and Engineering Laboratory

Cold Regions Research



US Army Corps of Engineers® Engineer Research and Development Center



Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR)

Summit Station Skiway Cost Analysis

Terry D. Melendy

July 2016



The U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) solves the nation's toughest engineering and environmental challenges. ERDC develops innovative solutions in civil and military engineering, geospatial sciences, water resources, and environmental sciences for the Army, the Department of Defense,

civilian agencies, and our nation's public good. Find out more at www.erdc.usace.army.mil.

To search for other technical reports published by ERDC, visit the ERDC online library at http://acwc.sdp.sirsi.net/client/default.

Summit Station Skiway Cost Analysis

Terry D. Melendy

Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290

Final Report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs Arctic Infrastructure and Logistics 4201 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22230

Under Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) EP-ARC-14-18, "Summit Station Skiway Assessment"

Abstract

Summit Station, Greenland, is home to a 5120.6×61.0 m ($16,800 \times 200$ ft) skiway that acts as the lifeline for research conducted for the National Science Foundation. The LC-130 aircraft is the primary airframe depended on, each season delivering over 400,000 lb of cargo, personnel, and fuel to this remote location. A majority of the research activities takes place from mid-April to August while the station is open for the summer season.

Over the past three seasons, the skiway's ability to handle this frequency of flights has increased with the implementation of new equipment and techniques, resulting in fewer jet-assisted takeoffs and longer periods of maximum allowable cargo loads. To explore further skiway improvement and cost saving techniques, this report reviews alternative maintenance and construction options based on other skiways located in Greenland and alternative available aircraft that currently operate in this region. Additionally, we were provided the entire season's total labor associated with the skiway operation and data for the cost associated with the skiway, which allowed us to quantify the current and available options. This is the first time that these metrics have been recorded and analyzed.

DISCLAIMER: The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

Contents

Abs	stract		ii				
Fig	ures a	and Tables	iv				
Pre	face		v				
Acr	onym	s and Abbreviations	vi				
Uni	t Con	version Factors	vii				
1	Intro	duction	1				
	1.1	Background	1				
	1.2	Objective	1				
	1.3	Approach	2				
2	Sum	mit Skiway Operation Labor	3				
	2.1	Construction	3				
	2.2	Maintenance	3				
	2.3	Flight support	5				
	2.4	Total skiway operation cost	5				
3	LC-1	30 Current Operations	7				
	3.1	Performance	7				
	3.2	Cost per pound to Summit Station	12				
4	Alter	rnative Options	15				
	4.1	NEEM Skiway	15				
	4.2	Basler or Twin Otter	17				
	4.3	Deep-field LC-130	18				
	4.4	Gapping LC-130 operations (reduced flight periods)	19				
5	Cond	clusion	21				
6	Reco	ommendations	22				
Ref	erenc	ces	24				
∆nr	nendiv	A: 2014 Season—Summit Station Skiway Construction and Maintenance					
-44	Stan	dard Operating Procedure	25				
App	Appendix B: 2014 Summit Skiway Flight Summaries31						
Rep	oort D	ocumentation Page					

Figures and Tables

Figures

1	Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor for the 2012–2014 seasons	4
2	Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor for the 2012–2014 seasons (collected by Polar Field Services)	5
3	A 109th ANG post-flight summary	7
4	Summit Skiway takeoff performance for the 2012-2014 seasons	8
5	Summit Skiway JATO use for the 2006–2014 seasons	9
6	Air temperature data from NOAA's ESRL station, 2012–2014	10
7	Air temperatures, recommended landing weights, and slides for 2014	11
8	Air temperatures and recommended landing weights for 2012	12
9	NEEM Skiway layout. (Photo courtesy of the Niels Bohr Institute.)	15
10	NEEM's Pisten Bully. (Photo courtesy of the Niels Bohr Institute.)	16
A-1	Equipment currently available at Summit includes (a) a Case 335 Magnum tractor, (b) a Cat D6M bulldozer, (c) a Tucker 1600, (d) a sheepsfoot, (e) a landplane, (f) a 24 ft beam drag, (g) a maxi groomer, and (h) a harrow	25

Tables

1	Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor (in hours) for the 2012–2014 seasons (data from the clean air logs)	3
2	Summit Skiway maintenance and construction cost for the 2012-2014 seasons	5
3	Summit Skiway cost to operate for the 2014 season	6
4	LC-130 cost to the NSF Arctic program for the 2014 season (only cargo to Summit)	13
5	LC-130 cost to the NSF Arctic program for the 2014 season (cargo to and from Summit)	14
6	NEEM Skiway cost assuming the same amount of cargo as Summit for the 2014 season	17
7	Twin Otter and Basler cost comparison for the 2014 season	18
8	Deep-field skiway cost breakdown for the 2014 season	19
9	Cost comparison for the 2014 season when gapping Flight Period 4	19
10	Cost comparison for the 2014 season when gapping Flight Periods 2 and 4	20
11	Cost comparison for LC-130s for the 2014 season with different skiway construction methods	21

Preface

This study was conducted for the National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Polar Programs (PLR), Arctic, under Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) EP-ARC-14-18, "Summit Station Skiway Assessment." It could not have been completed without the outstanding assistance received from many Polar Field Services staff.

The work was performed by Terry Melendy (Force Projection and Sustainment Branch, Dr. Sarah Kopczynski, Chief), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (ERDC-CRREL). At the time of publication, Jason Weale was the program manager for EPOLAR Arctic; and Dr. Loren Wehmeyer was Chief of the Research and Engineering Division of ERDC-CRREL. The Deputy Director of ERDC-CRREL was Dr. Lance Hansen, and the Director was Dr. Robert Davis.

For more information regarding this report, please contact Terry D. Melendy by email at <u>terry.d.melendy@usace.army.mil</u> or by phone at 603-646-4202.

COL Bryan S. Green was Commander of ERDC, and Dr. Jeffery P. Holland was the Director.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ANG	Air National Guard
CRREL	U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory
EPOLAR	Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics and Research
ERDC	Engineer Research and Development Center
ESRL	Earth System Research Laboratory
JATO	Jet-Assisted Takeoff
NEEM	North Greenland Eemian Ice Drilling
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NSF	National Science Foundation
PLR	Division of Polar Programs
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure

Unit Conversion Factors

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain	
degrees Fahrenheit	(F-32)/1.8	degrees Celsius	
feet	0.3048	meters	
gallons (U.S. liquid)	3.785412 E-03	cubic meters	
inches	0.0254	meters	
miles (U.S. statute)	1,609.347	meters	
miles per hour	0.44704	meters per second	
pounds (mass)	0.45359237	kilograms	

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Over the past three seasons, the U.S. Army Cold Regions and Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) has been involved in assessing and then improving Summit Skiway's performance for the National Science Foundation (NSF). The skiway is located at the peak of the Greenland ice cap (approximately 3200.4 m [10,500 ft] elevation) and has proven to be a reliable piece of infrastructure for the scientific community. The science that takes place at Summit includes, but is not limited to, year-round atmospheric measuring, ice-core drilling, and ground-based validation of satel-lite measurements.

Earlier iterations of skiway work have made multiple performance gains at Summit Station (Knuth and Melendy 2013). These include reducing the amount of "slides" (LC-130 aircraft attempts at takeoff) that take place in any given flight period, reducing jet-assisted takeoff (JATO) use to the point that one season did not require any, and reducing construction and maintenance on the skiway. We were able to achieve these gains by establishing a construction and maintenance standard operating procedure (SOP) (Knuth and Melendy 2013; Melendy 2015 [republished in Appendix A]) and through purchasing and implementing new equipment.

1.2 Objective

The goal of our project is to establish a baseline for comparison by defining the current cost per pound for cargo delivered via LC-130 to Summit Station and to compare it to various other construction, maintenance, and aircraft options available. Alternative skiway maintenance and construction procedures include deep field landings (the current procedure at the North Greenland Eemian Ice Drilling [NEEM] camp) and gapping flight periods. Alternative aircraft currently operating at Summit Station include Twin Otter and Basler. By understanding the current costs associated with the LC-130 transportation, future logistical support options will have a baseline to compare.

1.3 Approach

To establish the baseline approach, equipment and aircraft data have been collected since 2011 and will be used within this report to determine cost and skiway performance. The equipment data collected documents the time the equipment was used, the duration, and the tasking accomplished with association to the skiway. The staff at Summit records this data in the clean air logs and updates it weekly. The New York Air National Guard 109th unit collected flight data after each flight to Summit, outlining the performance of the plane on the skiway.

Costs collected and used within this report for each type of aircraft are based on the actual cost to the NSF Arctic program for the 2014 season. These costs fluctuate each season, generally increasing, and provide an accurate snapshot at the current operating costs.

We collected alternative skiway concepts, such as those at the NEEM camp, from the NEEM logistics and project manager.

2 Summit Skiway Operation Labor

2.1 Construction

Each season, construction of the skiway takes place to build a hard base for the runway, which is essential for the summer research season. Skiway construction follows the SOP established in *Summit Station Skiway Review* (Knuth and Melendy 2013). The total amount of labor required to construct the 16,800 \times 200 ft skiway (at an elevation over 10,000 ft) for the 2014 season was 54 hr (Table 1). This included raising existing flags and installing new boundary flags (the flags need to be raised or replaced each season because of the yearly snow accumulation) then sheepsfooting, dragging, and planing the landing, turnaround, and loading and unloading surfaces. For reference, Appendix A includes the 2014 construction and maintenance SOP.

2.2 Maintenance

The maintenance procedures for the skiway include raising the marking flags, removing snowdrifts by dragging with the beam drag, and planing with the land plane. At times when weather conditions reduce the strength of the skiway, additional snow strength procedures, such as sheepsfooting, are completed. For the 2014 season, 283 labor hours (Table 1) were consumed in association with the maintenance procedures listed above. For comparison, the total amount of labor associated with skiway maintenance for the 2012 and 2013 seasons were respectively 209.5 and 238.5 hr (Figure 1). We attribute the 2014 season's increased maintenance time to the prime mover's (Case Magnum) not being operational at the start of the season and not available for any early season skiway work. Therefore, constructing the skiway base required a different method than the two previous seasons used. This reduced the performance of the skiway, increasing the required maintenance and construction times.

Table 1. Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor (in hours) for the 2012–2014 seasons (data from the clean air logs).

