

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-16-1-0450

TITLE: Multispecies, Integrative GWAS for Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Ali G. Gharavi

**RECIPIENT: TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF
NEW YORK
NEW YORK NY 10032-3725**

REPORT DATE: September 2017

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

**PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012**

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited**

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) September 2017		2. REPORT TYPE Annual	3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 15 Aug 2016 - 14 Aug 2017		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Multispecies, Integrative GWAS for Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-16-1-0450		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Ali Gharavi E-Mail:ag2239@columbia.edu			5d. PROJECT NUMBER 0010856529		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NY 630 W 168TH ST FL 4NEW YORK NY 10032-3725			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The goal of this project are to identify genetic determinants of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) using genomewide association studies in mouse strains. We have shown that the development nephropathy in the HIV-1 transgenic mice(TgFVB) is highly strain dependent. Linkage mapping in murine crosses have shown that there are at least 4 FSGS susceptibility loci among inbred strains. Furthermore, F1 hybrids between TgFVB and other inbred strains show highly variable penetrance of nephropathy, indicating the feasibility of mapping genes using F1 hybrids for association mapping. In the past funding period, we have generated 305 F1 hybrids between TgFVB and 12 inbred strains and have determined variable susceptibility to kidney disease based on genetic background, with C57Bl6/J, A/J, DBA/1J, NZO/HILtJ and CBA/J mice showing highest prevalence of injury as measured by proteinuria, and urinary NGAL levels. By performing serial analysis of proteinuria, we have also determined the optimal timepoint for assessment of kidney disease in the f1 progeny. Our goal is continue breeding the F1 cohort using total of 30 inbred strains We will continue to characterize phenotypes based on proteinuria, UNGAL and histopathology. Once the cohort has been generated, we will perform a GWAS to detect susceptibility loci for FSGS and compare results to the ongoing GWAS in humans.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS FSGS, MCD, GWAS, CNV					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
REPORT u	ABSTRACT u	THIS PAGE u	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT uu	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 17	USAMRMC
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Introduction	4
2. Keywords	4
3. Accomplishments	4
4. Impact	8
5. Changes/Problems	10
6. Products	12
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	14
8. Special Reporting Requirements	17
9. Appendices	17

1. **INTRODUCTION:** Narrative that briefly (one paragraph) describes the subject, purpose and scope of the research.

The glomerular filtration barrier amongst mammals is highly conserved, and mouse models are highly relevant to understanding the human pathogenesis of FSGS. Frequently candidate genes identified in mouse models have been implicated in the cause of human disease, demonstrating the importance of genes identified in the mouse FSGS model are highly relevant to human disease. The development of nephropathy in HIV-1 transgenic mice are highly strain dependent, breeding these mice on to diversity out cross mice strains will enable us to preform GWAS for FSGS in mice to identify new genes.

2. **KEYWORDS:** Provide a brief list of keywords (limit to 20 words).

FSGS, GWAS, Nephropathy, Mouse, Kidney disease

3. **ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** The PI is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction.

What were the major goals of the project?

List the major goals of the project as stated in the approved SOW. If the application listed milestones/target dates for important activities or phases of the project, identify these dates and show actual completion dates or the percentage of completion.

Generate 1,250 HIV-1 transgenic F1 hybrids between TgFVB and other inbred and outbred strains of mice.

Preform detailed assessment of severity of kidney disease based on histopathology, proteinuria, urine NGAL production at 6 weeks of age.

Preform genome-wide genotyping and quantitative GWAS for FSGS in mice.

What was accomplished under these goals?

For this reporting period describe: 1) major activities; 2) specific objectives; 3) significant results or key outcomes, including major findings, developments, or conclusions (both positive and negative); and/or 4) other achievements. Include a discussion of stated goals not met. Description shall include pertinent data and graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant

results achieved. A succinct description of the methodology used shall be provided. As the project progresses to completion, the emphasis in reporting in this section should shift from reporting activities to reporting accomplishments.

We are currently generating transgenic F1 hybrids between the TgFVB and the diversity outcross mice. Mice are genotyped using PCR specific for the transgenes and urine is collected on a weekly basis. Following collection of the urine the level of proteinuria and hematuria is determined in the Tg-F1 hybrids. Upon euthanasia of the mice, kidneys are prepared for histopathologic analysis and for RNA extraction, serum has been collected and stored in three vials for metabolic and immunological analysis, the urine, spleen and feces are collected and bio-banked at this time point. NGAL is measured in urine, before and at the time of proteinuria detection in the Tg-F1 mice. Kidney sections for histopathology have been processed and stained with Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS). Currently we have processed 305 slides stained with Hematoxylin and eosin, and PAS and are being analyzed in the Pathology Division.


