AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-14-1-0522

TITLE: Layer-by-Layer Bioprinting of Stem Cells for Retinal Tissue Regeneration

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Shaochen Chen

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

REPORT DATE: December 2016

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

#### PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

#### DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

				Form Approved			
REFURI DUCUIVIENTATION FAGE					OMB No. 0704-0188		
data needed, and completing a	nd reviewing this collection of	of information. Send comments reg	arding this burden estimate or ar	ewing instructions, sea	collection of information, including suggestions for reducing		
this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-							
valid OMB control number. PL	EASE DO NOT RETURN Y	OUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADD	RESS.	ion raining to comply in			
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3.	DATES COVERED		
December 2016	-	Final			SU Sep 2014 - 29 Sep 2018		
4. IIILE AND SUBIII	LE			5a	. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Layer-by-Layer Bio	printing of Stem	Cells for Refinal Tiss	le Regeneration		GRANI NUMBER		
				W	81XWH-14-1-0522		
				50	. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)	71			50	. PROJECT NUMBER		
Shaochen Chen and	Kang Zhang						
				5e	. TASK NUMBER		
				5f.	WORK UNIT NUMBER		
E-Mail: chen168@	eng.ucsd.edu						
7. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT		
					NUMBER		
University of	California						
SME 245B, MC 0	448						
9500 Gilman Dr	•						
La Jolla, CA 9	2093						
9. SPONSORING / MO	NITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10	. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
U.S. Army Medical	Research and M	lateriel Command					
Fort Detrick, Marvl	and 21702-5012			11	. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT		
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STAT	EMENT					
	-						
Approved for Publi	c Release; Distril	oution Unlimited					
	,						
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	( NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT	tianto o Lovio	hulover/IDI)h	ionripting proces	a uning ata	m calle for ratinal ticaus		
we aim to inves	sigale a Layer	-by-Layer (LBL) b	noprinting proces	is using ste	m cens for reunal ussue		
regeneration. T	he LBL nature	of the bioprinting	process matche	s nicely wit	h the native, multilaminar		
anatomy of the	retina. This re	search will bioprin	it retinal stem cel	lls (RSCs) a	and appropriate growth factors		
(GF) encansula	ted in a bioma	terial (e.a. hvalur	nic acid HA) M	/e will inves	tigate the mechanical		
(Or) choopsuld		rtion of the hydro	al matariala Ma		the coll visbility and apolyze		
chemical and bi	ological prope		jei materiais. we	e will study	the cell viability and analyze		
the biological fu	nctions of the	3D printed retina	tissue.				
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASS	IFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
			OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	USAMRMC		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	4		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area		
			Unclassified		code)		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Gholassilleu				
0	2	2.1010001100	1	1	Standard Form 208 (Poy. 8 08)		

## **Table of Contents**

## Page

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Keywords	2
3.	Accomplishments	2
4.	Impact	13
5.	Changes/Problems	14
6.	Products	14
7.	Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	16
8.	Special Reporting Requirements	17
9.	Appendices	17

#### 1. Introduction

Here is the final report on the project - "Layer-by-Layer Bioprinting of Stem Cells for Retinal Tissue Regeneration". We have developed hydrogel biomaterials with comparable mechanical properties that are 3D printable. We used human fetal retinal progenitor cells (hfRPC) as the cell resource for retinal tissue differentiation. We have demonstrated that these 3D-printed hydrogel materials are biocompatible for retinal cell growth. The hfRPC can be directed toward a specific cell fate within 3D-printed hydrogel and chemically defined induction medium. Moreover, the hfRPC can be differentiated into photoreceptors within a short period of time

#### 2. Keywords

retinal tissue regeneration, 3D bioprinting, Layer-by-Layer, hydrogel biomaterials.

#### 3. Accomplishments

#### What were the major goals of the project?

The major goal of this project is to investigate a Layer-by-Layer (LBL) bioprinting process using stem cells for retinal tissue regeneration. Our specific aims are as followings: **Specific Aim 1:** Develop and optimize a 3D bioprinting method with encapsulated RSCs, **Specific Aim 2:** Layer-by-layer bioprinting of *in vitro* retina PRs/RPE/Bruch's membrane tissues.

