

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-13-1-0163

TITLE: Novel Therapeutic Targets to Inhibit Tumor Microenvironment Induced
Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Feng Yang, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

REPORT DATE: December 2017

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE December 2017		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED 15Sep2013 - 14Sep2017	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Novel Therapeutic Targets to Inhibit Tumor Microenvironment Induced Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-13-1-0163	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Feng Yang, Ph.D. E-Mail: fyang@bcm.edu				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Baylor College of Medicine One Baylor Plaza Houston, TX 77030				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We previously demonstrated that stromal TGF-beta signaling induced the expression of several AR targets as well as MAPK4 in PCa LNCaP cells, and that MAPK4 induced ligand-independent AR activation in PCa cells. Therefore, we proposed to use in vitro PCa/stroma co-culture models and in vivo xenograft models to test our hypothesis on stromal TGF-beta signaling inducing MAPK4 for androgen-independent AR activation in PCa as a direct mechanism for CRPC relapse. Here, we have further demonstrated that MAPK4 strongly induces AR and GATA2 expression, as well as androgen-independent and -dependent activation of AR in PCa. We also demonstrated that knockdown of MAPK4 greatly inhibited AR activation in the TGF-beta treated LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures, indicating MAPK4 as a key mediator for stromal TGF-beta signaling induced AR activation in PCa cells. Furthermore, we demonstrated that MAPK4 promotes LNCaP xenograft growth in vivo in both intact and castrated SCID mice. Some technical difficulties has limited our ability to finish some xenograft and human tissue studies. Altogether, our data supports our hypothesis on stromal TGF-beta signaling inducing MAPK4 for PCa AR activation.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Nothing listed					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 35	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction.....	5
2. Keywords.....	5
3. Overall Project Summary.....	5
4. Key Research Accomplishments.....	14
5. Conclusion.....	15
6. Publications, Abstracts, and Presentations.....	16
7. Inventions, Patents and Licenses.....	17
8. Reportable Outcomes.....	17
9. Other Achievements.....	17
10. References.....	17
11. Appendices.....	18

W81XWH-13-1-0163 " Novel Therapeutic Targets to Inhibit Tumor Microenvironment Induced Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer"

Introduction

AR signaling is essential for prostate cancer (PCa) cell growth and survival. Therefore, androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) is a standard therapy for advanced and metastatic PCa. However, most initially responsive PCa will relapse after ADT and become lethal castration-resistant PCa (CRPC). Interestingly, most CRPCs are still AR-dependent^{1, 2}. Therefore, AR remains as a therapeutic target for CRPC. To develop novel therapies, it is essential to delineate the underlying mechanisms for the development of CRPC and the re-activation of AR in CRPC. Tumor microenvironment plays critical roles in regulating PCa progression³; however, how it affects PCa AR action remains poorly understood. By using an *in vitro* PCa/stroma co-culture model and cDNA microarray analysis, we found that TGF- β signaling in prostate stroma induces the expression of several AR targets, *i.e.* PSA, TMPRSS2, and KLK4, in PCa cells in the absence of androgen. This indicates that prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induces androgen-independent AR activation in PCa. In addition, we found that stromal TGF- β signaling strongly induces MAPK4 expression in PCa.

MAPK4 is an atypical MAPK not well studied⁴⁻⁶. Its biological role in cancer remains unknown. We showed that MAPK4 overexpression in PCa cells strongly activates AR independent of androgen, and MAPK4 knockdown in PCa cells inhibits AR activation. These suggest that MAPK4 is a key factor in inducing ligand-independent AR activation in PCa. Based on our exciting preliminary results, we propose a novel and direct mechanism for the induction of CRPC relapse: Tumor microenvironment (such as stromal TGF- β signaling) induction of MAPK4 drives androgen-independent AR activation in PCa. This pathway to CRPC raises the prospects for novel therapeutic avenues in combination with ADT, anti-TGF- β strategies directed toward the tumor microenvironment, and anti-MAPK4 therapy directed to the cancer cells. To test this hypothesis, we have proposed the following studies.

Keywords:

prostate cancer, tumor microenvironment, castration resistance, AR, TGF- β , MDV3100, prostate stroma.

Overall Project Summary

Major Task 1: Study prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced androgen-independent AR activation in PCa and determine the biological roles of MAPK4 in mediating this action. These include Subtasks (1) Confirm that AR is required for the prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induction of PSA, KLK4, and TMPRSS2 in the TGF- β treated LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures, (2) Confirm that stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activity is ligand independent (insensitive to MDV3100 treatment), and (3) Determine whether MAPK4 is required for the prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells.




Figure 1. LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) and LNCaP-Ctrl cells express comparable levels of basal and DHT-induced PSA. LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with different dosages of DHT in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 10 μM of MDV3100 for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA in these co-cultures. Representative data is from two independent experiments. All gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression.

For Subtask 1, we first confirmed that LNCaP-Ctrl cells (control LNCaP cells) and LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells (engineered LNCaP cells overexpressing a HA-tagged constitutively activate TGF-β1 ligand) expressed comparable levels of basal and DHT-induced PSA, and that they similarly respond to treatments with MDV3100, a second-generation antiandrogen⁷ (Figure 1).




Figure 2. LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were transfected with two independent siRNAs against human AR (siAR#1 and siAR#2), along with siRNA against luciferase (siLuc) as control. 24 hours later, the cells were trypsinized and collected. **(Upper Panel)** Part of the cells were used for continuing culturing in regular media for 4 days, after which cell lysates were prepared and subjected to Western blots for AR and β-actin. **(Lower Panel)** Part of the cells were used for co-cultures with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS for 4 days, after which total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qRT-PCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA in these co-cultures. Represent data is from two independent experiments. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. **, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001 (unpaired Student's t-test).

We then performed knockdown of AR in LNCaP-Ctrl cells and LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells using two independent siRNAs against human AR. These AR-knockdown LNCaP cells were used for co-cultures with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 media supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS for four days. We showed that knockdown of AR in LNCaP cells greatly inhibited PSA expression in these co-cultures, confirming that stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression is dependent on AR (Figure 2). More detailed information can be found in the attached publication (Yang et al, Oncotarget, 2014)⁸.

For Subtask 2, we have performed LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) / HPS19I co-cultures and LNCaP-Ctrl / HPS19I co-cultures in RPMI1640 media supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS deprived of androgen, and treated them with increasing dosages of DHT and/or 10 μ M of MDV3100 for six days. As expected, DHT induced PSA expression in the control LNCaP-Ctrl / HPS19I co-cultures in a dose-dependent manner. The PSA expression in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) / HPS19I co-cultures in 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS was greatly induced, to levels comparable to 10nM DHT induced PSA expression in LNCaP-Ctrl / HPS19I co-cultures (Figure 3). Addition of DHT dose-dependently promoted PSA expression in these LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) / HPS19I co-cultures, with robust induction observed in 1 nM and 10 nM of DHT treatments, indicating strong cooperative or additive actions between the stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation and the DHT ligand-induced AR activation. Consistent with the AR antagonist activity, 10 μ M of MDV3100 robustly blocked 1nM and 10 nM DHT-induced PSA expression in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) / HPS19I co-cultures. In contrast, MDV3100 treatment only modestly reduced stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression in the absence of external added DHT ligand, indicating that a large fraction of stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation is ligand-independent (Figure 3). More detailed information on this part of study can be found in the attached publication (Yang et al, Oncotarget, 2014)⁸.




Figure 3. LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were co-cultured with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with different dosages of DHT in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 10 μ M of MDV3100 for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA, KLK4, and TMPRSS2 in these co-cultures. Data is from three independent experiments. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001 (paired Student's t-test).




Figure 4. Stable knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells at early passage (passage #2). LNCaP cells were infected with pGIPZ lentiviruses expressing shRNA against MAPK4 or a non-targeting control (NT). After puromycin selection and one additional passage, the cell lysates were prepared and applied in Western blots for AR, MAPK4, GATA2 and β-actin. NT: non-targeting control. shMAPK4 #1 and shMAPK4 #2: two independent shRNAs against MAPK4.

For Subtask 3, we proposed to determine whether MAPK4 is required for the prostate stromal TGF-β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells. To this end, we have generated the LNCaP cells with stable knockdown of MAPK4 (Figure 4). Consistent with our previous data in VCaP cells, knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells led to inhibition of AR, as well as GATA2, a transcription factor/pioneer factor critical for AR expression and activation⁸. Our data on knockdown of GATA2 using siRNA approaches also supported a critical role of GATA2 in AR expression in LNCaP cells (Figure 5). These data along with other data not presented here indicate that MAPK4 may induce AR expression/activation through a GATA2-dependent mechanism.





Figure 5. Knockdown of GATA2 greatly inhibits AR expression in LNCaP cells. LNCaP cells were transfected with three independent siRNAs against GATA2 (siGATA2) and siRNAs against luciferase (siLuc, as negative control). 3 days later, the cell lysates were prepared and applied in Western blots for GATA2, AR and GAPDH.

We noticed that continuous passaging LNCaP cells with stable knockdown of MAPK4 led to decreased knockdown efficiency, potentially due to the growth disadvantage of those cells with high knockdown efficiency. Therefore, we have performed co-culture studies using the early passages of the engineered LNCaP cells available with confirmed knockdown of MAPK4 (LNCaP-G4) vs. non-targeting control (LNCaP-NT) (Figure 6). qRT-PCR revealed that knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells greatly inhibited PSA expression (AR activation) in these LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures. Treatment of SD208, a TGF-β receptor 1 specific inhibitor to

block basal stromal TGF- β activity in these co-cultures (without externally added TGF- β), also greatly inhibited PSA expression (Figure 6A&B). Furthermore, knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells also greatly inhibited TGF- β 1 induced AR activation in the LNCaP/HTS33B co-cultures (Figure 6C). Altogether, these results support our hypothesis that MAPK4 is a key mediator for stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in PCa cells.

