

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188		
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 10-10-2018		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 15-May-2017 - 14-May-2018	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Final Report: Catalyzing Material Discovery Through Electrochemical and Porosity Analysis			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-17-1-0250		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 611103		
6. AUTHORS			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Arizona State University ORSPA P.O. Box 876011 Tempe, AZ 85287 -6011			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 70029-CH-RIP.2		
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE UU	UU		Matthew Green
				19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 480-965-8051	

RPPR Final Report
as of 19-Nov-2018

Agency Code:

Proposal Number: 70029CHRIP

Agreement Number: W911NF-17-1-0250

INVESTIGATOR(S):

Name: PhD Cesar I. Torres
Email: cit@asu.edu
Phone Number: 4807279689
Principal: N

Name: PhD Jerry Lin
Email: Jerry.Lin@asu.edu
Phone Number: 4809657769
Principal: N

Name: PhD Matthew D Green
Email: mdgreen8@asu.edu
Phone Number: 4809658051
Principal: Y

Organization: **Arizona State University**
Address: ORSPA, Tempe, AZ 852876011
Country: USA

DUNS Number: 943360412

EIN: 860196696

Report Date: 14-Aug-2018

Date Received: 10-Oct-2018

Final Report for Period Beginning 15-May-2017 and Ending 14-May-2018

Title: Catalyzing Material Discovery Through Electrochemical and Porosity Analysis

Begin Performance Period: 15-May-2017

End Performance Period: 14-May-2018

Report Term: 0-Other

Submitted By: PhD Matthew Green

Email: mdgreen8@asu.edu

Phone: (480) 965-8051

Distribution Statement: 1-Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

STEM Degrees:

STEM Participants:

Major Goals: Acquire, Install, and demonstrate operation of a Micromeritics Autopore V mercury porosimeter.

Accomplishments: See uploaded document.

Training Opportunities: During the installation of the porosimeter, students were trained on safe operation by engineers from Micromeritics.

Results Dissemination: Nothing to Report

Honors and Awards: Nothing to Report

Protocol Activity Status:

Technology Transfer: Nothing to Report

RPPR Final Report
as of 19-Nov-2018

Micromeritics AutoPore V report

PI: Matthew Green, Ph.D.

Co-PIs: Jerry Lin, Ph.D. and César Torres, Ph.D.

1. Installation

The instrument was installed on 3/21/2018 by a Micromeritics service engineer. Ventilation duct was installed as well to ensure the excess mercury vapor can be removed. Figures 1 to 3 show the present placement and ventilation of the instrument.



Figure 1. Micromeritics AutoPore V mercury porosimeter.



Figure 2. Ventilation duct installed by ASU facilities.



3. The ventilation connects the instrument to a venting hood.

2. Instrument operation

The instrument uses nitrogen to pressurize the mercury and measures the volume of mercury intrusion to obtain the information about the pores (pore volume, pore size distribution, porosity, etc.) of a porous material. To perform the measurement, powder or bulk solid sample must be placed in the penetrometer (sample tube), as shown in Figure 4. Penetrometers with different sizes and properties are included in the accessory kit. Depending on the sample or measurement conditions, a specific type of penetrometer may be selected. The handling of mercury is restricted in the venting hood to avoid mercury vapor release or mercury spill. A large metal tray is also used to avoid mercury spills.



Figure 4. Penetrometers for mercury porosimetry. Left: suitable for larger samples. Right: suitable for powders.



Figure 5. Sample preparation mercury handling area in a venting hood.

To this date a number of measurements have been conducted. The instrument has been working effectively and therefore accurate results were obtained. The software can generate reports and data graph to provide the users with instant preliminary data analysis without data processing, as shown in Figure 6. Some representative tests we have done are listed in Table 1:

Summary

Total intrusion volume at 61,000.00 psia: 0.1068 mL/g
Total pore area at 61,000.00 psia: 4.344 m²/g
Median pore diameter (volume) at 1,869.76 psia 96.73 nm
and 0.053 mL/g:
Median pore diameter (area) at 2,051.08 psia 88.18 nm
and 2.172 m²/g:
Average pore diameter (4V/A): 98.30 nm




Figure 6. An example of report generated from the AutoPore V software (sample: YSZ).

Table 1. Examples of test results

Sample name	Original sample geometry	Total intrusion volume (ml/g)	Total pore area (m ² /g)	Average pore diameter (nm)	Porosity (%)
Y-Sm-Bi oxide – Sm-Ce-oxide dual-phase ceramic	Ceramic tube	0.0667	0.44	606.66	28.0
Y stabilized ZrO ₂	Ceramic disc	0.1068	4.344	98.30	--
AC12 coated on Li-Ti-oxide	Ceramic thin film coated on electrode	0.4176	1.002	1667	--
Silica coated on Li-Ti-oxide	Ceramic thin film coated on electrode	0.4212	5.823	289.37	--
TiO ₂ -bohemite	Ceramic powder	0.1746	0.935	747.01	

As shown in Table 1, due to improper setting of parameter during the measurements, porosity data was not obtained. However, the reliability of all other data is not affected. It should be noted that if the sample can be wetted by mercury or the sample is compressible, it is not suitable to use this instrument for measurement. The following data is an example of measurements taken with a cellulose sample.

Summary Report

Intrusion Data Summary

Total intrusion volume at 60,217.89 psia:	0.6605 mL/g
Total pore area at 60,217.89 psia:	0.079 m ² /g
Median pore diameter (volume) at 6.08 psia and 0.330 mL/g:	29,768.44 nm
Median pore diameter (area) at 6.69 psia and 0.040 m ² /g:	27,038.62 nm
Average pore diameter (4V/A):	33,408.61 nm




Figure 7. Measurement of cellulose sample.

Because of the compressibility of the sample, the mercury cannot intrude the pores when pressure is applied. Instead, the sample was compressed and deformed therefore the obtained data for pore size was too large and not reasonable.

Summary:

The equipment was successfully installed, including safety modification to the room, and is operating normally. This tool will be a great asset to characterize the porosity of samples prepared in support of DoD and ARO funded research programs.