

Files are in Adobe Acrobat 3.0 format.

44th Annual Fuze Conference

11-12 April 2000

Table of Contents

Tuesday, April 11, 2000

<u>A Viewpoint from OSD</u> by Mr. Anthony Kress, Principal Assistant, Strategic and Tactical System Munitions, Office of the Secretary of Defense

Missile Fuze Programs by COL Craig Naudain, Director, System Integration & Operations, Program Executive Office, Tactical Missiles

MUNITIONS SECTIONS BREAKOUT

AMC Perspective by Mr. Harvey Burnsteel, US Army Materiel Command (AMC)

China Lake Overview by Mr. Randy Cope, Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC)

NATO Standardization - AC310/SGII: Fuzing and Other Initiation Systems by Dr. Frederick R. Tepper, TACOM-ARDEC

The Intelligent Hard Target Fuze for the MEPHISTO Multiple Warhead System by Dr. Helmut Muthig,* TDW GmbH; Mr. Friedrich Sauerlaender, BWB - BWF; Mr. Andre Feustel and Mr. Helmut Hederer, Germany

<u>Challenges and Solutions in Accelerometer Based Fuzing of Smart Weapons</u> by Dr. Patrick L. Walter, Endevco and Texas Christian University

The M767A1 Material Change Program, an Investment in Flexible Fuzing by Mr. E. F. Cooper, Bulova Technologies LLC

STANAG 4560 - The Characterization of Electro-Explosive Devices by Mr. B. T. Lock, The Ordnance Board, Ordnance Safety Group, Defense Procurement Agency, United Kingdom

Wednesday, April 12, 2000

Rockwell Collins' Artillery GPS Engine - Smart Navigation Solutions for Future Munitions Systems by Mr. Tom Mills* and Mr. Kurt Grigg, Rockwell Collins

Portable Inductive Artillery Fuze Setter (XM1155 PIAFS) by Mr. Thomas W. Walker,* and Mr. Andrew M. Leshchyshyn, TACOM-ARDEC

Developing an Automatic Inductive Fuze Setter for Crusader by Mr. Bob Keil,* Alliant Techsystems Inc. and Mr. Tom Kilian, United Defense

Improved Artillery Proximity Fuze by Mr. Robert Hertlein,* and Mr. David Lawson KDI Precision Products Inc.; Mr. Telly Manolatos, Electronics Development Corp.

Experimental Characterization of M745 Explosive Train by Mr. Dennis Ward, TACOM-ARDEC

<u>GIF Performance and Implementation Issues in Air Defense Missions</u> by Mr. Milton E. (Gene) Henderson, Jr.,* U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command and Mr. Graham C. Killough, KBM Enterprises Inc.

Joint Advanced Missile Instrumentation (JAMI) Flight Termination Safe Arm (FTSA) by Mr. Robert McWhorter,* NAWC, and Mr. Dale Spencer, Kaman Aerospace Corp., Raymond Engineering Operations

Machine Vision for Industrial Automation by Mr. Mitch Stone, Day & Zimmermann, Inc.

Submunitions Dispensing Overview by Mr. John Whaley, PRIMEX Aerospace Co.

Pumice as a Sympathetic Detonation Barrier by Mr. John Kandell* and Mr. Ed Cykowski, NAWC China Lake

Update on the Modernization of the Holston Army Ammunition Plant by Mr. Andrew Wilson, British Aerospace-RONA, Holston AAP

Development of a Unique Hypervelocity Composite Sabot by Mr. Moreno White, SPARTA, Inc.

Processing of R3 Pressed Molding Powder by Mr. Kirk Newman* and Mr. Richard Hardy, NSWC

Injection Loading of Aluminized PBX by Mr. Kirk Newman* and Mr. Neal Cowan, NSWC

Twin Screw Extrusion of GEM S&T Gun Propellant by Mr. Mitch Gallant, NSWC, Indian Head Division

Rocket-Assisted Ammunition Technologies for 120mm Mortars by Mr. Serge Montacq, TDA Armaments

Presented by: Harvey Burnsteel Office of the DCS for Ammunition

Ammunition Update to NDIA Fuze Conference

11 April 2000

Army Materiel Command AMERICA FOR THE

A M C – Your READINESS Command . . . Serving Soldiers PROUDLY!





M:\Briefing\Fuze_NDIA_2000.ppt 05/09/2000 14:26

























]	00	C/SM 1	<u>ICA</u> 7 Y 99 a	V Ind	V O E o	<u>rk 1</u> arlier	In Pr Order	rOCES s: as of	S (W 5 31 Ja	<u>(IP)</u> n 2000			
•	FY91-99 Orders Total Program - \$11.303B						End Item WIP Delivery Forecast							
12 (\$B) 10 8 6 4 2	Total Program - \$11.303B 86% Delivered-\$9.7B On Time-\$.3B Amber-\$.7B Delinquent-\$.6B \$9% of Total \$11.3B Program Delivered or On Time 3% 6%						Value (\$M) 2500 Prior Baseline FY98 & Earlier 2000 1500 1000 500			Current Baseline FY99 & Earlier 2Q00 data reporting thru Jan00 only				
Delinquent	Orders	# of	MIPR	Undel		0	┼┛┛╷╹╹							
Section	Customers	Orders	Value-\$M	Value-\$M			0/99 1/9	9 2/99 3/99	4/99 (0000 1000 20	00 3000 4000			
Mortars	A,M,N	28	244	165					End of Qua	arter				
Fuzes	N,A,AF	9	146	16			A	All WIP		Delinq	uent			
Med Cal	A,AF,M	50	165	88			A	All Forecast		Delqt]	Forecast			
All Others	All	372	576	301			Orders	Green	Amber	Red	Total			
Total		459	1131	570			1Q99	269	547	432	1248			
Delinque	Delinquent/Red: Deliveries beyond CRDD or FDP.						2Q99	301	451	459	1211			
whicheve	er is more st	ringent	-	,			3Q99							

4Q99

Late/Amber: Green however deliveries scheduled beyond CRDD or FDP whichever is more stringent

Slide # 16 of 23

		_	Y98 and	l Earlier O	rders:	as of 31	Dec 9	
	Fuzes			ent Orders	# of	MIPR	Undel	
300			Sectio	n Customers	Orders	Value-\$M	Value-	
275			Mortars	A,M,N	29	306	209	
		Numbers above	Fuzes	All	12	212	99	
250		columns equals the	Med Ca	l All	16	89	55	
225		orders.	All Othe	ers All	54	177	64	
200	38		Tota	L	111	784	427	
200								
175			Principal Delinguent Fuze Orders					
150	-		DODIC	Nomen	Value-\$N	Undel-\$	M Ord	
125		24	F770	Fz FMU-140	131	24	2	
120				Fz M732A2	52	49		
100	-			Fz MK399	7	6	1	
75			ΝΔ01	Fz FMU-153/B	14	13		
50								
50								
25	25			Orde	Green Amber		Tati	
0				20	4	14	38	
	me 🗖 1-12 Months I	ate 🗖 > 12 Months I ate	1Q99	14	2	12	28	
🗖 On Ti	• On Time • 1-12 Month's Late • > 12 Month's Late				0	11	24	
🗖 On Ti			2099	11	2	11	24	



Original contract with REXON had to be terminated

New contract ran into difficulties getting explosive components from vendor

MK399/FMU153-B

FY91 Funded Program Delivering on adjusted schedule

Fuze Procurement by Categories: FY96-05





Ongoing Fuze Initiatives

M767E1/M762E1 Materiel Change Program

- Older variant producibility electronic component obsolescence
- Needed limited support for training
- Due to complete June '00

M762A1/M767A1 Procurement -

- Outgrowth of health of fuze inventory study
- Intended to replace aging MT fuzes
- Also training component
- Multiyear program following MCP completion

XM783 Universal PD Mortar Fuze

- Replaces M745 and M935
- Used in all mortar calibers

M782 MOFA Procurement

- Multi-year procurement FY99-01
- Expect two producers
- RFP to be released in late April '00

Key Points:

- * Joint AFMO / DCS Ammo / PM initiatives to protect base and improve fuze producibility, reliability and performance
- * Drove increased fuze procurements FY00-05
- * Potential to restore health in fuze base
- * EED contract model for future "Production Base" initiatives







The M767A1 Material Change Program, An Investment in Flexible Fuzing

EF Cooper Staff Engineer Bulova Technologies LLC







M767 M/C Project Team

- No project this significant can be accomplished without a strong team.
- ARDEC and Bulova are working cooperatively under an IPT charter.
- The ARDEC/Bulova team is second to none with regard to artillery and mortar fuzing.





Program Requirements

Mechanical

- **▲** Fuze assembly enhancements
- **A** Fuze robustness enhancements
- **A PD function enhancement**
- **▲ Improve waterproofness**
- **A Improve button retainer**












COOPER 04/00



COOPER 04/00

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER WEAPONS DIVISION



FUZING OVERVIEW

Randall D. Cope Head, Ordnance Technology Office Code 478C00D, China Lake

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

NAWCWPNS Mission

- Navy's full-spectrum RDT&E and In-Service Engineering center
 - Weapon systems associated with air warfare
 - Missiles and missile subsystems
 - Aircraft weapons integration
 - Assigned airborne electronic warfare system
 - Maintain and operate the air, land and sea Naval Western Test Range Complex

Fuze Technical Role

- Designated Navy leadership For Missile and Free-Fall Weapon Fuzing
 - Technology Principle
 - Technical Design Agent
 - Design Agent
 - In-Service Engineering
- NAVAIR Competency Leader for Ordnance Sections

Technical Responsibility

- Technology Planning
- Fuze Technology Development
- Competency leader for All NAVAIR Ordnance Sections
- In-service Engineering on All Free-fall Weapons
- Technical Direction Agent for Standard Missile Fuzing
- Design Agent for SLAM ER Ordnance Section (Warhead, Fuze, and Initiation System)
- Design Agent for Tactical Tomahawk Penetration Variant
- Ordnance Hazard Evaluation Board (IM Evaluation)
- Member Weapon System Explosive Safety Review Board

Ordnance Capabilities

- Ordnance Section Design, Test, Evaluation and In-Service Engineering
- Design of Mechanical and Electronic Components for Explosive / Pyrotechnic Safety Systems
- Testing and Evaluation of Explosive Components Containing Primary and Secondary Explosives
- Modeling of Mechanical and Explosive Events
- Advanced Initiation Testing and Evaluation
- Ballistic Evaluation of Aircraft Guns and Ammunition
- Thermal Evaluation of Ordnance Components
- Very Large Explosive Detonation Tests (up to 500,000 lbs)



















Fuzes In The Fleet

Missile S-A Devices In Use



HARM MISSILE FUZE, FMU-111/B



TOMAHAWK BLK 3 FUZE, FMU-148/B



STANDARD MISSILE S-A, MK 54 MOD 0



PHOENIX MISSILE FUZE, FSU-10/A



SPARROW MISSILE S-A, MK-33



SIDEWINDER MISSILE FUZE, MK-13 MOD 2

Free-Fall Weapon Fuzes In Use



FMU-139 A/B, Electronic Bomb Fuze



FMU-143, Electronic Bomb Fuze



DSU-33B/B Proximity Sensor



FMU-140 /B, Dispenser Proximity Fuze

New Fuze Developments

Low Energy EFI











Description:

- A low energy EFI Detonator
 - Fully Qualified
 - In Production

Major Accomplishments:

- Taguchi Matrix used for design optimization
- Qualified design using proposed MIL-STD 331 Test G1
- Used in Multiple Systems

Future Plans:

- Improve design
 - Reduced cost
 - Increased output

Hard Target Smart Fuze



<u>Description:</u> Multi-platform penetrator fuze with programmable operating modes. Air Force is lead service, Navy will use in GBU-24 and Tomahawk TTPV.

Major Accomplishments:

• Navy safety and performance requirements implemented into baseline

Future Plans:

• Continue to participate with the team insuring that Navy requirements are met

FMU-155/B (SLAM ER)



Description:

- Pneumatic Armed (Differential Pressure)
- 3 Detonation Delay Selections (Pyrotechnic)
- Evolved From FMU-109/B

Major Accomplishments:

- Qualified to SLAM ER and Block 3
 Tomahawk Environments
- Demonstrated Penetration Capability
- Currently in LRIP

Future Plans:

• Full Rate Release Expected this Spring

FMU-152 JOINT PROGRAMMABLE FUZE (JPF)



Description:

In-flight cockpit selection, multi-function and multi-delay arming and fuzing functions with hardened target penetration capability. Air Force is lead service with Navy involvement.

Major Accomplishments:

- Test Sets Fabricated
- Operational Evaluation Tests Completed
- First Article Testing to Start in May

Future Plans:

- First Article Flight Tests
- LRIP in FY-00 through FY01
- Monitor production of projected option quantities (FY01-FY09 24,824 units)

RAM MK-20 Mod 2 AOTD



Description:

- Active Optical Target Detector
- Derivative of Sidewinder DSU-15A/B AOTD
- Redesign of MK-20 Mod 1 with improved low altitude performance

Major Accomplishments:

• Successfully completed Operation Testing

Future Plans:

• RAM starting full rate production

Fuze Technology Programs

MEMS-Based Distributed S-A



16 bit Rad Hard Memory

Description:

- Master control unit senses arming environments per MIL-STD-1316, then generates unique arming commands to selected "slave" detonators
- Each det contains MEMS mechanical locks to prevent inadvertent arming
- Up to "n" dets distributed within system to enhance performance

Major Accomplishments:

- Safety analysis of arming commands
- Detonator Modeling
- MEMS lock/interrupter design
- Initial Explosive Tests
- Electronic Design

Future Plans:

- Complete design
- Demonstrate Feasibility

Advanced Fuze Contact Device



Description: Improve FCD technology

- Improved response time
- Greater sensitivity to off-axis hits
- Decreased per unit cost

Major Accomplishments:

- End game modeling
- Baseline FCD circuit

Demonstrated:

- Alternative Sense Elements
- Variable Time Delay
- Adjustable Thresholds

ANTI-AIR GIF TECHNOLOGY

Precision Intercept



- Maximize Lethality for Broad Spectrum of Targets
 - Control the Dynamic Intercept Geometry
 - Provide Warhead Mode Select Logic

BY WHEN

- Missile Manager Architecture FY00
- Control Algorithms Set FY01
- Assess Lethality Effectiveness FY02

WHAT MAKES IT POSSIBLE

- High Range Resolution Sensors
 - Reduce Measurements Errors
 - Increase Aimpoint Resolution
- Long Range Predictive Capability
- Modern Processing Calculation Speed

WHAT DIFFERENCE WILL IT MAKE

- Greater Probability of Kill over Wider Encounter Conditions & Target Types
- Offers Reduced Weapon Size/Weight with Smaller Warhead

Anti-Surface TDD Technology

What Are We Trying To Do

- Demonstrate Millimeter Wave Technology For Direct Target Detection Of Masted Enemy Air Defense Targets
- Develop Representative Tactical TDD Design



What Makes You Think You Can Do It

- Demonstrated Sensor Technologies
- Increased Signal Processing Capability

What Difference Will It Make

- Increased Probability of Kill
- Strike Applications

Short Pulse Laser TDD

What Are We Trying to Do

- Provide High Lethality Against Sea Skimming Supersonic Targets
- Extend the Operation Capability to Include:
 - All Aspect Encounter
 - Adverse Weather
 - Increased Target Sets
 - Low Altitude Severe Clutter Operation



