REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188				
The public rep searching exist regarding this Headquarters Respondents s of information if PLEASE DO N	orting burden for t ing data sources, g burden estimate o Services, Directora hould be aware tha it does not display OT RETURN YOUF	nis collection of in- gathering and main or any other aspe- te for Information t notwithstanding a a currently valid ON R FORM TO THE A	formation is estimated to taining the data needed, ct of this collection of ir Operations and Report ny other provision of law, MB control number. BOVE ADDRESS.	avera and conformation is, 121 no per	ge 1 hour pe ompleting and tion, including 5 Jefferson I rson shall be s	er resp I revie g sugg Davis subjec	conse, including the time for reviewing instructions, ewing the collection of information. Send comments gesstions for reducing this burden, to Washington Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. It to any oenalty for failing to comply with a collection		
1. REPORT	DATE (DD-MM-	YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE				3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
29-11-2017	7	,	Final Report				1-Aug-2016 - 30-Apr-2017		
4. TITLE AN	ND SUBTITLE		-		5a. CC	ONTR	ACT NUMBER		
Final Report: Multiscale Path Metrics for the Analysis of					W911NF-16-1-0392				
Discrete Geometric Structures					5b. GRANT NUMBER				
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER				
				611102					
6. AUTHOR	as				5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
						5e. TASK NUMBER			
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Duke University C/O Office of Research Support						8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
Durham, N	C	2770	5 -4677						
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES)						10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO			
U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211						11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
Research Tr	riangle Park, NC	27709-2211			69499-CS-II.4				
12. DISTRIE	BUTION AVAIL	IBILITY STATE	EMENT						
Approved for	public release; d	istribution is unli	imited.						
13. SUPPLE The views, o of the Army	EMENTARY NO pinions and/or fin position, policy c	TES ndings contained or decision, unles	in this report are those s so designated by othe	e of the er doci	e author(s) as umentation.	nd sh	ould not contrued as an official Department		
14. ABSTRA	ACT								
15. SUBJEC	CT TERMS								
					1				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 15.						ER 1	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Carlo Tomasi		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE				'	19b TELEPHONE NUMBER		
	00						919-660-6539		

RPPR Final Report

as of 30-Nov-2017

Agency Code:

Proposal Number: 69499CSII INVESTIGATOR(S): Agreement Number: W911NF-16-1-0392

Name: Carlo Tomasi Email: tomasi@cs.duke.edu Phone Number: 9196606539 Principal: Y Organization: Duke University Address: C/O Office of Research Support, Durham, NC 277054677 Country: USA DUNS Number: 044387793 EIN: 560532129 Report Date: 31-Jul-2017 Date Received: 29-Nov-2017 Final Report for Period Beginning 01-Aug-2016 and Ending 30-Apr-2017 Title: Multiscale Path Metrics for the Analysis of Discrete Geometric Structures Begin Performance Period: 01-Aug-2016 End Performance Period: 30-Apr-2017 Report Term: 0-Other Submitted By: Carlo Tomasi Email: tomasi@cs.duke.edu Phone: (919) 660-6539

Distribution Statement: 1-Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

STEM Degrees: 1 STEM Participants: 3

Major Goals: The objective of this research is to model the topological and combinatorial structure of realistic data such as video that captures moving objects (pedestrians, vehicles, marine vessels) in the context of recognition, tracking, and classification tasks. Each data item (for instance, an image of a handwritten digit, or the bounding box around the image of an observation target in one video frame) is viewed as a point in an abstract space, and the main thrust of this research is to model the topology, geometry, and combinatorics of the sets that result from collecting many points like these from data recordings.

This effort explored two directions in this framework:

The development of descriptors of data sets based on path metrics, measures of how strongly different data points are connected by chains of local transformations.

Methods for describing data similarity in the context of visual tracking, where the local transformations mentioned above involve non only similarity of appearance, but also closeness in space and time.

Accomplishments: Please see uploaded PDF document.

Training Opportunities: Work under this grant has been developed in collaboration with a graduate student, Ergys Ristani, who will use results from his research as part of his PhD thesis defense.

