AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-12-1-0386

TITLE: A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry Following Traumatic Brain Injury

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. William D. S. Killgore

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85719-4824

REPORT DATE: October 2016

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

Characterization Characterization Control of the contr						Form Approved
Identication of between balance of the sector of memory matches and the sector of t	Public reporting burden for this					OMB No. 0704-0188
dist Second Particle Decemposition of Decempositie is about the problem of Decemposities of Decemposities and Decemposities of Decemposities and Decemposis and Decemposities and Decemposities and Dece	data needed, and completing	and reviewing this collection of i	nformation. Send comments registers Services. Directorate for Info	arding this burden estimate or an	y other aspect of this c	ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing
Interport Date 3.0 ATES COVERED OCTOBER 2016 Annual 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 3.0 ATES COVERED A. Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry Following Traumatic Brain Injury 58. GRANT UNMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 59. FORGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 7. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59. FORGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 14. ABSTRACT NUMBER(S) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 16. SUPCLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 17. SUPLEMENTARY 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number.	e aware that notwithstanding an	y other provision of law, no perso	n shall be subject to any penalty	for failing to comply wit	h a collection of information if it does not display a currently
October 2016 Is SEP 16 - 14 SEP 16 A TTLE AND SUBTILE So. CONTRACT NUMBER A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry Following Traumatic Brain Injury So. GRANT NUMBER W01XW1-122-1-0386 6. AUTHOR(S) So. PROJECT NUMBER Dr. William D. S. Killgore So. FASK NUMBER F-Mail: Killgore@psychiatry.arizona.edu Fereorem 2016 7. PERFORMING ORGANZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) So. PERFORMING ORGANZATION REPORT NUMBER Dniversity of Arizona 888 N. Ruchid Are. Tucson, AZ 05719-4624 S. PERFORMING ORGANZATION REPORT NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ib. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 10. SPONSORMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ib. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSORMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ib. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Ib. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ib. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ASSTRACT NUMBER DIFF. During the first year, study prepations, including techniques like Diffusion Beighted Inaging (DFI), is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Beighted Inaging (DFI), is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, su measured by neuroimaging protocols, were completed and of a protecols were completed and of a prote aco	1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE	KE33.	3.1	DATES COVERED
4. THE AND SUBTILE 54. CONTRACT NUMBER A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry Pollowing Traumatic Brain Injury 56. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 50. REAL NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 50. REAL NUMBER 7. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 50. REAL NUMBER 98. N. Locid Ave. 50. SCONTACT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 50. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 14. ABSTRACT Mid ATTEL DURING INCLOSES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 16. STRUCT (MTRL), is one of the major health problems facing military servicesembers attaining for deployments, white matter aronal damage, as meauted by mercinaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DN1), is one of the hypothesired mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affoctive sequales or MTEL. Presently, many Ot the finding particle, instructure examining the association between NII and neuropsychological otrocease are	October 2016		Annual		1	5 SEP 15 - 14 SEP 16
A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Punctional Neurocircuitry Pollowing Traumatic Brain Injury 6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. William D. S. Killgore 5. GPOGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5. GPOGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5. GPOGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5. GPOGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 5. SPONSORIMO ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Ford Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 1. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER 5. Supplementary Notes 5. Additional Materiel Command 5. Common Structure Stru	4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	ſLE			5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5. AUTHOR(S) 5. PROJECT NUMBER Dr. William D. S. Killgore 5. TASK NUMBER B-Mail: killgore@psychiatry.arizona.edu 5. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PROROMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER University of Arizona 888 N. Bucild Ave. Tucson, AZ 80719-4824 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ASSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanism contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalate of MTB. Presently, many of the finding in the literature examining the association between NMI and merpsychological other as contribution of the ratio of the prophological tracture statis in the first year, situay preparations, including the points in the first year following an mTB. During the first year, situay preparations, including the points in the first year following an mTB. During the first year, situay preparations, including the moints in the first year following a	A Model for Pre and Functional	dicting Cognitiv Neurocircuitry I	ve and Emotional Following Traumat	Health from Stru tic Brain Injury	ctural 5b.	GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-12-1-0386
6. AUTHOR(5) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER Dr. William D. S. Killgore 5e. TASK NUMBER S-Mail: Killgore@psychiatry.arizons.edu 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER S-Mail: Killgore@psychiatry.arizons.edu 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER Oniversity of Arizona 8f. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT Number 8f. Suclid Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719-4824 10. SPONSORMONTOR'S ACRONYM(S) S. SPONSORING / MONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORMONTOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSORMONTOR'S ACRONYM(S) Ford Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSORMONTOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT NUMBER(S) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild raumatic brain injury (mTE1) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DVI), is one of the hypothesized mochanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalace of mE1. Presently, many of the finding in the liferature examining the association between DVI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting the association between DVI and head of schedule. During the first year, study proparations, inclusing techniq		-	-		5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
Dr. William D. S. Killgore 5. TASK NUMBER E-Mail: killgore@psychiatry.arizona.edu 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT University of Arizona 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT S88 N. Buclid Ave. 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT VID Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTRT) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. white matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroinaging techniques like 11. SPONSOR white matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroinaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWT), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing the association between DWT and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity unchanging of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroinaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the paty year, we have collected usable d	6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
E-Mail: kiligore@psychiatry.arizona.edu 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER F.PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NANE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT University of Arizona 50. N. Suchid Ave. Se N. Euclid Ave. 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT You Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Ind neuropschological ductome are constradictory, possibly due to differences in atdage of mcPanisma contributing to the cognitive and affective sequale of mtPL. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association of merosphological status at five time points in the first year, following an mTBL. Purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroinaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the paty year, we have collected usable data from a black and were cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 19. SAMEC RESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESONUEL PRESON	Dr William D	s Killgore			5e.	TASK NUMBER
E-Mail: kiligore@psychiatry.arizona.edu 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER University of Arizona 888 N. Bucild Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719-4824 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT NUMBER Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S report NUMBER(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroinaging techniques like Diffusion weighted Tanging (DT). Is one of the hypothesis in the hypothesis contributing to the copilitive and affective acqualae of mDI. Presently, many of the findings in the hypothesis this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and conconitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mBI. During the first year, study of pensitons, including thica langroup and hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed abaed of schedule. Unrig the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too smal	DI. WIIIIdia D	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
12-3311 X111gOTEXPSYCHIACTY. ATL2013.400 2. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) INUMBER University of Arizona 838 N. Euclid Ave. Tucson, XZ 85719-4824 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT MUMBER(S) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from delployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an UTB, the first year, study uprepartions, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary an					5f.	WORK UNIT NUMBER
Iniversity of Arizona 888 N. Euclid Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719-4624 INUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (MTI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and conconitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first yeer following an MTBI. During the first yeer, study proparations, including relatal approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past yeer, we have collected usable data from a total of 10 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SEURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: Unclassified 17. HIMTATION OF ABSTRACT	E-Mail: killge	Ore@psychlatry	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8 1	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
University of Arizona 888 N. Euclid Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719-4824 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neurolmaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted imaging (WT), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological stutus at five time points in the first year, study preparations, including ethnical approval, hirring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neurolmaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the first year, we have collection shall data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. REPORT Unclassified Unclassifie					0.1	NUMBER
848 N. Euclid Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719-4824 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion between DNI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concontiant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS 17. INTATON OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 18. NUMERE 19. TetLEPHONE NUMBER (include are of pAGESTRACT Unclassified	University of	Arizona				
10c300, AL 00119-0024 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion between DNT and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and praliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURTY CLASSIFICATION OF: 11. NUMBER 0 ABSTRACT Unclassified 13. NUMBER (meuve see) 0 ABSTRACT Unclassified <td>888 N. Euclid</td> <td>Ave.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	888 N. Euclid	Ave.				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTB1) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DMI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequale of mTB1. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWT and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological students, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TB1, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. INITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 18. NUMBER (imbude are orde) </td <td>IUCSON, AZ 05</td> <td>/19-4024</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	IUCSON, AZ 05	/19-4024				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective segulae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012	9. SPONSORING / MC		NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. AMBY Weddal Research and Materie Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possible by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed abaed of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION Unclassified 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC		December and Ma	torial Commond			
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWT), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 19 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER (Include area tool) 16. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER (Include area tool) 16. SECRNITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER (Include area tool) 16. SECRNITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 19. NAM	U.S. Army Medica		literiel Command		11	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19. ANME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE </td <td>FOIL Dellick, Mary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>NUMBER(S)</td>	FOIL Dellick, Mary					NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER (Include area code) 18. REPORT						
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER (Include area code) 18. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMEMC </td <td>12. DISTRIBUTION / A</td> <td>VAILABILITY STATE</td> <td>MENT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATE	MENT			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION of ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER of RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	Approved for Dubl	ia Balagaa: Diatribu	ution Unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER (include area code) a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Unclassified Unclassified <td></td> <td>ic Release, Distribu</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		ic Release, Distribu				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified Unclassified a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Uncla						
14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER (include area code) a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 19. LIClassified Unclassified Unclassified 78	13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified 78						
14. ABSTRACT Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the major health problems facing military servicemembers returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 16. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION of ABSTRACT a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified 78						
returning from deployments. White matter axonal damage, as measured by neuroimaging techniques like Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 0F ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER 19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	14. ABSTRACT	brain iniury (m'	TBI) is one of th	ne major health r	problems fac	ing military servicemembers
Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), is one of the hypothesized mechanisms contributing to the cognitive and affective sequalae of mTBI. Presently, many of the findings in the literature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 0F ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER 0F PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	returning from	deployments. Wh	ite matter axonal	l damage, as meas	sured by neu	roimaging techniques like
and arrective sequals of mrB1. Presently, many of the findings in the liferature examining the association between DWI and neuropsychological outcome are contradictory, possibly due to differences in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTB1. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev 8-98)	Diffusion Weigh	ted Imaging (DW	I), is one of the	e hypothesized me	chanisms co	ontributing to the cognitive
in stage of recovery at the time of assessment. This study will address this problem by collecting measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 0F ABSTRACT 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	association bet	ween DWI and net	. Presently, many uropsvchological	outcome are cont	radictory,	possibly due to differences
measures of white matter integrity and concomitant neuropsychological status at five time points in the first year following an mTBI. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78	in stage of rec	overy at the time	me of assessment.	. This study will	address th	is problem by collecting
first year following an mTB1. During the first year, study preparations, including ethical approval, hiring and training of new staff, purchasing of equipment and materials, and validation of neuroimaging protocols, were completed ahead of schedule. During the past year, we have collected usable data from a total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	measures of whi	te matter integ	rity and concomit	tant neuropsychol	ogical stat	us at five time points in the
11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	first year foll hiring and trai	owing an mTBL. I	During the first	year, study prep f equipment and m	parations, internations and the second se	ncluding ethical approval,
total of 13 participants. These data have been cleaned and preliminary analyses suggest that we are able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Standard Form 298 (Rev 8-98)	protocols, were	completed ahea	d of schedule. Du	iring the past ye	ear, we have	collected usable data from a
able to identify meaningful trends in the data, although the sample is still far too small to make valid conclusions. 15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified 78	total of 13 par	ticipants. The	se data have beer	n cleaned and pre	eliminary an	alyses suggest that we are
15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	able to identif	y meaningful tr	ends in the data,	, although the sa	ample is sti	ll far too small to make
15. SUBJECT TERMS TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	vallu conclusio					
TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	15. SUBJECT TERMS	6				
1maging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	TBI, traumatio	c brain injury	, concussion, D	WI, Diffusion N	Weighted In	maging, white matter, brain
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) Unclassified Unclassified T8 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	imaging, neuro	opsychological	performance, n	eurocognitive p	periormance	e, structural connectivity
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	16. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 78	a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	-		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area
Unclassified Unclassified / 0 Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)	l la classe "first	l locale de se d'éta d	Line and Card	Unclassified	70	code)
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		/0	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)

Table of Contents

Page

Introduction	4
Keywords	5
Accomplishments	5
Impact	19
Changes/Problems	19
Products	21
Participants and Other Collaborating Organizations	23
Special Reporting Requirements	25
Appendices	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Between the years of 2000 and 2015, military personnel have sustained over 327,000 traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) (DVBIC Report, 2015). Of these injuries, the vast majority, exceeding 82% of all TBIs, are in the mild category. In addition to the impact on military readiness, mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) represents a major health concern and economic burden in the United States [1]. While most individuals who sustain an mTBI will recover fully within a matter of days [2], a significant proportion of individuals with mild TBI will experience a prolonged recovery with persistent post-concussive symptoms, and it is yet unclear why some individuals will show a good injury outcome, whereas other will not [3-6]. Structural damage to white matter axonal tracts has been suggested to underlie many of these persistent behavioral changes [7-11]. Yet due to differences in brain imaging methods, neuropsychological testing approaches, and sample characteristics, this has not been consistently demonstrated at different recovery stages. Furthermore, the relationship between structural connectivity, functional connectivity and neuropsychological performance remains unclear. The present study aims to systematically assess structural connectivity, functional connectivity and neuropsychological functioning at five recovery stages (i.e., two weeks, one month, three months, six months and 12 months) following mild TBI relative to healthy controls. We hypothesize that structural white matter tract disintegrity will underlie abnormalities in functional connectivity, neurocognitive performance and post-concussion symptom severity, but that these metrics will vary with time since injury. The primary aim of the proposed study is therefore to investigate whether measures of white matter disintegrity following mild TBI would explain abnormalities in functional connectivity of the brain, cognition and emotional disturbance, and whether white matter integrity (or lack thereof) could serve as a reliable biomarker of mild TBI. This will allow conclusions on the utility of measures of white matter integrity in the diagnosis of mild TBI. As the study incorporates five time points of measurement to represent different recovery stages of mild TBI, this will allow conclusions on the natural recovery course of mild TBI and the utility of white matter integrity measures in the prediction of injury outcome. In brief, we aim to collect data from 180 participants, including 30 healthy controls and five separate samples of 30 participants at various time points following injury, ranging from 2 weeks to one year post-concussion (see Figure below). During this cross sectional study, participants will attend a single assessment session comprising a series of neuroimaging scans, including diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), structural volumetric scan, and resting state functional connectivity (rsFC). Additionally, participants will also undergo a comprehensive neuropsychological assessment battery. We will analyze differences in structural and functional connectivity across these various stages of recovery and associated differences in neurocognitive performance and symptom expression.



Basic Study Design. A total of 180 participants will be assessed. Six (6) groups of 30 participants with mTBI will be scanned at various time points ranging from 2-weeks to 12-months post-injury. We will also collect diffusion weighted scans from 30 healthy controls (HC).

2. KEY WORDS

TBI, traumatic brain injury, concussion, DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging, white matter, brain imaging, neuropsychological performance, neurocognitive performance, structural connectivity

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The project is progressing and recruitment efforts are ongoing. As we are still a few years from completion, we only report on preliminary analyses at this point. Below, we address each of the major tasks outlined in the revised Statement of Work (SOW) and the accomplishments *this past year:*

Major Task 1: Study Preparation, Staff Hiring, and Materials Acquisition—COMPLETED

Major Task 2: Human subjects approval--COMPLETED

Major Task 3: Advertisement and subject recruitment—ONGOING

Accomplishments:

- Recruitment activities remain vigorous and ongoing.
- We continue to establish relationships with several local businesses and community service locations throughout Tucson. We have made contact with local physical therapy offices, collegiate sports head coaches, martial arts businesses, and self-defense classes. We are also currently in the process of gaining permission to access the EPIC medical database at Banner University Medical Center. With this we will be able to reach out to any individuals who have been admitted to the Emergency Department of the hospital with a concussion. We have also reached out and established a relationship with two major community service locations in the city of Tucson: COPE Community Services and La Frontera Arizona. We have additionally established relationships with city adult sports leagues and sports complexes such as: Tucson Women's Soccer League, Maracana Indoor Sports Arena, and Tucson Indoor Sports Center. We have also employed digital means of distribution of our recruitment materials through various University of Arizona listservs.
- We have also continued participant phone recruitment and have screened 255 participants (133 males and 122 females) over the past annual reporting period. Of these individuals, 39 were determined to be eligible and 216 were deemed ineligible. Of those who were eligible, 35 were enrolled in and have completed the study this past year. Four remaining individuals are eligible but have not returned our attempts at communication or have otherwise been unable to schedule an appointment due to a scheduling conflict and/or other commitments.
- Cumulatively, we have phone screened a grand total of 370 individuals since the study's opening at the University of Arizona. Of these, a total of 49 have been eligible to participate. Of those, 47 have been enrolled in and have completed the study. One major challenge has been obtaining head injury documentation for eligible participants, which we require in order for them to become fully enrolled subjects. We have addressed this issue by recently incorporating a generic electronic template form that can be signed by injury witnesses (e.g. coaches, physical therapists/ athletic trainers, or medical professionals).

Major Task 4: Data collection—ONGOING

Accomplishments: Since starting data collection at the University of Arizona, 38 new participants have now completed all aspects of the study (16 healthy controls, 5 at two weeks post-injury, 6 at one month post-injury, 4 at three months post-injury, 2 at six months post-injury, 5 at 12 months post-injury), yielding 38 complete data sets of neuroimaging and neuropsychological data. No negative outcomes have been reported.

Major Task 5: Quality Control Checks—ONGOING

Accomplishments:Consistent with the SOW, all data are being uploaded into analysis computers, pre-processed, and checked for errors in acquisition as they are collected. The Lab Manager is overseeing compliance of IRB/HRPO regulations via periodic audit of data storage and test administration by study staff. Behavioral data are being entered and cross-validated for errors by Research Technicians, and all collected data are being backed-up routinely.

Major Task 6: Preliminary Analyses—ONGOING

Accomplishments: At this point, we have conducted the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) analysis of all of the 27 mTBI participants whose data was collected at McLean Hospital. We have also performed functional connectivity analysis of 27 participants (3 healthy controls and 24 mTBI survivors) whose data was collected at McLean and 34 participants (19 healthy controls and 15 mTBI survivors) whose data is collected recently (until 31st August 2016) at UA. Below, we describe in detail our preliminary findings showing significant differences of functional connectivity maps for DMN between healthy controls and mTBI participants during their early and late stage of TBI onset and also just within mTBI sample alone (early and late stage of mTBI).

Previously, it has been reported that TBI causes anatomical damage as well as functional damage to brain [12]. Earlier, using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) techniques, we detected structural changes occurring during early (before 3 months) and late (after 3 months) stages of mTBI. In last year's annual report, we reported significantly lower fractional anisotropy (FA) values in the later stage of mTBI as compared to earlier stage. Considering functional aspects, using voxel based morphometry (VBM) approach, we found that the grey matter volume in the right inferior temporal cortex and ventromedial prefrontal cortex significantly correlated with time since injury, which was also described in last year's annual report.

In order to further explore the functional aspects, we used resting-state functional MRI (rsfMRI) data collected from mTBI survivors to identify different neural networks distributed throughout the whole brain. Depending on the time since mTBI onset from the date of scan, we divided our data set in two categories: (1) 'Early Stage (ES)' for mTBI survivors who suffered a TBI within the last 3 months and (2) 'Late Stage (LS)' for mTBI survivors who suffered a TBI more than 3 months ago.

The primary focus of rsfMRI is on spontaneous low frequency (< 0.1 Hz) oscillations. For the current study, we opted for rsfMRI data over task-based MRI data because clinically it is important to assess the functional role of different brain networks whereas task based MRI data allows to explore the functionality of specific networks depending on the type of stimulus.

In previous studies, using various approaches, several major resting state functional networks were detected and analyzed [13-15]. In a study by Raichle in 2011 [15], using a seed-based approach, seven major resting-state networks were reported. So before comparing and detecting the significant differences between functional connectivity measures for healthy controls and mTBI survivors, we first validated the resting-state networks for healthy-controls using the seed-voxel approach. In seed-voxel approach, a specific brain area is selected as a seed-region and the mean time-series over the voxels of the seed is correlated with each voxel of the brain. Here, we selected seven seed-regions based on a previous study [15].

Data collection

Since starting data collection, we currently (as of 08/31/2016) have functional MRI data from (i) 24 mTBI survivors (13F, 11M, mean age = 23.5 ± 5.4 years, 12 ES and 12 LS mTBI survivors with mean age of 21.4 ± 1.7 and 25.6 ± 7 years respectively) (functional MRI data from 2 mTBI survivors out of a total of 26 were discarded as the data were not saved correctly) and 3 healthy controls (all females, mean age = 24.3 ± 2.3 years) - collected at McLean hospital and (ii) 19 mTBI patients (10F, 9M, mean age = 23.5 ± 6.7 years, 13 ES, 6 LS mTBI survivors with mean age of 21 ± 1.1 and 24.7 ± 7.9 years respectively) and 15 healthy controls (9F, 6M, mean age = 22.8 ± 3.4 years) - collected at University of Arizona (UA), comprising a total data collected from 43 mTBI survivors (23F, 20M, mean age = 23.5 ± 5.9 years, 25 ES and 18 LS mTBI survivors with mean age of 21.2 ± 1.5 and 25.1 ± 7.4 respectively) and 18 healthy controls (12F, 6M, mean age = 23.0 ± 3.3 years).