Year	Maintenance	Construction	Total to Construct
2012	209.5	55	264.5
2013	238.5	45	283.5
2014	283	87.5	370.5



Figure 1. Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor for the 2012–2014 seasons.

Using the cost of fuel delivered to Summit via LC-130 at a price of \$32/gal. (Lever et al. 2016), the cost for constructing and maintaining the skiway for the 2014 season excluding labor was \$142,272. This assumes that all of the hours recorded for the prime mover were for the Case Magnum, which operates at a fuel consumption rate of 12 gal./hr (Lever 2014). This of course is an overestimated cost because snow machines were used for raising the flags over the season; and while the Case was down with mechanical issues, the Tucker was used, which operates at a lower consumption rate as well but takes longer to complete the tasking due to slower transportation speeds.

Using the effective labor rate at a cost of \$112/hr at Summit (Lever et al. 2016) resulted in a cost of \$41,496, and the labor combined with the equipment and fuel costs totaled \$183,768 to construct and maintain the skiway for the 2014 season. If you use the 2012 and 2013 seasons as typical when the SOP was executed, the average amount of hours required to construct and maintain the skiway was 275. Table 2 shows these results and compares the different years. Again, the 36% increase in cost for the 2014 season can be attributed to not being able to use the most effective equipment for construction and maintenance, resulting in more time needed to complete various skiway activities.

Year	Total Hours	Labor Cost/hr	Fuel Cost/hr	Total Cost
2012	264.5	\$112	\$384	\$131,192
2013	283.5	\$112	\$384	\$140,616
2014	370.5	\$112	\$384	\$183,768

Table 2. Summit Skiway maintenance and construction cost for the 2012-2014 seasons.

2.3 Flight support

For the first time, in 2014 the total amount of time associated with the skiway support was recorded by Polar Field Services (Olsen 2014). Flight support at Summit includes the chief, the site supervisor, the field assistant, the field and cargo coordinator, and the mechanics' time at Summit to repair and maintain the equipment used to construct and maintain the skiway. The total amount of labor associated with flight support in 2014 was 485.5 hr. This covered tasks such as administrative support, flight support for the LC-130, and other miscellaneous support requirements. Therefore, the cost associated with flight support was \$54,376. Figure 2 breaks down the total time associated with the skiway for the 2014 season.



Figure 2. Summit Skiway maintenance and construction labor for the 2012–2014 seasons (collected by Polar Field Services).

2.4 Total skiway operation cost

Table 3 shows the total cost for all of the skiway, taking into account the data shown in Figure 2. (Note that we will also use this display format to

show the alternative skiway construction and maintenance options). The total skiway cost in Table 3 provides a baseline for all comparisons because it is the current operating procedure. We should also note that, regardless of which aircraft transports researchers to and from Summit Station, there are basic logistics and skiway layout requirements that cannot be eliminated by using different aircraft outside of the LC-130.

Year	Total Hours Labor Cost/hr		Equipment Hours	Fuel Cost/hr	Total Cost
2014	856	\$112	370.5	\$384	\$238,144

Table 3. Summit Skiway cost to operate for the 2014 season.

We expect that the future cost for operating the skiway will return to the 2012 and 2013 season levels if all of the preferred equipment is available. The labor hours consumed by the skiway should be compiled annually and used in future years to provide a comparison for skiway operations and performance.

3 LC-130 Current Operations

3.1 Performance

There were six flight periods from April to August during the 2014 Summit Station summer season. Each flight period lasted for one to two weeks, and 20 flights were completed over the entire season. The 109th Air National Guard (ANG) has recorded flight performance since the 2012 season, generating flight outbriefs for each mission to Summit. Figure 3 shows a typical flight outbrief. Appendix B shows all of the flight outbriefs for the 2014 season.

POLAR MISSION SUMMAR	GG-20	14-112				LOCAL DAT	E: 7/3	17/2014	
PILOT 1 (AC): SCHONGAL	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	MISSON SYMBOL:				
PILOT 2: NEWTON	Ρ	LOADN		GDSS	#: JAM1	1380619			
NAVIGATOR: PRICE D	LOADN	ASTER 2:	PETERS J	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 3	30491		
Alert-AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOR	TIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	1E 3	SO	RTIE 4	
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	7/17/14	Lcl Date	7/17/14					
SOPTIE #-	SM.	018	SMJ	190					
DEPARTURE ICAO	BG	SF	BG	SM					
ARRIVALICAO	BG	SM	BG	SE					
DEPARTURE TIME (7):	10	55	15	45					
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	13	00	17	35					
FLIGHT HOURS:	2	.1	1	.8					
DELAY									
DELAY:									
CANCEL:									
ABURI:									
		0.00		0.00	AD (1 ALC	0.00			
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	ы CP	
CARGO WT:	0	1,800	0	6,240					
FUEL WT:	0	18,830	0	6 2 4 0					
PAX #:	0	20,030	0	14					
FUEL GAL:	o	2,690	o	0					
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NVANG	CPS	NVANG	CPS	NVANG	CPS	ΝΥΔΝΟ	G CP	
CARGO MIT	0	1 800	0	6.240	IIIAIIQ	cro	- HIGH		
FUEL WT:	0	18.830	0	0,240					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	20,630	0	6,240					
PAX #:	0	6	0	14					
FUEL GAL:	0	2,690	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes		
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0							
LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	FF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.: 008/1600M	ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	117	# TAKEOR	FF SLIDES:	6	
ALT-CAMP: 29.36 TH	ESHOLD COOF	NDS:		TO CG:	27.7	SNOW CO	NDITION:	FRESH/STI	
PREV. WINDS: 011G/10	ECOMM. LDG	WT: 140 WT: 140)) FL	ATO KIAS:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	082G	
8- :TAO	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 236	G T	DISTANCE:	8,000				
	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 236) DISTANCE:	8,000				
AFTER END SWIT 20 TO MIDFIED VERT SLOW AND STICKT (USELESS) CROSSWINDS!!! AFTER 5 SLIDES, DOWNLOADED 2 PALLETS, TOOK OFF 115.0 ON 6TH TRY. INITIAL 5 SLIDES, WERE HEAVIER THAN ANTICIPATED DUE TO FUEL SYSTEM MALFUNCTION RESULTING IN EXTRA FUEL IN #3 MAIN SHUTDOWN TO DIP TANKS, DOWNLOAD CARGO AND TRANSFER FUEL LED TO SUCCESS ON NEXT TAKEOFF SLIDE.									
ePMS (040514)					Р	REVIOUS E	EDITIONS	OBSO	

Figure 3.	A 109th	ANG p	ost-flight	summary.
-----------	---------	-------	------------	----------

Outbriefs show the amount of cargo, people and fuel that is on board to and from Summit. These forms also contain the number of slides required to take off from the skiway. In the summary shown from 17 July 2014 (Figure 3), six takeoff attempts were required, referred to as slides, with snow conditions described as fresh and sticky. These reports document other important information, such as the landing weight and the recommended landing weight for the next flight, and include a section at the bottom for any other comments. On the flight in Figure 3, because of poor skiway conditions, the flight had to unload two pallets of cargo heading back to Kangerlussuaq (Kanger), Greenland, to take off. Appendix B shows the post-flight outbriefs for the 2014 season.

Comparing the total number of slides or attempted take offs on the skiway is one metric for determining the performance of the skiway. Figure 4 shows this comparison for the number of additional slides required each season for the LC-130 aircraft to take off from Summit Station.





The data in Figure 4 show that in 2012 and 2013, over 75% of the LC-130 flights out of Summit took off on the first attempt in comparison to the 2014 season in which the 20 flights required 34 additional slides on the skiway; only 50% of the flights were able to take off on the first slide.

Many factors could have caused the increase in slides, ranging from a change in skiway construction and maintenance (as the Case Magnum was broken for the early portion of the season) to temperature fluctuations during the time period that the LC-130s were taking off. The typical landing time for the LC-130s falls between 1100 and 1400 hr when the temperatures are at normal highs.

CRREL also tracked the use of JATO as a performance metric for the past 9 seasons (Figure 5). There are a limited number of JATO canisters available to the ANG program, and they are expensive and highly polluting; reducing their use is a significant benefit to the program. Typically, at Summit, JATO is used once an LC-130 has attempted multiple slides on the skiway without success. Assisted takeoffs have been reduced since CRREL developed and implemented the skiway construction and maintenance SOP in 2011. Two flights required assisted takeoffs in 2014, which further illustrate the decrease in skiway performance compared to the three previous seasons.



Figure 5. Summit Skiway JATO use for the 2006–2014 seasons.

Figure 6 displays the air temperature fluctuations, collected at Summit Station on an hourly basis (at 1.8 m above ground level) by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), that occurred in the 2012 to 2014 seasons. The 2012 data show the high temperatures that can be reached at Summit for sustained periods during a comparatively warm summer season; but in 2014, the temperatures were closer to the historical average with fewer peak days above the -10° C threshold. The SOP section titled "Strength Maintenance Procedures," explains how temperatures above -10° C inhibit the process of increasing the skiway's strength.





Taking a closer look at the 2014 season, the reduced performance of the skiway affected the recommended landing weight for the LC-130s (Figure 7). The takeoff weight was also affected, as noted in the flight mission summaries, and cargo had to be unloaded from several aircraft after multiple failed takeoff attempts. There is an opportunity-cost penalty when the recommended landing weight for each flight is below the maximum of 145,000 lb because the NSF is charged per flight to Summit regardless of how much cargo the plane delivers. The NSF saves money when the total cargo load for the season is delivered in fewer flights. Six missions during the middle of the research season (end of June to the middle of August) operated at less-than-maximum landing weight, which resulted in 30,000 lb of lost delivery potential to Summit. That was equal to one full

mission to Summit Station. The increase in takeoff slides (usually on different days), was identified as the cause of the reduced recommended landing weight. Operationally, the 109th ANG directly correlates their recommended landing weights with takeoff weights from different days during which different environmental and strength conditions can exist.



Figure 7. Air temperatures, recommended landing weights, and slides for 2014.

As noted previously, the 2012 season resulted in significantly fewer takeoff attempts and JATO uses. Figure 8 shows the temperature and recommended landing weights from 2012. The SOP was used and executed during the 2012 season with great success. Once the ANG became confident in the skiway performance early in the season, the recommended landing weight stayed at the maximum for all but two flights. The temperatures for the 2012 season were also considerably higher than in 2014, yet the skiway performance continued to support maximum cargo loads. Note the number of times the temperatures were recorded above -5° C compared with 2014.



