

US Army Corps of Engineers_® Engineer Research and Development Center



Blast Load Simulator Experiments for Computational Model Validation

Report 3

Carol F. Johnson, Andrew T. Barnes, and James L. O'Daniel

July 2017



The U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) solves the nation's toughest engineering and environmental challenges. ERDC develops innovative solutions in civil and military engineering, geospatial sciences, water resources, and environmental sciences for the Army, the Department of Defense, civilian agencies, and our nation's public good. Find out more at <u>www.erdc.usace.army.mil</u>.

To search for other technical reports published by ERDC, visit the ERDC online library at <u>http://acwc.sdp.sirsi.net/client/default</u>.

Blast Load Simulator Experiments for Computational Model Validation

Report 3

Carol F. Johnson, Andrew T. Barnes, and James L. O'Daniel

Geotechnical and Structures Laboratory U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180

Report 3 of a series

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

 Prepared for Defense Threat Reduction Agency Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
Under Project 444856, "Nuclear Airblast and Thermal Environments Testing and Modeling"

Abstract

The Department of Defense needs the capability to accurately predict airblast environments produced by explosive detonations and their interaction with geometrically complex objects that create complex flow fields, such as buildings, bridges, dams, etc. First-principles computer codes are typically used to generate high-fidelity simulations of these explosive events and their effects. These codes are continuously improving, but still require validation against experimental data to establish confidence in the results produced by the simulations. This report describes a set of replicate experiments in which a small, nonresponding steel box-type structure was installed at varying obliquities and subjected to a simulated blast loading in a Blast Load Simulator (BLS) to provide pressure-time data at several locations on the surfaces of the structure. The BLS is a highly tunable, compressed-gas-driven, closed-end shock tube designed to simulate blast waveforms for explosive yields up to 20,000 lb of TNT equivalent at a peak reflected pressure up to 80 psi and a peak reflected impulse up to 1,100 psi-msec. Pressure and impulse waveforms are presented, and comparisons were made among the replicated experiments to evaluate repeatability. The uncertainty in the experimental pressures and impulses was evaluated by computing 95% confidence intervals on the results.

DISCLAIMER: The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

Preface

This research was conducted for the Defense Threat Reduction Agency under Project 444856, "Nuclear Airblast and Thermal Environments Testing and Modeling". The Technical Monitor was Dr. James L. O'Daniel.

The work was performed by the Structural Mechanics Branch (GSM) and the Research Group (GSR) of the Geosciences and Structures Division (GS), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Geotechnical and Structures Laboratory (ERDC-GSL). At the time of publication, Bradford A. Steed was Chief, CEERD-GSM; James L. Davis was Chief, CEERD-GS; and Pamela G. Kinnebrew, CEERD-GZT, was the Technical Director for Survivability and Protective Structures. The Deputy Director of ERDC-GSL was Dr. William P. Grogan, and the Director was Bartley P. Durst.

COL Bryan S. Green was the Commander of ERDC, and Dr. David W. Pittman was the Director.

Unit Conversion Factors

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain
degrees (angle)	0.01745329	radians
feet	0.3048	meters
inches	0.0254	meters
pounds (force) per square inch	6.894757	kilopascals
pounds (mass)	0.45359237	kilograms

Contents

Ab	stract		iv
Pre	eface.		v
Un	it Con	version Factors	vi
Fig	ures a	and Tables	viii
1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Objective	1
	1.3	Approach	1
2	Expe	riment Descriptions	3
	2.1	Blast load simulator	3
	2.2	Single-structure experiments in the BLS 8×8 configuration with 4-ft	
	gap a	at varying obliquities	4
3	Ехре	rimental Results	14
	3.1	Comparison of pressure waveforms	14
		3.1.1 O-degree obliquity	14
		3.1.2 30-degree obliquity	20
		3.1.3 45-degree obliquity	24
	3.2	Experiment uncertainty	29
4	Conc	lusions and Recommendations	37
Re	ferenc	es	38
Ap	pendix	A: Pressure and Impulse Data from Tests with 0-Degree Obliquity, 4-	
•	ft Ga	p	39
Ар	pendix 4-ft (x B: Pressure and Impulse Data from Tests with 30-Degree Obliquity,	115
A	nondi	C Processo and Impulse Date from Tests with 45 Degree Abligwith	
Αр	4-ft (ap	191