#### a) Synthesis of hyaluronic acid-glycidyl methacrylate hydrogel.

For the synthesis of HA-GM, 200 mg of hyaluronic acid (Lifecore Biomedical, MN) was added into 25ml of 50% acetone solution. The solution was mixed overnight before adding 1.8ml (20-fold molar excess) of triethylamine and glycidyl methacrylate. The reaction took 8 hours before dialysis and lyophilization. The methacrylation was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR characterization (Joel 500) by the peaks at 5.6 and 6.0 ppm, as shown in **Fig. 1a**. The degree of methacrylation (DM) is determined by integration of the methacrylation group over that of the methyl groups in hyaluronic acid at 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9 ppm, as shown in **Fig. 1b**. For example the DM of the sample in Fig. 1a is 23%. The relation between the initial molar ratio of reagents and the degree of methacrylation of the product is shown in Table 1.



**Figure 1.** The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR results of HA-GM showing: a) the methacrylate group; b) the integration of methacrylate group over the methyl groups of hyaluronic acid to calculate the degree of methacrylation.

Initial molar ratio of	Degree of methacrylation		
glycidyl methacrylate	of final HA-GM		
to hyaluronic acid			
20:1	23%		
10:1	11%		
5:1	3%		

 Table 1. Degree of methacrylation of HA-GM synthesized by different initial

 stoichiometric ratio

#### b) Mechanical characterization of the hydrogel.

For mechanical testing, the hydrogel sample was prepared by a 3D printing apparatus developed in the lab. A rectangular hydrogel sheet with a dimension of 5mm x 10mm x 1mm was made with the synthesized HA-GM. The elastic modulus of the 3D-printed sheets using HA-GM was determined by tensile tests using a thermomechanical analysis machine (TMA, Perkin Elmer). Briefly, the sample was clamped at two ends. The force applied on the sample started from 3mN with a constant increment of 5mN/min while the elongation of the sample was measured. A stress vs. strain curve is obtained and the elastic modulus is calculated as the slope of the curve. Samples made by HA-GM with methacrylation ratio lower than 23% could not be characterized by this method due to softness. Such softer hydrogels will be measured in the future using atomic force microscopy (AFM). The test result of 2% hydrogel made by HA-GM with 23% methacrylation is shown in **Fig. 2**. The elastic modulus of the hydrogel is about  $30\pm5$  kPa. Previous work suggested that the elastic modulus of native retina is about 20 kPa. Compare to other materials used for retina replacement, such as poly (glycerol-sebacate) which has an elastic modulus of 600kPa, HA-GM has closer mechanical property as native retina.



Figure 2. Stress vs. strain curve of HA-GM hydrogel with 23% methacrylation ratio

#### c) Bioprinter development and optimization.

We have established a strategy to print the HA-GM hydrogel into dome shapes to resemble the native environment for retina development. To improve the cell adhesion within the

hydrogel, we added another printing material, methacrylated gelatin, GelMa, into the HA-GM hydrogel. As the hydrolysis product of collagen, gelatin could facilitate the adhesion of cells due to its chemical structure. We used lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate (LAP) as the free radical generator. LAP has a local absorbance maximum at approximately 375 nm and significant absorbance at 365 nm. The pre-polymer resin included HA-GM, GelMa and LAP. Cell could be mixed into the resin if encapsulation is needed for year 2 tasks.



Fig. 3 shows the 3D printing apparatus developed for this project. Briefly, a UV light

**Figure 3**. Setup of the 3D printer: (Left) The picture of the main part of the 3D bioprinter with labeled components as A: DMD chip; B: lens system to project UV light onto the DMD chip; C: lenses that collimate the light; D: stage that holds the pre-polymer resin. (Right) Schematic showing the components in the 3D bioprinter.

source (Omnicure S2000, 365nm) is used for photo-polymerization. Patterns are generated by Adobe Photoshop and transferred to a digital-mirror array device (DMD) by in-house software. The DMD chip is used as an optical mask for projecting patterns of light onto the pre-polymer solution for photopolymerization. Once the light passes through the lenses, it is collimated to form a precise image on the pre-polymer solution. The stage could move in all three dimensions, dictated by the design image from the computer. By changing the height of the stage, a 3D polymer scaffold with or without cell can thus be fabricated layer by layer.

#### d) Layer-by-layer printing using hyaluronic acid - glycidyl methacrylate hydrogel.

The printer enabled us to construct complex structures with the biomaterials. For example, we could print a dome structure with softer material as the core, followed by a harder material as a shell, as shown in **Fig. 4**. Briefly, HA-GM was printed as the first layer in a cylindrical shape with thickness of 125  $\mu$ m and diameter of 1,500 $\mu$ m. The shell was printed with HA-GM and GelMA mixture. It was printed in the same shape as the first layer with a thickness of 250  $\mu$ m and diameter of 2,500  $\mu$ m. With our printer and biomaterial, we could deliver a layer-by-layer printing strategy for retina regeneration *in vitro*.