Figure 8. Air temperatures and recommended landing weights for 2012.

3.2 Cost per pound to Summit Station

The LC-130 is capable of carrying 25,000 lb of cargo, people, and fuel to Summit Station when operating at maximum capacity. The average cargo load for the 2011 to 2014 seasons was 21,000 lb as originally published by Lever et al. (2016). The average time for a round trip to Summit from Kanger is 4 hr.

The LC-130 cost to the NSF Arctic Program for the 2014 season totaled \$1,508,000. The cost per hour for the LC-130 for the 2014 season was \$8,215; this includes the flight crew, fuel, and maintenance and overhead costs. A discounted rate of \$7,394/hr is applied for all pre-planned flights. For the 2014 season, all flights executed were planned. Table 4 takes a closer look at the cost breakdown by flight period. Each flight period shows the cost for staging the planes to and from Kanger. The table assumes the cargo is an average of 21,000 lb each flight and does not account for the cargo delivered back to Kanger to show the true cost of delivering materials, people, and fuel to Summit with as few assumptions as possible. The total flight period to stage the planes and carry out the Summit missions. The total Summit overhead cost takes into account the cost of construction, maintenance, operation, and logistics required for the

2014 season. It is then distributed over the total amount of cargo moved via LC-130 to Summit. In this case, the cost per pound to operate the skiway is \$0.58/lb; and this includes the fuel cost for the machinery as well.

Flight Period	# Of Flights	То	Cargo (lb)	Cost	Tot	tal Flight Cost	Cost / Ib	Summit Overhead	Total Cost / Ib
1	4	Summit	86000	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$3.58	\$0.58	\$4.16
1	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
2	4	Summit	86000	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$3.58	\$0.58	\$4.16
2	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
3	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	277,996	\$4.31	\$0.58	\$4.89
3	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
4	1	Summit	21500	\$ 29,574	\$	124,211	\$5.78	\$0.58	\$6.36
4	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
5	4	Summit	86000	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$3.58	\$0.58	\$4.16
5	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
6	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	183,359	\$2.84	\$0.58	\$3.42
6	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
Totals			408500		\$	1,508,276	\$3.69	\$0.58	\$4.27

Table 4. LC-130 cost to the NSF Arctic program for the 2014 season (only cargo to Summit).

The average total cost per pound for all flight periods for the 2014 season was \$4.27/lb. By breaking out the cost by flight period, it is feasible to determine which flights are costing the program the most per pound. In this case, Flight Period 4 had a cost of \$6.36/lb because there was only one mission to Summit though two planes from NY were staged. A minimum of two planes are required to be staged per flight period as a safety protocol; in the event of an issue with the plane performing the mission to Summit, a rescue can be performed within hours. In contrast, Flight Period 6 saw a cost of \$3.42/lb because there were three missions to Summit and two planes staged from NY.

Taking into account the cargo that was delivered back from Summit (Table 5), a total of 157,092 lb and 141 passengers were delivered back from Summit for the 2014 season. For calculating the total cost per pound, we did not include the passengers in the weight total; and the cargo from Summit was divided equally over all 20 flights, equaling 7854 lb per flight. Accounting for the cargo returned from Summit, the average total cost per pound comes to \$3.13. The maximum cost was \$4.66/lb during the fourth flight period, and the minimum was \$2.51 during the sixth flight period. For the entire season, over 500,000 lb of cargo, personnel, and fuel were moved to and from Summit Station by LC-130. Using the total skiway cost and dividing by the cargo total to and from Summit, the cost per pound for Summit overhead was \$0.43.

Flight Period	# Of Flights	Where To	Cargo (lb)	Cost	Tot	al Flight Cost	Cost / Ib	Summit Overhead	Total Cost / Ib
1	4	Summit	86000	\$ 59,148	\$	307,570	\$2.62	\$0.43	\$3.05
1	4	From Summit	31416	\$ 59,148					
1	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
2	4	Summit	86000	\$ 59,148	\$	307,570	\$2.62	\$0.43	\$3.05
2	4	From Summit	31416	\$ 59,148					
2	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
3	3	Summit	64500	\$ 44,361	\$	277,996	\$3.16	\$0.43	\$3.59
3	3	From Summit	23562	\$ 44,361					
3	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
4	1	Summit	21500	\$ 14,787	\$	124,211	\$4.23	\$0.43	\$4.66
4	1	From Summit	7854	\$ 14,787					
4	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
5	4	Summit	86000	\$ 59,148	\$	307,570	\$2.62	\$0.43	\$3.05
5	4	From Summit	31416	\$ 59,148					
5	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
6	3	Summit	64500	\$ 44,361	\$	183,359	\$2.08	\$0.43	\$2.51
6	3	From Summit	23562	\$ 44,361					
6	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
Totals			557726		\$	1,508,276	\$2.70	\$0.43	\$3.13

Table 5. LC-130 cost to the NSF Arctic program for the 2014 season (cargo to and from
Summit).

4 Alternative Options

4.1 NEEM Skiway

The skiway located at NEEM is approximately 200 ft wide by 12,000 ft long at 8150ft elevation (Figure 9). Each season, this skiway is constructed and maintained by a Pisten Bully 300W (Figure 10) with a push blade, tiller, and steel beam drag. This skiway is constructed for LC-130 aircraft operations in a manner similar to Summit Station. The initial layout of the skiway consists of installing marker flags every 200 ft, which consumes 12 hr for two people.



Figure 9. NEEM Skiway layout. (Photo courtesy of the Niels Bohr Institute.)



Figure 10. NEEM's Pisten Bully. (Photo courtesy of the Niels Bohr Institute.)

After the initial layout, construction takes place by track compacting the skiway and performing a drag procedure with the steel beam. Then, the Pisten Bully with the tiller and blade is used. This initial construction phase consumes 68 hr on average. The weekly routine maintenance procedure includes beam dragging and tilling the surface of the skiway with the Pisten Bully, consuming 28 hr each week. After each flight, repairs to the skiway are necessary, using the steel beam drag and tiller on the Pisten Bully, and consume 14 hr (Larsen 2014).

Over the course of a single season, the NEEM skiway requires on average 350 equipment hours; and the Pisten Bully consumes 1900 gal. of fuel. We use a \$32/gal. (Lever et al. 2016) fuel cost to compare directly with Summit, which makes the total annual fuel cost for skiway construction \$60,800. Annual labor, at a cost of \$112/hr to compare directly with Summit, amounts to \$39,200. Thus, the total construction and maintenance cost of the NEEM skiway is \$100,000.

The LC-130 aircraft start each season at NEEM with a maximum landing weight of 125,000 lb (7000 lb cargo), which is the specified landing weight for all unprepared deep snow field locations. Each flight thereafter, the 109th ANG attempts to increase the landing weight by 5000 lb until reaching a maximum of 145,000 lb. To reach the maximum landing weight, it has historically taken 5–10 flights because of poor skiway and weather conditions. It would require 25 flights to deliver 408,500 lb of cargo (the same amount of cargo and fuel that was delivered to Summit in 2014) on this type of skiway. The total equipment and labor for performing skiway repairs and routine maintenance requires 490 hr and consumes 2470 gal. of fuel, totaling 840 equipment hours and 4370 gal. of fuel.

The crew at NEEM does not currently track labor hours or costs for skiway-related administration, cargo preparation, and equipment and mechanic personnel. Based on Summit's administrative figures, NEEM would require approximately 485.5 hr to complete tasking. This is to support operations and assumes six flight periods as performed at Summit Station for the 2014 season.

Table 6 displays the cost per pound for skiway construction at NEEM. In a direct comparison to Summit Station, the cost is approximately \$0.49/lb higher. The large difference between the two construction procedures is due to the type of equipment and associated construction implements and maintenance procedures. The ANG reaches much higher cargo loads in fewer flights at Summit because of their historical performance and available construction assets. This is remarkable in one respect because Summit is located at a 2500 ft higher elevation than NEEM, which is even more challenging for takeoffs

Table 6. NEEM Skiway cost assuming the same amount of cargo as Summitfor the 2014 season.

Year	Total Hours	Labor Cost/hr	Equipment Hours	Fuel Cost/hr	Maint. Cost	Flights	Flight Cost	Cost/lb
2014	1325.5	\$112	840	\$168	\$289,156	25	\$1,656,146	\$4.76

4.2 Basler or Twin Otter

Transporting personnel via alternative air methods, such as the Basler and Twin Otter, are potential options. These options would require that additional cargo and all fuel requirements would be transported via the Greenland Traverse because of the limited bulk cargo and fuel transport capacity of these smaller planes. The maximum load capacity of the Twin Otter is 5500 lb minus fuel and the Basler is 13,000 lb minus fuel. Each of these options would require refueling at Summit Station, further increasing the fuel demand at this location.

Skiway requirements for smaller skied aircraft are significantly reduced to installing skiway boundary flags, consuming 12 labor hours, and performing little to no construction and maintenance. This is possible because these aircraft do not require the same skiway surface strength as the LC-130s.

The costs associated with the Twin Otter include a day rate plus an hourly mission rate, a per passenger rate, airport fees, fuel, a stopover fee, overnight-stay costs, and takeoff and handling fees (Wisneski 2014) (Table 7). The costs associated with the Basler include a day rate, an hourly mission rate, fuel, a crew per diem, and airport and handling fees.

		•	
Aircraft	Day Rate	Hourly Rate	Fees
Twin Otter	\$7,920	\$1,391	\$618
Basler	\$9,787	\$1,200	\$618

Table 7. Twin Otter and Basler cost comparison for the 2014 season.

The additional costs, such as fuel and crew per diem, fluctuate depending on the mission, proving to be costly to the program if these aircrafts are considered as a regular method for cargo transport. However when factoring in the LC-130 positioning cost to Kanger prior to performing a Summit mission, it is cost beneficial to transport personnel via these smaller aircraft if it replaces a regular flight period from the schedule. The round trip cost for the Twin Otter and Basler, including all fees and rates, ranges from \$18,000 to \$25,000 per flight to Summit. Variances are due to all of the extra associated costs, such as number of people, amount of cargo handling, and fuel required at Summit. Even with these variances, the costs of these smaller planes are significantly less than the cost of a single LC-130 trip, which totals \$76,892 including staging from NY. This total cost is based on the hourly rate of \$7,394 and a flight time from NY to Kanger of 6.4 hr on average and a 4 hr round trip from Kanger to Summit.