Francesco Solera, a PhD student at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, in Italy, has contributed to this work while a visitor to Duke Computer Science. Dr. Solera has recently graduated with work that includes his contributions to this project.

Shuai Yuan, an undergraduate Computer Science student at Nanjing University, China, has developed some aspects of this work in collaboration with the PI. Shuai is now applying for a PhD in the Computer Science Department at Duke.

Dr. Paolo Emilio Barbano, a Signal Processing Engineer who visited the Mathematics Department at Duke University in 2016, has contributed to several aspects of this work.

Results Dissemination: Work deriving from this research has been published in the IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology and at the ECCV Workshop on Benchmarking Multi-Target Tracking, and these publications, which acknowledge ARO support, have been uploaded with this report.

Honors and Awards: While working on this grant, the PI, Carlo Tomasi, was named a Fellow of the Association of Computing Machinery. Duke University also named him the Iris Einheuser Distinguished Professor. Both honors were given in 2016.

RPPR Final Report

as of 30-Nov-2017

Protocol Activity Status:

Technology Transfer: Nothing to Report

PARTICIPANTS:

 Participant Type: Graduate Student (research assistant)

 Participant: Ergys Ristani

 Person Months Worked: 6.00
 Funding Support:

 Project Contribution:

 International Collaboration:

 International Travel:

 National Academy Member: N

 Other Collaborators:

 Participant Type: Graduate Student (research assistant)

 Participant: Francesco Solera

 Person Months Worked: 6.00
 Funding Support:

 Project Contribution:

 International Collaboration:

 International Travel:

 National Academy Member: N

 Other Collaborators:

Participant Type: Undergraduate Student Participant: Shuai Yuan Person Months Worked: 6.00 Project Contribution: International Collaboration: International Travel: National Academy Member: N Other Collaborators:

Funding Support:

CONFERENCE PAPERS:

Publication Type:Conference Paper or PresentationPublication Status: 1-PublishedConference Name:ECCV Workshop on Benchmarking Multi-Target TrackingDate Received:29-Nov-2017Conference Date: 10-Oct-2016Conference Location:Amsterdam, The NetherlandsPaper Title:Performance measures and a data set for multi-target, multi-camera trackingAuthors:Ergys Ristani, Francesco Solera, Roger Zou, Rita Cucchiara, Carlo TomasiAcknowledged Federal Support:Y

 Publication Type:
 Conference Paper or Presentation
 Publication Status: 4-Under Review

 Conference Name:
 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition

 Date Received:
 29-Nov-2017
 Conference Date: 18-Jun-2018
 Date Published:

 Conference Location:
 Salt Lake City, Utah
 Date Published:
 Date Published:

 Paper Title:
 Good appearance features for multi-target multi-camera tracking

 Authors:
 Ergys Ristani, Carlo Tomasi

 Acknowledged Federal Support:
 Y

RPPR Final Report as of 30-Nov-2017

Accomplishments

Accomplishments under this effort are described under the two categories of *path distances* and *similarity measures for visual tracking*.

Path Distances

The general trend in describing data collections in current literature is to estimate some "underlying manifold." The existence of such a manifold is questionable for complex data such as images or video. Instead, this project starts from the observation that the transformations between nearby data points are often well understood and domain dependent. For instance, in video, these transformations stem from variations in shading because of small changes in lighting between one frame and the next; small deformations of deformable objects; small variations of appearance because of small camera motions.

The modeling effort then goes into developing models for these transformations. Given a data cloud, one collects statistics p(T|data) of the transformations T_i^j between nearby points *i* and *j*, and allowed local transformations are those with high likelihood according to these statistics. A *local distance* is a suitable norm $||T_i^j||$ of a local transformation.

Given two dissimilar points, a *global distance* between them can be computed by considering all chains of local transformations that connect them. One such chain is called a *path*. The most likely path can be computed by dynamic programming if the cost of the path is an associative combination of the local costs (examples: sum, max):

$$\hat{\pi} = \arg \max_{\pi \in \text{Paths}} \prod_{T \in \pi} p(T|\text{data}) \;.$$

The distance between the two dissimilar points is some statistical summary of the costs of the local transformations on the most likely path:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\bigcup_{T\in\hat{\pi}}p(T|\mathsf{data})\right)\right] \ .$$

Such a summary is called a *path distance*.