Report Documentation Page

Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1. ERDC Blast Load Simulator (BLS).	3
Figure 2. View from exterior of 8x8 configuration.	4
Figure 3. BLS 8x8 configuration with box structure and 4-ft gap	6
Figure 4. BLS 8x8 configuration with 4-ft gap.	6
Figure 5. BLS cross section showing the location of the box structure	7
Figure 6. Box structure dimensions.	7
Figure 7. Photograph of the box structure (30-degree obliquity) and calibration plate	8
Figure 8. Plan view of structure at (a) 0-degree, (b) 30-degree, and (c) 45-degree obliquities	8
Figure 9. Structure rotated to 30-degree obliquity.	9
Figure 10. Structure rotated to 45-degree obliquity	9
Figure 11. Gauge layout on the calibration plate.	
Figure 12. Gauge layout on the BLS side walls.	10
Figure 13. Gauge layout on the front of box structure	11
Figure 14. Gauge layout on the back of box structure.	11
Figure 15. Gauge layout on the top of box structure and direction of flow at 0- degree obliquity	12
Figure 16. Gauge layout on the left side of box structure and direction of flow at 0-degree obliquity.	12
Figure 17. Gauge layout on the right side of structure and direction of flow at 0- degree obliquity	13
Figure 18. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP3.	15
Figure 19. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP9.	15
Figure 20. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1.	16
Figure 21. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G2.	16
Figure 22. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3	17
Figure 23. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6	17
Figure 24. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.	18
Figure 25. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.	18

Figure 26. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1.	19
Figure 27. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.	19
Figure 28. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP9.	20
Figure 29. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1	21
Figure 30. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3	21
Figure 31. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6	22
Figure 32. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 30- degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.	22
Figure 33. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.	23
Figure 34. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1	23
Figure 35. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.	24
Figure 36. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP9.	25
Figure 37. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1	25
Figure 38. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3	26
Figure 39. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6	26
Figure 40. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.	27
Figure 41. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.	27
Figure 42. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1	28
Figure 43. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.	28
Figure 44. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak pressure for 0-degree obliquity.	31
Figure 45. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak impulse for 0-degree obliquity.	31
Figure 46. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak pressure for 30-degree obliquity.	33
Figure 47. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak impulse for 30-degree obliquity.	

Figure 48. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak pressure for 45-degree obliquity.	35
Figure 49. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak impulse 45-degree obliquity.	35

Tables

Table 1. Data confidence intervals – O-degree obliquity.	32
Table 2. Data confidence intervals – 30-degree obliquity.	34
Table 3. Data confidence intervals – 45-degree obliquity	36

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) needs the capability to accurately predict the airblast environment produced by explosive detonations and its interaction with geometrically complex objects that create complex flow fields, such as buildings, bridges, dams, and many others. First-principles computer codes are typically used to generate high-fidelity simulations of these explosive events and their effects. These codes are continuously improving, but they still require validation against experimental data to establish confidence in the simulation results specific to their intended use.

One method for providing experimental data for computational model validation is to use a blast load simulator, such as a shock tube, to produce a simulated high-explosive blast environment. Generally, a shock tube can provide a repeatable blast environment at a significantly lower cost than conducting field experiments using explosives. Repeated experiments are often necessary to quantify the uncertainty in the experimental results for validating computational models.

1.2 Objective

The objective of this effort was to conduct a set of repeated experiments in the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) Blast Load Simulator (BLS) to measure the pressure loading on a nonresponding box-type structure at varying obliquities located in the flow of the BLS simulated blast environment for use in evaluating computational models.

1.3 Approach

To meet the objective of this effort, a series of five replicate experiments at three obliquities were conducted in the BLS, using what is referred to as the 8x8 BLS configuration (Dallriva et al. 2016a). In order to reduce the influence of the reflected pressure from the calibration plate on the recorded data, the BLS configuration was modified by introducing a 4-ft gap prior to the target vessel. The non-responding structure was installed

in the BLS at a distance far enough downstream of the driver and near the reflecting target plate to provide increased testing time undisturbed by the interface (contact surface) between the driver gases and the driven gases. Otherwise, the contact surface impinging on the structure would result in turbulent effects that significantly reduce experiment repeatability. Data from the five experiments at each obliquity were used to calculate 95% confidence intervals in the results. The confidence intervals account for uncertainties associated with any small unknown or uncontrollable variations in experiment setup and conditions and for any inherent random variability in the dynamic environment produced in this BLS experimental process.

Pressure gauges were installed on the four sides and top of the structure, in the wall of the BLS near the structure, and in a fixed steel reflecting plate (referred to as the calibration plate) at the end of the BLS, all for recording pressure vs. time at the gauge locations. Data plots are provided that include pressure-time and impulse-time histories, and a few comparison plots are provided for visual evaluation of test repeatability.

2 Experiment Descriptions

2.1 Blast load simulator

The ERDC BLS (Figure 1) is a highly tunable, compressed-gas-driven shock tube designed to simulate blast waveforms for explosive yields up to an equivalent of 20,000 lb of TNT at a peak reflected pressure up to 80 psi and a peak reflected impulse up to 1,100 psi-msec.¹ The BLS has been used to evaluate the blast response of various structural test articles including windows, walls, and structural retrofit systems. It can simulate blast waveforms from very low pressures (1 to 2 psi) related to failures of conventional annealed glass and hollow concrete masonry unit walls, to higher blast pressures required to evaluate the performance of protective construction methods.