What Makes You Think You Can Do It

- High Peak Power Sub-nanosecond Laser Transmitters
 - Large Target to Aerosol Backscatter Ratio
- High Bandwidth / High Gain Receivers
 - Increased Signal to Noise Ratio

By When

• Sensor Concept Capability Can Be Demonstrated By 2002

What Difference Will It Make

- Increased Probability of Kill
 - Adverse Environmental Conditions
 - All Aspect Encounter

Hydrostatic Device





Description:

MK-80 Series GPB Equipped with Hydrostatic Sensor Provides Low Cost Effective Depth Bomb Capability

Major Accomplishments:

- ONR Funded Risk Reduction Phase
- CRADA with KAMAN for Demo Units

Future Plans:

- E&MD Start in 01,
- Production Start in 03



JAMIS FTSA

Description: Joint Advanced Missile Instrumentation System, Flight Termination Safe-Arm

- Programmable performance for multiple applications
- Low Cost

Major Accomplishments:

- Spec Nearing Completion
- Electrical Design Nearing Completion
- Electrical Volume Study Complete
- Fireset Studies Complete

Future Plans:

- Qual Plan in Process
- Qualification in 2002

Summary

- Navy Lead for Missile and Free-Fall Weapons Fuzing
- Supporting
 - → Technology
 - Development
 - → Production
 - → In-Service

Twin-Screw Processing of GEM Gun Propellant



M. Gallant, W. Newton etal

Affordable Green Energetic Materials (GEM)

- * High Performance, Minimum Life-Cycle **Environmental Impact Materials**
- Meet Naval Surface Fire Support (NSFS) Extended-Range Guided Munition (ERGM) 5-inch **Projectile Mission Requirements**
- * Warhead, Rocket Motor, and Gun Propellant
- * Manufactured at Lower Total Life Cycle Cost **Than Current Materials**



Live Processing Trials

Single Strand Batch LOVA Die

- Conserve Polymer Run at Very Low Throughput
- Design Screw for Vacuum Processing (Degree of Fill)
- In Minimize Viscous Heating in Solids Mixing Section
- Evaluate Effect of Process Parameters on Extrudate Quality
- (show video)



Twin-Screw Processing of GEM Gun Propellant



M. Gallant, W. Newton etal

Twin-Screw Processing of GEM Gun Propellant Show vu-graphs of design, modeling and **Die Implementation** hardware Wrong Assumptions & Poor Conventional Wisdom * Keep Strand as Cold as Practical: Chilled Water in Take-Away - Ensure Best Perforation Formation • (vu-graphs of Modeling) · (vu-graph of design sketch) - Best for Cutting * (vu-graphs of finished design) * Mix at Low Barrel Temperatures • Extrude at Low Die Temperature: Air Cool Extrudate Strand Handling x 2 Successful Extrusion Designed by Bill Newton (father of the Newtomatic) No Die Air-conditioning for Extrudate Raised Die Temperature (+15°F) **Independent Heat Control for Die Zone** Location of Controlling Thermocouple 12 11

M. Gallant, W. Newton etal

Twin-Screw Processing of GEM Gun Propellant



M. Gallant, W. Newton etal

* . 4 8





GIF Performance and Implementation Issues in Air Defense Missions

April 12, 2000

Presented At:

NDIA 44th Annual Fuze Conference "Flexibility in Fuzing"

By:

Mr. Milton E. (Gene) Henderson, Jr.

US Army Aviation and Missile Command

Mr. Graham C. Killough KBM Enterprises, Inc.



March 29 2000

Slide 1 of 13



Topics



- Purpose
- Definitions and Data Requirements
- LED Characteristics
- Baseline GIF Architecture
- Baseline GIF Performance Assessment
- State Estimation Performance / Sensitivity Analysis
- Baseline GIF Revision
- Conclusions





Purpose



- The Study Purpose is the Investigation of the Performance of Guidance Integrated Fuzing under Realistic Conditions Against Cruise Missiles and TBM Threats Through:
 - High Fidelity Modeling of an Active RF Tracking and Guidance System
 - Utilization of Advanced State Estimation Techniques, Including but not Limited to Kalman Filtering
 - Performance Assessment with a Variety of Isotropic and Aimed Lethality Enhancement Devices (LED).
- The Study Goal is to Understand and Quantify the System and LED Performance Drivers for Guidance Integrated Fuzing:

System:

- Data Rate
- Measurement Accuracy
- Engagement Conditions
- Data Filtering / State Estimation



LED:

- Maximum Performance
- Region of Acceptable Performance
- Sensitivity to Fuzing Errors



An Optimum GIF Implementation Should Pay Off in Decreased System Mass and Increased Lethality

March 29 2000



GIF Definitions and Data Requirements



A Guidance Integrated Fuze (GIF) is an Algorithm that Utilizes on Board Guidance Data as Input to an Estimate of the Optimal Time (and Direction) for the Detonation of a LED.



Slide 4 of 13







Baseline GIF Performance Assessment



Engagement Altitude

"Slow" TBM Engagements	LED Concept	Aimed Pattern Width (deg)	Low	Medium - Low	Medium - High	High
	Isotropic	N/A				
	Mass-Focused	20				
	High-Velocity	55				
	Low-Velocity	30				

<i>"Fast" TBM Engagements</i>	LED Concept	Aimed Pattern Width (deg)	Low	Medium - Low	Medium - High	High
	Isotropic	N/A				
	Mass-Focused	20				
	High-Velocity	55				
	Low-Velocity	30				

	Cruise Missile Engagements	LED Concept	Aimed Pattern Width (deg)	Low	Medium	High
		Isotropic	N/A			
		Mass-Focused	20			
REBICAS		High-Velocity	55			
		Low-Velocity	30			
		Capable	Marginal	No	ot Capable	
FOR THE	March 29 2000					Slide 7 of 1


- GIF Data Rate
- GIF Blind Range

March 29 2000

Slide 8 of 13



March 29 2000





- Error Sources are Uniform about a Non-Biased Mean.
- These Values are Not "Deterministic" But Rather Provide a Comparison Between the Candidate Digital Filtering State Estimation Techniques.
- The Simple Two-State Alpha-Beta Filter Performed Well In Most Cases.
- The Kalman Filter Consistently Performed Poorly, Compared to the Others, Given LOS Range Errors.
- Results were Similar for TBM Engagements.



Modification of Baseline GIF



Alpha-Beta Filters were Installed to Replace the 3-Matched GHK Filters.

Results Were Promising.





• The High Angle Noise Values Encountered in Cruise Missile and Slow TBM Engagements Contributed to the Better Performance by the Alpha-Beta.

• Low Angle Noise was Encountered in the Fast TBM Engagements - Illustrating the Advantage of a 3-State Filter In the Quiet Environment.

"Slow" TBM Engagements



"Fast" TBM Engagements







Conclusions



- A Two-State Filter May Perform Better than a Three or More State Filter in a Noisy Environment:
 - Derived Accelerations Can Overwhelm Actual Target and Missile Accelerations, Most Notably at High Sensor Data Rates.
 - In This Study, the Third and Fourth State Coefficients Were Set Extremely Low to Compensate for Derived Accelerations.
- Miss Distance Heavily Influences GIF Aim Angle Prediction Accuracy:
 - Directional Aiming is Not Possible Whenever the Miss Distance is Equal to or Less than the Sensor Errors.
 - Systems with Very Small Miss Distances May not be Good Candidates for any LED Requiring Pattern Aiming.
- Increasing System Data Rate Can Improve GIF Predictions, but with Diminishing Returns. Increasing Data Rate Can Reduce Three State (and Higher) Filter Performance due to Derived Accelerations.
- Minimizing Blind Range is Important in All GIF Applications.







Presentation Outline



- > Need for Improved Artillery Proximity Fuze
- Design Goals
- Design Approach
 - $\diamond \ \textbf{RF} \ \textbf{front} \ \textbf{end}$
 - Signal processor
 - ♦ Battery
 - ♦ S&A

Future design enhancements

Need for Improved Artillery **KD Proximity Fuze**



MK417/418 has history of problems

- ♦ Early bursts
- \diamond Duds
- ♦ Poor HOB control
- ♦ Not production-friendly
- ♦ Obsolete parts

No low-cost alternatives capable of both air and ground targets



Design Goals



- Capable of air and ground targets
- > Operation independent of round (not body-excited)
- NATO shape factor
- Surface mount technology
- Low cost
- Impact back-up mode



Design Approach

EDC

- RF front end
- DDR signal processor
- > MK41 S&A
- German Battery





DDR Overview



- Based on FM-CW architecture
- Correlation waveforms stored in memory
- Accurate HOB independent of target reflectivity
- Highly resistant to ECM
- Completely integrated for reliability, low cost
- DDR currently fielded in the highly successful M734A1 Multi-option Fuze for Mortars

Block Diagram of KDI ASIC DDC



Summary of Key ASIC Features



- > Programmable reference waveforms
 - Allows tailoring of target-specific range responses
 - Downloaded from µP (can be changed during flight)
- Low noise for use in air target applications
- Low Power
- Selectable wide band filters
 - \diamond Can process wide range of Doppler frequencies
- Multiple ASICS can be synchronized
 - Allows implementation of more complex fuzing algorithms







- > MK41 is a qualified design
- > Low cost
- > Performance parameters:
 - ♦ Setback g level: 26,000 g
 - ♦ Spin rate: 410 rps
 - ♦ Velocity: 3075 ft/sec

D





- > German made (Friemann & Wolf)
- Chemistry: Pb/HBF4/PbO2
- Proven design for artillery
- > Performance parameters:

Operational life:	150 seconds
♦ Current:	150 mA max
♦ End of life voltage:	5.5 Volts min
♦ Rise time:	100 mSec max
Required setback:	1200 g's min
Required spin:	2500 rpm min
♦ Operating temperature:	-45F to +145F









Future Design Enhancements



- ASIC flexibility provides adaptability to a wide variety of systems
- Possible enhancements include inductive-set programmable time capabilities

Joint Advanced Missile Instrumentation (JAMI) System Flight Termination Safe and Arm



Presented By Bruce Hornberger



NAWC/WD China Lake Code 478300D 760-939-7674 hornbergerba@navair.navy.mil

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.







JAMI System

- JAMI Will Exploit GPS Technology to Allow World-wide Test & Training--Eliminating, in Most Cases, the Need for Range-specific (or Multi-system) Facilities.
- END GAME SCORING CAPABILITIES
 - ± 2 Feet Vector Position Accuracy
 - Velocity Measurement to 10,000 Ft/sec
 - 50 G Acceleration w/o Loosing GPS Track
 - Attitude Accuracy < 0.5 Degree
 - Timing Correlation $< 100 \,\mu s$



FTSA Targeted Applications

- Bomb (e.g. JDAM)
- Glide (e.g. JSOW)
- Missile (e.g. STD MSL, HARM)
- Arm on Rail (e.g. STD MSL Targets)



JAMI TEAM

- Program Mgr: Mr. Don Scofield, NAWCWD, China Lake, CA
- Tri-Service component points of contact:
 - Army: Mr. Robert Epps, RTTC, Redstone Arsenal, AL
 Navy: Mr. Dave Powell, NAWCWD, Pt Mugu, CA
 Air Force: Mrs. Carolyn Coleman, 46TW/TSWI, Eglin AFB, FL
 Range Safety: Mr. Jerry Mathre, NAWCWD, China Lake, CA
 BMDO: Ms. Debbie Giordano, BMDO, Wash DC



FTSA VS S&A

- FTSA
 - Overriding Concern is to Not Allow the Weapon to Go
 Outside the Range Footprint
 - Failsafe: FTSA Initiates Termination
 - Defining Specification is RCC 319-99
- S&A
 - Overriding Concern is to Not Allow Unintended Initiations
 - Failsafe: S&A Duds
 - Defining Specification is Mil-Std-1316



CONFLICTING OBJECTIVES

- FTSA & S/A Have Conflicting Objectives and Requirements
 - The JAMI FTSA Incorporates Features that Conflict with Traditional S/A Design Methodology
 - MIL-STD-1316 Is Not Invoked on the JAMI FTSA
 - Fail Safe Features Differ
 - Safety Environments Programmable









JAMI FTSA Requirements

- Compliant With RCC 319-99
- Programmable (at test facility) For Multiple Applications
- Small Size (< 8 in³/unit)
- Low Cost (< \$2200/unit)
- Qualified To "Worst Case" Environmental Levels
 Based on Environments of Potential Users
- Removable Explosives (EFI, Etc.)
- Fully Testable (Including HV Output)



PROGRAMMABLE INPUTS

- Failsafe Enable (Fire)
 - Loss of Monitor (tone)
 - Loss of Power
- First Motion Enable
 - First motion Valid Time
- Acceleration Enable
 - Axis of Acceleration
 - Acceleration Level
- Umbilical Disconnect
- Safe Separation Time



NON PROGRAMMABLE INPUTS

- Terminate Command
- Simulated Accelerometer Input
- Battery Power
- Arm Enable



OUTPUTS

- Flight Destruct (Explosive)
- Safe/Arm Status
- Fire Status
- Safe Separation Status
- First Motion Status
- System Operational
- Failsafe Status



FTSA INTERFACE





JAMI FTSA FIRESET

- Novel Trigger Design (Patent in Process)
- Small In Size
- Low In Cost (<\$20)
- High Reliability
 - 3200 shots @ 1500A
- No Unique Parts – All COTS


TEST ENVIRONMENTS

- Range Safety Document RCC 319-99
 - May be First FTSA Fully Qualified to New Document
- Database of Environmental Profiles of Numerous Weapons Systems



DEVELOPMENT UNDER CRADA

- Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
 - Raymond Engineering Operations (REO)
 - Signed 12 April 1999
- Division of Responsibilities
 - China Lake (POC Andy Yuenger 760-939-7768)
 - Electrical/Explosive Design and Development
 - Environmental Testing
 - REO (POC Dale Spencer 860-632-4477)
 - Packaging
 - Hardware Manufacturing



STATUS

- Spec Nearing Completion
- Electrical Design Nearing Completion
 - Breadboards Being Debugged
- Electrical Volume Study Complete
- Fireset Studies Complete
- Qual Plan in Process
- Expect Qualification Completion Nov 2002



JAMI FTSA BENEFITS

- Low Unit Cost
- Small Volume
- No need for Application Specific Redesign
- Minimal Application Specific Implementation Costs
- Ranges Could Retain a Stockpile Reducing Schedule Impacts





Pumice Technology

NDIA Munitions Symposium VII April 10-12, 2000

John Kandell

IMTTP Pumice Program Engineer

Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division

China Lake, California 93555-6100

phone: (760) 939-7658; fax: (760) 939-7190

DSN 437-7658

Email: kandelljk@navair.navy.mil



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.