In this way, the underlying structure of the data set can be described in terms of path distances rather than manifolds. Local distances are justified by domain-dependent considerations, and global distances rely on the tight spatial and temporal spacing between observations in dense data streams such as video.

Most of the work on path distances under the short duration of this grant was devoted to the understanding of local distances in the domain of images of hand-written digits from the MNIST data set [2].

Specifically, local distances for hand-written digits were developed as variants of the Earth Mover's Distance (EMD, [5]). Given two images, the EMD first solves a linear program to compute a suitably constrained flow between the mass distributions represented by the two images, and then measures the work (distance times mass) involved in the flow.

For hand-written digits, images are first transformed from Cartesian to log-polar coordinates to achieve rotation and scale invariance.

With the standard formulation of the EMD, the linear program that computes the flow tries to move most mass as little as possible, and this leaves several points that must undergo a large shift. The resulting flow is very unnatural (Figure 1 (c)). If the cost charged to moving a unit mass is made proportional to a power (> 1) of the distance, the flow becomes spatially uniform (Figure 1 (d)). This leads to more natural and more intuitive distances between digit images.



Figure 1: (a, b) Two images of the same hand-written digit that differ by a horizontal shift. (c) The Earth Mover's Distance charges cost md to move a mass m by Euclidean distance d. The flow that transforms one image to the other with minimal work is very unnatural. The size of an arrow tip is proportional to the distance traveled. (d) Changing the unit cost from d to d^r where $r = 1 + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon > 0$ yields the "natural" flow.

In particular, the modified distance yields to adequate path distances in the MNIST data set. This is best illustrated by displaying digits through multi-dimensional scaling [6], which transforms EMD-based distances to Euclidean distances on the plane. While samples of the digits 0 and 1 appear as jumbled together with the regular EMD, Figure 2 shows that the new variant, which includes transformation to logpolar coordinates and a power r = 1.25, gives good separation between the two groups of digits (with a few exceptions) and this improvement is likely to lead to better recognition and classification algorithms.

Similarity Measures for Visual Tracking

When tracking people in video, it is necessary to determine the similarity or dissimilarity between different images of people, in order to determine if the two images are of the same person or not. This application was used as an opportunity to study the extent to which local dissimilarities can be *learned*, rather than hand-crafted.

To this end, a large collection of snapshots of people [4], developed by the PI under a previous ARO grant (W911NF-10-1-0387), was used as a training set, and a so-called *triplet loss*

$$L = \log(1 + \exp(1 + d(a, p) - d(a, n)))$$

was used to learn the local dissimilarity. In this expression, d is the dissimilarity to be learned, a is a person snapshot (the "anchor" of the triplet), p is a snapshot of the same person as a, and n is a snapshot of someone else. The idea of using a triplet loss is that d(a, p) should be consistently smaller, by a margin of 1, than d(a, n) over all triples of this format.

The proposed approach leverages the idea of PK batches [1] for training, where in each batch there are K sample images for each of P identities. During a training epoch, each identity is selected in its batch, and the remaining P - 1 batch identities are sampled at random. K samples for each identity are then also selected at random. The *batch-hard* loss [1] can be defined as:



Figure 2: *Top:* The standard EMD jumbles ones and zeros together in this rendering through multidimensional scaling. *Bottom:* The new variant separates the two digits cleanly, with only a couple of exceptions.

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \sum_{a=1}^{K} \left[1 + \sum_{\substack{p=1\\p \neq a}}^{positive pairs} w_{i,a,p}^+ d(x_a^i, x_p^i) - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{P} \sum_{n=1}^{K} w_{i,a,j,n}^- d(x_a^i, x_n^j) \right]_+$$
(1)
(1)

where the weights are binary:

$$w_{i,a,p}^{+} = \begin{cases} 1, & p = \arg \max_{\substack{k=1...K \\ k \neq a}} d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{k}^{i}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)
$$w_{i,a,j,n}^{-} = \begin{cases} 1, & (j,n) = \arg \min_{\substack{l=1...P \\ l \neq i} \\ k = 1...K}} d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{k}^{l}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3)

d denotes Euclidean distance, and $[x]_{+} = ln(1 + e^{x})$.

