AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-17-1-0285

TITLE: Supporting Patient Decisions About Upper-Extremity Surgery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Ida K. Fox, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Washington University St. Louis, MO 63110

REPORT DATE: Sept 2018

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D 4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number. PL	collection of information is estir and reviewing this collection of ir befense, Washington Headquart aware that notwithstanding any EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	nated to average 1 hour per resp formation. Send comments rega- ers Services, Directorate for Infor- other provision of law, no person FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDR	onse, including the time for revie rrding this burden estimate or an mation Operations and Reports (a shall be subject to any penalty t IESS.	wing instructions, sear y other aspect of this c (0704-0188), 1215 Jeff for failing to comply wit	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- h a collection of information if it does not display a currently		
1. REPORT DATE	2	2. REPORT TYPE		3. 1	DATES COVERED		
Sept 2018	/	Annual		0	1Sep2017 – 31 Aug 2018		
4. ITTLE AND SUBIT Supporting Patient Injury	LE : Decisions about U	pper Extremity Surg	ery in Cervical Spir	al Cord	CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. W8	GRANT NUMBER 1XWH-17-1-0285		
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Ida K. Fox, M.D.				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e.	TASK NUMBER		
F-Mail: foxi@wust	edu			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORG	SANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. 1	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT		
WASHINGTON UI ONE BROOKINGS SAINT LOUIS MO	NIVERSITY, THÈ S DR 63130-4862	. ,		1	NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
U.S. Army Medica	Research and Mat	teriel Command					
Fort Detrick, Maryl	and 21702-5012			11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for Public	VAILABILITY STATEN ic Release; Distribu	IENT tion Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
44 45075407							
Purpose: To define Scope: Review inferintervention.	e and communicate ormation about spo	information about un ntaneous recovery a	pper extremity (UE) and prospectively in) function in s vestigate reco	binal cord injury (SCI). overy with and without surgical		
Major Findings: Existing information about recovery after SCI is heterogeneous and complex; predicting motor recovery over time is possible. Surgical and non-surgical participants and caregivers need individualized approaches to optimize information gathering.					ex; predicting motor recovery over approaches to optimize information		
Results: 1. The European Multicenter SCI database includes information on more than 500 people with cervical level SCI. Post-injury function predicts degree of motor recovery at 6-12 months post-SCI, which is critical to surgical decision making for people							
2. SCI stakeholder their care that are	is note that people likely under-recogn	choosing/not choosi ized by surgeons ar	ng to undergo surge id other health care	ery have very providers.	specific reasons and priorities for		
3. Caregivers are under-recognized for the demands/stressors/concerns they might have.							
Significance: Based on preliminary concerns about caregiver burden and other experiences posed by the advisory board, the principal investigator has modified her clinical practice to improve the perioperative planning process for people undergoing surgery and their caregivers.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS Spinal cord injury, SCI, tetraplegia, nerve transfer surgery, tendon transfer surgery, rehabilitation, caregiver, upper extremity							
function, hand function							
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	56	cuue)		

Table of Contents

Page

1. Introduction	1
2. Keywords	1
3. Accomplishments	1
4. Impact	3
5. Changes/Problems	3
6. Products, Inventions, Patent Applications, and/or Licenses	4
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	4
8. Special Reporting Requirements	5
9. Appendices	5
 Aim 1 Analysis Plan Aim 1 Interim Analysis dated June 3, 2018 Aim 2 Interview Guides for Subjects and Caregivers Aim 2 REDCap Database blank forms Quad Chart updated for Q4Y1 	10 14 24 41 56
	30

1. INTRODUCTION:

The overarching aim of this project is to define key information about improvement of upper extremity function after spinal cord injury (SCI) (time and extent of recovery, outcome of surgical and non-surgical interventions and the experience thereof) and communicate this information to patients and clinicians to support their treatment decisions. This will be achieved through the following three aims:

Aim 1: Using the EMSCI database initially and unbiased recursive partitioning statistical techniques, establish the time course and variability of spontaneous recovery of upper extremity function after cervical SCI in order to identify candidates who might benefit from nerve transfer surgery.

Aim 2: Using a mixed methods research approach, patient and caretaker outcomes data will be collected over time and across groups (non-intervention, nerve transfer versus tendon transfer) and domains (medical, financial, and psychosocial experiences).

Standardized surveys and semi-structured interview data will be collected and analyzed. The interview guide will be developed and refined based on input from a multidisciplinary advisory panel.

Aim 3: Using information from Aims 1 and 2, as well as input from the advisory panel, a de novo decisional support intervention will be created and pilot tested. A pre-post study design will measure participant knowledge (terms, facts that differentiate outcomes), decision self-efficacy (self-confidence in their ability to make a decision), and confidence in choice before and after use of the decisional support intervention.

2. KEYWORDS:

Spinal cord injury, SCI, tetraplegia, nerve transfer surgery, tendon transfer surgery, rehabilitation, caregiver, upper extremity function, hand function

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

• What were the major goals of the project?

Task/Milestone	Target Completion	Status
Administrative:	Date/Quarter	
Complete IRB approval at WUSM primary site		COMPLETED 7/31/2017
Complete IRB approval at VA sites after approval obtained at		COMPLETED 11/22/2017
WUSM primary site		and 12/14/2017
Complete paperwork for use of EMSCI database/Dr. Steeves		COMPLETED March,
work		2018; payment confirmed
		5/23/18.
Prepare protocol, consent forms, patient recruitment forms with		COMPLETED
appropriate DOD language and guidelines		
Complete second tier DOD human subjects regulatory review		COMPLETED 3/1/2018
and approval process by HRPO.		for Primary site; 3/25/18
		for sub-sites.
Identify and hire research assistants and coordinator; complete		COMPLETED at
paperwork including human subjects' protection training as		WU/VASTL; Coordinator
relevant. (Human research training has already been completed		hired at Stanford/VA Palo
by all of the currently hired personnel at the primary and VA		Alto on 4/18/18.
sites; the consultants (Dr. Steeves' group will complete		
deidentified database work).		

Specific Aim 1: Establish the time course of spontaneous recovery of upper extremity function after carvical SCI		
Major Task 1 - Define clinically relevant data of interest within		
EMSCI database	D 0017 (V101)	
latest data from EMSCI database.	Dec 2017 (Y1Q1)	COMPLETED Nov, 2017
Subtask 2: Define clinically relevant subgroups within EMSCI database.	Dec 2017 (Y1Q1)	COMPLETED Jan, 2018
Subtask 3: Use the EMSCI database to screen for individuals	Mar 2018 (Y1Q2)	COMPLETED Feb, 2018
who have lost C7 function after cervical SCI and track recovery		
patterns for C7 function on each side of the body over the first		
year after injury.		
Milestone 1: EMSCI data reviewed	Dec 2017 (YIQI)	COMPLETED Nov, 2017
Milestone 2: Clinically relevant subgroups identified	Mar 2018 (Y1Q2)	COMPLETED Jan, 2018
Major Task 2 - Perform statistical analysis of defined clinically relevant subgroups		
Subtask 1: Discuss and confirm statistical analysis plan with Dr.	Mar 2018 (Y1Q2)	COMPLETED May, 2018
Steeves.		
Subtask 2: Use descriptive statistical analysis and unbiased		IN PROGRESS
recursive partitioning (URP) statistics to predict what	$J_{\rm ulv} 2018 (V104)$	
neurological and functional activity items most accurately	July 2018 (11Q4)	
identify surgical candidates.		
Subtask 3: Completion of final statistical analysis by Dr.	July 2018 (Y1Q4)	IN PROGRESS
Steeves and team.		
Subtask 4: Discuss summarized findings and present in	Sep 2018 (Y1Q4)	IN PROGRESS
layperson terms.		
Milestone(s) Achieved: EMSCI database analysis completed	Sep 2018 (Y1Q4)	DELAYED
with clinically appropriate data summarized in layperson terms.		
Specific Aim 2: Describe outcomes after no surgery versus	Target Completion	Status
nerve/tendon transfer surgery	Date/Quarter	
Major Task I Develop Interview Guides	D 2017 (1101)	
Subtask 1: Phone meeting between institutions/study sites—	Dec 2017 (YIQI)	COMPLETED 1/10/18
Cubtack 2. Accomble advisory panel	Dag 2017 (V101)	and $2/22/18$
Subtask 2: Assemble advisory panel.	Dec 2017 (11Q1)	COMPLETED Feb, 2018
Milestone 1. Advisory neural neuticipants identified	Dec 2017 (11Q1)	COMPLETED Apr, 2018
Milestone 1: Advisory panel participants identified.	Dec 2017 (11Q1)	COMPLETED Apr 2018
Maior Task 2 Enroll and collect data	Dec 2017 (11Q1)	COMPLETED Apr, 2018
Major Task 2 Enroll and conect data	D. 2010 (V201)	NI DDOCDESS
Subtask 1: Enroll study participants for Aim 2	Dec 2019 (Y3Q1)	IN PROGRESS
Subtask 2: Complete subject interviews/surveys	Dec 2019 (Y3Q1)	IN PROGRESS
specific Aim 5: Develop and assess a decision support intervention tool		
Major Task 1 Develop Decision Support Intervention (DSI)		
Subtask 1: Review findings of Aim 1 and 2: create decision		DELAYED START
support intervention and knowledge subtest	Mar 2020 (Y3Q2)	

• What was accomplished under these goals?