4.3 Deep-field LC-130

If a limited or deep-field skiway were to be laid out at Summit Station with the intent of landing LC-130 aircraft, the requirements for the skiway would include marking and flagging the landing and staging areas. This would not require construction or a maintenance procedure. Logistical coordination would still be required for determining cargo loads and flight times. A deep-field skiway is capable of landing up to 125,000 lb, which equals 7200 lb of actual cargo compared to 12200 lb of cargo that has been delivered to Summit on the first flight the past three seasons.

Flag layout takes approximately 12 hr, and 57 flights are required to achieve the 408,500 lb of cargo capacity that was needed during the 2014 season. Table 8 shows the breakdown for computing the cost of transport.

Year	Total Hours	Labor Cost/hr	Equipment Hours	Fuel Cost/hr	Maint. Cost	Flights	Flight Cost	Cost/lb
2014	497.5	\$112	0	\$0	\$55,720	57	\$2,632,088	\$6.58

Table 8. Deep-field skiway cost breakdown for the 2014 season.

The cost per pound is \$6.58 for operating Summit as a deep-field skiway. This assumes the same number of flight periods (six) as the 2014 season, that cargo is transported only to Summit, and that no cargo is returned. This approach illustrates that increasing the number of required flights increases operation costs at a faster rate than reducing the maintenance and construction. It is more cost effective to construct and maintain a highstrength/high-performance skiway to maximize allowable landing weight for each flight.

4.4 Gapping LC-130 operations (reduced flight periods)

An alternative option for operating the current skiway is to gap the flight periods. This would result in fewer flights from NY to Kanger while increasing the flights from Kanger to Summit Station. Table 9 shows the resulting total season cost if Flight Period 4 was removed from the 2014 schedule and the single flight to Summit was performed during the fifth flight period.

Flight Period	# Of Flights	To	Cargo (lb)	Cost	Tot	tal Flight Cost	Cost / Ib	Summit Overhead	Total Cost / Ib
1	4	Summit	86000	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$3.58	\$0.58	\$4.16
1	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
2	4	Summit	86000	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$3.58	\$0.58	\$4.16
2	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
3	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	277,996	\$4.31	\$0.58	\$4.89
3	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
5	5	Summit	107500	\$ 147,870	\$	337,144	\$3.14	\$0.58	\$3.72
5	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
6	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	183,359	\$2.84	\$0.58	\$3.42
6	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
Totals			408500		\$	1,413,639	\$3.46	\$0.58	\$4.04

Table 9. Cost comparison for the 2014 season when gapping Flight Period 4.

The result of reducing Flight Period 5 from the 2014 season and moving the flight to another period would reduce the total LC-130 cost for the season by \$94,637, or 7% of the seasonal cost. The cost per pound of cargo would also be reduced by \$0.23. This assumes that the cargo goes only to Summit and that none comes back. Skiway maintenance would continue to follow the current SOP as routine maintenance reduces wind drifts and oscillations in the skiway. The total number of flights in this example would stay the same as would the current maximum cargo capacity. Further reducing flight periods from six to four would significantly affect the total cost per pound for transportation to Summit (Table 10). This example removes Flight Periods 2 and 4, and the additional Summit flights would happen during Flight Periods 1 and 5 to keep the same total transport capacity. The total reduction in flight cost would be \$283,911, or 19% of the total flight budget consumed in 2014. The cost per pound would be reduced to a seasonal average of \$3.58. As in the other examples, this example assumes that the cargo is being delivered in one direction to Summit with no cargo being returned to Kanger. The current SOP for maintenance procedures would still need to be completed to reduce maintenance before a flight period.

Flight Period	# Of Flights	То	Cargo (lb)	Cost	Tota	al Flight Cost	Cost / Ib	Summit Overhead	Total Cost / Ib
1	8	Summit	172000	\$ 236,592	\$	425,866	\$2.48	\$0.58	\$3.06
1	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
3	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	277,996	\$4.31	\$0.58	\$4.89
3	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
5	5	Summit	107500	\$ 118,296	\$	307,570	\$2.86	\$0.58	\$3.44
5	4	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 189,274					
6	3	Summit	64500	\$ 88,722	\$	183,359	\$2.84	\$0.58	\$3.42
6	2	NY to Kang / Back		\$ 94,637					
Totals			408500		\$	1,194,791	\$2.92	\$0.58	\$3.50

Table 10. Cost comparison for the 2014 season when gapping Flight Periods 2 and 4.

5 Conclusion

After completing the analysis of various options for air transporting cargo and personnel to and from Summit, we have determined that the most cost effective way to operate is by using the LC-130 on a highstrength/high-performance skiway with reduced flight periods and increased flight frequency per period. This would consolidate construction and maintenance of the skiway at Summit, reduce logistics required for all of the flight periods, and distribute the cost of staging aircraft more efficiently as proven in this report. Based on the 2014 data, reducing the number of flight periods by two would reduce the cost per pound of cargo by \$0.69 for a total savings of \$282,000 over one season. Table 11 illustrates the various options for cargo transportation. The aircraft smaller than the LC-130 is removed from consideration as a single viable option because the amount of cargo that needs to be transported annually. Smaller aircraft should be considered, however, for personnel transport if it reduces the number of LC-130 flight periods. This chart takes into account only the cargo delivery to Summit and not the potential of return cargo.

Type of Skiway	Flight Periods	# Of Flights	Cargo (lb)	Total Flight Cost	Cost/lb	Summit Overhead	Total Cost/lb
High Strength	4	19	408500	\$1,194,791	\$2.92	\$0.58	\$3.50
High Strength	5	19	408500	\$1,413,639	\$3.46	\$0.58	\$4.04
High Strength	6	19	408500	\$1,508,276	\$3.69	\$0.58	\$4.27
Mid Strength (NEEM)	4	25	408500	\$1,656,146	\$4.05	\$0.71	\$4.76
Deep Field	N/A	57	408500	\$2,632,088	\$6.44	\$0.14	\$6.58

 Table 11. Cost comparison for LC-130s for the 2014 season with different skiway construction methods.

6 Recommendations

Effective skiway maintenance and construction techniques currently consume 13.5% of the total cost of transporting cargo, personnel, and fuel to Summit Station via LC-130. The largest costs to the program are associated with staging planes at Kanger and then performing the flights to Summit Station. The SOP for maintenance and construction of the skiway has reduced equipment use while establishing and maintaining a high-performance level on the landing area.

Implementing a strength-monitoring program that uses the Rammsonde data currently being collected at Summit will increase early season cargo capacity. This can be accomplished by working with the ANG 109th unit to establish a baseline strength requirement that correlates maximum cargo loads to snow strength. The result of establishing a maximum aircraft-cargo-load snow-strength threshold would increase the number of higher load capacity flights because the aircraft ski-landing area control officer would not have to guess the snow conditions. During the 2014 season, 30,000 lb of potential cargo and fuel was not transported because of suggested reduced ACLs. As part of establishing a skiway strength requirement, the amount and timing of maintenance and construction could be optimized to determine potential savings.

Capitalizing on a higher number of Summit missions during each flight period by reducing the number of flight periods will significantly reduce the total cost of air transportation by upwards of 20%. The cost associated with staging planes at Kanger consumed \$946,370, or 62.5% of the total flight cost for the 2014 season. Reducing the number of flights to and from Kanger would create substantial savings.

For transporting personnel, smaller aircraft should be considered because they are cheaper per flight to Summit and can facilitate reducing LC-130 flight periods. If these aircraft are not available for consideration, operating additional LC flights during a flight period would reduce the fuel consumption at Summit, allow for more cargo or fuel delivery potential than the smaller aircraft, and create the potential to remove completely one or more summer flight periods.

It will be necessary to transport smaller cargo in a timely manner as Summit Station evolves to accommodate more efficient infrastructure, and the pace of required cargo transport may change. Maximizing the current flight structure by increasing seasonal delivery capacity and numbers of flights during each flight period will create both efficiencies and cost savings for the NSF Arctic program.

References

- Knuth, M. A., and T. Melendy. 2013. Summit Station Skiway Review. ERDC/CRREL TR-13-6. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Research Engineering and Development Center.
- Larsen, L. B. 2014. Personal communication. 10 September. Copenhagen, Denmark: Centre for Ice and Climate.
- Lever, J. H. 2014. Personal communication. 24 September. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Lever, J. H., G. Phillips, and J. Burnside. 2016. Economic Analysis of the Greenland Inland Traverse (GRIT). ERDC/CRREL SR-16-2. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Melendy, T. 2015. 2014 Season—Summit Station Skiway Construction and Maintenance Standard Operating Procedure. SOP for the National Science Foundation. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Olsen, R. 2014. Personal communication. 25 September. Aurora, CO: Alternative Experts, LLC.
- Wisneski, S. 2014. Personal communication. 16 September. Denver, CO: Polar Field Services, Inc.

Appendix A: 2014 Season—Summit Station Skiway Construction and Maintenance Standard Operating Procedure*

Purpose

The intent of this document is to provide to the heavy-equipment operators and managing personnel at Summit Station, Greenland, construction and maintenance guidance for the skiway. This procedure takes into account the current equipment and implements available at Summit and aims at producing the most reliable skiway in the shortest amount of operator and equipment time. These procedures are not intended for building the strongest skiway possible but rather a skiway that has proven to perform up to the requirements of the largest aircraft currently landing at Summit, the skied LC-130. Figure A-1 shows the equipment currently available at Summit.

Figure A-1. Equipment currently available at Summit includes (a) a Case 335 Magnum tractor, (b) a Cat D6M bulldozer, (c) a Tucker 1600, (d) a sheepsfoot, (e) a landplane, (f) a 24 ft beam drag, (g) a maxi groomer, and (h) a harrow.



^{*} Originally released as Melendy (2015). It work was conducted for the National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Polar Programs (PLR), under Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) EP-ARC 13-18, "Summit Station Skiway Assessment." The technical monitors were Patrick Haggerty and Renee Crain, Program Managers, NSF-PLR Arctic Research Support and Logistics.

Figure A-1 (cont.). Equipment currently available at Summit includes (a) a Case 335 Magnum tractor, (b) a Cat D6M bulldozer, (c) a Tucker 1600, (d) a sheepsfoot, (e) a landplane, (f) a 24 ft beam drag, (g) a maxi groomer, and (h) a harrow.



Construction procedure

All efforts should start at one flag line and work progressively across the skiway.

