	1076.2
112.		7.	1102.3
113.	8.	8.	1556.8
114.		9.	1626.1
115.		10.	1638.8
116.		11.	1879.7
117.		12.	2432.2
118.		13.	2771.9
119.		14.	2824.5
120.		15.	3670.1
121.		1.	81.335
122.		2.	591.24
123.		3.	596.87
124.		4.	937.88
125.		5.	1007.5
126.		6.	1102.3
127.		7.	1118.7
128.	9.	8.	1625.2
129.		9.	1639.7
130.		10.	1652.2
131.		11.	1795.6
132.		12.	2570.4
133.		13.	2771.7
134.		14.	2824.8
135.		15.	3841.8
136.		1.	81.335
137.		2.	563.3
138.		3.	569.04
139.	1.5	4.	937.88
140.	10.	5.	954.61
141.		6	1102.3
142		7.	1162.4
143.		8.	1624.3

144.		9.	1640.6
145.		10.	1716.6
146.		11.	1750.6
147.		12.	2712.8
148.		13.	2771.4
149.		14.	2825.1
150.		15.	4018.6
151.		1.	81.335
152.		2.	532.54
153.		3.	547.91
154.		4.	905.71
155.		5.	937.88
156.		6.	1102.3
157.		7.	1207.2
158.	11.	8.	1623.4
159.		9.	1641.5
160.		10.	1642.4
161.		11.	1851.7
162.		12.	2771.1
163.		13.	2825.4
164.		14.	2859.3
165.		15.	4200.2
166.		1.	81.335
167.		2.	518.12
168.		3.	537.75
169.		4.	882.67
170.		5.	937.88
171.		6.	1102.3
172.		7.	1230.
173.	12.	8.	1607.
174.		9.	1623.
175.		10.	1641.9
176.		11.	1903.3
177.		12.	2771.
178.		13.	2825.5
179.		14.	2933.9
180.		15.	4292.7

# TABLE 29Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6) > Result ChartsObject NameCampbell DiagramStateSolved

Slale	Solved					
Scope						
<b>Rotational Velocity Selection</b>	<b>Rotational Velocity</b>					
Campbell Diagram Controls						
Y Axis Data	Frequency					
Critical Speed	Yes					

Ratio2.SortingYesAxisYesAxisRotational VelocityX Axis RangeProgram ControlledX Axis Minimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo				
SortingYesAxisAxis LabelRotational VelocityX Axis LabelProgram ControlledX Axis Manimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	Ratio	2.		
AxisX Axis LabelRotational VelocityX Axis RangeProgram ControlledX Axis Minimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNoNo	Sorting	Yes		
X Axis LabelRotational VelocityX Axis RangeProgram ControlledX Axis Minimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	Axis			
X Axis RangeProgram ControlledX Axis Minimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	X Axis Label	<b>Rotational Velocity</b>		
X Axis Minimum0. RPMX Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	X Axis Range	Program Controlled		
X Axis Maximum21000 RPMY Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	X Axis Minimum	0. RPM		
Y Axis LabelFrequencyY Axis RangeProgram ControlledY Axis Minimum0. HzY Axis Maximum4292.7 HzDefinitionSuppressedNo	X Axis Maximum	21000 RPM		
Y Axis Range Program Controlled Y Axis Minimum 0. Hz Y Axis Maximum 4292.7 Hz Definition Suppressed No	Y Axis Label	Frequency		
Y Axis Minimum 0. Hz Y Axis Maximum 4292.7 Hz Definition Suppressed No	Y Axis Range	Program Controlled		
Y Axis Maximum 4292.7 Hz Definition Suppressed No	Y Axis Minimum	0. Hz		
Definition Suppressed No	Y Axis Maximum	4292.7 Hz		
Suppressed No	Definition	ı		
	Suppressed	No		

FIGURE 5 Model (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) > Solution (B6) > Campbell Diagram