We are satisfied with this project's progress to date.

Aim 1 – HRPO Log Number A-20223.1

- Obtained data from the European Multicenter Study about Spinal Cord Injury (EMSCI)

- Interim analysis report completed
- Refining of unbiased recursive partitioning (URP) statistics and analysis

- Core questions:

- Is there a hard-stop time point after SCI for which no more natural recovery occurs?
- If I (the person with SCI) didn't do any surgical intervention, what function might come back on its own?
- What is the time course of recovery after SCI?
- Are there meaningful gains in function between 6 and 12 months post SCI in some sub-populations? And if so, are the gains such that surgical intervention should be avoided and delayed at that time point?

Aim 2 – HRPO Log Numbers A-20223.2a, A-20223.2b, A-20223.2c, A-20223.2d

- Obtained all local IRB and HRPO approvals

- Advisory Board identified and convened for conference calls
- Interview guides finalized with input from Advisory Board
- Interviewers trained to ensure a standardized interviewing technique.
- Subject and caregiver enrollment underway

We plan to begin reviewing the retrospective findings of Aim 1 in the context of prospective data gathered in Aim 2 to conceptualize Aim 3. Throughout this process, we have targeted our analysis of pre-existing data (Aim 1) and interview guide development towards obtaining information that is meaningful and able to be understood by people with SCI, their caregivers and other healthcare providers (social workers, psychologists, therapists, etc.).

PROTOCOL 1 (of 2 total):

HRPO Assigned Numbers: A-20223.1 and A-20223.2a-d

Title: Supporting Patient Decisions about Upper Extremity Surgery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury (AIM 1 and AIM 2)

Target required for clinical significance: 38 patient/caregiver pairs

STATUS:

i) Progress on subject recruitment: Number of SCI subjects reported as enrolled last quarter: 10.

	Wash U	STL VA	PA VA	Stanford	TOTAL	Y1Q4 Goal
Total Enrolled SCI Subjects	9	5	5	1	20	18
# SCI Subject Interviews Obtained to date ¹	10	2	3	2	16	
# Caregiver Interviews Obtained to date ²	9	2	2	2	15	
Total # Interviews Obtained to date	19	4	5	4	31	

Notes: ¹ Subjects are in various stages of the study (i.e., Enrolled, Baseline, Early Follow-up and Late Follow-up); thus there is not a perfect match between # enrolled and # of interviews obtained to date.

²Not all SCI subjects identified a caregiver to participate.

ii) Amendments: None pending.

iii) Any adverse event/unanticipated problems: None.

Aim 3 - PROTOCOL 2 (of 2 total):

HRPO Assigned Number: Not yet assigned

Title: Supporting Patient Decisions about Upper Extremity Surgery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury (AIM 3)

STATUS:

Feedback from the IRB approval boards prompted the moving of Aim 3 into a separate planned IRB protocol submission. We will submit for IRB and DoD HRPO approvals for Aim 3 using information gained from Aims 1 & 2 and anticipate submission once a preliminary decision aid framework/prototype has been developed.

- What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?
 - Study team coordinators responsible for interviewing subjects and caregivers participated in an interview training session with Co-Investigator Aimee James, PhD, MPH on 7/5/2018. She is a social psychologist with expertise in qualitative and mixed methods research as well as training and experience in public health and health education. Training included review of 1) strategies for optimizing open-ended question techniques and use of probes to facilitate discussion; 2) discussion of field notes and other means to report relevant information; 3) framework for performing, downloading, transcribing and saving research data discussed; 4) next steps including strategies for identification of themes and representative quotations as well as the interview transcription codebook, and strategies for iterative interview guide refinement and modification based on participant answers to questions were also described.
- How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
 - Nothing to Report.
- What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
 - We will work to summarize EMSCI analysis findings.
 - We will continue recruitment and enrollment of human subjects (people with SCI and caregivers) at all sites to reach Aim 2 goals.
 - We will plan and conceptualize the Decision Support Intervention (DSI) in accordance with Aim 3 goals.

4. IMPACT:

- What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
 - Based on preliminary concerns about caregiver burden and other experiences posed by the advisory board, the principal investigator has already modified her clinical practice to improve the perioperative planning process for people undergoing surgery and their caregivers.
- What was the impact on other disciplines? Nothing to Report.
- What was the impact on technology transfer? Nothing to Report.
- What was the impact on society beyond science and technology? Nothing to Report.

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

- Changes in approach and reasons for change Nothing to Report.
- o Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them
 - We are pleased to report that our subject enrollment, which was behind projections on previous quarterly reports, is now on track and slightly ahead of schedule.
- Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures
 - The study is under the initial application's predicted budget expenditure. We encountered delays in obtaining final HRPO protocol approval and subsequently staggered study team percent effort for work on the project until human subjects' enrollment was underway (see Quad Chart).
- Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents Nothing to Report.
- Significant changes in use or care of human subjects Nothing to Report.
- Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals Nothing to Report.
- Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents Nothing to Report.

6. PRODUCTS:

- **Publications, conference papers, and presentations -** Nothing to Report.
- Website(s) or other Internet site(s) <u>http://nerve.wustl.edu</u> (Website for the Center for Nerve Injury & Paralysis at Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine.)
- **Technologies or techniques -** Nothing to Report.
- Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses Nothing to Report.
- Other Products Construction of the REDCap database for this project to collect demographic and questionnaire data for enrolled subjects (blank forms attached in appendices).

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

• What individuals have worked on the project?

Ida Fox – no change Catherine Curtin – no change Aimee James – no change John Steeves – no change Carie Kennedy – no change Dirk Haupt – no change

<u>New to list</u>: Name: Deborah Kenney Project Role: Clinical Research Coordinator at California sites Nearest person month worked: 2 **Contribution to Project:** Ms. Kenney assists in study coordination and human subject recruitment/enrollment for the Stanford University and Palo Alto Veterans Administration locations.

- Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period? Nothing to Report.
- What other organizations were involved as partners?
 - 1) Organization Name: Veterans' Administration Healthcare System
 - Location of Organization: St. Louis, Mo
 - Partner's contribution to the project
 - In-kind support
 - Facilities
 - Collaboration
 - Other Study sub site
 - 2) Organization Name: Stanford University
 - Location of Organization: Stanford, CA
 - Partner's contribution to the project
 - In-kind support
 - Facilities
 - Collaboration
 - Other Study sub site
 - 3) Organization Name: Palo Alto Veterans' Institute for Research
 - Location of Organization: Palo Alto, CA
 - Partner's contribution to the project
 - In-kind support
 - Facilities
 - Collaboration
 - **Other** Study sub site
 - 4) Organization Name: European Multicenter Study about Spinal Cord Injury (EMSCI)
 - Location of Organization: Zurich, Switzerland
 - Partner's contribution to the project
 - **Collaboration** Provided access to data for Aim 1 study activities.
 - 5) Organization Name: Health Literacy Media (HLM)
 - Location of Organization: St. Louis, MO
 - Partner's contribution to the project
 - **Collaboration** Providing guidance for Aim 3 study activities to make healthcare information easier to understand and act upon.

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

• **QUAD CHARTS:** The updated Quad Chart is submitted in the appendices.

9. APPENDICES:

- Aim 1 Analysis Plan (pp. 10-13)
- Aim 1 Interim Analysis dated June 3, 2018 (pp. 14-23)
- Aim 2 Interview Guides for Subjects and Caregivers (pp. 24-40)
- Aim 2 REDCap Database blank forms (pp. 41-55)
- Quad Chart updated for Q4Y1 (p. 56)

Analysis plan of EMSCI database for guidance on identification of prospective candidates for peripheral nerve transfer surgery to restore hand function after cervical spinal cord injury (DoD grant; PI: Dr. Ida Fox)

Overall Goal: Over the first year after cervical spinal cord injury (SCI), establish the time course for the pattern of spontaneous recovery of upper extremity motor function.

The goal of this analysis is to get information that provides the exact time course and probability of gain in specific function (such as hand function) that might help patients and clinicians make decisions regarding these novel and time-sensitive surgical treatment options (nerve transfers). The end product of this analysis will need to be lay-person friendly bullet points as part of an information sheet (decision aid) that will be provided to clinicians and people with SCI contemplating intervention.

