









Integrity - Service - Excellence













Operating Environments:							
Operating Environments 1. Avionics 2. Business 3. Unmanned Ground 4. Manned Space	Comparing results from an Avionics development with that of a business project makes no sense what-so-ever						
 5. Manned Ground 6. Military Mobile 7. Missile and Unmanned Airborne 8. Shipboard 9. Telecommunications 10. Unmanned Space 	All comparison need to take software quality into consideration to be meaningful (threshold in terms of defects/KSLOC when delivered)						
11. Web Integrity - Service	- Excellence 12						

Application Domains:							
Application Domains							
1. Bus	12. Platform						
2. Command & Control	13. Process Control						
3. Communications	14. Radar						
4. Controls & Displays	15. Signal Processing						
5. Database	16. Simulation & Modeling						
6. Executive	17. Situation Awareness						
7. Information Assurance	18. Sonar						
8. Maintenance & Diagnostics	19. Test & Evaluation						
9. Mission Management	20. Tool and Tool Systems						
10. Mission Planning	21. Training						
11. Payload	22. Weapons Delivery						







Commodity	Source	Format	Records
Space, Ground, Air	Defense Cost Analysis Resource Center	DD Form 2630	340
Space	AEHF, MILSTAR, GPS	SEER	~100
Air	F-22 Increment II	DD Form 2630	13
Space	FAB-T	DD-Form 2630	24
Space	NPOESS	SEER	67
Space, Air, Ground	Northrop Grumman, Raytheon	COCOMO, SEER	81
Air, Ship, Ground	Naval Center for Cost Analysis	DD Form 2630	68
Air	Lockheed Martin	COCOMO	10
Air	Army Cost and Economics Analysis Center	DD Form 2630	16
Space	NRO CAIG	SEER	40-60
Space	Aerospace, Space & Missile System Center	SEER	TBD
Space	NASA JPL	NASA	TBD
Space Air Ground	USC Affiliates	COCOMO	TBD

X	Step 3: Collect Data
l U d o	SC will interview program offices and evelopers to obtain additional information resolve data anomalies
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	SLOC reporting – logical, physical, NCSS, etc. Requirements Volatility and Adaptation Modified or Reused using DM, CM, IM; SU, UNFM as appropriate Size Type – Modified, Generated, New, Re-host, COTS, etc. Effort reporting – phase and activity Quality measures – defect density, defect containment, etc. Source – in-house, third party, Prior Build, Prior Spiral, etc. Requirements Volatility % of ESLOC reworked or deleted due to requirements volatility Programming Languages Cast Model Parameters – True S, SEEP, COCCMO
	Integrity - Service - Excellence

 Operating Environment Similar systems Similar operating characteristics Similar operating characteristics Similar notices Characterized differently using model cost drivers Productivity - Meaningful Groups -25 KSLOC -26-50 KSLOC -6-50 KSLOC<	Step 4:	Organizatio	nal Taxonom	
USC Research Results Productivity comparisons/benchmarks show best results achieved when similar application domains in similar operating environments are compared using actual data that is consistent and defendable	Operating Environment · Similar systems · Similar operating characteristics · Similar requirements	Application Domains Environment independent Application-oriented Technology driven Characterized differently using model cost drivers	Productivity → Groups • 0-25 KSLOC • 26-50 KSLOC • 51-100 KSLOC • 100+ KSLOC	<i>l</i> eaningful Comparisons
	USC Research Productivity com achieved when s operating envir that is consistent	Results parisons/benchma similar application onments are com t and defendable	arks show best resu domains in similar pared using actual (lts data

Operating	Application Domain	No.	Size	Range		ESLOC /	SM
Environment		Projects	(EKS	LOC)	LOW	AVE	HIGH
Avionics	Command & Control	2	8	506	67	74	82
	Communications	4	26	125	145	302	399
	Controls & Displays	3	733	746	183	241	312
	Maintenance & Diagnostic	3	6	205	259	283	329
	Mission Management	18	1	1,581	38	155	585
	Mission Planning	4	43	542	43	376	542
	Radar	11	6	268	33	111	418
	Signal Processing	6	13	444	98	213	429
	Simulation & Modeling	6	32	560	188	683	1552
	Test & Evaluation	1	21	21	34	34	34
	Weapons Delivery	2	29	32	88	90	93
USC Reseat Productivity similar appl	Automated Cost Information irch Results comparisons/benchn ication domains in s	narks s	how b	est re	sults a	chieved	when