Our initial data has demonstrated, that not all the Tg-F1 hybrids derived from different strains develop proteinuria (Table1). The Tg-F1 hybrids derived from the C57Bl6/J, A/J, DBA/1J, NZO/HILtJ and CBA/J mice had increased numbers of mice positive for proteinuria compared to the Tg-F1 hybrids generated from the other strains of mice. We are currently examining the NGAL levels in the urine of the Tg-F1 hybrids and F1 hybrids at the point of proteinuria and previous time point.

Table1: Phenotypic characterization of the Tg-F1 hybrids derived from different mouse strains.

F1-hybrid	# pups	Male	Female	Transgene (% proteinuria)	M-Transgene (% proteinuria)	F-Transgene (% proteinuria)
DBA/2J	32	13	19	13 (38%)	7 (28%)	6 (50%)
C57Bl6/NJ	32	15	17	20 (5%)	9 (0%)	11 (9%)
C57Bl6/J	44	18	26	18 (62%)	8 (75%)	10 (50%)
129S1/SvimJ	36	19	17	20 (35%)	9 (33%)	11 (36%)
CAST/EiJ	10	3	7	7 (28%)	1 (0%)	6 (33%)
A/J	29	13	16	13 (100%)	4 (100%)	9 (100%)
NOD/ShiLt	30	17	13	11 (36%)	6 (33%)	5 (40%)
NZO/HILtJ	17	9	8	8 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
DBA/1J	27	14	13	14 (92%)	5 (80%)	9 (100%)
CBA/J	27	14	13	18 (64%)	10 (70%)	8 (62%)
C3H/HeJ	12	4	8	4 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)
WSB/EiJ	9	3	6	5 (0%)	1 (0%)	4 (0%)
Total	305	142	163	151 (54%)	67 (52%)	84 (54%)

We have optimized the time point for the detection of NGAL levels in the urine. Using the Tg-F1 C57Bl/6 hybrid mice, NGAL measurements are made at the time point before proteinuria and at the initial time of proteinuria. Our initial data in the Tg-F1 C57Bl/6 hybrid mice demonstrates that 30% of the mice with proteinuria, had significantly elevated levels of NGAL in the urine (Figure 1). We are continuing to test the NGAL levels in urine collected from the Tg-F1 hybrids derived from different strains.

Figure 1: measurement of NGAL in mouse urine pre- and post- proteinuria.



Initial immunological analysis of the Tg-F1 hybrids, the immunoglobulin levels were determined using IgG and IgA ELISAs. Our initial data is demonstrating reduced levels in the concentration of IgG in the Tg-F1 hybrids compared to the F1 hybrids, however IgA does not appear to be impacted.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

If the project was not intended to provide training and professional development opportunities or there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe opportunities for training and professional development provided to anyone who worked on the project or anyone who was involved in the activities supported by the project. “Training” activities are those in which individuals with advanced professional skills and experience assist others in attaining greater proficiency. Training activities may include, for example, courses or one-on-one work with a mentor. “Professional development” activities result in increased knowledge or skill in one’s area of expertise and may include workshops, conferences, seminars, study groups, and individual study. Include participation in conferences, workshops, and seminars not listed under major activities.

This award provides additional training to Dr. Steers, an Associate Research Scientist in my lab to utilize and learn additional skill sets.

Results generated in association with this award will be presented at conferences, seminars and research meetings by Dr. Steers.

This award provides training for post-bac students and summer students who are currently working in the laboratory who intend to go on the graduate education.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the results were disseminated to communities of interest. Include any outreach activities that were undertaken to reach members of communities who are not usually aware of these project activities, for the purpose of enhancing public understanding and increasing interest in learning and careers in science, technology, and the humanities.

We will report our findings in up-coming meetings

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

If this is the final report, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe briefly what you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives.

We will continue to breed and generating transgenic F1 hybrids between the TgFVB and the diversity outcross mice. The kidneys, serum, urine, spleen and feces will be collected and bio-banked.

Urine will be collected and analyzed for proteinuria, hematuria and NGAL for the phenotypic analysis of the newly generated transgenic F1 hybrids.