Methods: Using the database of European Multicenter study about Spinal Cord Injury (EMSCI), descriptive and analytical statistical methods (e.g. unbiased recursive partitioning, URP) will be employed to describe, on a segment by cord segment basis, neurological and functional upper extremity recovery after cervical SCI, with particular attention to defining the proportion of patients that can be accurately predicted, within the first 6 months after injury, to <u>not</u> recover hand function, but do recover biceps function for elbow flexion. Those candidates identified non-invasively could then be subsequently examined using minimally invasive electrophysiological diagnostics to confirm the direct damage and loss of motorneurons to extrinsic hand muscles (the target for possible nerve transfer surgery)

Number of patients with cervical SCI contained within the ongoing EMSCI database is >1000. EMSCI performs neurological and functional assessments at defined time points after SCI (<2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months). The non-invasive neurological assessment tool is the International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury (ISNCSCI) and includes motor and sensory grading of spinal cord segments (see ISNCSCI scoresheet attached to this outline). The functional assessment tool is the SCIM (spinal cord independence measure), which is similar to the FIM (functional independence measure), especially for items describing the degree of independence for upper extremity (self-care) functions (e.g. feeding, grooming, bathing and dressing).

For this analysis, focus will be centered on motor scores obtained from cervical cord segments C5, C6, C7, C8, T1. Initial and subsequent changes in segmental motor scores will be described. The functional motor level for each side of the body is defined as the lowest key segmental muscle function that has a grade of at least 3 out of 5, provided cervical cord segments above that level are judged to be intact (normal). SCIM scores for various neurological levels and severity of cervical injury will also be assessed and correlated with motor scores within the same individual and across similar patients.

The priority target population will be those people with intact (preserved) or recovered C5 motor function within 6 months after injury (muscle grade 5/5), but no motor function (0/5) at spinal levels C7, C8 and/or T1.

Task: Describe the pattern of motor and functional recovery from baseline (<2weeks after cervical injury) to at least 6 months after SCI. [Note: ISNCSCI records at 12 months after cervical SCI may not be available for all EMSCI participants as people are then outpatients and do not return for assessment]. People with similar motor recovery patterns will be grouped and percentages of the total population will be summarized.

- 1. Is there a predictive algorithm that can be developed from the neurological and functional data to identify prospective candidates for nerve transfer surgery?
- 2. Can we provide these candidates with clear and pertinent information/expectations about the chance of (and to what degree) spontaneous recovery of specific functions?

Examples of questions to be assessed include:

- a) How many people start with a motor level of C4 (no C5 biceps function), but recover full C5 function within the first 6 to 12 months after cervical SCI? Of those recovering full motor function at C5 what is the pattern and timing for recovery of motor function within cervical segments below C5?
- b) What is the pattern of segmental motor recovery over the first year after cervical SCI for people with intact (preserved) C5 motor function at baseline? How many people with preserved C5 motor function after SCI never recover motor function within C7, C8, or T1?
- c) What is the pattern of segmental motor recovery over the first year after cervical SCI for people with some preserved C5 motor function at baseline (e.g. initial motor scores of 1/5, 2/5, 3/5, or 4/5)? How many people with partially preserved C5 motor function after SCI never recover motor function within C7, C8, or T1?
- d) What is the pattern of segmental motor recovery over the first year after cervical SCI for people with intact (preserved) C5 and C6 motor function at baseline? How many people with preserved C5 and/or C6 motor function after SCI never recover motor function within C7, C8, or T1?
- e) Does the pattern of motor recovery become stable (unchanging thereafter) at some point within the first year after cervical SCI (e.g. within the first 6 months)?
- f) How often are the motor deficits and recovery patterns symmetrical on both sides of the cervical spinal cord?
- g) How does the pattern of cervical motor recovery differ across SCI severities (AIS A through to AIS D)?
- h) What motor score patterns (e.g. algorithm) might best predict those people that are likely candidates for subsequent electrophysiological analysis of motor nerve patency and function as a precursor to consideration for nerve transfer surgery to restore hand function?
- i) Repeat the above analysis using items from the SCIM self-care sub-score (see attached) for each level and severity of cervical SCI.

Please provide comments and suggested changes on this plan using WORD track changes to John Steeves, with copy to all investigators.



Muscle Function Grading

0 -- total porelysis

- 1 = papable or visible contraction
- 2 = active movement, full range of motion (RCM) with gravity eliminated
- 3 active movement, tul ROW against gravity
- 4 active movement, full HOM against gravity and moderate sesterance in a muccle specific position.
- 5 (corrul) active incventent, NEROM against gravity and NERostation in a functional muscle goodion expected from an observee unimparted person
- 5^{\ast} = (normal) active movement, full FIOM against gravity and sufficient resistance to be considered normal if identified initiality factors (i.e. pain, deuse) were not present
- NT = rot lastes (i.e. due to transplication, severe pair such that the patient samed be graded, emputation of limb, or contracture of > 50% of the normal FOM;

Sensory Grading

- 0
- 1 = Aleesd, other docreased/impaired semastern or hypersemitivity
- 2 Normal
- NT NO tenters:

When to Test Non-Key Muscles:

In a pollest with an apparent AIS 8 classification, son-key truscle functions more than 3 levels below the motor level on each side should be tested to mast accurately classify the injury (differentiate between AIS 8 and C). Dent la uni

into e cetto in	11001, 1011
Shoulder: Roxin, urbmain, abdactor, addactor, internal and external rotation	65
Ebow: Signatury	
Elbow: Plonskin Wrist: Fexion	66
Finger: Rooken af proximal joint, automaan. Thumbe: Rooken, automaken ar is abstaction in plane of thumbe	67
Finger: Flooten at MCP pert Therefor: Opposition, adduction and abduction perpendicular to parts	C8
Finger: Abduction of the Index linger	TI
Hige Adduction	12
Hip: Educati Intuitori	L3
Hige Columian, aicidadien, miamainstation Knees: Flaston Anklie: Invesion and exection Toes: MF and PL adamtion	LA
Hallox and Toe: DP and PP foilor and adductor	15
Hallos: Aduction	S1

ASIA Impairment Scale (AIS)

A = Complete. No summry or motor function is preserved in the sacral segments \$4-5

B = Sensory Incomplete. Sensory but not motor function is preserved bytow the neuroportical level and instudes the secral aigments S4-5 dight looch tir gin prox al S4-6 or deep anal proputel AVED no motor function is proteined more than three vels below the molor level on either eide of the body.

C = Motor Incomplete. Motor function is preserved at the most caustill sector segments for voluntary anal contraction (WAC). CRI the patient meets the criteria for sensory incomplete status. beniory function preserved at the most caude same exprents (S4-52) by LT, FF or DAVS, and has some sparing of motor function more than three levers below the peakased motor level. on either side of the body. (The includios key or non-key truecte functions to determine motor incompilete status i For ASI C -- keep than that of keep musclé functions bolow the single NU have a muncle grade > 3

D = Motor incomplete. Motor incomplete status as defined above, with all level that that or more) of key massie functions, below the single NU healing a muscle grade \pm 3.

E = Normal. If sensation and motor taraction as tasked with The ISNCSCI are graded as normal in all segments, and the patient had prior dericits, then the AS grade Is E. Someone without an initial SCI datas not receive an AIS strade.

Using ND: To document the sensory, motor and NU levels. the ASM impairment licale grade, and/or the zone of partial preservation (2PP) when they are unlable to be determined: assed on the depretation results



INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS FOR NEUROLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF SPINAL CORD INJURY



Steps in Classification

The following order to recommended for delevatives the classification of Individuals with SCI.

1. Determine sensory levels for right and left sides. The consory lovel is the most caudal, intact dometrices for both pin prick and And the Double second of

 Determine motor levels for right and left sides.
 Dethed by the level key much function that fire a grade of al least 5 (or sighte leading), providing the key music functions represented by segments riprime that level are judged to be intend (practed as a 6). Note: In registrat where there is no myndiame to lood, the motor level h prostmod to be the same as the sensory itsel. If Initiable motor kinction above that loves in also repertud

3. Determine the neurological level of injury (NLI)

The refers to the most swallal suggested of the cord with Intert surroution and antigravity (2 or monit muscus function strangth, privated that there is normal Inducty successivy and moster lanction controlly respectively. The NLI is the most controlled of the sensory and moster levels determined in state 1 and 2

4. Determine whether the injury is Complete or Incomplete.

A stream of primetric of autori sparting if velocity and contraction – No AAD at 54.5 secondy acons = 0 AAD storp and pressure – No, then highly in Completie. Otherwise, Inforty & Incomplete.

5. Determine ASIA impairment Scale (AIS) Grade:

Is injury Complete? If YES, AIS-A and can need 3PP (creat domations or myotome on each side with some preservation) NO I

is injury Motor Complete? If YES, AIS-8



(No-wantery and contraction OR motor function more than three levels below the motor level on a given oklo, if the patient has someony incomplete classification)

Are at least half (half or more) of the key muscles below the neurological level of injury graded 3 or better? ND YES |



If sensation and motor function is normal in all segments, AIS=E Note: AS C is used in totaxe up testing when an individual with a obcumented SCI has recovered normal function. If al Initial leading no duticitis and livand, the individual is neurologically intact; the ASAI impairment Scale dover not apply. Date: June 3, 2018

Unbiased recursive partitioning (URP) analysis of segmental motor recovery after cervical spinal cord injury (SCI) with focus on which neurological and functional characteristics could suggest which people may be candidates for more detailed electrophysiological and reflex testing as a precursor for consideration of peripheral transfer surgery to improve lower arm and hand function.

