

Crystal Ball

Metabolic manipulation of methanogens for methane machinations

Thomas K. Wood^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802-4400, USA.

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802-4400, USA.

The U.S.A is moving toward energy independence; a distant memory are the long lines for gasoline of the 1970s and fading is the promise of competitive biofuels from *Escherichia coli* (Liu and Khosla, 2010; Steen *et al.*, 2010). The reason is cheap methane. Global amounts of shale gas total 7300 trillion cubic feet (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2013) and its major constituent is methane. This remarkable availability of methane is now driving synthetic biology, and an exciting prediction is that methane will be harnessed for biotechnological applications using not the traditional workhorse *E. coli* or aerobic methanotrophs, but instead, using archaeal strains, specifically methanogens, in anaerobic fermentations based on biosynthetic pathways such as that recently shown to convert methane to the biotechnological building block acetate (Soo *et al.*, 2016).

As opposed to chemical plants which employ Fischer–Tropsch processes to convert methane into liquid fuels and require complex technology that demands large-scale investment up to ~\$20 billion, biological conversion of methane is a more economically and environmentally sustainable, as it requires a smaller footprint and is less technologically complex (Haynes and Gonzalez, 2014). Hence, harnessing methane has been recognized as one of the most important near-term goals for biochemical engineering (Lee and Kim, 2015). Notably, from the recent realization that anaerobic processes confer higher

energy and carbon yield efficiencies with lower CO₂ emissions than aerobic ones for converting methane into products (Haynes and Gonzalez, 2014), there is interest in using anaerobes rather than the traditional, better-studied aerobic methanotrophs (Lawton and Rosenzweig, 2016).

The first process used to capture methane anaerobically for biotechnology applications (Soo *et al.*, 2016) is based on the natural process of anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM), which efficiently captures up to 300 Tg of methane per year to limit global methane emissions (Knittel and Boetius, 2009). AOM occurs in natural consortia consisting of anaerobic methanotrophic archaeal populations and syntrophic bacteria. Methane is activated by reversing methanogenesis and was hypothesized to be catalysed by methyl-coenzyme M reductase (Mcr) based on the prevalence of *mcr* genes in ANME populations (Hallam *et al.*, 2004) and the trace AOM seen in the anaerobic methanogens *Methanothermobacter marburgensis* (Scheller *et al.*, 2010) and *Methanosarcina acetivorans* (Moran *et al.*, 2005, 2007). This hypothesis had been difficult to prove as these natural consortia are enigmatic due to their long lag phase (~60 years) (Dale *et al.*, 2008) and doubling time (~7 months) (Nauhaus *et al.*, 2007). Critically, no one has been able to culture these organisms independently (Scheller *et al.*, 2010).

Of course the way to circumvent the problem of not being able to culture anaerobic methanotrophic archaeal populations is to utilize the metagenome of these organisms from a microbial mat in the Black Sea (Meyerdierks *et al.*, 2010; Shima *et al.*, 2012). From this metagenome, Soo *et al.* (2016) cloned the genes encoding the Mcr (3.9 kb) and expressed this 280 kDa heterohexameric ($\alpha\beta\gamma$)₂ protein complex in the methanogenic host *M. acetivorans*. This host was chosen as it has the largest archaeal genome (Galagan *et al.*, 2002), is genetically tractable (Kohler and Metcalf, 2012) and encodes a native Mcr for producing methane during methanogenesis; hence, it was reasoned that this host may be able to provide the methylthio-F₄₃₀ cofactor (or suitable substitute) to produce active Mcr from the anaerobic methanotrophic archaeal population. The *M. acetivorans* host also contains all the enzymes required to convert

Received 18 September, 2016; accepted 18 September, 2016.

*For correspondence. E-mail tuw14@psu.edu; Tel. (+1) 814-863-4811; Fax (1) 814-865-7846.

Microbial Biotechnology (2017) 10(1), 9–10

doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12425

Funding Information

This work was supported by the Army Research Office (W911NF-14-1-0279).

© 2016 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

captured methane to acetate, by running methanogenesis in reverse (after methanogenic Mcr is replaced with the methanotrophic Mcr from the Black Sea). In effect, the first anaerobic organism that grows on methane as a pure culture was created. Remarkably, the engineered strain grows as a biofilm on solid ferric chloride which was reduced by the electrons generated by growth on methane (Soo *et al.*, 2016). Carbonate in the medium and methane in the headspace were converted into the two carbons of acetate as shown by ^{13}C labelling of both substrates (Soo *et al.*, 2016). These results in effect put an end to the decade's old debate about whether methane can be fixed by running methanogenesis in reverse (Knittel and Boetius, 2009). They also will now enable Mcr to be studied biochemically as it is produced for the first time in active form.