**Figure 4**. A dome structure constructed by the 3D printer using biocompatible HA-GM and GelMA hydrogel. Green color comes from fluorescein isothiocyante-dextran in HA-GM as the first layer; red color comes from the tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate-dextran in HA-GM and GelMA as the second layer. (Left) fluorescent image, (right) bright-field image. Scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m

#### e) Layer-by-layer printing encapsulating retinal stem cells.

We developed the layer-by-layer (LBL) bioprinting system for the encapsulation of living cells, particularly retinal stem cells (RSCs). The cell-loaded structures were cultured in vitro to evaluate cell viability and investigate the interactions between cells and the microenvironment created by bioprinting.

Briefly, the RSCs were mixed with the monomer solution made of HA-GM and GelMa by gentle pipetting. The cell-loaded solution was then loaded to the stage for bioprinting. A coreshell structure was printed to mimic the curved layer-by-layer fashion of the native retina tissues (**Figure 5**). This design was also expected to introduce a gradient of nutrition and growth factor diffusion from the outside to the inside of the dome structure, which could guide the orientation of the cells to mimic the highly ordered retinal cells including photoreceptors, ganglion cells and bipolar cells. As shown in Figure 5, we were also able to vary the cell density in each layer to investigate the optimal condition for the differentiation of the RSCs into the cells of different layers in the retinal tissue. The cell density in the core part was 30 million per ml and the cell density in the shell was 15 million per ml.



*Figure 5. Bioprinted core-shell structure encapsulated with RSCs. Scale bar: 500 µm.* 

After 10 days of *in vitro* culture, we found that some RSCs were spreading in the hydrogel structure along the direction of the radius as well as the interface between the core and the shell (**Figure 6**). Immunofluorescence staining also showed the expression of photoreceptor-specific reporter IRBP-GFP (green) and recoverin (red), indicating photoreceptor induction with the help of differentiation medium (**Figure 7**).



**Figure 6.** Encapsulated cells spreading in the shell layer (left) and the interface between the core and the shell at day 10. Scale bar: 250 µm.



**Figure 7.** Characterization of RSC differentiation to photoreceptors at day 10. Green: photoreceptor-specific reporter IRBP-GFP. Red: recoverin. Scale bar: 250 µm.

To construct a multi-layered structure to facilitate the differentiation of RSCs, a single layered hRPE cells is desired. A uniform spreading of hRPE cells mimics the *in vitro* environment during the retinal formation, thus secreting the necessary growth factors and differentiation signals for the RSCs. We have prepared a single layered hRPE cells by encapsulating the cells into the GelMa solution and printed a thin layer on the cover glass, as shown in **Fig. 8**. At day 2, the hRPE cells were still encapsulated in the gel without spreading. After 4 more days, the cells have detected the stiffer glass surface and migrated to form a single layer.



*Figure 8*. Formation of single layered hPRE cells: Left: 2 days after printing. Right: 6 days after printing. Scale bar =  $200 \ \mu m$ 

#### f) Differentiation medium development.

To develop an effective culturing medium for maintaining hfRPC and differentiation, we tried several different combinations and eventually set one standard method to culture the hfRPC and a modified medium for the photoreceptor differentiation. The difference between these different methods were measured by the proliferation rates of the hfRPC (Fig. 9),



Figure 9. White field image of under culture hfRPC.

Different culture mediums were tested for the expansion and differentiation of hfRPCs. The hfRPC expansion medium A (DMEM/ham's F12 nutrient mixture, N2&B27 supplements, 0.05% BSA, 2mM L-GlutaMAX, 0.1mM MEM non-essential amino acids, 0.1mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 2 $\mu$ M SB431542, 50nM LDN193189, and 1 $\mu$ M IWP2) was tested. This serum-free medium supplemented by small molecule was capable to expanse hfRPC up to 5 passages with the morphology maintained. Besides, the hfPRC-expansion medium B (Ultraculture serum-free medium with 10ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 20ng/ml beta-fibroblast growth factor, 2mM L-glutamine) was also utilized. The expansion medium B had shown more benefits on hfRPC proliferation and managed to reserve the cell morphology for more than 10 passages. For the photoreceptor generation from hfRPC, the hfRPC differentiation medium (DMEM/ham's F12 nutrient mixture + Neurobasal 1:1, N2&B27 supplements, 0.05% BSA, 2mM L-GlutaMAX, 50nM docosahexaenoic acid, 1 $\mu$ M IWP2+DACT, 100nM purmophine, 100nM retinoic acid and 100 $\mu$ M taurine) was tested.

