

Candidate medical countermeasures targeting Ebola virus cell entry

Janie Liang¹, Rohit K. Jangra², Sheli R. Radoshitzky³, Jiro Wada¹, Laura Bollinger¹, Kartik Chandran², Jens H. Kuhn^{1,*}, and Kenneth S. Jensen¹

¹Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, USA; ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA; ³United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, USA

*Corresponding author: JHK: Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick (IRF-Frederick), Division of Clinical Research (DCR), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH), B-8200 Research Plaza, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA; Phone: +1-301-631-7245; Fax: +1-301-631-7389; Email:

kuhnjens@mail.nih.gov

Keywords: antiviral; Ebola virus; ebolavirus; EBOV; entry inhibitor; *Filoviridae*; filovirus; MCM; medical countermeasure; viral hemorrhagic fever; VHF; virus cell entry

Disclaimer

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the US Department of the Army, the US Department of Defense, the US Department of Health and Human Services, or of the institutions and companies affiliated with the authors.

Funding

This work was supported in part through Battelle Memorial Institute's prime contract with the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) under Contract No.

HHSN272200700016I. J.L. and K.J. performed this work as employees of Battelle Memorial Institute. A subcontractor to Battelle Memorial Institute who performed this work is J.H.K., an employee of Tunnell Government Services, Inc.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

1 **Abstract**

2 Medical countermeasures against virus infections ideally prevent the adsorption or entry of
3 virions into target cells, thereby circumventing infection. Recent significant advances in
4 elucidating the mechanism of Ebola virus (EBOV) host-cell penetration include the involvement
5 of two-pore channels at the early stage of entry, and identification of cellular proteases for
6 EBOV spike glycoprotein maturation and the intracellular EBOV receptor, NPC1. This
7 improved understanding of the initial steps of EBOV infection is now increasingly applied to
8 rapid development of candidate medical countermeasures, some of which have already entered
9 the clinic. In this review, we summarize the currently known spectrum of EBOV cell entry
10 inhibitors, describe their mechanism of action, and their potential for future development.

11 **Background**

12 Ebola virus (EBOV) is one of four members of the mononegaviral family *Filoviridae* that causes
13 Ebola virus disease (EVD). EVD is a human viral hemorrhagic fever with an extremely high
14 case-fatality rate (mean $\approx 42\%$) [1]. In the past, EVD outbreaks have been locally confined to
15 small areas in Middle and Eastern Africa, encompassing maximally a few hundred cases (1,403
16 cases total since the discovery of EBOV in 1976 to 2013) [1]. Consequently, EBOV had the
17 status of an “exotic” pathogen, and only limited resources were allocated to perform EBOV
18 research. Such research requires performance in maximum containment (BSL-4) facilities.

19 From 2013 to 2016, EBOV caused an extraordinary EVD outbreak in Western Africa that
20 involved 28,646 human infections and 11,323 deaths [2]. Developing medical countermeasures
21 (MCMs) against EVD has since become high priority for the World Health Organization and
22 large national medical institutions such as the National Institutes of Health in the US. Large
23 emergency funds have been made available to maximum containment facilities and their
24 collaborators to identify strategies to prevent and contain EVD [3, 4]. These strategies include
25 the development of candidate vaccines (including post-exposure prophylactic vaccines) [5] and
26 candidate antivirals, including EBOV-specific antibodies and small molecules [6]. Here, we
27 focus on one aspect of candidate antiviral research, i.e., EBOV cell-entry inhibitors.

28 **Ebola virus**

29 EBOV has a linear, nonsegmented, monopartite, single-stranded RNA genome of negative
30 polarity that encodes seven structural and at least three nonstructural proteins [7-10]. The
31 structural proteins nucleoprotein (NP), phosphoprotein (VP35), matrix protein (VP40), surface
32 glycoprotein (GP_{1,2}), transcriptional cofactor (VP30), secondary matrix protein (VP24), and

33 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L) assemble into enveloped particles and are necessary and
34 sufficient for genome replication, gene transcription, and virion formation [11, 12]. The three
35 nonstructural proteins sGP, ssGP, and Δ -peptide are secreted in high amounts from EBOV-
36 infected cells [8-10], but their function in the EBOV lifecycle is still rather unclear.

37 The only viral protein protruding from the Ebola virion envelope is GP_{1,2}. Consequently,
38 GP_{1,2} is the primary target of host antibodies. Functionally, GP_{1,2} alone mediates virion
39 adsorption to host-cell surfaces, receptor binding, fusion of the virion envelope with host-cell
40 membranes, and release of the viral ribonucleocapsid into the host-cell cytosol [13]. MCMs
41 aiming at disrupting the EBOV cell-entry process therefore all target either GP_{1,2} directly or its
42 direct or indirect interactors.

43 GP_{1,2} is produced via co-transcriptional editing of the mRNA derived from the fourth
44 EBOV gene (*GP*) [8, 9]. The contiguous mRNA is translated into a typical preprotein (pre-
45 GP), which after signal peptide cleavage is proteolytically processed by furin into GP₁ and GP₂
46 subunits. GP₁ and GP₂ subunits remain attached to each other as disulfide-bridged heterodimers
47 [14]. These dimers homotrimerize to form typical class I fusion machines that are transported to
48 the cell membrane and from there are incorporated into budding EBOV particles. GP₁ contains
49 the receptor-binding site [15, 16], whereas GP₂ contains a hydrophobic fusion peptide at the N-
50 terminus followed by N- and C-terminal heptad repeats (NHR and CHR, respectively) and a
51 transmembrane domain that anchors the GP_{1,2} trimer to the membrane [16-19].

52 **Ebola virion host-cell entry**

53 The initiation of EBOV host-cell entry is incompletely understood. It is currently hypothesized
54 that GP_{1,2} interacts with host-cell surface attachment factors, such as integrins [20], lectins [21-

55 [23](#)], T-cell immunoglobulin mucin domain protein 1 and/or 4 (TIM-1/4) [\[24-27\]](#), or Tyro3
56 receptor tyrosine kinase family members such as Axl, Dtk, and/or Mer (Figure 1) [\[28\]](#). These
57 interactions then initiate virion internalization into endosomes through a process that shares
58 features with macropinocytosis [\[29-32\]](#). As the hijacked endosome matures into the late
59 endosome, its acidic environment triggers cellular cysteine proteases, cathepsin B and cathepsin
60 L, to proteolytically process GP_{1,2} [\[33, 34\]](#). This processing results in the removal of a large
61 portion of the GP₁ subunit (the protective “glycan cap”), resulting in a much smaller (“19-kD”)
62 GP_{1,2} trimer with an exposed receptor-binding site [\[33, 35, 36\]](#). This trimer subsequently
63 interacts with Niemann-Pick disease, type C1 (NPC1), a multi-spanning membrane protein
64 normally involved in cholesterol trafficking [\[37, 38\]](#). The interaction between 19-kD GP_{1,2} and
65 NPC1 is necessary, but not sufficient, to trigger GP₂-mediated fusion of the virion envelope and
66 the endosomal membrane, indicating the existence of an additional, unidentified cellular fusion
67 trigger factor [\[37, 39-42\]](#). Two-pore channels (TPCs) 1 and 2 have been implicated as cellular
68 factors that are needed to initiate viral membrane fusion [\[43, 44\]](#). TPCs play critical roles in
69 endocytic trafficking [\[45\]](#), and therefore most likely inhibit virion delivery to late endosomes or
70 endolysosomes.