Overview



- Pumice Technology addresses the issue of Sympathetic Detonation
- Requirement:
 - Insensitive Munitions (IM) sympathetic detonation requirement for weapon systems
 - NAVSEAINST 8010.5B paragraph 7 states that Navy weapon systems must satisfy the requirements of MIL-STD-2105A, which includes sympathetic detonation
- Funding provided by the Navy Insensitive Munitions Technology Transition Program (IMTTP)

Sympathetic detonation is a Navy Insensitive Munitions requirement









- Sympathetic detonation (SD) non-compliant Navy Weapons programs
 - Bombs
 - JDAM, GBU-24B/B, Mk-80s, BLU-100/111A/B, BLU-117
 - Rockets
 - MK 66 Mod 2, Mk 67 Mod 1, WDU-4A/A, M151, M257, M278, LAAW, Mk 352 Mod 2, M427 ...
 - Missiles
 - Javelin, TOW, JSOW, Hellfire, Sparrow, Tomahawk, RAM, HARM, Predator...
 - Ammunition
 - 40mm, 20mm, 25mm, MAAWS ...



A number of Navy weapons are not SD compliant



Solution



- The volcanic ash, commonly called <u>pumice</u>, has the unique capability of absorbing a large amount of explosive shock energy
 - Fracturing of individual pumice pebbles absorbs energy from an explosive shock
- Pumice is:
 - affordable (\$17.50/cubic yard)
 - commercially and readily available
 - light weight
 - easily incorporated into various configurations



Pumice can solve the sympathetic detonation problem



Rigid Pumice Configuration



- 3/8-inch spheroidal pumice pebbles held together by epoxy
- Composition: 63-67% SiO₂ (Silicon Dioxide, Silica) and 17-19% Al₂O₃ (Aluminum Oxide)

STANDARD PUMICE AND EPOXY CONFIGURATION











Current Use of Pumice



- AGM-84H SLAM ER guided missile uses pumice in the shipping/storage container (CNU-595/E)
 - Pumice allows the weapon to meet the sympathetic detonation requirement
 - Minimal program impact
 - 9.75% increase in container weight
 - 10% increase in cost of container
- Navy and Marine EOD teams have incorporated pumice into containers stored in explosive magazines
 - Quantity Distance (QD) arc reduced to zero
 - Pumice containers allow more explosive to be stored in a single magazine



Pumice is in the fleet



IMTTP Program Objective



- Incorporate pumice technology into existing and future weapon systems in transportation/storage and utilization configurations to meet the sympathetic detonation requirement
- Refine the pumice design tool/model
- Characterize and evaluate the performance of a flexible-foampumice material
 - Material can be used as a replacement for existing shipping container foam to mitigate <u>explosive</u> shock as well as <u>transportation and handling</u> shock
- Validate other commercial sources of pumice



Increase use of pumice in Fleet to enhance safety



Pumice Tests Overview



- Flexible-foam pumice
 - Pumice evaluation test setup
 - Flexible-foam pumice test results and model
 - Planned testing
- Pumice sub-scale container test and model
- SLAM ER Tandem Warhead sympathetic detonation test and model





Flexible Foam Pumice



- Objective
 - Develop pumice foam with good shock attenuation
 - Add more flexibility to the use of pumice
 - Replace existing shipping container foam with flexible foam pumice
 - Provide transportation vibration and shock protection in addition to sympathetic detonation









Pumice Evaluation Test Setup



- Similar to standard Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) Large Scale Gap Test (LSGT)
 - Pumice sample under evaluation is used as opposed to explosive
 - PVDF gauges replace steel witness plate
 - Gauges give pressure versus time, provide more information





4.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

-1.0

-2.0

-3.0

Pressure, KBar

Channel 1 Channel 2

FLEXIBLE-FOAM PUMICE (Test series 1, shot 1)



Shock input of 80 kilo-bar •

Measured maximum shock of 4.0 kbar •

Time



-1.5



Pumice Evaluation Model



- Hydrocode CTH from Sandia National Laboratory used to predict performance of pumice
 - Porosity (p-alpha) model used for the pumice





Pumice Evaluation Model





CTH MODEL PRESSURE Vs. TIME

Model Prediction vs. Test Results

- CTH Hydrocode model
 - Peak pressure of 2.125 kbar
 - Pulse duration of 40 μs
- Test results
 - Peak pressure between 1.66 kbar and 3.92 kbar, 2.71 average
 - Pulse duration of $10 \, \mu s$
- Action
 - Determine cause of variability in PVDF gauge readings
 - Noise in test setup
 - Conduct calibration test shots
 - Run CTH model with finer mesh density





Pumice Sub-scale Container Test



- Test conducted on sub-scale container to evaluate effectiveness of pumice
 - Full scale containerized weapon failed sympathetic detonation
 - No reaction from the acceptor warhead





Pumice Sub-scale Container Test



High speed photography of sub-scale container test



- Test conducted using rigid foam with maximum amount of pumice
 - No reaction from acceptor
 - Crushing and spall of pumice can be seen





Pumice Sub-scale Container Test



High speed photography of sub-scale weapon container test



- Test conducted using pumice in epoxy matrix
 - No reaction from acceptor
 - Crushing and spall of pumice can be seen





• Hydrocode CTH used to model sub-scale weapon container





Pumice Sub-scale Container Model



- Model predicted pressure of 10 kilo-bar compared to measured test pressure of 6 kilo-bar
 - No reaction predicted based on pressure level and duration
 - PVDF gauge used was different than evaluation tests
- Model predicted 80 kilo-bar without pumice and aluminum





SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Test







SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Test



- No reaction from warhead
 - WSESRB have not yet been briefed and provided ruling







SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Test







SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Model



• Hydrocode model predicted no reaction



CTH model material and pressure plots



SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Model







SLAM ER Tandem Warhead SD Model



• Donor and acceptor pumice absorbed 43% of warhead energy









26

- Ordnance packaging for use onboard ship
 - Fuzes/boosters stored in pumice containers on bomb pallets
- Magazine areas onboard ship
 - Store more ordnance in same amount of space
- Weapon handling equipment onboard ship
 - Reduce injury and damage caused by accidental initiation

<u>Improve logistical efficiency and optimize use</u> <u>of magazine space onboard ship</u>





Potential Pumice Applications



- Thermal barrier for fire protection onboard ship
- Weapon vertical launch system (VLS)
 - Protection from sympathetic detonation as well as thermal protection from fire and cookoff
- Additional work being conducted for use in land based explosive magazines
- Anti-terrorist applications where pumice is incorporated into barriers around buildings





System Level Impact from Pumice



- Design space inside the weapon container for incorporation of the required amount of pumice
- Increased container weight (~ 5% 10%)
 - Aluminum required to contain the pumice was bulk of the weight
 - Flexible-foam pumice configuration may eliminate need for aluminum
- Increased cost of container (~ 5% 10%)
 - Fabrication of aluminum required to contain the pumice was bulk of cost increase
 - Increase thermal insulation of weapon system from high temperature exposure

Minimal weight and cost impact due to incorporation of pumice





C-4 Explosive Standoff Tests





2.5 Pounds of C-4 No Reaction from Acceptor C-4 Block

- Determine Standoff for Full Block of C-4 Explosive
- Validate Standoff with Multiple Blocks of C-4



Bottom of Plywood Box





EOD Kit Demonstration Test Setup







Thermal Barrier







UNCLASSIFIED MAY CONTAIN PATENTABLE MATERIAL. TO BE RELEASED ONLY UPON SPECIFIC WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM NAWCWD, CHINA LAKE, CA

PATENT CAUTION

31














Presented By: Bob Keil Alliant Techsystems Technical Director Tom Kilian United Defense L.P. Technical Director



Alliant Techsystems







United Defense 05/09/2000

Alliant Techsystems





Outline

- Block Diagram of Crusader Fuze Setter
- Coil Positioning Mechanism
- Coil Development
- Coil Driver Circuit
- Talk Forward Control
- Talk Back Receiver
- "NULL" Problem and Solution
- Fuze Message Storage





Block Diagram of Crusader Fuze Setter



Alliant Techsystems



Electronic Block Diagram



Alliant Techsystems





Coil Positioning Mechanism

• Coil is Positioned for Specific Round



Alliant Techsystems

United Defense 05/09/2000



Coil Development

• "L" Shaped Coil Form



United Defense 05/09/2000





Coil Development

•"L" Coil over M782 Fuze



Alliant Techsystems

United Defense 05/09/2000



Coil Driver Circuit

- Power Amplifier
- Multiplying D/A
- Exponential Decay of Signal









Talk Forward Control

- Multiplying D/A
- 100KHZ Carrier
- Micro-controller generated Digital Word







Adaptive Tuning

- Primary (Setter) Current
- Fuze Voltage
- Current Difference
 Signal







Adaptive Tuning

• Normalized Data Showing Primary Current During Tuning







• Review of "NULL" Problem



United Defense 05/09/2000

Alliant Techsystems





Solution to "NULL" Problem

- Current
 Difference Method
- Solves "NULL" Problem



Graphical Output of MATHCAD Model Showing Theoretical Phase Difference, Current Difference and Fuze Voltage VS Gap-Bandwidth



Solution to "NULL" Problem

 Current Difference Method Normalized Data



Bandwidth (KHZ)







Expandable Fuze Message Memory

- Program Accesses a Directory Organized by Fuze ID
- Directory points to a Table of Messages



Fuze Message Directory



Alliant Techsystems



Fuze Message Table



United Defense 05/09/2000

Alliant Techsystems



Summary

- "L" Shaped Coil Developed
- Adaptive Tuning of Resonant Circuit
- "NULL" Problem Solved
- Expandable Fuze Message Storage Scheme

A Viewpoint from OSD



Anthony J. Kress Staff Assistant Strategic and Tactical Systems Munitions

Strategic and Tactical Systems, Munitions

OUSD (A&T)/S&TS/OM Room 3B1060 3090 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-3090 (703) 695-7756 DSN 225-7756 Fax (703) 614-3496 E-Mail:kressaj@acq.osd.mil

BRIEFING FLOW

- DoD Organization
- Fiscal Trends
- Unexploded Ordnance Study
- Conclusions







UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE STUDY

FY00 AUTHORIZATION LANGUAGE

The <u>House Report 106-162</u> accompanying the <u>National</u> <u>Defense Authorization Act for FY2000</u>, stated the following:

The committee notes that there are a number of apparently duplicative efforts within the Services and Defense-wide programs to pursue self-destruct fuzes for munitions. The Army has recently typeclassified self-destruct fuzes for some Army munitions, and yet it appears that there is no Department-wide program development to share the Army's completed development or to coordinate other Service efforts.

The committee directs that the Secretary of Defense conduct a study of unexploded ordnance problems and establish a Defense-wide program to develop affordable, reliable self-destruct fuzes for munitions, report the results of this study and the actions being taken by December 31, 1999.

UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE STUDY

FY00 APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

The <u>House Appropriations Committee, Report 106-244</u>, stated a similar request:

The committee is aware that the Army has completed testing of, and type-classified, M234 and M235 self-destruct fuzes for artillery and rocket grenades. The Committee believes that using a self-destruct fuze in future production of grenades, bomblets and submunitions could reduce the risk of unexploded ordnance casualities on the battlefield. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committee, no later than December 31, 1999, an analysis of unexploded ordnance issues and recommended solutions to include the use of self-destruct fuzes.



Key CBMR Components to determining munitions Combat Expenditures

Maneuver Forces SOCOM CIN DIA Air USMC Maritime USN Infrastructure **Strategic** USA **Outyear Threat USAF Phased Threat Report (OTR)** Halt phase: *x*% **Distribution Buildup phase: y%** (PTD) Counterattack: z% Service Services Processes **COMBAT REQUIREMENT** • Combat Load (MTW forces) • Logistic Support (MTW forces)

Study Results and The Way Forward

- This analysis indicates that numerous unexploded submunitions would be left on the 2-MTW battlefields.
- Study Results briefed at the Department's 2000 Weapons Technical Area Review and Assessment (TARA).
- Weapons TARA recommended the establishment of a Defense Technology Objective.

Conclusions

RecapCongressional Language

Action the Department is taking

• What the Department has done to date





PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SETTER XM1155



PRESENTED TO THE NDIA FUZE SYMPOSIUM APRIL 12, 2000

ANDY LESHCHYSHYN





TOM WALKER

Tank-automotive & Armaments COMmand

REQUIREMENTS

- 400 FUZE SETS @ 20 °C
- -40 TO +63 °C
- MEET NATO INDUCTIVE STANDARD
- HAND HELD, WEIGHT < 8 LBS
- 20 sec OPERATION
- STANDARD "D" BATTERIES
 » LITHIUM BA-5800 FOR COLD
 SERIAL PORT





V4 - V5 SETTER CHANGES

- TACOM-ARDEC to ALLIANT CORP.
- POWER SOURCE
- DELETE BATTERY CHARGER
- LITHIUM ENERGY METER
- MICROCONTROLLER
- DISPLAY
- BACKLIGHT ADJUST
- INTERROGATE





TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt

ELECTRONICS



TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt

7
POWER CONSUMPTION

- ELECTRONICS = 250 mW
 DISPLAY & BACKLIGHT = 100 mW
 FUZE SET = 1 W
 DISPLAY HEATER

 ALKALINE = 1.4 W
 LITHIUM = 4 W
 - » EXTERNAL POWER = 5 W





SOFTWARE





TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt





TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt

14



SETTER MENUS







TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt

18



19



TW/pfs_NDIA_2000.ppt

CONCLUSION

- PQT SETTERS
- DEMO RS-422 INTERFACE w/ PALLADIN
- IMPLEMENT MODS
- TYPE CLASSIFY AUG 2000
- AWARD CONTRACT FOR 3,500 SETTERS OCT 2000
- EPIAFS
- RS-422 POWER ON/OFF

STANAG 4560 Electro-Explosive Devices Assessment and Test Methods for the Characterization B. T. Lock Secretary Electrical/Explosive Hazards Committee **Ordnance Board Ordnance Safety Group**





BACKGROUND The Dream

The conclusion drawn from the papers presented at a number of NDIA FUZE Conference which highlighted Exploding Foil Initiators (EFI)

WERE

MILITARY:

If they are that safe we want to see Explosive Foil Initiators (EFI) in service.

INDUSTRY

They are safe but what tests do the safety community require to justify this.



In 1996 AC 310 Sub Group 2

recognize that unless some one put their head above the parapet we would always be waiting.



So they formed a working group of national specialist to draft a STANAG on EFI





STANAG 4560

Electro-Explosive Devices Assessment and Test Methods for the Characterization







AIM of STANAG 4560

The aim of this agreement is to standardise the methodology and procedures by which EED are characterised, in order to assist in their assessment for safe and suitable use by NATO forces



PARTICIPATING NATIONS AGREE TO:

Characterize EED in accordance with the methodology and procedures set out in this STANAG.

Apply this STANAG to the development and acquisition of EED for use within military weapon systems developed after its promulgation.

Provide to the custodian of this STANAG, National Points of Contact (POC) for Safety and Suitability for Service (S3) assessments of EED.

The safety data developed in accordance with this STANAG shall be made available to other NATO nations, from the NSAAs or appropriate authorities as listed in Annex A.