Interim report to Dr. Ida Fox

Analysis completed by Dr. John Steeves and Dirk Haupt

Data source =	European Multicenter study about Spinal Cord Injury (EMSCI)			
Sample size =	558 - 771 patients screened (depends on scenarios outlined below)			
Intact cord segment =	initial motor score of 4/5 or 5/5 for the identified segments (i.e. for segments C5, C6, and C7). In the case of the C4 segment, intact means normal (2/2) light touch (LT) and pin prick (PP) sensation for the initial examination < 2 weeks of cervical SCI.			
Poor motor recovery =	motor score for the segment being examined must have a median score of 1/5 or 0/5 at 6 months after injury. This can be predicted at 3 months after cervical SCI (given the scenarios outlined below). Note: the segment immediately below the intact functional cervical cord will always show the best recovery. This is not a surprising finding and has been repeatedly reported in the past.			
Recommendation =	peripheral electrophysiological or reflex-testing follow-up (as a prelude to any surgical intervention; nerve or tendon transfer) for a cervical cord segment exhibiting poor recovery of motor function ($\leq 1/5$) at 6 months after cervical SCI is worth discussion when the cord segment in question is at least 2 spinal cord segments below the motor intact cervical cord.			

Scenario #1: C4 and C5 functions are intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C6 or right C6 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

Answer: In this patient subset, very few patients show poor motor recovery at C6L or C6R. For example, in the diagram below, the best predictor of a C6 motor score at 6 months is the C6 motor score at 3 months after SCI. Note: a motor score (MS) of <3/5 for the C6 segment still results in a MS of 3/5 or better (see histogram at bottom left of diagram; denoted as median 3, cohort 2, n= 51). Total sample size = 770. All other cervical SCI patients with intact C4 and C5 motor scores indicate good C6 recovery. Nerve transfers from C4 or C5 to innervate a denervated C6 muscle is not recommended as C6 is likely to spontaneously recover.





Scenario #2: C4 and C5 functions are intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C7 or right C7 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

<u>Answer</u>: In this patient subset, a small number of patients might be candidates for a C4 or C5 nerve transfer if they have a C7 motor score at 3 months of \leq 1/5 for C7L (29 of 770 people) or 0/5 for C7R at 3 months after cervical SCI (16/771). The only cohorts of interest here are cohorts 3 (lower left-side histograms) The more stringent requirements for a poor recovery of C7R are likely due to the fact that more people are right-handed.



Scenario #3: C4 and C5 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C8 or right C8 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)? <u>Answer</u>: In this patient subset, there are now more eligible patients for nerve transfer surgery. The potentially eligible patients are (once again) best and easily defined by a C8 MS at 3 months of ≤ 1/5 on either the left or right side (cohorts 4, 7, 8, 9 on bottom histograms for C8R and cohorts 5, 6, 7 for C8L). The total number of potential SCI participants for surgery are 70/769 (cohorts 5, 6, 7) for C8L and 54/771 (cohorts 4, 7, 8, 9) for C8R. Once again there are slightly more stringent criteria for C8R.





Scenario #4: C4 and C5 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left T1 or right T1 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)? <u>Answer</u>: In this patient subset, the easiest predictors of T1 MS at 6 months are T1 MS at 3 months but neurological level of injury (NLI) at 2 weeks after cervical SCI or motor level at 1 month after SCI can also predict poor recovery of T1 motor function. The total number of participants showing poor T1L recovery are found in cohorts 4, 6, 7 (74/769) and in cohorts 4 and 5 for T1R (71/771). Whether T1 function is highly important to people after cervical SCI is undecided.





Scenario #5: C4, C5 and C6 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C7 or right C7 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

Answer: In this patient subset, the easiest predictors of C7 MS at 6 months are C7 MS at 3 months, but the spontaneous recovery trend continues. Since C7 is adjacent to the intact functioning cervical cord segments of C4-C6, the motor recovery is substantial and none of the participants are deemed potential recipients for a nerve transfer (or tendon transfer) surgery. Of the 612 people screened for C7R or C7L function, the lowest median score for any cohort was 4/5.



Scenario #6: C4, C5 and C6 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C8 or right C8 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

Answer: In this patient subset, the best predictor of C8 function is the MS for C8 at 3months. The total number of potential recipients for peripheral surgery are found in cohorts 2. There are 22/611 people in cohort 2 for C8L with a median MS of 0/5 and 29/612 people in cohort 2 for C8R with a median MS of 1/5.



ID4, C5, and C6 intest patients MIS for C8R at 8 months



Scenario #7: C4, C5 and C6 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left T1 or right T1 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

Answer: Best predictor of T1 motor function at 6 months is a T1 motor score (MS) \leq 2/5 at 3 months. There are 24/611 T1L participants in cohort 3 that might be considered for surgical intervention and 41/612 people in cohort 2 for the T1R subset.





Scenario #8: C4, C5, C6 and C7 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left C8 or right C8 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)?

Answer, As before, since the C8 segment (left or right) is adjacent to intact functional cervical cord segments, there are likely very few patients that can be recommended for surgery. The lowest median MS is 2/5 for cohort 2 in the C8R subset and for the C8L subset at 6 months, the lowest median score is 3/5 (see cohort 2). Total sample size for each side was \$58 patients.



Scenario #9: C4, C5, C6 and C7 function is intact within the first 2 weeks after cervical SCI. What predicts a poor motor recovery of the left T1 or right T1 segments at 6 months (each side is analyzed independently)? <u>Answer</u>: T1 motor score at 3months after cervical SCI is the best predictor of T1 motor function at 6 months, but only a small percentage of people will be eligible. Specifically, only 16/558 of T1L and 14/S59 of T1R people will show poor motor recovery (median score of 1/S in cohorts 2).



Semi-Structured Interview Guide — for Surgery Subjects

Thank you for allowing us this time to interview you. I will be asking you questions about your experiences with health care providers and therapies for your spinal cord injury, and questions about information you were given or found out about your hand and arm function. There are no right or wrong answers to any of my questions. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for hand and arm function specifically.

Baseline interview questions:

- 1. Can you tell me about your injury, in terms of what happened, how old you were, and when it was? (*Intro question to get them talking.)
- 2. We have information about your current function and abilities from the survey you/we just completed. Can you now tell me some of the hand and arm abilities that you miss *most*?
 - a. Probe: Why do you miss that activity the most?
 (*for example, one person said something along the lines of 'what I miss most is the ability to shake hands b/c that is what 'makes a man a [business] man'.)
- 3. What treatment or therapies have you had to improve your arm and\or hand function? What therapies or treatments are you thinking of getting in the future?
 - a. Probe:
 - i. Can you tell me how you learned about these interventions and what information helped you decide to have or not have the interventions?
- 4. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about the loss of hand and arm function right after your injury?
- 5. How do you find out or get information about your hand and arm function and your surgery? (Give them a change to answer, then probe with format options.)

surgery? (Give them a chance to answer, then probe with format options.)

- a. Probe:
 - i. Overall, in what format would you prefer to receive health information?
 - On a mobile phone, through an app or by browsing online
 - On the computer
 - On paper such as a pamphlet, brochure, etc.
 - In person, from a doctor, nurse or health care provider
- 6. How did you find out about the nerve/tendon transfer surgery?
 - a. Probes:
 - i. Tell me about the person who first introduced you to the possibility of surgery to improve hand and arm function. (i.e. friend, PM&R doctor, internet search, therapist, etc.)

- ii. If it wasn't a health care provider, did that person have surgery?
- iii. How did you find your surgeon?

7. Walk me through your decision making process when deciding to get surgery.

- a. Probes:
 - i. What motivated you to have surgery?
 - ii. What was it about this surgery that made it seem like a good fit for you?
 - iii. What were the drawbacks of this surgery?
 - iv. If you were trying to decide between nerve and tendon transfer, what made you choose one over the other?
- 8. What do you know or what have you heard about nerve or tendon transfer surgery so far?
- 9. Using your own words, how would you describe the benefits and risks of surgery for hand and arm function to someone else with a spinal cord injury?

10. Can you describe any challenges you have faced in getting surgery?

a. Probe on: finances (i.e. insurance), caretaker issues, finding a surgeon, getting referral, getting in to see surgeon

11. How have you prepared for the recovery the time right after surgery (When you get home?)? Have you made any special plans or arrangements?

- a. Probes:
 - i. Any extra caregivers?

ii. Rental or special equipment obtained (such as Hoyer lift, electric wheelchair) iii. Activities that you might have to pause (such as sports, self-catheterization, any others?)?

12. I'd like know about your expectations and goals for surgery. What do you hope to gain from this surgery?

- a. Probes:
 - i. What are your greatest concerns or fears about surgery?
 - ii. Describe your expectations for recovery and rehab after surgery.
 - iii. What questions do you still have about surgery, are there other things you might want to know?
 - iv. What could your surgeon have explained better?