In order to trace the process of differentiation from hfRPC to photoreceptor cells we labeled the hfRPC with GFP by lentivirus (Fig. 10)



Figure 10. (a) Vector map of lentivirus-GFP, (b) GFP labeled hfRPC

#### g) Bi-layer construct of hRPE and RSC mimicking native retinal development.

We carried out bioprinting of both human hRPEs and hfRPCs in two-layer setup. We then codifferentiated two cells types to induce photoreceptor cells differentiation from hfRPCs. The differentiation was confirmed by both axon formation and immunostaining of recoverin, which is a unique protein marker in photoreceptors.



Figure 11. Two Layer bioprinting of hfRPC and hRPE

**Fig. 11** shows the schematic setup of the two-layer bioprinting of the two cell types. During native retinal development *in vivo*, the two cell types have different extracellular matrices (ECMs). The hRPEs are mostly surrounded by collagen while the hfRPCs reside in a hyaluronic acid environment. To mimic the collagen ECM, the hRPE cells are encapsulated in gelatin methacrylate (GelMa) as the first layer. This layer is about 125  $\mu$ m thick and cultured three days prior to the second layer so that the hRPE cells will form a single cell sheet. The second layer is the cells encapsulated in both GelMa and hyaluronic acid-glycidyl methacrylate (HA-GM) with thickness of 250  $\mu$ m. Two layers are adjacent to each other so that the growth factors secreted by hRPEs will assist the differentiation process of the hfRPCs.

To further facilitate the co-differentiation, we added COCO human recombinant protein. The differentiation was examined by immunology staining of recoverin, which is a unique protein in photoreceptors.



*Figure 12.* Co-culturing *f*RPC and *h*RPE after 4 days. (a) Bright filed image; (b) Confocal microscopy image showing immunostaining of: recoverin (red), RPE 66 (green) and DAPI (blue)

In **Fig. 12**, both the bright filed and confocal images of the two-layer co-differentiation after 4 days of culturing are presented. Small fractions of axial formation from RPCs were observed in the bright field image, indicating small degree of differentiation of the fRPCs. The immunostaining of photoreceptor-specific recoverin indicates that some of the fRPCs have differentiated into photoreceptors. The absence of RPE 66 suggests that the cells are from fRPCs. However, large-scale photoreceptors were not present. Additionally, the hRPE layer should withstand the whole differentiation period, which is about 14 days. Currently, the hRPEs will digest the GelMa layer within 5 days. We further improved the setup by using channels to separate the two cell layers. The new design will use much smaller channels and the fRPCs will be positioned within those channels. The hRPE layer will be encapsulated in more biologically stable material at the other sides to provide essential growth factor for the differentiation.

To solve the problem of declining s expansion and differentiation due to hRPEs overgrowth, we proposed to control the hRPEs migration by decreasing the material degradation rate. We investigated the viability of encapsulated hRPEs in less biodegradable material to retain the stability of the hRPE layer. We examined materials made up of 2.5% gelatin-methacrylate (GelMa) with poly (ethylene-glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) of various molecular weight, as well as concentrations (**Fig. 13**). Ideally, the hRPEs will expand in an isotropic manner and form a sheet after packing with each other. We observed that the cells, however, stretched anisotropically after 3 days of encapsulation. The cell-cell connections were not present. Our observations indicated that the encapsulation will not achieve the single layer formation by the hRPEs.



Figure 13. hRPE cell encapsulation with 2.5% GelMa and PEGDA with molecular weight of (a)  $6000 \text{ Da and } (b) 10000 \text{ Da at day 3. Scale bar} = 500 \mu m$ 

To prevent from being marginalized by the growing hRPEs, instead of using a less biodegradable material to delay the hRPEs growth, we chose to take an alternative by separating the two cell types vertically. We designed a bilayer *in vitro* co-culture structure as shown in **Fig. 14a**. The structure will have a channel, held by a non-degradable wall which is linked by a rigid connector to a boarder. Later this structure will be flipped and put on top of a petri dish-cultured hRPE layer to co-differentiate the two cell types together. The boarder will be higher than the centrum components so that there will be a space between the fRPCs and hRPEs to mimic the neural retinal development *in vivo*. We conducted an initial proof-of-concept study on the structure as well as cell viability examination. At day 3, about 70% of the cells encapsulated in the channel are still alive, as shown in **Fig. 14b**.