Figure 6. Knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells inhibits AR activation in the LNCaP/stroma co-cultures. (A) PSA expression in the LNCaP-NT/HPS19I vs. LNCaP-G4/HPS19I co-cultures (qRT-PCR). (B) PSA expression in the LNCaP-NT/HPS19I vs. LNCaP-G4/HPS19I co-cultures treated with Control (CTRL) vs. SD208, a specific inhibitor for TGF- β receptor 1 (qRT-PCR). (C) PSA expression in control (CTRL) vs. TGF- β 1 vs. R1881 (1 nM)-treated LNCaP-NT /HTS33B vs. LNCaP-G4/HTS33B co-cultures (qRT-PCR). (D) Western blots confirmed knockdown of MAPK4 and decrease of AR and GATA2 protein levels in these LNCaP-G4 cells of early passage.



Major Task 2: Determine whether inhibition of MAPK4 (in PCa) and TGF- β signaling (in stroma) enhances castration response of PCa xenografts. These include Subtasks (1). Compare LNCaP-MAPK4 vs. LNCaP-Ctrl xenograft growth and their response to castration, (2) Optimize experimental conditions for LNCaP/HPS19I xenograft studies to produce tumors with consistent HPS19I content and tumor size at the time for castration, and (3) Compare tumor growth in intact mice and their response to castration among xenografts made with LNCaP cells (knockdown of MAPK4 vs. control) and HPS19I cells (overexpression of dominant negative T β RII vs. control).

For Subtask 1, we observed that extensive culture of LNCaP-MAPK4 cells stably overexpressing MAPK4 somehow led to significant reduction or loss of MAPK4 overexpression in these cells. To address this problem, we have engineered LNCaP cells to overexpress MAPK4 in a Dox-inducible manner. This cell line is named as LNCaP-iMAPK4 and the control cell line is named as LNCaP-iCtrl cells. Dox-induced overexpression of MAPK4 greatly induced the expression of GATA2 and AR in LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells as compared to similarly treated LNCaP-iCtrl cells (Figure 7A, Western blots). Furthermore, Dox-induced overexpression of

MAPK4 greatly induced the expression of AR target gene PSA in LNCaP cells cultured in 10% charcoal-stripped serum (CSS) plus 10 μ M MDV3100 for maximum androgen ligand blockade, further supporting that MAPK4 can activate AR in an androgen-independent manner. Since human CRPC tissues contain castration levels of androgen, we further examined whether MAPK4 sensitizes PCa cells to low levels of androgen. Indeed, R1881 (as low as 0.01 nM) robustly induced PSA expression in LNCaP cells overexpressing MAPK4 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7B). Altogether, we have successfully generated the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells with inducible overexpression of functional levels of MAPK4 and have used this cell line to further document the MAPK4-induced androgen-dependent (greatly sensitize LNCaP cells to low levels of androgen) and androgen-independent activation of AR in PCa LNCaP cells.




Figure 7. Generation and characterization of the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells with Dox-inducible overexpression of functional levels of MAPK4. (A) Dox-induced MAPK4 overexpression in the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells induces GATA2 and AR protein expression as compared to the similarly treated control LNCaP-iCtrl cells (Western blots). (B) Dox-induced MAPK4 overexpression in LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells both induces androgen-independent activation of AR and greatly sensitizes them to low levels of androgen. LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells were treated with 10 μ M of MDV3100, control, and increasing doses (0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 nM) of R1881 in 10% of CSS in the presence or absence of 0.5 μ g/ml Dox. qRT-PCR was used for PSA expression.

Importantly, we have made PCa xenografts by inoculating 2×10^6 of LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells (on the left side of lateral flanks, subcutaneous) and LNCaP-iCtrl cells (on the right side of lateral flanks, subcutaneous), in 100 μ l of 1:2 mix of Matrigel in male SCID mice. These mice were fed with 0.2 mg/ml Dox in drinking water for inducing MAPK4 expression in the LNCaP-iMAPK4 xenografts. As show in Figure 8, MAPK4 greatly enhanced the growth of these LNCaP xenografts, confirming the tumor-promoting activity of MAPK4 *in vivo*.




Figure 8. MAPK4 promotes LNCaP xenograft growth *in vivo*. (A) The growth of LNCaP-iMAPK4 vs. LNCaP-iCTRL xenografts in n=9 SCID mice. The LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells were inoculated subcutaneously into the left side of lateral flanks of male SCID mice and the LNCaP-iCTRL cells were inoculated subcutaneously into the right side. 0.2 mg/ml of Dox in drinking water was used to induce MAPK4 expression in the LNCaP-iMAPK4 xenografts. (B). Tumor weight at the conclusion of the experiment. (C). Xenografts at the conclusion of the experiment. Please note, early euthanization was performed on the #3 SCID mouse due to the growth of large LNCaP-iMAPK4 tumor (bottom on the right). Representative data from three independent experiments. D(n) indicates days after xenograft inoculation.

Finally, to investigate whether MAPK4 renders castration-resistance in PCa, we also performed similar xenograft studies on castrated male SCID mice. As show in Figure 9, MAPK4 greatly promotes the growth of these Dox-induced LNCaP-iMAPK4 xenografts, whether there is minimal growth in the control Dox-induced LNCaP-iCTRL xenografts. Altogether, these indicate that MAPK4 renders castration-resistance of prostate tumors *in vivo*.




Figure 9. MAPK4 promotes the castration-resistant growth of LNCaP xenografts *in vivo*. The LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells were inoculated subcutaneously into the left side of lateral flanks of the castrated male SCID mice (n=6) and the LNCaP-iCTRL cells were inoculated subcutaneously into the right side. 0.2 mg/ml of Dox in drinking water was used to induce MAPK4 expression in the

LNCaP-iMAPK4 xenografts. D(n) indicates days after xenograft inoculation.

For Subtasks 2 and 3, we have found that LNCaP/HPS19I ratio of around 4:1 produces tumors with consistent HPS19I content and tumor size. We have also generated the pCDH-dnT β RII lentiviral vector and used the produced lentivirus to infect HPS19I cells for overexpression of dnT β RII. We have successfully engineered HPS19I cells for dnT β RII overexpression and 100% of the cells are RFP+ (indication for dnT β RII expression) after selection using 0.7 μ g/ml of puromycin. However, we observed that the engineered HPS19I cells, which themselves are slow-growing cells, grew extremely slowly and eventually died out when being cultured in 0.7 μ g/ml of puromycin. Accordingly, we have tried multiple rounds to re-engineer these cells and expanded the cells in the absence of puromycin after a short-time selection using 0.5 μ g/ml of puromycin. However, these cells all went to a non-proliferating state and we could not prepare enough cells for the proposed xenograft studies *in vivo*. Plate note, the slow growth nature of these human prostate stromal cells also made these gene-engineering efforts time-consuming. Finally, we have alternatively generated the pInducer-dnT β RII vector, packaged the virus, used them to infect HPS19I cells, and successfully generated the HPS19I-idnT β RII cells for Dox-inducible overexpression of dnT β RII in HPS19I cells.

To validate the functionality of the overexpressed dnT β RII in human prostate stromal cells and study how dnT β RII affects stromal TGF- β induced AR activation in LNCaP cells, we performed co-cultures of HPS19I-iCtrl vs. HPS19I-idnT β RII together with LNCaP-NT (control) vs. LNCaP-G4 (with knockdown of MAPK4, at early passages as discussed above for maintained knockdown efficiency). qRT-PCR revealed that TGF- β treatment induced AR activation (expression of AR targets including PSA and TMPRSS2) in the Dox-treated LNCaP-NT/HPS19I-iCtrl co-cultures, and these induction was greatly attenuated in the LNCaP-G4/HPS19I-iCtrl co-cultures (Figure 10A&B). This further supports our conclusion on MAPK4 as a key mediator for stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells (as demonstrated by the LNCaP-NT/HTS33B vs. LNCaP-G4/HTS33B co-culture studies in Figure 6C). In contrast, there was minimal change between TGF- β vs. control treated Dox-induced LNCaP-NT/HPS19I-idnT β RII co-cultures (as expected); however, to our surprise, this apparent lack of TGF- β induced biology was largely due to dnT β RII overexpression (in the prostate stromal cells) induced AR activation in the control treated LNCaP-NT/HPS19I-idnT β RII co-cultures (Figure 10 and data not shown). Therefore, currently it remains to be determined on the exact biological function (gain-of-function vs. loss-of-function) of this overexpressed dnT β RII in human prostate stromal cells.

As described above, we noticed that continuous passaging LNCaP cells with stable knockdown of MAPK4 led to decreased knockdown efficiency, potentially due to the growth disadvantage of those cells with high knockdown efficiency. Therefore, we have tried to establish LNCaP cells with Dox-inducible knockdown of MAPK4. This would allow us to first establish the LNCaP xenografts, and then induce knockdown of MAPK4 by feeding the mice with Dox in drinking water. This would allow efficient knockdown of MAPK4 in the established xenografts *in vivo*. However, after several rounds of trials, including using two well-established Dox-inducible gene knockdown systems, we were unable to generate an LNCaP cell line with significant knockdown of MAPK4 in response to low concentration of Dox (treatment of LNCaP

cells with high concentration of Dox led to cell death). We have also tried to use the CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology to generate MAPK4 knockout LNCaP cells; however, LNCaP cells are in general hard to be cultured at low density and we were not able to isolate single clones of MAPK4 knockout LNCaP cells. Therefore, due to the confusing biological functions (loss vs. gain of function) of the overexpressed dnT β RII in the human prostate stromal cells and the lack of LNCaP cells with inducible knockdown of MAPK4 or knockout of MAPK4, we were unable to perform the proposed xenograft studies using the LNCaP cells with confirmed knockdown or knockout of MAPK4 and the HPS19I cells with confirmed repression of TGF- β signaling pathway.




Figure 10. The biological function of the overexpressed dnT β RII in HPS19I cells in the LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures. (A) PSA and (B) TMPRSS2 expression in the TGF- β vs. control treated Dox-induced LNCaP-NT/HPS19I-iCtrl vs. LNCaP-G4/HPS19I-iCtrl vs. LNCaP-NT/HPS19I-idnT β RII vs. LNCaP-G4/HPS19I-idnT β RII co-cultures (qRT-PCR).