Along with brain imaging data, we also collected behavioral data during cognitive screening of participants, such as: Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), which is a measure of participant's sleepiness (measured during day-time), and Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS) attention index, which is a measure of participant's attention (coding and digit span indices).

Approach

Based on a previous study, for resting-state functional connectivity analysis, we selected seven seed regions: PCC (posterior cingulate cortex), DMPFC (dorsal medial prefrontal cortex), DAC (dorsal anterior cingulate), LMC (left motor-cortex), LV1 (left primary visual), LA1 (left primary auditory) and LFEF (left frontal eye field) (Table 1), which are well known for generating seven individual resting-state functional networks. For seed-voxel functional connectivity analysis, we used MATLAB and SPM (Statistical Parametric Mapping: http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) based functional connectivity toolbox: CONN [16], which also involves basic fMRI data preprocessing steps.

Our goal here was to first validate previously known resting-state networks (RSNs) for healthy controls. Further, for each of the RSN, our goal is to compare and detect the significant difference for strength of functional connectivity between (i) healthy controls and ES mTBI survivors, (ii) healthy controls and LS mTBI survivors and (iii) ES and LS mTBI survivors. In this report, we are reporting the functional connectivity differences for only one of the seven resting-state networks we detected for healthy controls, followed by detecting any behavioral differences between HCs, ES and LS mTBI survivors.

Findings: Resting state functional networks (RSNs)

Healthy controls (HCs)

For validation of resting-state networks (RSNs), we performed seed-voxel functional connectivity analysis for 15 healthy controls whose data was collected at University of Arizona (UA). Table 1 and figure 1 show a summary of seven resting-state functional networks detected for validation purpose. We found that all the RSNs were consistent with previously reported networks [15].

Table 1

Resting-stat	e Network (RSN)	Networks	Networks			
R	SN01	Default mode netw	vork	PCC (0, -52, 27)		
R	RSN02 Executive con network					
R	RSN03			DAC (0, 21, 36)		
R	Sensorimotor netw	vork	LMC (-39, -26, 51)			
R	SN05	Visual network	[LV1 (-7, 83, 2)		
R	Auditory networ	Auditory network				
RSN07	Dorsal attention network	LFEF (-29, -9, 54)				



Figure 1. Here we summarize seven RSNs, corresponding to seven seed regions (Table 1) detected for healthy controls.

Resting-state functional connectivity: Healthy controls (HCs), Early Stage (ES) and Late Stage (LS) Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) survivors

For HCs, figure 2A shows the significant functional connectivity patterns on brain surface for RSN01: default mode network (DMN), considering PCC as a seed region. In table 2, we report the sites in detail showing these functional connectivity patterns.

e 2					
Sites of significant functional	Pea	k MNI		Cluster	Т-
connectivity*	Co-ordinates		size	score	
	Х	Y	Z	(number	
				of voxels)	
Seed PCC: HCs					
Precuneus (28%) and posterior cingulate gyrus (37%)	0	-50	28	2892	29.59
Left angular gyrus (28%) and left lateral occipital cortex (9%)	-46	-60	32	782	13.84
Right angular gyrus (20%) and right lateral occipital cortex (4%)	56	-62	28	568	12.91
Left medial frontal cortex (37%) and left paracingulate gyrus (5%)	-6	40	-18	521	10.31
Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 48% and posterior, 4%)	-50	-6	-26	349	9.94
Paracingulate gyrus (left, 4% and right, 5%)	-10	48	20	221	8.77
Right middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 17% and posterior, 3%)	56	-8	-22	144	9.63
Right insular cortex (4%)	34	16	-2	57	-8.08
Right insular cortex (3%)	46	6	-6	35	-8.56

*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FD-corrected; *Extent threshold, p < 0.001, FDR-corrected.

(a) ES mTBI survivors

For ES mTBI survivors, figure 2B shows the significant functional connectivity patterns on brain surface. In table 3, we report the sites in detail showing these functional connectivity patterns.

Sites of significant functional connectivity*	Peak Co-c	c MNI ordina
	Х	Y
Seed PCC: ES mTBI		
Left medial frontal cortex (88%), paracingulate gyrus (left, 59% and right, 46%), left superior frontal gyrus (39%), frontal pole (left, 32% and right 20%), left anterior cingulate gyrus (29%), left middle frontal gyrus (21%) and left subcallosal cortex (47%)	-2	60
Posterior cingulate gyrus (78%), precuneous (59%), left posterior parahippocampal cortex (58%) and left hippocampus (30%)	0	-52
Right inferior frontal gyrus (57%), right frontal operculum cortex (80%) and right insular cortex (38%)	36	50
Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 67% and posterior, 84%)	-66	-18

Sites of significant functional	Peak	MNI		Cluster	T-score
connectivity*	Co-o	rdinat	es	size	
	Х	Y	Z	(number	
				of voxels)	
Seed PCC: ES mTBI					
Left medial frontal cortex (88%),	-2	60	-16	13541	17.56
paracingulate gyrus (left, 59% and right,					
46%), left superior frontal gyrus (39%),					
frontal pole (left, 32% and right 20%), left					
anterior cingulate gyrus (29%), left middle					
frontal gyrus (21%) and left subcallosal					
Cortex (47%)	0	50	00	0040	00.00
Posterior cingulate gyrus (78%),	0	-52	26	8310	26.03
precureous (59%), reit posterior					
hippocampus (30%)					
Right inferior frontal avrus (57%) right	36	50	34	3272	-10.02
frontal operculum cortex (80%) and right	00	00	04	0212	10.02
insular cortex (38%)					
Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 67%	-66	-18	-20	2843	15.85
and posterior, 84%)					
Left angular gyrus (52%) and left lateral	-44	-70	38	2243	16.22
occipital cortex (26%)					
Right middle temporal gyrus (anterior,	60	0	-26	1977	14.48
98%, posterior, 44%), right temporal pole					
(17%), and right inferior temporal gyrus					
(anterior, 25%)					
Right angular gyrus (33%) and right lateral	56	-64	34	1735	12.48
occipital cortex (18%)	40	-	10	4000	0.00
Left frontal operculum cortex (66%) and loft insular cortex (33%)	-40	-8	-16	1383	-9.98
Pight supremarginal gyrus (antorior 48%	66	12	34	1183	10 10
and posterior 35%)	00	-42	54	1105	-10.10
Left supramarginal gyrus	-52	-38	52	957	-8 96
(anterior, 61% and posterior, 10%)					
Right parahippocampal gyrus (50%) and	24	-14	-20	537	8.68
right hippocampus (28%)					

*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FD-corrected; *Extent threshold, p < 0.001, FDR-corrected.

(b) LS mTBI survivors

Table 3

For LS mTBI survivors, figure 2C shows the significant functional connectivity patterns on brain surface. In table 4, we report the sites in detail showing these functional connectivity patterns.

Table 4

Sites of significant functional connectivity*			MNI Minat	es	Cluster size	T-score
		Х	Y	Z	(number of voxels)	
	Seed PCC: LS mTBI				•	
	Frontal pole (left, 22% and right, 15%), superior frontal gyrus (left, 22% and right, 14%), paracingulate gyrus (left, 44% and right, 34%), left medial frontal cortex (56%), left anterior cingulate gyrus (7%) and left subcallosal cortex (12%)	-8	58	34	7186	16.79
	Precuneous (35%), posterior cingulate gyrus (57%)	0	-52	28	3974	23.50
	Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 76% and posterior, 61%) and left temporal pole (16%)	-66	-12	-20	1998	14.22
	Right temporal pole (22%), right middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 85% and posterior, 23%) and right inferior temporal gyrus (anterior, 16%)	44	20	-38	1494	11.85
	Left angular gyrus (29%) and left lateral occipital cortex (20%)	-44	-56	32	1464	15.23
	Right angular gyrus (16%) and right lateral occipital cortex (11%)	50	-62	32	991	14.11
	Left middle frontal gyrus (10%)	-36	12	54	305	12.46
	Left supramarginal gyrus (anterior, 24%)	-56	-36	48	266	-8.68
	Right frontal operculum cortex (24%) and right insular cortex (9%)	38	20	-2	194	-8.29
	Left hippocampus (9%) and left parahippocampal cortex (anterior, 7% and posterior 7%)	-22	-18	-30	166	9.01
	Left frontal operculum cortex (16%) and left insular cortex (4%)	-28	16	6	111	-7.97
	Right supramarginal gyrus (anterior, 6% and posterior, 1%)	56	-36	52	78	-6.96
	Right inferior frontal gyrus (9%)	52	8	8	72	-8.57

*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FD-corrected; *Extent threshold, p < 0.001, FDR-corrected.

A. DMN for HCs



Figure 2. Functional connectivity maps generated for DMN (height threshold, p < 0.001, FD-corrected; extent threshold, p < 0.001, FDR-corrected), considering posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) as seed region for (A) Healthy Controls (HCs) (B) ES and (C) LS mTBI survivors.

In tables 2, 3 and 4 above, we report the common functional connectivity maps (i) among HCs, ES and LS mTBI survivors in 'green' color, (ii) between ES and LS mTBI survivors in 'blue' color whereas the functional connectivity maps which are not common in any case are colored in 'red'. Here, we noticed hyper connectivity (large percent of functional connectivity maps) in ES mTBI case, but with time this percent decreases with time (LS case) and tends towards normal percent connectivity maps (HCs case) (Figure 3A). In figure 3A, we showed the percent involvement of only those regions, which were common between HCs, ES and LS mTBI survivors but showed at-least 25% involvement in HCs. On the other hand, in figure 3B, we showed the decrease in percent involvement of only those regions, which showed at-least 20% involvement in LS mTBI survivors.



Figure 3. Comparison of percent involvement of regions involved significantly (tables 2, 3 and 4) in functional connectivity maps of DMN between (A) healthy controls (HCs), ES and LS mTBI survivors and (B) ES and LS mTBI survivors.

(c) HCs versus ES mTBI survivors

Further, we computed the significant differences between functional connectivity patterns of HCs and ES mTBI survivors for DMN. Figure 4A shows these significant differences on brain surface. In table 5, we report the sites in detail showing these significantly different functional connectivity clusters.

Sites of significant functional connectivity*	Peak MNI co- ordinates			Cluster size	T-score
	Х	Y	Z	(number	
Seed PCC: ES > HCs					
Right supplementary motor cortex (3%), anterior cingulate cortex (1%),	14	0	44	75	5.38
Left insular cortex (2%), left central opercular cortex (1%)	-32	-16	12	50	5.36
Anterior cingulate cortex (1%), left supplementary motor cortex (1%)	-6	-6	40	34	5.03
Right post-central gyrus (1%), right pre- central gyrus (11 voxels),	46	-16	34	33	4.21
Left pre-central gyrus (17 voxels)	-22	-24	76	19	4.29
Right inferior temporal gyrus (posterior, 2%)	58	-36	-20	19	4.10
Left hippocampus (2%)	-28	-12	-18	16	5.17
Left pre-central gyrus (12 voxels), left post-central gyrus (1 voxel)	-44	-12	36	13	4.11

Table 5

Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 2%)	-58	-10	-14	11	-4.14
*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FDR un-corrected	l; *Clus	ster siz	ze > 10.		

(d) HCs versus LS mTBI survivors

Further, we computed the significant differences between functional connectivity patterns of HCs and LS mTBI survivors for DMN. Figure 4B shows these significant differences on brain surface. In table 6, we report the sites in detail showing these significantly different functional connectivity clusters.

Table 6

Sites of significant functional connectivity*	Peak MNI Co-ordinates			Peak MNI Co-ordinates		Peak MNI Co-ordinates	eak MNI p-ordinates		Cluster size	T-score
	Х	Y	Z	(number of voxels)						
Seed PCC: LS > HCs										
Right precentral gyrus (1%), right middle frontal gyrus (12 voxels)	54	10	40	59	4.66					
Left insular cortex (1%)	-34	-6	-6	14	4.32					
Left central opercular cortex (1%), left insular cortex (2 voxels)	-38	-8	18	11	4.19					

*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FDR un-corrected; *Cluster size > 10.

(e) ES versus LS mTBI survivors

Next, we also computed the significant differences between functional connectivity patterns of ES and LS mTBI survivors for DMN. Figure 4C shows these significant differences on brain surface. In table 7, we report the sites in detail showing these significantly different functional connectivity clusters.

Table 7

Sites of significant functional connectivity*	tes of significant functional Peak MNI nnectivity* Co-ordinates			Cluster size	T- score
	X	Y	Z	(number of voxels)	
Seed PCC: ES > LS					
Left pre-central gyrus (2%), left post-central gyrus (11 voxels)	-24	-26	68	133	4.47
Right temporal pole (3%)	40	16	-38	75	-4.85
Right middle temporal gyrus (posterior, 2% and temporo-ccipital, 1%), right superior temporal gyrus (posterior, 3%), right supramarginal gyrus (posterior, 1%)	58	-32	4	62	-4.40
Left middle temporal gyrus (anterior, 9% and posterior, 3 voxels)	-56	-10	-12	46	-4.70
Left inferior frontal gyrus 3%), left pre-central gyrus (4 voxels), left central opercular cortex (4 voxels), left frontal opercular cortex (1 voxel)	-50	6	4	41	-5.05
Left temporal pole (1%), left superior temporal gyrus (anterior, 3 voxels)	-46	6	-16	35	-5.14
Right supramarginal gyrus (posterior, 1% and	66	-38	30	23	-4.28

	anterior, 3 voxels)			
*	sight threaded a < 0.001 FDD up corrected; *Cl	untor	10	

*Height threshold, p < 0.001, FDR un-corrected; *Cluster size > 10.

Figure 4. Significant differences between functional connectivity maps generated for DMN (height threshold, p < 0.001, FDR un-corrected; cluster size > 10), considering posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) as seed region for (A) ES mTBI survivors > HCs (B) LS mTBI survivors > HCs and (C) ES mTBI survivors > LS mTBI survivors.

Behavioral differences between HCs, ES and LS mTBI survivors

We compared ESS and RBANS scores between HCs, ES and LS mTBI survivors (Figure 5). *ESS scores:* We found strong significant difference between ESS scores for HCs and ES mTBI survivors (two-sample t-test, p < 0.01). There was also significant difference between ESS scores for HCs and LS mTBI survivors (two-sample t-test, p < 0.05) but less stronger than between HCs and ES mTBI survivors, although there was still no significant difference between ESS scores for ES and LS mTBI survivors (two-sample t-test, p > 0.05).



Figure 5. Comparison of ESS and RBANS scores (coding) between HCs, ES: mTBI and LS: mTBI survivors.

RBANS scores: We did not find any significant difference between RBANS digit span scores for HCs, ES or LS mTBI survivors. But there was significant difference between RBANS coding scores (two-sample t-test, p < 0.05) for HCs and ES mTBI survivors and there was no significant difference (two-sample t-test, p > 0.05) between RBANS coding scores for HCs and LS mTBI survivors.

Methodological Advances

<u>Multiband Sequence Development</u>. Initial analysis of the multiband sequence suggests that the estimation of scalar parameters such as fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity are significantly altered relative to the scan without multiband as presented in the e-poster presentation "Effects of Multiband Acceleration on High Angular Resolution Diffusion Imaging Data Collection, Processing and Analysis" at the 2016 ISMRM in Singapore. However, the fiber orientations estimated from both accelerated and unaccelerated are similar. Further analysis is being done to evaluate the repeatability of multiband scans on a single subject. As suggested previously, a 3-shell acquisition with b=1000, 2000, and 3000 s/mm^2 has been incorporated into the sequence which will allow for more sophisticated analysis to be run on the data in addition the increased ability to identify complex fiber crossing patterns. From the data, the mean apparent propagator of water diffusion can be estimated, and parameters that more completely characterize the microstructural environment can be determined, ideally providing more sensitive and specific measures of change in instances of disease.

Processing Pipeline for DTI. The preprocessing pipeline remains largely the same. Echo planar imaging distortions are reduced using FSL's TOPUP routine, eddy-current distortions and

motion correction is then performed using FSL's EDDY, and local principal component analysis is performed using in-house MATLAB software. Multiple options for performing fiber tractography and other analysis are being considered, including TRACULA from FreeSurfer, which is a unique global tractography technique based on prior knowledge of several existing neural pathways, MRTrix which uses the constrained spherical deconvolution technique to better resolve crossing fibers and then perform tractography, DSI studio which uses generalized q-sampling imaging to fit multishell datasets and perform tractography, and finally, in house MATLAB software is used to estimate the mean apparent propagator.

Preliminary Analysis Conclusions

From functional connectivity maps of HCs and mTBI survivors, we found that there was hyper connectivity within default mode network following the brain injury (within 3 months of the onset of injury) but with time this hyper connectivity tends to be normal towards HCs for the mTBI survivors who had brain injury onset since more than 3 months.

From direct calculation of significant functional connectivity differences between HCs and mTBI survivors, we also noticed that there was clear abnormally high hyper connectivity at the early stage of mTBI survivors, compared to HCs and late stage mTBI survivors but there were also very few small sized clusters found with percent involvement of around 1% or lesser which showed stronger functional connectivity for late stage mTBI survivors compared to HCs. This could either be a sign of neural plasticity or could still reflect abnormal hyper connectivity for LS mTBI survivors.

Comparison of ESS and RBANS scores also confirmed our findings from functional connectivity analysis. We found that there was significant improvement in ESS scores with time i.e. late stage mTBI survivors were found to be less sleepy during daytime, along with being more attentive than mTBI survivors who were in their early stage.

Major Task 7: Extensive Data Analysis—PENDING FINAL DATA COLLECTION

Accomplishments:

• Nothing to report

Major Task 8: Manuscript Preparation and Submission for Publication—ONGOING

Accomplishments:

• During the past year, we have published several peer-reviewed articles, book chapters, and conference abstracts, as outlined below in section 6:

Opportunities for Training and Professional Development

While the primary goal of this project is not to provide training and professional development, many such experiences have occurred for our team members. The present project has supported:

4 members of our lab attended lectures and presented research findings at the International Neuropsychological Society Meeting, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016

3 members of our lab attended lectures and presented research findings at the Society of Biological Psychiatry Meeting, Atlanta, GA, May 12-14, 2016

4 members of our lab attended lectures and presented research findings at the Associated Professional Sleep Societies Meeting, Denver, CO, June 11-15, 2016

1 member of our lab attended lectures and presented research findings at the Military Health Systems Research Symposium, Orlando, FL, August 15-18, 2016.

1 member of our lab attended lectures and presented research findings at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Minneapolis, MN, September 21-25, 2016.

1 member of our lab attended a workshop entitled: Sleep Health Scoring for the Polysomnographer, Scottsdale, AZ, October 13-14, 2016.

1 member of our lab attended a workshop entitled: Actigraphy and Figness/Sleep trackers in Adults and Children: Fundamentals and applications, at the Associated Professional Sleep Societies Meeting, Denver, CO, June 11-15, 2016

1 postdoc attended the Mind Research Network Functional MRI Training Workshop (Jan 2016) in Albuquerque, NM.

1 postdoc and 1 graduate student attended the CONN Functional Connectivity Workshop (April 2016), in Boston, MA.

2 postdocs attended the NIH Grant Writing Workshop at the University of Arizona (August 2016), Tucson, AZ.

1 postdoc attended the Applied Workshop on the New SCID-5, Mastering the Diagnostic Interview, University of Michigan.

Multiple members of our lab have attended regular training in MRI analysis methods and safety as part of an ongoing training series offered at the University of Arizona.

Multiple members of our lab receive regular one-on-one instruction and supervision in the administration and scoring of neuropsychological assessments, psychodiagnostic testing, electrode placement, and patient interviewing.

Over 15 members of our lab have undergone regular in-house training in the use of various brain-imaging software, including SPM12, Matlab, FSL, Freesurfer, TracVis, MRIcron and others.

Over 15 members of our lab have undergone basic training modules in ethical conduct, statistical analysis, and neuroanatomy.

Dissemination to Communities of Interest

We have worked to disseminate knowledge about mild traumatic brain injury and our current study to a number of interested communities. Notably, we have given a presentation to the Tucson Veteran's Center regarding TBI and the studies we have ongoing. Our staff has also made several short presentations to the medical residents at the University of Arizona Medical Center, the local athletics department, and seminars at various colleges in the university over this past year. We have presented some elements of these findings to military audiences at the Military Health Systems Research Symposium this past year, as well as several conferences on topics such as neuropsychology, psychiatry, and sleep medicine.

Plan for Next Reporting Period

The current plan is to continue with our ongoing recruitment efforts, including radio and print advertisements, in order to maintain a steady flow of participants in the study. We plan to continue evaluating the sources of recruitment and the factors that may be excessively excluding participants. We will continue with educational and outreach efforts to communicate information about mTBI and broaden recruitment efforts within the Tucson and surrounding communities.

4. IMPACT

Impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

Work from this project has led to the refinement of new techniques for acquiring DTI data using multiband sequences. This information was presented at the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (IMSRM) earlier this year. Other than that, the results are too preliminary to make wide-ranging impacts.

Impact on other disciplines?

Nothing to report.

Impact on technology transfer?

Nothing to report.

Impact on society beyond science and technology?

Nothing to report.