Figure 14. (a) Schematic illustration of cell-encapsulated channel structures; (b) Cell viability test after 3D printing and encapsulation at day 3; (c) white image of hRPEs after 3D-printing day 1.

#### h) Co-differentiation of hRPE and RSC to induce photoreceptor formation.

We examined the differentiation protocol adapted from previous work. Previously we encountered low viability after printing. We hypothesized both the nutrition diffusion and the differentiation process could cause the cell death. Since only small fraction of the total cell population will be differentiated into photoreceptors, most of the s will undergo apoptosis and eventually die. To investigate how to minimize the cell death, we designed experiment by differentiating single cell type, the fRPCs, and studied the cell viabilities after 7 days. We took both bright field and immunostaining images to exam the differentiation process and the impact on cell survival. We took a white field image, as shown in **Fig. 15**. The arrows indicated apoptotic cells during differentiation



*Figure 15.* Differentiation of fRPCs into photoreceptor-like cells shown in white field image and fluorescent image

The immunostaining of recoverine, one of the signature proteins in photoreceptors, were further conducted to assess the maturation of fRPCs into photoreceptors, as shown in Fig. 16. Instead of anisotropic original round shape, the fRPCs have grown isotropically and developed interaction with an adjacent cell. This change of morphology indicated that cells have matured into later stage. The immunostaining of recoverine further confirmed that photoreceptors have formed from the fRPCs.



Figure 16. Immunostaining of photoreceptor signature protein recoverine to evaluate the maturation of fRPCs. Recoverine = green, DAPI = blue

However, the confocal reconstruction did not reveal the polarity of the cells in details. Unlike native environment where hierarchy is readily observed, the single cell culturing did not incorporate any situation for the cells to demonstrate polarity. This observation pinpointed that we need to introduce hierarchical environment such as competition or affiliation to demonstrate the polarity of cells during differentiation.

To maintain the stability of the fRPCs during differentiation, we modified the differentiation media by adding SU5402 and IWP2 to inhibit FGF and Wnt pathway. These modifications have been proven to have a positive effect during differentiation. With the adapted protocol, we observed photoreceptor maturation at day 14. As shown in **Fig. 17 (a)**, immunostaining of photoreceptor specific marker arrestin-3 indicated signature morphology of mature neurons. The expansion of the outer segment demonstrated development of rod photoreceptors. To further characterize the differentiation of fRPCs, we used western blot to examine the protein expression in the matrix. As shown in **Fig. 17 (b)**, the protein profile indicated abundance of both rhodopsin and M-opsin proteins after co-culturing for 14 days, which pinpointed formation of both rod and cone photoreceptors. Furthermore, we conducted real-time qPCR to verify the neuron formation. As shown in **Fig. 17 (c)**, the increase in presence of key neuron specific proteins such as Brn3, MATH5 and ISL-1 confirmed the maturation of photoreceptors using the bi-layer construct.



*Figure 17* (a) Immunostaining of cone photoreceptor specific protein arrestin-3 at day 14. (b) Western blot and (c) qPCR quantification of neuron-specific protein indicating photoreceptor maturation.

As a conclusion, we have demonstrated bioprinting of multi-layer structure with cell-ECM matrix. Our immunostaining, as well as protein profiling results indicated that our coculture system could recapitulate the native retinal development environment and enhance the maturation of photoreceptors.

#### **What opportunities for training and professional development have the project provided?** Nothing to Report

#### How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

We have presented our research results in several invited talks in university seminars and professional meetings such as the Pre Retina Society Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, PA and Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine International Society (TERMIS) annual meetings.

**What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?** Nothing to Report

#### 4. Impact

#### What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

The layer-by-layer 3D bioprinting method will be a viable tool for retinal research. It could also be translational for future clinical uses in retina tissue regeneration. The hydrogel biomaterials

will also be useful for creating retinal tissue constructs that could be used for basic research such as retina disease modeling.

#### What was the impact on other disciplines?

The 3D bioprinting process is versatile in that it can be applied to other cell and tissue types. The 3D-printed retinal tissues could be used as in vitro models for early drug screening.

#### What was the impact on technology transfer?

We have created a startup company – Allegro 3D, Inc. in 2016.

#### What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

Development of artificial retina tissues will change the clinical landscape by eliminating the current dependency on retina donor tissue and by providing a new strategy for restoring vision that would otherwise be lost in soldiers with severe retina blindness. The proposed 3D bioprinting and stem cell engineering represent an integration of emerging technologies that are truly novel for retina repair and regeneration.