Major Task 3: Determine whether MAPK4 expression correlates with AR expression / activation in PCa cells and TGF- β signaling activation in stromal cells in human CRPC tissues. These include Subtasks (1) Perform qRT-PCR on cDNA from human CRPC tissues for the expression of MAPK4, AR, PSA, KLK4, COL1A1, FAP, and K-Alpha-1 (18-36 months), and (2) Optimize IHC protocols and perform IHC studies on human PCa tissue-microarray slides for the expression of MAPK4, AR, PSA, and phospho-Smad2 (18-36 months).

We have made limited progress in this Task. The proposed xenograft studies *in vivo* in Task 2 are essential for providing the rationales for the studies using the extremely valuable one-

time usage human CRPC materials in Task 3. However, due to the technical difficulties described above, we have not been able to make significant progress in the related xenograft studies (namely xenografts using LNCaP cells with sustained knockdown of MAPK4/or knockout of MAPK4 and the HPS19I cells with confirmed repression of TGF- β signaling pathway. The IHC studies are the essential part of Task 3 and we have proposed to test and identify a MAPK4 antibody working for IHC. To this end, we have purchased and tested six different MAPK4 antibodies from multiple vendors. We have generated and prepared cell lysates from several engineered human cancer cell lines with overexpression of MAPK4 (including PCa LNCaP cells, the PNT1A "normal" prostate epithelial cells, and other non-PCa cells), with knockdown of MAPK4 (including PCa LNCaP, VCaP, LAPC4 cells, and other non-PCa cells), and knockout of MAPK4 (only in non-PCa cells since in our hands, it is extremely difficult to isolate single MAPK4-knockout clones in the tested PCa cell lines). We then used these cell lysates and carefully examined the ability of the acquired six MAPK4 antibodies to detect MAPK4 protein in Western blot assays. We confirmed that three of these six MAPK4 antibodies worked to various extents in Western blots, but frequently with multiple non-specific bands when detecting the endogenous levels of MAPK4; the other three did not show any specificity for MAPK4. We have also tried to test these antibodies using some xenograft tumor tissues, but none so far has produced specific staining. Therefore, currently we have not yet identified a MAPK4 antibody validated for IHC staining for the proposed studies.

Key Research Accomplishments

- Demonstrated that AR is required for the prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures.
- Demonstrated that most of the prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation is insensitive to MDV3100 treatment, further confirming androgen-independent AR activation.
- Generated LNCaP cells with knockdown of MAPK4 and demonstrated that MAPK4 plays important roles in mediating stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in the co-cultured LNCaP cells.
- Generation of Dox-inducible lentiviral vectors for knockdown of MAPK4.
- Generation of Dox-inducible lentiviral vectors for overexpression of MAPK4. Generation of the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells with Dox-inducible overexpression of MAPK4.
- Using the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells for further demonstration on MAPK4 enhancing GATA2 and AR expression in PCa.
- Using the LNCaP-iMAPK4 cells for further demonstration on MAPK4 inducing androgen-independent activation of AR in PCa. Also newly demonstrated that MAPK4

greatly sensitizes LNCaP cells to low levels (castration levels) of androgen.

- Demonstrated that MAPK4 promotes LNCaP xenograft growth in vivo in intact male SCID mice.
- Demonstrated that MAPK4 promotes LNCaP xenograft growth in vivo in castrated male SCID mice. This supports that MAPK4 promotes castration-resistance of PCa.
- Optimization of experimental conditions for the LNCaP/HPS19I xenograft studies to produce tumors with consistent HPS19I content and tumor size at the time for castration.
- Generation of the pCDH-dnT β RII lentiviral vector as well as the pInducer-dnT β RII lentiviral vector. Generation of the HPS19I-idnT β RII cells with Dox-inducible overexpressing of dnT β RII using the pInducer-dnT β RII lentiviral gene delivery method.
- Demonstrated that overexpression of dnT β RII in HPS19I cells greatly repressed TGF- β induced AR activation in the co-cultured LNCaP cells (expected); however, this largely blocked TGF β induced biology was primarily due to greatly enhanced basal (without TGF- β treatment) AR activity in the LNCaP cells co-cultured with the HPS19-idnT β RII cells (unexpected).
- Identification of three MAPK4 antibodies (3 out of 6) that can recognize MAPK4 in Western blot assays; their ability in specifically detecting MAPK4 in IHC still needs to be critically examined.

Conclusion

We have successfully carried out the proposed in vitro co-culture studies with some of the data published ⁷. We demonstrated that AR is required for stromal TGF- β signaling induced expression of PSA in the co-cultured LNCaP cells. We also demonstrated that knockdown of MAPK4 in LNCaP cells greatly inhibited AR activation in the LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures, which supports our hypothesis on a potential important role of MAPK4 in mediating the stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in PCa LNCaP cells. Using the newly established LNCaP cells with Dox-inducible overexpression of MAPK4 (LNCaP-iMAPK4), we further demonstrated that MAPK4 enhances GATA2 and AR expression, as well as the androgen-independent and -independent activation of AR in PCa. It is extremely interesting that MAPK4 expression greatly increased PCa cells sensitivity to low levels of androgen ligands (castration levels of androgen) since together with MAPK4 induced ligand-independent activation of AR, it may provide a novel direct mechanism for development of CRPC. Finally, using xenograft models, we demonstrated that MAPK4 promotes LNCaP xenograft growth in vivo in both intact and castrated male SCID mice. These support our hypothesis on MAPK4 promoting PCa, including castration-resistance of PCa.

Due to several technical problems, including (1) loss of MAPK4 knockdown efficiency in the continuously cultured LNCaP cells with stable knockdown of MAPK4. (2) the to be

determined loss vs. gain of function of the overexpressed dnT β RII in prostate stromal cells, and (3) the lack of an identified MAPK4 antibody suitable for IHC, we have made limited progress in some of the proposed xenograft studies and the human PCa tissue studies. We have tried several approaches to solve these technical difficulties. For example, we have tried to generate LNCaP cells with Dox-inducible knockdown of MAPK4. However, LNCaP cells are very sensitive to Dox, and we were not able to generate LNCaP cell lines with significant knockdown of MAPK4 in response to low concentration of Dox that LNCaP cells can tolerate. We have also tried to use the CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology to generate MAPK4 knockout LNCaP cells; however, LNCaP cells are in general hard to be cultured at low density and we were not able to isolate single clones of MAPK4 knockout LNCaP cells. Finally, we will continue to explore different MAPK4 antibodies, including potentially generating our own MAPK4 antibodies, for the IHC studies on human CRPC tissues.

All in all, although with several technical difficulties, we have successfully demonstrated that MAPK4 promotes PCa growth *in vivo* in both intact and castrated male SCID mice and that MAPK4 is a key mediator for prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in PCa LNCaP cells. These strongly support our central hypothesis on "Tumor microenvironment stromal TGF- β signaling induction of MAPK4 drives androgen independent AR activation in PCa".

Publications, Abstracts, and Presentations:

Publications:

1. **Yang F***, Chen Y, Shen T, Guo D, Dakhova O, Ittmann MM, Creighton CJ, Zhang Y, Dang TD, Rowley DR*. Stromal TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in prostate cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2014 Nov 15;5(21):10854-69.
2. Wang W, Dong B, Ittmann MM, **Yang F**. A versatile gene delivery system for efficient and tumor specific gene manipulation *in vivo*. *Discoveries*. 2016;4:e58. doi: 10.15190/d.2016.5.
3. Wang, W, Meng, Y, Dong, B, Dong, J, Ittmann, MM, Creighton, CJ, Lu, Y, Zhang, H, Shen, T, Wang, J, Rowley, DR, Li, Y, Chen, F, Moore, DD, **Yang, F**. A versatile tumor gene deletion system reveals a crucial role of FGFR1 in breast cancer metastasis. *Neoplasia*. 2017;19:421-8.
4. Wang W, Dong B, **Yang F**. Avian Retrovirus Mediated Tumor-specific Gene Knockout. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. 2018;121:23.17.1-23.17.7.

Abstracts and Presentations:

1. Wang W, Ren J, Dong B, Ittmann MM, Moore DD, **Yang F**. A novel c-Myc transgenic model for human cancers. [abstract]. In: Proceedings of the 106th Annual Meeting of the

American Association for Cancer Research; 2015 Apr 18-22; Philadelphia, PA. Philadelphia (PA): AACR; Cancer Res 2015;75(15 Suppl):Abstract nr 2280. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.AM2015-2280

2. Wang W, Dong B, Meng Y, Ittmann MM, Shen T, Rowley, DR, Moore DD, **Yang F**. Amalgamated c-MYC Activation and p53 Loss Drives Rapid Prostate Tumorigenesis and Lethal Epididymis Tumors in Ai-Myc Model (2017). AACR Special Conference: Prostate Cancer: Advances in Basic, Translational, and Clinical Research. Orlando, FL.

Inventions, patents and licenses: Nothing to report

Reportable Outcomes: Nothing to report

Other Achievements: Nothing to report

References:

1. Shafi, A.A., Yen, A.E. & Weigel, N.L. Androgen receptors in hormone-dependent and castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Pharmacol Ther* **140**, 223-38 (2013).
2. Claessens, F. et al. Emerging mechanisms of enzalutamide resistance in prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Urol* **11**, 712-716 (2014).
3. Barron, D.A. & Rowley, D.R. The reactive stroma microenvironment and prostate cancer progression. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **19**, R187-204 (2012).
4. Aberg, E. et al. Regulation of MAPK-activated protein kinase 5 activity and subcellular localization by the atypical MAPK ERK4/MAPK4. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 35499-510 (2006).
5. Kant, S. et al. Characterization of the atypical MAPK ERK4 and its activation of the MAPK-activated protein kinase MK5. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 35511-9 (2006).
6. Coulombe, P. & Meloche, S. Atypical mitogen-activated protein kinases: structure, regulation and functions. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1773**, 1376-87 (2007).
7. Yang, F. et al. Stromal TGF-beta signaling induces AR activation in prostate cancer. *Oncotarget* **5**, 10854-69 (2014).
8. Wu, D. et al. Three-tiered role of the pioneer factor GATA2 in promoting androgen-dependent gene expression in prostate cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 3607-22 (2014).
9. Meerbrey, K.L. et al. The pINDUCER lentiviral toolkit for inducible RNA interference in vitro and in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 3665-70 (2011).
10. Choy, L., Skillington, J. & Derynck, R. Roles of autocrine TGF-beta receptor and Smad signaling in adipocyte differentiation. *J Cell Biol* **149**, 667-82 (2000).

