REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting sources, gathering a aspect of this collect Operations and Rep provision of law, no p PLEASE DO NOT R	burden for this colle and maintaining the ion of information, in orts (0704-0188), 1 person shall be subject ETURN YOUR FOR	ection of information data needed, and co including suggestions 215 Jefferson Davis ect to any penalty for M TO THE ABOVE	is estimated to average ompleting and reviewing for reducing the burden, s Highway, Suite 1204, A failing to comply with a c ADDRESS.	1 hour per respon- the collection of in to Department of I vrington, VA 2220 ollection of informa	se, including the formation. Send Defense, Washi 2-4302. Respondention if it does not	e time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data d comments regarding this burder estimate or any other ngton Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information indents should be aware that notwithstanding any other ot display a currently valid OMB control number.	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE						3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
12/00/2017	Einal Technical Report					15/8/2016 - 14/3/2017	
12/09/2017					1 to 0		
4. ITTLE AND ST	UBIIILE	in honorde trie	asharest anti Cto	Les Demen	ba. C	ONTRACT NUMBER	
spectroscopy 5b							
						5b. GRANT NUMBER	
						14-16-1-3014	
					14004	Se BROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
						ROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) 5d.						ROJECT NUMBER	
Svetlana Malin	novskaya						
5e. 5f. V						ASK NUMBER	
						5F. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
	and the second second	A DOCTOR				and the second	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Stevens Institute of Technology Castle Point on Hudson, Hoboken NJ 07013						8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
						REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research						10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
875 N. Randolph Street Suite 1425							
Arlington VA 22203-1995						11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
						NUMBER(S)	
Sector States	in the second	and the second second					
12. DISTRIBUTI	ON/AVAILABILIT	Y STATEMENT	1 55 M				
Approved for I	Public Release	e; Distribution i	is Unlimited				
13. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT		12.50					
The goal the p	project is to as	sess the feasil	oility of Femtoseco	ond Adaptive	Spectrosco	opic	
Technique ap	plied to cohere	ent anti-Stokes	Raman spectroso	copy (FAST C	CARS) for a	a real	
time remote d	etection of haz	ardous micro	particles in atmosp	here and to	evaluate th	e range	
of distances for typical species and the parameters of laser system that drive the limits of applicability. We will formulate a semiclassical theory of nonlinear scattering to estimate							
							the number of
15 SUBJECT T	ERMS						
Ctimulated De		a Dometa dat	option binchaster			tical fraguaday same	
Stimulated Ra	iman scatterin	g, Remote det	ection, biochemica	al agents, exp	piosives, op	bucal frequency comb.	
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME						OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT c	C. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	Svetlana Malinovskava		
					dol Ter		
					190. IELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		
				7	201-216-8	8094	
				-		Standard Form 209 (Pour 9/00	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Office of Naval Research Remote detection of chem/bio hazards via coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy Award Number N00014-16-1-3014 Project Period 8/15/2016 through 3/14/2017 Final Technical Report

Principal Investigator: Dr. Svetlana A. Malinovskaya Department of Physics & Engineering Physics Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, NJ 07030 Ph.:(201) 216-8094, (734) 717-3604 Fax: (201) 216-5638 e-mail: smalinov@stevens.edu

## I. SUMMARY

The goal the project was to assess the feasibility of Femtosecond Adaptive Spectroscopic Technique applied to coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (FAST CARS) for a real time remote detection of hazardous microparticles in atmosphere and to evaluate the range of distances for typical species and the parameters of laser system that drive the limits of applicability. We formulated a semiclassical theory of nonlinear scattering to estimate the number of detectable photons from a prototype molecule at a distance. The theory incorporated enhanced quantum coherence built in the system by femtosecond pulses and nonlinear optical processes.

The implementation of quantum control methods in combination with optical frequency combs in CARS for remote detection presents a fundamentally new approach. We estimated the main factors that provided an intense FAST CARS in the backward direction and understood the scope of distances and the laser system parameters relevant for typical species. The completed theoretical work advanced understanding of the limits of experimental realization of FAST CARS for the remote detection of hazardous air contaminants. It paved the path to the next step comprised of a rigorous theoretical and experimental demonstration of long standoff detection in collaboration with Dr. Francesco Narducci from Naval Postgraduate School. The obtained results were reported in [1].

## **II. MAIN RESULTS**

Based on a semiclassical approach [2], we estimated the feasibility of FAST CARS for real time remote detection of hazardous microparticles in atmosphere, evaluated the range of distances for typical species and the parameters of laser system that drive the limits.