Serum will be analyzed for blood urea nitrogen, albumin, cholesterol levels, immunological and inflammatory markers for the phenotypic analysis.

DNA will be isolated from the spleens of these mice, and prepared genome-wide genotyping using the Mega Mouse Universal Genotyping Array.

- 4. IMPACT:** Describe distinctive contributions, major accomplishments, innovations, successes, or any change in practice or behavior that has come about as a result of the project relative to:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how findings, results, techniques that were developed or extended, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on the base of knowledge, theory, and research in the principal disciplinary field(s) of the project. Summarize using language that an intelligent lay audience can understand (Scientific American style).

The study will use novel methods to find new genes involved in kidney diseases and the pathogenesis of HIV associated nephropathy.

What was the impact on other disciplines?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the findings, results, or techniques that were developed or improved, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on other disciplines.

The study will use novel methods to find new genes involved in kidney diseases and the pathogenesis of HIV associated nephropathy.

What was the impact on technology transfer?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe ways in which the project made an impact, or is likely to make an impact, on commercial technology or public use, including:

- *transfer of results to entities in government or industry;*
- *instances where the research has led to the initiation of a start-up company; or*
- *adoption of new practices.*

Nothing to Report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how results from the project made an impact, or are likely to make an impact, beyond the bounds of science, engineering, and the academic world on areas such as:

- *improving public knowledge, attitudes, skills, and abilities;*
- *changing behavior, practices, decision making, policies (including regulatory policies), or social actions; or*
- *improving social, economic, civic, or environmental conditions.*

Nothing to Report

5. **CHANGES/PROBLEMS:** The Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction. If not previously reported in writing, provide the following additional information or state, “Nothing to Report,” if applicable:

Changes in approach and reasons for change

Describe any changes in approach during the reporting period and reasons for these changes. Remember that significant changes in objectives and scope require prior approval of the agency.

Nothing to Report

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

Describe problems or delays encountered during the reporting period and actions or plans to resolve them.

Nothing to Report

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

Describe changes during the reporting period that may have had a significant impact on expenditures, for example, delays in hiring staff or favorable developments that enable meeting objectives at less cost than anticipated.

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Describe significant deviations, unexpected outcomes, or changes in approved protocols for the use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents during the reporting period. If required, were these changes approved by the applicable institution committee (or equivalent) and reported to the agency? Also specify the applicable Institutional Review Board/Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval dates.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

Nothing to Report

6. **PRODUCTS:** List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. If there is nothing to report under a particular item, state “Nothing to Report.”

- **Publications, conference papers, and presentations**

Report only the major publication(s) resulting from the work under this award.

Journal publications. *List peer-reviewed articles or papers appearing in scientific, technical, or professional journals. Identify for each publication: Author(s); title; journal; volume: year; page numbers; status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).*

Nothing to Report

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications. *Report any book, monograph, dissertation, abstract, or the like published as or in a separate publication, rather than a periodical or series. Include any significant publication in the proceedings of a one-time conference or in the report of a one-time study, commission, or the like. Identify for each one-time publication: Author(s); title; editor; title of collection, if applicable; bibliographic information; year; type of publication (e.g., book, thesis or dissertation); status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).*

Nothing to Report

Other publications, conference papers, and presentations. *Identify any other publications, conference papers and/or presentations not reported above. Specify the status of the publication as noted above. List presentations made during the last year (international, national, local societies, military meetings, etc.). Use an asterisk (*) if presentation produced a manuscript.*

Data pertaining to this project is periodically presented in Laboratory meetings.

- **Website(s) or other Internet site(s)**

List the URL for any Internet site(s) that disseminates the results of the research activities. A short description of each site should be provided. It is not necessary to include the publications already specified above in this section.

Nothing to Report

- **Technologies or techniques**

Identify technologies or techniques that resulted from the research activities. In addition to a description of the technologies or techniques, describe how they will be shared.

Nothing to Report

- **Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses**

Identify inventions, patent applications with date, and/or licenses that have resulted from the research. State whether an application is provisional or non-provisional and indicate the application number. Submission of this information as part of an interim research performance progress report is not a substitute for any other invention reporting required under the terms and conditions of an award.