Operating	Application Domain	No.	Size I	Range		ESLOC / S	SM
Environment		Projects	(EKS	LOC)	LOW	AVE	HIGH
Manned Ground	Command & Control	32	20	2486	22	296	831
	Controls & Displays	5	8	353	110	314	419
	Executive	2	71	424	78	264	450
	Logistics	1	231	231	290	290	290
	Mission Planning	11	44	2395	75	519	1766
	Platform	4	276	1517	88	129	161
	Process Control	3	39	172	215	352	485
	Signal Processing	1	286	286	358	358	358
	Simulation & Modeling	1	81	81	98	98	98
	Situational Awareness	2	20	1453	140	218	297
	Test & Evaluation	7	1	16	33	59	81
burce: Detense /	Automated Cost Informatio	n System	DACIN	IS), Prop	netary S	ources	

Operating	Application Domain	No.	Size F	Range		ESLOC / S	м
Environment		Projects	(EKS	LOC)	LOW	AVE	HIGH
Shipboard	Command & Control	33	1	91	46	128	304
	Communications	8	0	159	8	171	808
	Controls & Displays	7	3	41	33	60	78
	Database	2	5	5	83	129	176
	Executive	4	12	77	48	85	159
	Maintenance & Diagnostic	7	0	144	13	444	1026
	Mission Planning	7	5	88	32	104	203
	Platform	15	2	80	31	134	590
	Radar	17	1	46	4	66	265
	Simulation & Modeling	14	1	81	17	78	244
	Sonar	1	2	2	193	193	193
	Test & Evaluation	1	56	56	69	69	69
	Training	6	35	46	55	98	217
	Weapons Delivery	9	4	369	83	243	527

Size Type	Description	No. Projects	ES Low	SLOC Fa	ctor High
Reused	Pre-existing code that is not changed with the adaption parameter settings:	60	0%	9%	40%
	Design Modification % (DM) = 0% Code Modification % (CM) = 0%				
Modified (High)	Pre-existing code that is changed with the adaption parameter setting: •Code Modification % (CM) > 25%	21	22%	51%	100%
Modified (Low)	Pre-existing code that is changed with the adaption parameter setting: •Code Modification % (CM) < 25%	38	3%	16%	34%
Generated	Software created with automated source code generators using different technologies. It may consist of the generator statements directly produced by the programmer or the 3GL generated statements from automated tools.	40	0%	6%	50%
Re-Host	Rehosting software from one target environment to a similar environment. Assumes no major operating system changes. Development tools may be different between the platforms.	6	10%	16%	25%
COTS	Pre-built commercially available software components whereby the source code is not available to application developers. It is not included for equivalent size Other unmodified software not included in equivalent size are Government Furnished Software (GFS), literaise, operating systems and utilities.	1	1%	1%	1%



	Current Status	
Already	collected a significant amount of da	ata
345 p	rojectsDefense Cost Analysis Resource Cent	ter
■ 240 p	rojects – Raytheon, Lockheed, Northrop Grumr	nan, etc.
 Expect Office 	cting space software projects from National Rec , NASA, and Military Prime Contractors (>100 p	connaissance projects)
Analyzi	ng over 200 projects	
 Common Initial 	on Data Definitions and Standards Review (May 2009)	
Interir	n Review (International COCOMO Forum, Oct 2	2009)
Framework detailed d	and definitions are done as is the initial dat ata analysis is in process as is adding guid	ta analysis – elines
 Manual Initial Subset 	Publication Release (Sep 2009) aquent Releases (Sep 2010, Sep 2011, Sep 20	12)
	Integrity - Service - Excellence	. 25