BRIDGEWIRE (BW) FILM BRIDGE (FB) **CONDUCTING COMPOSITION (CC) SEMICONDUCTOR BRIDGE (SCB) EXPLODING BRIDGEWIRE (EBW) EXPLODING FOIL INITIATOR (EFI)**

TYPES OF EED



ANNEX A

National Points of Contact



Typical Examples:

UK The Secretary of the Electrical/Explosive Hazards Committee Ordnance Board

Ordnance Board Ordnance Safety Group Walnut 2c #67 MOD Abbey Wood, Bristol BS34 8JH

US	Army	Navy
	Chairman	Chairman,
	US Army Fuze Safety Review Board	Weapon System Explosives Safety Review Board
	Attn: AMSTA-AR-FZ	Naval Ordnance Safety & Security,Code N71
	Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000	Farragut Hall Building D323
		23 Strauss Avenue
		Indian Head, MD 20640-5555

ChairmanAir ForceIgnition System Safety Review BoardUSAF, Non-Nuclear Munitions Safety BoardAttn: AMSAM-SFAttn: AFDTC/SESRedstone Arsenal, AL 35898-51301001 North 2nd Street, Suite 366Eglin Air Force BaseFL 32542 - 6838



ANNEX B

Characterization of

Electro-Explosive Device



Ser No	Test	BW & FBW	CC	Devices SCB	EFI	EBW
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
1	Visual Inspection	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Electrical Tests					
2	Firing Properties Test	Х	Х	Х	x(1)	x(1)
3	Resistance	Х	х	Х	х	х
4	Malfunction Threshold			Х	x(1)	x(1)
5	Thermal Time Constant	Х	Х	Х	Х	
6	Static Discharge (25kV)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
7	Insulation Properties	Х				
	Environmental Tests (2)					
8	Thermal Shock	х	х	х	х	х
9	Humidity	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
10	Leakage	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
11	1.5 m Drop	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
12	Electric Cook-off	Х	Х	Х	Х	
13	Vibration	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
14	Shock	Х	х	Х	Х	Х
	Function Tests					
15	Performance Tests	X	X	X	x(1,3)	x(1,3)
16	High Voltage				x(1)	

Notes: (1) Tests using actual system Fire-Set

(2) Dependent upon configuration

(3) Functioned at Hot, Cold and Ambient



Ser	Test			Devices		
No	TOST	BW & FBW	CC	SCB	EFI	EBW
(a	(b)	(c	(d)	(e	(f)	(g)
Ŷ	Visual Inspection	X	Х	X	Х	X
	Electrical Tests					
2	Resistance	Х	Х	X	Х	X
3	Firing Properties Test	X	Х	X	X	X
4	Malfunction Threshold			X	Х	X
5	Thermal Time Constant	Х	Х	X	Х	
б	Static Discharge (25kV)	Х	Х	Х	X	X
7	Insulation Properties	Х				



Ser No	Test	BW & FBW	CC	Devices SCB	EFI	EBW
	Environmental Tests					
8	Thermal Shock	Х	X	X	X	X
9	Humidity	X	Х	X	X	X
10	Leakage	Х	X	X	X	X
11	1.5 m Drop	X	X	X	X	X
12	Electric Cook-off	Х	X	X	Х	X
13	Vibration	X	X	X	X	X
14	Shock	X	X	X	X	X
15	Performance Test	X	X	X	X	X
16	High Voltage				X	



Second Hurdle

BW, FB, and CC devices have been characterised over the past 35 years using separate national test procedures

These procedures, though different, are normally considered adequate tests providing the NSAA, or other appropriate authority, to whom the test data should be provided, monitors them





National Standards for the Assessment of EED



ANNEX C

List those Countries and their National documentation which presently cover the characterization of EED

France:

Measurements of the Characteristics of Explosive Components - Test Procedures G.T.P.S. No 12 May 1987

GAM DRAM 01

Germany:

TL 1375-1100, Electro-explosive Devices - General Requirements.

VG 95 378 (Part 3) - EMC of Electro-Explosive Devices (EED) Fundamentals for Determining Characteristic Values.



ANNEX C

List those Countries and their National documents whichUK:presently have cover the characterization of EED

Pillar Proceeding P101 (2) Principles for the Design and Assessment of Electrical Circuits Incorporating Explosive Components.

Pillar Proceeding P112 (1) Electro-Explosive Devices Assessment and Characterization. USA:

MIL- I-23659 Initiators, Electric, General Design Specification For.

MIL-STD-1512 Electro-explosive Subsystems, Electrically Initiated, Design Requirements and Test Methods.

MIL-STD-331 Fuze and Fuze Components, Environmental and Performance Tests for Testing.
SEMICONDUCTOR BRIDGE (SCB)

Due to immaturity the method of characterisation is still under investigation and will be covered in the next edition.



Ser No	TEST	Para	MINIMUM QUANTITIES									
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)	(m)
			90	30(1)	22	40	10	6	50	50	50	5
1	Visual Inspection	4	х	х	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
2	Resistance	5	х	х	X	X	х	х	х	х	х	х
3	Firing Properties Test	6	х									
4	Malfunction Threshold	7		х								
5	Thermal Time Constant	8				X						
6	Static Discharge (25 kV)	9			X							
7	Thermal Shock	10							х	х	х	
8	Humidity	11					х					
9	Leakage	12					х					
10	1.5 m Drop	13							х	х	х	
11	Electric Cook-off	14						х				
12	Vibration	15							х	х	х	
13	Shock	16							х	Х	х	
14	Performance Test (Amb)	17			x		x		x			
15	Performance Test (Hot)	17								x		
16	Performance Test (Cold)	17									x	
17	High Voltage	18										x



Visual Inspection:

Examination of all initiators shall be made according to the manufacturers inspection criteria.

Resistance:

For initiators which do not contain a bridge gap the resistance shall be measured in accordance with MIL-STD 202 or national equivalent.



EBW and EFI Characterization Tests Firing Properties Test

Determine the mean firing stimulus, standard deviation, minimum all-fire and maximum no-fire stimulus (voltage/energy)

Method	of	stat	tisti	cal
Analysis	•			

Test procedures shall be approved by National safety Approving Authority (NSAA). Typical methods Bruceton, Langley, Neyer, or Probit.

Fire Set:

The firing unit shall use the same circuit components as those used in the tactical firing unit.



Firing Properties Test

Three temperatures

-54, 23 and 71°C

No less than 30 initiators at each temperature

Definition:

<u>No-fire Threshold (NFT</u>). The level at which there is a 0.1% probability of fire at the 95% lower single sided confidence limit.

<u>All-fire Threshold (AFT)</u>. The level at which there is a 99.9% probability of fire at the 95% single sided confidence limit.

MalfunctionMaximum No-damage CurrentThreshold (MFT)(Statistical).

Maximum No-damage Current (Worst Case).

Bridge Opening Current.

Thermal Time Constant The thermal time constant is the ratio of the electrical energy to the electrical power which causes that same type of damage to the EFI bridge as the MFT.

Static Discharge 25 kV

Test Technique: Should be conducted in accordance with STANAG 4239 AOP 24

Number of Devices: >20

Where devices fail the test at 25 kV the NSAA may request additional testing to determine the maximum pass voltage level.



Thermal Shock

To the requirements of STANAG 4370 AECTP 300 Method 304 or STANAG 4157 when used in a fuze

Humidity

To the requirements of STANAG 4370 AECTP 300 Method 306

Leakage

To the requirements of STANAG 4157 AOP 20 Test C8



1.5 m Drop

To the requirements of STANAG 4370 AECTP 141, STANAG 4157 AOP 20 Test A4 or Def Stn 00-35 Test M5

Electric Cook-off

When required by the NSAA the initiator shall not exhibit a functional explosive reaction from exposure to 500 v.

Vibration

To the requirements of STANAG 4370 AECTP 400 Method 401 Procedure 3 (material installed in missiles)



Shock To the requirements of STANAG 4370 AECTP 400 Method 403

Performance Test The initiator shall fire and produce the correct output when initiated with the minimum firing voltage for an intended application while temperature conditioned at -54, 23 and 71^oC

High Voltage

The initiator shall meet the functional requirements when initiated by a firing pulse at the limits of the capability of the firing system or 150% of the application specific design firing voltage, which ever is less



Acknowledgements

Sub Gp II National Specialist

Special Mention to:

Jeffrey Lienau USA

& manufacturers and engineers who may not have realised we do read their papers:

Barry Neyer - EG&G (now called PerkinElmer)

Lucient Nappert - DREV Canada

Steve Baker - EEV

Mike Tomlinson & Niel Hunt - Thomson Thorn Missiles





Rockwell Collins' Artillery GPS Engine



Munitions

GPS has been on missiles since the

mid-1980's



Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Inexpensive

 In the mid-1990's it
 became
 inexpensive
 enough to
 put on bombs



Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Smaller



inexpensive, and small enough to put into artillery

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc



Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Variety of weapons

- Rockwell Collins provides GPS solutions for two basic classes of armaments:
 - Missiles, Rockets & BombsArtillery & Mortars

History with Missiles

 Rockwell Collins is the leading producer of GPS receivers for Missiles and Bombs:

ATACMS (Missile)	Tomahawk Block III
SLAM	Standard Missile 3
M270-A1 (Launcher)	SLAM-ER
AGM-130	JDAM (2000 lb. bomb)

- Previous GPS solutions were unique for each usage
- Today's `Standard Product' for missiles is the NavStrike[™]

NavStrike[™] Capabilities



- 3.0" x 3.5" SAASM based GPS Receiver Design
- High Speed CMOS/422/232 Serial Interfaces
- Keying Via Host Control Serial Interface, DS-101 and DS-102

- 12-Channel All-in-View Receiver
 > Track & Navigation
- Fast Direct-Y Code Acquisition
- Dual Frequency L1/L2 tracking
- High A/J (self contained)
- Field Reprogrammable Software
- Black Key Capable
- Pseudorange/Deltarange & PVT output
- Stand Alone GPS or INS Aided Mode
- Designed for
 - > High G Vibration and Shock
 - > Extended Temperature Range
 - > Low Power Consumption

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Security Approval

- BDRs (security reviews) held April 2000
- Approved by JPO (Joint Program Office)
- First Approved KDP II SAASM product
 - Common SAASM Module used in all future Rockwell Collins GPS products
 - NavStrike[™] common GPS receiver for Precision Guided Munitions Applications

History with Artillery

09

ERGM Demo First GPS Acquisition on a gun fired, spin stabilized artillery shell

996





LCCM Auto-registration fuze; GPS translator approach



CMATD

First Program to utilize the Acquisition Correlator Engine to perform Direct-Y code Acquisition

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc





LCCCM/DERA

4 rounds fired at over16,000 G, successful Direct-Y acquisitions 1st Satellite acquisition in 3 sec, Nav solution in 6 sec





Team STAR 1D Corrector fuze; guidance and control in NATO std fuze volume





RIDGE Artillery software modified for exo-atmospheric operation

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Artillery Engine Capabilities



- Miniaturized 3 Card Set
- 2.5 cubic inch volume
- High Speed CMOS/RS-232 Data and Initialization Interfaces
- KYK-13 Keying Interface

- 12 Channel All-in-View Receiver
- Fast Direct-Y Code Acquisition
- Ruggedized to over 16,000 g's
- Field Reprogrammable Software
- L1 RF input For Compact Size
- Trajectory & INS Aiding capable
- Embedded Navigation / Flight Correction software
- Integrated 2-chip DSP solution
- Low Power consumption, under 2 watts average
- Master receiver initialization (no track before launch)
- G-hardened GPS oscillator

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Oscillator Testing



- G-hardened GPS Oscillator Development
 - + Over 200 Oscillators shock tested to over 16,000 g
 - Designs from various suppliers evaluated
 - Artillery oscillator selected, additional robustness enhancements in process
 - + 3 volt miniaturized version planned
 - + Excellent performance results achieved in live gun fire tests
 - + Design goal 2 ppm, Test results under 0.5 ppm

Artillery GPS Engine

©2000, Rockwell Collins, Inc

Summary

Rockwell Collins is the Guidance and Navigation provider for multiple types of munitions applications

Rockwell Collins has the GPS products available TODAY for all Missiles and Munitions Applications

Artillery GPS Engine





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH



The 44th Annual Fuze Conference & Munitions Manufacturing & Technology Symposium VII

"Flexibility In Fuzing" & "Technology Advancements in Munitions Manufacturing"

> April 10-12, 2000 Pleasanton, CA

PIMPF

The

Intelligent Hard Target Fuze

for the

MEPHISTO Multiple Warhead System





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Presented by:

- Dipl.-Phys. Friedrich Sauerländer* BWB - WF I 5, Germany
- Dr. Helmut Muthig* TDW GmbH, Germany
- Dipl.-Ing. Andre Feustel TDW GmbH, Germany
- Dipl.-Ing. Helmut Hederer TDW GmbH, Germany



German Air Force System Requirements



Solution: Intelligent Hard Target Fuze **PIMPF**





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

GAF System Requirements I

- Carrier A/C: Tornado IDS • Eurofighter 2000
- **Max. Weight:** <1400 kg (3090 lb)

Max. Payload: <500 kg (1100 lb)



Max. Dimensions: ~500 cm x 100 cm x 70 cm (~197" x 39" x 28")

Autonomous~350 km (220 miles)Flight:

Adaptation possible to:					
ViggenJAS 39	• F-18 • F-111				
Gripen • F-16	Harrier				





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Target Optimized Flight Profiles







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Typical Hard Targets



<image><image>





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

GAF System Requirements II

(Hard Targets)



argets

Overall Performance Requirement

- Penetration of Typical Hard Bunkers
 - high strength reinforced concrete
 - single or multiple layers
 - below soil/gravel
 - for several attack angles
- Target Optimized Fuzing






TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

P rogrammable I ntelligent M ulti P urpose F uze

PIMPF = Intelligent Hard Target Fuze

for the *MEPHISTO* Penetrating Multiple Warhead System (MWS)

of the German *TAURUS* Stand-Off Weapon





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Key Features of PIMPF

- Shock sensing and intelligent signal processing
- Detection of hard and soft layers within layered hard target structures

\Rightarrow event detection and layer counting capability

- Void detection
- Pre-programmable selection of target types
- Optimum fuzing point according to target structure
- Built-In-Test capability
- High g-load resistance

PIMPF is not a simple time delay fuze -

it converts target features adaptively into precise fuzing events,

it offers full void detection and layer counting capabilities





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

PIMPF Subsystems

- Mechanical <u>Safe & Arm Device</u> according to STANAG 4187 including an *Explosive Train* with qualified elements
- Fuze Electronics Module with
 - shock sensor
 - µP-based signal processing unit
 - RS 422 serial interface

<u>Modular design</u> of PIMPF provides flexibility to support other W//H Systems





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

PIMPF Fuze Electronics







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

PIMPF Verification Testing Carrier







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Test Site: Meppen Proving Ground





Howitzer M 110SF (Cal. 210)

Hard Target Arena





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Verification Target TM 6

(Layered sand / concrete / gravel / concrete target)







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Test Results (1): Carrier Hardware



Penetrator stopped in Getter Structure

Penetrator incl. PIMPF after Test







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Test Results (2): Typical Recorded Events







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Verification Target TM 6

(Layered *sand / concrete / gravel / concrete* target)







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Verification Target TM 8

(3 inclined and spaced concrete layers)







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Verification Target TM 8







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Selection of other Successful Verification Tests

(in total: 12 half scale tests)







TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

SUMMARY

Key accomplishments

- *Hardware* survives high g-loads
- Intelligent Hard Target Algorithm is verified, fuzing point determination works
- Layer Counting and Void Detection capability is demonstrated

Schedule

- Development: finalized 06 / 2000
- Qualification: finalized 12 / 2000
- Production start: 2002, according KEPD 350 production plan

PIMPF <u>system design</u> and <u>performance</u> have been <u>successfully</u> demonstrated, PIMPF is ready for qualification and industrialisation.





TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH







PROGRAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER TACTICAL MISSILES

MISSION

Provide the American soldier with the finest, combat effective, tactical missile systems in the world in a timely and cost-effective manner.

Tactical Missiles

Guidance & Control Systems Propulsion Warheads Seekers

VISION

A world-class government / industry team that gives the American soldier an unparalleled, overmatch tactical missile capability that allows our Army to fight and win the next conflict with minimal casualties in the shortest time possible.

GOALS

- Excel beyond all others in fielding the best tactical missile systems in the world.
- + Effectively team with industry.
- + Build the Army Acquisition Corps of the future.
- Mature and weaponize critical technologies for the Army After Next. First Digitized Division / First Digitized Corps.
- Reduce the Life Cycle Cost of our missile systems by 20% during the period FY98-FY00.





FUZE00.ppt









- TECHNOLOGY FROM MECHANICAL TO ELECTRONIC SAFE AND FIRE (ESAF)
- UNIQUE DESIGN FOR EACH WEAPON SYSTEM
- · COSTLY
 - DEVELOPMENT COSTS FOR BAT, HELLFIRE, & JAVELIN
 - PROPOSED \$13 MILLION
 - ACTUAL \$42 MILLION
 - AVERAGE UNIT COST \$3,500
- CHALLENGES



GENERAL LESSONS LEARNED IN FUZE DEVELOPMENT

- INVOLVE ARMY FUZE BOARD EARLY & FREQUENTLY
- CONTROL ARCING
- ONLY USE CERTIFIED SOURCES AND COMPONENTS
- VENDOR BASE IS SHRINKING
- COST OVERRUNS AND PERFORMANCE PROBLEMS SEEM TO BE A WAY OF LIFE
- STRIVE FOR COMMON REQUIREMENTS AND HARDWARE
- DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS DIFFICULT TO ANALYZE AND CERTIFY VS STANDALONE ESAD

COST BENEFITS NOT ACHIEVED. MATURE TECHNOLOGY NEEDED.

FUZE00.ppt



SELF-DESTRUCT FUZE

REQUIREMENT

- AFTER DESERT STORM AN OPERATIONS REQUIREMENT DOCUMENT (ORD) WAS ESTABLISHED REQUIRING FUZE OPERATION WITH <1% HAZARDOUS DUD RATE TO MANEUVER FORCES.

FUNCTION

- IF STAB DETONATOR IS NOT INITIATED UPON IMPACT, THE FIRING CAPACITOR, FOLLOWING A 3 MINUTE DELAY FUNCTIONS THE ELECTRICAL EXPLOSIVE DEVICE (EED)
- IN THE EVENT THE FUZE FAILS TO ARM, THE DELAY CIRCUIT INITIATES THE EED WHICH DETONATES THE STAB DETONATOR AND STERILIZES THE GRENADE.

CONCERNS

- AGING OF COMPONENTS (RELIABILITY)
- REPEATABILITY IN PRODUCTION
- HIGH RATE EQUIPMENT
- STABILITY OF VENDOR BASE

FUZE00.ppt



SDF LESSONS LEARNED

- VALIDATE THE COMPLETE DESIGN BEFORE
 PROCEEDING WITH HIGH RATE EQUIPMENT DESIGN
 AND FABRICATION
- UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEXITY OF A DESIGN AND THE IMPLICATIONS ON PRODUCTION BEFORE COMMITTING TO COST AND SCHEDULE
- HIGH RISK PROGRAMS SHOULD IDENTIFY AND RESOURCE LOW RISK ALTERNATIVES
- DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN OPEN LINES OF COMMUNICATION (TRUST, STABILITY, REALISTIC EXPECTATIONS)



WHY PARTNERSHIPS?

- WITH TRANSITION TO PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS PARTNERSHIPS MUST BE FORMED IN ORDER TO ASSIGN RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUZE DESIGN AND TO ASSURE THE FOLLOWING OBJECTIVES ARE ACHIEVED:
 - LOWER COST
 - LOWER RISK
 - BUILD FROM DEMONSTRATED PERFORMANCE
 - CONTINUE 0&S COST REDUCTIONS
 - DESIGN FOR TECHNOLOGY INSERTION



VILL PARTNERSHIPS WORK?

COMMON ELECTRONIC SAFE AND ARM FUZE (CESAF) • REQUIREMENTS ANALYZED

- SYSTEM INSERTION POINTS IDENTIFIED
- TEST PLAN ESTABLISHED

RESULTS

COMPETITION REDUCED UNIT PRICE ≈ 30%
 SET STAGE FOR COMMON MSL AND CKEM



CONCLUSION

PARTNERSHIPS ARE CRITICAL TO ASSURE FUTURE TACTICAL MISSILE SYSTEMS HAVE COMMON FUZE DESIGNS. WE SIMPLY CANNOT AFFORD TO DEVELOP AND SUPPORT NEW AND UNIQUE DESIGNS FOR EACH NEW WEAPON SYSTEM.

BOTTOM LINE: INDIVIDUALLY WE DO NOT HAVE ALL THE ANSWERS.





Injection Loading of Aluminized PBX

Kirk Newman and Neal Cowan NSWCIHD, Code 930



Outline



- Introduction
- Submunition Design
- Formulation Considerations
- Process Design
- Process Control
- Benefit
- Conclusion


Introduction



- Navy has unique mission
 Littoral Warfare *"from the sea"*
 - Using "smart weapons" from longer range
- Surface Strike issues
 - weapon expense limits number of rounds
 - submunitions provide better coverage (pH)
 - need greater individual lethality than DPICM



Submunition Design



- Larger submunition than DPICM
- Better fragmentation control
- Proximity fuze
- Long stand-off shaped charge liner
- Reliable and uniform dispense
- Aerodynamic stability during final descent



Submunition Design



- Possible composite construction
- Arrives to the L/A/P facility as one piece
- Small fill port on aft
- Adaptable for multiple port fill manifold
- Final assembly is cylindrical, for easy packaging



Submunition Design









- Fragmentation phenomenon is dependent upon shock physics
- Two IMAD/HE reports indicate that aluminized PBX can produce higher fragment velocities than non-aluminized PBX, if optimized



- NSWCDD TR-92/569 "Insensitive Munitions Advanced Development High Explosives Project: FY 91 Large-Scale Performance Testing of PBXC-129(Q)", Steve Collignon and Bill Burgess, February 1994.
- [2] NSWCDD TR-98/45 "IMAD HE Project- Large Scale Fragmentation and Airblast Testing of Candidate General Purpose and Metal Accelerating Explosives", Bill Burgess, Steve Collignon, and John Leahy, June 1998.





- Experimental observation & explanation
 - Aluminum content versus fragment velocity
 - Impedance matching
- Approach
 - CYLEX testing of PBX formulations
 - Characterization of "late time event"
- Down-selection and Injection Loading
 - Plasticized polyurethane binder
 - HMX nitramine





- We have investigated packing fraction maxima
 - using tri-modal particle size distribution allows a volume fraction of $\phi \ge 0.80$
 - relative viscosity function approaches infinity at maximum packing fraction

$$\mu_{\rm r} = \mu_{\rm s}/\mu_{\rm o} = f(\phi/\phi_{\rm m})$$







Taking advantage of previous
 injection loading work we did for
 ONR to ensure we have no shear
 induced particle migration. We
 want d\$\overline{\dt}\$ → 0 in the limit as
 \$\overline{\overline{\dt}}\$ gets large.

 $d\phi/dt = f(a^2, \dot{\gamma}, 1/\mu_o)$

• We also want plug flow behavior, or Bingham plastic profiles



⁽a) typical Bingham plastic flow profile(b) typical Bingham shear stress profile



٠



- Expect this formulation to have a high end-of-mix viscosity
 - not castable
 - very good injection loadable material
- Processing "pot life" for PBX formulations is different
 - 20 kp limit for casting
 - 80 kp limit for injection loading





Process Design



- Minimize the L/D ratio of process plumbing
- Maximize size of process plumbing with respect to particle size (a/R)
- Use low pressure drop splitter plates
- Eliminate corner turns \geq 45 degrees
- Eliminate abrupt contractions
- Use contraction ratios of about 2:1
- Use multi-port manifold to load more than one submunition per cycle





•

Process Control



- Calculate flow as a function of ram displacement in time and geometry (Q = f(u) = f(dx/dt))
- Utilize capillary rheometry algorithms to calculate
 - apparent shear rate (from flow rate)
 - apparent shear stress (from pressure)
 - apparent viscosity
- Monitor shear rate, and establish a control limit
 - Monitor shear stress, and establish control parameters
 - Monitor density at the contraction using a densitometer
 - $(\rho = f(dm/dt))$, and establish control parameters
 - Manipulative variable is ram displacement



Benefit



Beneficial Economics

- injection loading multiple submunitions per cycle
 - reduces unit cost and increases manufacturing rate
 - provides "pressed quality at a cast price"
- "More Bang for the Buck"
 - injection loaded PBX has nearly pressed density
 - replacing HMX with AL & improving performance
- Improving Average Fragmentation Velocity
 - observe only 4 % increase from PBXN-110 to PBXC-129
 - observe \geq 8 % increase from PBXN-110 to "aluminized PBX"



Conclusion



- Submunitions will continue to be a vital part of the weapon inventory
- Future Navy submunitions will be larger than DPICM
- Future metal accelerating PBX formulations for fragmenting Navy submunitions will probably contain aluminum
- Injection loading is a proven technology that has potential to manufacture high quality PBX filled submunitions at high rate
 - This technology will support RDT&E and production requirements for the surface strike mission



GEM S&T



Processing of R³ Pressed Molding Powder



Kirk Newman - NSWCIHD Ken Lee - THIOKOL May Chan - NAWCWPNDIVCL







Success Criteria



To demonstrate a CL-20 formulation that can satisfy:

- \odot Resource, reclamation, and reuse (R³) criteria
- ☺ NSFS ERGM performance criteria
- ⊗ Navy ISEA producibility assessment
- ☺ Navy explosive qualification instruction
- © Candidacy requirements for other applications









Technical Approach



Comparison of CL-20 Formulations

Oxetane Copolymer TPE Binders

- Energetic binder permits lower CL-20 concentration
- TPE permits recovery of CL-20 and eliminates demilitarization
- TPE can be recovered for reuse



From Cordant Technologies

Hydrolyzable Binders

- Binder permits easy recovery of
 CL-20 and eliminates pollution
 burden of demilitarization
- Binder can not be reused
- Binder is low cost





Technical Approach



Comparison of Ingredients & Technologies

- TPE is an oxetane copolymer
- TPE has two sources, Thiokol and Aerojet
- Processes for making molding powder include traditional slurry kettle mixing and precipitation or twin screw compounding & extrusion.



Thiokol Propulsion

From Cordant Technologies

- Hydrolyzable monomer is either *Witco* 10PE-37 hydroxyl-terminated polyester, or *Rucoflex* from RUCO Polymer Corp.
- Lysine diisocyanate methyl ester is made by Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co. Ltd. in Japan, as the market demands.
- Process is either traditional or a new fluorocarbon fluid slurry kettle mixing and precipitation.









Basis for comparison and down-selection:

- Theoretical detonation properties
- Laboratory scale safety test results on molding powder (impact, friction, ESD, etc.)
- Molding powder quality (composition, SEM, bulk density, free flowing, hygroscopicity, etc.)
- Shock sensitivity (IHE gap or LSGT)
- Cook-off sensitivity (VCCT)
- Measured detonation properties (as compared to PBXW-11)
- Ease of demilitarization
- Pressing evaluation (bulk density > 0.8 g/cc, % TMD @ 20 kpsi, no heat, no vacuum, etc.)
- Material and processing costs







Explosives



Formulation Summary

- 13 different CL-20 TPE formulations
- 3 different hydrolyzable formulations
- All will perform better than PBXW-11
- All are R³ formulations









Explosives

Progress on down-selection of formulations

						and the second se
	GEM-106	GEM-110	GEM-113B	CL-1	CL-2	CL-3
Theoretical Detonation Properties	3 ^{9°°}	1	1	1	4	1
Lab Safety Tests	1		*	×		
Interim Hazard Classification	1		×	×	×	
Molding Powder Quality	1	1	×			
Shock Sensitivity	?	?				?
Cook-off Sensitivity	1	×	×			
Measured Detonation Properties	1					
Lab CL-20 Recovery	1	1		1		
Lab Binder Recovery	1	1	1	*	*	*
Aging Study	1			1		
R3 scale-up demonstration	1					
Pressing Evaluation	1	1				
Pressing into M80	1					
Pressing at LSAAP	?					×
NSFS ERGM P3I Liner Tests	1					
NSFS ERGM P3I Fragmentation Tests	?					ļ <u>?</u>
Material & Processing Cost	?				1	<u> </u>

Thiokol Propulsion



From Cordant Technologies



Issues



- Reproducibility and Sensitivity of CL-20
 - crystal imperfections
 - polymorph conversion
 - friction, impact, and shock sensitive
- Quality and Reuse of Molding Powder
 - defining process parameters that produce good powder of \mathbf{P}^3 processes
 - effectiveness of R³ processes













Shock Sensitivity Issue



- Thiokol
- Navy MANTECH
- Improve Formulation(s)
 - use recrystallized CL-20
 - use higher concentration of "fine" CL-20
 - change processing technique
 - change TPE from BAMO/NMMO to BAMO/PGN
 - substitute HMX for CL-20







Formulation Improvements Sensitivity Tests Results



• Reclaimed CL-20 has expected properties

	Reclaimed CL-20 from GEM-106	Unground CL-20 Lot 218-6-008	Ground CL-20 Lot 218-6-010
Impact Sensitivity (50% ht in cm) RDX std = 18.9	16.0	14.0	17.6
Friction Sensitivity (N) PETN std = 48	60	48	84
DSC Onset of Exotherm (°C)	238.9	239.3	235.8
VTS (ml/g)	0.46	0.0	0.11



Formulation Improvements Sensitivity Tests Results



- impact sensitivity is about 30 to 38 cm (RDX = 20 cm)
- friction sensitivity is about 160 N (RDX = 160 N)
- GEM-106 powder processed via new method
 - impact sensitivity is improved by about 10%
 - friction sensitivity is dramatically improved, by about 100%



Formulation Improvements Arena Tests Results

- GEM-106 powder pressed at 98% TMD
- Improved RHA penetration by 10% over PBXW-11 @ HOB
- Improved average fragment velocity by only 4%







Further Development

	Technology				
Explosive Formulation	R ³ nitramine	R ³ binder	Demonstrate CL20 formulation is qualifiable	Demonstrate R ³ formulation can be qualifiable	Demonstrate high speed automated pressing @ LSAAP
GEM-106 (CL20/BAMO-NMMO)	99	99	Shock sensitivity problems	Shock sensitivity problems	99
GEM-116 (CL20/BAMO-PGN)	00	00	00	00	00
GEM-117 (HMX/BAMO-PGN)	00	00	00	00	00
GEM-114 (HMX/BAMO-NMMO)	99	99	00	00	00
CL-3 (CL20/Hydrolyzable)	99	N/A	Shock sensitivity problems	Shock sensitivity problems	Sticking problems
CL-4 (HMX/Hydrolyzable)				Difficult to justify as S&T	