Critically, by capturing methane anaerobically in a pure strain, a new field of biochemical engineering has been created, that of the anaerobic conversion of methane for biotechnological applications. Although not an end in itself, the acetate produced from methane by reversing methanogenesis (Soo *et al.*, 2016) is a building block for many products. For example, via additional metabolic engineering and production of active methanotrophic Mcr, we have now converted methane into the pure stereoisomer *L*-lactate which may be used in cosmetics, foods and pharmaceuticals. In addition, by combining the engineered archaeal strain that captures methane with suitable consortia, we have found that we can directly convert methane into electricity in fuel cells. This allows electricity to be generated at remote fracking sites and foregoes the expenditure of billions of dollars that are required for methane transport as well as may help limit methane emissions (methane is a potent greenhouse gas). Therefore, the future is bright for harnessing biologically the world's deposits of methane; i.e. one can envision anaerobic cell factories in which myriad products are produced from methane as well as a methane-driven, electricity generating industry.

Conflict of interest

The author has no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Dale, A.W., Van Cappellen, P., Aguilera, D.R., and Regnier, P. (2008) Methane efflux from marine sediments in passive and active margins: estimations from bioenergetic reaction-transport simulations. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* **265**: 329–344.
- Galagan, J.E., Nusbaum, C., Roy, A., Endrizzi, M.G., Macdonald, P., FitzHugh, W., *et al.* (2002) The genome of *M. acetivorans* reveals extensive metabolic and physiological diversity. *Genome Res* **12**: 532–542.
- Hallam, S.J., Putnam, N., Preston, C.M., Detter, J.C., Rokhsar, D., Richardson, P.M., and DeLong, E.F. (2004) Reverse methanogenesis: testing the hypothesis with environmental genomics. *Science* **305**: 1457–1462.
- Haynes, C.A., and Gonzalez, R. (2014) Rethinking biological activation of methane and conversion to liquid fuels. *Nat Chem Biol* **10**: 331–339.
- Knittel, K., and Boetius, A. (2009) Anaerobic oxidation of methane: progress with an unknown process. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **63**: 311–334.
- Kohler, P.R.A., and Metcalf, W.W. (2012) Genetic manipulation of *Methanosarcina* spp. *Front Microbiol* **3**: 259.
- Lawton, T.J., and Rosenzweig, A.C. (2016) Methane-oxidizing enzymes: an upstream problem in biological gas-to-liquids conversion. *J Am Chem Soc* **138**: 9327–9340.
- Lee, S.Y., and Kim, H.U. (2015) Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. *Nat Biotech* **33**: 1061–1072.
- Liu, T., and Khosla, C. (2010) Genetic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for biofuel production. *Annu Rev Genet* **44**: 53–69.
- Meyerdieks, A., Kube, M., Kostadinov, I., Teeling, H., Glöckner, F.O., Reinhardt, R., and Amann, R. (2010) Metagenome and mRNA expression analyses of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea of the ANME-1 group. *Environ Microbiol* **12**: 422–439.
- Moran, J.J., House, C.H., Freeman, K.H., and Ferry, J.G. (2005) Trace methane oxidation studied in several Euryarchaeota under diverse conditions. *Archaea* **1**: 303–309.
- Moran, J.J., House, C.H., Thomas, B., and Freeman, K.H. (2007) Products of trace methane oxidation during non-methylotrophic growth by *Methanosarcina*. *J Geophys Res* **112**: G02011.
- Nauhaus, K., Albrecht, M., Elvert, M., Boetius, A., and Widdel, F. (2007) *In vitro* cell growth of marine archaeal-bacterial consortia during anaerobic oxidation of methane with sulfate. *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 187–196.
- Scheller, S., Goenrich, M., Boecher, R., Thauer, R.K., and Jaun, B. (2010) The key nickel enzyme of methanogenesis catalyses the anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Nature* **465**: 606–608.
- Shima, S., Krueger, M., Weinert, T., Demmer, U., Kahnt, J., Thauer, R.K., and Ermler, U. (2012) Structure of a methyl-coenzyme M reductase from Black Sea mats that oxidize methane anaerobically. *Nature* **481**: 98–101.
- Soo, V.W.C., McNulty, M.J., Tripathi, A., Zhu, F., Zhang, L., Hatzakis, E., *et al.* (2016) Reversing methanogenesis to capture methane for liquid biofuel precursors. *Microb Cell Fact* **15**: 11.
- Steen, E.J., Kang, Y., Bokinsky, G., Hu, Z., Schirmer, A., McClure, A., *et al.* (2010) Microbial production of fatty-acid-derived fuels and chemicals from plant biomass. *Nature* **463**: 559–562.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration (2013) *Technically Recoverable Shale Oil and Shale Gas Resources: An Assessment of 137 Shale Formations in 41 Countries Outside the United States*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Energy.