71 By analogy to other class I viral membrane fusion machines, fusion triggering is
72 proposed to involve release of the GP₂ fusion loops from their interactions with the body of the
73 GP_{1,2} trimer, and their insertion into the target endosomal membrane [\[46\]](#). Further inferred
74 conformational changes in GP₂ lead to the formation of a six-helix bundle (6HB) comprising
75 three NHR and three CHR sequences. 6HB formation is proposed to drive the formation and
76 expansion of fusion pores that is a prerequisite for cytoplasmic escape of the viral
77 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) core [\[46\]](#). The acidic environment of late endosomes plays a role in

78 GP₂-mediated fusion, triggering conformational changes in the fusion loops associated with
79 target membrane insertion and the formation and/or stabilization of the 6HB [47-49]. Recent
80 live-cell imaging studies delineate late endosomes and/or hybrid endolysosomes as the
81 compartments where ebolaviral membrane fusion takes place [40, 41].

82 **Ebola virus cell-entry inhibitors**

83 Candidate MCMs, which include antibodies, small molecules, and peptides, can target various
84 stages of the described EBOV cell-entry pathway. The majority of promising MCMs interrupt
85 virion attachment to cell-surface attachment factors, the intracellular EBOV receptor NPC1,
86 proteolytic processing of GP_{1,2}, or fusion of the viral envelope with the endosomal membrane.
87 These therapeutic agents can be designed to act directly against the Ebola virion (e.g., antibody
88 cocktails targeting the virion surface GP_{1,2}) or to act indirectly by targeting the host cell (e.g.,
89 prevent acidification of endosomes, inhibit cathepsins, block NPC1 receptor). Over the last
90 decade, EBOV surrogate systems have been developed to isolate the EBOV cell-entry step
91 without the need of biosafety level 4 (BSL-4) facilities. These systems include Ebola virion-like
92 particles (VLPs), transcriptionally active Ebola virion-like particles (trVLPs), vesiculoviral or
93 retroviral pseudotypes carrying EBOV GP_{1,2}, and recombinant rhabdoviruses expressing EBOV
94 GP_{1,2}. Through the incorporation of reporter genes such as enhanced green fluorescent protein
95 (eGFP) or luciferase, these surrogate systems were used in high-throughput screens for EBOV
96 cell-entry inhibitors in biosafety level 2 (BSL-2) environments [50-55]. For instance, a recent
97 high-throughput screen of 319,855 small molecules from the NIH Molecular Libraries Small
98 Molecule Repository (MLSMR) library identified nine novel compounds that prevented EBOV
99 infection *in vitro* by blocking either virion-cell surface attachment, macropinocytosis-mediated
100 virion uptake, or endosomal trafficking [52].

101 To accelerate identification of anti-EBOV MCMs and their introduction into the clinic,
102 several groups focused on screening compounds that have already been approved by the US
103 Federal Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of other human diseases [56-59]. For instance,
104 Kouznetsova *et al.* recently identified 53 FDA-approved compounds that can inhibit entry of
105 Ebola VLPs, including microtubule inhibitors (e.g., colchicine, nocodazole, vincristine), estrogen
106 receptor modulators (e.g., raloxifene, tamoxifene, toremiphen), antihistamines (e.g., clemastine,
107 maprotiline), antipsychotics/antidepressants (e.g., clomipramine, trifluoperazine), ion channel
108 antagonists (e.g., digoxin, propafenone), and anticancer agents/antibiotics (e.g. azithromycin,
109 clarithromycin) [56].

110 Johansen *et al.* identified 171 different anti-EBOV compounds in a high-throughput
111 screen, 80 of which are FDA-approved with significant activity against Ebola VLPs. Two
112 therapeutics, sertraline, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, and bepridil, a calcium channel
113 blocker, inhibited EBOV cell entry *in vitro* and *in vivo* [58]. C57Bl/6 laboratory mice injected
114 with mouse-adapted EBOV and treated twice daily, starting one hour after inoculation, with
115 either sertraline or bepridil (10 mg/kg and 12 mg/kg, respectively) for 10 days had a significant
116 survival benefit (70% and 100% survival rate, respectively) compared to mice treated with a
117 vehicle control [58]. The exact mechanism of action against EBOV of most of these compounds
118 remains to be determined.

119 *Virion-targeting antibodies*

120 The possibility of using EBOV-neutralizing anti-GP_{1,2} antibodies as possible therapeutic agents
121 was first examined during an EVD outbreak in Zaire (today Democratic Republic of the Congo)
122 in 1995. Of the eight patients with EVD treated with convalescent plasma from EVD survivors,

123 seven survived [60]. However, whether convalescent plasma directly led to recovery could never
124 be determined [61] because the treated individuals also received supportive treatment.

125 The use of serum-based therapeutics is fraught with challenges such as possible
126 transmission of blood-borne pathogens or graft-versus-host disease. Moreover, EVD
127 convalescent plasma and serum may enhance EBOV infectivity at least *in vitro* [62]. Moreover,
128 not all EVD survivors mount a strong neutralizing antibody response, necessitating the pre-
129 screening and standardization of convalescent plasma batches prior to transfusion. To avoid
130 these potential complications, researchers have focused their attention on developing therapies
131 that use highly purified antibodies targeting neutralizing epitopes on EBOV GP_{1,2}.

132 The first purified anti-EBOV antibody to be extensively studied *in vitro* and *in vivo* was
133 KZ52, which was isolated from a human survivor of the 1995 EVD outbreak [63-65]. KZ52 was
134 found to bind the GP₁-GP₂ interface, rather than as expected to the more exposed surface of the
135 GP_{1,2} trimer [16]. Importantly, KZ52 protected guinea pigs (*Cavia porcellus*) from death after
136 inoculation with guinea pig-adapted EBOV [64], but failed to have a beneficial effect on EBOV-
137 exposed rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) [65].

138 One promising monoclonal antibody, mAb114 isolated from a human survivor of EVD
139 binds to an epitope that spans the EBOV GP₁ glycan cap and the GP₁ core. Importantly, mAb114
140 remains bound to GP_{1,2} after cathepsin cleavage and inhibits binding of proteolytically cleaved
141 GP_{1,2} to NPC1 [66]. mAb114 protected rhesus monkeys when administered at 50 mg/kg starting
142 1 day or 5 days after EBOV injection, followed by two additional mAb doses at 24-hour
143 intervals [67]. Another monoclonal antibody, FVM04, also showed promise. FVM04 binds to a
144 surface-exposed portion of the EBOV GP₁ receptor-binding site, thereby blocking the interaction

145 between GP₁ and NPC1 [68]. FVM04 protected laboratory mice and guinea pigs infected with
146 rodent-adapted EBOV or its antigenically distant relative, Sudan virus (SUDV) [68].
147 Identification of ebolavirus cross-neutralizing antibodies that are efficacious as antiviral agents
148 in different animal models has been challenging due to the divergence of GP_{1,2} between EBOV
149 and related ebolaviruses. One reason may be that, during infection, conserved GP_{1,2} epitopes may
150 not be immunodominant. The host immune response may be monopolized by species-restricted
151 epitopes [69, 70]. A second potential reason is the shielding of highly conserved viral epitopes,
152 such as the receptor-binding site, in extracellular virions, with their exposure only occurring in
153 endosomal compartments not accessible to antibodies [37, 71].