Appendices:

Copy of a research paper supported by this grant.

Yang, F. et al. Stromal TGF-beta signaling induces AR activation in prostate cancer. *Oncotarget* **5**, 10854-69 (2014).

Stromal TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in prostate cancer

Feng Yang¹, Yizhen Chen¹, Tao Shen¹, Dan Guo¹, Olga Dakhova², Michael M. Ittmann², Chad J. Creighton³, Yiqun Zhang³, Truong D. Dang¹, David R. Rowley¹

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

²Pathology and Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

³Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

Correspondence to:

Feng Yang, e-mail: fyang@bcm.edu

David Rowley, e-mail: drowley@bcm.edu

Keywords: TGF- β , AR, tumor microenvironment, prostate stroma, prostate cancer, co-culture

Received: April 24, 2014

Accepted: September 27, 2014

Published: October 14, 2014

ABSTRACT

AR signaling is essential for the growth and survival of prostate cancer (PCa), including most of the lethal castration-resistant PCa (CRPC). We previously reported that TGF- β signaling in prostate stroma promotes prostate tumor angiogenesis and growth. By using a PCa/stroma co-culture model, here we show that stromal TGF- β signaling induces comprehensive morphology changes of PCa LNCaP cells. Furthermore, it induces AR activation in LNCaP cells in the absence of significant levels of androgen, as evidenced by induction of several AR target genes including PSA, TMPRSS2, and KLK4. SD-208, a TGF- β receptor 1 specific inhibitor, blocks this TGF- β induced biology. Importantly, stromal TGF- β signaling together with DHT induce robust activation of AR. MDV3100 effectively blocks DHT-induced, but not stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells, indicating that stromal TGF- β signaling induces both ligand-dependent and ligand-independent AR activation in PCa. TGF- β induces the expression of several growth factors and cytokines in prostate stromal cells, including IL-6, and BMP-6. Interestingly, BMP-6 and IL-6 together induces robust AR activation in these co-cultures, and neutralizing antibodies against BMP-6 and IL-6 attenuate this action. Altogether, our study strongly suggests tumor stromal microenvironment induced AR activation as a direct mechanism of CRPC.

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in American men. AR signaling is essentially required for most human prostate cancers. Therefore, ADT is the standard treatment for advanced and metastatic PCa. Most prostate tumors initially respond to ADT therapy. However, most tumors will relapse and become the castration-resistant PCa (CRPC). Currently, there is no cure for CRPC. Interestingly, AR signaling is re-activated in most CRPC tumors in the presence of castration level of androgen ligands. Hence, AR remains as a major therapeutic target for CRPC.

Several molecular mechanisms may count for this AR re-activation in CRPC, including AR gene

amplification/overexpression, AR mutation, the presence of AR splice variants, enhanced AR co-regulators signaling, alterations in steroid metabolism, growth factor and/or cytokine induced AR activation *etc* [1]. Tumor microenvironment also plays critical roles in regulating prostate cancer progression [2]. Prostate cancer is enriched in reactive stromal microenvironment, including reactive myofibroblasts that are uniquely presented in wound repair and tumor microenvironment [3–5]. Transforming Growth Factor β (TGF- β) is generally overexpressed in most carcinomas associated with a reactive stroma, including breast, colon, and prostate [3, 6–9]. Overexpression of TGF- β in carcinoma cells is usually associated with a down-regulation of functional TGF- β receptors in carcinoma cells but not in stromal cells [9–12]. Subcutaneous injection of TGF- β 1 is sufficient to induce a stromal reaction with

differentiation to myofibroblasts, enhanced collagen production and stimulated angiogenesis [13, 14]. Therefore, TGF- β 1 may be a key factor inducing a reactive stroma in wound repair and cancer. By using the differential reactive stroma (DRS) xenograft model [15–18], we have shown that human prostate stromal cells differentially promote rate of PCa progression [15]. By conditional knockout of TGF- β Receptor II (T β RII) and overexpression of a dominant negative Smad3 in prostate stromal cells in LNCaP DRS xenograft model, we have demonstrated that Smad3-mediated TGF- β signaling in prostate stroma promotes prostate tumor growth and angiogenesis [16, 18] and this stromal TGF- β action is partially mediated by Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF) and Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 (FGF-2) signaling [17, 18]. Therefore, TGF- β signaling in prostate stroma regulates PCa progression.

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is a pleiotropic cytokine that play important roles in regulating immune system and inflammation. It is also a key cytokine in regulating human cancers, including PCa [19]. Serum IL-6 level is associated with PCa progression and metastasis [20, 21]. Functionally, IL-6 can induce AR expression and AR activation, and promote PCa cell growth [22–28]. IL-6 has also been shown to promote castration-resistance of PCa including that to enzalutamide (MDV3100, a second-generation antiandrogen) [25, 28, 29]. Interestingly, the circulating levels of both IL-6 and TGF- β 1 were elevated in patients with metastatic PCa [30]. Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) play important roles in inducing bone formation. BMP-6 expression is frequently elevated in PCa [31]. It can promote PCa bone metastases and its expression is associated with a more invasive phenotype [32, 33]. Interestingly, two most recent studies revealed a role of BMP-6 in promoting castration-resistance of PCa [34, 35].

In this report, we used direct co-cultures of LNCaP cells with three different human prostate stromal cell lines to show that prostate stroma-specific TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in LNCaP cells in the absence of significant amount of androgens, and that treatment of MDV3100, a second-generation antiandrogen [36], only partially attenuates this AR activation. Our study also revealed robust cooperative activity between stromal TGF- β signaling and DHT ligand in inducing AR activation in PCa cells. Finally, we showed that IL-6 and BMP-6 together induces robust AR activation, and that they partially mediate this prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in PCa cells.

RESULTS

Prostate stroma – specific TGF- β signaling induces morphological changes in LNCaP cells

We have previously shown that stromal TGF- β signaling promotes prostate tumor growth [18]. To further delineate the underlying mechanisms, we generated

LNCaP cells overexpressing an HA-tagged constitutively activated TGF- β 1 ligand (LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)) and control LNCaP cells (LNCaP-Ctrl) as described before [37]. We then performed *in vitro* PCa/stroma co-cultures by plating LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells or LNCaP-Ctrl cells on top of the mostly confluent HPS-19I cells, a previously generated human prostate stromal cell line [38]. Since LNCaP cells are defective in TGF- β receptor I (T β RI/ALK-5) that is essential for mediating TGF- β signaling [39], only HPS19I cells can respond to TGF- β ligand in these co-cultures. This provides a unique opportunity to study how prostate stromal cell-specific TGF- β signaling regulates PCa biology. We performed these co-cultures in 0.2% FBS containing minimal amount of growth factors and cytokines for up to 28 days. We found that while the LNCaP-Ctrl cells remained relatively flat, LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells formed sphere-like structures in these co-cultures (Figure 1a & b, Supplementary Figure 1), indicating that prostate stromal cell-specific TGF- β signaling induces profound biological changes in LNCaP cells.

SD-208 is a T β RI (ALK-5) protein kinase-specific inhibitor [40]. To determine its efficacy in inhibiting TGF- β signaling in HPS19I cells, we transfected HPS19I cells with a (CAGA)₁₂MLP (Smad binding sequence) reporter construct [41] and a pRL-null vector (Promega, control for transfection efficiency). We then treated these cells with 50 pM of TGF- β 1 along with different dosages of SD-208. TGF- β 1 induced a 25-fold increase in (CAGA)₁₂MLP reporter activity in the transfected HPS19I cells, and this induction was inhibited by SD-208 compound in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1e). 400 nM of SD-208 abolished TGF- β signaling in HPS19I cells, thus was used in the co-culture experiments (Figure 1c and 1d). Consistent with its TGF- β signaling inhibition activity, 400 nM of SD-208 treatment blocked the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells from forming sphere-like structures in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures (Figure 1d).

TGF- β induces profound gene expression changes in LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures

To explore how prostate stroma-specific TGF- β signaling regulates LNCaP cell biology in these co-cultures, we carried out cDNA microarray study to compare differential gene expression among (1) control treated LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures, (2) 400 nM of SD-208 treated LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures, (3) control treated LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures, and (4) 400 nM of SD-208 treated LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures. After 6-day treatment, total RNAs were directly extracted from these co-cultures from two independent studies, reverse transcribed, and submitted for microarray analysis using the 4x44K Whole Human Genome Oligo Microarray chip (Agilent Technologies). We found that 187 transcripts representing 136 unique




Figure 1: Prostate stromal TGF-β signaling induces profound changes in the co-cultured LNCaP cells. (a-d) LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were co-cultured with HPS19I human prostate stromal cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS, and treated with 400 nM of SD-208 or control for 15 days. Representative photographs were shown for (a) control and (c) SD208 treated LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures, and (b) control and (d) SD208 treated LNCaP-TGF-β1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures. HPS19I cells are at the bottom layer. (e) HPS19I cells were co-transfected with (CAGA)₁₂MLP and pRL-null vectors, and treated with 50 pM of TGF-β1 and different dosages of SD-208 compound for 24 hours. Cell lysates were prepared and assayed for luciferase activity. (f) LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells or LNCaP-Ctrl cells were co-cultured with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS and treated with 400 nM of SD-208 or vehicle control for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and microarrays were performed to compare differential gene expression among these differentially treated co-cultures. Two independent experiments were performed. (g) HPS19I cells were treated for 6 days with conditioned media (CM) from LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells or LNCaP-Ctrl cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS. Microarrays were performed to compare differential gene expression between these two differentially treated groups. Two independent experiments were performed.

genes were differentially expressed among these groups (ANOVA $P < 0.001$, standard deviation > 0.2), including 79 unique genes (in red) that were induced by TGF- β , but blocked or reversed by SD-208 treatment, as well as 23 genes (in green) that were repressed by TGF- β , but blocked or reversed by SD-208 treatment (Figure 1f). The most significantly upregulated genes in these LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures include TIMP3, COMP, FN1, TSPAN2, CILP, TNFAIP6, ENC1, CDKN2B, MRAS, LTBP2, LOX, POSTN, LRRC32 *etc.*, as well as notably KLK3 (PSA), a prostate epithelial cell specific marker and an AR target gene (Table 1a). The most significantly downregulated genes include UGT2B17, FAM111A, ZNF294, ANK3, MAPRE2 *etc.* (Table 1b). Control and SD-208 treated LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures all

exhibited overexpression of nine transcripts, all of which represent TGF- β 1, the ectopically overexpressed gene in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells.