A recent addition to the BLS, referred to as the 8×8 configuration shown in Figure 2, includes a section to transition from the circular cross section that begins just downstream of the driver to a square cross section for testing 8-ft by 8-ft-square test articles, such as windows and walls. A detailed description of the BLS is provided in the first report of this series (Dallriva et al. 2016a).

¹ Johnson, C. F., and L. Simmons. 2008. Blast load simulator/Shock tube testing facilities in the United States. Information Paper. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.



Figure 2. View from exterior of 8x8 configuration.

2.2 Single-structure experiments in the BLS 8×8 configuration with 4-ft gap at varying obliquities

The BLS configuration for the set of experiments described herein is a modification of that described in the second report of this series (Dallriva et al. 2016b). The configuration was modified by the addition of a 4-ft gap between the end of the square section and the target vessel. This gap was introduced to significantly reduce the magnitude of the pressure that reflects from the calibration plate and back to the box structure. It also provided more time for the initial pressure to engulf the structure and decay prior to the reflection from the calibration plate impinging on the structure from behind.

Replicate experiments were conducted in the BLS using the 8×8 configuration with a 4-ft gap at obliquities of 0, 30, and 45 degrees. Obliquity of zero degrees was defined as the front face of the structure oriented face-on to the driver gases, as described in the second report of this series (Dallriva et al. 2016b). The obliquity was varied by rotating the structure about its center point such that the center point of the box was maintained at the same location within the BLS for all experiments. An elevation drawing of this BLS configuration at 0-degree obliquity is shown in Figure 3, a photograph of the gap introduced between the square section and the target vessel is shown in Figure 4, and a cross-section view from inside the GSA cascade section of the BLS looking towards the target vessel showing the vertical location of the box structure is shown in Figure

5. The top of the box structure was located 19.5-in. below the horizontal centerline of the BLS. The centerline of the BLS is always defined with respect to the centerline of pressure vessel, regardless of asymmetry of the C2SQ section observable in Figure 3. The box structure was 13-in. x 13-in. x 18-in. tall as shown in Figure 6. The structure was mounted on an 8.5in.-tall steel pedestal welded to the bottom of the BLS. Figure 7 shows a photograph inside the BLS viewing the structure mounted on the steel pedestal at 30-degree obliquity and the steel calibration plate. The faces of the structure were defined as follows: the front side of the structure faces the pressure vessel at o-degree obliquity, and the rear side faces the calibration plate at o-degree obliquity. The left and right sides of the structure are defined at o-degree obliquity looking upstream from the target vessel towards to the pressure vessel. Plans views of the structure at each obliquity showing the rotation and location of each side relative to the flow are shown in Figure 8. Figures 9 and 10 show the structure and direction of rotation for 30- and 45-degree obliquities, respectively.

Instrumentation included 3 pressure gauges mounted on the steel calibration plate, 2 pressure gauges mounted in the wall of the BLS, and 25 pressure gauges mounted on the box structure. Figure 11 shows the gauge layout on the calibration plate. Figure 12 shows the gauge layout on the side walls of the BLS in the square and C2SQ sections. Figures 13 through 17 show the gauge layouts on the surfaces of the box structure and the direction of flow at 0-degree obliquity.

Pressure measurements were made using either Kulite Model HKS-11-375 or XT-190 piezo-resistive pressure transducers. The data were transmitted over shielded mil-spec cable and recorded on a 16-bit Pacific Model 5810 Data Acquisition System. The acquisition system's sample rate was set for 1.0 µsec per point for the pressure measurements. The data after collection were post-processed using filter option four in DPlot software.

In each test, the pressure vessel was pressurized, using air only, to 800 psi. A mechanical striker was used to initiate the pressure release through rupturing of diaphragms. The diaphragms consisted of three layers that included two layers of 0.0160-in. to 0.0350-in.-thick steel and one layer of 0.0170-in. to 0.0240-in.-thick aluminum. A single test used two aluminum diaphragms with a combined thickness of 0.02925-in.



Figure 3. BLS 8x8 configuration with box structure and 4-ft gap.

Figure 4. BLS 8x8 configuration with 4-ft gap.





Figure 5. BLS cross section showing the location of the box structure.



Figure 7. Photograph of the box structure (30-degree obliquity) and calibration plate.

Figure 8. Plan view of structure at (a) 0-degree, (b) 30-degree, and (c) 45-degree obliquities.





Figure 9. Structure rotated to 30-degree obliquity.

Figure 10. Structure rotated to 45-degree obliquity.





Figure 11. Gauge layout on the calibration plate.







Figure 13. Gauge layout on the front of box structure.

Figure 14. Gauge layout on the back of box structure.





Figure 15. Gauge layout on the top of box structure and direction of flow at 0-degree obliquity.

Figure 16. Gauge layout on the left side of box structure and direction of flow at 0degree obliquity.





Figure 17. Gauge layout on the right side of structure and direction of flow at 0degree obliquity.