#### 5. Changes/Problems

Nothing to Report

#### 6. Products Journal Publications:

- S.-H. Pyo, P. Wang, H. H. Hwang, J. Warner, W. Zhu, S.C. Chen, "Continuous Optical 3D Printing of Green Aliphatic Polyurethanes", ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, December 20, 2016, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.6b12500
- 2. J. Warner, P. Soman, W. Zhu, M. Tom, and S.C. Chen, "Design and 3D Printing of Hydrogel Scaffolds with Fractal Geometries", *ACS Biomaterials Science*, Vol. 2 (10), pp 1763–1770, 2016.
- J. Liu, H. H. Hwang, P. Wang, G. Whang, S.C. Chen, "Direct 3D Printing of Cell-laden Constructs in Microfluidic Architectures", *Lab on a Chip*, Vol. 16, pp. 1430 – 1438, 2016. PMID: 26980159
- W. Zhu, X. Ma, M. Gou, D. Mei, K. Zhang, S. C. Chen, "3D Printing of Functional Biomaterials for Tissue Engineering," *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, Vol. 40, pp. 103–112, 2016. PMID: 27043763

#### **Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications:** None

#### Other publications, conference papers, and presentations:

We have given the following seminars and presentation

- Corinne Bower Lecture, entitled "3D Bioprinting: Materials, Fabrication, and Tissue Engineering", in the Pre Retina Society Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, PA, 2014 (Invited)
- "Micro- and Nanoscale 3D Bioprinting for Functional Tissue Scaffolds" Functional Analysis and Screening technologies Congress, Boston, MA, Nov. 17-19, 2014. (Invited)
- "Micro and Nanoscale 3D Bioprinting: Materials, Fabrication, and Tissue Engineering," (Distinguished Seminar) University of California at Davis, March 12, 2015. (Invited)
- "Applications and Breakthroughs in Tissue Engineering and Bioprinting What's Next?" in *Rock Stars of Innovation Summit*, San Diego, June 2015. (Invited)

- "Nano and Microscale 3D Bioprinting: An Enabling Technology for Personalized Regenerative Medicine", National Academy of Engineering (NAE) China-American Frontiers of Engineering Symposium, Irvine, CA, June 1-3, 2015. (Invited)
- "Genetics, Epigenetics, and Stem Cell Based Therapies for Blinding Eye Diseases", Dept. of Bioengineering, UC San Diego, May 1, 2015.
- "Micro and Nanoscale 3D Bioprinting for Functional Tissue Scaffolds", TERMIS 2015, Boston. (Invited)
- "Nano and Microscale Rapid 3D Printing for Functional Biomaterials", Mechanical Engineering Department, Univ of California at Berkeley, August 2015
- "Nano and Microscale Rapid 3D Printing for Regenerative Medicine", Yangming University, Taiwan, November 2015
- Keynote Talk: "Nano and Microscale Rapid 3D Printing for Regenerative Medicine", *5th ASME NanoEngineering for Medicine and Biology Conference (NEMB)*, Houston, Feb 22-23, 2016.
- "Rapid Scanningless 3D Printing: Vision, Status, and Research Needs," *NSF Additive Manufacturing for Health Workshop*, Arlington, VA, March 16-17, 2016.
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting: an Enabling Technology for Precision Medicine", Bioengineering Department, Rice University, Feb 22, 2016.
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting: an Enabling Technology for Creating Functional Tissue Models", Janssen Research & Development (Johnson and Johnson), March 2, 2016.
- "3D Bioprinting: An Enabling Technology for Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine", *Nature Conference on Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine* (Guangzhou, April 7–9, 2016).
- "3D Bioprinting: Interplay of Materials and Mechanics for Precision Tissue Engineering", Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department, UCSD, April 22, 2016.
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting for Functional Scaffolds and Tissue Models", Rady Children's Hospital, May 20, 2016
- "3D Bioprinting for Functional in vitro Tissue Models", 2016 World Congress on In Vitro Biology, San Diego, June 2016 (Invited)
- "3D Bioprinting: Interplay of Materials and Mechanics for Precision Tissue Engineering", School of Mechanical and Automobile Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, June 27, 2016.
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting for Precision Medicine", School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, July 11, 2016
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting for Functional Scaffolds and Precision Tissue Models", Distinguished Seminar, Eli and Edythe Broad CIRM Center for Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of Southern California, September 6, 2016.
- "3D Bioprinting for Functional in vitro Tissue Models", Center for Drug Discovery Innovation, UCSD, October 2016.
- "3D Printing of Functional Scaffolds and Precision Tissue Models", Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Alabama, Birmingham, October, 2016
- "Rapid 3D Printing of Functional Scaffolds and Precision Tissue Models", Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Duke University, October, 2016