/lodel (A4, B4) > Modal (B5) >	Solution (B6) > 0	Campbell Diagram
--------------------------------	-------------------	------------------

Mo de	Whirl Direct ion	Mode Stabil ity	Criti cal Spe ed	0. rpm	200 0. rpm	400 0. rpm	600 0. rpm	800 0. rpm	100 00 rpm	120 00 rpm	140 00 rpm	160 00 rpm	180 00 rpm	200 00 rpm	210 00 rpm
1.	FW	STAB LE	244 0.1 rpm	81.3 35 Hz											

2.	BW	STAB LE	173 03	812. 65	780. 98	750. 02	720. 38	692. 05	664. 99	639. 2 Hz	614. 63	591. 24	569. 04	547. 91	537. 75	
			rpm	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	400	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	
2		STAB	NO	813.	846. 02	881.	918. 17	955. 79	994. 65	103	107	111 97	116	120	123	
Э.		LE	NE	92 H7	93 H7	09 Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	4.0 H7	U.Z Hz	0.7 Hz	2.4 H7	HZ	0. H7	
			172	937	929	869	814	763	716	673	633	596	112	532	518	
4.	BW	STAB	68	88	25	84	69	66	58	24	42	87	563.	54	12	
		LE	rpm	Hz	Hz	Hz	3 Hz	Hz	Hz							
		OTAD	NO	991.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	937.	
5.	FW	SIAB		94	89	89	89	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	
				Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
		STAB	NO	994.	106	113	121	129	137	146	155	165	175	185	190	
6.	FW	IF	NF	15	1.2	3.7	0.4	1.3	6.2	4.8	6.8	2.2	0.6	1.7	3.3	
				Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
-	<b>D</b> ).4/	STAB	NO	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	
1.	BW	LE	NE	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				160		140	□Z	126	110	110		100			000	
8	8. BW STAB	STAB	NO NE	0.1	151	14Z	27	120	119	66	100	7.5	954. 61	905.	00Z. 67	
0.		LE		Hz	4.5 Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	4.7 Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	
				160	163	163	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	
9.	BW	STAB	AB NO	9.4	1.5	0.6	9.7	8.8	7.9	7.	6.1	5.2	4.3	3.4	3.	
		LE	NE	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
		OTAD	OT A D	NO	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	164	164	164
10.	FW	JE	NE	2.2	3.4	4.2	5.1	6.	6.9	7.9	8.8	9.7	0.6	1.5	1.9	
				Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
		STAB	NO	163	170	181	192	204	217	229	243	257	271	285	293	
11.	FW	LE	NE	2.7	9.9	6.4	8.7	6.7	0.1	8.7	2.2	0.4	2.8	9.3	3.9	
				HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ	HZ							
10		STAB	NO	262	250	238	227	216	206	196	187	1/9	1/1	164	160	
12.	DVV	LE	E NE	0.1 Ц7	1./ Ц7	ン.I ロフ	U.7	4.3 Ц7	ン.0 ロフ	9. Ц7	9.7 Ц7	5.0 Ц7	0.0 H7	2.4 Ц7	/. Ц7	
				262	275	280	303	318	33/	350	367	38/	112	112	//20	
13	13. FW	STAB LE	NO	6.9	7.5	47	8	74	27	3.6	0.1	18	86	0.2	27	
10.			NE	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
		OTAE		277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	
14.	BW STAB	STAB		2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.	
		LE	INE	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							
		STAP		282	282	282	282	282	282	282	282	282	282	282	282	
15.	5. FW SIA	IF	NE	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.8	5.1	5.4	5.5	
	LE			Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz							

# **Material Data**

Structural Steel

TABLE 30Structural Steel > ConstantsDensity7850 kg m^-3

Isotropic Secant Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	1.2e-005 C^-1
Specific Heat Constant Pressure	434 J kg^-1 C^-1
Isotropic Thermal Conductivity	60.5 W m^-1 C^-1
Isotropic Resistivity	1.7e-007 ohm m