In order to identify the prostate epithelia-specific gene that was regulated by prostate stromal TGF- β signaling, we also treated HPS19I cells alone using conditioned media collected from LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells or LNCaP-Ctrl cells cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS. Conditional media, but not TGF- β 1 ligand, were used here to more closely simulate the co-culture conditions. After 6 days of treatment, we extracted total RNA from these HPS19I cells and performed microarray. 2349 transcripts representing 1377 genes were differentially expressed among these two treatment groups (fold change > 1.5 , Figure 1g).

Table 1A. Most up-regulated genes in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures (microarray).

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1+Ctrl vs. Ctrl+Ctrl	TGF- β 1+SD208 vs. TGF- β 1+Ctrl	p-value (ANOVA)
NM_000362	TIMP3	21.80112275	0.035626662	0.000969463
NM_000095	COMP	18.43130951	0.063331859	3.72E-05
NM_212482	FN1	11.94239303	0.12931702	0.000623914
NM_005725	TSPAN2	10.28542467	0.101405721	9.73E-05
NM_003613	CILP	10.21670312	0.091015909	0.000805663
NM_007115	TNFAIP6	8.549476011	0.117397514	1.33E-06
NM_003633	ENC1	8.46863116	0.132486872	0.000143214
NM_078487	CDKN2B	7.857379819	0.142359863	0.000247918
NM_012219	MRAS	6.906686977	0.120416016	3.32E-05
NM_000428	LTBP2	6.530185903	0.17879509	0.00021206
NM_002317	LOX	6.253405306	0.162652276	0.000493453
NM_006475	POSTN	6.131065054	0.268041215	0.000381746
NM_005512	LRRC32	6.027300939	0.155609222	0.000244534
NM_001013398	IGFBP3	5.892165506	0.181799757	0.000340864
NM_000660	TGFB1	5.448264587	0.78251392	0.000170351
NM_002667	PLN	5.105920007	0.214734252	0.000696765
NM_014632	MICAL2	4.972581483	0.17152412	0.000559198
NM_016931	NOX4	4.849811685	0.225379795	0.000265966
NM_014631	SH3PXD2A	4.341337763	0.24444475	0.000103435
NM_000393	COL5A2	4.280097929	0.165513816	5.77E-05
NM_003474	ADAM12	4.189365259	0.274394503	0.000101548
NM_014467	SRPX2	4.147952958	0.257998723	4.06E-05
NM_006851	GLIPR1	4.092470638	0.201018413	4.62E-05
NM_005613	RGS4	4.074850693	0.251673169	4.92E-05
NM_001018004	TPM1	3.947191935	0.195719983	0.000839361
NM_006329	FBLN5	3.701593985	0.245752501	0.000232936

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1+Ctrl vs. Ctrl+Ctrl	TGF- β 1+SD208 vs.TGF- β 1+Ctrl	p-value (ANOVA)
BC014203	FAM101B	3.623415448	0.309548366	0.000792052
NM_000399	EGR2	3.490980445	0.261212761	0.00023454
NM_001648	KLK3	3.481810093	0.288453944	0.000272881
NM_000096	CP	3.324909574	0.332527772	0.000485262
NM_001898	CST1	3.287001556	0.335459083	0.00068421
NM_181847	AMIGO2	3.249577889	0.261229048	0.000858199
NM_138455	CTHRC1	3.217756975	0.313332784	0.000253826
NM_153026	PRICKLE1	3.179655624	0.38858927	0.000640482
NM_012293	PXDN	3.039816136	0.257492324	0.000777339

Table 1B. Most down-regulated genes in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures (microarray).

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1+Ctrl vs. Ctrl+Ctrl	TGF- β 1+SD208 vs.TGF- β 1+Ctrl	p-value (ANOVA)
NM_001077	UGT2B17	0.196197102	4.554852029	0.000695519
NM_022074	FAM111A	0.33585709	2.325687499	0.000978972
NM_015565	ZNF294	0.356016518	2.631507725	0.000769972
AK126851	ANK3	0.413492187	3.394052268	9.89916E-05
NM_014268	MAPRE2	0.447337037	2.56792461	0.000371084
NM_002467	MYC	0.484325292	2.653538875	0.000233651
NM_003759	SLC4A4	0.489633359	0.985494206	0.00077358
NM_021190	PTBP2	0.490358498	1.585425699	0.000671709
AK075235	SVEP1	0.521242168	1.139842928	5.82E-04
NM_018689	KIAA1199	0.550188967	1.452227923	6.60136E-05
NM_000214	JAG1	0.572787648	1.261023084	0.000639491
NM_001634	AMD1	0.633893667	1.61911846	9.31E-05
NM_006358	SLC25A17	0.651353495	1.882434831	0.000460944
NM_002113	CFHR1	0.672707916	1.258311297	7.55E-04
NM_017686	GDAP2	0.692205019	1.111019264	0.000774186
NM_058191	C21orf66	0.694078519	1.479905697	7.82E-05
NM_004687	MTMR4	0.697685088	1.504510216	0.000276199

The most significantly upregulated genes in HPS19I cells include ELN, EGR2, COMP, NOX4, CILP, CDKN2B, ENC1, AGT, PMEPA1, TNFAIP6, TIMP3 *etc.* (Table 2a), and most significantly downregulated genes include ADH1C, ADH1A, ALDH1A1, VCAM1, HSD17 β 2, SMPDL3A, ZFP36L2, SECTM1, ADAMTS5, NR2F1 *etc.* (Table 2b).

We compared the obtained TGF- β regulated gene lists in the LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures and those in the HPS19I cells cultured alone. As expected, most

of the TGF- β regulated genes in the LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures were also presented in the TGF- β treated HPS19I cells, indicating that these genes might also be TGF- β regulated genes in HPS19I cells of these co-cultures. Again, PSA (KLK3), a prostate epithelial cell specific and AR regulated gene, was induced in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1 (a) / HPS19I cell co-cultures, but not expressed in HPS19I cells when cultured alone. Furthermore, SD-208 treatment blocked this induction (Table 1a).

Prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in PCa cells

Since PSA is a well-established AR target gene in human PCa, our above observation suggested that stroma-specific TGF- β signaling might induce AR activation

in PCa cells. To test this, we performed qPCR on the expression of additional well-established PCa epithelia-specific AR target genes including KLK4 and TMPRSS2 in these LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures. TGF- β induced the expression of all these three AR target genes in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures, but not in LNCaP

Table 2A. Most up-regulated genes in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cell conditioned media treated HPS19I cells (microarray).

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1 CM vs. Ctrl CM
BC065566	ELN	16.67449355
NM_000399	EGR2	14.05299758
NM_000095	COMP	12.98900057
NM_016931	NOX4	12.32605115
NM_003613	CILP	9.059147489
NM_078487	CDKN2B	8.803843969
NM_003633	ENC1	8.260572524
NM_000029	AGT	7.191604093
NM_020182	PMEPA1	7.070540703
NM_007115	TNFAIP6	6.750100868
X77690	TIMP3	6.366782695
NM_004385	VCAN	6.149750603
NM_012219	MRAS	5.992620419
NM_000501	ELN	5.807745301
NM_006216	SERPINE2	5.755856917
NM_005725	TSPAN2	5.661870275
NM_005725	TSPAN2	5.352542656
NM_031866	FZD8	5.312916891
NM_002318	LOXL2	5.290455686
NM_020152	C21orf7	5.266205737
NM_002667	PLN	4.972344608
NM_054034	FN1	4.877547028
NM_014631	SH3PXD2A	4.589092327
NM_014399	TSPAN13	4.524283014
NM_003474	ADAM12	4.349125589
NM_182943	PLOD2	4.30726254
NM_014632	MICAL2	4.05405353
NM_001013398	IGFBP3	4.052272457
NM_006475	POSTN	4.052068264
NM_000846	GSTA2	4.041328015
NM_000428	LTBP2	4.023595863

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1 CM vs. Ctrl CM
NM_002317	LOX	3.946875107
NM_004750	CRLF1	3.89952373
NM_012261	C20orf103	3.871335965
NM_014840	NUAK1	3.628631621
NM_005613	RGS4	3.583530694
NM_001845	COL4A1	3.569510901
NM_138409	MRAP2	3.500158747

Table 2B. Most down-regulated genes in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cell conditioned media treated HPS191 cells (microarray).

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1 CM vs. Ctrl CM
NM_000669	ADH1C	0.048337642
NM_000667	ADH1A	0.049204652
NM_018689	KIAA1199	0.055600352
NM_000689	ALDH1A1	0.090425225
NM_001078	VCAM1	0.100168911
NM_002153	HSD17B2	0.123017582
NM_006714	SMPDL3A	0.124668133
NM_006887	ZFP36L2	0.1669457
NM_003004	SECTM1	0.181835508
NM_007038	ADAMTS5	0.184125165
NM_007021	C10orf10	0.184605811
NM_005654	NR2F1	0.206777199
NM_004753	DHRS3	0.207888106
NM_014585	SLC40A1	0.208805616
NM_012342	BAMBI	0.21522776
NM_000891	KCNJ2	0.218105292
NM_001031716	OBFC2A	0.222516674
NM_019105	TNXB	0.22609769
NM_020379	MAN1C1	0.228067582
NM_005329	HAS3	0.228406025
NM_001430	EPAS1	0.232550842
NM_030781	COLEC12	0.239762468
NM_005602	CLDN11	0.239772493
NM_005410	SEPP1	0.245152972
NM_006287	TFPI	0.246145446
NM_018043	ANO1	0.247547036

(Continued)

RefSeq Nuc	Gene	TGF- β 1 CM vs. Ctrl CM
NM_000076	CDKN1C	0.247573166
NM_001083	PDE5A	0.248350261
NM_018043	ANO1	0.250724637
NM_198194	STOM	0.251440257
NM_002825	PTN	0.255859758
NM_153225	C8orf84	0.256217479
NM_018487	TMEM176A	0.258119323
NM_001146	ANGPT1	0.25867152
NM_000599	IGFBP5	0.261560301
NM_014020	TMEM176B	0.262431295
NM_175861	TMTC1	0.270304699
NM_006988	ADAMTS1	0.275587107

cells (data not shown) or HPS19I cells cultured alone (Figure 2a). Furthermore, these inductions were blocked by addition of 400 nM of SD-208, further confirming that T β RI (ALK5) protein kinase activity in the HPS19I cells was required for TGF- β ligand induced AR activation in LNCaP cells (Figure 2a).