154 Recently, multiple research groups have isolated monoclonal antibodies that are cross-
155 reactive for distinct ebolaviruses, and have some degree of cross-neutralization and cross-
156 protection [68-70, 72-75]. However, such ‘natural’ cross-reactive antibodies are rare, and cross-
157 neutralizing antibodies are rarer still. One potential solution to this problem is the recent
158 development of bispecific antibodies that combine the specificities of mAbs recognizing a highly
159 conserved (but non-neutralizing) surface-exposed GP_{1,2} epitope and either the highly conserved
160 (but inaccessible) GP₁ receptor-binding site or the endosomal NPC1 receptor [71]. Such “Trojan
161 horse” bispecific antibodies could hitch a ride into endosomes with virions, where they could
162 then engage the newly exposed GP₁ receptor-binding site or NPC1. Both available bispecific
163 antibodies neutralized all five known ebolaviruses (including EBOV), and one provided post-
164 exposure protection in mice against otherwise lethal exposure to EBOV or SUDV [71].

165 While antibody monotherapy may provide a simplified therapeutic strategy to treat EVD,
166 an antibody cocktail therapy may be more potent and less brittle to viral neutralization escape.
167 Potent GP₁-GP₂ interface-binding antibodies are therefore developed that work additively or

168 synergistically with GP₁ surface-binding antibodies. A direct result of this line of thinking was
169 ZMab, the earliest anti-EBOV antibody cocktail. ZMab consists of three different murine
170 antibodies (2G4, 4G7, and 1H3) that bind to three major GP_{1,2} epitopes: the GP₁-GP₂ interface,
171 the GP₁ glycan cap, and the GP₁ mucin-like domain [76]. Administered to crab-eating macaques
172 (*Macaca fascicularis*) at a dosage of 25 mg/kg at 24 hours after EBOV exposure, ZMab provided
173 100% protection from disease or death. At 48 hours after EBOV inoculation, 50% of treated
174 primates survived infection when ZMab [77]. These results indicated that ZMab could at the
175 very least be developed as post-exposure therapy for laboratory workers.

176 Additional antibody cocktails have recently been developed and tested *in vivo* using
177 nonhuman primates (NHPs) [68, 78, 79]. ZMapp consists of three antibodies, c13C6 from a
178 previously developed cocktail called MB-003 [80] and 2G4 and 4G7 from ZMab [76]. All three
179 monoclonal antibodies recognize conformational epitopes within the stem region of the GP_{1,2}
180 trimer or on GP₂. Administration of intravenous ZMapp at a dose of 50 mg/kg into EBOV-
181 infected rhesus monkeys with detectable viremia (by qRT-PCR) at 5 days post-inoculation
182 resulted in virus clearance at 21 days post-inoculation and animal survival [78]. ZMapp rose to
183 prominence when it was incorporated into treatment given to aid workers during the 2013–2016
184 EVD outbreak in Western Africa. Two EBOV-infected healthcare providers were given three
185 courses of ZMapp at a dose of 50 mg/kg each, three days apart. Both people fully recovered
186 within 20 days of the initial ZMapp treatment. However, as the healthcare providers also
187 received intensive fluid and electrolyte replacement therapy, their survival could not be
188 attributed to ZMapp-therapy alone [81]. Phase I/II clinical trials of ZMapp were launched in
189 early 2015 with two goals: (1) to assess the safety and pharmacokinetics of a single ZMapp dose
190 of 50 mg/kg in healthy adult volunteers (NCT02389192) and (2) to evaluate the clinical and

191 antiviral effects of ZMapp treatment with standard-of-care (SOC) compared to SOC alone in
192 patients who have been confirmed to be infected with EBOV in Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone,
193 and the United States (NCT02363322).[82] ZMapp plus current SOC (e.g., replacement IV
194 fluids, antiemetics, gastric acid inhibitors, antibiotics, antimalarials, antipyretics) were beneficial,
195 but results with ZMapp alone did not meet threshold of superiority over supportive care alone.
196 The estimated primary completion date for these trials is May 2017 and December 2016,
197 respectively.

198 While no EBOV escape variants have been identified from EBOV-infected NHPs or
199 human EVD patients that have received ZMapp, EBOV escape variants were detected in NHPs
200 treated with the MB-003 antibody cocktail [83]. These findings are a reminder that even
201 antibody cocktails may not prove to be the ultimate countermeasure against EBOV. Antibody-
202 treated patients should be monitored for the emergence of mutations in the EBOV *GP* gene open
203 reading frames that could lead to resistance to therapy. On the other hand, two of the three mAbs
204 in ZMapp (2G4 and 4G7) target the same GP_{1,2} epitope and EBOV could therefore escape from
205 both via the same mutation (Q508R). Developers of next-generation mAb cocktails will
206 therefore limit the possibility of virus escape by choosing antibodies all targeting separate
207 epitopes.

208 *Virion-targeting small molecule inhibitors*

209 EBOV GP_{1,2} is a highly *N*- and *O*-glycosylated glycoprotein. Consequently, glycan-binding
210 molecules (lectins) have been pursued as potential steric disrupters of the GP_{1,2} interaction with
211 cell-surface attachment factors. For instance, a chimeric L-ficolin/mannose-binding lectin (MBL)

212 molecule, which binds *N*-glycans, inhibits EBOV infection *in vitro* [84], and MBL alone can
213 protect laboratory mice from otherwise fatal infection with mouse-adapted EBOV [85].

214 A high throughput screen using HIV-1 pseudotypes carrying EBOV GP_{1,2} has identified a
215 benzodiazepine derivative termed Compound 7 as an effective transduction inhibitor. Compound
216 7 also inhibits entry of infectious EBOV with a 50% inhibitory concentration of 10 μM.
217 Computational modeling and mutational analysis indicate that Compound 7 binds to a
218 hydrophobic pocket at the GP₁/GP₂ interface in a prefusion conformation [86].

219 Salata *et al.* demonstrated that amiodarone, a multi-ion channel inhibitor that is used to
220 treat irregular heart rhythm, inhibits cell transduction with vesiculoviral pseudotypes carrying
221 EBOV GP_{1,2} *in vitro*. When the pseudotypes were treated with thermolysin, an enzyme that can
222 processes GP_{1,2} into the 19 kDa fusogenic form, prior to exposure to amiodarone-treated cells,
223 transduction was rescued. Amiodarone was also shown not to modify the total cell content of
224 cathepsins B and L. These results suggest that amiodarone functions by either disrupting the
225 processing of GP_{1,2} into the 19 kDa fusogenic form or that it prevents trafficking of the virion to
226 NPC1-positive cellular compartments [87]. Amiodarone and the related dronedarone were also
227 shown to inhibit infectious EBOV entry in cell culture [88].

228 Another promising small molecule is LJ001 that functions by intercalating into the viral
229 membrane of enveloped virions, thereby preventing virion-cell fusion. The survival rate of
230 laboratory mice exposed to mouse-adapted EBOV pretreated with LJ001 was 80%, whereas
231 mice that received an inactive version of LJ001 or a control vehicle did not survive. However,
232 LJ001 was not efficacious as a post-exposure therapeutic. LJ001 could be developed as an
233 effective therapeutic if the formulation potency and/or pharmacokinetic properties can be

234 improved [89]. Another membrane intercalator that inhibits EBOV infection *in vitro* is
235 teicoplanin [90], and arbidol, which also is highly effective against EBOV, may work in a similar
236 manner [91].