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS

Our major challenge has been recruiting enough eligible participants to reach our quarterly goals. While there have been many individuals who have expressed interest in participating in the study, a number of exclusionary factors have consistently been present that preclude their participation. The major exclusionary elements include: (1) lack of head injury documentation to certify a mild traumatic brain injury; (2) the mild traumatic brain injury occurred beyond 12-month time frame; (3) potential participants have metal in their body, thereby preventing them from undergoing scanning; (4) traumatic brain injury was too severe; (5) potential participants were taking exclusionary medications and/or had serious physical or mental health conditions.

While many exclusionary criteria cannot be modulated, we did make slight alterations in order to allow more participants to complete the study. First of all, because many individuals were being excluded due to psychiatric issues (primarily depression) and accompanying exclusionary medication, we changed our exclusionary mandates so that individuals who started exhibiting symptoms of depression and taking anti-depressants after their concussion would be eligible, barring any other issues with their eligibility. Further, because many current and former athletes have expressed interest in our study, and those individuals tend to have sustained multiple head injuries, we changed the maximum number of concussions that potential participants are allowed to have from 5 to 10.

Another issue that has barred participation for a number of individuals is substance abuse, especially the use of cannabis. Therefore, we have modified our exclusion criteria to permit a more liberal history of cannabis while still excluding for current usage or use that began before the age of 16.

Although we have modulated our exclusion criteria in order to ameliorate the issue of so much ineligibility, we have continued to collect detailed data regarding anti-depressant use, number of concussions sustained, and history or cannabis use so that it can be factored into future scientific data analyses.

In the past year, there have been no changes that have had a significant impact on expenditures, nor any changes to the care of our human subjects.

Reasons for Ineligibility



6. PRODUCTS

A number of products, including peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and published conference abstracts have emerged this year, including:

Peer-Reviewed Articles:

Killgore, WDS, Singh, P, Kipman, M, Pisner, D, Fridman, A, and Weber, M. Gray matter volume and executive functioning correlate with time since injury following mild traumatic brain injury. Neuroscience Letters, 612, 238-244, 2016.

Singh, P, & **Killgore WDS**. Time dependent differences in gray matter volume post mild traumatic brain injury. Neural Regeneration Research, 11, 920-921, 2016.

Book Chapters:

Klimova, A, Singh, P, & **Killgore WDS**. White matter abnormalities in MS: Advances in diffusion tensor imaging/tractography. In Watson, RR & Killgore, WD (Eds), Nutrition and

Lifestyle in Neurological Autoimmune Diseases (in press).

Published Abstracts:

Singh, P, Pisner, D, Fridman, A, Singh A, Millan, M, & **Killgore, WD.** A voxel based morphometric analysis of ventromedial prefrontal cortex volume related with executive function task performance post mild traumatic brain injury. Abstract presented at the 71st Annual Scientific Convention of the Society for Biological Psychiatry, Atlanta, GA, May 12-14, 2016.

Bernstein, AS, Pisner, D, Klimova, A, Umapathy, L, Do, L, Squire, S, **Killgore, WD**, & Trouard, T. Effects of multiband acceleration on high angular resolution diffusion imaging data collection, processing, and analysis. Abstract presented at the 24th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (IMSRM), Singapore, May 7-8, 2016.

Pisner, D, Singh, P, Fridman, A, & **Killgore, WD**. Resilience following mild traumatic brain injury is associated with gray matter volume in the left precentrual gyrus. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

Singh, P, Fridman, A, Pisner, D, & **Killgore, WD.** Time dependent differences in gray matter volume in individuals post mild traumatic brain injury: A voxel based morphometric study. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

Fridman, A, Pisner, D, Singh, P, & **Killgore, WD**. Gray matter volume in left medial prefrontal cortex is related to life satisfaction in individuals with mild traumatic brain injury. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

Singh, P, Pisner, D, Fridman, A, Roberts, S, & **Killgore, WD.** Volumetric differences in gray matter in healthy versus overweight/obese individuals post mild traumatic brain injury: A voxel based morphometric study. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

Singh, P, Fridman, A, Pisner, D, Singh, A, & **Killgore, WD.** A voxel based morphometric analysis of ventromedial prefrontal cortex volume related with executive function task performance post mild traumatic brain injury. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

Klimova, A, Pisner, D & **Killgore, WD**. Neural correlates of cognitive and emotional impairments in acute versus chronic mild traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. Abstract presented at the 44th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Boston, MA, February 3-6, 2016.

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

Name: William D. "Scott" Killgore, Ph.D. Project Role: PI Nearest person month worked: 2 Contribution to Project: Oversees all aspects of project progress and orchestrates data analysis and publication efforts. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 USAMRAA W81XWH-16-1-0062 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Anna Alkozei, Ph.D. Project Role: Postdoctoral Fellow Nearest person month worked: 3 Contribution to Project: Dr. Alkozei performs data analysis and processing for the project. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Ryan Smith, Ph.D. Project Role: Postdoctoral Fellow Nearest person month worked: 3 Contribution to Project: Dr. Smith performs data analysis and processing for the project. USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Sara Knight Project Role: Lab Manager Nearest person month worked: 3 Contribution to Project: Ms. Knight oversees the administrative needs of the study and study staff, in addition to providing regulatory support and performing periodic guality control checks. USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 USAMRAA W81XWH-16-1-0062 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Matthew Allbright Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 3 Contribution to Project: Mr. Allbright oversees the technical aspects of the project and assists in database export, storage, and management. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571

USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386

Name: Sarah (Markowski) Berryhill

Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 2 Contribution to Project: Mrs. Berryhill provides support with data collection and recruitment activities. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-16-1-0062 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Andrew Fridman Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 4 Contribution to Project: Mr. Fridman provides support with data collection and recruitment activities. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Miyla McIntosh Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 2 Contribution to Project: Ms. McIntosh provided support with data collection and recruitment activities. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: Melissa Millan Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 4 Contribution to Project: Ms. Millan oversees project progress and manages the day-to-day needs of the project. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386 Name: William Palmer Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 2 Contribution to Project: Mr. Palmer provided support with data collection and recruitment activities. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386

Name: Derek Pisner Project Role: Research Technician Nearest person month worked: 2 Contribution to Project: Mr. Pisner previously oversaw project progress and managed the dayto-day needs of the project. Funding support: USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0570 USAMRAA W81XWH-14-1-0571 USAMRAA W81XWH-12-1-0386

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

Since the last reporting period, the PI has added effort on two other projects. The first project is funded by USAMRAA W81XWH-16-1-0062 and expires in APR 2020. This grant was previously pending and is now active. The second project is funded by USAMRAA W81XWH-11-1-0056 and expires 31 DEC 2016. This award was pending transfer from the PI's former institution until earlier this year.

What other organizations were involved as partners?

Nothing to Report.

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Please see updated Quad Chart attached in Appendix.

CONCLUSION

The study is now progressing as planned. Although recruitment has struggled due to difficulty obtaining participants with documentation of head injury and injuries that meet our very specific time frame, we continue to advance forward and data collection is ongoing. Preliminary findings suggest that the procedures are working and that valid data is being collected. Data will continue to be collected over the next two years in order to obtain a sufficient sample size to conduct meaningful results.

REFERENCES

- 1. Humphreys, I., et al., *The costs of traumatic brain injury: a literature review*. ClinicoEconomics and outcomes research : CEOR, 2013. **5**: p. 281-7.
- 2. McCrea, M., et al., *Acute effects and recovery time following concussion in collegiate football players: the NCAA Concussion Study.* JAMA, 2003. **290**(19): p. 2556-63.
- 3. Bogdanova, Y. and M. Verfaellie, *Cognitive sequelae of blast-induced traumatic brain injury: recovery and rehabilitation*. Neuropsychology review, 2012. **22**(1): p. 4-20.
- 4. Lange, R.T., et al., *Neuropsychological outcome from uncomplicated mild, complicated mild, and moderate traumatic brain injury in US military personnel.* Archives of clinical neuropsychology : the official journal of the National Academy of Neuropsychologists, 2012. **27**(5): p. 480-94.
- 5. Lange, R.T., et al., *Variable, not always persistent, postconcussion symptoms after mild TBI in U.S. military service members: a five-year cross-sectional outcome study.* Journal of neurotrauma, 2013. **30**(11): p. 958-69.
- 6. Leong, B.K., et al., *Concomitant injuries and its influence on functional outcome after traumatic brain injury*. Disability and rehabilitation, 2013. **35**(18): p. 1546-51.
- 7. Arenth, P.M., et al., *Corpus Callosum Integrity and Neuropsychological Performance After Traumatic Brain Injury: A Diffusion Tensor Imaging Study.* The Journal of head trauma rehabilitation, 2013.
- 8. Jorge, R.E., et al., *White matter abnormalities in veterans with mild traumatic brain injury*. The American journal of psychiatry, 2012. **169**(12): p. 1284-91.
- 9. Morey, R.A., et al., *Effects of chronic mild traumatic brain injury on white matter integrity in Iraq and Afghanistan war veterans*. Human brain mapping, 2012.
- 10. Spitz, G., et al., *White matter integrity following traumatic brain injury: the association with severity of injury and cognitive functioning*. Brain topography, 2013. **26**(4): p. 648-60.
- 11. Yeh, P.H., et al., *Postconcussional disorder and PTSD symptoms of military-related traumatic brain injury associated with compromised neurocircuitry*. Human brain mapping, 2013.
- 12. Xiao, H., et al., *Structural and functional connectivity in traumatic brain injury*. Neural Regeneration Research, 2015. **10**(12): p. 2062-2071.
- 13. Greicius, M.D., et al., *Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2003. **100**(1): p. 253-8.
- 14. Lee, M.H., et al., *Clustering of Resting State Networks*. Plos One, 2012. 7(7).
- 15. Raichle, M.E., *The Restless Brain*. Brain Connectivity, 2011. 1(1).
- 16. Whitfield-Gabrieli, S. and A. Nieto-Castanon, *Conn: a functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks*. Brain connectivity, 2012. **2**(3): p. 125-41.

A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry Following Traumatic Brain Injury Study Tasks and Assessments

Day of Scan Questionnaire

Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS)

OSU TBI Interview

Glasgow Outcome Scale – Extended (GOS-E)

MINI International Psychiatric Interview (MINI)

California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT)

Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS)

Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS)

Go/No Go

Brief Visual Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R)

Buss Perry Aggression Questionnaire (BPAQ)

Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT)

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)

State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)

Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM)

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II)

Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI II)

Connor- Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC)

Craig Handicap Assessment and Reporting Technique Short Form (CHART-SF)

Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI)

Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT)

Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire (RPCSQ)

Snaith Hamilton Pleasure Scale (SHAPS)

Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS)

Edinburgh Handedness Survey (EHS)

Marijuana Use Questionnaire (MUSE)

A Model for Predicting Cognitive and Emotional Health from Structural and Functional Neurocircuitry following Traumatic Brain Injury

PT110814

W81XWH-12-1-0386

PI: William D. Killgore, Ph.D.

Org: University of Arizona

Award Amount: \$2,272,098

Study/Product Aim(s)

- Demonstrate the extent to which structural white matter damage explains abnormalities in cognition and emotion at different recovery stages following mild traumatic brain injury (TBI).
- Demonstrate the extent to which structural white matter damage explains abnormalities in functional connectivity at different recovery stages following mild TBI.
- Determine whether white matter disintegrity could serve as an objective marker for mild TBI.

Approach

Cross-sectional study involves comprehensive neuropsychiatric and neuropsychological assessment of 30 healthy controls and 150 individuals with mild TBI, of which 30 each will be assessed at 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 month post-TBI. All participants undergo diffusion-weighted imaging, resting-state functional connectivity imaging, and neurocognitive assessment.

Timeline and Cost

Activities C	;Y	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Study preparations								
Data collection								
Lab Relocation/Grant Mo	ove							
Data quality check								
Data analysis/dissemination								
Estimated Budget (\$2,272K)		\$188K	\$195K	\$420K	\$420K	\$420K	\$420K	\$209K

Updated: 12 OCT 2016

The study investigates whether and how white matter damage at 5 different natural recovery stages contributes to functional connectivity, cognition and emotion.



Accomplishments: Study is well underway after transferring from McLean Hospital to University of Arizona. 31 participants were collected at McLean, and 33 have been collected at University of Arizona. Data collection ahead of schedule and 1/3 complete.

Goals/Milestones

CY14 Goal – Close study to enrolment and move lab to U of Arizona ☑ Completed move successfully. Funding transferred successfully

- CY15 Goals Complete preparations & launch study at UA
- ☑ Preparations, training, and MRI protocols successfully completed
- ☑ Study re-initiated in Arizona successfully!
- ☑ Preliminary findings published; several presentations submitted
- CY16-18 Goal Data collection, quality checks

 $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ 37 Participants completed since relocation to UA; 68 participants have

completed in total. Quarterly recruitment is ahead of schedule.

 \Box Collect data from approximately 60 subjects per CY

CY19 Goal - Data analysis and dissemination

 $\hfill\square$ Conduct final data analyses and prepare data for publication

Comments/Challenges/Issues/Concerns

• None. The study is progressing on target.

Budget Expenditure to Date

Cumulative Expenditure: \$1,005,961



DAY OF SCAN INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

SUBJECT #:		DATE://
AGE		_ years
HEIGHT		_ft/inches
WEIGHT		lbs
SEX	MALE	FEMALE
		For females only:
		When was the start of your last menstrual period?
		Be as precise as possible.
		Date of period:
		or aboutdays ago.
RIGHT or LEFT-HANDED?		
		BOTH/NEITHER
Do you have any problems with read	ling? 🗌 NG	D 🗌 YES

EDUCATION: What is the highest grade or level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have obtained? *Please choose one:*

- 9th Grade
- 10th Grade
- 11th Grade
- 12th Grade, no diploma
- High school graduate
- GED or equivalent
- Some college, no degree
- Associate degree: occupational, technical, or vocational program
- Associate degree: academic program
- Bachelor's degree (e.g., BA, AB, BS, BBA)
- Master's degree (e.g., MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MBA)
- Professional school degree (e.g., MD, DDS, DVM, JD)
- Doctoral degree (e.g., PhD, EdD)
- Unknown
- RACE: With what ethnicity do you identify?
- U White
- Hispanic/Latino
- Black/African American
- Native American/ American Indian
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- Other

Are you currently doing shift work (e.g., working early morning, evening, or night shifts?

□ NO □ YES

Do you engage in regular exercise?

NO NO	☐ YES
	Which sport?
	How many days per week?
	How many minutes per exercise session (on average)?

Version 12/04/14

CAFFEINE USE

Did you have any	y caffeine containing products today?
	How much?
On average, how	v many cups (=8oz) of caffeinated coffee do you drink per day?
On average, how	v many cups (=8oz) of caffeinated tea do you drink per day?
On average, how	v many cans of caffeinated soda do you drink per day?
On average, how	v many caffeinated sports drinks do you drink per day? (brand)
Do vou use anv	other caffeinated products (e.g. Vivarin)?
	Brand?
	How much?
	How often?
NICOTINE AND	OTHER SUBSTANCE USE
Do you currently	smoke cigarettes?
] YES
Н	ow many? daily / weekly / monthly / yearly (circle one)
F	or how long? years months
н	ave you tried to quit?
	How many times?
	maked aigerettee in the past?
	j tes
	ow many? daily / weekly / montiny / yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
F	or now long? years months
VV	nen did you quit? (approximate date)
Do you currently	smoke large cigars?
] YES
H	ow many? daily / weekly / monthly/ yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
F	or how long? years months
Н	ave you tried to quit? NO YES
	How many times?

Have you ever smoked large cigars in the past?

□ NO			
	How many?	daily / weekly / monthly /	yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	years	_ months
	When did you quit?		(approximate date)
Do you curren	tly smoke small cigars?		
NO	☐ YES		
	How many?	_ daily / weekly / monthly/	yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	years	_ months
	Have you tried to quit?	YES	
		How many times?	
Have you eve	r smoked small cigars in the past?		
		daily / wookly / monthly / y	voarly (circlo opo)
	For how long?		months
	When did you guit?	_ years	_ monuns
			(approximate date)
Do you curren	tly smoke cigarillos?		
NO	☐ YES		
	How many?	_ daily / weekly / monthly/	yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	years	_ months
	Have you tried to quit?	YES	
		How many times?	
Have you eve	r smoked cigarillos in the past?		
	How many?	daily / weekly / monthly /	yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	_ years	_ months
	When did you quit?		(approximate date)

Do you currently use smokeless tobacco, such as dip or chew?

🗌 NO	YES			
	About how much/ many? _	dai	ly / weekly / monthly	/ yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	yea	rs	months
	Have you tried to quit?		YES	
			How many times?	?
Have vou e	ever used smokeless tobacco i	n the past?		
	About how much/ manv?	dail	v / weeklv / monthlv /	vearly (<i>circle one</i>)
	For how long?	vea	rs	months
	When did you quit?	,		(approximate date)
Do vou cur	rently use any other nicotine-c	ontaining produ	ucts?	
		sincarining produc		
	Which kind?			
	For how long?	vea	rs	months
	How often?	,	dailv/ weeklv/	monthly/ vearly (circle one)
	Have you tried to guit?			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			How many times?	?
Have you e	ever used any other kind of nice	otine containin	n products?	
			j productor	
	Which kind?			
	For how long?	vea	rs	months
	How often?	,	daily/ weekly/	monthly/ yearly (circle one)
	Have you tried to quit?			
		_	How many times	?
Are you cu	rrently taking diet pills?			
	What brand?			
	For how long?	years	months	days
	How much?			
	How often?	dail	y / weekly / monthly	/ yearly (<i>circle one</i>)
Are you cu	rrently taking any medications,	vitamins, or su	pplements?	

Version	12/04/14	
---------	----------	--

	Please list:				
	Name:	Dosage:			
	Name:	Dosage:			
	Name:	Dosage:			
	Name:	Dosage:			
Have you ev	er used any street drugs?				
🗌 NO	YES				
	What?				
	How much?				
	How often?	daily/ weekly/ monthly/ yearly (circle one)			
In the past ye	ear, did you use any other street ES	drugs?			
	What?				
	How much?				
	How often?	daily/ weekly/ monthly/ yearly (circle one)			
Do you curre	ntly use any other street drugs?				
NO	YES				
	What?				
	How much?				
	How often?	daily/ weekly/ monthly/ yearly (circle one)			
Do you drink	alcohol?				
NO	YES				
	How many times per month?				
	Using the below chart, what is the average number of drinks you consume on these				
	occasions?				
	Using the chart, what is the larg	gest number of drinks you consume?			

One drink equals:


INFORMATION ON THE MOST RECENT DOCUMENTED INJURY

Injury date and	d time:///:(24 hour clock)
	(day /month/ year)
What happene	ed?
Did you experi	ience any symptoms or changes after the injury?
□ NO	YES, IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE INJURY
	☐ YES, <u>NOT</u> IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE INJURY
	Which symptoms or changes did you experience?
At the time of	the injury, were you under the influence of alcohol, medication or drugs at that time?
	YES, ALCOHOL
	YES, MEDICATION (which?)
	YES, DRUGS (which?)
Were medical	services received after injury?
□ NO	
Did you "see s	stars" during your last concussion?
NO	

Did you experience loss of consciousness?

□ NO	DO NOT KNOW		
		Duration of loss of consciousness:	
		<1 minute	
		1-29 minutes	
		30-59 minutes	
		☐ 1-24 hours	
		□ 1-7 days	
		☐ > 7 days	
		Unknown	
How was the	loss of consciousness verifie	d?	
Self-repo	ort 🔄 Witness	Medical chart	
Do you have	a PERSONAL memory of the	event/incident itself?	
🗌 YES, I FU	JLLY REMEMBER	ES, BUT THERE ARE GAPS IN MY MEMORY	
		IO, I DO NOT REMEMBER AT ALL	
How much do you NOT remember after the injury?			
		1 minute	
		1-29 minutes	
		30-59 minutes	
		1-24 hours	
		1-7 days	
		> 7 days	
		Unknown	
How was the	memory loss verified?		
Self-repo	ort 🔄 Witness	Medical chart	
After the injur	ry, when did you feel back to	yourself or 100%? Please state the approximate number of	
days			
How many se	eparate injuries do you think h	nave you sustained in total?	

How many of these were documented by a health professional, athletic trainer, coach, etc.?

SLEEP HABITS

How much sle	eep did you get last night? HRS
<u>Before</u> your in	njury, what time did you typically awaken on:
Weeko	days (Mon-Fri)? AM PM (midnight = 12 AM; noon = 12 PM)
Weeke	ends (Sat-Sun)? AM PM
<u>Before</u> your ir	njury, how long did it typically take you to fall asleep at night?
Week	nights (Sun-Thur) MIN HRS (midnight = 12 AM; noon = 12 PM)
Weeke	ends (Fri-Sat) MIN HRS
Before your in	njury, at what time did you normally go to bed at night on:
Week	nights (Sun-Thur)? AM PM (midnight = 12 AM; noon = 12 PM)
Weeke	ends (Fri-Sat)? AM PM
<u>Before</u> the inj	jury, did you experience sleep problems?
□ NO	YES, I had trouble falling asleep.
	How often? times per WEEK MONTH YEAR
	YES, I had trouble staying asleep.
	How often? times per WEEK MONTH YEAR
Since the init	ury, did you notice that your sleep became worse?
	YES
	What sleep problems became more noticeable to you? (<i>check all that apply</i>)
	I get sleepier during the day.
	I get drowsier than I used to when trying to concentrate or work.
	I fall asleep when I should not.
	It is harder to stay alert during the day.
	It is harder to fall asleep at night.
	How often? times per WEEK MONTH YEAR (circle one)
	I fall asleep much later than I used to.