- "Interplay of Materials and Mechanics in Rapid 3D Printing of Precision Tissues", Distinguished Seminar, Department of Medical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, November, 2016
- "3D BioPrinting: Technology Overview", Panelist for the workshop "Perspectives on the Commercialization of Bioprinting in Regenerative Medicine". TERMIS-AM Annual Meeting, San Diego, December 11, 2016 (Invited)
- "Interplay of Materials and Mechanics in 3D Scaffolds through Rapid 3D Printing" Panelist for the workshop "Development of New Biomaterial Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering". TERMIS-AM Annual Meeting, San Diego, December 11, 2016 (Invited)
- "Rapid 3D Bioprinting for Precision Tissue Engineering", Frontier Tech Forum San Diego, December 14, 2016 (Invited)
- "A 3D Printed Retinal Culture System for Photoreceptor Maturation" TERMIS 2016, San Diego.

Additionally, we and the Nature Journal Editors (*Nature Materials* and *Nature Materials Reviews* journals) organized a *Nature Conference on Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine* in 2016.

#### Website(s) or other Internet site(s)

Dr. Chen's lab website updates news and publications: <u>http://schen.ucsd.edu/lab/index.html</u> Dr. Chen's lab website updates news and publications: <u>http://zhanglab.ucsd.edu</u>

#### **Technologies or techniques**

Nothing to report

#### Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses

Nothing to report

#### **Other Products**

Nothing to report

#### 7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations

#### What individuals have worked on the project?

what mutviduals have worked on the project.		
Name	Shaochen Chen	
Project Role	PI	
Researcher Identifier	NA	
Nearest person month worked	1	
Contribution to Project	Supervised the project, designed the experiments, and advised	
	the graduate students	
Funding Support	Partially from this grant.	

Name	Kang Zhang			
Project Role	Co-PI			
Researcher Identifier	NA			
Nearest person month worked	1			
Contribution to Project	Co-supervised the project, co-designed the experiments, and			

	co-advised the graduate students
Funding Support	Partially from this grant.

Name	Wei Zhu
Project Role	Graduate Student
Researcher Identifier	NA
Nearest person month worked	6
Contribution to Project	Carried out the experiments, and analyzed the results
Funding Support	Partially from this grant.

Name	Pengrui Wang		
Project Role	Graduate Student		
Researcher Identifier	NA		
Nearest person month worked	10		
Contribution to Project	Carried out the experiments, and analyzed the results		
Funding Support	Partially from this grant.		

# Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

Nothing to report

#### What other organizations were involved as partners?

Nothing to report

#### 8. Special Reporting Requirements

See the Quad Chart in Appendices

#### 9. Appendices

#### Selected Abstracts for presentation in university seminars and conferences

1) Corinne Bower Lecture, Pre Retina Society Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, PA, 2014

3D Bioprinting: Materials, Fabrication, and Tissue Engineering Shaochen Chen, Ph.D. Professor of NanoEngineering and Bioengineering Departments Co-Director, Biomaterials & Tissue Engineering Center, Institute of Engineering in Medicine, University of California, San Diego

#### Abstract

The goal of our laboratory is to develop micro- and nano-scale bioprinting techniques for the direct-write of 3D designer scaffolds used for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. In this talk, I will present my laboratory's recent research efforts in femtosecond laser nano-printing and projection 3D bioprinting to create 3D scaffolds using a variety of biomaterials. These 3D biomaterials are functionalized with precise control of micro-architecture, mechanical (e.g. stiffness and Poisson's ratio), chemical, and biological properties. Design, fabrication, and experimental results will be discussed. Such functional biomaterials allow us to investigate cell-microenvironment interactions at nano- and micro-scales in

response to integrated physical and chemical stimuli. From these fundamental studies we can create both *in vitro* and *in vivo* tissue models for precision tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

2) (Distinguished Seminar) University of California at Davis, March 12, 2015

### 3D Bioprinting: An Enabling Technology for Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine

Shaochen Chen, Ph.D. Professor of NanoEngineering and Bioengineering Departments Co-Director, Biomaterials & Tissue Engineering Center Institute of Engineering in Medicine University of California, San Diego

#### Abstract

My laboratory aims to develop micro- and nano-scale bioprinting techniques for the direct-write of 3D designer scaffolds used for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. In this talk, I will present my laboratory's recent research efforts in 3D nano-printing and rapid 3D bioprinting to create 3D scaffolds using a variety of biomaterials. These 3D biomaterials are functionalized with precise control of micro-architecture, mechanical (e.g. stiffness and Poisson's ratio), chemical, and biological properties. Design, fabrication, and experimental results will be discussed. Such functional biomaterials allow us to investigate cell-microenvironment interactions at nano- and micro-scales in response to integrated physical and chemical stimuli. From these fundamental studies we can create both *in vitro* and *in vivo* tissue models for precision tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

3) National Academy of Engineering (NAE) China-American Frontiers of Engineering Symposium, Irvine, CA, June 1-3, 2015.