We made use of a semiclassical theory of nonlinear scattering presented in [2] to estimate the number of detectable photons from a prototype molecule at a distance. The theory incorporates enhanced quantum coherence built in the system by femtosecond pulse trains and nonlinear optical processes. We investigated methanol as a prototypical molecule. This molecule has two Raman active vibrational modes: the symmetric  $2837cm^{-1}$  (85.05THz) mode and asymmetric  $2942 \ cm^{-1}$  (88.20THz) stretch mode. The frequency of these vibrational modes is slightly different allowing to test the chemical selectivity of detection. We estimated the density of photons scattered in the backward direction and calculate the irradiance that can be detected by a detector placed 1 km away from the molecule. The ratio of the backscattered number of photons to the number of probe photons is

$$\frac{n_4(\boldsymbol{R},\omega_{ac})}{n_3} = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{w}\right)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathcal{I}_4(\boldsymbol{R},\omega_{ac})_{\Theta=0(\pi)}}{\mathcal{I}_3(\omega_{ac})} d\Phi, \tag{1}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the detector efficiency, d is the detector diameter, w is the laser beam diameter and the intensity ratio is

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_4(\boldsymbol{R},\omega_{ac})_{\Theta=0(\pi)}}{\mathcal{I}_3(\omega_{ac})} = u(\frac{3}{8\pi R}\lambda \frac{\gamma_r}{\gamma_{ac}}N|\bar{\rho}_{bc}(0)|F)^2.$$
(2)

Here  $u = |\hat{\epsilon}_4|^2$ ,  $\epsilon_4$  is the polarization of the signal field, R is the distance between detector and target,  $\lambda$  is the anti-Stokes wavelength,  $\gamma_r$  is the radiative decay rate and  $\gamma_{ac}$  is the decoherence rate, N is the number of target molecules and  $|\bar{\rho}_{bc}|$  is the coherence between vibrational levels.

The parameter F is the geometrical and orientation factor, which depends on the target area volume and the phase mismatch. If we choose a perfect phase matching condition,



FIG. 1: The ratio of the number of the incident to the anti-Stokes backscattered photons as a function of the distance between the detector and the molecule (R).



FIG. 2: The ratio of the number of the incident to the anti-Stokes backscattered photons as a function of the laser beam diameter (w).

we could consider F = 1. To achieve this condition, we choose parameters relevant for the methanol molecule - the target molecular diameter  $\rho_0 = 10^{-9}m$  and the anti-Stokes wavelength  $\lambda = 0.65 \ \mu m$ . We have made a series of test calculations to analyze the dependence of the ratio of the incident to the backscattered photons as a function of the distance between the detector and the molecule (R), the laser beam diameter (w), and the detector diameter (d). The results are presented in the figures below.

Based on the above calculations we have made the following estimates. Suppose, the detector has a diameter d = 0.1 m and it is placed R = 1 km away. The detector efficiency is  $\epsilon = 0.1$ , radiative decay is  $\gamma_r = 10^8 s^{-1}$ , decoherence rate is  $\gamma_{ac} = 2.5 \times 10^{13} s^{-1}$ , the number of molecules  $N = 5 \times 10^8$  and the laser beam diameter is  $w = 1 \mu m$  [2]. The coherence



. 8

FIG. 3: The ratio of the number of the incident to the anti-Stokes backscattered photons as a function of the number of molecules N along the laser path.



FIG. 4: The ratio of the number of the incident to the anti-Stokes backscattered photons as a function of the detector diameter (d).

between vibrational levels is the maximum,  $|\rho_{bc}| = 0.5$ . For these values of parameters, the ratio between the number of incident photons and backscattered anti-Stokes photons  $n_4/n_3$  is about  $10^{-5}$ . For the pulse, which we will be using in experiments, having pulse energy 0.6 nJ and wavelength  $\lambda = 800 \ nm$ , the number of backscattered photons is

$$N_{3 per pulse} = \frac{E_0}{h \cdot \frac{c}{\lambda}} = 2 \times 10^9.$$
(3)

So that the number of anti-Stokes photons per pulse is about  $n_{4 per pulse} = 2 \times 10^4$ . If the pulse repetition rate is 1GHz, the number of anti-Stokes photons per second is about  $2 \times 10^{13}$  giving 2 microwatts power, which is sufficient for detection.

In the Eq.(1) for the ratio of the incident to anti-Stokes backscattered photons, one of the key parameters is the coherence between vibrational levels  $|\bar{\rho}_{bc}|$ . This parameter can be controlled by a input pulse and its value can be maximized. To demonstrate that for the parameters of the pulsed radiation available in Dr. Narducci's laboratory it is possible to reach a maximum vibrational coherence in the methanol molecule, we have performed a series of calculations for the dynamics in this molecule. Consider a three level system consisting of two vibration states of the ground electronic state and one excited electronicvibrational state in a molecule. This molecule interacts with the pump and Stokes pulses, which induce molecular dynamics and population transfer. The pulses are chosen to be transform-limited since at this point we estimate the field intensity and frequency only; they read

$$E_{p(s)} = E_{p(s)0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{r^2}} \cos(\omega_{p(s)} t), \tag{4}$$

where  $E_{p(s)0}$  is the peak value of the field amplitude,  $\tau$  is the pump and Stokes pulse duration and  $\omega_{p(s)}$  is the carrier frequency of the pump and Stokes pulse. The light-matter Hamiltonian in the interaction representation reads,

$$\hat{H} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \frac{\Omega_p(t)}{2}e^{i\omega_1 t} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Omega_s(t)}{2}e^{-i(\omega_p - \omega_s - \omega_s)t} \\
\frac{\Omega_p^*(t)}{2}e^{-i\omega_1 t} & \frac{\Omega_s^*(t)}{2}e^{i(\omega_p - \omega_s - \omega_s)t} & \omega_3 - \omega_p.
\end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