237 Finally, C-peptide inhibitors, synthetic peptides that are modeled to interact with specific
238 domains of a targeted fusion protein, have been generated to counter EBOV entry. These C-
239 peptides inhibit Ebola virion-host cell membrane fusion by binding to an NHR region of GP₂,
240 thereby preventing the NHR and CHR interaction and arresting the GP₂ conformational switch to
241 the 6HB. A modified C-peptide inhibitor, conjugated to an arginine-rich domain of endosome-
242 targeting HIV-1 Tat (“Tat-Ebo”) reduced the number of EBOV-infected cells by greater than
243 90% after 48 hours post-inoculation [92].

244 *Host cell-targeting antiviral agents and small molecule inhibitors*

245 Not much progress has been made with host cell-targeting strategies aimed at preventing EBOV
246 particle adsorption. This failure is likely due to EBOV particles binding to multiple, highly
247 diverse attachment factors [20-28]. However, proof-of-concept studies demonstrated that by
248 targeting individual attachment factors, EBOV infection can indeed be curtailed. For instance,
249 giant globular multivalent glycofullerenes, such as compounds 17a and 17c, successfully prevent
250 the EBOV particle interaction with dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3-
251 grabbing nonintegrin (DC-SIGN) [93].

252 Interrupting the cellular endocytotic pathway is a promising avenue to counter EBOV
253 infection because EBOV is critically dependent on endocytosis to gain entry into host cells.
254 Tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as genistein and tyrphostin AG1478, which are known disrupters
255 of endocytosis, indeed inhibit EBOV infection *in vitro* [94]. Likewise, molecules that prevent

256 acidification or lower the pH of the endosome (e.g., chloroquine, esomeprazole, omeprazole)
257 have shown promise in cell culture assays [57, 95].

258 Host-cell endosomal cysteine proteases, which process EBOV GP_{1,2} into the fusogenic
259 form in late endosomal compartments, are also tempting cellular targets for interruption of
260 EBOV infection. The cathepsin L inhibitor K11777 and synthesized vinylsulfone analogs indeed
261 inhibit transduction of target cells by EBOV GP_{1,2}-pseudotyped vesiculoviruses *in vitro* [96].
262 The pharmacokinetic profile of K11777 in rodents, dogs, and nonhuman primates is suggestive
263 of its safety in humans [97]. In addition, the cysteine-serine protease inhibitor leupeptin [34, 98],
264 broad-spectrum cysteine protease inhibitors (e.g., E64c[98], E-64d [33] and E-64 [99]), cathepsin
265 B inhibitors CA-074 [33, 98], CA-074Me [34, 98], cathepsin B downregulator nafamostat
266 mesilate [100], cathepsin L inhibitors (e.g., cathepsin L inhibitor III [98], Z-FY(tBu)-DMK [34],
267 CID 23631927 [101], 5705213 [102], 7402683 [102]), cathepsin B/L inhibitor FY-DMK [33],
268 and broad-spectrum cathepsin inhibitors, R11Et, R11P, R7Et, and R23Et [103] inhibit both cell
269 transduction with GP_{1,2}-carrying pseudotypes and infection with wild-type EBOV. However,
270 evaluation of these compounds in appropriate *in vivo* models of EVD has not yet been reported.

271 By targeting the function of the intracellular filovirus receptor NPC1, the EBOV GP₁-
272 NPC1 interaction is blocked. The small molecules 3.0 [104], 3.47 [104, 105], imipramine [38,
273 106], MBX2254 [54], MBX2270 [54], Ro47-8071 [107], and U18666A [107, 108] all target
274 NPC1 and reduce EBOV infectivity *in vitro*. While the mechanism of action of U18666A is not
275 completely understood, a high concentration of U18666A is hypothesized to interact with low
276 affinity with domain C of NPC1, the site at which EBOV GP₁ binds to NPC1 [108]. Basu *et al.*
277 hypothesized that MBX2254 and MBX2270 function in a manner similar to U18666A [54].

278 Selective estrogen receptor modulators (i.e., clomiphene, raloxifene, tamoxifene,
279 toremifene) also inhibit EBOV cell entry *in vitro* and *in vivo* [56, 59, 107]. C57Bl/6 laboratory
280 mice exposed to mouse-adapted EBOV and given clomiphene or toremifene (60 mg/kg) one
281 hour later had survival rates of 90% and 50%, respectively [59]. Both compounds induce
282 cholesterol accumulation in endosomes, similar as in Niemann-Pick disease. However, neither
283 compound disrupted the EBOV GP₁/NPC1 interaction, pointing towards a novel mechanism to
284 inhibit the EBOV cell entry pathway [107]. Recent evidence indicates that toremifene binds to a
285 cavity between the GP₁ and GP₂ subunits, inducing a conformational rearrangement. This
286 rearrangement might result in the premature release of the GP₂ subunit and conversion to a post-
287 fusion conformation that prevents fusion of the virion envelope with the endosomal membrane
288 [109].

289 TPCs, which are cation-selective ion channels, have also been implicated in promoting
290 EBOV cell entry. Disruption of calcium signaling pathways and TPCs *in vitro* and *in vivo* with
291 calcium signaling therapeutic agents such as verapamil, siRNAs RNAs, or small-molecule
292 inhibitors such as tetrandrine, significantly inhibit EBOV infection [43, 88]. Tetrandrine
293 prevented EBOV infection of human monocyte-derived macrophages *in vitro*. Furthermore, 50%
294 of BALB/c laboratory mice treated with tetrandrine (90 mg/kg) starting one day after exposure to
295 mouse-adapted EBOV survived [43].

296 **Conclusion and future perspective**

297 Inhibition of EBOV cell entry can be accomplished in a multitude of manners: disruption of the
298 virion interaction with the target cell; blocking the processing of GP_{1,2} by host proteases;
299 prevention of the GP₁ interaction with EBOV attachment factors or the endosomal receptor

300 NPC1; and prevention of virus-host cell membrane fusion. In this paper, we reviewed several
301 distinct candidate therapeutics targeting EBOV cell entry that have been identified through *in*
302 *vitro* and *in vivo* studies.

303 Unfortunately, most discussed candidate therapeutics (with the notable exception of
304 antibodies), have shown to be efficacious in *in vitro* or in rodent studies. As rodent models of
305 EVD do not fully capture the extent of manifestations observed in patients with EVD (e.g.,
306 coagulopathy, immune responses), additional studies in NHPs should be performed. Some of the
307 compounds were efficacious in rodents but not in the more stringent nonhuman primate models
308 of EVD. Additionally, the majority of rodent and the few nonhuman primate studies that have
309 been performed have administered the initial dose of candidate therapeutic relatively shortly after
310 virus exposure. Since EBOV-infected people may not receive treatment until after developing
311 clinical signs of EVD or until they test positive for EBOV infection, future studies should
312 examine the efficacy of anti-cell entry drugs at much later time points of infection. Currently,
313 only ZMapp has been shown to be efficacious in nonhuman primates after EBOV RNA was
314 detected in serum.

315 While all candidate therapeutics discussed here target the EBOV cell entry pathway, the
316 exact mechanisms of action of many compounds have yet to be determined. Some promising
317 anti-cell-entry compounds, such as the potassium channel inhibitor noricumazole A [[110](#)] or G
318 protein-coupled receptor antagonists [[111](#)], appear to be cell entry inhibitors as well, but their
319 mechanism of action is unclear. Additional studies evaluating molecular mechanisms of action
320 are needed to fully characterize these compounds and determine therapeutic potential.

321 *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies evaluating potential additive or even synergistic effects of
322 multiple compounds with different mechanisms of action have not been published thus far.
323 However, most EVD patients with access to modern healthcare will be treated following multiple
324 successive or parallel therapeutic avenues based on changing clinical parameters. Performing
325 studies with multiple compounds, possibly with the aim of developing synergistic drug cocktails,
326 should therefore become a priority if they can be performed in a statistically significant and
327 reproducible manner.