For VA patients: Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Early Follow-up interview questions:

Last time we spoke, you told me a little bit about your injury, treatments you'd done up until that point, your decision making process to have surgery as well as your expectations about it. I will ask some of the same questions again and I will add some new questions as well. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for hand and arm function specifically.

- 1. So it's been about a month since we last checked in with you- tell me how things are going with your hand and arm function.
 - a. Probe:
 - i. Have you been at home, or at an inpatient facility? (*If Inpt, ask LOS)
 - ii. Was that your preferred place? Do you think it would it have made a difference to be someplace else?
 - iii. How have you been coping?

2. Can you talk about any gains or losses in your hand and arm function since the surgery?

- a. Probe:
 - i. Are there some or any tasks that you need more help with?
 - ii. Are there some/any tasks that you need less help with?
 - iii. Describe the types of technology or assistive devices you have and use for everyday function.
 - iv. Have you had to rely on others more? If so- share more about what that experience has been like.

3. Describe any other health issues that may have affected your hand and arm function. (i.e., urinary tract infection or flu prevented exercising/working out)

4. Tell me what, if anything, you're doing in regards to therapy.

- a. Probe:
 - i. Intensiveness, frequency, PT vs OT, things you did on your own....
 - ii. Probe on: getting to therapy [Note to interviewer: before asking, figure out whether they are doing inpatient or outpatient therapy]
 - iii. Tell me what therapies or exercises you've found to be most or least helpful.
 - iv. If you have missed any therapy sessions, please tell me about the reasons that prevented you from going.
- 5. Can you tell me a little bit about your experience with hand and upper extremity <u>pain</u> and other side effects of surgery? Has it affected your functioning?
 - a. Probes:
 - i. Is your pain related to surgery or not?
 - ii. If it is due to the surgery is it more or less than you expected?
 - iii. How do you try to deal with it?

- iv. What helps lessen your pain?
- v. What would you tell someone who is considering surgery about post op pain they might expect?
- 6. Describe any challenges you have had in getting treatments and therapies to improve hand and arm function.
 - a. Probe:
 - i. Can you describe whether finances have been a barrier to getting treatment or therapies?
 - ii. Can you describe if you've run into any problems with insurance? Had to pay out of pocket for things? Or go without care because of it?

7. Can you describe your experience right after surgery when you couldn't use your hand and/or arm in the same way as before surgery?

a. Probe:

i. What things did you need to stop or change due to surgery?

ii. Did you need extra caregivers, or any special or rented equipment?

iii. How did these needs match up to what you had expected before surgery?

iiii. What could have been explained better before surgery to prepare you for the postoperative time?

8. What was the easiest thing about the surgery? The hardest thing?

- a. Probe:
 - i. Overall, has anything about the surgery, including the surgery itself, therapy and recovery afterwards, or your functioning, been different from what you expected going into it?

9. Looking back to when you were first injured, is there anything you know now about spinal cord injury and your surgery that you wish someone had explained to you early after injury?

10. What would you say to someone else thinking about having this surgery?

For VA patients: Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Late Follow-up interview questions:

Some time has passed since we last spoke. In previous interviews you have told me a little bit about your injury, treatments you'd done up until that point, your decision making process to have surgery as well as your expectations about it. For this final interview, I'd like to ask some similar questions to the last time we talked, and some new questions as well. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for hand and arm function specifically.

- 1. What changes if any, have you noticed in your hand and upper extremity function?
 - a. Probe: What types of things are easier/harder/have not changed?
- 2. What was the easiest thing about the surgery? Hardest thing?
 - a. Probe:
 - i. Overall, has anything in regards to surgery, including the surgery itself, therapy and recovery afterwards, or your functioning, been different from what you expected going into it?
- 3. Describe any barriers you have encountered in accessing treatments and therapies, including surgery, to improve hand and arm function.
 - a. Probe:
 - i. Can you describe whether finances have been a barrier to getting treatment or therapies?
 - ii. Can you describe if you've run into any problems with insurance? Had to pay out of pocket for things? Or go without care because of it?
- 4. Tell me a little bit about how you think your current hand and arm function has impacted your caregivers and/or personal care assistants.
- 5. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about spinal cord injury and treatment right after your injury?
- 6. Think back to the expectations and goals you had for your surgery before you had it done. How did those expectations match up with what actually happened? This includes any expectations about the surgery process (actual surgery, recovery, etc). Did you meet the goals you had for surgery?
 - a. Probe: Describe anything about your surgery that you did not expect or that was surprising.

7. Thinking back to any extra care needed, any special equipment you had to obtain, and any activities that you had to pause in order to have surgery – Was it worth it?

8. Are you now considering additional surgery(ies) or treatments to improve your hand and arm function? Why, why not?

9. Pretend you're talking to someone else considering having surgery to get more hand and arm function, what would you say to them?

For VA patients: Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Semi-Structured Interview Guide - for Non-Surgery Subjects

Thank you for agreeing to this interview. I will be asking you questions to better understand your experiences with spinal cord injury, including your experiences with health care providers. We will also talk about information and treatment for your spinal cord injury. There are no right or wrong answers to any of my questions. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for spinal cord injury.

Baseline Interview questions:

13. Can you tell me about your injury, in terms of what happened, how old you were, and when it was? (*Intro question to get them talking.)

14. We have information about your current function and abilities from the survey we/you just completed. Can you now tell me some of the hand and arm abilities that you miss *most*?

- a. Probe: Why do you miss that activity the most?
 (*for example, one person said something along the lines of 'what I miss most is the ability to shake hands b/c that is what 'makes a man a [business] man'.)
- 15. What treatment or therapies have you had to improve your arm and\or hand function? What therapies or treatments are you thinking of getting in the future?
 - a. Probe: Can you tell me how you learned about these treatments? What information helped you decide to have or not have the treatment?

16. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about the loss of hand and arm function right after your injury?

17. How do you find out or get information about your hand and arm function? (*Give them a

chance to answer, then probe with format options.)

a. Probe:

i. Overall, in what format would you prefer to get health information?

- On a mobile phone, through an app or by browsing online
 - On the computer
 - On paper such as a pamphlet, brochure, etc.
 - In person, from a doctor, nurse or health care provider

18. Tell me what you know or have heard about surgery choices to get more hand and arm function.

- a. If they haven't heard, or were told they weren't a candidate: **STOP THERE**.
- b. If yes, PROBE: What surgeries have you heard of? And did you think about having surgery done at any point?
- c. If they say yes, but decided against, PROBE: Why did you think that wasn't a good fit for you? What made you decide not to do this? (i.e., fear, didn't think it would help, cost, caregiver issues)

d. Are you doing anything else to get more hand and arm function? (i.e., therapy, stem cells, waiting for cure, etc.)

For VA patients: Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Early Follow-up interview questions:

Last time we spoke, you told me a little bit about your injury and any treatments you'd done up until that point. I will ask some of the same questions again and I will add some new questions as well. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for hand and arm function specifically.

- 11.So it's been about # months since we last checked in with you- tell me how things are going with your hand and arm function.
 - a. Probe: How have you been coping?
- **12.Can you talk about any gains or losses in your hand and arm function since we last spoke?** a. Probe:
 - i. Are there some or any tasks that you need more help with?
 - ii. Are there some/any tasks that you need less help with?
 - iii. Describe the types of technology or assistive devices you have and use for everyday function.
 - iv. Have you had to rely on others more? If so- share more about what that experience has been like.
- 13. Describe any health issues that may have affected your hand and arm function. (i.e., flu prevented exercising/working out)

14. What are you currently doing to get more hand and arm function?

15. Tell me what, if anything, you're doing in regards to therapy.

- a. Probe:
 - i. How often are you doing this, how intense it is, PT vs OT, things you did on your own....
 - ii. Probe on: getting to therapy
 - iii. Tell me what therapies or exercises you've found to be most or least helpful.
 - iv. If you have missed any therapy sessions, please tell me about the reasons that prevented you from going.

16.Can you tell me a little bit about your experience with hand and upper extremity <u>pain</u>? How does it affect your daily life?

- a. Probes:
 - i. How do you try to deal with it?
 - ii. What helps lessen your pain?

17. Describe any challenges you have had in getting treatments and therapies to improve hand and arm function.

- a. Probe:
 - i. Can you describe whether finances have been a barrier to getting treatment or therapies?
 - ii. Have you had any problems with health insurance? Had to pay out of pocket for things? Or go without care because of health insurance?
- 18. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about spinal cord injury and treatment right after your injury?

For VA patients: Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Late Follow-up interview questions:

Some time has passed since we last spoke. The last time we talked, you told me a little bit about your injury and any treatments you'd done up until that point. For this final interview, I'd like to ask some similar questions, and some new questions as well. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences with spinal cord injury and treatments for hand and arm function specifically.

1. What changes if any, have you noticed in your hand and arm function?

a. Probe: What types of things are easier/harder/have not changed?