# Nano and Microscale 3D Bioprinting: An Enabling Technology for Personalized Regenerative Medicine

Shaochen Chen, Ph.D.

Professor of NanoEngineering and Bioengineering Departments Co-Director, Biomaterials & Tissue Engineering Center, Institute of Engineering in Medicine, University of California, San Diego

#### Abstract

In my laboratory, we develop micro- and nano-scale bioprinting techniques for the direct-write of 3D designer scaffolds used for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. In this talk, I will present my laboratory's recent research efforts in femtosecond laser nano-printing and projection 3D bioprinting to create 3D scaffolds using a variety of biomaterials. These 3D biomaterials are functionalized with precise control of physical, mechanical, chemical, and biological properties, aiming for personalized medicine. Design, fabrication, and experimental results will be discussed. Such functional biomaterials allow us to investigate cell-microenvironment interactions at nano- and micro-scales in response to integrated physical and chemical stimuli. From these fundamental studies we can create both *in vitro* and *in vivo* tissue models for precision tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

4) Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine International Society (TERMIS) 2016 annual meeting, December 11-14, San Diego.

"A **3D** Printed Retinal Culture System for Photoreceptor Maturation", Pengrui Wang, Wenqiu Wang, Wei Zhu, Kang Zhang, Shaochen Chen

The functional maturation of retinal photoreceptors, especially ganglion cells, from retinal stem cells (RSCs) are essential for personalized *in vitro* drug screening and potential *in vivo* retinal neuron repair. The expansion of ganglion cells is tightly related to the spatial arrangement of surrounding cell types such as the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and distribution of growth factors during embryonic eye development. While many studies have established systems to facilitate the maturation process, no study has demonstrated *in vitro* differentiation of ganglion cells from RSCs. The application of 3D printing technology to tissue engineering has enabled patterning of multiple cell types in a hierarchical biomimetic manner that can promote differentiation of RSCs. Here we present a 3D hydrogel-based co-culture model that isolated RSCs from RPE cells in a physiologically relevant 3D environment to mimic their original positioning during development stages. In comparison to 2D co-culturing system and single cell type model, our 3D construct show both phenotypic and developmental enhancements in the RSCs over weeks of culture. We used real-time, non-invasive quantitative phase imaging and immunochemistry staining to analyze the cell morphology changes. Our electrophysiological results also identified cell function by their distinctive discharge and membrane properties.

## Layer-by-Layer Bioprinting of Stem Cells for Retinal Tissue Regeneration

PI: Shaochen Chen and Kang Zhang

Org: University of California, San Diego Award Amount: \$250,000

## Study/Product Aim(s)

• Aim 1: Develop and optimize a 3D bioprinting method with encapsulated retinal stem cells

• Aim 2: Layer-by-layer bioprinting of *in vitro* retina PRs /RPE/Bruch's membrane tissues

### Approach

We hypothesize that through layer-by-layer bioprinting with retinal stem cells and appropriate growth factors (GF) encapsulated in a biomaterial (e.g. hyaluronic acid, HA), we can regenerate the anatomically correct retina in a biomimetic fashion, thus creating a paradigm shift in retinal tissue engineering.

## Timeline and Cost

Activities CY	14	15	16	17
Aim 1				
Aim 2				
Estimated Budget (\$K)	\$125k	\$125k	\$000	\$000



(Left) A schematic of the 3D bioprinting system, (Top Right) Bioprinted core-shell structure (scale=500 µm), (Down Right) Immunostaining of cone photoreceptor specific protein arrestin-3

#### **Goals/Milestones**

CY14 Goal –3D bioprinting development

- $\boxdot$  Synthesis of HA-GM
- Mechanical Testing of HA-GM
- $\boxdot\,$  3D printing of HA-GM
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  Create scaffolds with growth factors and retinal stem cells
- CY15 Goals LBL bioprinting of in vitro retina tissues
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  Print HA scaffolds with retinal stem cells and different growth factors
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$  Characterize biological function of the 3D retinal tissue constructs