328 Although understanding of EBOV cell entry has increased substantially in recent years, a
329 number of questions remain. For example, several cell surface attachment factors have been
330 identified, but their roles in uptake of EBOV have not been fully defined. The interaction
331 between GP_{1,2} and NPC1 is required for viral fusion and release of viral genome into the
332 cytoplasm, but additional cellular fusion trigger factor(s) have not been fully elucidated. As steps
333 in the EBOV cell entry pathway become more defined, the identification of targets of inhibitors
334 will become more precise. When the mechanisms of action of the compounds described here
335 with anti-EBOV activity are more fully characterized, then analogs with greater target specificity
336 and potency than the original parent compound can be designed and tested.

337 **Figure 1. Ebola virion cell entry.** The Ebola virion initiates cell entry by binding to various
338 cell-surface attachment factor, thereby inducing macropinocytosis (1). As the endosome matures,
339 the environment turns acidic, thereby activating cysteine proteases (cathepsins) that
340 proteolytically process EBOV GP_{1,2} (2). The processed GP_{1,2} subsequently interacts with the
341 endosomal filovirus receptor NPC1 (3), triggering fusion of the virion envelope with the
342 endosomal membrane and the release of the Ebola viral ribonucleocapsid into the cytoplasm (4).

343 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

344 **EBOV particle attachment to cells and internalization**

- 345 • EBOV glycoprotein (GP_{1,2}) trimers on virions attach to host-cells via attachment factors.
- 346 • Virions internalizes into endosomes through a macropinocytosis-like process.
- 347 • Acidic environment in endosomes triggers cysteine proteases to remove the glycan cap of the
- 348 GP₁ subunit.
- 349 • Trimmed GP_{1,2} trimers bind to endosomal NPC1.
- 350 • GP₂-mediated fusion to endosome occurs after NPC1 binding.

351 **Entry inhibitors as antiviral agents**

- 352 • Sertraline and bepridil inhibit EBOV particle cell entry and protect laboratory mice from
- 353 lethal disease.

354 **Monoclonal antibodies**

- 355 • Certain antibodies block surface-exposed GP_{1,2} epitopes or the internal GP₁-NPC1 receptor-
- 356 binding site.
- 357 • ZMapp, a monoclonal antibody cocktail, plus supportive care were beneficial in clinical
- 358 phase I-II trials, but ZMapp alone was not superior over supportive care alone.

359 **Host-cell targets**

- 360 • Disruption of endosomal calcium channels with tetrandrine increases survival of EBOV-
- 361 infected laboratory mice.
- 362 • Toremifene and LJ001 increases survival of infected laboratory mice by preventing fusion to
- 363 endosomes.

364 **References**

- 365 1. Kuhn JH. Ebolavirus and marburgvirus infections. In: *Harrison's Principles of Internal*
366 *Medicine*, Kasper DL, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL, Loscalzo J (Eds).
367 McGraw-Hill Education Columbus 1323-1329 (2015).
- 368 2. World Health Organization. Ebola situation report – 30 March 2016.
369 <http://apps.who.int/ebola/current-situation/ebola-situation-report-30-march-2016>. (20
370 October 2016, date last accessed). (2016).
- 371 3. Jaffe S. NIH budget shrinks despite Ebola emergency funds. *Lancet* 385(9966), 404-405
372 (2015).
- 373 4. Briand S, Bertherat E, Cox P *et al.* The international Ebola emergency. *N. Engl. J. Med.*
374 371(13), 1180-1183 (2014).
- 375 5. Martins KA, Jahrling PB, Bavari S, Kuhn JH. Ebola virus disease candidate vaccines
376 under evaluation in clinical trials. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 15(9), 1101-1112 (2016).
- 377 6. Cardile AP, Downey LG, Wiseman PD, Warren TK, Bavari S. Antiviral therapeutics for
378 the treatment of Ebola virus infection. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 30 138-143 (2016).
- 379 7. Sanchez A, Kiley MP, Holloway BP, Auperin DD. Sequence analysis of the Ebola virus
380 genome: organization, genetic elements, and comparison with the genome of Marburg
381 virus. *Virus Res* 29(3), 215-240 (1993).
- 382 8. Sanchez A, Trappier SG, Mahy BWJ, Peters CJ, Nichol ST. The virion glycoproteins of
383 Ebola viruses are encoded in two reading frames and are expressed through
384 transcriptional editing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
385 *States of America* 93(8), 3602-3607 (1996).

- 386 9. Volchkov VE, Becker S, Volchkova VA *et al.* GP mRNA of Ebola virus is edited by the
387 Ebola virus polymerase and by T7 and vaccinia virus polymerases. *Virology* 214(2), 421-
388 430 (1995).
- 389 10. Volchkova VA, Klenk H-D, Volchkov VE. Delta-peptide is the carboxy-terminal
390 cleavage fragment of the nonstructural small glycoprotein sGP of Ebola virus. *Virology*
391 265(1), 164-171 (1999).
- 392 11. Elliott LH, Kiley MP, McCormick JB. Descriptive analysis of Ebola virus proteins.
393 *Virology* 147(1), 169-176 (1985).
- 394 12. Mühlberger E, Weik M, Volchov VE, Klenk H-D, Becker S. Comparison of the
395 transcription and replication strategies of Marburg virus and Ebola virus by using
396 artificial replication systems. *Journal of virology* 73(3), 2333-2342 (1999).
- 397 13. Feldmann H, Volchkov VE, Volchkova VA, Ströher U, Klenk H-D. Biosynthesis and
398 role of filoviral glycoproteins. *J Gen Virol* 82(Pt 12), 2839-2848 (2001).
- 399 14. Volchkov VE, Feldmann H, Volchkova VA, Klenk H-D. Processing of the Ebola virus
400 glycoprotein by the proprotein convertase furin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
401 *Sciences of the United States of America* 95(10), 5762-5767 (1998).
- 402 15. Kuhn JH, Radoshitzky SR, Guth AC *et al.* Conserved receptor-binding domains of Lake
403 Victoria marburgvirus and Zaire ebolavirus bind a common receptor. *The Journal of*
404 *biological chemistry* 281(23), 15951-15958 (2006).
- 405 16. Lee JE, Fusco ML, Hessel AJ, Oswald WB, Burton DR, Saphire EO. Structure of the
406 Ebola virus glycoprotein bound to an antibody from a human survivor. *Nature* 454(7201),
407 177-182 (2008).

