Depolarization ratio of the Raman modes of CO₂ gas and isovanillin aerosol*

R. L. Aggarwal^{*}, S. Di Cecca, L. W. Farrar and T. H. Jeys

MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Lexington, MA 02420-9108 Distribution A: Public Release

Depolarization ratios of the Raman modes of CO_2 gas and isovanillin aerosol have been measured using a 532 nm Raman system developed for detecting chemical gases and aerosols. The depolarization ratio of the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes of CO_2 have been determined to be 3.7 and 1.7%, respectively. The depolarization ratio of the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of isovanillin have been determined to be 54%. Using the measured values of the depolarization ratio, the ratio of the off-diagonal and diagonal components of the Raman polarizability tensor have been deduced to be 19 and 13% for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes of CO_2 , respectively, and 73% for the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of isovanillin.

Keywords: Depolarization ratio; Raman polarizability tensor components; CO₂; isovanillin;

*Correspondence to R. L. Aggarwal, MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Lexington, MA 02420-9108, USA. Email: <u>aggarwal@ll.mit.edu</u>

* This work was sponsored by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency through the Edgewood Chemical and Biological Center under the Air Force Contract FA8721-05-

C-0002. Opinions, interpretation, and recommendations are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the view of the US Government.

Introduction

In this paper, we report measurements of the depolarization ratio of the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ Raman modes of CO₂ gas and the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of isovanillin aerosol. These Raman depolarization ratios are generally important for applications involving Raman spectroscopy of these materials. In particular, they are important for optimizing the performance of Raman systems aimed at detecting trace atmospheric gases and aerosols. A sensitive 532 nm Raman system (400-1400 cm⁻¹) developed for the detection of chemical aerosols and gases^{1, 2} was used for the depolarization measurements. The measured values of the depolarization ratio have been used for a determination of the ratio of the off-diagonal and diagonal components of the Raman polarizability tensor for isovanillin aerosol and CO_2 gas. The Raman spectrum of the CO_2 gas was first reported by Dickinson et al.³ The Raman cross sections of the 1285 and 1388 modes of CO₂ have previously been reported⁴⁻⁶ as has been the depolarization of these modes^{7, 8}. The Raman modes of isovanillin powder (C₈H₈O₃; CAS No. 621-59-0) have been previously reported⁹ at 504, 1118, 1277, 1608, and 1671 cm⁻¹ measured using 785 nm pump radiation. More recently, vibrational modes of isovanillin have been calculated using density functional theory.¹⁰ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the Raman depolarization ratio for any aerosol.

Experimental

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the 532 nm 10 W cw laser Raman system that was used for the measurement of the Raman scattering depolarization.² The pump laser propagates in the horizontal direction (z-axis) through the flow cell. The laser was polarized perpendicular to the direction of propagation (z-axis). The 532 nm half-wave plate (HWP) placed after the 45°-mirror was used to rotate the polarization from the vertical direction (y-axis) to the horizontal direction (x-axis). The Raman signal is collected along the x-axis.



Figure 1. Schematic of the Raman system used for the measurement of chemical aerosols and gases.

The magnification *M* of the image of the laser focal spot on the spectrometer slit is 1.6, which is the ratio of the 40 mm focal length focusing lens and the 25 mm focal length collection lens. The diameter *D* of the laser focal spot, located in the center of the flow cell, was 50 μ m. The spectrometer was sensitive to particles along a 3 mm length of the laser beam. The spectrometer grating diffraction efficiency was the same (within ±1%) for light polarization parallel and perpendicular to the plane of incidence.

The isovanillin aerosol was generated in a commercial blender in which the isovanillin powder was filled to just above the blender blades. A 1 to 5 second operation of the blender was sufficient to generate an aerosol of small isovanillin particles in the blender body.

Theoretical

Equation (1) shows the components of the 3x3 Raman polarizability tensor.

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{xx} & \alpha_{xy} & \alpha_{xz} \\ \alpha_{yx} & \alpha_{yy} & \alpha_{yz} \\ \alpha_{zx} & \alpha_{zy} & \alpha_{zz} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

For gases and aerosols (assuming random orientations) the tensor components must obey Eqs. (2) and (3).

$$\alpha_{xx} = \alpha_{yy} = \alpha_{zz,} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_{yx} = \alpha_{zy} = \alpha_{zy} = \alpha_{zx} = \alpha_{xz}.$$
(3)

The ratio of the horizontal laser polarization Raman signal (R_{HL}) to the vertical laser polarization Raman signal (R_{VL}) is given by

$$\beta = \frac{R_{HL}}{R_{VL}} = \frac{(\alpha_{yx})^2 + (\alpha_{zx})^2}{(\alpha_{yy})^2 + (\alpha_{zy})^2}.$$
(4)

Using Eqs. (2) and (3), Eq. (4) may be written as

$$\beta = \frac{2(\alpha_{xy})^2}{(\alpha_{xx})^2 + (\alpha_{xy})^2}.$$
(5)

The Raman depolarization ratio is given by

$$\rho = \frac{R_{perpendicular}}{R_{parallel}} = \frac{(\alpha_{xy})^2}{(\alpha_{xx})^2},$$
(6)

where $R_{perpendicular}$ and $R_{parallel}$ are perpendicular and parallel components of the Raman signal. Combining Eqs. (5) and (6), the depolarization ratio may be expressed in the form

$$\rho = \frac{\beta}{2 - \beta},\tag{7}$$

which provides the method for the measurement of the Raman depolarization ratio. The ratio of off-diagonal and diagonal components of the Raman polarizability tensor is given by

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha_{xy}}{\alpha_{xx}} = \sqrt{\rho} \,. \tag{8}$$

Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the Raman spectra of atmospheric CO₂ (~480 ppm) for vertical and horizontal laser polarizations obtained using 8.5 W laser power and 15 signal integration time. Using the values of 1.88×10^4 and 1.473×10^5 counts for the Raman signal for the horizontal and vertical polarization, respectively, for the 1285 cm⁻¹ mode, we obtain a value of 12.8% for β , which yields a value of 6.8% for the depolarization ratio ρ for the 1285 cm⁻¹ mode. Similarly, we obtain values of 9.1% for β and 4.8% for ρ for the 1388 cm⁻¹ mode. Our values of 6.8% and 4.8% for ρ for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes, respectively, are lower than the corresponding values of 18 and 14% reported previously. ⁸ However, the depolarization ratios reported in Ref. 8 were measured using *unpolarized* incident light, which does not yield the generally expected accepted definition of the depolarization ratio. The unpolarized incident/pump radiation depolarization ratio measured in Ref. 8 would be given by

$$\rho_u = \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho}.$$
(9)

Using our values of 6.8 and 4.8% for ρ for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes, respectively, ρ_u would be equal to 12.7 and 9.2% for these modes compared to the values of 18 and 14% in Ref. 8. Values of 4.2 to 4.4% and 2.7 to 2.9% for ρ for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes, respectively, have been reported more recently.^{6, 11, 12} These values are lower than our values of 6.8 and 4.8% for these modes obtained

with the f/1.1 Raman collection optics used in our measurement. The correct value of β is given by

$$\beta_c \approx \beta - \left(\frac{\theta^2}{3} - \frac{\theta^4}{15}\right),\tag{10}$$

where θ is the half-angle of the cone of the f/1.1 collection lens. Using a value of 0.42 rad (24°) for θ , we obtain values of 7.1 and 3.4% for β_c for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes, respectively. The corresponding values for the corrected polarization ratio are 3.7 and 1.7%, which are about 1% lower than the values in Ref. 6, 11, and 12.

Substituting the above values of 3.7 and 1.7% for depolarization ratio in Eq. (7), we obtain values of 19 and 13% for γ for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes of CO₂, respectively.



Figure 2. Raman spectra of atmospheric CO_2 (~ 480 ppm) for vertical (red curve) and horizontal (black curve) laser polarization obtained using 8.5 W laser power and 15 s Raman signal integration time.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of isovanillin aerosol (~1.7ng/cm³) for vertical and horizontal laser polarizations obtained using 8.5 W laser power and 2 s signal integration time. Using values of 9.25×10^3 and 6.48×10^3 counts for the Raman signal of the isovanillin 1116 cm⁻¹ mode for the vertical and horizontal polarization, respectively, we obtain values of 70% for β and 54% for ρ for the 1116 cm⁻¹ mode of isovanillin. Similarly, using values of 4.76×10^3 and 3.36×10^3 for Raman signal of the 1275 cm⁻¹ mode, we obtain values of 70% for β and 54% for ρ for the 1275 cm⁻¹ mode of isovanillin, which are same as for the 1116 cm⁻¹ mode. The value of 54% for ρ for the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of the isovanillin aerosol is obtained on the assumption that the aerosol particles are spherical in shape. No depolarization is

caused by refraction at the air-particle interface for spherical aerosol particles. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of depolarization ratio for a chemical aerosol.



Figure 3. Raman spectra of isovanillin aerosol ($\sim 1.7 \text{ ng/cm}^3$) for vertical (red curve) and horizontal (black curve) laser polarization obtained using 8.5 W laser power and 2 s Raman signal integration time.

Summary

We have measured values of 3.7 and 1.7%, respectively, for the depolarization ratio ρ of the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ Raman modes of CO₂. A value of 54% has been measured for ρ of the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of isovanillin aerosol. The ratio γ of the off-diagonal and diagonal components of the 3x3 Raman polarizability tensor have been determined to be 19 and 13%, respectively, for the 1285 and 1388 cm⁻¹ modes of CO₂ and 73% for the 1116 and 1275 cm⁻¹ modes of isovanillin aerosol.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. William Herzog, Steven Christensen, and David Sickenberger for several discussions regarding this work. This work was sponsored by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency through the Edgewood Chemical and Biological Center under the Air Force Contract FA8721-05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretation, and recommendations are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the view of the US Government.

References

1. R. L. Aggarwal, S. Di Cecca, L. W. Farrar, T. H. Jeys, J. Raman Spectrosc. **2014**, 45, 677.

2. R. L. Aggarwal, S. Di Cecca, L. W. Farrar, T. H. Jeys, accepted for publication in Aerosol Science and Technology, DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2015.1065955.

3. R. G. Dickinson, R. T. Dillon, F. Rasetti, Phys. Rev. **1929**, 34, 582.

4. D. G. Fouche, R. K. Chang, Appl. Phys. Letters **1971**, 18, 579.

5. D. G. Fouche, R. K. Chang, Appl. Phys. Letters **1972**, 20, 256.

6. S. Montero, J. Chem. Phys. 79, 4091 (1983).

7. G. Herzberg, *Infrared and Raman Spectra*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, **1945**.

8. A. Langseth, J. R. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. **1934**, 46, 1057.

9. R. L. Aggarwal, L. W. Farrar, B. G. Saar, T. H. Jeys, R. B. Goodman, MIT Lincoln Laboratory Technical Report 1175, 13 September **2013**.

10. V. Balachandran, K. Parimala, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. **2012**, 95, 354.

11. C. M. Penny, L. M. Goldman, M. Lapp, Nature Phys. Sci. **1972**, **235**, 110.

12. Y.-J. Jin, Y.-Q. Yu, Y.-X. Wang, K. Lin, X.-G. Zhou, S.-L. Liu, J. Chem. Phys. **2015**, 28, 17.