- 1. Raise skiway markers as early as possible in the spring to reduce skiway drifting.
- 2. Using the modified harrow, drag the skiway, with no overlap, to a maximum depth of 6 in. This will equal 14 passes. At a suggested speed of 8 mph, this will take 6 hours.
- 3. Compact the skiway by performing the first round of passes with the sheepsfoot side by side with no overlap. On the second round of compaction, hook up the drag in tandem after the sheepsfoot and offset the sheepsfoot 6 ft (one drum width) from the first pass to ensure 100% compaction coverage on the skiway. Continue to compact by working across the skiway offset 6 ft from the first round of passes. At the suggested speed of 6 mph, this will take 18 hours to complete.
- 4. Plane the skiway with the 40 ft wide landplane with limited overlap (2–3 ft) in each pass to minimize windrows. This will remove oscillations and smooth the skiway. Set the landplane to cut 2–3 in. deep in relatively level terrain; for reference, the serrated teeth on the cutting blades are 2.5 in. in depth. To avoid hopping of the plane, make sure the skis of the landplane are applying pressure and are not floating. To cover the entire area of the skiway, the landplane will require six passes. At a suggested speed of 6–7 mph (12th to 13th gear in the Case Magnum at 1900–2000 RPM), this will take 3 hours for each full coverage and may require a second pass, depending on skiway condition.

Total construction time will take approximately 27–30 hours, or 4 working days.

Surface maintenance procedure

The procedure for regular maintenance depends on what equipment is available:

- Use the landplane for regular maintenance if the Case is available; otherwise, skip to option 2 below. Overlap each pass enough to minimize windrows (2–3 ft). This will require six passes. At a suggested speed of 6–7 mph, depending on snow and wind conditions, this will take 3 hours. This maintenance should take place
 - as soon as possible after a snow event or drifting,
 - no more than 24 hours before the expected arrival of a plane, or
 - if ruts are found during the post-flight skiway check.
- 2. If the Case is not available, use the Tucker to drag the skiway with the large drag, with no overlap. This will equal nine passes each round. At the suggested speed of 8 mph, this will take 4 hours each round. This should take place
 - as soon as possible after a snow event or drifting,
 - no more than 24 hours before the expected arrival of a plane, or
 - if ruts are found during the post flight skiway check.

Note: Alternative drag patterns can be completed when dragging and using the landplane, particularly when needed for greater visibility for aircraft.

Total maintenance time (drag and plane) will take approximately 3–4 hours.

Strength maintenance procedure

Case tractor

A minimum of three strength measurements should be taken each week in the same locations along the skiway. When the skiway Rammsonde average strength in the 5-10 cm layer drops below 150 kgf, immediately complete the following strength building procedure unless

- there is less than 1 week before a plane arrives or
- the air temperature was greater than -10° C (14°F) for the previous 24 hours.
- 1. Compact the skiway with the Case and sheepsfoot (pulling the large drag behind). With no overlap between passes, this will equal 17 passes. At the

suggested speed of 6 mph, this will take 9 hours. To avoid creating differences in snow strength and condition, do not stop the equipment in the middle of a pass, only at the ends of the skiway.

2. Immediately after compaction, plane the skiway with the landplane, overlapping each pass 2–3 ft to reduce windrows. This will equal six passes. At the suggested speed of 6–7 mph, this will require 3 hours for each set of six passes. After using the landplane, let the skiway sit for 48 hours to allow it to sinter. To help smooth the skiway, landplaning can be completed a second time, if needed.

Total maintenance time will take 12-15 hours, or 2 days.

D6M bulldozer (strength maintenance alternative)

In the event that the Case is not available, use the D6M. A minimum of three strength measurements should be taken each week in the same locations along the skiway. When the skiway Rammsonde average strength in the 5–10 cm layer drops below 150 kgf, immediately complete the following strength building procedure should be completed unless

- there is less than 1 week before a plane arrives or
- the air temperature was greater than -10° C (14°F) for the previous 24 hours.
- 1. Compact the skiway with the D6M and sheepsfoot (pulling the large drag behind). With no overlap between passes, this will equal 17 passes. At the suggested speed of 4.5 mph, this will take 12 hours. To avoid creating differences in snow strength and condition, do not stop the equipment in the middle of a pass, only at the ends of the skiway.
- 2. Immediately after compaction, drag the skiway with the large drag attached to either the D6M or Tucker, with no overlap. This will equal nine passes. At the suggested speed of 4.5 mph for the D6M or 8 mph for the Tucker, this will respectively take 7 or 4 hours. After a dragging event, let the skiway sit for 48 hours to allow it to sinter.

Total maintenance time will take 16–19 hours, or 2–3 days.

Expected completion times

The expected times of completion do not take into account the turnarounds, which will require more than the stated times, at each end of the skiway and the taxi way as they change from year to year with various requirements and suggestions from the 109th Air National Guard Unit. These times also do not account for the inefficiencies of working for less than 8 hours per day on a task. For example, the strength procedure with the Case 335 is expected to take 12–15 hours; but if compacting the skiway cannot be completed all at once, this task will require an additional 2–3 hours.

Summary

The Summit Station skiway is a vital asset to the Arctic science program. Its continued reliable performance is essential to maintain the current amount of research without interruption. By using the procedures listed within this report, we can ensure a minimum level of performance for the skiway. As the science and equipment changes, the SOP will require modifications to maintain efficiencies by reducing labor and equipment.

Appendix B: 2014 Summit Skiway Flight Summaries

POLAR MISSION SUMMAR	GG-20	14-021				LOCAL DAT	TE: 5/6	5/2014	
PILOT 1 (AC): CARRAHE PILOT 2: NEWTO NAVIGATOR: SLOSE	ER W N P K S	FLIGHT EN LOADM LOADM	NGINEER: IASTER 1: IASTER 2:	GINEER: HUBBLEY K ASTER 1: MORGAN R ASTER 2: GIACONIA B		MISSON SYMBOL: GDSS #: AIRCRAFT TAIL #:		M6CA JAM107303125 63301	
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM Thule-TL Other-ZZ	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 1 5/6/14	SOR Lcl Date	SORTIE 2 Lcl Date 5/6/14		SORTIE 3		RTIE 4	
SORTIE #: DEPARTURE ICAO: ARRIVAL ICAO: DEPARTURE TIME (Z): ARRIVAL TIME (Z): FLIGHT HOURS:	SM- BG BG 11 13 1.	002 SF 36 24 8	SM- BG 14 15	002R SSM SSF 400 549 1.8		-			
DELAY: CANCEL: ABORT: IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:	N	IX							
JPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT: FUEL WT: TOTAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL:	0 0 0 0	18,600 0 18,600 0 0	0 0 0 0	3,300 0 3,300 0 0					
OWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT: FUEL WT: TOTAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL:	0 0 0 0	18,600 0 18,600 0 0	0 0 0 0	3,300 0 3,300 0 0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARI	(ED?:			ACL	DELIVERED:				
ATO (# FI	RED):	0			TAKEC	OFF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.: ELEVATION: 10,4 ALT-CAMP: 29.14 THRESHOLD COORDS: ALT-AIRCRAFT: 29.14 ACFT LANDING WT: 130,4 PREV. WINDS: 200/12 RECOMM. LDG WT: 130,4 OAT: -26 SKIWAY HEADING: 26		63 ACFT 000 000 F 1 T	ACFT TAKEOFF WT: 11 TO CG: ATO KIAS: FLAP SETTING: TO DISTANCE:) # TAKEOFF SLIDES: SNOW CONDITION: SKIWAY HEADING: AIRDROP COORDs:		1 GOOD 261		
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMEN	TS:								
-DTM Lat longs for REF PT GS - update skiway heading to 2 - camp altimeter checked god - recommend landing weight	M26 and GSN 61G od with aircra increase to 1	/108 are swa ft 30,000	apped						

POLAR MISSIC	ON SUMM	IARY GG-201	14-022				LOCAL DAT	E: 5/6	/2014
PILOT 1 (AC	2: ELLITH	EON M IORPE J	FLIGHT EI	FLIGHT ENGINEER: BACKUS B LOADMASTER 1: HILL J			SON SYMBO GDSS	L: N #: JAM10	I6CA 7304125
NAVIGATO	R: COON	IRADT A	LOADN	ASTER 2:	LUCIER M	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 2:	1095
Alert- AL Kanger Raven-RV SCH-S Thule-TL	-KG Neem-I C Summit-S Other-ZZ	SM SOR Lcl Date	TIE 1 5/6/14	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 2 5/6/14	SORT	TE 3	SOF	TIE 4
	SORTIE	: #: SM-	001	SM-0	001R				
DEPA	ARTURE ICA	NO: BG	SF	BG	SM				
А	RRIVAL ICA	NO: BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPART	URE TIME ((z): 14	05	16	25				
ARRI	VAL TIME	(z): 15	50	18	15				
FL	IGHT HOU	RS: 1.	.7	1.	.8				
	DEL	AY: M	IX						
	CANC	EL:							
	ABO	RT:							
IN-FLT UNFCST	WX IMPA	CT:							
JPLOAD INFOR	MATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO W	/T: 0	18,000	0	1,500				
	FUEL W	л: 0	0	0	0		2		
тот	AL WT (LB	s): 0	18,000	0	1,500				
	PAX	#: 0	7	0	0				
	FUEL GA	AL: 0	0	0	0				
OWNLOAD IN	FORMATIC	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO W	л: 0	18,000	0	1,500				
	FUEL W	/т: 0	0	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LB	s): 0	18,000	0	1,500				
	PAX	#: 0	7	0	0				
	FUEL GA	AL: 0	0	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD	CAMP M	ARKED?:	Yes		ACL I	DELIVERED:		Yes	
	ATO (#	FIRED):	0						
	LAN	DING DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:	ELEVATION: 10,		ON: 10,5	28 ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	110,000	# TAKEON	FF SLIDES:	2
ALT-CAMP:	29.15	I HRESHOLD COOR	NT: 120/	000	ATO KIAS	23	SNOW CO	HEADING:	263
	19.54	ACFT LANDING	vv1: 130,0	000	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWATI	LADING.	205
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	155/15	RECOMM LDG	MT. 140 (000 FI	AP SETTING	100	AIRDROP	COORDs:	

Camp gave 29.15 (pressure altitude) over swy 08 29.34, parking 29.24 for field elevation. Recommend landing weight 140000

ePMS (040514)