I fall asleep much earlier than i used to		I fall asleep	much	earlier	than I	used	to.
---	--	---------------	------	---------	--------	------	-----

- I sleep later in the morning than I used to.
- I have trouble staying asleep.

How often? _____ times per WEEK MONTH YEAR (circle one)

- When I do sleep, it is fitful or less restful than it used to be.
- I wake up off and on throughout the night more than I used to.
- ☐ I have more nightmares than I used to.

Since your injury, how much do you typically sleep on weeknights (Sun-Thur)? _____ HRS

Since your injury, how much do you typically sleep on weekend nights (Fri-Sat)? _____ HRS

Since your injury, at what time do you normally go to bed at night on:

Week nights (Sun-Thur)?	AM	PM (midnight = 12 AM; noon = 12 PM)
Weekends (Fri-Sat)?	AM	PM

Since your injury, what time do you typically awaken on:

Weekdays (Mon-Fri)? _____ AM PM

Weekends (Sat-Sun)? _____ AM PM

Since your Injury, how long does it typically take you to fall asleep at night?

Week nights (Sun-Thur)? _____ MIN HRS Weekends (Fri-Sat)? _____ MIN HRS

Since your injury,

at what time of day do you feel sleepiest? _____ AM PM

at what time of day do you feel most alert? _____ AM PM

how many hours do you need to sleep to feel your best?

if you get less than _____ hours of sleep, you notice impairment in your ability to function at work.

if you get more than _____ hours of sleep, you notice impairment in your ability to function at work.

Since your in	njury , do you take more than two daytime naps per month?
NO NO	☐ YES
	How many times per <u>week</u> do you nap?
	At what time?:AM/PM to:AM/PM
Do you consid	der yourself a light, normal, or heavy sleeper?
LIGHT	
Have you bee	en told or do you think that you snore excessively?
Have you eve	r been diagnosed or treated for sleep apnea or sleep disordered breathing?
□ NO	☐ YES
Is daytime sle	epiness currently a problem for you?
□ NO	

Epworth Sleepiness Scale

How likely are you to doze off or fall asleep in the following situations, in contrast to feeling just tired? This refers to your **usual way of life in recent times**. Even if you have not done some of these things recently try to work out how they would have affected you. Use the following scale to choose the most appropriate number for each situation:

- 0 = would never doze
- 1 = slight chance of dozing
- 2 = moderate chance of dozing
- 3 = high chance of dozing

SITUATION

CHANCE OF DOZING

Sitting and reading	0	1	2	3
Watching TV	0	1	2	3
Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g. a theater or meeting)	0	1	2	3
As a passenger in a car for an hour without a break	0	1	2	3
Lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit	0	1	2	3
Sitting and talking to someone	0	1	2	3
Sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol	0	1	2	3
In a car, while stopped for a few minutes in the traffic	0	1	2	3

Glasgow Outcome Scale – Extended

	CONSCIOUSNESS			
1.	Is the subject able to obey simple	NO	YES	
	commands, or say words?			
	INDEPENDENC	E IN THE HOME		
2.a	Is assistance of another person at	NO	YES	
	home essential every day for some			
	activities of daily living?			
	Notes.	·		
2.b	Do you need frequent help or	NO	YES	
	someone to be around at home			
	most of the time?	(UPPER SD)	(LOWER SD)	
2.c	Was assistance at home essential	NO	YES	
	before the injury?			
	Notes.	1		
	INDEPENDENCE C	OUTSIDE OF HOME		
3.a	Do you shop without assistance?	NO	YES	
0.01		(UPPER SD)	120	
3 h	Did you need assistance before the	NO	YES	
0.0	iniury?	110		
	Notes			
	Notes.			
4.2	Do you travol without assistance?	NO	VEC	
4.a	Do you traver without assistance:	ווט (תסתפת כח)	165	
4 1.		(UPPER SD)	VEC	
4.0	you need assistance before the	NU	162	
	Injury?			
	Notes.			

	WORK				
5.a	Are you currently working to your previous capacity?	NO		YES	
5.b	How restricted are you?	Reduced wor capacity.	k	Able t shelte works comp unabl	to work in ered shop or non- etitive job, or e to work
		(UPPER MD)		(LOW	ER MD)
5.c	Have you been working or seeking employment before the injury?	NO		YES	
	SOCIAL & LEISI	IDE ACTIVITI	75		
6.0	Are you able to require require		23	VEC	
0.a	social and leisure activities outside home?	NO		IES	
6.b	What is the extent of the restriction?	Participate a bit less: at least half as often as before injury (LOWER	Partici much l less th half as (UPPE	pate less: an often R	Unable to participate: rarely, if ever (LOWER
6.c	Did you engage in regular social and leisure activities before the injury?	NO	MDJ	YES	[MD]
7.a	Notes. FAMILY & F Have there been any psychological problems which have resulted in ongoing family disruption or disruption of friendship?	RIENDSHIPS NO		YES	

Date:

7.b	What is the extent of disruption or strain?	Occasional: less than weekly	Freque once a or mor but toleral	ent: week e, ole	Constant: daily and intolerable
7.c	Were there problems with family or friends before the injury?	NO		YES	
	Notes.				
	RETURN TO	NORMAL LIFE			
8.a	Are there any other current	NO		YES	
	problems relating to the injury				
	which affect daily life?	(UPPER GR)		(LOW	'ER GR)
8.b	Were similar problems present before injury?	NO		YES	
	Notes.				

	SCORING		
1	Dead		
2	Vegetative State	VS	
3	Lower Severe Disability	Lower SD	
4	Upper Severe Disability	Upper SD	
5	Lower Moderate Disability	Lower MD	
6	Upper Moderate Disability	Upper MD	
7	Lower Good Recovery	Lower GR	
8	Upper Good Recovery	Upper GR	

CD-RISC

Subject #:	Date:	Time:

Think about how you have been feeling over the past month. Using the scale below, please rate each of the following statements for how <u>well they describe you</u> **DURING THE PAST MONTH**.

0	1	2	3	4
not true at all	rarely true	sometimes true	often true	all the time
1 Able	to adapt to change	9		
2 Close	e and secure relati	onships		
3 Some	etimes fate or God	can help		
4 Can	deal with whateve	r comes		
5 Past	success gives conf	idence for new challen	ige	
6 See t	the humorous side	of things		
7 Copi	ng with stress stre	ngthens		
8 Tend	l to bounce back af	fter illness or hardship	I.	
9 Thin	gs happen for a rea	ason		
10 Best	effort no matter w	hat		
11 You	can achieve your g	oals		
12 Whe	en things look hope	less, I don't give up		
13 Knov	w where to turn fo	r help		
14 Unde	er pressure, focus a	and think clearly		
15 Prefe	er to take the lead	in problem solving		
16 Not e	easily discouraged	by failure		
17 Thin	k of self as strong	person		
18 Make	e unpopular or diff	ficult decisions		
19 Can	handle unpleasant	feelings		
20 Have	e to act on a hunch			
21 Stron	ng sense of purpos	e		
22 In co	ontrol of your life			
23 I like	e challenges			
24 You	work to attain you	r goals		
25 Pride	e in your achievem	ients		

Craig Handicap Assessment and Reporting Technique Scoring Short Form

1.	How many hours in a typical 24-hour day do you have someone with you to provide physical assistance for personal care activities such as eating, bathing, dressing, toileting and mobility? hours paid assistance hours unpaid (family, others)	A.	Total the hours of paid and unpaid care, multiply by 4, and subtract that number from 100.	PHYSICAL INDEPENDENCE 100 minus
2.	How much time is someone with you in your home to assist you with activities that require remembering, decision making, or judgment?	A.	Assign points as follows: response $#1 = 0$ points; response $#2 = 1$ point; response $#3 = 2$ points;	COGNITIVE INDEPENDENCE
	1 Someone else is always with me to observe or supervise. 2 Someone else is always around, but they only check on me now and then. 3 Sometimes I am left alone for an hour or two. 4 Sometimes I am left alone for an hour or two.		response #4 = 3 points; response #5 = 4 points; and response #6 = 5 points.	x11 =
	5 I have been left alone all day and all night, but someone checks in on me. 6 I am left alone without anyone checking on me.	B.	Multiply points in "A" by 11.	+
3.	How much of the time is someone with you to help you with remembering, decision making, or judgment when you go away from your home?	C.	Assign points as follows: response $#1 = 0$ points; response $#2 = 1$ point; response $#3 = 2$ points; and response $#4 = 3$ points	<u> </u>
	1I am restricted from leaving, even with someone else.2Someone is always with me to help with remembering, decision making or judgment when I go anywhere.3I go to places on my own as long as they are familiar.			x15 =
	4 I do not need help going anywhere.	D.	Multiply points in "C" by 15.	=
		Add thar	the sums of "B" and "D". If the total sum is greater 100, enter 100.	

4.	On a <u>typical day</u> , how many hours are you out of bed? hours	A. Multiply the number of hours out of bed by 3.	MOBILITY
5.	In a typical <u>week</u> , how many days do you get out of your house and go somewhere? days	B. Multiply the number of days per week out of the house by 7.	
6.	In the last <u>year</u> , how many nights have you spent away from your home (excluding hospitalizations?)none1-23-45 or more	 C. Assign points as follows: no nights out = 0; 1-2 nights out = 10; 3-4 nights out = 15; 5 or more nights = 20. If the total sum is greater than 100, enter 100. 	
		Add the sums of "A", "B", and "C". If the total sum is greater than 100, enter 100.	
7.	How many hours per week do you spend working in a job for which you get paid? hours	A. Multiply the number of hours working by 2.5.	OCCUPATION
8.	How many hours per week do you spend in school working toward a degree or in an accredited technical training program (including hours in class and studying)? hours	B. Multiply the number of hours in school by 2.5.	+
9.	How many hours per week do you spend in active homemaking including parenting, housekeeping, and food preparation? hours	C. Multiply the number of hours in active homemaking by 2.5.	<u>_</u>
10.	How many hours per week do you spend in home maintenance activities such as gardening, house repairs or home improvement? hours	D. Multiply the number of hours in home maintenance by 2.5.	+ +
11.	How many hours per week do you spend in recreational activities such as sports, exercise, playing cards, or going to movies? Please do not include time spent watching TV or listening to the radiohours	E. Multiply the number of recreational activities by 1.25	
		Add the sums of "A", "B", "C", "D", and "E". If the total sum is greater than 100, enter 100.	

				SOCIAL INTEGRATION
12.	How many people do you live with?	Α.	Assign 38 points if living with spouse/partner <u>OR</u> assign 25 points if living with unrelated roommate	
13.	Is one of them your spouse or significant other?		and/or an attendant.	+
14.	of the people you live with how many are relatives?		Add an additional six points for every relative that lives in the household.	
15.	How many business or organizational associates do you visit, phone, or write to at least once a month? Associates	B.	Multiply number of business associates by 2.5. A maximum score for this component is 25 points.	+
16.	How many friends (non-relatives contacted outside business or organizational settings) do you visit, phone, or write to at least once a month?Friends	C.	If living with more than one roommate, add <u>extra</u> roommate to number of friends contacted monthly. Multiply by 13. A Maximum score for this component is 65 points.	+ + +
17.	With how many strangers have you initiated a conversation in the last month (for example, to ask information or place an order)?	D.	Assign points as follows: none = 0 points; $1-2 = 15$ points; $3-5 = 23$ points; 6 or more = 30 points.	
		Ado sum	the sums from "A", "B", "C", and "D". If the total is greater than 100, enter 100.	=

18.	Approximately what was the combined annual income, in the last year, of all family members in your household ? (consider all sources including wages and earnings, disability benefits, pensions and retirement income, income from court settlements, investments and trust funds, child support and alimony, contributions from relatives, and any other source)	A pa ho
	any other source.)	

a. Less than 25,000 - If no ask e; if yes ask b b. Less than 20,000 - If no code 22500; if yes ask c c. Less than 15,000 - If no code 17500; if yes ask d d. Less than 10,000 - If no code 12500; if yes code 5000 e. Less than 35,000 - If no ask f; if yes code 30000 f. Less than 50,000 - If no ask g; if yes code 42500

- g. Less than 75,000 If no code h; if yes code 62500
- h. 75,000 or more code 80000
- Approximately how much did you pay last year for medical care expenses? (Consider 19. any amounts paid by yourself or the family members in your household and not reimbursed by insurance or benefits.)
 - a. Less than 1000 if "no" ask b if "yes" code 500.
 - b. Less than 2500 if "no" ask c if "yes" code 1750.
 - c. Less than 5000 if "no" ask d if "yes" code 3750.
 - d. Less than 10000 if "no" code e if "yes" code 7500.
 - e. 10000 or more code 15000

A. Calculate family size by adding respondent, plus artner (if living with respondent), plus other relatives in ousehold.

B. Subtract the unreimbursed medical expenses from

(#19)

minus

*50 =

Family size

ECONOMIC SELF SUFFICIENCY

	the annual income (amount in question #19 minus amount in question #20.	<u> </u>
		(#20)
C.	Determine poverty level from family size calculated in "A".	=
D.	Divide the value from "B" by the poverty level from "C".	<u>.</u>
E.	Multiply by 50	divided by
		Poverty level
		*50

If the total sum is greater than 100, enter 100.

=

Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI)



99% of the products we distribute are in stock at all times.

Personality Assessment Inventory[™] (PAI[®]) Leslie C. Morey, PhD

Product Search

By All N Advanced Search

About PAR

Products

Request Catalog

Conferences/Workshops

Assessment Consultants

Research and Development

Opportunities at PAR

Community Involvement

Permissions and Licensing

PAR Report Card

Forms

Contact Us

1.800.331.8378 Telephone 1.800.727.9329 Fax 1.800.899.8378 Tech Support

Customer Comments:

"The speed with which you fill your orders is to be commended! This is very helpful for your customers. Thank you!'

Laura Liljeguist, PhD Murray, KY

f facebook



Revised and updated materials help increase the accuracy of personality assessment.

Purpose:	22 nonoverlapping full scales provide a comprehensive assessment of adult psychopathology in ages 18 years and older
Age Range:	Adult Elder Adult
Admin:	Individual or group
Time:	50-60 minutes to administer; 15-20 minutes to score
Qualification:	<u>C</u>
Sample Reports:	N/A
Related Products:	<u>PAI[®] Professional Report</u> <u>Service</u>
	<u>PAI[®] Software Portfolio</u> <u>Personality Assessment</u> Inventory™-Adolescent

With its newly revised Professional Manual, Profile Form Adults-Revised, and Critical Items Form-Revised, the PAI[®] continues to raise the standard for the assessment of adult psychopathology. This objective inventory of adult personality assesses psychopathological syndromes and provides information relevant for clinical diagnosis, treatment planning, and screening for psychopathology. Since its introduction, the PAI has been heralded as one of the most important innovations in the field of clinical assessment.

PAI[®] Scales and Subscales

The 344 PAI items constitute 22 nonoverlapping scales covering the constructs most relevant to a broad-based assessment of mental disorders: 4 validity scales, 11 clinical scales, 5 treatment scales, and 2 interpersonal scales. To facilitate interpretation and to cover the full range of complex clinical constructs, 10 scales contain conceptually derived subscales.

The PAI Clinical scales were developed to provide information about critical diagnostic features of 11 important clinical constructs. These 11 scales may be divided into three broad classes of disorders: those within the neurotic spectrum, those within the psychotic spectrum, and those associated with behavior disorder or impulse control problems.

The Treatment scales were developed to provide indicators of potential complications in treatment that would not necessarily be apparent from diagnostic information. These five scales include two indicators of potential for harm to self or others, two measures of the respondent's environmental circumstances, and one indicator of the respondent's motivation for treatment.

The Interpersonal scales were developed to provide an assessment of the respondent's interpersonal style along two dimensions: a warmly affiliative versus a cold rejecting style, and a dominating/controlling versus a meekly submissive style. These axes provide a useful way of conceptualizing many different mental disorders: persons at the extremes of these dimensions may present with a variety of disorders. A number of studies provide evidence that diagnostic groups differ on these dimensions.

The PAI includes a Borderline Features scale and an Antisocial Features scale. Both of these scales specifically assess character pathology. The Borderline Features scale is the only PAI scale that has four subscales, reflecting the factorial complexity of the construct. The Antisocial Features scale includes a total of three facets: one assessing antisocial behaviors, and the other two assessing antisocial traits.

The following questions concern your alcohol consumption. Place an X in one box that best describes your answer to each question.

Questions	0	1	2	3	4	
 How often do you have a drink containing alcohol? 	Never	Monthly or less	2-4 times a month	2-3 times a week	4 or more times a week	
 How many drinks containing alcohol do you have on a typical day when you are drinking? 	1 or 2	3 or 4	5 or 6	7 to 9	10 or more	
3. How often do you have six or more drinks on one occasion?	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
4. How often during the last year have you found that you were not able to stop drinking once you had started?	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
5. How often during the last year have you failed to do what was normally expected of you because of drinking?	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
6. How often during the last year have you needed a first drink in the morning to get yourself going after a heavy drinking session?	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
 How often during the last year have you had a feeling of guilt or remorse after drinking? 	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
8. How often during the last year have you been unable to remem- ber what happened the night before because of your drinking?	Never	Less than monthly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily or almost daily	
9. Have you or someone else been injured because of your drinking?	No		Yes, but not in the last year		Yes, during the last year	
10. Has a relative, friend, doctor, or other health care worker been concerned about your drinking or suggested you cut down?	No		Yes, but not in the last year		Yes, during the last year	
					Total	

Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire

Modified (Rpq-3 And Rpq-13)⁴² Printed With Permission: Modified Scoring System From Eyres 2005 ²⁸

Subject ID:

Date:

After a head injury or accident some people experience symptoms that can cause worry or nuisance. We would like to know if you now suffer any of the symptoms given below. Because many of these symptoms occur normally, we would like you to compare yourself now with before the accident. For each symptom listed below please circle the number that most closely represents your answer.

- 0 = not experienced at all
- 1 = no more of a problem
- 2 = a mild problem
- 3 = a moderate problem
- 4 = a severe problem

Compared with **before** the accident, do you **now** (i.e., over the last 24 hours) suffer from:

	not experienced	no more of a problem	mild problem	moderate problem	severe problem
Headaches	0	1	2	3	4
Feelings of dizziness	0	1	2	3	4
Nausea and/or vomiting	0	1	2	3	4
Noise sensitivity (easily upset by loud noise)	0	1	2	3	4
Sleep disturbance	0	1	2	3	4
Fatigue, tiring more easily	0	1	2	3	4
Being irritable, easily angered	0	1	2	3	4
Feeling depressed or tearful	0	1	2	3	4
Feeling frustrated or impatient	0	1	2	3	4
Forgetfulness, poor memory	0	1	2	3	4
Poor concentration	0	1	2	3	4
Taking longer to think	0	1	2	3	4
Blurred vision	0	1	2	3	4
Light sensitivity (easily upset by bright light)	0	1	2	3	4
Double vision	0	1	2	3	4
Restlessness	0	1	2	3	4
Are you experiencing any other di	fficulties? Pleas	se specify, and i	rate as above.		
1.	0	1	2	3	4

Administration only:

2.

RPQ-3 (total for first three items)	
RPQ-13 (total for next 13 items)	

1

2

З

4

0

Modified (Rpq-3 And Rpq-13)⁴² Printed With Permission: Modified Scoring System From Eyres 2005 ²⁸

Administration only

Individual item scores reflect the presence and severity of post concussive symptoms. Post concussive symptoms, as measured by the RPQ, may arise for different reasons subsequent to (although not necessarily directly because of) a traumatic brain injury. The symptoms overlap with broader conditions, such as pain, fatigue and mental health conditions such as depression⁷².

The questionnaire can be repeated to monitor a patient's progress over time. There may be changes in the severity of symptoms, or the range of symptoms. Typical recovery is reflected in a reduction of symptoms and their severity within three months.

Scoring

The scoring system has been modified from Eyres, 2005²⁴.

The items are scored in two groups. The first group (RPQ-3) consists of the first three items (headaches, feelings of dizziness and nausea) and the second group (RPQ-13) comprises the next 13 items. The total score for RPQ-3 items is potentially 0–12 and is associated with early symptom clusters of post concussive symptoms. If there is a higher score on the RPQ-3, earlier reassessment and closer monitoring is recommended.

The RPQ-13 score is potentially 0–52, where higher scores reflect greater severity of post concussive symptoms. The RPQ-13 items are associated with a later cluster of symptoms, although the RPQ-3 symptoms of headaches, dizziness and nausea may also be present. The later cluster of symptoms is associated with having a greater impact on participation, psychosocial functioning and lifestyle. Symptoms are likely to resolve within three months. A gradual resumption of usual activities is recommended during this period, appropriate to symptoms. If the symptoms do not resolve within three months, consideration of referral for specialist assessment or treatment services is recommended.

References:

Eyres, S., Carey, A., Gilworth, G., Neumann, V., Tennant, A. (2005). Construct validity and reliability of the Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 19, 878-887.

King, N. S., Crawford, S., Wenden, F.J., Moss, N.E.G. Wade, D.T. (1995). The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire: a measure of symptoms commonly experienced after head injury and its reliability *Journal of Neurology*, 242, 587-592.