Here  $\Omega_{p(s)} = -\frac{\mu}{\hbar} E_{p(s)0} e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2}}$  is the Rabi frequency and  $\mu$  is the transition dipole moment, which we assume to be the same for both transitions. If the field and the three-level system parameters satisfy the condition that the one-photon detuning  $\Delta \gg \Omega_{p(s)}$ , where  $\Delta = \omega_{31} - \omega_p$ , then the excited level is hardly populated at all times. It means the change of probability amplitude in time is negligible,  $\dot{C}_3 = 0$ . Then the excited state can be adiabatically eliminated and the system reduces to the effective two-level system, for which the Hamilton reads

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{|\Omega_p(t)|^2}{4\Delta} & -\frac{\Omega_p(t)\Omega_s^*(t)}{4\Delta}e^{i\delta t} \\ \frac{\Omega_p^*(t)\Omega_s(t)}{4\Delta}e^{-i\delta t} & -\frac{|\Omega_s(t)|^2}{4\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

Here,  $\delta = (\omega_p - \omega_s - \omega_2 + \omega_1)$  is the two-photon detuning. The coherence between the vibrational levels can be studied by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation numerically. To simplify the example, we choose the two-photon resonance condition ( $\delta = 0$ ) and assume the peak values of the Rabi frequency are the same for both the pump and



. . . . .

FIG. 5: Population dynamics in the effective two-level system. The single pulse duration is  $\tau = 55.8 fs$ , the one photon detuning  $\Delta = 1000 THz$ , the two-photon detuning  $\delta = 0$ .

Stokes pulses  $(\Omega_{p0} = \Omega_{s0})$ . We define the effective Rabi frequency as  $\Omega_{eff} \equiv \frac{\Omega_p(t)\Omega_s^*(t)}{4\Delta}$ . The population dynamics in the effective two-level system is shown in (Fig.5) as a function of the peak effective Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{eff}$ . It demonstrates Rabi oscillations with periodic complete population transfer to the upper vibrational state following the pulse area solution. The first complete oscillation happens at  $\Omega_{eff0} = 22.5THz$ . The effective pulse area is  $\pi/2$ . This figure also shows the points of the maximum coherence between two vibrational states, which are exactly at the crossings of two populations at their value equal to 1/2.

The peak value of the Rabi frequency of both pump and Stokes pulse at the first oscillation is  $\Omega_R = 300 \ THz$ . The peak field amplitude related to 300THz is  $9.48 * 10^7 \text{ V/cm}$ . The peak value of the Ti-Sapphire laser in Dr. Narducci's laboratory is  $2.275 * 10^7 \text{ V/cm}$  (the peak Rabi frequency is 72 THz). For such field the maximum coherence is achieved by a pulse train pulse train of 8 pulses, while full population transfer occurs after 17 pulses, see Fig.(6).

The results presented above demonstrate that the Ti-Sapphire laser available in Dr. Narducci's laboratory possesses parameters sufficient for generation of Raman signal from test molecules such as methanol and iodine. For the pulse repetition rate 1GHz, the number of anti-Stokes photons per second may be sufficient for remote detection at distances up to 1 km. To increase the number of photons in the backward direction several parameters can be varied, such as the laser beam diameter, the detector diameter and the pulse repetition rate.



1 \*

FIG. 6: The population dynamics in the effective two-level system as a function of time for peak value of the field amplitude  $2.275 * 10^7$  V/cm. The single pulse duration is  $\tau = 55.8 fs$ , the one photon detuning  $\Delta = 1000THz$ , the two-photon detuning  $\delta = 0$ . The crossing of populations indicating the maximum coherence occurs after 7 pulses.

- Gengyuan Liu, Frank A. Narducci, Svetlana A. Malinovskaya, "Limits to remote molecular detection via coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy using a maximal coherence control technique", App. Optics. submitted (2017).
- [2] C.H.R. Ooi, G. Beadie, G.W. Kattawar, J.F. Reinjes, Y. Rostovtsev, M.S. Zubairy, M.O. Scully, "Theory of femtosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman backscattering enhances by quantum coherence for standoff detection of bacterial spores," Phys. Rev. A 72, 023807 (2005).