### TABLE 31

Structural Steel > Color

 Red
 Green
 Blue

 132
 139
 179

TABLE 32

Structural Steel > Compressive Ultimate Strength

Compressive Ultimate Strength Pa

0

TABLE 33 Structural Steel > Compressive Yield Strength Compressive Yield Strength Pa

2.5e+008

 TABLE 34

 Structural Steel > Tensile Yield Strength

 Tensile Yield Strength Pa

 2.5e+008

 TABLE 35

 Structural Steel > Tensile Ultimate Strength

 Tensile Ultimate Strength Pa

4.6e+008

 TABLE 36

 Structural Steel > Isotropic Secant Coefficient of Thermal Expansion

 Zero-Thermal-Strain Reference Temperature C

22

#### TABLE 37 Structural Steel > S-N Curve

Alternating Stress Pa	Cycles	Mean Stress Pa
3.999e+009	10	0
2.827e+009	20	0
1.896e+009	50	0
1.413e+009	100	0
1.069e+009	200	0
4.41e+008	2000	0
2.62e+008	10000	0
2.14e+008	20000	0
1.38e+008	1.e+005	0
1.14e+008	2.e+005	0
8.62e+007	1.e+006	0
-----------	---------	---

 TABLE 38

 Structural Steel > Strain-Life Parameters

Strength Coefficient Pa	Strength Exponent	Ductility Coefficient	Ductility Exponent	Cyclic Strength Coefficient Pa	Cyclic Strain Hardening Exponent
9.2e+008	-0.106	0.213	-0.47	1.e+009	0.2

TABLE 39				
Structural Steel > Isotropic Elasticity				
Young's Modulus Pa	Poisson's Ratio	Bulk Modulus Pa	Shear Modulus Pa	Temperature C
2.e+011	0.3	1.6667e+011	7.6923e+010	

 TABLE 40

 Structural Steel > Isotropic Relative Permeability

 Relative Permeability

10000

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## APPENDIX B. ANSYS REPORT DATA FOR CFX ANALYSIS

### 1. File Report

 Table 1. File Information for CFX

Case	CFX
File Path	E:\KeenanHarman\New_test_ring\ME4225\balance_piston_flow_slice_4_1bar_ run2_files\dp0\CFX\CFX\Fluid Flow CFX_008.res
File Date	03 June 2019
File Time	12:23:52 PM
File Type	CFX5
File Versi on	19.2

# 2. Mesh Report

 Table 2.
 Mesh Information for CFX

Domain	Nodes	Elements
Default Domain	266959	1362329

# 3. Physics Report

#### Table 3. Domain Physics for CFX

Domain - Default Domain			
Туре	Fluid		
Location	B219		
Materia	als		
Air Ideal Gas			
Fluid Definition	Material Library		
Morphology	Continuous Fluid		
Settin	gs		
Buoyancy Model	Non Buoyant		
Domain Motion	Rotating		
Angular Velocity	2.1000e+04 [rev min^-1]		
Axis Definition	Coordinate Axis		
Rotation Axis	Coord 0.1		
Reference Pressure	1.0000e+00 [atm]		
Heat Transfer Model	Total Energy		
Include Viscous Work Term	True		
Turbulence Model	k epsilon		
<b>Turbulent Wall Functions</b>	Scalable		
High Speed Model	Off		
Domain Interfac	ce - Periodic		
Boundary List1	Periodic Side 1		
Boundary List2	Periodic Side 2		
Interface Type	Fluid Fluid		
Settings			
Interface Models	Rotational Periodicity		
Axis Definition	Coordinate Axis		
Rotation Axis	Coord 0.1		
Mesh Connection	Automatic		