POLAR MISSION	SUMMARY	GG-202	14-024				LOCAL DAT	E: 5/	7/2014
PILOT 1 (AC):	CARRAHER	W	FLIGHT EI	NGINEER:	SAINSBURY J	MISS	ON SYMBO	L:	M6CA
PILOT 2:	CALDWELL	. В	LOADN	ASTER 1:	MORGAN R		GDSS	#: JAM	107305127
NAVIGATOR:	SLOSEK S	5	LOADN	ASTER 2:	BOOTH J	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#:	21095
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Raven-RV SCH-SC Thule-TL Othe	Neem-NM Summit-SM er-ZZ	SOR Lcl Date	FIE 1 5/7/14	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 2 5/7/14	SORT	IE 3	SO	RTIE 4
	SORTIE #:	SM-	003	SM-0	003R				
DEPARTI	URE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM				
ARRIV	VAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPARTURE	TIME (7)	10	29	16	15				
APPIVAL	TIME (2).	10	15	10	05				
ANNIVAL		12	0	10	0				
FLIGH	HOURS:	1.	8	1	.8				
	DELAY:								
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX	(IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMA	TION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYAN	G CPS
CA	RGO WT:	0	27,610	0	900				
1	FUEL WT:	0	0	0	4,158				
TOTAL	WT (LBS):	0	27,610	0	5,058				
	PAX #:	0	1	0	0				
F	UEL GAL:	0	0	0	594				
DOWNLOAD INFOR	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYAN	G CPS
CA	RGO WT:	0	27,610	0	900				
	FUEL WT:	0	0	0	0				
TOTAL	WT (LBS):	0	27,610	0	900				
	PAX #:	0	1	0	0				
F	UEL GAL:	0	0	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CA		D?:	Yes		ACL	ELIVERED:		Yes	
	ATO (# FIR	ED):	8						
LANDING DATA						TAKEC	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:		ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	110,000	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	5
ALT-CAMP: 2	9.35 THR	RESHOLD COOP	RDS:		TO CG:	26	SNOW CO	NDITION:	soft/powder
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 2	9.33 A	CFT LANDING	WT: 0	200 51	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:	261
PREV. WINDS: 13	-15 R	SKIWAY HEAD	VVI: 140,0	лоо FL т	O DISTANCE	11 000	AIKDKOP	COORDS:	
UAL	-10	ANNAT HEADI		1		11,000			

MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:

- Skiway was soft, camp's sheep's foot is broke, we brought parts on this flight

- On departure end of skiway 26, there are flags depicting the clean air area. An aircraft can not go long without hitting flags. Suggest adding a NOTAM that prohibits going long on Skiway 26 due to take off obstacles. This should also be annotated in mission folder and depicted on camp diagrams.

- Turn around area too small, need to increase

-Altimeter checked good on ground with camp altimeter

- Camp was not willing to move flags and will not allow an aircraft to go long. They would rather us stay the night

POLAR MISSION S	SUMMARY	GG-20	14-027				LOCAL DA	TE: 5/8/	2014	
PILOT 1 (AC):	MCKEON	М	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	BACKUS B	MISSON SYMBOL: M6CA				
PILOT 2:	NEWTON	Р	LOADN	IASTER 1:	LUCIER M	GDSS #:		S #: JAM107	7306127	
NAVIGATOR:	COONRAD	ΤA	LOADN	IASTER 2:	GIACONIA B	AIRCRAFT TAIL		L#: 210	095	
Nert-AL Kanger-KG Raven-RV SCH-SC S Thule-TL Othe	Neem-NM Summit-SM er-ZZ	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 1 5/8/14	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 2 5/8/14	SORT	'IE 3	SOR	TIE 4	
	SORTIE #:	SM-	004	SM-	004R					
DEPARTU	JRE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM					
ARRI	/AL ICAO:	BG	SM	BC	GSF					
DEPARTURE	TIME (Z):	11	40	15	520					
ARRIVAL	TIME (Z):	13	50	17	700					
FLIGH	T HOURS:	2.	.2	1	7					
	DELAY:	M	IX							
	CANCEL:									
	ABORT:									
N-FLT UNFCST WX	IMPACT:									
PLOAD INFORMA	TION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CAI	RGO WT:	0	21,100	0	3,700					
F	UEL WT:	0	0	0	0					
TOTAL V	VT (LBS):	0	21,100	0	3,700			1		
	PAX #:	0	0	0	0					
F	UEL GAL:	0	0	0	0					
OWNLOAD INFOR	MATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CAI	RGO WT:	0	21,100	0	3,700					
F	UEL WT:	0	0	0	0					
TOTAL	VI (LBS):	0	21,100	0	3,700					
F	UEL GAL:	0	0	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CA	ATO (# FIRI	:D?: ED):	Yes 7		ACLI	JELIVERED:		NO		
	LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.: ovc 01 ALT-CAMP: 29 ALT-AIRCRAFT: 29 PREV. WINDS: 230 OAT: -	.0/3200 0.62 THR 0.85 A 0t/12 R 20 S	ELEVATI RESHOLD COOR CFT LANDING ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI	ON: 10,5 RDS: OK WT: 140,0 WT: 140,0 NG:	26 ACFT 1 ())00 FI 000 FI	TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: LAP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	112,000 26 65 100 15,000	# TAKEC SNOW C SKIWAY AIRDRO	OFF SLIDES: ONDITION: 7 HEADING: P COORDs:	4 slow	
AISSION/SKIWAY	OMMENT	S:								
#2 engine, no reve ACL not delivered. did not take on se suggest skiway en camp altimeter is	erse, expect Needed fu cond pallet ds (turn arc good on gro		before RTB off slides (4 craft take of ade bigger) howing 200) ff weight lov) low	N					

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	f GG-20	14-038				LOCAL DAT	E: 5/14/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC): BRETON	Р	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	ALIX B	MIS	SON SYMBO	L: M6	CA
PILOT 2: SHAPIRO	R	LOADN	ASTER 1:	BRITT T		GDSS	#: JAM111	303134
NAVIGATOR: GIACONIA	R	LOADN	ASTER 2:	NOLIN W	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	92
	1	Londi		NOLIN W	200			
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM Thule-TL Other-ZZ	SOR Lcl Date	TIE 1 5/14/14	SOR Lcl Date	FIE 2 5/14/14	SORT	TE 3	SORT	1E 4
SORTIE #:	SM·	-005	SM-0	005R				
DEPARTURE ICAO	BG	SE	BGSM					
	PG	SM	BGSIVI					
DEDADTUDE TIME (7).	11	25	14	05				
DEPARTORE TIME (2).	1125		14					
ARRIVAL TIME (2):	13	25	15.	55				
FLIGHT HOURS:	2	.0	1.	8				
DELAY:	N	1X						
CANCEL:								
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION NYANG CH			NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	18,300	o	5,600				
FUEL WT:	0	8,477	о	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	AL WT (LBS): 0 26,777		o	5,600				
PAX #:	0	21	0	1				
FUEL GAL:	FUEL GAL: 0 1,2		0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	DOWNLOAD INFORMATION NYANG C			CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	18,300	0	5.600				
FUEL WT:	0	8.477	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	26,777	0	5.600				
PAX #:	0	21	0	1				
FUEL GAL:	0	1,211	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:			
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 9979/P6SM	ELEVAT	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEOF	F SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP: 10528 THE	RESHOLD COOI	RDS:		TO CG:	0	SNOW CO	NDITION:	
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 0 A	CFT LANDING	WT: 0	1000	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY H	IEADING:	
PREV. WINDS: R	ECOMM. LDG	WT: 0	6 T(APSETTING:	0	AIRDROP	COORDs:	
	c.			DISTANCE.	Ū			
INTE T/O DUE TO DOOD OF	<u></u>							
CAMP PADAP ALTIMETED CUE								
TURNAROUND SAME WIDTH 4	S SKIWAY -	TOO NARRI		T IAW 13-2	17			
RAMP DEPICTION IN flip NOT	TO SCALE AN	ID NOT ORI	ENTED AS D	EPICTED - N	IORE TO WE	ST		
RAMP ALSO VERY SMALL - NO	T LG ENOUG	H FOR CON	BAT OFFLO	AD				
PMS (040514) PREVIOUS EDITIONS OBSOLETE								

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	Y GG-20	14-039				LOCAL DAT	E: 5/14/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC): JOHNSON	I D	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	BACKUS B	MIS	SON SYMBO	DL: M6	CA
PILOT 2: TATANGEL	DD	LOADN	ASTER 1:	воотн ј		GDSS	#: JAM111	305134
NAVIGATOR: BREWER	D	LOADN	ASTER 2:	BRENNAN L	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 210	95
Alert-AL Kanzer-KG Neem-NM	SOP	TIE 1	sor		SOPT	16.2	CODTIE 4	
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	Jel Data	F/14/14	Jel Data	5/14/14	SORI	IE 5	SORI	IC 4
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	LCI Date	5/14/14	LCI Date 3/14/14					
SORTIE #:	SM-	006	SM-006R					
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BC	SM				
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	B	GSF				
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	13	20	1	608				
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	15	08	1	755				
FLIGHT HOURS:	1	.8	1	1.8				
DELAY:	OT	HR						
CANCEL								
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NVANG	CPS	NVANG	CPS	NVANG	CPS	NVANG	CPS
CARCO WIT:	MIANO	20,000		2,000	MIANO	Cr5	MIANO	Cr5
CARGO WT:	0	20,606		2,000				
TOTAL WT (IBS):	0	3,129 23,735		2 000				
PAX #:	0	0	0	0				
FUEL GAL:	0	447	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	20,606	0	2,000				
FUEL WT:	0	3,129	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	23,735	0	2,000				
PAX #:	0	0	0	0				
FUEL GAL:	0	447	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	ED?:	Yes		ACL I	DELIVERED:			
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: BRK030/9999	ELEVATI	ON: 10,5	28 ACFT	TAKEOFF WT:	111,000	# TAKEOI	FF SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP: 29.43 TH	RESHOLD COOF	IDS:	200	TO CG:	24.8	SNOW CO	NDITION:	GOOD
ALI-AIRCRAFT: 29.43 F	ECOMM IDG	WT: 140,0	000 F	ATO KIAS:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	0829
OAT: -10	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 263	i9 1	TO DISTANCE:	9,000	Anonor		
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	S:							
LATE TAKEOFE DUE TO SPACIN	LATE TAKEOFF DUE TO SPACING FOR SKIER89							
TUDENT FE: DUMOND								