3 Experimental Results

3.1 Comparison of pressure waveforms

3.1.1 0-degree obliquity

One test at o-degree obliquity -- test number 4 -- had a burst pressure of 778 psi; the remaining four tests all had a burst pressure of 800 psi. Comparison of the pressure waveforms in this section excludes the data from test 4, which can be found in Appendix A. Reflected pressures on the calibration plate were very consistent among the four 800-psi tests, demonstrating a high degree of repeatability. Figures 18 and 19 show comparisons of the pressure and impulse for all four 800-psi tests from gauges CP3 and CP9, respectively, located on the calibration plate. Pressures along the sidewall of the BLS near the structure were very consistent until approximately 50 ms, after which minor variability can be observed in the histories. Figures 20 and 21 show comparisons of the pressure and impulse for all four 800-psi tests from gauges G1 and G2, respectively, located on the side wall of the BLS. The greater variation observed after approximately 50 ms corresponds to the arrival of the driver gases and associated contact surface at the side wall and structure gauge locations. In the previous set of experiments in this series (Dallriva et al. 2016b), this phenomenon was not observed. In those experiments, the BLS was unvented, and the calibration plate was nearer the location of the structure, resulting in a reflected pressure wave that prevented the driver material from reaching the pressure gauges during the recorded time frame for those experiments. A comparison of representative pressure waveforms recorded on the front of the structure is shown in Figures 22 and 23, on the back of the structure in Figures 24 and 25, and on the right side of the structure in Figures 26 and 27. All of these show excellent repeatability among the replicate experiments until about 45 ms, after which time the effect of the contact surface at the pressure gauge locations manifests in higher experimental variability.

Individual plots showing both pressure and impulse for all of the gauges from Experiments 1 through 5 are shown in Appendix A.



Figure 18. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP3.

Figure 19. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP9.





Figure 20. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1.

Figure 21. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G2.





Figure 22. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3.

Figure 23. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6.





Figure 24. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.

Figure 25. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.





Figure 26. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1.

Figure 27. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 0-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.



3.1.2 30-degree obliquity

Five tests were conducted with the structure rotated at 30-degree obliquity. All five tests were performed with a burst pressure of 800 psi. The pressure at the calibration plate and sidewall gauges exhibited similar behavior to the 0-degree obliquity tests with high repeatability. Results for all five tests at the calibration plate gauge location CP9 and BLS wall gauge location G1 are shown in Figures 28 and 29, respectively. Representative waveforms are shown for the front of the structure in Figures 30 and 31, the back of the structure in Figures 32 and 33, and the sides of the structure in Figures 34 and 35. Similar to the 0-degree obliquity tests, the results are very consistent until about 45 ms, after which the arrival of the contact surface at the structure location results in greater experimental variability.

Individual plots showing both pressure and impulse for all of the gauges from Experiments 6 through 10 are shown in Appendix B.



Figure 28. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 30-degree obliquity tests– Gauge CP9.



Figure 29. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1.

Figure 30. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3.





Figure 31. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6.

Figure 32. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 30- degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.





Figure 33. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.

Figure 34. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1.





Figure 35. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 30-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.

3.1.3 45-degree obliquity

Six tests were conducted with the structure rotated at 45-degree obliquity. All six tests were performed with a burst pressure of 800 psi. The pressure at the calibration plate and sidewall gauges exhibited behavior similar to the 0- and 30-degree obliquity tests. Results for all six tests at calibration plate gauge location CP9 and BLS wall gauge location G1 are shown in Figures 36 and 37, respectively. Representative waveforms are shown for the front of the structure in Figures 38 and 39, the back of the structure in Figures 40 and 41, and the sides of the structure in Figures 42 and 43. Similar to the 0- and 30-degree obliquity tests, the results are very consistent until about 45 ms, after which the arrival of the contact surface at the structure location results in greater experimental variability.

Individual plots showing both pressure and impulse for all of the gauges from Experiments 11 through 16 are shown in Appendix C.



Figure 36. Comparison of pressure records on calibration plate, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge CP9.

Figure 37. Comparison of pressure records on BLS sidewall, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge G1.





Figure 38. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF3.

Figure 39. Comparison of pressure records on front of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBF6.




Figure 40. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB1.

Figure 41. Comparison of pressure records on back of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBB4.





Figure 42. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR1.

Figure 43. Comparison of pressure records on side of structure, 45-degree obliquity tests – Gauge PBR3.



3.2 Experiment uncertainty

The uncertainty in the experimental values of pressure and impulse was evaluated for each set of replicate experiments for which, as closely as possible, the identical BLS setup was used from test to test. The analysis assumes that the data values constitute a sample population drawn from an underlying Gaussian parent population. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals were computed to provide the range within which one should expect the next data value to lie if an additional test was to be conducted.