Nothing to Report

- **Other Products**

Identify any other reportable outcomes that were developed under this project. Reportable outcomes are defined as a research result that is or relates to a product, scientific advance, or research tool that makes a meaningful contribution toward the understanding, prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and/or rehabilitation of a disease, injury or condition, or to improve the quality of life. Examples include:

- *data or databases;*
- *biospecimen collections;*
- *audio or video products;*
- *software;*
- *models;*
- *educational aids or curricula;*
- *instruments or equipment;*
- *research material (e.g., Germplasm; cell lines, DNA probes, animal models);*
- *clinical interventions;*
- *new business creation; and*
- *other.*

Nothing to Report

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

Provide the following information for: (1) PDs/PIs; and (2) each person who has worked at least one person month per year on the project during the reporting period, regardless of the source of compensation (a person month equals approximately 160 hours of effort). If information is unchanged from a previous submission, provide the name only and indicate “no change.”

Example:

Name: Ali Gharavi
Project Role: Principal Investigator

Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):

Nearest person month worked: 1.2

Contribution to Project: Dr. Gharavi was responsible for achieving the overall goals of the study. He supervised mouse genetic studies at Columbia University and will collaborate with Dr. Sanna-Cherchi (partnering PI) on the human genetic studies.

Funding Support: Dr. Gharavi's funding portfolio currently includes NIH Grants 5UM1DK100876-04, NIH 5R01MD009223-03, 5R01DK082753-07, 5U54DK104309-03, 5U01HG008680-02, 2R01DK080099-06A1, 1R21DK109690-01 and 1UG3OD231183-01 and USAMRAA Grant PR151419.

Name: Iulina Ionita-Laza
Project Role: Co- Investigator

Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):

Nearest person month worked: .22

Contribution to Project: sequencing data, analysis of datasets GWAS

Funding Support: Dr. Ionita-Laza's funding portfolio currently includes NIH Grants 5R01MH095797-04, 5R21MH106888-02, 5R01MH106910-02, 5R01HG008980-02, 5R01AR065963-03, 1P50AR070588-01, 5R01DK080099-07, USAMRAA Grant PR151419.

Name: Vivette D'Agati
Project Role: Co-Investigator

Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):

Nearest person month worked: .84

Contribution to Project: Dr. D'Agati perform standardized review and scoring of kidney biopsies for enrolled patients and mouse samples.

Funding Support: Dr. Agati's funding portfolio currently includes NIH Grants 1 UM1 DK100876-01, 1R01MD009223-01, 1R24DK103032-01, 1R01DK106436-01A1 USAMRAA Grant PR151419.

Name: Nicholas Steers
Project Role: Associate Research Scientist

Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): N/A

Nearest person month worked: 9.0

Contribution to Project: Dr. Steers performed the GWAS in the TgFVBxDO F1 mice, under the supervision of Dr. Ionita-Laza and Dr. Gharavi.

Funding Support: N/A

Name: Wan Yee Lam
Project Role: Tech
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): N/A
Nearest person month worked: 6.0

Contribution to Project: Wet lab experiments, DNA preparation and plating. Processed mouse husbandry and genotyping and processing samples for histopathology.
Funding Support: N/A

Nicole Lester was the tech listed on the grant submission but at award time Wan Yee Lam is the project technician who has replaced Ms. Lester.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

If the active support has changed for the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel, then describe what the change has been. Changes may occur, for example, if a previously active grant has closed and/or if a previously pending grant is now active. Annotate this information so it is clear what has changed from the previous submission. Submission of other support information is not necessary for pending changes or for changes in the level of effort for active support reported previously. The awarding agency may require prior written approval if a change in active other support significantly impacts the effort on the project that is the subject of the project report.

5U01HG008680-03/ no longer active. 5R01DK095510 No-cost extension

What other organizations were involved as partners?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

- *Nothing to report*

Nothing to Report

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

COLLABORATIVE AWARDS: For collaborative awards, independent reports are required from BOTH the Initiating PI and the Collaborating/Partnering PI. A duplicative report is acceptable; however, tasks shall be clearly marked with the responsible PI and research site. A report shall be submitted to <https://ers.amedd.army.mil> for each unique award.

Dr. Gharavi and Dr. Sanna-Cherchi will submit cognate reports.

QUAD CHARTS: If applicable, the Quad Chart (available on <https://www.usamraa.army.mil>) should be updated and submitted with attachments.

N/A

- 9. APPENDICES:** Attach all appendices that contain information that supplements, clarifies or supports the text. Examples include original copies of journal articles, reprints of manuscripts and abstracts, a curriculum vitae, patent applications, study questionnaires, and surveys, etc.