POLAR MISSIO	N SUMMARY	GG-20	14-045				LOCAL DAT	E: 5/16/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC): SHAPIRO	R	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	ALIX B	MIS	SON SYMBO	L: M6	CA
PILOT 2	2: TATANGELO	D	LOADN	ASTER 1:	NOLIN W		GDSS #	#: JAM111	306137
NAVIGATOR	R: GIACONIA	R	LOADN	ASTER 2:	BOOTH J	AIF	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	92
			Londin		boomit				
Raven-RV SCH-SC	C Summit-SM	SOR	NE 1	SOR	TE 2	SORT	IE 3	SORT	1E 4
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	5/16/14						
	SORTIE #:	SM-	007						
DEPA	RTURE ICAO:								
AE	RIVAL ICAO								
DEDADTI	IDE TIME (7).	00	00						
DEPARTO		00	00						
ARRIV	AL TIME (2):	00	00						
FLI	GHT HOURS:								
	DELAY:								
	CANCEL:	N	X						
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNECST	WX IMPACT								
	MATION	NIVANC	CDC	NIVANC	CDC	NIVANC	CDC	NIVANC	CDC
OF LOAD INFOR		INTAING	CFS	INTAING	CFS	INTAING	CFS	INTAING	CFS
	CARGO WT:	0	0						
	FUEL WT:	0	0						
1014	AL WT (LBS):	0	0						
	PAX #:	0	0						
	FUEL GAL:	0	0						
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	0						
	FUEL WT:	0	0						
тот/	AL WT (LBS):	0	0						
	PAX #:	0	0						
	FUEL GAL:	0	0						
SKIWAY/FIELD	CAMP MARKE	D?:			ACL	DELIVERED:			
	ATO (# FIRE	ED):	0						
	LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:	_	ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEOF	F SLIDES:	0
ALT-CAMP:	0 THR	ESHOLD COOP	DS:		TO CG:	0	SNOW CO	NDITION:	
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	0 A	CFT LANDING	WT: 0		ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY H	IEADING:	
PREV. WINDS:	R	ECOMM. LDG	WT: 0	FL	AP SETTING:	0	AIRDROP	COORDs:	
UAT:	3	KIWAT HEADI	NG:		DISTANCE:	0			
MISSION/SKIW/	AY COMMENTS	<u>S:</u>							
CNX DUE TO NO	AVAIL A/C								
ePMS (040514)						Р	REVIOUS E	DITIONS O	BSOLETE

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	G G-20:	LOCAL DATE: 5/17/2014							
PILOT 1 (AC): BREW F)	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	BACKUS B	MIS	SON SYMBO	DL: M6	M6CA	
PILOT 2: TATANGEL	DD	LOADN	ASTER 1:	NOLIN W		GDSS	#: JAM111	306137	
NAVIGATOR: GIACONIA	A R	LOADN	ASTER 2:	воотн ј	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	.#: 304	92	
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOR	TIF 1	SORT	TIF 2	SORT	1F 3	SORT	SORTIF 4	
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	I d Date	5/17/14	I cl Date	5/17/14	5011		30111	12.4	
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lor Dute	0/1//14	Lei Dute	/1//14					
SORTIE #:	SM-	007	SM-007R						
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	F BGSM							
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF					
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	14	45	173	30					
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	16	30	193	20					
FLIGHT HOURS:	1.	1.7		8					
DELAY:			м	x					
CANCEL				~					
AROPT:	ABORT:								
IN-FLI UNFCST WX IMPACT:									
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT:	0	8,045	0	8,900					
FUEL WT:	0	0 13,069		0					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	21,114	0	8,900					
PAX #:	0	0	0	0					
FUEL GAL:	0	0 1,867		0					
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT:	0	8,045	0	8,900					
FUEL WT:	0	13,069	0	0					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	21,114	0	8,900					
PAX #:	0	0	0	0					
FUEL GAL:	0	1,867	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	D?:								
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0							
LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.:	ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	KEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	0	
ALT-CAMP: 0 THE	RESHOLD COOR	DS:		TO CG:	0	SNOW CO	ONDITION:		
ALI-AIRCRAFT: 0 A	ECOMM LDC	MT: 0		ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:		
OAT:	SKIWAY HEADI	NG:	тс	DISTANCE:	0	AINDION	COORDS.		
	c.								
MISSION/SKIWAT COMMENT	<u>.</u>								

POLAR MISSION SUMMAR	Y GG-20			LOCAL DAT	E: 5/18/	2014			
PILOT 1 (AC): JOHNSOI	N D	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	BACKUS B	MIS	SON SYMBO	DL: M6	: M6CA	
PILOT 2: SHAPIRO	R	LOADN	ASTER 1:	PECK L		GDSS	#: JAM111	309137	
NAVIGATOR: SLOSEK	S	LOADN	ASTER 2:	NOLIN W	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	92	
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	SOR	TIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	IE 3	SORT	IE 4	
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	5/18/14	Lcl Date	5/18/14					
SORTIE #:	SM	-008	SM-0	008R					
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BGSM						
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF					
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	14	05	1640						
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	15	50	18	40					
FLIGHT HOURS:	1	.7	2.	.0					
DELAY									
DELAY:		1X							
CANCEL:									
ABORT:									
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:									
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT:	0	2,042	0	10,681					
FUEL WT:	0	21,399	o	0					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	0 23,441		10,681					
PAX #:	0	0	0	19					
FUEL GAL:	0	3,057	0	0					
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT:	0	2,042	0	10,681					
FUEL WT:	0	21,399	0	0					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	23,441	o	10,681					
PAX #:	0	0	0	19					
FUEL GAL:	0	3,057	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	ED?:			ACL	DELIVERED:				
ATO (# FIF	RED):	0							
LANDIN	G DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.:	ELEVAT	ION: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	0	
ALT-CAMP: 0 TH	RESHOLD COOL	RDS:		TO CG:	0	SNOW CO	NDITION:		
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 0	ACFT LANDING	WT: 0	1110	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:		
PREV. WINDS:	SKIWAY HEAD	WT: 0	FL	APSETTING:	0	AIRDROP	COORDs:		
UXI.	SKIWATHEAD	ino.	I.	DISTANCE.	0				
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	<u>rs:</u>								
ePMS (040514) PREVIOUS EDITIONS OBSOLET								BSOLETE	

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	AR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-060							LOCAL DATE: 6/4/2014				
PILOT 1 (AC): CARRAHER	RW	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	SON SYMBO	DL: M6	: M6CA				
PILOT 2: NEWTON	Ρ	LOADN	ASTER 1:	BRENNAN L		GDSS	#: JAM101	302155				
NAVIGATOR: STURGIS	М	LOADN	ASTER 2:	HASSIS D	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 21095					
Alert-AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOR	FIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	1E 3	SOR	TIE 4				
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	Lcl Date	6/4/14	Lcl Date	6/4/14								
Inule-IL Other-22		-, .,		-7 -7								
SORTIE #:	SM-	009	SM-	009R								
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BGSM									
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BC	SSF								
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	12	00	14	135								
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	13	52	16	518								
FLIGHT HOURS:	1.	9	1	.7								
DELAY:												
CANCEL:												
ABORT:												
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:												
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS				
CARGO WT:	0	18 100	0	9,000								
FUEL WT:	0	10,100	0	0								
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	28.376	0	9.000								
PAX #:	0	27	0	1								
FUEL GAL:	0	1,468	0	0								
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS				
CARGO WT:	0	18,100	0	9,000								
FUEL WT:	0	10,276	0	0								
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	28,376	0	9,000								
PAX #:	0	27	0	1								
FUEL GAL:	0	1,468	0	0								
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	ED?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes					
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0										
LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA						
CEILING/VIS.: 9999/65M	ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT	AKEOFF WT:	125	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	1				
ALT-CAMP: 0 TH	RESHOLD COOR	DS:		TO CG:	26.3	SNOW CO	NDITION:	HARD				
PREV WINDS: 169T/A	RECOMM LDG	A/T: 0		ATO KIAS:	50		COORDe					
OAT:	SKIWAY HEADI	NG:		O DISTANCE:	10,000	Anonor	000000					
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	·c.											
RECOMMEND INCREASING LA	NDING WEIG	ын то 145	ĸ									

POLAR MISSION SUMMAR	Y GG-20			LOCAL DAT	E: 6/11/	2014			
PILOT 1 (AC): LANCASTE	RA	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	SON SYMBO	DL: M6	M6CA	
PILOT 2: FURNIA	В	LOADN	ASTER 1:	JAMES D		GDSS	#: JAM101	304161	
NAVIGATOR: GIACONI	AR	LOADN	ASTER 2:	GREGORY K	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 210	94	
Alast AL Kanzas KG Neem NM					6007		60.D7		
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	SOR	TE1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	IE 3	SORT	IE 4	
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	6/11/14	Lcl Date	6/11/14					
SORTIE #:	SM-	010	SM-0	010R					
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BGSM						
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	ISF					
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	13	10	16	00					
ABRIVAL TIME (7):	15	10	17	55					
	20	0	1	。 。					
FLIGHT HOOKS.	2	2.0		.5					
DELAY:	DELAY: FUELING								
CANCEL:									
ABORT:									
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:									
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
	0	5 668	0	16.420					
EIIEI WT:	0	19 663		0					
TOTAL WT (IBS):	0	25 331	0	16 420					
PAX #:	0	0	0	7					
FUEL GAL:	0	2,809	0	0					
		2,000		606	ND/A NIC	CDC	NIVA NG	0.00	
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
CARGO WT:	0	5,668	0	16,420					
FUEL WT:	0	19,663	0	0					
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	25,331	0	16,420					
PAX #:	0	0	0	/					
FUEL GAL:	0	2,809	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARK	ED?:			ACLI	DELIVERED:				
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0							
LANDING	<u>G DATA</u>				TAKEC	OFF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.:	ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	0	
ALT-CAMP: 0 TH	RESHOLD COOF	NDS:		TO CG:	0	SNOW CO	NDITION:		
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 0	CFT LANDING	WT: 0		ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:		
PREV. WINDS:	SKIWAY HEADI	WT: 0	FL T	APSETTING:	0	AIRDROP	COORDs:		
	-			bibitAiter.					
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	<u>S:</u>								
TOOK EXTRA TRUCK OF FUEL.	DELAYED TA	KEOFF.							
oBMS (040E14)									
erivis (040514)					P	NEVIOUS I	EDITIONS U	DOULETE	