To further reduce androgen levels, we also performed LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures and LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures in RPMI1640 media supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS deprived of androgen, and treated them with increasing dosages of DHT and/or 10 μ M of MDV3100, a second-generation antiandrogen [36], for six days. We similarly extracted total RNA directly from these co-cultures, and performed reverse transcription reactions and qPCR analysis for PSA expression. As expected, DHT induced PSA expression in the control LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures in a dose-dependent manner. We also unexpectedly observed that MDV3100 treatment somehow modestly induced PSA expression in these LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures maintained in 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS, whereas the AR antagonist activity of MDV3100 was confirmed by its strong inhibition of 10 nM DHT induced PSA expression in these LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures (Figure 2b). Consistent with the data obtained in LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures in 0.2% FBS (Figure 2a), PSA expression in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures in 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS was also greatly induced, to levels comparable to 10nM DHT induced PSA expression in LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures. These observations further confirmed prostate stroma-specific TGF- β signaling induction of AR activation in the absence of significant amount of androgen. Addition of DHT dose-dependently promoted PSA expression in these LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures, with robust induction observed in 1 nM and 10

nM of DHT treatments, indicating strong cooperative or additive actions between the stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation and the DHT ligand-induced AR activation. Consistent with the AR antagonist activity, 10 μ M of MDV3100 robustly blocked 1nM and 10 nM DHT-induced PSA expression in the LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures. In contrast, MDV3100 treatment only modestly reduced stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression in the absence of externally added DHT ligand, indicating that a large fraction of stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation is ligand-independent (Figure 2b). Finally, LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells expressed comparable levels of basal and DHT-induced PSA (Supplementary Figure 2), further confirming that stroma-specific TGF- β signaling is essential for inducing AR activation in LNCaP cells in these co-cultures.

To confirm that our observed stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression is AR dependent, we performed knockdown of AR in LNCaP-Ctrl and LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells using two independent siRNAs against human AR. Western blots confirmed significant knockdown of AR in both cell lines (Figure 2c). These AR-knockdown LNCaP cells were used for co-cultures with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 media supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS for four days. We extracted total RNA directly from these co-cultures, and performed reverse transcription reactions and qPCR analysis for PSA expression. Indeed, knockdown of AR in LNCaP cells greatly inhibited PSA expression in these co-cultures, confirming that stromal TGF- β signaling induced PSA expression is dependent on AR (Figure 2d).

To further expand our observation, we also performed co-cultures of LNCaP cells with HTS33B cells and HPS33Q cells, two additional human prostate stromal cell lines that we prepared following a previously described protocol [5, 38]. These LNCaP/HTS33B





Figure 2: Prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in the co-cultured LNCaP cells. (a) qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA, KLK4, and TMPRSS2 in the differentially treated LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I, LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I, and HPS19I cells as described in Figure 1f & g. CM: conditioned media. Representative data is from two independent experiments. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. * p <0.05, ** p <0.01 (unpaired Student's t-test). (b) LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were co-cultured with HPS19I cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with different dosages of DHT in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 10 μ M of MDV3100 for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA, KLK4, and TMPRSS2 in these co-cultures. Data is from three independent experiments. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. * p <0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001 (paired Student's t-test).

(Continued)

C



d




Figure 2: (c, d) LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were transfected with two independent siRNAs against human AR (siAR#1 and siAR#2), along with siRNA against luciferase (siLuc) as control. On the second day, the cells were trypsinized and collected. **(c)** Part of the cells were used for continuing culturing in regular media for 4 days, after which cell lysates were prepared and subjected to Western blot for AR and β-actin. **(d)** Part of the cells were used for co-cultures with HPS191 cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS for 4 days, after which total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA in these co-cultures. Representative data is from two independent experiments. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 (unpaired Student's t-test).

co-cultures and LNCaP/HPS33Q co-cultures were treated with 50 pM of TGF- β 1 or vehicle control in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS for six days. Total RNA was similarly directly extracted from these co-cultures, reverse transcribed, and used for qPCR analysis for gene expression. We showed that TGF- β treatment induced the expression of PSA, KLK4, and TMPRSS2 in all these co-cultures, further confirming that prostate stromal cell-specific TGF- β signaling induces AR activation in LNCaP cells (Figure 3b, c).

IL-6 and BMP-6 activity partially mediates prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells

IL-6 is a key cytokine regulating PCa biology, including inducing AR activity and promoting androgen-independent growth [22–25]. BMP-6 was recently reported to promote castration-resistant PCa [34, 35]. We found that TGF- β induces the expression of both IL-6 and BMP-6 in human prostate stromal cells cultured in 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS (Figure 3a). To examine whether IL-6 and/or BMP-6 mediate the stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells, we first treated LNCaP/HTS33B co-cultures and LNCaP/HPS33Q co-cultures in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS with 25 ng/ml of IL-6 and/or 50 ng/ml of BMP-6 for six days. While IL-6 treatment and BMP-6 treatment each can induce PSA expression in all these co-cultures, co-treatments of both IL-6 and BMP-6 robustly induced PSA expression to levels exceeding those induced by TGF- β treatment. These results indicate that IL-6 and BMP-6 together strongly induces AR activation in LNCaP cells and that this action may greatly contribute to stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells.

We next examined whether the presence of prostate stromal cells is required for the IL-6/BMP-6 induced AR activation in LNCaP cells. In contrast to our above observations in the co-culture system, neither IL-6 treatment alone nor BMP-6 treatment alone can greatly enhance PSA expression in LNCaP cells cultured alone in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 0.2% charcoal stripped FBS. However, co-treatments of both IL-6 and BMP-6 induced robust expression of PSA in the LNCaP-only cell cultures, further confirming the cooperative activities of IL-6 and BMP-6 in inducing AR activation in LNCaP cells (Figure 3b, c). We made similar observations in KLK4 expression, but not in TMPRSS2 expression, in these IL-6 and BMP-6 treated LNCaP/stromal co-cultures as well as in LNCaP cells cultured alone (Figure 3b, c). These data suggest that although IL-6 and BMP-6 may greatly contribute to stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activities including inducing PSA and KLK4 expression, additional signaling molecules/pathways may be required for mediating stromal TGF- β signaling induced other AR activities such as inducing TMPRSS2 expression.

Finally, to further evaluate the functional roles of IL-6 and BMP-6 in mediating stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells, we treated the LNCaP/HTS33B and LNCaP/HPS33Q co-cultures with IL-6 and BMP-6 neutralizing antibodies. Use of IL-6 neutralizing antibody produced an apparent reduction in stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP cells, whereas BMP-6 neutralizing antibody attenuated this induction to a less extent (Figure 4a, b). Altogether, these data suggest that IL-6 and BMP-6 mediate prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation.

DISCUSSION

Tumor microenvironment is important for prostate cancer progression. TGF- β is a key cytokine in regulating cancer progression, either by directly regulating tumor cells, such as inducing epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), or indirectly by regulating tumor microenvironment, such as inducing extracellular matrix remodeling, regulating angiogenesis as well as immune responses. We showed here that TGF- β could indirectly induce AR activation in PCa cells through directly modulating stromal cells in tumor microenvironment. Since AR re-activation is requisite for the recurrence of most CRPC tumors, this stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in PCa cells may provide a direct mechanism for CRPC. Importantly, stromal TGF- β signaling greatly potentiated and enhanced DHT-induced AR activation, suggesting that it might be a therapeutic target to inhibit AR activation in CRPC tumors in the presence of castration-levels of androgen.

Our current data suggest that IL-6 and BMP-6 might partially mediate stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation. Interestingly, although neither IL-6 nor BMP-6 could robustly induce AR activation in LNCaP cells when cultured alone, IL-6 and BMP-6 together greatly enhance AR activity in these cells. Notably, when prostate stromal cells are presented in the LNCaP/stromal cell co-cultures, IL-6 and BMP-6 can each significantly enhance AR activation in LNCaP cells, which may attribute to the basal activation of IL-6 and BMP-6 signaling pathway in these co-cultures. As the expression of IL-6 and BMP-6 are elevated in the tumor microenvironment, these may be important factors in the evolution of castration resistant disease.