- 408 17. Gallaher WR. Similar structural models of the transmembrane proteins of Ebola and
409 avian sarcoma viruses. *Cell* 85(4), 477-478 (1996).
- 410 18. Weissenhorn W, Carfi A, Lee K-H, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Crystal structure of the Ebola
411 virus membrane fusion subunit, GP2, from the envelope glycoprotein ectodomain.
412 *Molecular cell* 2(5), 605-616 (1998).
- 413 19. Malashkevich VN, Schneider BJ, McNally ML, Milhollen MA, Pang JX, Kim PS. Core
414 structure of the envelope glycoprotein GP2 from Ebola virus at 1.9-Å resolution.
415 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96(6),
416 2662-2667 (1999).
- 417 20. Schornberg KL, Shoemaker CJ, Dube D *et al.* $\alpha_5\beta_1$ -Integrin controls ebolavirus entry by
418 regulating endosomal cathepsins. *PNAS* 106(19), 8003-8008 (2009).
- 419 21. Alvarez CP, Lasala F, Carrillo J, Muñiz O, Corbí AL, Delgado R. C-type lectins DC-
420 SIGN and L-SIGN mediate cellular entry by Ebola virus *in cis* and *in trans*. *Journal of*
421 *virology* 76(13), 6841-6844 (2002).
- 422 22. Gramberg T, Soilleux E, Fisch T *et al.* Interactions of LSECtin and DC-SIGN/DC-
423 SIGNR with viral ligands: differential pH dependence, internalization and virion binding.
424 *Virology* 373(1), 189-201 (2008).
- 425 23. Ji X, Olinger GG, Aris S, Chen Y, Gewurz H, Spear GT. Mannose-binding lectin binds to
426 Ebola and Marburg envelope glycoproteins, resulting in blocking of virus interaction with
427 DC-SIGN and complement-mediated virus neutralization. *J Gen Virol* 86(Pt 9), 2535-
428 2542 (2005).

- 429 24. Kondratowicz AS, Lennemann NJ, Sinn PL *et al.* T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin
430 domain 1 (TIM-1) is a receptor for Zaire ebolavirus and Lake Victoria marburgvirus.
431 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108(20), 8426-8431 (2011).
- 432 25. Kuroda M, Fujikura D, Nanbo A *et al.* Interaction between TIM-1 and NPC1 is important
433 for cellular entry of Ebola virus. *J. Virol.* 89(12), 6481-6493 (2015).
- 434 26. Yuan S, Cao L, Ling H *et al.* TIM-1 acts a dual-attachment receptor for *ebolavirus* by
435 interacting directly with viral GP and the PS on the viral envelope. *Protein Cell* 6(11),
436 814-824 (2015).
- 437 27. Jemielity S, Wang JJ, Chan YK *et al.* TIM-family proteins promote infection of multiple
438 enveloped viruses through virion-associated phosphatidylserine. *PLoS Pathog.* 9(3),
439 e1003232 (2013).
- 440 28. Shimojima M, Takada A, Ebihara H *et al.* Tyro3 family-mediated cell entry of Ebola and
441 Marburg viruses. *Journal of virology* 80(20), 10109-10116 (2006).
- 442 29. Aleksandrowicz P, Marzi A, Biedenkopf N *et al.* Ebola virus enters host cells by
443 macropinocytosis and clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J. Infect. Dis.* 204 Suppl 3 S957-
444 967 (2011).
- 445 30. Nanbo A, Imai M, Watanabe S *et al.* Ebolavirus is internalized into host cells *via*
446 macropinocytosis in a viral glycoprotein-dependent manner. *PLoS pathogens* 6(9),
447 e1001121 (2010).
- 448 31. Saeed MF, Kolokoltsov AA, Albrecht T, Davey RA. Cellular entry of ebola virus
449 involves uptake by a macropinocytosis-like mechanism and subsequent trafficking
450 through early and late endosomes. *PLoS pathogens* 6(9), e1001110 (2010).

- 451 32. Mulherkar N, Raaben M, De La Torre JC, Whelan SP, Chandran K. The Ebola virus
452 glycoprotein mediates entry via a non-classical dynamin-dependent macropinocytic
453 pathway. *Virology* 419(2), 72-83 (2011).
- 454 33. Chandran K, Sullivan NJ, Felbor U, Whelan SP, Cunningham JM. Endosomal proteolysis
455 of the Ebola virus glycoprotein is necessary for infection. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*
456 308(5728), 1643-1645 (2005).
- 457 34. Schornberg K, Matsuyama S, Kabsch K, Delos S, Bouton A, White J. Role of endosomal
458 cathepsins in entry mediated by the Ebola virus glycoprotein. *Journal of virology* 80(8),
459 4174-4178 (2006).
- 460 35. Dube D, Brecher MB, Delos SE *et al.* The primed ebolavirus glycoprotein (19-kilodalton
461 GP_{1,2}): sequence and residues critical for host cell binding. *Journal of virology* 83(7),
462 2883-2891 (2009).
- 463 36. Bornholdt ZA, Ndungo E, Fusco ML *et al.* Host-primed Ebola virus GP exposes a
464 hydrophobic NPC1 receptor-binding pocket, revealing a target for broadly neutralizing
465 antibodies. *mBio* 7(1), e02154-02115 (2016).
- 466 37. Miller EH, Obernosterer G, Raaben M *et al.* Ebola virus entry requires the host-
467 programmed recognition of an intracellular receptor. *EMBO J.* 31(8), 1947-1960 (2012).
- 468 38. Carette JE, Raaben M, Wong AC *et al.* Ebola virus entry requires the cholesterol
469 transporter Niemann-Pick C1. *Nature* 477(7364), 340-343 (2011).
- 470 39. Bale S, Liu T, Li S *et al.* Ebola virus glycoprotein needs an additional trigger, beyond
471 proteolytic priming for membrane fusion. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 5(11), e1395 (2011).
- 472 40. Spence JS, Krause TB, Mittler E, Jangra RK, Chandran K. Direct visualization of Ebola
473 virus fusion triggering in the endocytic pathway. *mBio* 7(1), e01857-01815 (2016).

- 474 41. Mingo RM, Simmons JA, Shoemaker CJ *et al.* Ebola virus and severe acute respiratory
475 syndrome coronavirus display late cell entry kinetics: evidence that transport to NPC1⁺
476 endolysosomes is a rate-defining step. *Journal of virology* 89(5), 2931-2943 (2015).
- 477 42. Wong AC, Sandesara RG, Mulherkar N, Whelan SP, Chandran K. A forward genetic
478 strategy reveals destabilizing mutations in the Ebolavirus glycoprotein that alter its
479 protease dependence during cell entry. *Journal of virology* 84(1), 163-175 (2010).
- 480 43. Sakurai Y, Kolokoltsov AA, Chen CC *et al.* Two-pore channels control Ebola virus host
481 cell entry and are drug targets for disease treatment. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 347(6225),
482 995-998 (2015).
- 483 44. Falzarano D, Feldmann H. Delineating Ebola entry. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 347(6225),
484 947-948 (2015).
- 485 45. Wang X, Zhang X, Dong X-P *et al.* TPC proteins are phosphoinositide- activated
486 sodium-selective ion channels in endosomes and lysosomes. *Cell* 151(2), 372-383 (2012).
- 487 46. Lee JE, Saphire EO. Ebolavirus glycoprotein structure and mechanism of entry. *Future*
488 *Virol.* 4(6), 621-635 (2009).
- 489 47. Gregory SM, Larsson P, Nelson EA, Kasson PM, White JM, Tamm LK. Ebolavirus entry
490 requires a compact hydrophobic fist at the tip of the fusion loop. *Journal of virology*
491 88(12), 6636-6649 (2014).
- 492 48. Lee J, Gregory SM, Nelson EA, White JM, Tamm LK. The roles of histidines and
493 charged residues as potential triggers of a conformational change in the fusion loop of
494 Ebola virus glycoprotein. *PloS one* 11(3), e0152527 (2016).