The 95% confidence interval for a sample of *N* measurements of *X* drawn from a Gaussian distribution was based on the precision index, *P*, defined by the equation

$$P_X = tS_X$$

and the estimated 95% confidence interval is defined by

$$\overline{X} \pm P_X$$

where

 \overline{X} = the sample mean of X

 S_x = the sample standard deviation

t = the value from the t distribution with *N*-1 degrees of freedom corresponding to the 95% confidence limit

For each set of replicate experiments, the peak pressure and peak impulse associated with the positive phase of the simulated blast wave were compared. The initial peak pressure and peak impulse are both evaluated between 0 and 45 ms to exclude the effect of the contact surface on the measurement. For gauge locations where a clearly defined initial peak is not present, Figure 24 for example, or where a small initial peak is immediately followed by a larger sharply defined peak, Figure 33 for example, the peak pressure is simply defined as the maximum value occurring between 0 and 45 ms.

Figures 44 and 45 present the mean values and 95% confidence intervals computed for the initial peak pressure and initial peak impulse, respectively, for the four o-degree obliquity tests conducted at 800 psi burst pressure. The data can be found in tabular form in Table 1 . As indicated by the confidence intervals in Figures 44 and 45 and the waveform comparisons presented in Section 3.1, the data exhibited a very reasonable degree of repeatability among the four experiments prior to the arrival of the contact surface at about 45 ms, with the exception of the peak impulse on PBF6. The pressure traces for PBF6 were very repeatable up until about 28 ms, after which point variation in the rate of pressure decay was observed resulting in the observed variation in peak impulse.

In Figure 44, gauge CP3 is observed to record a significantly higher peak pressure than the other gauges on the calibration plate. A significantly higher peak impulse for this gauge is also shown in Figure 45. This behavior was also observed for the 30- and 45-degree obliquity experiments and is anomalous for a planar shockwave when comparing gauges on the calibration plate at a similar distance from the center line of the BLS in locations that are unshielded by the structure such as CP₅ (see Figures 5 and 11). To evaluate whether this behavior was a real response or an artifact of the sensor that was used, during the next set of experiments conducted under this effort, which will be reported in Report 4 of this series, the pressure gauge at the CP3 location was replaced. The pressures and impulses recorded at location CP3 after replacing the sensor were of comparable magnitude to the remaining gauges on the calibration plate indicating the anomalous response observed for CP3 during this series of experiments was a sensor artifact. Although anomalous, the results for CP3 are included here to demonstrate the need for multiple gauge locations per face during the experimental phase of the study. If only one pressure measurement was obtained across the calibration plate, the anomalous results for CP3 would not have been identified. It also emphasizes that the individual experimental data must be closely examined for any verification and validation effort.



Figure 44. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak pressure for 0-degree obliquity.

Figure 45. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak impulse for 0-degree obliquity.



Gauge	95% Confidence on P	95% Confidence on P _{mean}	95% Confidence on I	95% Confidence on Imean
CP3	27.8 +/- 2.6	27.8 +/- 1.3	70 +/- 1	70 +/- 0
CP5	19.1 +/- 1.9	19.1 +/- 0.9	53 +/- 1	53 +/- 0
CP9	19.6 +/- 0.5	19.6 +/- 0.3	59 +/- 1	59 +/- 1
PBB1	10.4 +/- 0.7	10.4 +/- 0.3	69 +/- 4	69 +/- 2
PBB2	10.4 +/- 0.7	10.4 +/- 0.3	75 +/- 1	75 +/- 1
PBB3	9.2 +/- 0.4	9.2 +/- 0.2	59 +/- 6	59 +/- 3
PBB4	8.5 +/- 0.4	8.5 +/- 0.2	69 +/- 1	69 +/- 1
PBF1	23.9 +/- 1.2	23.9 +/- 0.6	108 +/- 3	108 +/- 2
PBF2	25.6 +/- 3.5	25.6 +/- 1.8	108 +/- 3	108 +/- 2
PBF3	25.4 +/- 2.3	25.4 +/- 1.2	109 +/- 3	109 +/- 2
PBF4	25.2 +/- 0.9	25.2 +/- 0.5	112 +/- 4	112 +/- 2
PBF5	26.1 +/- 1.6	26.1 +/- 0.8	112 +/- 3	112 +/- 2
PBF6	22.2 +/- 1.3	22.2 +/- 0.6	97 +/- 30	97 +/- 15
PBF7	21.3 +/- 1.4	21.3 +/- 0.7	104 +/- 3	104 +/- 2
PBF8	23.9 +/- 0.6	23.9 +/- 0.3	108 +/- 3	108 +/- 2
PBL1	10.7 +/- 0.7	10.7 +/- 0.3	52 +/- 3	52 +/- 1
PBL2	10.5 +/- 0.4	10.5 +/- 0.2	48 +/- 6	48 +/- 3
PBL3	11.1 +/- 1.5	11.1 +/- 0.7	70 +/- 2	70 +/- 1
PBL4	10.5 +/- 0.6	10.5 +/- 0.3	47 +/- 3	47 +/- 2
PBR1	10.7 +/- 0.6	10.7 +/- 0.3	53 +/- 7	53 +/- 3
PBR2	11.4 +/- 0.6	11.4 +/- 0.3	51 +/- 8	51 +/- 4
PBR3	10.7 +/- 0.8	10.7 +/- 0.4	40 +/- 9	40 +/- 5
PBR4	11.0 +/- 1.1	11.0 +/- 0.5	58 +/- 3	58 +/- 2
PBT1	11.5 +/- 0.9	11.5 +/- 0.5	46 +/- 4	46 +/- 2
PBT2	11.7 +/- 1.2	11.7 +/- 0.6	62 +/- 5	62 +/- 3
PBT3	10.9 +/- 2.1	10.9 +/- 1.1	72 +/- 3	72 +/- 1
PBT4	11.1 +/- 1.9	11.1 +/- 1.0	47 +/- 4	47 +/- 2
PBT5	11.4 +/- 1.0	11.4 +/- 0.5	69 +/- 3	69 +/- 2
G1	11.2 +/- 0.9	11.2 +/- 0.4	82 +/- 1	82 +/- 0
G2	10.3 +/- 1.1	10.3 +/- 0.5	55 +/- 1	55 +/- 0