2. Are you doing anything else to get more hand and arm function? Why, why not?

3. How do you think your experiences so far with your hand and arm function has impacted your caregivers?

4. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about spinal cord injury and treatment right after your injury?

5. Based on your experience, what would you tell someone who just lost hand and arm function due to an SCI?

a. Probe: Tell me a little bit about your choices or experiences that you would change, and why you would change them.

For VA patients:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Introduction

Thank you for agreeing to this interview. A lot of the focus right after a spinal cord injury and during treatment is on the patient, but we want to hear about things from your point of view. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function. I will be asking you questions about your experiences with health care providers. We will also talk about treatment and information you were given or found on your own. There are no right or wrong answers to any of my questions.

(Complete the caregiver intake form.)

Baseline interview questions:

1. (If applicable after filling out the intake form) Tell me about your relationship with (NAME) prior to his/her injury. How has your relationship changed since the injury? <u>Probe</u>: What was that change like for you?

2. (If applicable after filling out the intake form) You named several people who help in providing care for (NAME). Please describe how their roles are different or similar from yours.

3. What is the hardest part about your caregiver role?

4. How do you find out or get information about spinal cord injury and options to improve hand and arm function? (Give them a chance to answer, then probe with format options.)

a. Probe:

i. Overall, in what format would you prefer to receive health information?

- On a mobile phone, through an app or by browsing online
 - On the computer
 - On paper such as a pamphlet, brochure, etc.
 - In person, from a doctor, nurse or health care provider

5. Since (NAME's) initial injury, what changes have <u>you</u> noticed in his/her hand and arm function?

<u>Probe</u>: function and activities of daily living; new activities, if any; quality of life changes; or anything else you can think of; etc.

6. Describe the process of looking for different treatment options to improve hand and arm function with (NAME).

Probes:

a. What resources did you turn to for information?

b. What do you think is important when it comes to treatment options? In your opinion, what factors did you like about the treatment options? What factors did you dislike?

c. Did you have a preference between the options? Were you both in agreement with the final decision?

7. How have you prepared for the time after (NAME) has surgery? What plans have you made? Probe:

- i. Any extra caregivers?
- ii. Rental or special equipment obtained
- iii. Activities that you might have to pause?

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Thank you so much for your time. Can I answer any other questions?

Early follow up questions:

<u>Intro</u>: The last time we spoke, you talked about your role as a caregiver. This time, I'd like to discuss what's been going on since that time. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function.

(Complete the caregiver intake form.)

1. Since (NAME) had surgery, how has the type or amount of care that you give to him/her changed?

Probes:

a. How did his/her post-op recovery change your routine? More work vs. less work?

2. Where has (NAME) been during this post-operative time?

Probes:

a. At home, or at an inpatient facility? (*If inpatient, ask for length of stay)

b. Was that your preferred place? Do you think it would it have made a difference for him/her to be someplace else? In what way?

c. How have you been coping during this post-operative time?

3. Since the surgery, what have any therapy or other treatments been like for (NAME) from your perspective?

Probes:

a. Have they started therapy? (i.e. intensiveness, frequency)

- b. What has that experience been like for you?
- c. Could anything have made the therapy sessions or scheduling easier or better?
- d. What barriers may have gotten in the way of receiving therapy or treatments?

4. Where do you turn to get support about your role as a caregiver?

5. Where do you turn to get information on your role as a caregiver?

Probes:

a. What information do you wish was out there?

6. Tell me about any challenges or frustrations you've experienced as a caregiver since the surgery (i.e., getting pt to f/u visits or therapy?).

7. How do your experiences so far match up with your original expectations about recovery after surgery?

Probes:

- a. Was anything unexpected or surprising to you about the process, the surgery, the recovery, the outcome?
- b. Did you need extra caregivers; any special or rented equipment; any activities that you had to pause?
- c. Did the surgery affect your financial situation?
- d. Any issues with hand or arm pain? How did <u>you</u> handle that?
- e. Knowing what you know now, what would you tell others? Can you tell me about that? How has this affected (NAME)'s function and your need to provide assistance?

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Late follow up questions:

<u>Intro</u>: Some time has passed since we last spoke about your role as a caregiver. For this final interview, I'll ask questions to learn about changes since we last talked. Some questions will be similar to the last time we talked. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function.

(Complete the intake form.)

1. With respect to hand and arm function, how has the kind or amount of support that you give to (NAME) changed since he/she had surgery.

Probes:

a. i.e., physical, emotional, financial support

- b. Where has (NAME) been during this post-operative time?
- c. How did his/her post-op recovery change your routine? More work vs. less work?

2. Where do you turn to get support about your role as a caregiver?

3. Where do you turn to get information on your role as a caregiver?

Probes:

a. What information do you wish was out there?

4. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about spinal cord injury and treatment right after (NAME'S) injury?

Probes:

- a. What information could have helped you during the decision-making process?
- b. Are there any resources you would have liked to have or anyone you would have liked to talk to?
- c. What do you think the doctors, nurses, or healthcare team could do to make the treatment and recovery process easier?

5. As a caregiver, what are your overall thoughts about the surgery?

Probes:

- a. How has the surgery changed your life?
- b. What would you say were the hardest and easiest things for you as a caregiver?
- c. What do you see as the main changes in (NAME)'s function?
- d. Would you say the outcome has been more positive or more negative?

6. How do your experiences so far match up with your original expectations about recovery after surgery?

Probes:

- a. Was anything unexpected or surprising to you about the process, the surgery, the recovery, the outcome?
- b. Did you need extra caregivers; any special or rented equipment; any activities that you had to pause?
- c. Did the surgery affect your financial situation?
- d. Any issues with hand or arm pain? How did you handle that?
- e. Knowing what you know now, what would you tell others? Can you tell me about that? How has this affected (NAME)'s function and your need to provide assistance?

7. If someone else was trying to decide if they should have surgery to get more hand or arm function after a spinal cord injury, what would you tell them and their caretaker?

<u>Probe</u>: Had you heard any stories of people who had surgery? What should someone know before going in? (i.e. equipment, practical aspects)

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Introduction

Thank you for agreeing to this interview. A lot of the focus right after a spinal cord injury and during treatment is on the patient, but we want to hear about things from your point of view. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function. I will be asking you questions about your experiences with health care providers. We will also talk about treatment and information you were given or found on your own. There are no right or wrong answers to any of my questions.

(Complete the caregiver intake form.)

Baseline interview questions:

1. (If applicable after filling out the intake form) Tell me about your relationship with (NAME) prior to his/her injury. How has your relationship changed since the injury? <u>Probe</u>: What was that change like for you?

2. (If applicable after filling out the intake form) You named several people who help in providing care for (NAME). Please describe how their roles are the same or different from yours.

3. What is the hardest part about your caregiver role?

4. How do you find out or get information about spinal cord injury and options to improve hand and arm function? (Give them a chance to answer, then probe with format options.)

a. Probe:

i. Overall, in what format would you prefer to receive health information?

- On a mobile phone, through an app or by browsing online
 - On the computer
 - On paper such as a pamphlet, brochure, etc.
 - In person, from a doctor, nurse or health care provider

5. Since (NAME's) initial injury, what changes have <u>you</u> noticed in his/her hand and arm function?

<u>Probe</u>: function and activities of daily living; new activities, if any; quality of life changes; or anything else you can think of; etc.

6. Tell me what you know or have heard about surgery choices to get more hand and arm function.

- d. If they haven't heard, or were told that subject wasn't a candidate: **STOP THERE**.
- e. If yes, PROBE: What surgeries have you heard of? What do you think is important when it comes to treatment options? In your opinion, what factors did you like about the treatment options? What factors did you dislike?

f. If they say yes, but subject decided against, PROBE: Why do you think that wasn't a good option? (i.e., fear, didn't think it would help, cost, caregiver issues)

d. Is (NAME) doing anything else to get more hand and arm function? (i.e., therapy, stem cells, waiting for cure, etc.)

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Early follow up questions:

<u>Intro</u>: The last time we spoke, you talked about your role as a caregiver. This time, I'd like to discuss what's been going on since that time. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function.

(Complete the caregiver intake form.)

1. Since we last spoke, how has the type or amount of care that you give to (NAME) changed in terms of his/her hand and arm function?

2. What have therapies or treatments been like for (NAME) from your perspective?

Probes:

- a. Are they doing some type of therapy? (i.e. intensiveness, frequency)
- b. What has that experience been like for you?
- c. Could anything have made the therapy sessions better? Or scheduling easier?
- d. What barriers may have gotten in the way of therapy or treatments?

3. Tell me about any challenges or frustrations you've experienced as a caregiver (i.e., getting pt to f/u visits or therapy?).

4. Where do you turn to get support about your role as a caregiver?

5. Where do you turn to get information on your role as a caregiver?

<u>Probe</u>s:

a. What information do you wish was out there?

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System

Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other questions? Thank you so much for your time.