- 495 49. Harrison JS, Higgins CD, Chandran K, Lai JR. Designed protein mimics of the Ebola
496 virus glycoprotein GP2 α -helical bundle: stability and pH effects. *Protein science : a*
497 *publication of the Protein Society* 20(9), 1587-1596 (2011).
- 498 50. Hoenen T, Groseth A, De Kok-Mercado F, Kuhn JH, Wahl-Jensen V. Minigenomes,
499 transcription and replication competent virus-like particles and beyond: reverse genetics
500 systems for filoviruses and other negative stranded hemorrhagic fever viruses. *Antiviral*
501 *Res.* 91(2), 195-208 (2011).
- 502 51. Li D, Chen T, Hu Y *et al.* An Ebola virus-like particle-based reporter system enables
503 evaluation of antiviral drugs *in vivo* under non-biosafety level 4 conditions. *Journal of*
504 *virology* 90(19), 8720-8728 (2016).
- 505 52. Anantpadma M, Kouznetsova J, Wang H *et al.* Large-scale screening and identification
506 of novel Ebola virus and Marburg virus entry inhibitors. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*
507 60(8), 4471-4481 (2016).
- 508 53. Basu A, Mills DM, Bowlin TL. High-throughput screening of viral entry inhibitors using
509 pseudotyped virus. *Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol.* Chapter 13 Unit 13B.13. doi:
510 10.1002/0471141755.ph0471141713b0471141703s0471141751 (2010).
- 511 54. Basu A, Mills DM, Mitchell D *et al.* Novel small molecule entry inhibitors of Ebola
512 virus. *J. Infect. Dis.* 212 Suppl 2 S425-434 (2015).
- 513 55. Wang J, Cheng H, Ratia K *et al.* A comparative high-throughput screening protocol to
514 identify entry inhibitors of enveloped viruses. *J Biomol Screen* 19(1), 100-107 (2014).
- 515 56. Kouznetsova J, Sun W, Martínez-Romero C *et al.* Identification of 53 compounds that
516 block Ebola virus-like particle entry via a repurposing screen of approved drugs. *Emerg*
517 *Microbes Infect* 3(12), e84 (2014).

- 518 57. Madrid PB, Chopra S, Manger ID *et al.* A systematic screen of FDA-approved drugs for
519 inhibitors of biological threat agents. *PloS one* 8(4), e60579 (2013).
- 520 58. Johansen LM, Dewald LE, Shoemaker CJ *et al.* A screen of approved drugs and
521 molecular probes identifies therapeutics with anti-Ebola virus activity. *Sci. Transl. Med.*
522 7(290), 290ra289 (2015).
- 523 59. Johansen LM, Brannan JM, Delos SE *et al.* FDA-approved selective estrogen receptor
524 modulators inhibit Ebola virus infection. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5(190), 190ra179 (2013).
- 525 60. Mupapa K, Massamba M, Kibadi K *et al.* Treatment of Ebola hemorrhagic fever with
526 blood transfusions from convalescent patients. *J Infect Dis* 179 Suppl 1 S18-23 (1999).
- 527 61. Sadek RF, Kilmarx PH, Khan AS, Ksiazek TG, Peters CJ. Outbreak of Ebola
528 hemorrhagic fever, Zaire, 1995 - "A closer numerical look". In: *The 1996 Proceedings of*
529 *the Epidemiology Section of the American Statistical Association*, (Ed.^(Eds).American
530 Statistical Association Alexandria, VA 62-65 (1996).
- 531 62. Takada A, Feldmann H, Ksiazek TG, Kawaoka Y. Antibody-dependent enhancement of
532 Ebola virus infection. *Journal of virology* 77(13), 7539-7544 (2003).
- 533 63. Maruyama T, Rodriguez LL, Jahrling PB *et al.* Ebola virus can be effectively neutralized
534 by antibody produced in natural human infection. *Journal of virology* 73(7), 6024-6030
535 (1999).
- 536 64. Parren PW, Geisbert TW, Maruyama T, Jahrling PB, Burton DR. Pre- and postexposure
537 prophylaxis of Ebola virus infection in an animal model by passive transfer of a
538 neutralizing human antibody. *Journal of virology* 76(12), 6408-6412 (2002).
- 539 65. Oswald WB, Geisbert TW, Davis KJ *et al.* Neutralizing antibody fails to impact the
540 course of Ebola virus infection in monkeys. *PLoS pathogens* 3(1), e9 (2007).

- 541 66. Misasi J, Gilman MS, Kanekiyo M *et al.* Structural and molecular basis for Ebola virus
542 neutralization by protective human antibodies. *Science* 351(6279), 1343-1346 (2016).
- 543 67. Corti D, Misasi J, Mulangu S *et al.* Protective monotherapy against lethal Ebola virus
544 infection by a potently neutralizing antibody. *Science* 351(6279), 1339-1342 (2016).
- 545 68. Howell KA, Qiu X, Brannan JM *et al.* Antibody treatment of Ebola and Sudan virus
546 infection via a uniquely exposed epitope within the glycoprotein receptor-binding site.
547 *Cell Rep* 15(7), 1514-1526 (2016).
- 548 69. Holtsberg FW, Shulenin S, Vu H *et al.* Pan-ebolavirus and pan-filovirus mouse
549 monoclonal antibodies: protection against Ebola and Sudan viruses. *Journal of virology*
550 90(1), 266-278 (2015).
- 551 70. Keck ZY, Enterlein SG, Howell KA *et al.* Macaque monoclonal antibodies targeting
552 novel conserved epitopes within filovirus glycoprotein. *J. Virol.* 90(1), 279-291 (2015).
- 553 71. Wec AZ, Nyakatura EK, Herbert AS *et al.* A "Trojan horse" bispecific antibody strategy
554 for broad protection against ebolaviruses. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*
555 doi:10.1126/science.aag3267 (2016).
- 556 72. Flyak AI, Shen X, Murin CD *et al.* Cross-reactive and potent neutralizing antibody
557 responses in human survivors of natural ebolavirus infection. *Cell* 164(3), 392-405
558 (2016).
- 559 73. Frei JC, Nyakatura EK, Zak SE *et al.* Bispecific antibody affords complete post-exposure
560 protection of mice from both Ebola (Zaire) and Sudan viruses. *Scientific reports* 6 19193
561 (2016).
- 562 74. Furuyama W, Marzi A, Nanbo A *et al.* Discovery of an antibody for pan-ebolavirus
563 therapy. *Scientific reports* 6 20514 (2016).

- 564 75. Bornholdt ZA, Turner HL, Murin CD *et al.* Isolation of potent neutralizing antibodies
565 from a survivor of the 2014 Ebola virus outbreak. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 351(6277),
566 1078-1083 (2016).
- 567 76. Davidson E, Bryan C, Fong RH *et al.* Mechanism of binding to Ebola virus glycoprotein
568 by the ZMapp, ZMAb, and MB-003 cocktail antibodies. *J. Virol.* 89(21), 10982-10992
569 (2015).
- 570 77. Qiu X, Audet J, Wong G *et al.* Successful treatment of ebola virus-infected cynomolgus
571 macaques with monoclonal antibodies. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 4(138), 138ra181 (2012).
- 572 78. Qiu X, Wong G, Audet J *et al.* Reversion of advanced Ebola virus disease in nonhuman
573 primates with ZMapp. *Nature* 514(7520), 47-53 (2014).
- 574 79. Pettitt J, Zeitlin L, Kim Do H *et al.* Therapeutic intervention of Ebola virus infection in
575 rhesus macaques with the MB-003 monoclonal antibody cocktail. *Sci. Transl. Med.*
576 5(199), 199ra113 (2013).
- 577 80. Olinger GG, Jr., Pettitt J, Kim D *et al.* Delayed treatment of Ebola virus infection with
578 plant-derived monoclonal antibodies provides protection in rhesus macaques. *Proc. Natl.*
579 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109(44), 18030-18035 (2012).
- 580 81. Lyon GM, Mehta AK, Varkey JB *et al.* Clinical care of two patients with Ebola virus
581 disease in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 371(25), 2402-2409 (2014).
- 582 82. Prevail Writing Group, Multi-National Prevail Study Team. A randomized, controlled
583 trial of ZMapp for Ebola virus infection. *The New England journal of medicine* 375(15),
584 1448-1456 (2016).