Thiokol Propulsion





From Cordant Technologies





MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY SYMPOSIUM In Pleasanton on April 11 - 12, 2000







Historical European ordnance leader since WW 1

- Fuzes
- Mortars
- O Rockets
- **o** Warheads
- Anti-tank systems



TDA AND ROCKET ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES

- TDA spent half century to investigate various RAP technologies
- TDA has explored :
 - Army applications (120 mm mortars, 155 and 203 mm SPH)
 - Naval applications (100 mm French Navy gun)
 - Missile applications (140 mm missile caliber)
- TDA has identified and demonstrated four RAP technology areas :
 - Impulse in flight technology
 - Sustained rocket assisted technology
 - "Isostatic" technology
 - Ramjet technology

- : 120 mm mortar RAP (13 km)
- : 120 mm mortar RAP-VLR (17 km)
- : 120 mm mortar and 155 mm gun projectiles
- : 155 mm gun projectile



PROJECTILE	RAP	RAP- VLR	Isostatic RAP	Ramjet
CALIBER	120 mm	120 mm	120 & 155 mm	155 mm
RANGE	13 km	17 km	13 km (120 mm) 32 km (155 mm)	35 km
MORTAR & GUN TYPE	Rifled	Smooth & Rifled	Rifled	Rifled
"g" LEVEL	9 000 g	6 000 g	9 000 g (120 mm) 11 000 g (155 mm)	11 000 g
BURNING TIME	3.5 s	30 s	8 s (120 mm)	12 s
STATUS	Serial production	Feasibility	Feasibility	Feasibility



PROJECTILE	RAP	RAP- VLR	Isostatic RAP	Ramjet
CALIBER	120 mm	120 mm	120 & 155 mm	155 mm
RANGE	13 km	17 km	13 km (120 mm) 32 km (155 mm)	35 km
MORTAR & GUN TYPE	Rifled	Smooth & Rifled	Rifled	Rifled
"g" LEVEL	9 000 g	6 000 g	9 000 g (120 mm) 11 000 g (155 mm)	11 000 g
BURNING TIME	3.5 s	30 s	8 s (120 mm)	12 s
STATUS	Serial production	Feasibility	Feasibility	Feasibility





PROJECTILE	RAP	RAP- VLR	Isostatic RAP	Ramjet
CALIBER	120 mm	120 mm	120 & 155 mm	155 mm
RANGE	13 km	17 km	13 km (120 mm) 32 km (155 mm)	35 km
MORTAR & GUN TYPE	Rifled	Smooth & Rifled	Rifled	Rifled
"g" LEVEL	9 000 g	6 000 g	9 000 g (120 mm) 11 000 g (155 mm)	11 000 g
BURNING TIME	3.5 s	30 s	8 s (120 mm)	12 s
STATUS	Serial production	Feasibility	Feasibility	Feasibility


120 mm isostatic RAP





PROJECTILE	RAP	RAP- VLR	Isostatic RAP	Ramjet
CALIBER	120 mm	120 mm	120 & 155 mm	155 mm
RANGE	13 km	17 km	13 km (120 mm) 32 km (155 mm)	35 km
MORTAR & GUN TYPE	Rifled	Smooth & Rifled	Rifled	Rifled
"g" LEVEL	9 000 g	6 000 g	9 000 g (120 mm) 11 000 g (155 mm)	11 000 g
BURNING TIME	3.5 s	30 s	8 s (120 mm)	12 s
STATUS	Serial production	Feasibility	Feasibility	Feasibility



2000

155 mm ramjet projectile





120 mm RAP

• Purpose :

○ Increase range by 60% (from 8 km to 13 km)

• Requirement :

 Keep common logistics with 120 mm mortar projectile family (Same shape, same weight, same propellant charge, same ballistic)

• Main challenges :

- **o** Resist at very cold temperature for the DB propellant grain :
 - To axial (9000 g) and radial (250 000 rd/s²) accelerations
 - To rotation speed (12 000 rev/mn)
- Keep warhead at acceptable temperature during the DB propellant combustion
- **o** Maintain combustion characteristics under high rotation speed



A LARGE AMMUNITION FAMILY

















120 mm RAP

- Purpose :
 - Increase range by 60% (from 8 km to 13 km)
- Requirement :
 - Keep common logistics with 120 mm mortar projectile family (Same shape, same weight, same propellant charge, same ballistic)

• Main challenges :

- Resist at very cold temperature for the DB propellant grain :
 - To axial (9 000 g) and radial (250 000 rd/s²) accelerations
 - To rotation speed (12 000 rev/mn)
- Keep warhead at acceptable temperature during the DB propellant combustion
- **O** Maintain combustion characteristics under high rotation speed



120 mm RAP - VLR

- Purpose :
 - Match 105 mm light gun range
- Requirements :
 - Compatible with TDA 120 mm universal mortar tube
 - Compatible with 120 mm smooth mortar tube
- Results data :
 - **o** Flight tests in January 1986
 - 17 km range demonstrated in GAVRES French MOD Center
 - French Army contract completed in 1991
- Main challenges :
 - Resist axial acceleration (9000 g) at very cold temperature for the propellant grain
 - **•** Fins resistance during the acceleration phase
 - Fins correct opening
 - **•** Temperature control at aft end during the combustion phase (30 s)









120 mm RAP - VLR

- Purpose :
 - Match 105 mm light gun range
- Requirements :
 - **o** Compatible with TDA 120 mm universal mortar tube
 - **o** Compatible with 120 mm smooth mortar tube

• Results data :

- Flight tests in January 1986
 - 17 km range demonstrated in GAVRES French MOD Center
- French Army contract completed in 1991

• Main challenges :

- Resist axial acceleration (9000g) at very cold temperature for the propellant grain
- **•** Fins resistance during the acceleration phase
- Fins correct opening
- **•** Temperature control at aft end during the combustion phase (30 s)





120 mm RAP - VLR

- Purpose :
 - Match 105 mm light gun range
- Requirements :
 - **o** Compatible with TDA 120 mm universal mortar tube
 - **o** Compatible with 120 mm smooth mortar tube
- Results data :
 - Flight tests in January 1986
 - 17 km range demonstrated in GAVRES French MOD Center
 - French Army contract completed in 1991

• Main challenges :

- Resist axial acceleration (9000 g) at very cold temperature for the propellant grain
- Fins resistance during the acceleration phase
- o Fins correct opening
- Temperature control at aft end during the combustion phase (30 s)



GROWTH POTENTIAL

- Carry lethal or non lethal payloads
- Flexible design trading range versus payload
- Increase kill probability by using smart fuzes (SAMPRASS fuze)





• TDA will present other RAP technologies in next future

• TDA is looking for cooperation with U.S experts

Machine Vision for Industrial Automation Machine Vision for Industrial Automation





-We do what we say!

Mitch Stone



- ✓ History and Evolution
- **V** Typical Machine Vision System
- ✓ Machine Vision Technology
- ✓ Machine Vision Tools
- ✓ Human Machine Interface













✓ Light Source

Backlights

Fiber Optic Bundles







✓ Light Source



✓ Backlights✓ Lightlines





 \checkmark



✓ Light Source



Backlights

- Lightlines
- Goosenecks





 \checkmark

 $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{N}}}$



Light Source $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$



- **Backlights** \checkmark \checkmark
 - Lightlines
 - Goosenecks
 - **Ringlights**









 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Light Source



- **Backlights**
 - Lightlines
 - Goosenecks
 - Ringlights
 - Accessories





INTERNAL LIGHT ADJUSTMENTS

• Further Enhancements to Lighting Within Vision Controller





CAMERA AND LENS SELECTION













PIXELS

• PIcture + X + ELement







GRAY-SCALE IMAGE

• Digitized Screen Image







BINARY IMAGE

Area Immediately Around Vision Tool







VISUAL NOISE

• Unwanted White or Black Pixels







FILTERING (MORPHING)

• Removes Unwanted Visual Noise









THRESHOLD

• Determines Which Part of Gray-Scale Image Becomes White or Black









EDGES

• Black to White Transition Along Gage





GAGES

 Inspects Specific Part of the Workpiece it Crosses



- Linear
- Circular



WINDOWS

• Count Pixels or Objects, Comparison



- Rectangular
- Circular
- Polygonal


REFERENCE LINES AND WINDOWS

• Compensate for Shift or Rotation





REFERENCE LINES AND WINDOWS

• Compensate for Shift or Rotation





Workpiece









Machine Vision and LAP



APPLICATIONS









Machine Vision Still Evolving Machine Vision & Inspection Machine Vision & LAP Human Machine Interface





Mobility and Firepower for America's Army



NATO STANDARDIZATION



NDIA ANNUAL FUZE MEETING 11-12 APRIL 2000

FREDERICK R. TEPPER TACOM-ARDEC FUZE DIVISION

Tank-automotive & Armaments COMmand



- BACKGROUND
- STANDARDIZATION PRINCIPLES
- STATUS OF FUZE SYSTEM STANAGS



BACKGROUND

- NATO COLLABORATION AND JOINT OPERATIONS
 - Identified potential problems in safety assessments

weapons

- Interoperability
 Purchase of foreign
- PROBLEMS FROM UNIQUE APPROACHES TO S3
 Testing Assessment
- AC310 PROVIDES FRAMEWORK FOR AGREEMENT
 - Design Principles Tests
 - Terminology Environments

ESTABLISHMENT OF MUNITIONS GROUP

- GROUP OF EXPERTS ON SAFETY ASPECTS OF STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION OF AMMUNITION AND EXPLOSIVES
 - Sub-Group of AC258 established 1978
 - Restructured as Group AC310

• 4 SUB-GROUPS FORMED AT FIRST MEETING DECEMBER 1979

- SGI: Explosive Materials SGIII: Environment
- SGII: Fuzing Systems SGIV: Munition Systems
- NAME CHANGED IN 1985:

GROUP ON SAFETY AND SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE (S3) OF MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES



NEED FOR S3 PRINCIPLES

CONSENSUS OF USERS AND DEVELOPERS

- Required for multinational use of munitions
- S3 cannot be quantified precisely
- Standards will define design requirements
 - and provide detailed tests and methods
- Allow verification by agreed Standards

• DEVELOP SAFE FUZES USING NATIONS EXPERIENCE

Produce, Transport, Handle, Store and Deploy





RESULT

PRINCIPLES DERIVED FROM EXPERIENCE

• STANAGS DEFINE GENERIC REQUIREMENTS

- Method of assessment
- Testing
- Environments

STANDARDS ALLOW INFORMED DECISIONS

- Well understood common test criteria
- Uniform methods of assessment



DOCUMENTS

• **STANAG:** Standardizaton Agreement

Formal agreement by ratifying Nations defining the S3 assessment

• AOP: Allied Ordnance Publication

Guidance, general information, details of tests methods and processes to assess S3





GENERIC REQUIREMENTS

• ASSESS S3 IN NORMAL / CREDIBLE ENVIRONMENTS

- Electromagnetic radiation
- Sources of electrical, mechanical, thermal energy
- Premature initiation of explosive train
- After transport, handling, loading, and firing
- EXPLOSIVE TRAIN
 - Explosives qualified by STANAG 4170 (SGI)
 - Sensitive elements must be interrupted / out-of-line
 - In-line explosives with adequate safety margin
 - Munition must be safe to dispose (STANAG 4518)





SGII STANAGs/AOPs

STANAG/AOP SUBJECT

- ST 2916 Nose Fuze Contours /Interface (MIL-STD-333)
- ST 4157/AOP-20 Qualification Tests (MIL-STD-331)
- ST 4187/AOP-16 Design Req'ts (MIL-STD-1316)
- ST 4363/AOP-21 Testing of Leads and Boosters
 - Electric and Laser Ignition Systems for Missile and Rocket Motors (MIL-STD-1901)

ST 4368



SGII STANAGs/AOPs

STANAG/AOP	SUBJECT
ST 4326/AOP-8	NATO Fuze Catalog
ST 4369/AOP-22	Inductive Setting: Large Cal
ST 4547	Inductive Setting: Med Cal
ST 4560	Initiators



CURRENT STATUS

STANAG

STATUS

Promulgated. New edition to be • 2916 developed as required. • 4157/Ed.1 **Promulgated** Final Draft in ratification process 4157/Ed.2 • 4187/Ed.1, 4187/Ed.2 **Promulgated** Final Draft in ratification process 4187/Ed.3 4187/Ed.4 In process in SGII **Promulgated** • 4326 In process in SG II 4326/Ed.2

11 Apr 2000



Committed To Excellence

CURRENT STATUS

STANAG

<u>STATUS</u>

Promulgated

- 4363/Ed.1
 - 4363/Ed.2

4368/Ed.2

- 4363/Ed.3 In process in SGII
- 4368/Ed.1
- Promulgated

Promulgated

Final Draft in ratification process

Final Draft in ratification process

- 4368/Ed.3 To be initiated
- 4369/Ed.1
- 4547
- 4560

- New draft under preparation in SGII
- New draft under preparation in SGII





STANAG 2916

NOSE FUZE CONTOURS AND MATCHING CAVITIES FOR ARTILLERY AND MORTAR PROJECTILES

- Derived from MIL-STD-333
- New contours developed will require a new edition



STANAG 4157 AND AOP-20

FUZING SYSTEMS: TESTING REQUIREMENTS FOR ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY AND SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE

- Derived from MIL-STD-331
- Ratification of STANAG 4157/Ed.2 is underway
- Mortar Double Loading Test will be Addendum to AOP-20

The US FESWG and SGII agreed to maintain consistency between MIL-STD-331 and AOP-20. Notes are added for NATO users.



STANAG 4187 AND AOP-16

FUZING SYSTEMS - SAFETY DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- Derived from MIL-STD-1316
- Ratification of Ed. 3 is underway
- Topics for Edition 4 include smart mines, ESADs, and formal safety analyses



STANAG 4326 AND AOP-8

NATO FUZE CHARACTERISTICS DATA

- Catalog providing brief description with sketch of NATO Fuzes
- Includes information such as
 - dimensions interface
 - NATO Stock Num Dwg Num
 - major components performance levels
 - explosive characteristics
- Provides description of operation
- Next edition in CD ROM format



STANAG 4363 AND AOP-21

FUZING SYSTEMS: DEVELOPMENT TESTING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF LEAD AND BOOSTER EXPLOSIVE COMPONENTS

- Ed. 2 has entered ratification process
- Detonating Cord Water Gap test issues will be resolved for Ed.3



STANAG 4368

ELECTRIC AND LASER IGNITION SYSTEMS FOR ROCKETS AND GUIDED MISSILE MOTORS -- SAFETY DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

- Ed. 2 has entered ratification process
- Initiation of work on Ed. 3 to include requirements for low voltage laser initiation devices will be considered at next SGII meeting





STANAG 4369 and AOP-22

DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR INDUCTIVE SETTING OF LARGE CALIBER PROJECTILE FUZES

- Edition 1 has been promulgated
- Need for new edition to be discussed at next meeting of SGII



STANAG 4547 (DRAFT)

DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR INDUCTIVE SETTING OF MEDIUM CALIBER PROJECTILE FUZES

- A new draft of this new STANAG is in process
- Draft expected for next meeting of SGII



STANAG 4560

ELECTRO-EXPLOSIVE DEVICE, ASSESSMENT AND TEST METHODS FOR CHARACTERIZATION

- This is proposed title for new STANAG.
- Initially will be limited to EBW's and EFI's
- Existing National Tests will be referenced
- New draft is in process



SUMMARY

- Enable interoperability
- Facilitate procurement of Foreign munitions and weapons
- STANAGs assure adequate assessment for Safety and Suitability for Service



CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN ACCELEROMETER BASED FUZING OF SMART WEAPONS



Patrick L. Walter

Current: Senior Technologist/E Current: Senior Design Lecturer/**TCU** Former: Manager Sandia National Labs

44TH Annual Meeting of the Fuze Section Munitions Technology Division National Defense Industrial Association





Ε

PRESENTATION GOALS

 Summarize accelerometer design lessons that transfer from 30 years experience in high-shock measurements associated with nuclear effects testing.

