

Effect of High-Intensity Light Curing on Depth-of-Cure and Intrapulpal-Temperature Increase

ABSTRACT #1872



Slack WE,¹ Yancey EM,² Lien W,³ Sheridan RR,⁴ Phoenix RD,⁵ Vandewalle KS⁴

¹USAF, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, USA; ²USAF, Offutt AFB, NE, USA; ³USAF, DRCS, Ft. Sam Houston, TX, USA; ⁴USAF, JBSA-Lackland, TX, USA; ⁵USU, Ft. Sam Houston, TX, USA

INTRODUCTION

The Exposure Reciprocity theory has been utilized by light-curing unit manufacturers to justify marketing products featuring increased light intensity to decrease overall cure time. Several high intensity units on the market claim 1-3 second cure times for a 2mm cure depth. Curing at manufacturer recommended times may produce under-curing while repeated exposures may cause thermal pulpal damage.

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this *in vitro* study was to investigate the effect of rapid high-intensity light curing on depth-of-cure of composite resins and temperature changes in the pulp.

RESULTS

ratios hardness determined Based the on experimentally, the exposure time necessary to adequately polymerize the composite resin (80% of maximum) at 2mm depth was 9 seconds for the Cybird and Valo and 12 seconds for S.P.E.C. 3 and Flashmax P3. See Figure 2. The temperature change for the Extended group was significantly greater than the Experimental group which was significantly greater than the temperature change for the Recommended group. See

 Table 1 and Figure 3.

Mean Percent Hardness Ratio at 2mm Depth

MATERIALS and METHODS

A 3.1mm-deep proximal box was prepared in an extracted human molar. Composite resin (Esthet-X HD, **Dentsply) was placed in the preparation and cured using** high-intensity light-curing units (Cybird, Dentazon; S.P.E.C. 3, Coltene; Valo, Ultradent; Flashmax P3, CMS Dental) at their maximum irradiance settings. The composite resin specimens were removed and tested for hardness along the intaglio surface at 0.5-mm increments occlusal-gingivally. Exposure times were varied over three groups. The first group was set according to manufacturer settings (Recommended), the second group had exposure times that were set to yield an 80% of maximum hardness value of the composite resin samples at the 2mm increment (Experimental), and the third group was set to an exposure time of 20 seconds (Extended). Data were analyzed with a two-way ANOVA and multiple one-way ANOVAs for each light-curing unit and exposure time.



Figure 2: Polymerization Times by Curing Unit

100

Light-curing unit	Power (mW)	Irradiance (mW/cm²)	Mean (st dev) Increase in Pulpal Temperature °C								
			Recommended 1/3/10 secs			Experimental 9/12/20 secs			Extended 20 secs		
			Cybird	1404 (24)	3179 (53)	9.5 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	Ac	28.1 (0.5)	1.7 (0.1)	Ва
Valo	1093 (14)	2473 (32)	7.4 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	Abc	22.6 (0.3)	2.3 (0.04)	Bb	50.3 (0.6)	4.0 (0.2)	С
S.P.E.C 3	1336 (69)	3024 (156)	3.0 (0.2)	0.3 (0.2)	Aa	38.9 (2.0)	2.3 (0.3)	Bb	64.9 (3.1)	3.4 (0.4)	Co
Flashmax P3	1194 (11)	2702 (24)	8.1 (0.07)	0.5 (0.1)	Aab	32.2 (0.3)	1.7 (0.2)	Ва	53.7 (0.5)	2.6 (0.1)	С
Bluephase 20i	567 (6)	1282 (14)	1.3 (0.1)	0.9 (0.1)	Ac	25.6 (0.3)	1.8 (0.1)	Ва	25.6 (0.3)	1.8 (0.1)	В

 Table 1: Mean Increase in Pulpal Temperature





Figure 1: Experimental Setup Diagram

Figure 3: Graphical Display of Mean Increase in Pulpal Temperature

CONCLUSIONS

None of the high-intensity light-curing units adequately polymerized the composite resin at the manufacturer-recommended minimum exposure times of one or three seconds. The exposure times necessary to adequately polymerize a 2mm increment of composite resin resulted in a maximum pulpal temperature increase of 2.3°C – well below the temperature increase of 5.5°C associated with possible pulpal necrosis.

The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy of the Department of Defense or other departments. The authors do not have any financial interest in the companies whose materials are discussed in this poster. The views of Dentsply, Dentazon, Coltene, Ultradent, and CMS Dental are not necessarily the official views of, or endorsed by, the U.S. Government, the Department of the Air Force. No Federal endorsement of Dentsply, Dentazon, Coltene, Ultradent, and CMS Dental are not necessarily the official views of, or endorsed by, the U.S. Government, the Department of the Air Force. No Federal endorsement of Dentsply, Dentazon, Coltene, Ultradent, and CMS Dental is intended.