 Table 4. Boundary Physics for CFX

Domain	Boundaries
	Boundary - Inlet

	Туре	INLET	
	Location	Inlet	
	Settings		
	Flow Direction	Normal to Boundary Condition	
	Flow Regime	Subsonic	
	Heat Transfer	Stationary Frame Total Temperature	
	Stationary Frame Total Temperature	2.8815e+02 [K]	
	Mass And Momentum	Stationary Frame Total Pressure	
	Relative Pressure	3.0000e+00 [bar]	
	Turbulence	Medium Intensity and Eddy Viscosity Ratio	
	Boundary - Periodic Side 1		
	Туре	INTERFACE	
	Location	Sym1	
Default	Settings		
Domain	Heat Transfer	Conservative Interface Flux	
	Mass And Momentum	Conservative Interface Flux	
	Turbulence	Conservative Interface Flux	
		Boundary - Periodic Side 2	
	Туре	INTERFACE	
	Location	Sym2	
	Settings		
	Heat Transfer	Conservative Interface Flux	
	Mass And Momentum	Conservative Interface Flux	
	Turbulence	Conservative Interface Flux	
	Boundary - Outlet		
	Туре	OPENING	
	Location	Outlet	
	Settings		
	Flow Direction	Normal to Boundary Condition	
	Flow Regime	Subsonic	

	Heat Transfer	Opening Temperature
	Opening Temperature	2.8815e+02 [K]
	Mass And Momentum	Opening Pressure and Direction
	Relative Pressure	0.0000e+00 [bar]
	Turbulence	Medium Intensity and Eddy Viscosity Ratio
	Bo	oundary - Default Domain Default
	Туре	WALL
	Location	F161.219, F162.219, F163.219, F169.219, F170.219, F172.219, F173.219, F174.219, F175.219, F176.219, F177.219, F178.219, F179.219, F180.219, F181.219, F182.219, F183.219, F184.219, F185.219, F186.219, F187.219, F188.219, F189.219, F190.219, F191.219, F192.219, F193.219, F195.219, F196.219, F197.219, F198.219, F199.219, F200.219, F201.219, F202.219, F203.219, F204.219, F205.219, F207.219, F208.219, F209.219, F210.219, F211.219, F212.219, F213.219, F214.219
		Settings
	Heat Transfer	Adiabatic
	Mass And Momentum	No Slip Wall
	Wall Roughness	Smooth Wall
		Boundary - Stationary
	Туре	WALL
	Location	Stationary
		Settings
	Heat Transfer	Adiabatic
	Mass And Momentum	No Slip Wall
	Wall Velocity	Counter Rotating Wall
	Wall Roughness	Smooth Wall

### LIST OF REFERENCES

- A. Byrd. "Modernization of the Transonic Axial Compressor Test Rig." Master's Thesis, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 2017. http://hdl.handle.net/10945/56876
- [2] A. J. Gannon and G. V. Hobson, "Performance Testing of Transonic Rotors," *Mech. Eng.*, pp. 52–53, 2010. Available: http://libproxy.nps.edu/login?url= https://search.proquest.com/docview/742466821?accountid=12702.
- [3] J. Cameron et al. "A Transonic Axial Compressor Facility for Fundamental Research and Flow Control Development." *44th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*, 2006. Available: https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2006-416
- [4] "Transonic Compressor 1," Technische Universität Darmstadt. Accessed June 6th, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.glr.tudarmstadt.de/glr\_forschung/verdichter/tsv1/index.en.jsp
- [5] R. A. Brokopp. "NASA Glenn's Single-Stage Axial Compressor Facility Upgraded." *Research and Technology*, 2003. Available: http://hdl.handle.net/2060/20050215485.
- "Compressor Research Facility (CRF)," Aerospace Systems Directorate. Accessed June 6th, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.wpafb.af.mil/Portals/60/documents/afrl/rq/wpafb/rq-compressorresearch-CRF-%202018.pdf?ver=2018-07-06-132112-140
- [7] B. Grossman. "Testing and Analysis of a Transonic Axial Compressor." Master's Thesis, Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 1997. http://hdl.handle.net/10945/9064

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST**

- 1. Defense Technical Information Center Ft. Belvoir, Virginia
- 2. Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California