Training with the batch-hard loss on a PK batch is equivalent to training with a triplet loss on a batch with PK triplets of the structure (x_a, x_{hp}, x_{hn}) corresponding to the anchor x_a , hardest positive example x_{hp} and hardest negative example x_{hn} within the batch.

The main improvement made under this grant is on the procedure that selects difficult examples. As the size of the training set increases, sampling P - 1 identities at random rarely picks the hardest negatives, keeping batch difficulty to semi-hard. To increase the chances of seeing hard negatives, two sets are constructed to sample identities from. The hard identity pool consists of the H most difficult identities given the anchor, and the random identity pool consists of the remaining identities. Then in a PK batch of an anchor identity, the remaining P-1 identities are sampled from the hard or random identity pool with equal probability. This technique samples hard negatives more frequently and yet the batch partially preserves dataset statistics by drawing random identities.

Equations 2-3 assign full weight to the hardest positive/negative example for each anchor while ignoring the remaining K - 2 positives and K(P - 1) - 1 negatives. This makes the optimization non-smooth for challenging/mislabeled datasets or very difficult batches. A second improvement was to define weights using a softmax/min as follows:

$$w_{i,a,p}^{+} = \frac{e^{d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{p}^{i})}}{\sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq a}}^{K} e^{d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{k}^{i})}}, \quad w_{i,a,j,n}^{-} = \frac{e^{-d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{n}^{j})}}{\sum\limits_{\substack{l=1\\l \neq i}}^{P} \sum\limits_{\substack{k=1\\l \neq i}}^{K} e^{-d(x_{a}^{i}, x_{k}^{l})}}$$
(4)

The hardest positive/negative samples still dominate the loss, while all other samples of a PK batch contribute. This modification makes training stable with a minor drop in rank accuracy.

The TriNet deep neural net of [1] is used for learning appearance features. It consists of a ResNet50 model pre-trained on ImageNet whose *pool5* layer is followed by a dense layer with 1024 units and ReLU activation. Batch normalization and another dense layer follow, embedding the appearance features in \mathbb{R}^d with d = 128.

These dissimilarities are evaluated experimentally by computing the F1 score (harmonic mean of precision and recall), as well as precision and recall figures obtained on the Duke MTMC data set [4] with a recent method called BIPCC [3]. See Table 1. Applications of this and related ideas were presented in two recent publications [4, 7] and in work under review.

	F1 Score	Precision	Recall
BIPCC	54.98	62.67	48.97
Proposed	80.26	83.50	77.25

Table 1: The proposed dissimilarity achieves much higher F1 score, precision, and recall than BIPCC, a recent state-of-the-art re-identification method [3].

References

- [1] A. Hermans, L. Beyer, and B. Leibe. In defense of the triplet loss for person re-identification. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1703.07737, 2017.
- [2] Y. LeCun, L. Jackel, L. Bottou, A. Brunot, C. Cortes, J. Denker, H. Drucker, I. Guyon, U. Muller, E. Sackinger, P. Simard, and V. Vapnik. Comparison of learning algorithms for handwritten digit recognition. In *International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*, pages 53–60, 1995.
- [3] A. Maksai, X. Wang, F. Fleuret, and P. Fua. Non-markovian globally consistent multi-object tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017.
- [4] E. Ristani, F. Solera, R. Zou, R. Cucchiara, and C. Tomasi. Performance measures and a data set for multi-target, multi-camera tracking. In *ECCV Workshop on Benchmarking Multi-Target Tracking*, volume 9914, pages 17–35. Springer LNCS, October 2016.
- [5] Y. Rubner, C. Tomasi, and L. J. Guibas. The earth mover's distance as a metric for image retrieval. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 40(2):99–121, November 2000.
- [6] R. N. Shepard. The analysis of proximities: Multidimensional scaling with an unknown distance function, i and ii. *Psychometrika*, 27:125–140,219–246, 1962.
- [7] F. Solera, S. Calderara, E. Ristani, C. Tomasi, and R. Cucchiara. Tracking social groups within and across cameras. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, page to appear, 2016.