Late follow up questions:

Intro: Some time has passed since we last spoke about your role as a caregiver. For this final interview, I'll ask questions to learn about changes since we last talked. Some questions will be similar to the last time we talked. There are no right or wrong answers. My goal is to try to understand your experiences caring for someone with spinal cord injury and how you feel about treatments for hand and arm function.

(Complete the caregiver intake form.)

1. How has the type or amount of care that you give to (NAME) changed in terms of his/her hand and arm function?

2. What have therapies or treatments been like for (NAME) from your perspective?

Probes:

- a. Are they doing some type of therapy? (i.e. intensiveness, frequency)
- b. What has that experience been like for you?
- c. Could anything have made the therapy sessions better? Or scheduling easier?
- d. What barriers may have gotten in the way of therapy or treatments?

3. Where do you turn to get support about your role as a caregiver?

4. Where do you turn to get information on your role as a caregiver?

Probes:

a. What information do you wish was out there?

5. Looking back, what do you wish someone had told you about spinal cord injury and treatment right after (NAME'S) injury?

Probes:

- d. Are there any resources you would have liked to have, or anyone you would have liked to talk to?
- e. What do you think the doctors, nurses, or healthcare team could do to make the treatment and recovery process easier?

For VA patient caregivers:

Do you have any concerns right now that you need help in addressing? Sometimes talking about these subjects can be hard and if you feel distressed or sad by some of what we have talked about, we want to provide you with the numbers of the VA St. Louis Healthcare System Spinal Cord Injury Unit SW and/or psychologist who might be able to provide you with further information—please let us know.

Can I answer any other guestions? Thank you so much for your time.

Demographics

Participant study ID	<u> 11</u>
Study Group	 No Surgery Nerve Transfer Tendon Transfer
Tendon Group	O Tendon Transfer Only O Tendon and Nerve Transfer
Zip code of home residency	: <u></u>
Sex	O Male O Female
Current Age	
Race	American Indian or Alaska Native African American or Black Asian or Pacific Islander White Refused Not Reported (check all that apply)
Ethnicity	O Hispanic O Non-Hispanic O Refused
Veteran	○ No ○ Yes ○ Not Reported
Date of spinal cord injury	
Age at time of spinal cord injury	
Injury etiology	 Sports/leisure Assault Transport Fall Other traumatic cause Non-traumatic spinal cord lesion Not reported
Type of injury	O Blunt O Penetrating O Unknown
Initial hospital name	
Rehab hospital name	
Place of current therapy	
Major medical comorbidity	□ None □ Cardiovascular □ Diabetes



09/25/2018 12:06pm

Other comorbidity

Any spine surgery?

Any arm (upper extremity/hand) surgery?

List any past surgery to spine, shoulders, arms or hands before or after spinal cord injury:

Current employment status:

Current living situation:

Who provides support for your daily activities?

Specify your other support for daily activities:

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Hand dominance prior to SCI?

Current hand dominance?

None
Previous pressure sores
Spasticity

Q	Yes
8	Not Reported
0	Vac

O	res
0	No
9	INC

\sim	Mot	Po.	nor	tod
	NOL	ne	por	reu

Working part-time
 Working full-time

- O On disability
- O Unemployed/looking for work
- O Unemployed/not looking for work
- O Not reported
- O Live by self with caregiver coming in
- O Live by self plus live-in caregiver
- O Assisted living/rehab
- Live with family or other person
- O Other (specify)

○ Family/partner

- O Friend
- O Paid person who is not related
- O Other (specify)

O Less than high school

O High school diploma or GED

O Associate degree or trade school

O Some college without degree

- O Bachelor's degree
- O Master's degree
- O Doctorate
- O Not reported

0	Dight
U	right
0	Loft
9	Leit

O Right

O Left



Surgery

Was surgery done? O No **Ö** Yes Date of Surgery Type of Surgery O Nerve Transfer O Tendon Transfer Elbow extension Goal of Surgery Wrist extension
 Finger flexion
 Anticlaw
 Other (check all that apply) Other goal of surgery (please specify) O Right O Left Laterality O Bilateral Hospital LOS (days)



Scim

Date SCIM completed

SELF-CARE	76
1. Feeding	 I need artificial feeding or stomach tube I need total assitance with eating/drinking I need partial assistance etc I eat/drink independently but I need adaptive devices etc I eat/drink independently etc
2a. Bathing - Upper Body	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance I am independent but need adaptive etc I am independent and do not need adaptive etc
2b. Bathing - Lower Body	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance I am independent but need adaptive etc I am independent and do not need adaptive etc
3a. Dressing - Upper Body	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance, even with easy etc I do not need assistance with easy etc I am independent with easy etc need asistance with difficult etc I am completely independent
3b. Dressing - Lower Body	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance, even with easy etc I do not need assistance with easy etc I am independent with easy etc need asistance with difficult etc I am completely independent
4. Grooming	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance I am independent with adaptive devices I am independent without adaptive devices

RESPIRATION and SPHINCTER MANAGEMENT

5. Respiration

 I need a respiratory (tracheal) tube as well as etc assited ventilation

- I need a respiratory (tracheal) tube as well as extra oxygen and a lot of assistance coughing etc
- I need a respiratory (tracheal) tube as well as little assistance coughing etc
- I do NOT need a respiratory tube but I need extra oxygen etc
- I do NOT need a respiratory tube and only little assistance etc coughing
- I do NOT need a respiratory tube and can breath and cough independently etc

REDCap

6a. Use of an indwelling catheter	O Yes O No
6b. Intermittent catheterization	 I need total assistance I do it myself with assistance I do it myself without assistance I do not use it
6c. Use of external drainage instruments	 I need total assistance for using them I need partial assistance for using them I use them without assistnace I am continent etc do not use external drainage
7a. Do you need assistnace with bowel management?	O Yes O No
7b. My bowel movements are	 Irregular or seldom (less than once in 3 days) Regular (once in 3 days or more)
7c. Fecal incontinence happens	 twice a month or more once a month not at all
8. Please think about the use of the toilet, cleaning etc	 I need total assistance I need partial assistance and cannot clean myself I need partial assitance but can clean myself I do not need assitance but I need adaptive devices I do not need any assistance or adaptive devices

MOBILITY (room and toilet)

9. How many of the following can you perform without assitance or electrical aids: Turning your upper body in bed; Turning yoru lower body in bed; Sitting up in bed; Doing push-ups in wheelchair

10. Transfers: bed to wheelchair

11. Transfers: wheelchair to toilet to tub

O None

- O One
- O Two or three
- O All of them
- I need total assistance
 I need partial assistance, supervision or assistive devices
- O I do not need any assistance or devices OR I do not use a wheelchair
- O I need total assistance
- O I need partial assistance, supervision or assistive devices
- O I do not need any assistance or devices OR I do not use a wheelchair

MOBILITY (indoors and outdoors)



12. Moving around indoors indoors

Mobility for moderate distances (10-100 meters)

14. Mobility outdoors (more than 100 meters)

- 15. Stair management
- 16. Transfers: wheelchair to car
- 17. Transfers: ground to wheelchair

- O I use a wheelchair. To move around I need total assistance
- To move around I need an electric wheelchair or partial assist with a manual chair
- O I am independent in a manual wheelchair
- I walk indoors and I need supervision while walking (with or without devices)
- I walk indoors with a walking frame or cruches swinging both feet forward
- I walk indoors with crutches or two canes setting one foot before the other
- O I walk indoors with one cane
- O I walk indoors with a leg orthosis only
- O I walk indoors without walking aids
- I use a wheelchair. To move around I need total assistance
- O To move around I need an electric wheelchair or partial assist with a manual chair
- O I am independent in a manual wheelchair
- I walk moderate distances and I need supervision while walking (with or without devices)
- I walk moderate distances with a walking frame or cruches swinging both feet forward
- I walk moderate distances with crutches or two canes setting one foot before the other
- O I walk moderate distances with one cane
- O I walk moderate distances with a leg orthosis only
- O I walk moderate distances without walking aids
- I use a wheelchair. To move around I need total assistance
- To move around I need an electric wheelchair or partial assist with a manual chair
- O I am independent in a manual wheelchair
- O I walk more than 100 meters and I need supervision while walking (with or without devices)
- I walk more than 100 meters with a walking frame or cruches swinging both feet forward
- O I walk more than 100 meters with crutches or two canes setting one foot before the other
- O I walk more than 100 meters with one cane
- I walk more than 100 meters with a leg orthosis only
- O I walk more than 100 meters without walking aids
- O I am unable to go up and down stairs
- I can go up and down at least 3 steps but only with assistance or supervision
- I can go up and down at least 3 steps but only with devices
- I can go up and down at least 3 steps but only without any assistance, supervision or devices
- O I need total assistance
- I need partial assistance, supervision or assistive devices
- I do not need any assistance or devices OR I do not use a wheelchair
- O I need assistance
- I do not need any assistance OR I do not use a wheelchair

REDCap

Health Survey Sf36

=

Date Health Survey completed	
Date Health Survey completed	
Participant Data	
1) In general, would you say your health is:	 Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor
2) Compared to one year ago, how would you rate your health in general now?	 Much better than one year ago Somewhat better than one year ago About the same as one year ago Somewhat worse now that one year ago Much worse than one year ago
3) Does your health now limit you in these activ	vities?
Vigorous activities	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all ((for example: running lifting heavy objects, participating in strenuous sports))
Moderate activities	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all ((for example: moving a table, pusing a vacuum cleaner, bowling or playing golf))
Lifting or carrying groceries	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Climbing several flights of stairs	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Climbing one flight of stairs	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Bending, kneeling or stooping	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Wheeling more than a mile	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all



Wheeling several blocks	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Wheeling one block	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all
Bathing or dressing yourself	 Yes limited a lot Yes limited a little Not limited at all

During the past 4 weeks, have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular activities as a result of your physical health?