Consistent with many previous reports, MDV3100 showed robust AR antagonist activities in most of our LNCaP/HPS19I co-cultures studies. However, MDV3100 also exhibited slight apparent AR agonist activity under certain experimental conditions, such as in LNCaP/HPS19I cell co-cultured in 0.2% of charcoal-stripped FBS as described in Figure 2. The nature and significance of this unexpected slight AR agonist activity of MDV3100 is still to be determined. Finally, stromal TGF- β signaling induced AR activation in LNCaP




Figure 3: IL-6 and BMP-6 induces AR activation in LNCaP cells. (a) HTS33B and HPS33Q human prostate stromal cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS and treated with TGF-β1 (50 pM) for 3 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of IL-6 and BMP-6. Data is from three independent experiments. (b) LNCaP cells were co-cultured with HTS33B cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with TGF-β1 (50 pM), IL-6 (25 ng/ml), and/or BMP-6 (50 ng/ml) for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA, KLK4, TMPRSS2 expression in these co-cultures. Data is from three independent experiments. (c) LNCaP cells were similarly co-cultured with HPS33Q cells and treated with TGF-β1 (50 pM), IL-6 (25 ng/ml), and/or BMP-6 (50 ng/ml) for 6 days, and analyzed for the expression of PSA, KLK4, TMPRSS2 (qPCR). Data is from three independent experiments. All gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. hPS (human prostate stromal cells) stands for either HTS33B (b) or HPS33Q cells (c). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ (paired Student's t-test).

cells can only be partially attenuated by treatment of MDV3100 (Figure 2), indicating both ligand-dependent and ligand-independent AR activation. Interestingly, our microarray study and qPCR analysis revealed that stromal TGF-β signaling strongly repressed expression of UGT2B17 (Table 1b), as well as UGT2B15 (data not shown), two key epithelium-specific UDP-glucuronosyltransferases for glucuronidation and inactivation of testosterone and DHT ligands for their efficient clearance from normal prostate epithelial cells as well as PCa cells [42, 43]. These suggest a possibility that repression of UGT2B15 and UGT2B17 in PCa cells may contribute to stromal TGF-β signaling induced ligand-dependent AR activation in PCa cells, which


warrants future studies on the functional roles of these two UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in regulating prostate stroma induced AR activation in PCa cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

The Porcine TGF-β1(204-B-002), recombinant human BMP-6 (507-BP), recombinant human IL-6 (206-IL-010), antibodies against human BMP-6 (AF-507), human IL-6 (AF-206-NA), and normal goat IgG (AB-108-C) were purchased from R&D System (Minneapolis, MN, USA). MVD3100 was purchased

(A) LNCaP/HTS-33B co-cultures



(B) LNCaP/HPS-33Q co-cultures




Figure 4: IL-6 and BMP-6 neutralizing antibody treatment attenuates stromal TGF-β induced AR activation in the co-cultured LNCaP cells. (a) LNCaP cells were cultured alone or co-cultured with HTS33B cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with TGF-β1 (50 pM) along with neutralizing antibody against IL-6 and/or BMP-6 (both at 2 μg/ml) for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA, KLK4, TMPRSS2 expression in these co-cultures. Data is from two independent experiments. (b) LNCaP cells were similarly cultured alone or co-cultured with HPS33Q cells and treated with TGF-β1 (50 pM) along with neutralizing antibody against IL-6 and/or BMP-6 (both at 2 μg/ml) for 6 days, and analyzed for the expression of PSA, KLK4, TMPRSS2 (qPCR). Data is from two independent experiments. All gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression. *p<0.05 (unpaired Student's t-test).

from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). SD-208 was obtained from Scios, Inc. (now Alza Corporation Vacaville, CA, USA; part of Johnson and Johnson).

Cell cultures

LNCaP cells were purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT or Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells overexpressing an HA-tagged constitutively activated TGF-β1 ligand, HA-TGF-β1(a), and control LNCaP (LNCaP-Ctrl) cells were generated as previously described [37]. Human prostate stromal cell lines HPS19I, HTS33B, and HPS33Q were prepared following a previously described protocol and cultured in Bfs medium: DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS (Hyclone or Invitrogen), 5% Nu serum (Collaborative Research, Bedford, MA), 0.5 μg/mL testosterone, 5 μg/mL insulin, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) [5, 38].

Human prostate cancer cell and prostate stromal cell co-cultures

HPS19I, HTS33B, and HPS33Q cells were first allowed to grow in Bfs media to confluence on 6 well plates. LNCaP cells were then plated on top of the stromal cells at 1X10⁵ cells per well and subjected to various treatments in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS or charcoal stripped FBS for 6 to 28 days. The treatments include 50 pM (1.25ng/ml) porcine TGF-β1 (R&D Systems), 400 nM of SD-208, 0.1, 1, and 10 nM of DHT (Sigma), 10 μM of MDV3100 (Selleckchem, Houston, TX, USA), 25 ng/ml of IL-6, 50 ng/ml of BMP-6, 2 μg/ml of IL-6 neutralizing antibody, 2 μg/ml of IL-6 neutralizing antibody (R&D system), and various vehicle controls. When applicable, LNCaP cells and prostate stromal cells were each similarly cultured and treated as those for the LNCaP/Stroma co-cultures. Total RNA was extracted from these co-cultures using the RNeasy Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) or TRIzol[®] reagent (Invitrogen).

Knockdown of AR in LNCaP cells

Two siRNAs from Invitrogen, AR/HSS179973 (siRNA#1) and AR/HSS179972 (siRNA#2), were used to knock down AR expression in LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells. The corresponding sequences are CCGGAAGCUGAAGAAACUUGGUAU (sense), AUUACCAAGUUUCUUCAGCUUCCGG (antisense) for AR/HSS179973, and GAUGAAGCUUCUGGGUGUCACUAUG (sense), CAUAGUGACACCCAGAAGCUUCAUC (antisense) for AR/HSS179972. siRNAs were transfected into LNCaP cells using GenMute™ siRNA Transfection Reagent following manufacture's protocol (SignaGen, Rockville, MD, USA). Western blots were used to verify knockdown of AR. Antibodies used are anti-AR (sc-816, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) and anti- β -actin (A1978, Sigma).

Luciferase reporter assay

HPS19I cells were transfected with 0.4 μ g of (CAGA)₁₂MLP [41] and 0.1 μ g of pRL-null (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in 12 well plate using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche Applied Sciences, Penzberg, Germany) as described before [18]. 24 hours later, cells were treated with 50 pM TGF- β 1 and different dosages of SD-208 compound for 24 hours. Cell lysates were prepared and measured for luciferase activity using the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay system (Promega). The reporter firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the co-transfected pRL-null Renilla luciferase activity.

cDNA microarray

HPS19I cells were grown to mostly confluence in T75 flasks. 5X10⁵ of LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells or LNCaP-Ctrl cells were then plated on top of the HPS19I cells. The co-cultures were maintained in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS and subjected to treatments with 400 nM of SD-208 or vehicle control for 6 days. Conditioned media from LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells maintained in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS were also collected and used to treat HPS19I cells for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted from these LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I and LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures and from the differentially treated HPS19I cells using the RNeasy Miniprep kit (Qiagen). The cDNA reverse transcription and fluorescent labeling reactions were carried out using the SuperScript® Plus Direct cDNA Labeling System with Alexa Fluor® aha-dUTPs kit (Invitrogen). Briefly 3 μ g of RNA and 3 μ g of Universal Human Reference RNA (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) were labeled in reverse transcription with Alexa647 and Alexa555 aha-dUTPs respectively for

each sample. After labeling, each cDNA was mixed with reference cDNA and purified with SuperScript Plus Direct cDNA Labeling System purification module according to manufacturer's instructions. Eluted sample was mixed with 10xBlocking Solution and 2xHiRPM buffer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), incubated for 2 min at 95–98° C and hybridized on 4x44K Whole Human Genome Oligo Microarray chip using SureHyb DNA Microarray Hybridization Chambers in DNA Microarray hybridization oven (Agilent Technologies) at 10 rpm, 65°C for 20 hrs. After hybridization, slides were washed in Gene Expression Wash Buffer 1 and 2 for 1 min and then dried by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 2 min. Microarrays were scanned with a dynamic autofocus microarray scanner (Agilent Microarray Scanner- G2565BA, Agilent Technologies,) using Agilent-provided parameters (Red and Green PMT were each set at 100%, and scan resolution was set to 5 μ m). The Feature Extraction Software v9.1.3.1 (Agilent Technologies) was used to extract and analyze the signals.

The microarray data were processed using bioconductor (loess normalization), with Combat to adjust for batch effects [44]. Fold changes and ANOVA tests for each gene were computed using log-transformed values. Array data have been deposited into the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE51624).

Quantitative PCR

1 μ g total RNA was reverse transcribed with random hexamer primers using the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis kit (Invitrogen). Reversed transcribed product was then analyzed by real-time PCR using Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen) on the ABI Prism PE7700 sequence analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) or using the PerfeCTa CYBR Green FastMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) on the Light Cycler® 480II (Roche Applied Science). PCR primers used are PSA: 5' ACCAGAGGAGTTCTTGACCCCAAA 3' and 5' CCCCAGAATCACCCGAGCAG 3', KLK4: 5' GGCCTGGTCATGGAAAACGA 3' and 5' TCAAGACTGTGCAGGCCAGCC 3' (Annealing temperature 56), TMPRSS2: 5' CGCTGGCCTACTCTGGAA 3' and 5' CTGAGGAGTCGCACTCTATCC 3', GAPDH: 5' AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC 3' and 5' GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC 3', IL-6: 5' CAAAGATGGCTGAAAAAGATGGA 3' and 5' CTGTTCTGGAGGTACTCTAGGT 3', and BMP-6: 5' GGACAGCGCCTTCCTCAAC 3' and 5' CGTACTCCACCAGGTTTCAAAA 3'. Relative expression levels were determined by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method, and normalized to GAPDH.

Statistics

The statistical analyses on microarray data were described above. Statistical analyses (Student's t-tests) for comparing gene expression were generated using Graphpad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


This work was supported by NIH grants R01-CA058093, R01-DK045909, U01-CA84296 (DRR), P30 CA125123 (CJC), Department of Defense grants W81XWH-04-1-0189 (DRR), W81XWH-07-1-0200, W81XWH-09-1-0651, W81XWH-13-1-0163 (FY), and Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) grant RP130651 (FY). SD-208 compound was provided by *Scios, Inc.* (now Alza Corporation, Vacaville, CA, USA; part of Johnson and Johnson).