2. Briefly discuss:

- trends in accelerometer mounting and differences in demands placed on accelerometer performance dependent upon whether the acceleration signal, its 1st integral (velocity), or its 2nd integral (displacement) is used in the fusing logic, and
- a previously developed mechanical packaging scheme that could enhance penetrator fuse performance.

Ε

BACKGROUND

- For more than 30 years nuclear effects testing of structures has required high shock measurements.
- The commonality of these measurements with smart fuzing of penetrating weapon systems includes:
 - shock environments to 10's of thousands of g's can be experienced and
 - excitation forces to the structure can contain very high frequencies.



BACKGROUND

- The differences include: (1) less requirements for acceleration signal integration and (2) less kinetic energy associated with the system structural loading.
 - Note: Prior nuclear weapons testing has required the instrumentation of high energy projectiles such as 155 mm artillery shells and earth penetrators.



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: (1965) 1st ADVERTISED +/- 100,000 G ACCELEROMETER





Ε

ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: REPRESENTATIVE TRANSDUCTION ELEMENTS



Simple accelerometer pictorial

Ferroelectric ceramics

Typical annular shear ferroelectric accelerometer and various elements are shown.



Ε
ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC ELEMENT DEFINED

 Piezoelectric: No center of charge symmetry (21 of 32 crystal classes lack this symmetry, 20 are piezoelectric).
 Stress results in an electrical charge output. (e.g.,quartz)

 Pyroelectric: Subset of piezoelectric (10 crystal classes have a dipole in their unit cell, thermal heating results in an electrical charge output). (e.g.,tourmaline)



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC ELEMENT DEFINED

•Ferroelectric: Subset of pyroelectric. Dipoles are in domains. Possess spontaneous polarization that can be reversed by suitable electric field (switching accompanied by hysteresis). (e.g., rochelle salt)

•Ferroelectric Ceramic: Polycrystalline ceramic mass that can be pressed, fired, electroded, and poled by a high electric field resulting in piezoelectric properties. (e.g., barium titanate, lead zirconate titanate)



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC CERAMICS CAN ZERO-SHIFT!!!



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC CERAMICS CAN ZERO-SHIFT!!!

 Many reasons for zeroshift of these ferroceramic accelerometers exist (Chu, Anthony, Zeroshift of Piezoelectric Accelerometers in Pyroshock Measurements, 57th Shock and Vibration Symposium, Naval Research Laboratory, Shock and Vibration Information Center, January 1987.)
 physical movement of sensor parts,
 cable noise,

•base strain,

•inadequate low frequency response,

•overloading of the signal conditioning, and

•overstress of their sensing elements. (Plumlee, 1971)



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: (EARLY 1970S) UNDERSTANDING OF OVERSTRESS MECHANISM OF ZERO-SHIFT!!!

•Physical mechanism: Ferroelectric ceramics are capable of stresses to 10's of thousands of psi. At stresses below 100 psi (more typical accelerometer operating range), polarization reorientation of the ferroelectric ceramics can occur. A zero shift of 10-20% of the accelerometers peak response may correspond to a change in the ceramic's permanent polarization of only 0.01%. The accelerometer would remain stable and recalibrate fine. Ralph H. Plumlee, ZERO-SHIFT IN PIEZOELECTRIC ACCELEROMETERS (Polarization Switching in Polycrystalline Ferroelectrics at Very Low Fields and Stresses), Sandia Laboratories SC-RR-70-755, March 1971.



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC CERAMICS CAN ZERO-SHIFT!!!



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: FERROELECTRIC CERAMICS CAN ZERO-SHIFT!!!



Quartz accelerometers should be immune to zero-shift due to overstress [Kistler 805A (introduced 1966) fco became workhorse early 1970s]. E

ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS:



for their own unique reasons in high shock environments.

Ε

ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: (1969)



Endevco designed the Model 2291 in a reverse shear mode in 1969 to minimize stress loading. Base strain sensitivity overcame any design advantages.



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: SILICON BECOMES AN ALTERNATIVE TRANSDUCTION TECHNOLOGY

- 1961 Endevco establishes Solid State Accelerometer Laboratory
- 1966 10,000 G bulk silicon gage piezoresistive accelerometer available
- 1967 radiation hardened diffused semiconductor gages available



F



ACCELEROMETER DESIGN LESSONS: SILICON BECOMES AN ALTERNATIVE TRANSDUCTION TECHNOLOGY

- 1968 nonradiation hardened accelerometers available to 20,000 Gs
- 1974 studies performed for 100,000 G sculptured silicon MEMS accelerometer available
- 1983 Model 7270 became available in ranges to 200,000 G with resonant frequencies to 1,200,000 Hz









Mobility and Firepower for America's Army



44th Annual Fuze Conference

Experimental Characterization Of M745 Explosive Train April 12, 2000

Dennis W. Ward TACOM-ARDEC Fuze Division

Tank-automotive & Armaments COMmand



M745 PD Fuze





- Since 1985 the M745 has had occasional duds attributed to malfunctioning of the lead and/or booster
- Recently experienced 27 duds during 120mm smoke cartridge BLAT
- The large quantity of duds in a single test prompted a failure analysis
- As a result of this investigation we discovered a number of interesting results



Participants



Fuze Division Energetics and Warheads PA & TD Army Fuze Mgmt Office PM-MO Engineering Support, RI NSWC @ White Oak ATK - Accudyne Operations

- Adelphi, Dover
- M. Joyce, D. Aaron
- K. Ng
- R. Johnson
- N. Friedman
- K. McMahon
- S. Nesbitt, L. Montesi
- R. Frazier



M745 Fuze Major Assemblies







M745 System Block Diagram





M745 Explosive Components





Cause of Investigation



(Summary of M745 Duds from M929 BLAT's @ YPG)

Failure Mode	No. of Duds	Comments
 S&A's Armed Primary Explosives Functioned Non-functioning or improper functioning of lead charge 	12	9 at Charge 0 3 at Charge 1
• Non-function of Primary Explosives (Sensitivity Duds) or Non-armed S&A	3	Duds due to limitations of current impact system for glancing impacts or rotor "glued" in place by silicone applied to booster pellet.

- M745 Experience 27 duds in 6 lots of smoke round BLAT's
- 15 recovered and disassembled
- 12 attributed to improper or non-functioning of lead charge
- Remaining attributed to other fuze duds



M745 Historical **Performance Data**



M745 (# Duds/Total)		M929	(# Duds/Total
Fuze	BLAT (108 ea.)	120mn	n Cartridge BLAT
Chg 0	54/1582 (3.41%)	Chg 0	23 / 293 (7.85
Chg 1	7 / 270 (2.59%)	Chg 1	4 / 129 (3.10
Chg 4	<u>10/1584</u> (0.63%)	Chg 4	<u>6/317 (1.89</u>
	71/3436 (2.07%)		33 / 739 (4.46

Duds/Total)

Committed to Excellence

23 / 293 (7.85%)

(3.10%)

(1.89%)

(4.46%)

Possible Contributing Factors for the Failures



- Lead density, compression load, confinement
- Moisture content
- Explosive composition (M61 and Lead)
- Partially armed rotor
- Missing lead
- Missing output disk on M61
- Missing pressed increment in lead
- Detonator installed upside down



Testing and Results



- Ballistic Tests (To repeat failure conditions and eliminate specific lead lots)
- Lead Radial Confinement
- Inverted M61 Detonator
- Penalty Gap (M61/Lead)
- Interface Tests (M55/M61 and M61/Lead)
- Lead Density Tests (High and Low)

All testing indicated that the lead was **NOT** the cause of the failures

• M61 Output Tests (in cages and bare)



M61 Characteristics Tests and Results



- 99% All Fire Drop Height = 2.89 in, Avg Dent = .013 in
- Output Test #1 0/100 Low Order, Avg Dent = .012 in
- Output Test #2 1/100 Low Order, Avg Dent = .014 in (L.O. = .001 in)
- 99% All Fire Drop Height = 2.91 in, Avg Dent = .020 in
- Output @ -50F 4/100 Low Order, Avg Dent = .018 in (L.O. = .002, .003, .004, .009 in)
Quick Fix #1 To Get M745 Production Restarted



Ballistic Test	<u>Controls</u>	Modified
Hot (+145F)	0/50	0/49
Cold (-50F)	7/41 (17%)	0/49

(as of date)

2/n

Committed to Excellence



M61 Detonator Holder







M61 Detonator Holder

(Close-up)









M61 Det Cups and Output Discs





Committed to Excellence



Quick Fix #2

FUZE DIVISION

Allow Production of New "Good" Detonators for M734A1

- Increase Quantity of Lead Azide
- Decrease Quantity of RDX
- All else remains the same (amt of NOL130, steel cup, steel input/output discs, test req'ts)

Old Recipe		New Recipe		
M61 Stab Detonator - 153 mgNOL 130 Primer Mix40 mg (min)Lead Azide, RD133380 mg (min)RDX33 mg (apx)		M61 Stab Detonator - NOL 130 Primer Mix Lead Azide, RD1333 RDX	- 169 mg 40 mg (min) 104 mg (min) 25 mg (apx)	
	mmitted to	o Excellence		

M61 Configurations

Modifications

*	TDP Real	Previous	M734A1	MOD2	MODB	MODA	MODS	MOD 6
NOL:120	IDI NGL	1.013	MODI	MOD 2	MODS	MODA	MODS	MODE
Wt (mg)	40 min, (42.5 adv)	41	40	?	Same as	Same as	Same as	Same as
Length (in)	1.1.1	.021	.022	.024	Mod 2	Mod 2	Mod 2	Mod 2
Pressure (psi)	45,000	45,000	45,000	60,000				
RD 1333 Wt (mg) Length (in)	80 min, (85 adv)	81	104 .085	7	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 1
Pressure (psi)	. 10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	1			
<u>RDX</u> Wt (mg) Length (in) Pressure (psi)	TBD, (32.5 adv) -	33 .045 10,000	25 .037 10.000	? .040	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	? .045 see note 1 & 2 10 or 15K	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	? .045 see note 1 & 10 or 15K
Cup Mat'l Thickness (in)	305 Stainless .010 ± .001	305 Stainless .010	305 Stainless .010	305 Stainless .010	1100 Aluminum .010	1100 Aluminum .010	1100 Aluminum .010 with .002 Thk coined bottom	1100 Aluminum .010 with .002 Thk coined bottom
Mat'l Thickness (in)	302 Stainless .00065 ± .00015	302 Stainless 0.0006	302 Stainless 0.0006	302 Stainless 0.0006	1100 Aluminum 0.002	1100 Aluminum 0.002	N/A	N/A
<u>Output Disc</u> Mat'l Thickness (in)	302 - Stainless .010 ± .001	302 Stainless .010	302 Stainless .010	302 Stainless .010	302' Stainless .010'	1100 Aluminum 0.005	302 Stainless .010	1100 Aluminum 0.005

Features

M61 Configuration

	TDP Regit	Previous	M734A1	MOD 2	MOD 3	MOD 4	MOD 5	MOD 6
<u>NOL:130</u> Wt (mg) ' Length (in) Pressure (psi)	40 min, (42.5 adv) - 45,000	41	40 .022 45,000	? .024 60,00%	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 pr Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2
<u>RD 1333</u> Wt (mg) Length (in) Pressure (psi)	80 min, (85 adv) 	40 1	ng NOL .022 in 15,000 ps	130 si	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	40	mg NOL 130 .022 in 45,000 psi
<u>RDX</u> Wt (mg) Length (in) Pressure (psi)	TBD, (32.5 ad v) - 10,000	104 mg Lead Azide .085 in 10,000 psi 25 mg RDX .037 in 10,000 psi		Same as Mod 1 or Mod 2	7 .045 see note 1 & 2 10 or 15K	104	mg Lead Azide .085 in	
<u>Cup</u> Mat'l Thickness (in)	305 Stainless .010 ± .001			1100 Aluminum .010	1100 Aluminum .010	2	10,000 psi 5 mg RDX	
Input Disc Mat'l Thickness (in)	302 Stainless			1100 Aluminum	1100 Aluminum		.037 in 10,000 psi	
<u>Output Disc</u> Mat'l Thickness (in)	302 - Stainless .010 ± .001	305 Stainless Cup .010 in		.010	0.002	1100	Al Coined Cup .010 in	
Notes: 1) Since these mods use a .005" thk output disc 2) Since these mods use a .002" thk input disc No allowance has been made for this.		302	302 SS Input Disc 0.0006 in		ore in length of the	RDX	ise	N/A
		302 S	S Outpu .010 in	t Disc			1100	Al Output Disc .005 in

Features

Block Diagram of Testing





LAAP and ARDEC Lab Test Data





Committed to Excellence



Ballistic Test Matrix



BALLISTIC TEST MATRIX

FUZE	SETTING	TEMP	CHG	QTY
M734	PRX	-50F	0	50
M734	PRX	+145F	4	50
M734	DLY	-50F	0	150
M734	DLY	+145F	4	50
				300

FUZE	SETTING	TEMP	CHG	QTY
M745	N/A	-50F	0	150
M745	N/A	+145F	4	50
				200

Notes:

1) Shoot chg 0 on inert 60mm projo's for recovery.

2) Shoot chg 4 on HE I-81 projo's.