Table 1. Data confidence intervals – O-degree obliquity.

Figures 46 and 47 present the mean values and 95% confidence interval for the initial peak pressures and initial peak impulses, respectively, for the five 30-degree obliquity tests. The data are in tabular form in Table 2. Gauge PBL4 over-ranged during this series of experiments and was therefore removed from the comparisons presented in Figures 46 and 47. As indicated by the confidence intervals and the waveform comparisons presented in Section 3.1, the data for the remaining gauges exhibited a very reasonable degree of repeatability among the five experiments prior to the arrival of the contact surface at about 45 ms.





Figure 47. 95% confidence intervals on measured peak impulse for 30-degree obliquity.



Gauge	95% Confidence on P	95% Confidence on P _{mean}	95% Confidence on I	95% Confidence on I _{mean}
CP3	27.8 +/- 3.2	27.8 +/- 1.4	71 +/- 1	71 +/- 1
CP5	19.4 +/- 2.4	19.4 +/- 1.1	53 +/- 1	53 +/- 0
CP9	19.2 +/- 0.8	19.2 +/- 0.3	59 +/- 1	59 +/- 0
PBB1	10.3 +/- 0.6	10.3 +/- 0.2	53 +/- 2	53 +/- 1
PBB2	8.4 +/- 0.2	8.4 +/- 0.1	64 +/- 2	64 +/- 1
PBB3	8.7 +/- 0.5	8.7 +/- 0.2	51 +/- 3	51 +/- 1
PBB4	8.3 +/- 0.3	8.3 +/- 0.1	62 +/- 2	62 +/- 1
PBF1	22.0 +/- 1.3	22.0 +/- 0.6	101 +/- 1	101 +/- 1
PBF2	21.8 +/- 1.3	21.8 +/- 0.6	104 +/- 2	104 +/- 1
PBF3	23.6 +/- 1.1	23.6 +/- 0.5	103 +/- 2	103 +/- 1
PBF4	25.4 +/- 2.2	25.4 +/- 1.0	108 +/- 2	108 +/- 1
PBF5	24.6 +/- 1.4	24.6 +/- 0.6	107 +/- 2	107 +/- 1
PBF6	24.4 +/- 2.6	24.4 +/- 1.2	109 +/- 2	109 +/- 1
PBF7	23.4 +/- 1.4	23.4 +/- 0.6	95 +/- 2	95 +/- 1
PBF8	23.3 +/- 1.0	23.3 +/- 0.4	96 +/- 2	96 +/- 1
PBL1	20.2 +/- 0.7	20.2 +/- 0.3	93 +/- 1	93 +/- 0
PBL2	16.7 +/- 1.3	16.7 +/- 0.6	91 +/- 1	91 +/- 0
PBL3	17.9 +/- 0.3	17.9 +/- 0.1	81 +/- 1	81 +/- 0
PBL4	13.0 +/- 0.5	13.0 +/- 0.2	93 +/- 2	93 +/- 1
PBR1	8.3 +/- 0.6	8.3 +/- 0.3	36 +/- 6	36 +/- 3
PBR2	8.1 +/- 0.4	8.1 +/- 0.2	28 +/- 2	28 +/- 1
PBR3	8.2 +/- 0.4	8.2 +/- 0.2	22 +/- 4	22 +/- 2
PBR4	9.0 +/- 0.6	9.0 +/- 0.3	49 +/- 3	49 +/- 1
PBT1	11.5 +/- 1.2	11.5 +/- 0.5	27 +/- 3	27 +/- 1
PBT2	11.4 +/- 1.2	11.4 +/- 0.5	72 +/- 1	72 +/- 0
PBT3	10.8 +/- 1.4	10.8 +/- 0.6	68 +/- 1	68 +/- 0
PBT4	10.7 +/- 0.5	10.7 +/- 0.2	47 +/- 1	47 +/- 0
PBT5	11.5 +/- 0.8	11.5 +/- 0.4	72 +/- 1	72 +/- 0
G1	11.4 +/- 0.4	11.4 +/- 0.2	83 +/- 1	83 +/- 0
G2	10.3 +/- 0.5	10.3 +/- 0.2	55 +/- 1	55 +/- 1

Table 2. Data confidence intervals – 30-degree obliquity.