Potter, S., Leigh, E., Wade, D., Fleminger, S. (2006). The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire *Journal of Neurology*, October 1-12.

Subject ID: Session	1: Study:	Date://
---------------------	-----------	---------

Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale

This questionnaire is designed to measure your ability to experience pleasure in the last few days. It is important to read each statement very carefully. Circle the answer that corresponds to how much you agree or disagree with each statement.

1.	I would enjoy my favorite television or radio programStrongly Disagr	ree Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.	I would enjoy being with my family or close friendsDefinitely Agree	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
3.	I would find pleasure in my hobbies and past-timesStrongly Disagr	ree Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
4.	I would be able to enjoy my favorite mealDefinitely Agro	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
5.	I would enjoy a warm bath or refreshing showerDefinitely Agree	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	I would find pleasure in the scent of flowers or the smell of a fresh sea breeze or freshly baked breadStrongly Disagr	ree Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
7.	I would enjoy seeing other people's smiling facesDefinitely Agree	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I would enjoy looking smart when I have made an effort with my appearanceStrongly Disagr	ree Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
9.	I would enjoy reading a book, magazine, or newspaperDefinitely Agree	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
10.	I would enjoy a cup of tea or coffee or my favorite drinkStrongly Disagr	ee Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
11.	I would find pleasure in small things, e.g. bright sunny day, a telephone call from a friendStrongly Disagr	ree Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
12.	I would be able to enjoy a beautiful landscape or viewDefinitely Agree	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
13.	I would get pleasure from helping othersStrongly Disagr	ee Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
14.	I would feel pleasure when I receive praise from other peopleDefinitely Agro	ee Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

Satisfaction with Life Scale

Below are five statements with which you may agree or disagree. Indicate your agreement with each item by placing the appropriate number on the line preceding that item.

Please be open and honest in your responding.

The 7-point scale is as follows:

- 1 = strongly disagree
- 2 = disagree
- 3 = slightly disagree
- 4 = neither agree nor disagree
- 5 = slightly agree
- 6 = agree
- 7 = strongly agree
- ____1. In most ways my life is close to my ideal.
- ____ 2. The conditions of my life are excellent.
- ___ 3. I am satisfied with my life.
- _____4. So far I have gotten the important things I want in life.
- ____ 5. If I could live my life over, I would change almost nothing.

EDINBURGH HANDEDNESS SURVEY

Subject ID#:_____

Date: _____

Please indicate your preferences in the use of hands in the following activities by putting a + in the appropriate column. Where the preference is so strong that you would never try to use the other hand unless absolutely forced to, put ++. If in any case you are really indifference put + in both columns.

Some of the activities require both hands. In these cases the part of the task, or object, for which the hand preference is wanted is indicated in brackets.

Please try to answer all the questions, and only leave a blank if you have no experience at all of the object or task.

		LEFT	RIGHT
1	Writing		
2	Drawing		
3	Throwing		
4	Scissors		
5	Toothbrush		
6	Knife [without fork]		
7	Spoon		
8	Broom [upper hand]		
9	Striking Match [match]		
10	Opening Box [lid]		

Do not write below this line

L.Q.:_____

DECILE: _____

M/USE QUESTIONNAIRE

SUBJECT #	:		DATE:	//	
Have you ever u	sed marijuana?				
For our purpose	s, marijuana usag	e is considered a	ny instance in whi	ch you intentionall	y consumed
(smoked, ingest	ed, etc.) any quan	tity of marijuana.			
] YES				
At what age did	you start?				
At what s	specific age (in yea	ars) was your mar	ijuana usage the	heaviest?	
During yo	our lifetime, appro	ximately how mar	ny occasions have	you used marijua	na?
0-50	51-100	101-500	501s-1000	1001-5000 0\	/er 5000
Consider the ext	ent of marijuana u	use throughout yo	ur lifetime. Please	approximate the	number of times
per month on av	erage which you ι	used marijuana at	the following age	s:	
16-18 years of age	19-21 years of age	22-24 years of age	25-27 years of age	28-30 years of age	30+ years of age
During yo	our lifetime, on ave	erage, how many	times per month ł	nave you used ma	rijuana?
In the past <u>four v</u>	<u>weeks</u> , did you use	e marijuana?			
	YES				
	How often?			daily / we	ekly (<i>circle one</i>)
	On average	, how much do yo	u consume per o	casion?	
If YES, please re	eview the printed o	calendar reflecting	g all the days in th	e past month. Indi	cate the number

of times you used marijuana on each of these days. If you abstained from marijuana use during a given day, please write a "0" on that day. Please fill out every day in the calendar with your best guess of marijuana use.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neulet

Research paper

Gray matter volume and executive functioning correlate with time since injury following mild traumatic brain injury

William D.S. Killgore^{a,b,*}, Prabhjyot Singh^a, Maia Kipman^c, Derek Pisner^a, Andrew Fridman^a, Mareen Weber^d

^a Social, Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience Lab, University of Arizona College of Medicine, United States

^b McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, United States

^c Tufts University School of Medicine, United States

^d Stanford Concussion and Brain Performance Center, Stanford University School of Medicine, United States

HIGHLIGHTS

- A voxel based morphometric study in people with mild traumatic brain injury.
- Longer duration of time since injury was associated with larger gray matter volume.
- Particularly in ventromedial prefrontal cortex and fusiform gyrus regions.
- Compensatory remodeling of cortical regions might be the reason for these findings.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 November 2015 Received in revised form 14 December 2015 Accepted 15 December 2015 Available online 19 December 2015

Keywords: mTBI Mild traumatic brain injury Concussion VBM Voxel-based morphometry SPM8 Gray matter Emotion regulation Motor speed Psychomotor vigilance

ABSTRACT

Most people who sustain a mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) will recover to baseline functioning within a period of several days to weeks. A substantial minority of patients, however, will show persistent symptoms and mild cognitive complaints for much longer. To more clearly delineate how the duration of time since injury (TSI) is associated with neuroplastic cortical volume changes and cognitive recovery, we employed voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and select neuropsychological measures in a cross-sectional sample of 26 patients with mTBI assessed at either two-weeks, one-month, three-months, six-months, or one-year post injury, and a sample of 12 healthy controls. Longer duration of TSI was associated with larger gray matter volume (GMV) within the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and right fusiform gyrus, and better neurocognitive performance on measures of visuospatial design fluency and emotional functioning. In particular, volume within the vmPFC was positively correlated with design fluency and negatively correlated with symptoms of anxiety, whereas GMV of the fusiform gyrus was associated with greater design fluency and sustained visual psychomotor vigilance performance. Moreover, the larger GMV seen among the more chronic individuals was significantly greater than healthy controls, suggesting possible enlargement of these regions with time since injury. These findings are interpreted in light of burgeoning evidence suggesting that cortical regions often exhibit structural changes following experience or practice, and suggest that with greater time since an mTBI, the brain displays compensatory remodeling of cortical regions involved in emotional regulation, which may reduce distractibility during attention demanding visuo-motor tasks.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

erate, or severe, the vast majority of these injuries are in the mild range [33]. In contrast to moderate or severe TBI, mild traumatic

brain injury (mTBI) is diagnosed following a blow or other insult to

the head that leads to transient alterations in cognitive, sensory, or motor functioning, and may or may not involve brief loss of consciousness (i.e., no more than 30 min), and is usually not associated with identifiable abnormalities on standard clinical neuroimaging

[2]. Common post-concussive symptoms include reduced atten-

tion, memory, and information processing speed [4,21]. Psychiatric

1. Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) affects approximately 1.5 million individuals each year [27]. While TBI can be classified as mild, mod-

* Corresponding author at: Social, Cognitive, and Affective Neuroscience Lab Department of Psychiatry University of Arizona College of Medicine 1501N Campbell Ave Tucson, AZ 85724, United States. Fax: +1 520 626 6050.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2015.12.033 0304-3940/© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.







E-mail address: killgore@psychiatry.arizona.edu (W.D.S. Killgore).

mood disturbances, including anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress, and phobic symptoms, are often elevated among those with mTBI compared to healthy controls [3]. For most individuals who have sustained an mTBI, the associated cognitive and affective symptoms reduce with longer time since injury (TSI), typically resolving to baseline levels within the first few days or weeks post-injury [21] and full recovery within 90 days [14]. However, some evidence suggests that nearly 50% of patients with mTBI show some persistent deficits at three months [26], and a smaller proportion will continue to have chronic post-concussive symptoms or cognitive deficits that persist for at least a year or longer [29]. Despite the rapid advancement of powerful neuroimaging techniques, little is known about the structural brain changes that are associated with the recovery process.

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) is a neuroimaging technique that enables quantification of regional gray matter volume (GMV) throughout the cortex. A number of studies suggest that GMV may be reduced in patients with mTBI compared to healthy controls in the semi-acute to post-acute stages [12,19]. Others have shown that GMV often remains decreased in various areas of the cortex when assessed for up to a year after injury [11,39]. The research to date, however, has not examined whether and how GMV differs at various time-points following an injury nor investigated whether there are regions of increased GMV with longer recovery time, and whether this correlates with possible recovery of cognitive capacities. This latter question is important, as numerous studies have suggested that regional GMV can be increased through training or practice in particular cognitive and motor domains [20,32]. This remodeling process is known as experience-dependent cortical plasticity [15], and involves increases in dendritic arborization or neuronal spine density as a result of frequent neuronal stimulation or use (i.e., practice) [5,16]. This raises the possibility that individuals who repeatedly engage in particular cognitive or emotional strategies to compensate for their deficits might show increased experience-dependent cortical remodeling of relevant cortical structures, which over time, might be expressed as increased GMV within those structures.

The goal of the present study was to examine regional GMV within individuals following mTBI at various time-points postinjury and correlate GMV with neuropsychological and emotional functioning. Based on the aforementioned rationale of compensation through experience-dependent cortical plasticity, we hypothesized that greater TSI would be associated with increased GMV within prefrontal regions involved in regulating attention, emotion, and behavior (e.g., dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, medial/ventromedial prefrontal cortex), and that greater volume in such regions would correlate with better speed of information processing, greater vigilance, and reduced neuropsychiatric symptom expression.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-six right-handed, native English speaking, individuals (age range 20–45 years, mean age 23.38 ± 5.23 , 11 males, 15 females) with a history of mTBI experienced within the preceding 12 months took part in this study (two-weeks [n=2], one-month [n=6], three-months [n=5], six-months [n=10], one-year [n=3]). All participants were recruited from the Boston metropolitan area using advertisements on the Internet, public transportation billboards, newspaper, radio, and posted flyers. Eligible participants were required to have sustained a documented injury involving head impact, followed by some alteration in mental status (e.g., confusion, "seeing stars", disorientation, post-traumatic amnesia not more than 24 h) or loss of consciousness lasting no more than 30 min. To be eligible, participants had to provide written documentation from an impartial but professionally responsible witness to the head injury (e.g., coach, sports trainer, police officer) or its immediate medical aftermath (e.g., physician, nurse, ambulance driver, medical record, neuropsychologist). In addition, 12 healthy control participants (age range 20–43 years, mean age 25.00 ± 6.55 , 4 males, 8 females), without history of head injury or loss of consciousness were also recruited for comparison. Participants were compensated for their time. All study procedures were conducted in accordance with the 1964 declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the McLean Hospital Institutional Review Board. Furthermore, because the study was funded by the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USMRMC), all procedures were also approved by the US Army Human Research Protections Office.

2.2. Materials and procedure

Based on the timing of their injury, mTBI participants were scheduled for an evaluation at one of six different time-points following their mTBI: 2-weeks, 1-month, 3-months, 6-months, or 12-months post injury (all sessions were scheduled within 3 days of the respective anniversary date). Participants underwent a morning assessment session that involved completing several questionnaires and cognitive tasks, followed by a series of neuroimaging scans. Healthy control participants underwent the same structural scanning sequence.

2.3. Neuropsychological assessments

2.3.1. Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS)

Participants with mTBI were administered the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS), a widely used metric of higher order executive functions with established psychometric properties [6,34]. The D-KEFS provides methods for delineating underlying cognitive processes that may contribute to executive functioning. For the present analyses, we focused on two 'matched fluency' subtasks of the D-KEFS, (1) the verbal fluency (VF) subtest to measure verbally mediated executive control, and (2) the Design Fluency (DF) subtest to measure visuospatial executive control. For VF, four subtests were collected, including VF1 (letter fluency: number of items correct), VF2 (category fluency: number of items correct), VF3 (switching: number of items correct regardless of whether switching rule was correct), and VF3-A (switching accuracy: number of correct category switches). VF1 required the examinee to say as many words that they could think of in 60 s that began with a particular letter. VF2 required the examinee to name as many animals that they could think of in 60 s. VF3 required the examinee to name as many fruits and furniture as possible in 60 s, alternating between categories for each item. VF3-A is derived from the number of correct across-category switches from the VF3 trial. This procedure allows determination of whether deficits are due to more fundamental executive processes (VF1 and VF2) or higher level executive processes involved in switching (VF3 and VF3-A). For DF, a task that requires the examinee to connect pre-printed circles together using straight lines to make as many uniquely different designs as possible in 60s, the following three related subtests were evaluated: DF1 (filled dots: number of correctly connected black circles), DF2 (empty dots: number of correctly connected empty circles), and DF3 (switching: number of correct designs where the examinee alternated between filled and empty circles). DF1 required the examinee to generate as many different designs as possible by connecting sets comprised of filled black circles using only 4 straight lines per design. DF2 is nearly identical to DF1, except that the pre-printed sets include both empty and filled circles, requiring the examinee to inhibit the prepotent response

from the previous trial (i.e., connecting filled circles). DF3 required the examinee to create designs such that each line has a filled circle at one end point and an empty circle at the other.

2.3.2. Psychomotor vigilance test (PVT)

Participants with mTBI were administered a 10-min version of the psychomotor vigilance test (PVT), a well-validated metric for the assessment of sustained vigilance and response time [9]. During this computerized task, participants pressed a response key as quickly as possible each time a pseudo-randomly presented stimulus (time interval ranged from 2 to 10 s) appeared on the screen. For the present study, mean simple reaction time derived from the entire duration of the task was used as the metric of interest.

2.3.3. Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence (WASI-II)

All participants were also administered the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI-II) [36] by a trained research technician to provide an estimate of general cognitive ability. For this study, the full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) was calculated from the four-subtest version of the WASI-II.

2.3.4. Personality assessment inventory (PAI)

Finally, as in index of potential clinical anxiety problems, mTBI participants also completed the anxiety related disorders (ARD) scale of the Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI) [22]. This scale measures the general behavioral expression of anxiety and maladaptive attempts to control anxiety, particularly as they relate to intrusive thoughts, common phobic fears, and prior traumatic experiences. For the present analysis, normalized *T*-scores based on the standard community sample were used [22].

2.4. Magnetic resonance imaging parameters

2.4.1. Data acquisition

A 3.0T magnetic resonance imaging scanner (Siemens Tim Trio, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel head coil was used for the study. For this analysis, a T-1 weighted 3D MPRAGE sequence (TR/TE/flip angle = 2.1 s, 2.3 ms, 12°) was used to obtain 176 sagittal slices (256×256 matrix) with a slice thickness of 1 mm and a voxel size of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm. Participants also completed several other neuroimaging sequences, including diffusion tensor imaging and a resting state functional scan, but these were not relevant to the present analysis and will not be discussed further.

2.4.2. Voxel based morphometry (VBM) image processing

T-1 weighted structural images were preprocessed using the VBM8 toolbox in SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/ software/spm8/). Images were realigned to the anterior-posterior commissure axis in SPM8. After realignment, images were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid using VBM8, a fully automated algorithm in SPM8. Segmented images were used to create a custom DARTEL template and then the images were normalized to Montreal Neurological institute (MNI) space. Smoothing of normalized images was performed with a 10 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in two stages. First, GMV was regressed against days since injury. In this stage, the normalized, smoothed gray matter images were entered into a whole-brain general linear model (GLM) in SPM8. We used a cluster-extent based thresholding, following the recommended approach suggested by Woo, Krishnan, and Wager [38], applying a primary significance threshold of p < 0.001, uncorrected, as

the default lower limit. Based on this primary height threshold, SPM12 provided the critical cluster size for cluster-extent correction with false discovery rate (FDR) maintained at p < 0.05. Based on the present data, this threshold was k = 894 voxels. Additionally, age, gender, and intracranial volume (ICV) were included as nuisance covariates in the regression equation.

In the second stage of analysis, estimates of GMV were extracted for each distinct cluster exceeding our significance threshold. For this analysis, the total cluster eigenvariate was extracted for each participant and then exported into IBM SPSS Statistics 22 for correlational analysis with neuropsychological test scores. It was predicted that GMV would correlate positively with better neuropsychological performance among the patients with mTBI. Partial correlations between GMV of each regional cluster and neuropsychological scores were calculated, controlling for FSIQ and education level (α = 0.05, 1-tailed). For the ARD, analyses were also controlled for the positive and negative impression validity index scores.

Finally, the GMV findings for the mTBI sample were compared to a group of 12 healthy control participants to determine if the changes in GMV over time differed from the normal pattern in noninjured individuals. For this analysis, the mTBI sample was divided into the "post-acute" stage (i.e., 0–99 days post injury; n = 13) and the chronic stage (i.e., 100–367 days post injury; n = 13), and compared to a third group of healthy controls (n = 12) using a one-way analysis of variance in SPM12, with age, sex, and ICV entered as nuisance covariates. Contrast estimates were extracted from the same locations identified in the first stage of analysis and compared across groups using SPSS 22, with p < 0.05, and post-hoc group comparisons evaluated at a Bonferroni corrected threshold of p < 0.05.

3. Results

All scans were initially evaluated by a clinical neuroradiologist blind to diagnostic status to identify possible clinically relevant abnormalities. None of the scans showed clinically significant abnormalities, although there was evidence of minimal to mild ventricular prominence (n = 8) and mild white matter hyperintensity/hypointensity in some participants (n = 3).

As evident in Fig. 1, two regions of the cortex showed significant positive correlations between GMV and TSI, even after whole brain correction for multiple comparisons. Table 1 presents the stereotaxic coordinates for the maximally correlated voxels, cluster volumes, and statistics for these two regions. The most strongly correlated of these regions was a cluster within the right fusiform gyrus. As shown in the left scatterplot of Fig. 1, greater TSI accounted for approximately 66% of the variance in the GMV (1st eigenvariate) of this region after controlling for nuisance covariates, including age, gender, and ICV. The second cluster that correlated positively with TSI was located in the posterior vmPFC, primarily within the gyrus rectus and olfactory cortex regions. In this case, TSI accounted for approximately 57% of the variance in GMV of this region (see Fig. 1). There were no regions showing significant negative correlations with TSI.

To examine the association between the GMV and neuropsychological test performance, the extracted cluster values for these two regions were then correlated with VF, DF, and PVT mean reaction time (RT) scores. As shown in Table 2, after controlling for FSIQ and years of education, none of the four VF indices were significantly associated with either TSI or GMV in either of the extracted clusters. On the other hand, DF1 and DF2 were both positively correlated with TSI as well as GMV in the right fusiform gyrus and bilateral vmPFC clusters (see Table 2 for a complete Table of partial correlations). DF3, however, was not significantly correlated with TSI or GMV. On the PVT, faster RT was associated with greater



Fig. 1. Time since injury (TSI) was positively correlated (p < .05, FWE cluster extent corrected) with gray matter volume (GMV) in the right fusiform gyrus (FG) and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC; Top Panel; L = left; R = right; A = anterior; P = posterior). The partial correlation scatterplots show the linear association between the adjusted (i.e., residuals re-scaled to raw data) volume of the right fusiform gyrus (bottom left panel) and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (bottom right panel).

Table 1

Cortical voxel clusters significant positive volume correlations with time since injury.

Target region	Cluster size	MNI coordinates		Pearson		
		x	у	 Z	r	Т
Right FG (BA 37) Bilateral vmPFC/GR (BA 25)	1766 894	46 3	-61 18	-17 -18	.817 .773	6.50 5.59

All voxels significant at p < 0.001 (height) and cluster extent corrected at p < .05 (FWE).

BA = brodmann area; FG = fusiform gyrus; GR = gyrus rectus; vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

Table 2

Partial correlations (controlling for years of education and full scale intelligence) between time since injury, significant gray matter clusters, and neuropsychological variables.

Neuropsychological Test	Time Since Injury	Right FG (BA 17)	Bilateral vmPFC/GR (BA 25)
D-KEFS verbal fluency 1 (letter fluency correct)	-0.175	-0.199	-0.101
D-KEFS verbal fluency 2 (category fluency correct)	-0.057	-0.299	-0.164
D-KEFS verbal fluency 3 (switching correct)	0.141	-0.134	-0.256
D-KEFS verbal fluency 3 (switching accuracy)	0.094	-0.160	-0.306
D–KEFS design fluency 1	0.443*	0.422*	0.417*
(filled dots correct)			
D-KEFS design fluency 2	0.415*	0.358*	0.418*
(empty dots correct)			
D-KEFS design fluency 3 (switching correct)	-0.064	-0.087	0.175
PVT mean RT	-0.278	-0.359*	-0.273
PAI ARD	-0.481*	-0.325	-0.406^{*}

*p < 0.05 (1-tailed). BA = brodmann area; FG = fusiform gyrus; GR = gyrus rectus; vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex; D-KEFS = Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System; PVT = 10-minute psychomotor vigilance test; RT = reaction time; PAI = personality assessment inventory; ARD = anxiety related disorders scale

GMV of the right fusiform gyrus, but not with TSI or vmPFC volume. Finally, there was a significant reduction in anxiety symptoms in association with longer TSI and larger GMV within the vmPFC.