- 585 83. Kugelman JR, Kugelman-Tonos J, Ladner JT *et al.* Emergence of Ebola virus escape
586 variants in infected nonhuman primates treated with the MB-003 antibody cocktail. *Cell*
587 *Rep* 12(12), 2111-2120 (2015).
- 588 84. Michelow IC, Dong M, Mungall BA *et al.* A novel L-ficolin/mannose-binding lectin
589 chimeric molecule with enhanced activity against Ebola virus. *The Journal of biological*
590 *chemistry* 285(32), 24729-24739 (2010).
- 591 85. Michelow IC, Lear C, Scully C *et al.* High-dose mannose-binding lectin therapy for
592 Ebola virus infection. *J Infect Dis* 203(2), 175-179 (2011).
- 593 86. Basu A, Li B, Mills DM *et al.* Identification of a small-molecule entry inhibitor for
594 filoviruses. *J. Virol.* 85(7), 3106-3119 (2011).
- 595 87. Salata C, Baritussio A, Munegato D *et al.* Amiodarone and metabolite MDEA inhibit
596 Ebola virus infection by interfering with the viral entry process. *Pathogens and disease*
597 73(5), ftv032 (2015).
- 598 88. Gehring G, Rohrmann K, Atenchong N *et al.* The clinically approved drugs amiodarone,
599 dronedarone and verapamil inhibit filovirus cell entry. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 69(8),
600 2123-2131 (2014).
- 601 89. Wolf MC, Freiberg AN, Zhang T *et al.* A broad-spectrum antiviral targeting entry of
602 enveloped viruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107(7), 3157-3162 (2010).
- 603 90. Wang Y, Cui R, Li G *et al.* Teicoplanin inhibits Ebola pseudovirus infection in cell
604 culture. *Antiviral research* 125 1-7 (2016).
- 605 91. Pécheur EI, Borisevich V, Halfmann P *et al.* The synthetic antiviral drug arbidol inhibits
606 globally prevalent pathogenic viruses. *J. Virol.* 90(6), 3086-3092 (2016).

- 607 92. Miller EH, Harrison JS, Radoshitzky SR *et al.* Inhibition of Ebola virus entry by a C-
 608 peptide targeted to endosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286(18), 15854-15861 (2011).
- 609 93. Muñoz A, Sigwalt D, Illescas BM *et al.* Synthesis of giant globular multivalent
 610 glycofullerenes as potent inhibitors in a model of Ebola virus infection. *Nat. Chem.* 8(1),
 611 50-57 (2016).
- 612 94. Kolokoltsov AA, Adhikary S, Garver J, Johnson L, Davey RA, Vela EM. Inhibition of
 613 Lassa virus and Ebola virus infection in host cells treated with the kinase inhibitors
 614 genistein and tyrphostin. *Arch. Virol.* 157(1), 121-127 (2012).
- 615 95. Long J, Wright E, Molesti E, Temperton N, Barclay W. Antiviral therapies against Ebola
 616 and other emerging viral diseases using existing medicines that block virus entry.
 617 *PLoS Res* 4 30 (2015).
- 618 96. Zhou Y, Vedantham P, Lu K *et al.* Protease inhibitors targeting coronavirus and filovirus
 619 entry. *Antiviral Res.* 116 76-84 (2015).
- 620 97. Abdulla MH, Lim KC, Sajid M, Mckerrow JH, Caffrey CR. Schistosomiasis mansoni:
 621 novel chemotherapy using a cysteine protease inhibitor. *PLoS medicine* 4(1), e14 (2007).
- 622 98. Gnirß K, Kühl A, Karsten C *et al.* Cathepsins B and L activate Ebola but not Marburg
 623 virus glycoproteins for efficient entry into cell lines and macrophages independent of
 624 TMPRSS2 expression. *Virology* 424(1), 3-10 (2012).
- 625 99. Barrientos LG, Rollin PE. Release of cellular proteases into the acidic extracellular
 626 milieu exacerbates Ebola virus-induced cell damage. *Virology* 358(1), 1-9 (2007).
- 627 100. Nishimura H, Yamaya M. A synthetic serine protease inhibitor, nafamostat mesilate, is a
 628 drug potentially applicable to the treatment of Ebola virus disease. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.*
 629 237(1), 45-50 (2015).

- 630 101. Shah PP, Wang T, Kaletsky RL *et al.* A small-molecule oxocarbazate inhibitor of human
631 cathepsin L blocks severe acute respiratory syndrome and Ebola pseudotype virus
632 infection into human embryonic kidney 293T cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 78(2), 319-324
633 (2010).
- 634 102. Elshabrawy HA, Fan J, Haddad CS *et al.* Identification of a broad-spectrum antiviral
635 small molecule against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus and Ebola,
636 Hendra, and Nipah viruses by using a novel high-throughput screening assay. *J. Virol.*
637 88(8), 4353-4365 (2014).
- 638 103. Van Der Linden WA, Schulze CJ, Herbert AS *et al.* Cysteine cathepsin inhibitors as anti-
639 Ebola agents. *ACS Infect Dis* 2(3), 173-179 (2016).
- 640 104. Côté M, Misasi J, Ren T *et al.* Small molecule inhibitors reveal Niemann-Pick C1 is
641 essential for Ebola virus infection. *Nature* 477(7364), 344-348 (2011).
- 642 105. Lee K, Ren T, Côté M *et al.* Inhibition of Ebola virus infection: identification of
643 Niemann-Pick C1 as the target by optimization of a chemical probe. *ACS Med. Chem.*
644 *Lett.* 4(2), 239-243 (2013).
- 645 106. Miller ME, Adhikary S, Kolokoltsov AA, Davey RA. Ebolavirus requires acid
646 sphingomyelinase activity and plasma membrane sphingomyelin for infection. *J. Virol.*
647 86(14), 7473-7483 (2012).
- 648 107. Shoemaker CJ, Schornberg KL, Delos SE *et al.* Multiple cationic amphiphiles induce a
649 Niemann-Pick C phenotype and inhibit Ebola virus entry and infection. *PLoS One* 8(2),
650 e56265 (2013).
- 651 108. Lu F, Liang Q, Abi-Mosleh L *et al.* Identification of NPC1 as the target of U18666A, an
652 inhibitor of lysosomal cholesterol export and Ebola infection. *eLife* 4 e12177 (2015).

- 653 109. Zhao Y, Ren J, Harlos K *et al.* Toremifene interacts with and destabilizes the Ebola virus
654 glycoprotein. *Nature* 535(7610), 169-172 (2016).
- 655 110. Beck S, Henß L, Weidner T *et al.* Identification of entry inhibitors of Ebola virus
656 pseudotyped vectors from a myxobacterial compound library. *Antiviral research* 132 85-
657 91 (2016).
- 658 111. Cheng H, Lear-Rooney CM, Johansen L *et al.* Inhibition of Ebola and Marburg virus
659 entry by G protein-coupled receptor antagonists. *J. Virol.* 89(19), 9932-9938 (2015).

Figure 1