Figures 48 and 49 present the mean values and 95% confidence interval for the initial peak pressures and initial peak impulses, respectively, for the six 45-degree obliquity tests. The data can be also found in Table 3. Gauge PBL4 again over-ranged in this series of experiments and was excluded from the uncertainty analysis presented in Figures 48 and 49. As indicated by the confidence intervals and the waveform comparisons presented in Section 3.1, the data for the remaining gauges exhibited a very reasonable degree of repeatability among the six experiments prior to the arrival of the contact surface around 45 ms.









Gauge	95% Confidence on P	95% Confidence on P _{mean}	95% Confidence on I	95% Confidence on I _{mean}
CP3	28.7 +/- 1.5	28.7 +/- 0.6	71+/-2	71 +/- 1
CP5	19.1 +/- 1.3	19.1 +/- 0.5	53 +/- 1	53 +/- 0
CP9	19.3 +/- 0.4	19.3 +/- 0.2	59 +/- 0	59 +/- 0
PBB1	9.2 +/- 0.6	9.2 +/- 0.2	40 +/- 5	40 +/- 2
PBB2	7.5 +/- 0.2	7.5 +/- 0.1	48 +/- 1	48 +/- 0
PBB3	9.4 +/- 0.2	9.4 +/- 0.1	37 +/- 4	37 +/- 2
PBB4	7.8 +/- 0.3	7.8 +/- 0.1	55 +/- 1	55 +/- 1
PBF1	24.5 +/- 0.7	24.5 +/- 0.3	93 +/- 2	93 +/- 1
PBF2	22.5 +/- 1.0	22.5 +/- 0.4	97 +/- 2	97 +/- 1
PBF3	27.6 +/- 2.2	27.6 +/- 0.9	96 +/- 2	96 +/- 1
PBF4	25.3 +/- 1.7	25.3 +/- 0.7	100 +/- 2	100 +/- 1
PBF5	25.8 +/- 1.6	25.8 +/- 0.6	100 +/- 2	100 +/- 1
PBF6	24.7 +/- 0.7	24.7 +/- 0.3	102 +/- 2	102 +/- 1
PBF7	23.0 +/- 1.2	23.0 +/- 0.5	87 +/- 2	87 +/- 1
PBF8	22.1 +/- 1.1	22.1 +/- 0.5	90 +/- 2	90 +/- 1
PBL1	27.2 +/- 0.8	27.2 +/- 0.3	100 +/- 2	100 +/- 1
PBL2	21.6 +/- 0.9	21.6 +/- 0.4	99 +/- 2	99 +/- 1
PBL3	24.8 +/- 0.8	24.8 +/- 0.3	86 +/- 2	86 +/- 1
PBL4	13.2 +/- 0.0	13.2 +/- 0.0	102 +/- 2	102 +/- 1
PBR1	8.3 +/- 0.6	8.3 +/- 0.2	32 +/- 2	32 +/- 1
PBR2	8.0 +/- 0.3	8.0 +/- 0.1	44 +/- 3	44 +/- 1
PBR3	7.2 +/- 0.2	7.2 +/- 0.1	28 +/- 3	28 +/- 1
PBR4	9.6 +/- 0.6	9.6 +/- 0.3	64 +/- 1	64 +/- 0
PBT1	11.5 +/- 0.6	11.5 +/- 0.2	66 +/- 2	66 +/- 1
PBT2	11.4 +/- 0.4	11.4 +/- 0.2	70 +/- 1	70 +/- 0
PBT3	10.7 +/- 0.7	10.7 +/- 0.3	67 +/- 1	67 +/- 0
PBT4	10.6 +/- 0.4	10.6 +/- 0.1	70 +/- 1	70 +/- 1
PBT5	11.2 +/- 0.7	11.2 +/- 0.3	70 +/- 1	70 +/- 0
G1	11.6 +/- 0.5	11.6 +/- 0.2	82 +/- 2	82 +/- 1
G2	10.1 +/- 1.0	10.1 +/- 0.4	55 +/- 0	55 +/- 0

Table 3. Data confidence intervals – 45-degree obliquity.