Finally, to determine if the increase in GMV reflected a divergence from normal levels, we compared the post-acute, chronic, and healthy control groups using a one-way ANOVA in SPM12. As evident in Fig. 2 Fig., there was a significant effect of group for both the previously identified fusiform gyrus region, F(2,32)=72.93, p < 0.000001, and the vmPFC region, F(2,32)=39.61, p < .000001. Bonferroni corrected post-hoc comparisons showed that for the fusiform gyrus, GMV differed significantly (p < .05) for all three groups, with the chronic group greater than the healthy controls, which were in turn greater than the post-acute group. For the vmPFC, the chronic group showed greater GMV than both the



Fig. 2. A comparison of mTBI participants in the post-acute (0 to 99 days post injury) or chronic (100–367 days) stage versus healthy controls showed that the chronic stage group had higher gray matter volume within the (A) fusiform gyrus [x=46, y=-61, z=-17] and (B) ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) [x=3, y=18, z=-18] compared to the other groups. Error bars reflect 90% confidence interval. *Comparison is significant at p < .05 (Bonferonni corrected).

healthy and post-acute groups, which did not differ from each other.

4. Discussion

Several key findings emerged from this study. First, longer TSI was associated with better visual attention, visuo-motor speed, and emotional functioning. Consistent with prior evidence [23], these findings suggest that individuals improve in some visuospatiallymediated neurocognitive abilities and emotional functioning with a longer time window following an mTBI. Moreover, consistent with our hypothesis, we found that greater TSI was associated with larger GMV within the prefrontal cortex, specifically the posterior vmPFC, and also within a region that was not hypothesized-the right fusiform gyrus. Moreover, those with the longest TSI showed increased GMV in these regions that was significantly greater than that observed in healthy controls, suggesting potential focal volume increases beyond normal. Extracted GMV estimates from both of the aforementioned regions correlated positively with several neurocognitive abilities, including visual attention, visuo-motor speed, and nonverbal creativity, suggesting that larger cortical volume of these regions was associated with better visuospatially mediated neurocognitive performance. Finally, there were also regionally-specific neurocognitive correlations, with greater GMV of the fusiform gyrus associated with greater visual psychomotor vigilance performance, while greater GMV of the vmPFC was associated with reduced anxiety related concerns on a self-report measure of psychopathology. Additionally, neither TSI nor its correlated GMV clusters were associated with any aspect of verbal fluency. Together, these findings are generally consistent with our hypothesis that during the first 12 months of recovery from mTBI there is significant remodeling within regions of the cortex that are involved in visual attention, information processing, psychomotor speed, and emotional regulation, and that these GMV structural changes relate to improved performance.

The present findings are correlational and taken from a crosssectional sample of participants assessed at a single assessment session, so it is impossible to assert a causal mechanism from these preliminary data. However, the associations between larger regional GMV, longer TSI, and improved functioning are consistent with the hypothesis of cortical remodeling over time due to experience-dependent cortical plasticity [15], and the finding that the increases are significantly greater than normal further bolsters this argument. Common complaints of people with persistent post-concussive syndrome include vague difficulties with attention, fatigue, slowed responsiveness, and mood dysregulation [24,28,30]. Evidence suggests that TBI patients expend greater psychophysiological resources to sustain stable cognitive performance over time, which can lead to excessive fatigue [41]. We speculate that as individuals exert effort to overcome these diffuse neurocognitive and emotional regulation problems, they may consistently engage specific brain systems, such as the vmPFC and fusiform gyrus, to compensate for their deficits. Through repeated engagement of these cortical regions over many weeks and months post-injury, there may be increased dendritic arborization and spine density within the most utilized areas of the cortex [16], which may ultimately manifest as greater GMV of these structures and contribute to the improvement of some aspects of cognitive and affective functioning. This interpretation does not imply, however, that the regions of increased GMV would necessarily be related to the locus of injury or the particular networks that were damaged. Brain repair and experience-dependent remodeling are likely to involve very different neuroplastic processes, with the former involving heterogeneous regions that differ from one patient to the next, whereas the latter may involve relatively specific regions that are consistently engaged to compensate for common deficits, such as attention or emotion regulation. We therefore suggest that the changes in GMV over time may simply reflect the *compensatory* increase in cortical volume within (potentially non-injured) brain regions that independently contribute to the sustainment of attention, psychomotor speed, and affective regulation, irrespective of the particular location of damage.

The present findings are consistent with evidence suggesting that regular practice of specific motor or cognitive activities can be associated with increased regional GMV [20,32]. For example, recent VBM research with animals suggests that GMV can be increased in a matter of days to weeks in accordance with specific levels of activity or training [25,35]. In humans, similar neuroplastic changes in the cortex have been observed following unilateral eye surgery [20] and even after 12 weeks of self-regulation therapy [32], suggesting use-dependent plasticity. Numerous quasi-experimental and correlational studies show a similar pattern of cortical remodeling with experience. For example, compared to those with less experience, well-trained professional musicians [31], academic mathematicians [1], longterm meditators [18], and people with strong emotional conflict resolution skills [8] exhibit correspondingly larger GMV in specific task-relevant regions, consistent with the hypothesis of experience-dependent plasticity. Our data are consistent with the notion that this process may also play a role during recovery from mTBI.

Our primary hypothesis focused on the prefrontal cortex, because this region is central to most aspects of self-regulation, including sustaining vigilant attention [13], behavior [7], and emotional control [10], all of which are commonly impaired in patients with mTBI [17,21]. Interestingly, TSI was only associated with larger volume of the posterior vmPFC and not other regions of the prefrontal cortex. The vmPFC has been shown to be particularly important for emotional processing and regulation [37], and GMV of this region was directly associated with lower anxiety related clinical complaints in the present sample. However, this region has not been directly associated with psychomotor vigilance or visuomotor speed, suggesting that the neurocognitive findings observed here may be related to greater vmPFC mediated self-regulation of anxiety or other aspect of emotional control, which secondarily yielded improved performance on these processing speed-related cognitive tasks.

While not hypothesized, we also found that a cluster within the right fusiform gyrus was significantly larger with greater TSI and correlated with visuo-motor speed and sustained visual psychomotor vigilance. Among the chronic mTBI participants, this region significantly exceeded the volume of normal healthy controls. Recent evidence suggests that the fusiform gyrus plays an important role in protecting cognition from emotional distraction [40]. While speculative, it is therefore possible that this region may show adaptive plasticity to reduce the impairing effects of emotional distraction or frustration that often occur among individuals with persistent post-concussive symptoms. Hence, larger GMV of this region may be associated with greater performance on tasks requiring sustained focus and vigilance. However, as this region was not hypothesized a priori, this possibility remains speculative.

5. Conclusion

Among individuals with an mTBI in the preceding year, longer TSI was associated with larger GMV within the vmPFC and right fusiform gyrus. Among those whose injuries were more than three months old, these volumes exceeded those of healthy controls. Functionally, we found that larger GMV of the vmPFC was associated with greater visuo-motor performance and reduced symptoms of anxiety. Larger GMV of the fusiform gyrus was similarly associated with greater visuo-motor speed, creativity, and sustained visual psychomotor vigilance performance. These findings corroborate existing research on compensatory brain mechanisms following mTBI by suggesting that as time elapses following an mTBI, there may be greater compensatory remodeling of distinct cortical regions and particularly those involved in emotional regulation, which in turn may reduce attentional distractibility during timed visuo-motor tasks.

Conflicts of interests

None declared.

Funding

This research was supported by a USAMRAA grant to WDSK (W81XWH-12-1-0386).

References

- [1] K. Aydin, A. Ucar, K.K. Oguz, O.O. Okur, A. Agayev, Z. Unal, S. Yilmaz, C. Ozturk, Increased gray matter density in the parietal cortex of mathematicians: a voxel-based morphometry study, AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 28 (2007) 1859–1864.
- [2] E.D. Bigler, Neuropsychology and clinical neuroscience of persistent post-concussive syndrome, J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 14 (2008) 1–22.
- [3] R.A. Bryant, M.L. O'Donnell, M. Creamer, A.C. McFarlane, C.R. Clark, D. Silove, The psychiatric sequelae of traumatic injury, Am. J. Psychiatry 167 (2010) 312–320.

- [4] L.J. Carroll, J.D. Cassidy, P.M. Peloso, J. Borg, H. von Holst, L. Holm, C. Paniak, M. Pepin, WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury, Prognosis for mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury, J. Rehabil. Med. (2004) 84–105.
- [5] W.L. Comeau, R.J. McDonald, B.E. Kolb, Learning-induced alterations in prefrontal cortical dendritic morphology, Behav. Brain Res. 214 (2010) 91–101.
- [6] J.R. Crawford, D. Sutherland, P.H. Garthwaite, On the reliability and standard errors of measurement of contrast measures from the D-KEFS, J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 14 (2008) 1069–1073.
- [7] F. Dambacher, A.T. Sack, J. Lobbestael, A. Arntz, S. Brugmann, T. Schuhmann, The role of right prefrontal and medial cortex in response inhibition: interfering with action restraint and action cancellation using transcranial magnetic brain stimulation, J. Cogn. Neurosci. 26 (2014) 1775–1784.
- [8] Z. Deng, D. Wei, S. Xue, X. Du, G. Hitchman, J. Qiu, Regional gray matter density associated with emotional conflict resolution: evidence from voxel-based morphometry, Neuroscience 275 (2014) 500–507.
- [9] D.F. Dinges, J.W. Powell, Microcomputer analyses of performance on a portable, simple, visual RT task during sustained operations, Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. Comput. 17 (1985) 652–655.
- [10] D. Dorfel, J.P. Lamke, F. Hummel, U. Wagner, S. Erk, H. Walter, Common and differential neural networks of emotion regulation by detachment, reinterpretation, distraction, and expressive suppression: a comparative fMRI investigation, Neuroimage 101 (2014) 298–309.
- [11] S.D. Gale, L. Baxter, N. Roundy, S.C. Johnson, Traumatic brain injury and grey matter concentration: a preliminary voxel based morphometry study, J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 76 (2005) 984–988.
- [12] K.M. Hasan, E.A. Wilde, E.R. Miller, V. Kumar Patel, T.D. Staewen, M.L. Frisby, H.M. Garza, J.J. McCarthy, J.V. Hunter, H.S. Levin, C.S. Robertson, P.A. Narayana, Serial atlas-based diffusion tensor imaging study of uncomplicated mild traumatic brain injury in adults, J. Neurotrauma 31 (2014) 466–475.
- [13] O. Hinds, T.W. Thompson, S. Ghosh, J.J. Yoo, S. Whitfield-Gabrieli, C. Triantafyllou, J.D. Gabrieli, Roles of default-mode network and supplementary motor area in human vigilance performance: evidence from real-time fMRI, J. Neurophysiol. 109 (2013) 1250–1258.
- [14] J.E. Karr, C.N. Areshenkoff, M.A. Garcia-Barrera, The neuropsychological outcomes of concussion: a systematic review of meta-analyses on the cognitive sequelae of mild traumatic brain injury, Neuropsychology 28 (2014) 321–336.
- [15] A.L. Kerr, S.Y. Cheng, T.A. Jones, Experience-dependent neural plasticity in the adult damaged brain, J. Commun. Disord. 44 (2011) 538–548.
- [16] B. Kolb, R. Gibb, Plasticity in the prefrontal cortex of adult rats, Front. Cell. Neurosci. 9 (15) (2015).
- [17] C. Konrad, A.J. Geburek, F. Rist, H. Blumenroth, B. Fischer, I. Husstedt, V. Arolt, H. Schiffbauer, H. Lohmann, Long-term cognitive and emotional consequences of mild traumatic brain injury, Psychol. Med. 41 (2011) 1197–1211.
- [18] M.K. Leung, C.C. Chan, J. Yin, C.F. Lee, K.F. So, T.M. Lee, Increased gray matter volume in the right angular and posterior parahippocampal gyri in loving-kindness meditators, Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 8 (2013) 34–39.
- [19] J. List, S. Ott, M. Bukowski, R. Lindenberg, A. Floel, Cognitive function and brain structure after recurrent mild traumatic brain injuries in young-to-middle-aged adults, Front. Hum. Neurosci. 9 (2015) 228.
- [20] A.R. Lou, K.H. Madsen, H.O. Julian, P.B. Toft, T.W. Kjaer, O.B. Paulson, J.U. Prause, H.R. Siebner, Postoperative increase in grey matter volume in visual cortex after unilateral cataract surgery, Acta Opthalmol. 91 (2013) 58–65.
- [21] M. McCrea, K.M. Guskiewicz, S.W. Marshall, W. Barr, C. Randolph, R.C. Cantu, J.A. Onate, J. Yang, J.P. Kelly, Acute effects and recovery time following concussion in collegiate football players: the NCAA concussion study, JAMA 290 (2003) 2556–2563.
- [22] L.C. Morey, Personality Assessment Inventory, Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc., Lutz, FL, 2007.
- [23] K. Muller, T. Ingebrigtsen, T. Wilsgaard, G. Wikran, T. Fagerheim, B. Romner, K. Waterloo, Prediction of time trends in recovery of cognitive function after mild head injury, Neurosurgery 64 (2009) 698–704, discussion 704.
- [24] J.L. Ponsford, C. Ziino, D.L. Parcell, J.A. Shekleton, M. Roper, J.R. Redman, J. Phipps-Nelson, S.M. Rajaratnam, Fatigue and sleep disturbance following traumatic brain injury-their nature, causes, and potential treatments, J. Head Trauma Rehabil. 27 (2012) 224–233.
- [25] M.M. Quallo, C.J. Price, K. Ueno, T. Asamizuya, K. Cheng, R.N. Lemon, A. Iriki, Gray and white matter changes associated with tool-use learning in macaque monkeys, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106 (2009) 18379–18384.
- [26] A.R. Rabinowitz, X. Li, S.R. McCauley, E.A. Wilde, A. Barnes, G. Hanten, D. Mendez, J.J. McCarthy, H.S. Levin, Prevalence and predictors of poor recovery from mild traumatic brain injury, J. Neurotrauma 32 (2015) 1488–1496.
- [27] W. Rutland-Brown, J.A. Langlois, K.E. Thomas, Y.L. Xi, Incidence of traumatic brain injury in the United States, 2003, J. Head Trauma Rehabil. 21 (2006) 544–548.
- [28] L.M. Ryan, D.L. Warden, Post concussion syndrome, Int. Rev. Psychiatry 15 (2003) 310–316.
- [29] P.S. Satz, M.S. Alfano, R.F. Light, H.F. Morgenstern, K.F. Zaucha, R.F. Asarnow, S. Newton, Persistent post-concussive syndrome: a proposed methodology and literature review to determine the effects, if any, of mild head and other bodily injury, J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol. 21 (1999) 620–628.

- [30] J.M. Silver, T.W. McAllister, D.B. Arciniegas, Depression and cognitive complaints following mild traumatic brain injury, Am. J. Psychiatry 166 (2009) 653–661.
- [31] V. Sluming, T. Barrick, M. Howard, E. Cezayirli, A. Mayes, N. Roberts, Voxel-based morphometry reveals increased gray matter density in Broca's area in male symphony orchestra musicians, Neuroimage 17 (2002) 1613–1622.
- [32] D.W. Soh, J. Skocic, K. Nash, S. Stevens, G.R. Turner, J. Rovet, Self-regulation therapy increases frontal gray matter in children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder: evaluation by voxel-based morphometry, Front. Hum. Neurosci. 9 (108) (2015).
- [33] D.M. Sosin, J.E. Sniezek, D.J. Thurman, Incidence of mild and moderate brain injury in the United States, 1991, Brain Inj. 10 (1996) 47–54.
- [34] C.A. Strong, D. Tiesma, J. Donders, Criterion validity of the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS) fluency subtests after traumatic brain injury, J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 17 (2011) 230-237.
- [35] A. Sumiyoshi, Y. Taki, H. Nonaka, H. Takeuchi, R. Kawashima, Regional gray matter volume increases following 7 days of voluntary wheel running exercise: a longitudinal VBM study in rats, Neuroimage 98 (2014) 82–90.

- [36] D. Wechsler, WASI: Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence, The Psychological Corp., San Antonio, TX, 1999.
- [37] B.L. Welborn, X. Papademetris, D.L. Reis, N. Rajeevan, S.M. Bloise, J.R. Gray, Variation in orbitofrontal cortex volume: relation to sex, emotion regulation and affect, Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 4 (2009) 328–339.
- [38] C.W. Woo, A. Krishnan, T.D. Wager, Cluster-extent based thresholding in fMRI analyses: pitfalls and recommendations, Neuroimage 91 (2014) 412–419.
- [39] Y. Zhou, A. Kierans, D. Kenul, Y. Ge, J. Rath, J. Reaume, R.I. Grossman, Y.W. Lui, Mild traumatic brain injury: longitudinal regional brain volume changes, Radiology 267 (2013) 880–890.
- [40] M. Ziaei, N. Peira, J. Persson, Brain systems underlying attentional control and emotional distraction during working memory encoding, Neuroimage 87 (2014) 276–286.
- [41] C. Ziino, J. Ponsford, Vigilance and fatigue following traumatic brain injury, J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 12 (2006) 100–110.

• PERSPECTIVE

Time dependent differences in gray matter volume post mild traumatic brain injury

When the brain is subjected to excessive physical forces, including blunt impact, high-speed rotation, or blast overpressure waves, its tissue structure and function can be compromised, leading to traumatic brain injury (TBI). Based on the level of structural and functional damage, these injuries can be classified as mild, moderate, or severe, with mild TBI (mTBI) being by far the most common. Also known as concussion, mTBI frequently occurs in a wide variety of activities, including accidental falls, sports injuries, moving vehicle accidents, military training, and combat related events such as blast exposure. mTBI can lead to various cognitive, sensory and motor complaints like reduced memory, attention, and information processing speed, and emotional dysregulation (Carroll et al., 2004). Most individuals with mTBI will recover from these symptoms within 90 days post injury (Karr et al., 2014), but for some individuals, the symptoms may be protracted, persisting up to a year or longer (Satz et al., 1999). For a small minority of individuals, these cognitive and emotional symptoms are severe enough to significantly affect social and occupational functioning.

In contrast to moderate and severe injuries, one of the defining features of an mTBI is the absence of detectible structural lesions on a standard clinical imaging scan. While individual lesions may not be present, there is emerging evidence that, as a group, patients with mTBI may actually be differentiated from non-injured controls based on brain volume data. For instance, previous studies have shown decreased gray matter volume (GMV) post mTBI, suggesting a loss of cortical neurons (List et al., 2015). Very few studies, however, have explored differences in GMV at different time intervals post mTBI and their relationship with neuropsychological performance. Such research is crucial to understanding the recovery process because the brain is not static and neuroplastic remodeling may continue for some time after an injury. Understanding this relationship can facilitate better-targeted intervention strategies to aid in rehabilitation following mTBI.

We recently reported findings suggesting that mTBI may not simply be associated with reduced cortical volume, but instead may show specific increases in gray matter volume (GMV) as well (Killgore et al., 2016). In that project we studied the cortical volume changes and their association with neuropsychological task performance at various time intervals up to a year following injury. We used a 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging scanner (Siemens Trim Trio, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel head coil for our study. A T1 weighted 3D MPRAGE sequence (TR/TE/flip angle = 2.1 s, 2.3 ms, 12°) was used to acquire 176 sagittal slices (256×256 matrix) with a 1-mm slice thickness, yielding a voxel size of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³. The VBM8 toolbox in SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/ spm8/) was used to process the T-1 weighted structural images. All images were spatially realigned to the anterior-posterior commissure axis and then segmented into GM, WM, and CSF using VBM8. A custom DARTEL template was created using the segmented images and then the images were normalized to Montreal Neurological institute (MNI) space. Images were then smoothed with a 10 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel.

The study participants included 26 right-handed adults (age range 20-45 years, mean age 23.38 \pm 5.23, 11 males, 15 females), with English as their primary language. All participants had a history of sports-related mTBI experienced within the 12 months prior to participation this study (2 weeks [n = 2], 1month [n = 6], 3 months [n = 5], 6 months [n = 10], 1 year [n = 10]3]). All of these participants sustained mTBI while engaging in sports activities such as rugby (n = 7), basketball (n = 3), softball (n = 1), ultimate frisbee (n = 1), soccer (n = 1), ice hockey (n = 2), lacrosse (n = 1), martial arts (n = 2), weight lifting/ gym (n = 4) and track and field (n = 4). The participants were initially screened over the telephone for the details of their head injury, medical and psychiatric history. Participants were ruled out for any serious chronic medical, neurological or psychiatric condition like hypertension, diabetes, epilepsy, bipolar disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder etc. The only exception was depression and anxiety developing after the concussion. Also, they were required to provide official documentation of head injury signed by an impartial but professionally responsible witness to the head injury or its immediate consequences (e.g., physician, nurse, ambulance driver, medical records, neuropsychologist). Additionally, 12 healthy control participants (age range 20–43 years, mean age 25.00 ± 6.55 , 4 males, 8 females), with no history of head injury or loss of consciousness were recruited as a comparison group. On the day of visit, the healthy and mTBI individuals underwent same series of neuropsychological assessments and MRI sequences.