Committed to Excellence



Advantages of New Detonator Design



- Eliminate separate input disc
- Eliminate "discing" operation
- Eliminate inspection for disc (100% by hand)
- New aluminum cup more cost effective than steel cup
- Easier to obtain final overall length and tighter crimp using aluminum versus steel
- New test set-up uses standard hardware, readily available and will better discriminate between good dets and bad dets (due to lower input energy req't, output dent test req't, and temperature testing)



Summary



- M745 fuze experienced an excessive number of duds during cartridge testing
- Failure analysis initiated
- Discovered that the M61 detonator was functioning low order
- Quick fix #1 to get M745 production restarted
- Learned that the M61 det had too little lead azide to support a DDT (which caused it to function low order)
- Quick fix #2 for M734A1 production
- Final design solution resulted in a revamped detonator



Conclusion



- Detonators CAN function low order (contrary to what experts say)
- Temperature testing during LAT (esp @ cold) can assist in discriminating between good and bad lots
- Now being implemented on the M734A1 and XM783 mortar fuzes
- Increased reliability functioning of M734A1, M734, XM783 and M745 fuzes through improved performance of the M61
- Reduced costs to the Government by eliminating the need to rework fuzes, conduct additional tests or accept inferior product



Submunition Dispensing Overview

Presented by: John H. Whaley Engineering Manager PRIMEX Aerospace Company Redmond, WA



Background

- Historical Perspectives
- Developments In Platforms
- Projectiles
- Missiles
- Cost Drivers
- Cost-per-Kill
- System Complexity





The Need For Dispensing

- Tactical Advantages
- Stand-off Weapons
- Staged Events
 - Dual Stage Events
 - Timed Events
- Accuracy Improvements
 - Guidance
 - GPS Technology
- Coverage/Effectiveness
 Improvements
 - Improved Munitions
 - Improved Coverage





Munition Variations

- Grenades & Standard Munitions
 - XM80 & XM85 Grenades
- Mines & "Placed" Munitions
- Smart Munitions
 - BAT
 - BLU-108 Anti-Armor





Fuze & Timing Variations

- Mechanical Fuzes/Timers
 - Nose Mount, Manual Set, Lanyards, etc.
- Pyrotechnic Fuzes/Timers
 - Nominally Pyrotechnic Delay Mixtures
- Electrical Fuzes/Timers
 - Conventional RC Timing Circuits
 - Lanyard or Timer Intiated
- Electronic/Software Fuzes/Timers
 - Incorporated Function Within "Mission Computer"





Design Constraints

- Volume
- Weight & C.G. Requirements
- Environmental Exposure & Storage
- Structure & Loading Constraints
 - Shipping, Launch, etc.
- Safety
 - Safe Operation
 - Insensitive Munitions
- Performance
 - Ground Patterns, Effectiveness
- Cost







Dispenser Variations

- Spin Dispensers
- Center Core Burster
- Piston Concepts
- Fabric Bladder Concepts
- Metal Bladder Concepts









Additional Applications

- Deployment Mechanisms
- Inflatable Structures
- Impact Attenuation









Presented At:

Munitions Technology Symposium VII

April 10-12, 2000

Pleasanton, CA

Presented By: Moreno White

This work was funded by BMDO and administered by ARDEC under a prime contract with GE (currently Lockheed Martin, Orlando). Program Management responsibility was under SSDC.



SPARTA, Inc. Composite Products Operation 10540 Heater Court San Diego, California 92121-4111 (858) 455 -1650 Fax (858) 455 -1698 Contact CPO at composites@sparta.com Visit our Website at www.composites.sparta.com

CPO-00-005

D2 Projectile Requirements

	Strategic	TMD
Mission Launcher	НТК	НТК
	Fixed Site EMG	Mobile ETC
Launch Mass/Dia	7.5 kg/105 mm	7-8 kg/105 mm
Launch Velocity	4 km/sec	2.5 km/sec
Launch Acceleration	100,000 gees axial;5 kgee	70,000 gees axial/~3 kgee
	lateral	lateral
Max Range	50 km	25 km
Operation	Command Guided w/Terminal	Command Guided
	Homing	
Structure	Boron/Al	Graphite Epoxy
Aeroshell	3° Half Angle Cone with 6°	3° Half Angle Cone with 6°
	Aft Flare	Aft Flare
Launch Package	Saboted Round, cc Nosetip,	Saboted Round, Aluminum
	Carbon-Phenolic Aero Heat	Nosecone*, No Heat Shield,
	Shield, Tungsten Carbide	Tungsten Carbide Penetrator
	Penetrator	

*Test Bed Configuration



we offer composite solutions...

CPO-00-005 - 1

D2 Test Bed Projectile



Initial D2 Sabot Concept

Design Drivers

High Launch Accelerations

- Axial (100 Kgee Strategic, 70 kgee TMD)
- Balloting (5 Kgee Strategic and TMD)
- In-Bore Thermal & Electrical Environment
- High In-Bore Pressure (>7100 psi)
 - Compressed Gas, Forward of Projectile
- Large Uncertainty in Load
 - Balloting
 - In-Bore Pressure (Air Column Compression, Shockwave Interaction)

Materials

- High Specific Strength
 - Graphite/Epoxy
 - Continuous Fiber
- Non-Conducting Bore Riders
 - Glass Epoxy

Design

- Minimum Mass
 - Conformal/Conical
 - Internal Bulkheads

Minimize Separation Forces

• Multi-Petal Design (6 Petals)



D2 Conical Sabot Configuration



Conical Sabot Fabrication

MATERIAL

- Carbon/Epoxy
 - AS4/E773R
 - 250°F Cure
- Glass/Epoxy (Bore Riders)

FIBER ARCHITECTURE

- 82% Axial (0°)
- 18% ± 15° and ±75 ° (4 plys, cone only)
- Transition From:
 - 46 Layers at Aft End to 15 Layers Forward End

FABRICATION STEPS

- Receive Material
 - Uni-Tape 60% Fv
- Cut Into Kits Using Steel Rule Dies
 - Cut Gore Patterns with Drop-Offs: Cone, Frustrum

• Lay-Up Gore Sections on Separate Male Tooling for Cone & Frustum

- Minimized Weak Points by Circumferentially Staggering Gore Plys
- Controlled Thickness Variation Utilizing Ply Drop-Offs
- Preliminary De-Bulk on Independent Tool

• Final Sabot Configuration

- Cone & Frustum Tool/Components are Placed in Separate Consolidation Tool
- Glass/Epoxy Bore Riders Laid in Consolidation Tool
- Uni-tape Added at Cone/Frustum Interface
- Fully Consolidated As One Piece
- ____250°F
- Deflash, Machine Forward end and trim to length
- Bond Bulkheads into Conical Sabot and Install Aluminum End Caps

we offer composite solutions...

CPO-00-005 - 5

Net Shape Compression Molding Sequence



Conical Sabot Lay Up Architecture



Cone Ply Orientation and Gore Geometry



Conical Sabot Cone Lay Up



Frustum Ply Orientation and Gore Geometry



we offer composite solutions...

Conical Sabot Frustum Lay Up



As Fabricated Conical Sabot



we offer composite solutions...

Conical Sabot Separation 5m Down Range/(2.0 km/sec, 60,000 Gee Launch)


Conical Sabot Separation 10m Down Range/(2.0 km/sec, 60,000 Gee Launch



Conical Sabot Separation 20m Down Range Showing Projectile Tip Off (2.0 km/sec, 60,000 Gee Launch)



we offer composite solutions...

Conical Sabot Summary

Sabot Mass - 2.52 lbs

• Approximately 42% of Launch Mass

17 Total Shots

- Exit Velocities From 1.46 to 2.1 km/sec
- Failure of All But 2 Shots Above 1.6 km/sec
- Highest Successful Launch Performance : 66 Kgees, 2.05 Km/sec

In-Bore Failures

- Overpressure Due to Compressed Air Column (down-bore films)
- Attributed to Acceleration Excursions from Powder Ignition
 Verified By Shooting Instrumented Slugs
- Sabot Parts Were Sectioned and Analyzed; No Process-Induced Degradation was Found

Sabot Redesign

- Channel Design
- Less Susceptible to In-Bore Overpressure
- Maintain Axial & Lateral Load Capability

D2 Channel Sabot Design

Primary Driver

- Channel Design Eliminates Susceptibility to In-Bore Pressure Excursions
- Same Axial and Balloting Loads as Conical Design
- Minimize Mass

Material

- Continuous Fiber Graphite/Epoxy
- Aluminum End Caps

Design

- Six-Petal Sabot Configuration
- Minimum Mass
 - Channel Design Was ≈ 3% Heavier Than the Baseline Conical Sabot
- Simplified Fabrication Process



we offer composite solutions...

Channel Sabot Fabrication

MATERIAL

Carbon/Epoxy

- AS4/E773R
- 250°F Cure

FABRICATION STEPS

Receive Material

- Unidirectional Prepreg Tape

• Make Fabrication Kits

- Cut Gore Patterns with Drop-Offs

• Lay Up Gore Sections on Male Tool

- Controlled Section Thickness Using Ply Drop-Off
- Partial De-Bulk on Male Tool

Close Tool into Female Tool

- 250°F Cure Processing
- Deflash
- Trim Part to Length
- Bond Aluminum Face Plates on Sabot Fwd End



CPO-00-005 - 18

D2 Channel Ply Lay-Up



Channel Lay Up



Channel Sabot Ply Architecture Schematic Showing Ply Drop-Offs



As Fabricated Composite Channel Sabot



105mm ETC Hardware with Channel Sabot



105 ETC Channel Sabot Round Assembly



105mm Channel Sabot ETC Test Flight Showing Clean Sabot Separation



we offer composite solutions...

CPO-00-005 - 25

D2 Flight Test Showing Clean Sabot Separation (Channel Sabot)



we offer composite solutions...

Channel Sabot Summary



» 48% of the Launch Mass

6 Total Shots

- Exit Velocities Range from 1.73 km/sec to 1.81 km/sec
- Peak Acceleration 48.6 kgees to 52.8 kgees

No Failures

 Last 4 Shots Had On-Board Electronics (RF Data to Ground Station to Demonstrate 2-Way Communication w/Ground)



Summary

Conical Sabot

- Lightweight Design
- Complex Fabrication
- Inconsistent Performance
 - Excursions of In-Bore Pressure Caused Collapse of Cone
- No Fabrication Anomalies

Channel Sabot

- Slightly Heavier Than Conical Design
- No In-Bore or Flight Failures
- Launch Acceleration in Excess of 52 Kgees
- Standard Material (Carbon/Epoxy) Can Be Successfully Used to Fabricate Lightweight, High Performance Sabots for Ballistic Applications

Requires Experience and Attention to Detail

we offer composite solutions...

CPO-00-005 - 28

BACKUP CHARTS PARTA CPO-00-005 - 29 we offer composite solutions...

Composite Sabots Designed by SPARTA

SABOT	ATTRIBUTES	ISSUES
Standard, Conformal, Base Pushing Typically used for Conical, Aerodynamically Stable Projectiles	Straight Forward to Design & Fabricate, Does not excessively Load Projectile, Loads Projectile in Compression	Heavy Design, Requires High Compressive Strength Materials
Segmented, Base Pushing Used for Conical Projectiles	Lightweight Split Design, Minimum Material, Loads Projectile in Compression	Forward Segment Loads Projectile, Projectile not Supported Laterally Along Length
Midriding Used for Anti-Armor/ Penetrator Rounds	Subjects Projectile to both Tensile And Compressive Loads, Loads Transferred to Projectile by Shear	Potential for Increased Balloting & Pitching Loads, Requires High Shear Strength Design, Complex loading

CPO-00-005 - 30

Graphite Epoxy Channel Sabot



105mm ETC Test Hardware



ETC Launcher used at Eglin



Conical Sabot Separation



we offer composite solutions...

NDIA 2000 TECHNOLOGY AVANCEMENTS IN MUNITIONS MANUFACTURING SYMPOSIUM

UPDATE ON THE MODERNIZATION OF THE HOLSTON ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT (HSAAP)

BY ANDREW WILSON NIGEL HOUSE JOHN PETHERBRIDGE

ROYAL ORDNANCE NORTH AMERICA, INC 4509 West Stone Drive Kingsport, TN 37664



HSAAP MODERNIZATION Background

- U.S Producer of RDX/HMX Products Since 1943
- Historically Configured for Very High Volumes

 »1m LB / day



HSAAP - HISTORICAL PRODUCTION LEVELS



HSAAP MODERNIZATION Background

- U.S Producer of RDX/HMX Products Since 1943
- Historically Configured for Very High Volumes

 »1m LB / day
- Operating on Modern Peacetime Volumes

 »2m LB / year
- Escalating Product Costs



HSAAP - HISTORICAL PRODUCT COSTS



HSAAP MODERNIZATION Background

- U.S Producer of RDX/HMX Products Since 1943
- Historically Configured for Very High Volumes

 »1m LB / day
- Operating on Modern Peacetime Volumes

 »2m LB / year
- Escalating Product Costs
- Resulted in Competition to Supply Explosives

 XMAT Contract Awarded to RONA

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Summary

- \$15m Investment (1999 / 2000)
 - Infrastructure Upgrades
 - Minimizing Manual-Handling of Product
 - Reducing the Number of Operating Buildings
 - Centralized control room
- Maintaining Product Quality
 - Same Equipment, People & Processes
 - »90% RONA staff are former HDC employees

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Progress

- Design Work Completed
- New Gas Fired Boiler for Acid Plant
- Hydraulic Motors Installed
- Product Lifting Tables Installed
- Centralized Control Room Established

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Project Time Scale & Status



HSAAP MODERNIZATION Impact on Manufacturing Process

- NO CHANGE TO HSAAP MANUFACTURING PROCESSES
 - Same Equipment
 - Same Processes, Procedures and Specifications
 - Same People
 - »90% of RONA Employees (115) are ex-HDC (1998)
 - Additional recruitment of ex-HDC staff planned (2000)

- PRODUCT RANGE UNCHANGED
 - Additional Products Planned

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Impact on Product Costs

HSAAP OPERATING CONTRACTOR RONA HDC 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 '00 YEAR **Production Volume** Explosive Cost (\$ / LB)

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Impact on Product Costs - Examples

PRODUCT	HDC 1997/8 (\$/Ib)	RONA 1999/2000 (\$/Ib)
PBXN-5	55.26	< 22
PBXN-9	31.65	< 19
CXM-3	9.11	< 7.5
HMX / CI. 1	37.77	< 22
RDX / CI. 1	15.91	< 6
RDX / CI. 5	15.91	< 7

NOTE - HDC's production volume in 1997 was approximately twice the projected RONA volume in 2000. Prices not adjusted for inflation.

HSAAP MODERNIZATION HSAAP Re-qualification

- HSAAP PRODUCTS NEED SOME LEVEL OF RE-QUALIFICATION
 - To Confirm Processes and products are Unchanged
- RE-QUALIFICATION PLANNING COMMENCED (Q4/99)
 - Controlled by U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps
- RONA RE-QUALIFICATION PROCESS
 - Manufacture Full Scale Batches of Products
 - On-site and off-site Testing
 - Manufacture and Testing Witnessed by DoD Representative
 - Re-qualification is in Two Phases To Meet Customer Needs
- TIME SCALE
 - Re-qualification to Start in May 2000



HSAAP MODERNIZATION Conclusions

- HSAAP Modernization is the Cornerstone of RONA's Plans
 to Reduce the Cost of Explosive Manufacture
- Key modernization Objectives Include Improved Infrastructure, Minimized Product Manual-Handling and Reduced number of Operating Buildings (38 to 22)
- Product Range and Manufacturing Skills Unaffected; Critical Skills Have Been Retained by RONA
- HSAAP Re-qualification Planning Process Agreed
- HSAAP Resumes Production from w/c 24th April 2000

HSAAP MODERNIZATION Acknowledgements

Jerry Hammonds (RONA, Manager Explosives)

Bill May (RONA Modernization Project Manager)

Dan Gothard (RONA Project Engineer)