REFERENCES


1. Shafi AA, Yen AE, Weigel NL. Androgen receptors in hormone-dependent and castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2013; 140:223–238.
2. Barron DA, Rowley DR. The reactive stroma microenvironment and prostate cancer progression. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2012; 19:R187–204.
3. Tuxhorn JA, Ayala GE, Rowley DR. Reactive stroma in prostate cancer progression. *J Urol.* 2001; 166:2472–2483.
4. Rowley DR. What might a stromal response mean to prostate cancer progression? *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 1999; 17:411–419.
5. Tuxhorn JA, Ayala GE, Smith MJ, Smith VC, Dang TD, Rowley DR. Reactive stroma in human prostate cancer: induction of myofibroblast phenotype and extracellular matrix remodeling. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2002; 8:2912–2923.
6. Coffey RJ Jr., Shipley GD, Moses HL. Production of transforming growth factors by human colon cancer lines. *Cancer Res.* 1986; 46:1164–1169.
7. Barrett-Lee P, Travers M, Luqmani Y, Coombes RC. Transcripts for transforming growth factors in human breast cancer: clinical correlates. *Br J Cancer.* 1990; 61:612–617.
8. Eastham JA, Truong LD, Rogers E, Kattan M, Flanders KC, Scardino PT, Thompson TC. Transforming growth factor-beta 1: comparative immunohistochemical localization in human primary and metastatic prostate cancer. *Lab Invest.* 1995; 73:628–635.
9. Gerdes MJ, Larsen M, McBride L, Dang TD, Lu B, Rowley DR. Localization of transforming growth factor-beta1 and type II receptor in developing normal human prostate and carcinoma tissues. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 1998; 46:379–388.
10. Kim IY, Ahn HJ, Zelner DJ, Shaw JW, Lang S, Kato M, Oefelein MG, Miyazono K, Nemeth JA, Kozlowski JM, Lee C. Loss of expression of transforming growth factor beta type I and type II receptors correlates with tumor grade in human prostate cancer tissues. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1996; 2:1255–1261.
11. Williams RH, Stapleton AM, Yang G, Truong LD, Rogers E, Timme TL, Wheeler TM, Scardino PT, Thompson TC. Reduced levels of transforming growth factor beta receptor type II in human prostate cancer: an immunohistochemical study. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1996; 2:635–640.
12. Guo Y, Jacobs SC, Kyprianou N. Down-regulation of protein and mRNA expression for transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta1) type I and type II receptors in human prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 1997; 71:573–579.
13. Desmouliere A, Geinoz A, Gabbiani F, Gabbiani G. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 induces alpha-smooth muscle actin expression in granulation tissue myofibroblasts and in quiescent and growing cultured fibroblasts. *J Cell Biol.* 1993; 122:103–111.
14. Roberts AB, Sporn MB, Assoian RK, Smith JM, Roche NS, Wakefield LM, Heine UI, Liotta LA, Falanga V, Kehrl JH, Fauci AS. Transforming growth factor type beta: rapid induction of fibrosis and angiogenesis in vivo and stimulation of collagen formation in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1986; 83:4167–4171.
15. Tuxhorn JA, McAlhany SJ, Dang TD, Ayala GE, Rowley DR. Stromal cells promote angiogenesis and growth of human prostate tumors in a differential reactive stroma (DRS) xenograft model. *Cancer Res.* 2002; 62:3298–3307.
16. Tuxhorn JA, McAlhany SJ, Yang F, Dang TD, Rowley DR. Inhibition of TGF-beta activity decreases angiogenesis in a human prostate cancer reactive stroma xenograft model. *Cancer Res.* 2002; 62:6021–6025.
17. Yang F, Tuxhorn JA, Ressler SJ, McAlhany SJ, Dang TD, Rowley DR. Stromal expression of connective tissue growth factor promotes angiogenesis and prostate cancer tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2005; 65:8887–8895.
18. Yang F, Strand DW, Rowley DR. Fibroblast growth factor-2 mediates transforming growth factor-beta action in prostate cancer reactive stroma. *Oncogene.* 2008; 27:450–459.
19. Culig Z, Puhf M. Interleukin-6: a multifunctional targetable cytokine in human prostate cancer. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2012; 360:52–58.
20. Nakashima J, Tachibana M, Horiguchi Y, Oya M, Ohigashi T, Asakura H, Murai M. Serum interleukin 6 as a prognostic factor in patients with prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000; 6:2702–2706.
21. Shariat SF, Andrews B, Kattan MW, Kim J, Wheeler TM, Slawin KM. Plasma levels of interleukin-6 and its soluble

- receptor are associated with prostate cancer progression and metastasis. *Urology*. 2001; 58:1008–1015.
22. Hobisch A, Eder IE, Putz T, Horninger W, Bartsch G, Klocker H, Culig Z. Interleukin-6 regulates prostate-specific protein expression in prostate carcinoma cells by activation of the androgen receptor. *Cancer Res*. 1998; 58:4640–4645.
 23. Chen T, Wang LH, Farrar WL. Interleukin 6 activates androgen receptor-mediated gene expression through a signal transducer and activator of transcription 3-dependent pathway in LNCaP prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2000; 60:2132–2135.
 24. Lin DL, Whitney MC, Yao Z, Keller ET. Interleukin-6 induces androgen responsiveness in prostate cancer cells through up-regulation of androgen receptor expression. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2001; 7:1773–1781.
 25. Lee SO, Lou W, Hou M, de Miguel F, Gerber L, Gao AC. Interleukin-6 promotes androgen-independent growth in LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2003; 9:370–376.
 26. Lou W, Ni Z, Dyer K, Tweardy DJ, Gao AC. Interleukin-6 induces prostate cancer cell growth accompanied by activation of stat3 signaling pathway. *Prostate*. 2000; 42:239–242.
 27. Giri D, Ozen M, Ittmann M. Interleukin-6 is an autocrine growth factor in human prostate cancer. *Am J Pathol*. 2001; 159:2159–2165.
 28. Malinowska K, Neuwirt H, Cavarretta IT, Bektic J, Steiner H, Dietrich H, Moser PL, Fuchs D, Hobisch A, Culig Z. Interleukin-6 stimulation of growth of prostate cancer in vitro and in vivo through activation of the androgen receptor. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2009; 16:155–169.
 29. Liu C, Zhu Y, Lou W, Cui Y, Evans CP, Gao AC. Inhibition of constitutively active Stat3 reverses enzalutamide resistance in LNCaP derivative prostate cancer cells. *Prostate*. 2014; 74:201–209.
 30. Adler HL, McCurdy MA, Kattan MW, Timme TL, Scardino PT, Thompson TC. Elevated levels of circulating interleukin-6 and transforming growth factor-beta1 in patients with metastatic prostatic carcinoma. *J Urol*. 1999; 161:182–187.
 31. Hamdy FC, Autzen P, Robinson MC, Horne CH, Neal DE, Robson CN. Immunolocalization and messenger RNA expression of bone morphogenetic protein-6 in human benign and malignant prostatic tissue. *Cancer Res*. 1997; 57:4427–4431.
 32. Dai J, Keller J, Zhang J, Lu Y, Yao Z, Keller ET. Bone morphogenetic protein-6 promotes osteoblastic prostate cancer bone metastases through a dual mechanism. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65:8274–8285.
 33. Darby S, Cross SS, Brown NJ, Hamdy FC, Robson CN. BMP-6 over-expression in prostate cancer is associated with increased Id-1 protein and a more invasive phenotype. *J Pathol*. 2008; 214:394–404.
 34. Lee GT, Jung YS, Ha YS, Kim JH, Kim WJ, Kim IY. Bone morphogenetic protein-6 induces castration resistance in prostate cancer cells through tumor infiltrating macrophages. *Cancer Sci*. 2013; 104:1027–1032.
 35. Lee GT, Kang DI, Ha YS, Jung YS, Chung J, Min K, Kim TH, Moon KH, Chung JM, Lee DH, Kim WJ, Kim IY. Prostate cancer bone metastases acquire resistance to androgen deprivation via WNT5A-mediated BMP-6 induction. *Br J Cancer*. 2014; 110: 1634–1644.
 36. Tran C, Ouk S, Clegg NJ, Chen Y, Watson PA, Arora V, Wongvipat J, Smith-Jones PM, Yoo D, Kwon A, Wasielewska T, Welsbie D, Chen CD, et al. Development of a second-generation antiandrogen for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *Science*. 2009; 324:787–790.
 37. Barron DA, Strand DW, Ressler SJ, Dang TD, Hayward SW, Yang F, Ayala GE, Ittmann M, Rowley DR. TGF-beta1 induces an age-dependent inflammation of nerve ganglia and fibroplasia in the prostate gland stroma of a novel transgenic mouse. *PLoS One*. 5:e13751.
 38. Schauer IG, Ressler SJ, Tuxhorn JA, Dang TD, Rowley DR. Elevated Epithelial Expression of Interleukin-8 Correlates with Myofibroblast Reactive Stroma in Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. *Urology*. 2008; 72: 205–213.
 39. Kim IY, Ahn HJ, Zelner DJ, Shaw JW, Sensibar JA, Kim JH, Kato M, Lee C. Genetic change in transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) receptor type I gene correlates with insensitivity to TGF-beta 1 in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 1996; 56: 44–48.
 40. Uhl M, Aulwurm S, Wischhusen J, Weiler M, Ma JY, Almirez R, Mangadu R, Liu YW, Platten M, Herrlinger U, Murphy A, Wong DH, Wick W, et al. SD-208, a novel transforming growth factor beta receptor I kinase inhibitor, inhibits growth and invasiveness and enhances immunogenicity of murine and human glioma cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Res*. 2004; 64:7954–7961.
 41. Dennler S, Itoh S, Vivien D, ten Dijke P, Huet S, Gauthier JM. Direct binding of Smad3 and Smad4 to critical TGF beta-inducible elements in the promoter of human plasminogen activator inhibitor-type 1 gene. *Embo J*. 1998; 17:3091–3100.
 42. Belanger A, Pelletier G, Labrie F, Barbier O, Chouinard S. Inactivation of androgens by UDP-glucuronosyltransferase enzymes in humans. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2003; 14:473–479.
 43. Chouinard S, Barbier O, Belanger A. UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B15 (UGT2B15) and UGT2B17 enzymes are major determinants of the androgen response in prostate cancer LNCaP cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:33466–33474.
 44. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics*. 2007; 8:118–127.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1: Prostate stromal TGF- β signaling induces profound changes in the co-cultured LNCaP cells (higher magnification). (a-d) LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were co-cultured with HPS19I human prostate stromal cells in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% FBS, and treated with 400 nM of SD-208 or control for 14 days. Representative photographs were shown for (a) control and (c) SD208 treated LNCaP-Ctrl/HPS19I co-cultures, and (b) control and (d) SD208 treated LNCaP-TGF- β 1(a)/HPS19I co-cultures. HPS19I cells are at the bottom layer.



Supplementary Figure 2: LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells express comparable levels of basal and DHT-induced PSA. LNCaP-TGF-β1(a) cells and LNCaP-Ctrl cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 0.2% charcoal-stripped FBS, and treated with different dosages of DHT in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 10 μM of MDV3100 for 6 days. Total RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. qPCR were used to analyze the expression of PSA in these co-cultures. Representative data is from two independent experiments. All gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH expression.