Remarkably, in contrast to the general finding of reduced GMV following mTBI found in other studies, our results did not show such reductions, but instead showed that longer time since injury (TSI) was associated with increased GMV in two brain regions (see **Figure 1**), including the cortex of the right fusiform gyrus (RFG) and bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC). In other words, the cortex of these regions appeared to be larger among those whose injuries were most



Figure 1 Regions where larger gray matter volume was significantly correlated with time since injury, including the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and the right fusiform gyrus.

L: Left; R: right; A: anterior; P: posterior; VMPFC: ventromedial prefrontal cortex; FG: fusiform gyrus.



distal in time. Moreover, larger GMV was associated with better performance for visual motor, visual attention, and emotional functioning tasks, suggesting that greater cortical volume in specific regions was associated with better functional outcome. We speculate that these data point toward significant cortical remodeling occurring in the months following injury. To further evaluate that possibility, we divided our sample roughly in half so that we could compare those in the post-acute stage (0–99 days post-injury) to those in the chronic stage (100-365 days post-injury), and further compared them to a separate sample of healthy individuals with no reported history of head injury. Consistent with our hypothesis, the chronic group showed significantly greater GMV in both regions compared to the post-acute group, confirming that gray matter was increased with longer TSI. Moreover, the chronic group also showed significantly greater GMV compared to the healthy controls, suggesting that not only was the GMV returning to normal with greater TSI, it was actually exceeding the volume seen in healthy normals. Thus, for these individuals, the later stages of recovery were associated with exaggerated GMV in specific regions that are involved in regulating emotion as well as sustaining visual attention and information processing speed.

We interpreted these findings as evidence of experience dependent cortical plasticity. In other words, we propose that for many individuals, mTBI leads to a host of subtle core cognitive impairments and emotional regulation deficits post-injury, which over time, lead the injured individual to draw upon these other cortical regions to compensate. For example, reduced frustration tolerance and emotional dysregulation are common experiences after mTBI and are not specific to a particular lesion site (Ryan and Warden, 2003). It is conceivable that individuals with these emotional difficulties may more routinely activate the ventromedial prefrontal cortical regions, which play an important role in emotional and visceromotor regulation, in an attempt to maintain emotional control. Similarly, many people experience slowed processing speed and attentional difficulties following a concussion (Levin et al., 1987). This may cause such individuals to draw more heavily upon regions such as the fusiform gyrus and other visual attention regions in order to compensate. With sustained and exaggerated use, it is conceivable that these highly exercised regions may begin to develop larger cortical volume through more extensive dendritic arborization. It is well established that repeated practice with certain motor or cognitive skills can lead to an increase in specific cortical regions supporting that skill (Quallo et al., 2009). The preliminary findings from our study are encouraging, suggesting that mTBI is not uniformly defined by decreased cortical volumes. On the contrary, regional increases in volume are possible within this population and these volume changes are associated with improved cognitive and emotional functioning. The fact that we identified specific regions of volume increases is remarkable given the fact that mTBI is an extremely heterogeneous injury, with multiple potential causes and diffuse locations of damage (Bigler, 2008). The fact that these areas of increased volume were consistent and focal suggests that they are likely independent of lesion location-rather they likely reflect common pathways for compensation that are relatively independent of the site of impact or location of damage.

Previous studies have shown that behavioral experience interacts with regenerative and degenerative changes in the brain to induce structural and motor plasticity (Kerr et al., 2011). Compensatory remodeling is one of the ways neuroplasticity works and may undergird the mechanisms behind rehabilitative training, which forms one the mainstays of treatment post mTBI. On the basis of our findings we suggest that rehabilitative training might be even more beneficial if it can capitalize on this aspect of neuroplasticity. Perhaps by focusing rehabilitation efforts toward exercising existing compensatory skills that draw upon these regions (e.g., emotional regulation; regulating attention from distraction), patients can further develop the cortical volume of those regions and, over time, gain greater functional capacity. This would be encouraging and suggest that there is more that could be done for patients recovering from concussions than merely to "wait and see." Clearly this is speculative at this point, but further research should examine whether the cortical volume, structural and functional connectivity, and functional capacity of these same regions can be voluntarily enhanced in patients recovering from mTBI via focused training. Finally, it will be important for future work to focus efforts toward using functional neuroimaging. This will enable linkage among the cognitive tasks and identified deficits caused by an injury and the regions of increased gray matter volume identified in our study.

The present study was supported by a USAMRAA grant to WDSK (*W81XWH-12-1-0386*).

Prabhjyot Singh, William D. S. Killgore*

Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

*Correspondence to: William D. S. Killgore, Ph.D. Killgore@psychiatry.arizona.edu. Accepted: 2016-05-17 orcid: 0000-0002-5328-0208 (William D. S. Killgore) doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.184487 How to cite this article: Singh P, Killgore WDS (2016) Time dependent differences in gray matter volume post mild traumatic brain injury. Neural Regen Res 11(6):920-921.

References

- Bigler ED (2008) Neuropsychology and clinical neuroscience of persistent post-concussive syndrome. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 14:1-22.
- Carroll LJ, Cassidy JD, Peloso PM, Borg J, von Holst H, Holm L, Paniak C, Pepin M, Injury WHOCCTFoMTB (2004) Prognosis for mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J Rehabil Med:84-105.
- Karr JE, Areshenkoff CN, Garcia-Barrera MA (2014) The neuropsychological outcomes of concussion: a systematic review of meta-analyses on the cognitive sequelae of mild traumatic brain injury. Neuropsychology 28:321-336.
- Kerr AL, Cheng SY, Jones TA (2011) Experience-dependent neural plasticity in the adult damaged brain. J Commun Disord 44:538-548.
- Killgore WD, Singh P, Kipman M, Pisner D, Fridman A, Weber M (2016) Gray matter volume and executive functioning correlate with time since injury following mild traumatic brain injury. Neurosci Lett 612:238-244.
- Levin HS, Mattis S, Ruff RM, Eisenberg HM, Marshall LF, Tabaddor K, High WM, Jr., Frankowski RF (1987) Neurobehavioral outcome following minor head injury: a three-center study. J Neurosurg 66:234-243.
- List J, Ott S, Bukowski M, Lindenberg R, Floel A (2015) Cognitive function and brain structure after recurrent mild traumatic brain injuries in youngto-middle-aged adults. Front Human Neurosci 9:228.
- Quallo MM, Price CJ, Ueno K, Asamizuya T, Cheng K, Lemon RN, Iriki A (2009) Gray and white matter changes associated with tool-use learning in macaque monkeys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:18379-18384.
- Ryan LM, Warden DL (2003) Post concussion syndrome. Int Rev Psychiatry 15:310-316.
- Satz PS, Alfano MS, Light RF, Morgenstern HF, Zaucha KF, Asarnow RF, Newton S (1999) Persistent post-concussive syndrome: a proposed methodology and literature review to determine the effects, if any, of mild head and other bodily injury. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 21:620-628.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM



Book: WATSON-9780128052983 Chapter: 03 Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to: E-mail: E.Taylor@Elsevier.com

Dear Author,

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and are highlighted by flags in the proof. (AU indicates author queries; ED indicates editor queries; and TS/TY indicates typesetter queries.) Please check your proof carefully and answer all AU queries. Mark all corrections and query answers at the appropriate place in the proof using on-screen annotation in the PDF file. For a written tutorial on how to annotate PDFs, click http://www.elsevier.com/__data/assets/ pdf_file/0016/203560/Annotating-PDFs-Adobe-Reader-9-X-or-XI.pdf. A video tutorial is also available at http://www.screencast. com/t/9OIDFhihgE9a. Alternatively, you may compile them in a separate list and tick off below to indicate that you have answered the query.

Please return your input as instructed by the project manager.

Location in article	Query / remark	
AU1, page 1	Please check whether the first name and the last name of the authors are identified correctly.	
AU2, page 1	As per Elsevier style, only university/organization, city, state (US, UK and Canada), and country are retained in affiliations. Please confirm the edits.	
AU3, page NPI	Please consider providing the actual year/s to avoid use of "recent" as per style specification in all occurrences.	
AU4, page 2	Please approve the edits in the sentence "Table 3.1 represents the most recent…"	
AU5, page 3	Please approve the edits in the sentence "A new lesion on follow-up MRI".	
AU6, page 5	Please confirm if the "axial diffusivity" is same as "axonal diffusivity".	
AU7, page 5	We have changed "intera" to "interna" throughout the text. Please check and confirm the change if correct.	
AU8, page 5	We have changed "forcepts" to "forceps" throughout the text. Please check and confirm the change if correct.	

AU9, page 5	Please check the phrase for correctness: diffuse reduction.	
AU10, page 2	Kindly check the source line of Fig. 3.1.	

To protect the rights of the author(s) and publisher we inform you that this PDF is an uncorrected proof for internal business use only by the author(s), editor(s), reviewer(s), Elsevier and typesetter TNQ Books and Journals Pvt Ltd. It is not allowed to publish this proof online or in print. This proof copy is the copyright property of the publisher and is confidential until formal publication.

CHAPTER

3

White Matter Abnormalities in MS: Advances in Diffusion Tensor Imaging/Tractography

A. Klimova, P. Singh, W.D.S. Killgore

University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States

[AU1] [AU2]

OUTLINE

A Brief Overview of the Neuropathology of Multiple		DTI Findings in MS	5
Sclerosis	1	Relationship Between DTI Measures and Cognitive	
Neuroimaging in MS	2	Profile of MS Relationship Between DTI Measures and Psychiatric	6
T1-Weighted Imaging T1-Weighted Contrast Imaging	3 3	Profile of MS	7
T2-Weighted Imaging and FLAIR	3	Conclusions	7
Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging Diffusion Tensor Imaging	4 4	References	8

s0010

A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE NEUROPATHOLOGY OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS

p0045 Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an acquired progressive inflammatory demyelinating condition affecting the central nervous system (CNS) that often presents with a relapsing and remitting course. To understand the symptoms and presentation of MS, it is crucial to first understand the basic neuropathology and associated neuroanatomy that is affected by the disease. MS generally involves neuropathology affecting three primary features of the neuron and surrounding tissue. These features are lesions, inflammation, and damage to the myelin sheath that surrounds the axons of a neuron. As shown in Fig. 3.1, a neuron is composed of cell body with branch-like dendrites and a longer fiber projection called an axon. It is the axons that permit neural communication over significant distances within the nervous system. A neural signal originating in the cell body travels along the axon and terminates at the synaptic bouton, where neurotransmitters are released into the synapse to

stimulate adjacent neurons. The terms gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) are often used to describe various aspects of these neuronal tissues. Specifically, brain tissue such as the cerebral cortex is often labeled as GM because it comprises dense clustering of the cell bodies of neurons, leading to a characteristic grayish appearance to the naked eye or when seen on standard T1 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. WM comprises the axons and their surrounding myelin insulation. The axon is a protoplasmic projection from the cell body that allows rapid transduction of an electrochemical signal, known as an action potential, across longer distances of the nervous system. In humans, axons are insulated by a fatty white-appearing covering called myelin. The layer of myelin is produced by the attachment of glial cells to the axon (oligodendrocytes in the CNS and Schwann cells in the peripheral nervous system, PNS). The myelin sheath covering is discontinuous and the gaps between the myelin sheath on axon are known as nodes of Ranvier. These gaps allow exchange of ions with the extracellular space which helps regeneration of action potential across the axon. The myelin covering enables faster conduction

Nutrition and Lifestyle in Neurological Autoimmune Diseases http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805298-3.00003-7 1



3. WHITE MATTER ABNORMALITIES IN MS: ADVANCES IN DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING/TRACTOGRAPHY



f0010

FIGURE 3.1 A graphical representation of the anatomical structure of a neuron and comparison between a healthy neuron and a neuron affected by multiple sclerosis (MS). As shown in the figure, the myelin sheath surrounding the axon is damaged in MS. *Reprinted with permission* [AU10] *from* www.123rf.com; *designua* © 123RF.com.

of the action potential across neurons by permitting the neural impulse to propagate rapidly from node to node. p0050 In brief, the pathology of MS involves damage to the myelin sheath, which results in disturbances in conduction of nerve impulses, which in turn affects motor, sensory, visual, and autonomic systems.¹¹ These disturbances may manifest in several ways. First, lesions (or plaques) to the WM, brain stem, basal ganglia, optic nerve, and spinal cord are among the most commonly observed.¹² These lesions are a result of demyelination and subsequent attempts of remyelination, which builds up plaques along the damaged axons eventually.¹² MS also is associated with the loss of oligodendrocytes, which are responsible for the production of myelin in the CNS.¹² Second, MS can lead to a disruption of the bloodbrain barrier, which allows T cells to enter the CNS and initiate a cascade of other immune responses, which in turn commences inflammation.¹² There are four clinical subtypes of MS8: (1) relapsing remitting (RR) typewhich is the most common pattern and involves periods of flair-ups followed by periods of relative dormancy; (2) secondary progressive (SP) type-which involves a slow worsening of symptoms over time, often with a relapsing and remitting progression; (3) primary progressive (PP) type—which involves a slow but fairly consistent worsening of symptoms over time, without a clear relapse/remission pattern; and (4) progressive relapsing type-which involves a progressive worsening of symptoms with acute periods of exacerbations without clear remissions.

s0015 NEUROIMAGING IN MS

p0055 MS is a challenging disease when it comes to diagnosis and treatment. Over the past decade, the development of new imaging modalities such as MRI has revolutionized the management of this disease, particularly with regard to diagnosis and monitoring disease progression. In this chapter, we briefly outline the use of standard clinical MRI scans for diagnosis and monitoring, and introduce the investigational use of newer cutting edge neuroimaging technologies, such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and fiber tractography, which hold the promise of rapidly advancing understanding of this debilitating disease.

MRI is a widely used imaging modality that pro- p0060 vides excellent resolution of the lesions common to MS. Standard MRI scans work on basic principles of quantum mechanics. In brief, during a typical MRI scan, the body part of interest is placed within a strong magnetic field, which aligns a large number of the hydrogen protons in the direction of the magnetic field. By applying a radio frequency (RF) pulse to the body part, the orientation of the protons can be momentarily reoriented. After cessation of the RF pulse, the realignment of the protons with the magnetic field will lead to a change in magnetic flux which can be captured by the receiver coil in the scanner and used to reconstruct three-dimensional images of the body part. Depending on the pulse sequences and imaging parameters used, the MRI can produce various sequences such as T1-weighted (T1WI), T1 contrastenhanced (T1C), T2-weighted (T2WI), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), DTI, and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), each providing meaningful information about the health and structure of the tissues and structures being imaged. Fig. 3.2 shows examples of T2WI scans showing MS lesions. MRI scans can be used clinically to make a diagnosis of MS. The McDonald criteria,¹³ currently considered the most reliable method of MS diagnosis, rely upon MRI to demonstrate the dissemination of lesions in time and space. Table 3.1 represents the most recent (2011) version of these criteria for [AU4] using T2WI MRI images to diagnose MS.¹⁸ In the next

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

10003-WATSON-9780128052983

NEUROIMAGING IN MS



f0015

FIGURE 3.2 T2 weighted structural scans showing an oval shaped hyperintense lesion in the left forceps minor region on (A) axial view, (B) sagittal view, and (C) coronal view. Reprinted with permission from www.radiopaedia.org; image courtesy of Dr. Ahmed Abd Rabou.

t0010 TABLE 3.1	Revised McDonald	Criteria ¹³
-----------------	------------------	------------------------

Dissemination in Time	
 A new lesion on follow-up MRI-T2 lesion and/or gadolinium enhancing or Presence of asymptomatic gadolinium-enhancing lesion and a nonenhancing T2 lesion on any one scan 	[AU5
	 A new lesion on follow-up MRI-T2 lesion and/or gadolinium enhancing or Presence of asymptomatic gadolinium-enhancing lesion and a nonenhancing T2 lesion on any one scan

tew paragraphs, we outline some of the major findings on each type of MRI scan in patients with MS.

s0020 T1-Weighted Imaging

p0065 While T1WI provide exquisite detail of the brain and show clear demarcation between GW and WM, they are not as sensitive as T2WI for detecting MS. In general, T1WI findings vary on the basis of duration and severity of the disease. Axonal loss or destruction in early stages of disease can appear as hypointense or isointense ovoid, rounded or linear shaped lesions, appearing as dark spots on the scan. These are usually seen along the callososeptal interface or periventricular area and are referred to as T1 black holes. Sometimes, as the disease progresses the black holes may be marked by a peripheral rim of hyperintensity due to macrophage infiltration and lipid peroxidation of the surrounding tissues. This gives the lesions a beveled or a lesion-within-lesion appearance. In advanced stages of disease, thinning of corpus callosum (CC) with or without generalized brain atrophy can be seen on T1WI.

s0025 T1-Weighted Contrast Imaging

p0070 Adding a contrast agent to an MRI scan can help in identifying certain lesions or pathologies. In the case of MS, gadolinium contrast can be used with a T1 sequence to highlight the actively demyelinating lesions. The lesions can appear punctate, nodular, or rim shaped contrast-enhancing lesions in the cerebral WM. An incomplete rim with the open nonenhancing end facing toward the cortex resembling a horseshoe is a characteristic finding of MS seen on this sequence. The "horse shoe sign" represents active stage of disease. Treatment with steroids drastically suppresses the enhancement and appearance of these lesions.

T2-Weighted Imaging and FLAIR

s0030

3

The T2 sequence, especially FLAIR, is considered to p0075 be the most sensitive MRI scan for detecting MS plaques. These images are helpful for identifying lesions because they suppress the appearance of cerebrospinal fluid, which allows for greater resolution in detecting lesions in the periventricular regions. Multiple hyperintense lesions, sometimes surrounded by hypointense peripheral rim with perilesional edema, can be seen. The lesions can be ovoid (as shown in Fig. 3.2), linear, circular, or triangular in shape. A triangular shaped lesion with the base of triangle adjacent to the lateral ventricle and apex pointing toward the cortex is one of the typical findings of MS. Perivenular collection of inflammatory cells along medullary veins can be seen as hyperintensities

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION
To protect the rights of the author(s) and publisher we inform you that this PDF is an uncorrected proof for internal business use only by the author(s), editor(s), reviewer(s), Elsevier and typesetter TNQ Books and Journals Pvt Ltd. It is not allowed to publish this proof online or in print. This proof copy is the copyright property of the publisher and is confidential until formal publication

4

3. WHITE MATTER ABNORMALITIES IN MS: ADVANCES IN DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING/TRACTOGRAPHY



FIGURE 3.3 Illustrative example of prototypical water diffusion. Isotropic diffusion means that water molecules can diffuse equally in all directions, as illustrated by a spherical pattern. Anisotropic diffusion means that water molecules are constrained and diffuse more readily in one direction (l_1) than in the other two directions $(l_2 \text{ and } l_3)$.

perpendicular to the lateral ventricles on axial and sagittal views. This finding is referred to as *Dawson fingers*. The callososeptal interface may show alternate areas of hyperintensity and hypointensity on FLAIR sagittal view giving a dot-dash appearance. This is known as the dot-dash sign and is one of the earliest characteristic finding of MS.

s0035 Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging

p0080 Proton MRS is one of the unique applications of the MRI technique. It yields the information about the chemical composition of different metabolites in the tissues rather than information about anatomical structure or function. Biochemical changes are common within a tissue that is affected by certain disease states. These changes are then compared with the normal distribution of the chemicals to assess the degree and extent of damage within that tissue. While the range of neurochemicals that can be assessed with MRS is limited, there are some that may be particularly important in the case of MS. In particular, N-acetyl aspartate (NAA) is an extremely abundant chemical in the brain, particularly within myelin, so it could be an indicator of WM damage in MS. In fact, evidence reported in 2014 supports the suggestion that in primary and SP type of MS the MRS shows decreased levels of NAA, suggesting a biomarker of axonal damage.²⁷ Other neurochemicals have been found to be elevated in acute lesions of MS, including the levels of myoinositol, choline, and glutamate.²⁵

s0040 Diffusion Tensor Imaging

p0085 DTI is a relatively new neuroimaging technique that has been used to study WM alterations in a great variety of conditions, ranging from depression, to traumatic brain injury, to MS. DTI measures the movement of water molecules within the living tissue,² permitting inference regarding the underlying structure of the tissues and their membranes. The motion of water molecules can be described in geometric terms as either resembling a sphere or an elongated ellipsoid and is characterized as being either isotropic or anisotropic in nature, respectively. Isotropic movement occurs when water molecules are unconstrained and free to move in any direction equally, and would thus be best defined as a spherical diffusion pattern. On the other hand, water moving in a tube or garden hose would move preferentially in one direction much more than in other directions, and would therefore be better characterized as anisotropic (i.e., an ellipsoid) pattern of diffusion.² For instance, due to the lack of axons within the brain ventricles that would have restricted the movement otherwise, the water is free to move in any direction and hence the movement within these structures would be described as being isotropic. In the brain WM, on the other hand, the presence of axons restricts the movement of water molecules in a particular direction and therefore movement within WM regions is predominantly anisotropic in nature.