4a) Cut down on the amount of time you spent on work or other activities	O No O Yes
4b) Accomplished less than you would like	O No O Yes
4c) Were limited in the kind of work or other activities	O No O Yes
4d) Had difficulty performing the work or other activities	 No Yes ((i.e. it took extra effort))

During the past 4 weeks, have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular activities as a result of any emotional problems?

5a) Cut down on the amount of time you spent on work or other activities	O No O Yes
5b) Accomplished less than you would like	O No O Yes
5c) Didn't do work or other activities as usual	O No O Yes

During the last 4 weeks:

To what extent has your physical health or
emotional problems interfered with your normal
social activities with family, friends, neighbors or
groups?

7) How much physical pain have you had?

O Not at all O Slightly O Quite a bit O Extremely

ON	lone
OV	ery mild
ON	fild
ON	loderate
OS	evere
ÖV	ery severe



_

8) How much did pain interfere with your normal work

Not at all
 A little bit
 Moderately
 Quite a bit
 Extremely
 (including both work outside the home and housework)

These questions are about how you feel and how things have been with you during the past 4 weeks. Please give the one answer that is closest to the way you have been feeling for each item.

9a) Did you feel full of life?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9b) Have you been a very nervous person?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9c) Have you felt so down in the dumps that nothing could cheer you up?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9d) Have you felt calm and peaceful?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9e) Did you have a lot of energy?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9f) Have you felt downhearted and blue?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time
9g) Did you feel worn out?	 All of the time Most of the time A good bit of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time



9h) Have you been a happy person?

9i) Did you feel tired?

During the past 4 weeks:

10) How much of the time has your physical health or emotional problems interfered with your social activities? ○ All of the time

O All of the time

All of the time
 Most of the time
 A good bit of the time
 Some of the time
 A little of the time
 None of the time

Most of the time
 A good bit of the time
 Some of the time
 A little of the time
 None of the time

- O Most of the time
- O Some of the time
- O A little of the time
- O None of the time
- ((Like visiting with friends, relatives, etc.))

How TRUE or FALSE is each of the following statements for you?

 I seem to get sick a little easier than other people

11b) I am as healthy as anybody I know

11c) I expect my health to get worse

11d) My health is excellent

- Definitely true
 Mostly true
 Don't know
 Mostly false
 Definitely false
 Definitely true
 Mostly true
 Don't know
 Mostly false
 Definitely false
 Definitely true
 Mostly true
 Mostly true
 Don't know
 Mostly true
 Definitely true
 Definitely true
 Don't know
 Mostly true
 Definitely true
 Don't know
 Mostly true
 Don't know
 Mostly true
 Don't know
 Mostly false
 Definitely false
 Definitely false
 Definitely true
 - O Mostly true O Don't know O Mostly false







Caregiver Form

Date of data collection	
How many caregivers are listed?	One Two Three
Relationship #1	
Relationship	 Parent Partner/spouse Sibling Child Grandparent Aunt/Uncle Cousin Neighbor Friend Agency/hired professional Other (specify) Unknown
Other Relationship	<u>4</u>
Age	
Gender	O Male O Female
Date when this person first assumed responsibilities for care?	
Date assumed responsibilities is unknown	Unknown
lives in home with person with SCI/D?	O Full-Time O Part-Time O No
Average hours spent caring for person with SCI/D per week	<u> </u>
Caregiving Responsibilities	 Activities of Daily Living Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Rest and Sleep Education Work Play Leisure Social Participation
Paid for caregiving?	O No O Yes

www.projectredcap.org



-

Relationship #2	
Relationship	 Parent Partner/spouse Sibling Child Grandparent Aunt/Uncle Cousin Neighbor Friend Agency/hired professional Other (specify) Unknown
Other Relationship	
Age	
Gender	⊖ Male ⊖ Female
Date when this person first assumed responsibilities for care?	
Date assumed responsibilities is unknown	Unknown
Lives in home with person with SCI/D?	 Full-Time Part-Time No
Average hours spent caring for person with SCI/D per week	
Caregiving Responsibilities	 Activities of Daily Living Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Rest and Sleep Education Work Play Leisure Social Participation
Paid for caregiving?	○ No ○ Yes

Relationship #3



Relationship	 Parent Partner/spouse Sibling Child Grandparent Aunt/Uncle Cousin Neighbor Friend Agency/hired professional Other (specify) Unknown
Other Relationship	
Age	
Gender	O Male O Female
Date when this person first assumed responsibilities for care?	
Date assumed responsibilities is unknown	Unknown
Lives in home with person with SCI/D?	O Full-Time O Part-Time O No
Average hours spent caring for person with SCI/D per week	
Caregiving Responsibilities	 Activities of Daily Living Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Rest and Sleep Education Work Play Leisure Social Participation
Paid for caregiving?	O No O Yes

How well do you manage with your current household income

- Very Well
 Rather Well
 Adequately
 Rather Poorly
 Very Poorly
 Refused to Answer
 Unknown
 Not Applicable



=

Amount of Time (Hours Per Week)

Caregiving for person with SCI/D	2 3
Caregiving for person(s) other than person with SCI/D	
Activities of Daily Living	
Instrumental Activities of Daily Living	
Rest and Sleep	
Paid Employment	
Unpaid employment/volunteer activities	·
Leisure Activities	
Social Participation	

Satisfaction Rating

Caregiving	for	person	with	SCI/D

Caregiving for person(s) other than person with SCI/D

Activities of Daily Living

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living

Rest and Sleep

Paid Employment

 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied Not Applicable Unknown
 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied Not Applicable Unknown
 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied Not Applicable Unknown
 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied Not Applicable Unknown
 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied Not Applicable Unknown
 Not Satisfied Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied

O Very Satisfied O Not Applicable O Unknown



Unpaid employment/volunteer activities

Leisure Activities

Social Participation

Not Satisfied
 Somewhat Satisfied
 Very Satisfied
 Not Applicable
 Unknown

Not Satisfied
 Somewhat Satisfied
 Very Satisfied
 Not Applicable
 Unknown

Not Satisfied
 Somewhat Satisfied
 Very Satisfied
 Not Applicable

O Unknown

Question 5

How burdensome you feel caring for or accompanying the person with SCI/D at the moment Not at all Much too straining straining

(Place a mark on the scale above)



Patient Decision SC160046 Der: W81XWH	ons abc -17-1-0	out Upp 1285	er Ext	remity S	urgery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury
4.D. Org: V	/ashingto	on Univer	sity in St	Louis Sc	hool of Medicine Award Amount: \$701,402
Study/Pro ish the time cours y function after ce the outcomes after ention (nerve/tend op and assess a d	duct Ain e of spon rvical spin r no inter on transfe ecision si	n(s) ntaneous nal cord i vention cord er) groups upport int	recoven njury (Si omparec s.	/ of CI). I to n tool.	Individualized Choices N
App ng aim of this proje ovement of upper e of recovery, outcor is and the experier to patients and cli	roach act is to d extremity ne of sur- nce there- nicians to	function a gical and of) and co	informa after SC non-sur ommuni their tre	tion I (time gical cate this atment	RETIONZARA
					Accomplishments this quarter. AIM 1- EMSCI dataset analysis being refined and summarized. AIM 2- Human subject enrollment ahead of goal.
Timeline	and Co	ost			Goals/Milestones CY16 Goal – Assemble research group and formulate grant proposal
CY '16	.47	18	.19	,20	CV17 Goals - Aim 1 and 2
on / Approval					☑ Obtain IRB/HRPO approval ☑ Begin EMSCI database analysis ☑ Create interview quides
					CY18 Goal – Aim 1, 2 □ Summarize findinos of EMSCI database analvsis
			_	_	☑ Enroll Aim 2 subjects for outcomes collection (interviews/surveys) CV19 Goal – Aim 2 3
					Create and test decision support intervention tool Comments/Challenges/Issues/Concerns
dget \$702K	\$55K	\$173K	\$244K	\$230K	Spending comment: None. Data
d use of funds shifte	ed to reflec	ct delayed	subject e	inrollment.	Projected Expenditure: \$223,231.00 Actual Expenditure: \$165,576.84 (lower than planned due to
01.07/97/60					necessity to stagger effort prior to human subjects enrollment)