4 Conclusions and Recommendations

Replicate experiments conducted in the BLS produced very repeatable pressure data on the surfaces of the box structure, the walls of the BLS, and the calibration plate. All except two of the 90 peak pressure records produced 95% confidence intervals that were within +/-15% of the mean. Furthermore, 78 of the 90 peak pressure records measured 95% confidence interval that were within +/-10% of the mean. All except for two of the 90 measured peak impulse records produced 95% confidence intervals that were within +/- 20% of the mean. Furthermore, 85 of the 90 measured peak impulse records produced 95% confidence intervals were within +/-15% of the mean, and 78 of the 90 peak impulse records produced 95% confidence intervals that were within +/-10% of the mean. Visual evaluation of overlaid waveforms for all five (or six) experiments at individual gauge locations showed excellent experiment repeatability. The pressure and impulse waveforms from the experiments combined with uncertainty information in the form of confidence intervals for peak pressure and impulse result in a data set that can be used to evaluate the accuracy of computational models.

The addition of the 4-ft gap between the end of the 8x8 BLS test section and the steel calibration plate significantly reduced the magnitude of the pressure that reflects off of the calibration plate and back onto the box structure. It further provided more time for the initial pressure to engulf the structure and decay prior to the reflection from the calibration plate impinging on the structure from behind. This produced pressure waveforms closer to the open air class of problems that DTRA is interested in compared to the closed configuration of the BLS.

Future testing will be conducted with a two-structure configuration placed inside the BLS. The two structures will be identical, with one structure placed directly behind the other at varying distances to evaluate the effect of shielding on the pressures experienced by the second structure. The 4-ft gap between the end of the 8x8 BLS test section and the steel calibration plate will be maintained for these experiments.

References

- Dallriva, F. D., C. Johnson, and J. O'Daniel. 2016a. Blast load simulator experiments for computational model validation – Report 1. ERDC/GSL TR-16-27. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Dallriva, F. D., C. Johnson, J. O'Daniel, and A. Barnes. 2016b. *Blast load simulator experiments for computational model validation – Report 2*. ERDC/GSL TR-16-27. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Appendix A: Pressure and Impulse Data from Tests with 0-Degree Obliquity, 4-ft Gap





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 1 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBR1




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 CP9







NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 2 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 3 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi







NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 4 Burst Pressure = 778 psi

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF6







NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 0 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 5 G2

Appendix B: Pressure and Impulse Data from Tests with 30-Degree Obliquity, 4-ft Gap



NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6



NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 6 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBB1




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 7 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 8 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBB2







NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBT1




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 9 G2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 30 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 10 G2

Appendix C: Pressure and Impulse Data from Tests with 45-Degree Obliquity, 4-ft Gap



NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11



NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 11

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF1




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 12

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 13

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBL1




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 14

PBF4-NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 deg, 4-ft Gap, Test 4_avg20.GRF

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 PBT5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 15

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF6

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF7





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBF8

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBB1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBB2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBB3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBB4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBL1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBL2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBL3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBL4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBR1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBR2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBR3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBR4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBT1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBT2

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBT3





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBT4

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 PBT5




NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 CP3

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 CP5





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 CP9

NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 G1





NATE 8x8, Single-Structure, 45 Degree, 4-ft Gap, Test 16 G2

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD- July 2017	- <i>ММ-ҮҮҮҮ)</i> 2. R	EPORT TYPE Report 3		3. D	DATES COVERED (From - To)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER
Blast Load Simula	omputational Model Va	alidation – Report	3 5b .	GRANT NUMBER	
					PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d.	PROJECT NUMBER	
Carol F. Johnson, James L. O'Daniel, and Andrew T. Bar				5e.	TASK NUMBER
					WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
Geotechnical and Structures Laboratory U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199				J	ERDC/GSL TR-16-27
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
Defense Threat Reduction Agency				1	DTRA
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060				11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The Department of Defense needs the capability to accurately predict airblast environments produced by explosive detonations and their interaction with geometrically complex objects that create complex flow fields, such as buildings, bridges, dams, etc. First-principles computer codes are typically used to generate high-fidelity simulations of these explosive events and their effects. These codes are continuously improving, but still require validation against experimental data to establish confidence in the results produced by the simulations. This report describes a set of replicate experiments in which a small, non-responding steel box-type structure was installed at varying obliquities and subjected to a simulated blast loading in a Blast Load Simulator (BLS) to provide pressure-time data at several locations on the surfaces of the structure. The BLS is a highly tunable, compressed-gas-driven, closed-end shock tube designed to simulate blast waveforms for explosive yields up to 20,000 lb of TNT equivalent at a peak reflected pressure up to 80 psi and a peak reflected impulse up to 1,100 psi-msec. Pressure and impulse waveforms are presented, and comparisons were made among the replicated experiments to evaluate repeatability. The uncertainty in the experimental pressures and impulses was evaluated by computing 95% confidence intervals on the results.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Blast Load Simulate			or Shock tubes		
Verification and valid	Detonation waves	103	Pressure gages		
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE					
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	U ADUINAUI	UL PAGES	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		290	area code)