Axons are not always perfectly aligned along one axis p0090 and in order to avoid having to measure diffusion along an impractically large number of axes, a concept of diffusion ellipsoid has been developed.¹⁵ The diffusion ellipsoid is defined using three eigenvectors that have three corresponding eigenvalues (λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3) that describe their physical length.¹⁶ The longest, medium, and shortest eigenvectors are represented by λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 , respectively.¹⁶ Fig. 3.3 shows the relationships between these three eigenvectors for isotropic and anisotropic shapes.

A number of diffusion measurements have been p0095 developed in an attempt to characterize diffusion patterns within the brain WM. Fractional anisotropy (FA) is a global diffusivity measure that measures the degree of anisotropy and is used to evaluate WM integrity. FA is defined by the following formula¹⁵:

$$FA = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$$

FA values range from 0 to 1, with higher values indi- p0100 cating higher anisotropy (i.e., water diffuses more along one axis relative to the others). Mean diffusivity (MD) has also frequently been used to measure the overall diffusivity and represents the average of the three eigenvalues²⁹:

$$MD = \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)}{3}$$

Two other DTI metrics that have been proposed to p0105 further explain changes in the global measures (i.e., FA

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

To protect the rights of the author(s) and publisher we inform you that this PDF is an uncorrected proof for internal business use only by the author(s), editor(s), reviewer(s), Elsevier and typesetter TNQ Books and Journals Pvt Ltd. It is not allowed to publish this proof online or in print. This proof copy is the copyright property of the publisher and is confidential until formal publication.

NEUROIMAGING IN MS

and MD) are radial diffusivity (RD) and axonal diffusivity (AD). RD is used to measure diffusion across the axon whereas AD describes movement of water molecules along the axon. Changes within these metrics have been attributed to demyelination and axonal damage, respectively. In their pioneering studies, Song and colleagues showed that loss of myelin following retinal ischemia in mouse optic nerve was associated with increased RD and

[AU6] unchanged axial diffusivity. ^{22–24} Moreover, they showed that axonal degeneration observed during histological analysis was concurrently associated with reduced AD and unaltered RD.²² Therefore, these metrics have been used to describe potential reasons for changes within the global diffusivity measures. RD is defined in the following way²³:

$$\lambda \perp = \frac{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)}{2}$$

p0110 AD is represented by $\lambda \| = \lambda_1^{23}$.

s0045 DTI Findings in MS

- p0115 Using conventional MRI, earlier studies were able to demonstrate macrostructural damage, such as WM lesions, that underlie the physical and cognitive disturbances that are commonly observed in MS. With application of DTI to a wider range of illnesses including MS, both physicians and scientists were able to better understand this condition on a microstructural level. One of the earliest studies by Werring, Clark, Barker, Thompson, and Miller²⁸ showed reduced FA and high MD in normal-appearing white matter (NAWM) in frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital regions. Based on the earlier description, this suggests that MS is associated with regions of greater spherically shaped diffusion, potentially suggesting poorer axonal integrity or disruption of myelin (see Fig. 3.4B and C). An important implication from these findings is the notion that WM changes may start occurring before clinical symptoms emerge and remain undetectable using conventional MRI and hence potentially delay clinical interventions that could affect the onset of the illness or reduce its severity.
- p0120 More recent studies have rectified this earlier limitation by investigating individual WM fiber bundles with the advent of WM tractography (Fig. 3.4A), an outgrowth of DTI procedures. This technique allows a more accurate identification and description of WM architecture. As shown in Fig. 3.4, it is possible to use the FA values at individual locations throughout the brain to determine the probable fiber pathways representing large bundles of axons and plot them for visual representation. Fink et al.⁵ have investigated coherence within a number of WM regions including the uncinate fasciculus (UF), superior longitudinal fasciculus, fornix, and cingulum in a group of MS patients. The left UF showed reduced

FA and increased MD while the right UF was characterized by increased RD. Increase in RD has been frequently interpreted to signal demyelination.²² In addition, there was a bilateral reduction in FA within the fornix. Similar to the UF findings, increased RD was observed in the left cingulum.

Similarly, Hecke et al.⁷ used voxel-based morphom- p0125 etry that implements whole-brain approach to studying brain WM to examine WM microstructure in RR and SP MS. They have demonstrated reduced FA in a number of WM tracts including the inferior longitudinal fasciculus [AU7 (ILF), capsula interna, and forceps major in MS patients. There were also changes in AD that were consistent with the FA findings such that lower AD was observed in the ILF and capsula interna, as well as in the body of the CC and corona radiata (CR). Increased MD and RD were observed in the ILF, the capsula interna and externa, genu, body, and splenium of the CC, forceps major, and CR. These findings therefore indicate that MS is characterized by both axonal damage and demyelination, although the precise location of the damage varies by tract.

Kern, Sarcona, Montag, Giesser, and Sicotte⁹ studied p0130 the relationship between WM integrity and motor function in RR MS using whole-brain DTI analysis as well as probabilistic tractography. This study observed 7.1% decrease in FA in the CC, CR, cingulum, and internal capsule, with concurrent 24.95% increase in RD within these regions, thus suggesting demyelination. Other regions with reduced RD included the cortico-spinal tract, right cerebellar peduncle, right external capsule, and left cerebellum. These changes in WM metrics were related to performance of motor tasks. In particular, reduced FA and increased RD in the body of the CC and midposterior CR was associated with reduced right-hand performance on the nine-hole peg test (NHPT). Increased RD in cortical WM adjacent to the left motor and right frontal cortices also predicted poor right-hand performance on the NHPT. Furthermore, worse left-hand performance was related to the reduced FA in the body of the CC and a region of occipital WM. These results suggest that at least motor dysfunction observed in MS is differentially affected by WM compromise due to asymmetry. Finally, increased RD at baseline predicted decrease in performance on the NHPT⁹.

In 2015, Asaf, Evan, and Anat¹ studied a large sam- p0135 ple of RR MS participants using whole-brain analysis approach in order to examine temporal timeframe of WM degeneration. This study included participants with MS at different stages of the disease duration: less than 1 year (short duration), 1 year (medium duration) and over 1 year (up to 6 years; long duration). Compared to medium disease duration, long disease duration was characterized by diffuse reduction in FA, especially in [AU9] the body of the CC, by 22%. In the short disease duration

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

10003-WATSON-9780128052983

5

[AU8]



3. WHITE MATTER ABNORMALITIES IN MS: ADVANCES IN DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING/TRACTOGRAPHY



f0025

FIGURE 3.4 DTI findings for a plaque located in the left forceps minor region. (A) A tractographic image revealing destruction of white matter fibers at the site of plaque (circled). (B) A combination of anatomical color map with T2WI image with ROI markings at the site of plaque (circled) and normal appearing white matter. The circled ROI at the site of plaque shows decreased FA and increase ADC indicating increased diffusion of water molecules. (C) The FA map. White matter fibers appear bright except at the site of the plaque which appears dark as the diffusion becomes isotropic (circled). Reprinted with permission from www.radiopaedia.org; image courtesy of Dr. Ahmed Abd Rabou.

group, FA was reduced by 31% compared to healthy controls, especially in the ILF. There was no difference between the short disease duration and medium disease duration. Overall, disease duration negatively correlated with FA. This study provides evidence for a time-dependent WM atrophy that affects different tracts to a variable degree.

p0140 Similarly, Sigal, Shmuel, Mark, Gil, and Anat²¹ showed an association between disease duration and changes in diffusivity measures. Specifically, this study observed a positive correlation between disease duration and rate of relapse and average diffusivity coefficient (ADC). Moreover, lower FA and increased AD and RD were observed in the MS group compared to healthy controls in the whole CC but not within its subregions. These findings further suggest that WM degeneration is temporally contingent. Taken together, these observations led researchers to explore the association between this trend and corresponding cognitive deterioration.

s0050 Relationship Between DTI Measures and **Cognitive Profile of MS**

p0145 Following the initial investigations into the WM changes in MS, researchers became interested in examining the effects that these neural changes have on the cognitive profile associated with this condition. Koenig et al.¹⁰ used probabilistic tractography to investigate the relationship between the WM and cognitive function in RR and SP MS. This study observed reduced FA and increased RD, AD, and MD in the posterior cingulate bundle in the MS group compared to controls. The

findings also indicated that episodic memory, as measured by the Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-R (BVMT), was a significant predictor of RD in the posterior cingulate bundle. Moreover, speed of processing, as measured by the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), was a strong predictor of RD in the posterior limb of the internal capsule and posterior cingulate bundle. Taken together, these findings indicate that MS is associated with WM abnormalities within tracts that have traditionally been implicated in emotion, attention, and memory. These alterations were, in turn, manifested by memory and attention problems.

Memory problems are frequently observed in MS and p0150 have therefore been studied in relation to WM microstructure. Hecke et al.7 studied working memory in a group of RR MS patients using whole-brain voxel-based morphometry. They observed reduction in FA in the group of MS patients compared to healthy controls in a number of major WM tracts, including the ILF, capsula interna, and forceps major and concurrently reduced AD in the ILF, capsula interna, body of CC, and CR. Additionally, there was an increase in RD and MD in the ILF, capsula interna and externa, genu, body, and splenium of the CC, forceps major, and CR. These diffusion measures were also shown to be related to performance on working memory tasks, such as Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT). In particular, there was a significant positive correlation between PASAT and FA in the left ILF, forceps minor, the capsula interna and externa, genu of the CC, left cingulum, superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), and CR. This pattern of results was also observed in a study by Syc et al.²⁶ who used continuous

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

⁶

To protect the rights of the author(s) and publisher we inform you that this PDF is an uncorrected proof for internal business use only by the author(s), editor(s), reviewer(s), Elsevier and typesetter TNQ Books and Journals Pvt Ltd. It is not allowed to publish this proof online or in print. This proof copy is the copyright property of the publisher and is confidential until formal publication.

CONCLUSIONS

tractography method to study the microstructure of the cingulum and fornix. This study observed 19% reduction in FA in a group of RR, SP, and PP MS in the fornix, with a concurrent increase in RD, AD, and MD. There was also an increase in RD, AD, and MD within the left and right cingulum, with no significant changes within FA. In the left cingulum, there was a significant association between the diffusivity measures and performance on the PASAT of information processing and attention, where lower scores on the test were associated with lower FA and higher MD and RD.

- p0155 Contrary to Syc et al.,²⁶ using the same tractography method, Ozturk et al.¹⁷ studied microarchitecture of the subregions of the CC in relation to performance on the PASAT in a sample of RR, SP, and PP MS patients. The findings of that study showed reduced FA and increased RD and MD in the whole CC in MS compared to healthy controls. When subregions of the CC were studied individually, a positive correlation was observed between FA and the body and splenium of the CC. This finding not only suggests the involvement of multiple tracts in performance of PASAT but is also indicative of heterogeneous changes within different portions of the CC in this condition. Caligiuri et al.³ have examined the role of the callosal subregions in cognitive function in MS. They observed an association between FA in the genu and splenium of the CC and cognitive function where cognitive impairment was significantly related to reduction in FA. Since the study by Caligiuri et al.³ used a compound score to measure cognitive function, it cannot be directly compared to the results of the study by Ozturk et al.¹⁷ who observed change in different subregions of the CC in relation to performance on the PASAT.
- p0160 Another test that is frequently used to assess cognitive difficulties observed in MS is California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT), a task specifically designed to assess shortand long-term verbal memory. Performance on this assessment has recently been studied in conjunction with WM damage observed in MS. Using tractography, Fink et al.⁵ studied microarchitecture of the UF, SLF, cingulum, and fornix and observed that RD within the UF predicted performance on the encoding subscale of the CVLT. Moreover, this study also showed a significant positive correlation between the recognition subscale of the CVLT and PD in the right fornix. These results indicate that in this clinical population, different aspects of verbal memory are differently affected depending on the specificity of WM damage as assessed by DTI techniques.

s0055 Relationship Between DTI Measures and Psychiatric Profile of MS

^{p0165} Apart from the cognitive complaints, emotional problems have also been observed in patients with MS.

In particular, depression is one of the most frequently reported psychiatric sequelae. The lifetime prevalence of depression in MS is estimated to be 25–50%.¹⁴ Pujol, Bello, Deus, Marti-Vilalta, and Capdevila¹⁹ studied structural alterations in the frontal and temporal regions in depressed MS patients. Their results showed an association between lesions in the arcuate fasciculus and greater depressive symptoms. These lesions predicted approximately 17% of variance in depressive scores. Feinstein et al.⁴ studied NAWM in MS patients. Their results showed greater reduction in FA in the left anterior NAWM in the depressed MS compared to nondepressed MS. Additionally, increased MD was observed in the right inferior frontal lobe.

In a DTI study reported in 2014, Gobbi et al.⁶ per- p0170 formed a whole-brain analysis looking at both PP and SP forms of MS. They observed reduced FA in the forceps minor in the depressed subgroup compared to the nondepressed participants. This finding is of a particular significance given that this region of the CC connects parts of the dorso-medial prefrontal cortex (DMPFC) and has been implicated in the pathogenesis of depression.⁶. Pujol et al.¹⁹ studied the microstructure of the arcuate fasciculus in patients with MS and showed that lesions within this tract were associated with cognitive expression of mood in these patients. After controlling for cognitive deficits, lesions in the arcuate fasciculus predicted 26% of variance in the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) scores¹⁹ Shen et al.²⁰ used whole-brain analysis to examine the association between WM architecture and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D). This study has showed a positive association between the scores on HAM-D and FA in a number of WM regions including the right precentral gyrus, cingulate gyrus, and posterior cingulate. This is inconsistent with past research showing decreased WM integrity with increased depressive symptoms. This finding may be attributable to the compensatory mechanisms that have been previously observed.

CONCLUSIONS

s0060

MS is a progressive and debilitating disease that p0175 affects the myelin sheath of axonal pathways. Traditional clinical imaging, particularly T2-weighted MRI, has revolutionized the ability of researchers and clinicians to diagnose and track disease progression. These types of MRI scans provide clear evidence of the characteristic lesions of MS. Nonetheless, advances in MRI technology, particularly DTI and fiber tractography are providing even greater resolution and understanding of how MS affects specific fiber tracts and may allow an even more precise monitoring of disease progression. While these

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

7

To protect the rights of the author(s) and publisher we inform you that this PDF is an uncorrected proof for internal business use only by the author(s), editor(s), reviewer(s), Elsevier and typesetter TNQ Books and Journals Pvt Ltd. It is not allowed to publish this proof online or in print. This proof copy is the copyright property of the publisher and is confidential until formal publication.

8

3. WHITE MATTER ABNORMALITIES IN MS: ADVANCES IN DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING/TRACTOGRAPHY

newer DTI methods are still primarily investigational, they hold great promise for furthering understanding of MS and its underlying pathology.

s0065 References

- Asaf A, Evan S, Anat A. Injury to white matter tracts in relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis: a possible therapeutic window within the first 5 years from onset using diffusion-tensor imaging tractbased spatial statistics. *Neuroimage Clin* 2015;8:261–6.
- Assaf Y, Pasternak O. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)-based white matter mapping in brain research: a review. J Mol Neurosci 2008;34(1):51–61.
- Caligiuri ME, Barone S, Cherubini A, Augimeri A, Chiriaco C, Trotta M, et al. The relationship between regional microstructural abnormalities of the corpus callosum and physical and cognitive disability in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage Clin* 2015;7:28–33.
- Feinstein A, O'Connor P, Akbar N, Moradzadeh L, Scott C, Lobaugh N. Diffusion tensor imaging abnormalities in depressed multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler* 2009.
- 5. Fink F, Eling P, Rischkau E, Beyer N, Tomandl B, Klein J, et al. The association between California Verbal Learning Test performance and fibre impairment in multiple sclerosis: evidence from diffusion tensor imaging. *Mult Scler* 2010;**16**(3):332–41.
- 6. Gobbi C, Rocca M, Pagani E, Riccitelli G, Pravatà E, Radaelli M, et al. Forceps minor damage and co-occurrence of depression and fatigue in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler J* 2014;**20**(12):1633–40.
- Hecke WV, Nagels G, Leemans A, Vandervliet E, Sijbers J, Parizel PM. Correlation of cognitive dysfunction and diffusion tensor MRI measures in patients with mild and moderate multiple sclerosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 2010;31(6):1492–8.
- 8. Hooper K. *Managing progressive MS*. New York: National Multiple Sclerosis Society; 2011.
- Kern KC, Sarcona J, Montag M, Giesser BS, Sicotte NL. Corpus callosal diffusivity predicts motor impairment in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis: a TBSS and tractography study. *Neuroimage* 2011;55(3):1169–77.
- Koenig KA, Sakaie KE, Lowe MJ, Lin J, Stone L, Bermel RA, et al. The relationship between cognitive function and high-resolution diffusion tensor MRI of the cingulum bundle in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler J* 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458515576983.
- Lassmann H, Brück W, Lucchinetti CF. The immunopathology of multiple sclerosis: an overview. *Brain Pathol* 2007;17(2):210–8.
- 12. Lumsden C. The neuropathology of multiple sclerosis. In: Vinker P, Bruyn G, editors. *Handbook of clinical neurology*. Amsterdam: North Holland; 1970. p. 217–309.
- McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, Goodkin D, Hartung HP, Lublin FD, et al. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2001;**50**:121–7.

- Minden SL, Schiffer RB. Affective disorders in multiple sclerosis review and recommendations for clinical research. *Arch Neurol* 1990;47(1):98–104.
- Mori S, Zhang J. Principles of diffusion tensor imaging and its applications to basic neuroscience research. *Neuron* 2006;51(5):527–39.
- O'Donnell LJ, Westin C-F. An introduction to diffusion tensor image analysis. *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 2011;22(2):185–96.
- Ozturk A, Smith S, Gordon-Lipkin E, Harrison D, Shiee N, Pham D, et al. MRI of the corpus callosum in multiple sclerosis: association with disability. *Mult Scler* 2010;16(2):166–77.
- Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B, Clanet M, Cohen JA, Filippi M, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. *Ann Neurol* 2011;69:292–302.
- Pujol J, Bello J, Deus J, Marti-Vilalta J, Capdevila A. Lesions in the left arcuate fasciculus region and depressive symptoms in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1997;49(4):1105–10.
- 20. Shen Y, Bai L, Gao Y, Cui F, Tan Z, Tao Y, et al. Depressive symptoms in multiple sclerosis from an in vivo study with TBSS. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;**2014**.
- Sigal T, Shmuel M, Mark D, Gil H, Anat A. Diffusion tensor imaging of corpus callosum integrity in multiple sclerosis: correlation with disease variables. *J Neuroimaging* 2012;22(1):33–7.
- Song S-K, Sun S-W, Ju W-K, Lin S-J, Cross AH, Neufeld AH. Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and myelin degeneration in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. *Neuroimage* 2003;20(3):1714–22.
- Song S-K, Sun S-W, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH. Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage* 2002;17(3):1429–36.
- Song S-K, Yoshino J, Le TQ, Lin S-J, Sun S-W, Cross AH, et al. Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain. *Neuroimage* 2005;26(1):132–40.
- Srinivasan R, Sailasuta N, Hurd R, Nelson S, Pelletier D. Evidence of elevated glutamate in multiple sclerosis using magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 3 T. *Brain* 2005;128:1016–25.
- Syc SB, Harrison DM, Saidha S, Seigo M, Calabresi PA, Reich DS. Quantitative MRI demonstrates abnormality of the fornix and cingulum in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Int* 2013;2013.
- Trentini A, Comabella M, Tintore M, Koel-Simmelink MJ, Killestein J, Roos B, et al. N-acetylaspartate and neurofilaments as biomarkers of axonal damage in patients with progressive forms of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* 2014;261:2338–43.
- Werring D, Clark C, Barker G, Thompson A, Miller D. Diffusion tensor imaging of lesions and normal-appearing white matter in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1999;52(8):1626.
- Whitford TJ, Kubicki M, Shenton ME. Structural neuroimaging of schizophrenia. In: Shenton ME, Turetsky BI, editors. Understanding neuropsychiatric disorders: insights from neuroimaging. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011. p. 1–30.

I. MECHANISMS OF MS DISEASE CAUSATION AND INTERVENTION

WATSON: 03

Non-Print Items

Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic debilitating disorder affecting the central nervous system (CNS), particularly the white matter. Over the years, there have been significant advances made in the management of MS including diagnosis and treatment. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is one if the neuroimaging modalities which has revolutionized the diagnosis and early detection of the disease. MRI has also proven useful to monitor disease progression in patients with MS and estimate its prognosis. In this chapter we have described the neuroimaging findings in MS using various methods of MRI. On the basis of sequence and imaging parameters applied, MRI scans can provide T1-weighted, T2-weighted, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) images, all of which may have applicability in the evaluation of patients with MS. Some of these sequences, especially DTI and MRS, have proven particularly helpful in understanding the pathology of this disease from a new perspective. We focus extensively on the recent development and application of DTI and fiber tractography in understanding and characterizing the white matter lesions that occur in MS. The application of these methods holds considerable promise for advancing our understanding of MS.

Keywords: Autoimmune; Demyelination; Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI); Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI); Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR); Fractional anisotropy (FA); Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); Multiple sclerosis; Neuroimaging; Neuron; Tractography.

[AU3]