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	G G-201		LOCAL DAT	E: 6/11/2	2014			
PILOT 1 (AC): CARRAHER	R W	FLIGHT E	NGINEER: M	IUSSMACHER	w MISS	ON SYMBO	L: M6	CA
PILOT 2: CALDON	J	LOADN	ASTER 1:	SALISBURY S		GDSS	#: JAM1013	305162
NAVIGATOR: SHANAHA	N J	LOADN	ASTER 2:	WALLACE M	AIRO	CRAFT TAIL	#: 633	01
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOR	TIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	E 3	SORT	1E 4
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	6/11/14	Lcl Date	6/11/14				
SORTIE #:	SM-	011	SM-0	011R				
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM				
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BGS	5M	BG	SF				
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	150	05	1815					
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	16	55	2000					
FLIGHT HOURS:	1.	8	1.7					
DELAY:	OT	HR						
CANCEL:								
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	NYANG CPS		CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	0	0	10,460				
FUEL WT:	0	28,308	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	28,308	0	10,460				
PAX #:	0	0	0	26				
FUEL GAL:	0	4,044	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	0	0	10,460				
FUEL WT:	0	28,308	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	28,308	0	10,460				
PAX #:	0	0	0	26				
FUEL GAL:	0	4,044	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes	
ATO (# FIR	ED):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: UNRES	CEILING/VIS.: UNRES ELEVATION:			AKEOFF WT:	122	# TAKEOF	FF SLIDES:	1
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 0	CET LANDING	US: OK		ATO KIAS	24.8	SNOW CO	HEADING:	
PREV. WINDS: 2237/12	ECOMM IDG	NT: 0		AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs	
OAT:	OAT: SKIWAY HEADING			D DISTANCE:	0			
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	<u>S:</u>							

SYMP LATE DUE TO PREVIOUS A/C. FLAG IN MIDDLE OF TURN AROUND ON SKWY 26.

ePMS (040514)

POLAR MISSION SUI	MMARY	GG-20:	14-090				LOCAL DATE	: 6/29/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC):	SANDER	с	FLIGHT EI	NGINEER:	DELGIACCO N	M MISS	ON SYMBOL	: M6CA	
PILOT 2:	KELLY N	1	LOADN	ASTER 1:	MORGAN R		GDSS #	: JAM107	402180
NAVIGATOR:	FARRELL	с	LOADN	IASTER 2:	OUSINEAU	M AIRC	CRAFT TAIL #	: 304	491
Alert- AL Kanger-KG Ne	em-NM	SOR	ΓIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	E 3	SORT	FIE 4
Raven-RV SCH-SC Sum Thule-TL Other-Z	mit-SM Z	Lcl Date	6/29/14	Lcl Date	6/29/14				
so	RTIE #:	SM-	012	SM-0	012R				
DEPARTURE	E ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM				
ARRIVAI	LICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPARTURE TI	ME (Z):	1210		16	45				
ARRIVAL TI	ME (Z):	1410		18	45				
FLIGHT H	IOURS:	2.	2.0		.0				
	DELAY:								
C/	ANCEL:								
А	BORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IN	I-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATIC	N	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARG	O WT:	0	12,570	0	8,100				
FUE	L WT:	0	8,183	0	0				
TOTAL WT	(LBS):	0	20,753	0	8,100				
I	PAX #:	0	4	0	10				
FUE	L GAL:	0	1,169	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORM	ATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARG	O WT:	0	12,570	0	8,100				
FUE	L WT:	0	8,183	0	0				
TOTAL WT	(LBS):	0	20,753	0	8,100				
1	PAX #:	0	4	0	10				
FUE	L GAL:	0	1,169	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP	P MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes	
AT	O (# FIRI	ED):	0						
	LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 6 BRK 99	999 TUB		DN: 10,53	30 ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	118,000	# TAKEOFF	SLIDES:	6 SOFT
ALT-AIRCRAFT 0	A	CFT LANDING V	WT: 1400	00	ATO KIAS	24	SKIWAY HE	ADING	265
PREV. WINDS: 180/1	2 R	ECOMM. LDG	NT: 0	FL	AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	OORDs:	
OAT: -1	S	KIWAY HEADI	NG: 263	6 T(DISTANCE:	16,797			

MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-091 LOCAL DATE: 6/29/20								9/2014	
PILOT 1 (AG	C): HATHAW	'AY J	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	MESSINEO N	A MIS	SON SYMBO	L: T	3MN
PILOT	2: NIELSON	N S	LOADN	ASTER 1:	MACAULAY	Т	GDSS	#: DUNG	MTA0B180
NAVIGATO	R: SMITH	J	LOADN	ASTER 2:	MCGUIGAN	E AIF	RCRAFT TAIL	#: 3	0490
Alert-AL Kanger	-KG Neem-NM	SOB	TIC 1	sor		SOPT		50	
Raven-RV SCH-S	SC Summit-SM	Jel Data	6/20/14	Jel Data	6/20/14	SORI		30	KIIE 4
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ	LCI Date	0/29/14	LCIDate	0/29/14				
	SORTIE #:	SM	-013	SM	-013R				
DEPA	ARTURE ICAO:	BC	GSF	BC	SSM				
А	RRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	B	GSF				
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	13	313	1	650				
ARRI	VAL TIME (Z):	15	535	1	835				
FL	IGHT HOURS:	2	.4	2	1.7				
	DELAY-		AV						
	DELAT.		//X						
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST	WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFOR	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	i CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	0	0	9,150				
	FUEL WT:	0	0	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	0	0	9,150				
	PAX #:	0	0	0	0				
	FUEL GAL:	0	0	0	0				
DOWNLOAD IN	IFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	i CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	0	0	9,150				
	FUEL WT:	1,883	0	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	1,883	0	0	9,150				
	PAX #:	0	0	0	0				
	FUEL GAL:	269	0	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD	CAMP MAR	ED?:			ACL	DELIVERED:			
	ATO (# FI	RED):	0						
	LANDIN	G DATA				ТАКЕС	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:		ELEVAT	ION: 10,5	26 ACFT	TAKEOFF WT:	126,000	# TAKEOF	F SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP:	29.66 TH	IRESHOLD COO	RDS: OF	<	TO CG:	29	SNOW CO	NDITION:	SMOOTH
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	29.66	ACFT LANDING	WT: 120,0	000	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY H	EADING:	080G
PREV. WINDS:	292/10	RECOMM. LDG	WT: 140,0	000 F	LAP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	
OAT:	-09	SKIWAY HEAD	ING: G26	3	TO DISTANCE:	7,000			
MISSION/SKIW	MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:								

POLAR MISSIC	OLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-099							LOCAL DATE: 7/11/2014			
PILOT 1 (AC	c): woo	D E	FLIG	SHT ENG	SINEER:	SAINSBURY J	MIS	SON SYMBO	о L: ТЗ ⁻	то	
PILOT	2: NEWT	ON P	L	OADMA	STER 1:	BOOTH J		GDSS	#: JAM113	803192	
NAVIGATO	R: PRIC	ED	L	OADMA	STER 2:	CERRONE G	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	191	
Alert- Al Kanger	-KG Neem-N				600		CODT	15.2	6007		
Raven-RV SCH-S	C Summit-SN	1 SU		14.4	SUR		SURI	IE 5	SURI	IE 4	
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ	Lci Date	//11	/14	LCI Date	//11/14					
	SORTIE #	#: SN	1-014		SM-	014R					
DEPA	RTURE ICAC	D: E	BGSF		BG	SM					
A	RRIVAL ICAC): В	GSM	BG		SSF					
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	1205			15					
ARRI	VAL TIME (Z): : :	L420		16	55					
FL	IGHT HOUR	5:	2.3		1	.7					
	DELAY										
	DELA										
	CANCE										
	ABOR										
IN-FLT UNFCST	WX IMPAC	T:									
UPLOAD INFOR	MATION	NYANG	G CP	S	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
	CARGO WT	: 0	11,0	10	0	12,400					
	FUEL WT	: 0	12,8	87	0	0					
тот	AL WT (LBS)	: 0	23,8	97	0	12,400					
	PAX #	: 0	19		0	0					
	FUEL GAL	: 0	1,84	41	0	0					
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATIO	N NYANG	G CP	s	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
	CARGO WT	: 0	11,0	10	0	12,400					
	FUEL WT	: 0	12,8	87	0	0					
тот	AL WT (LBS)	: 0	23,8	97	0	12,400					
	PAX #	: 0	19		0	0					
	FUEL GAL	: 0	1,84	41	0	0					
SKIWAY/FIELD		RKED?:	Yes	5		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes		
	ATO (# F	IRED):	0								
	LAND	ING DATA					TAKEO	FF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.:	UNR	ELEVA	TION:	10,526	ACFT 1	AKEOFF WT:	121	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	2	
ALT-CAMP:	29.97	THRESHOLD CO	ORDS:	OK		TO CG:	28	SNOW CO	NDITION:	SOFT	
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	29.97	ACFT LANDIN	G WT:	140		ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:	263	
PREV. WINDS:	170T/02	RECOMM. LD	GWT:	140	FI	AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:		
OAT:	-16	SKIWAY HEA	DING:	263	т	O DISTANCE:	10,000				
MISSION/SKIW	MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:										
SCNS REF LIBRA	SCNS REF LIBRARY WRONG GSM08 AND GSM26 ARE			ARE RE	VERSED II	N SCNS! PUT		5			

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	LAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-105							2014
PILOT 1 (AC): NEWTON	Ρ	FLIGHT EI	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	SON SYMBO	ю L: ТЗ'	то
PILOT 2: SCHONGALL	AM	LOADN	ASTER 1:	JAMES D		GDSS	#: DUNGM	TA0G195
NAVIGATOR: NOVAK	Г	LOADN	ASTER 2: PR	EYER-BLAKE	NY AIF	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	191
Alert-AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SORT	1E 1	SORT	TE 2	SORT	TIE 3	SOR	TIE 4
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	Lcl Date	7/14/14	Lcl Date	7/14/14	Lcl Date	7/14/14		
				,, - ·				
SORTIE #:	RV-C	027	SM-	015	SM-0	15R		
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BGS	SF	BG	SF	BGS	SM		
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BGS	SF	BGS	SM	BG	SF		
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	135	50	200	05	223	30		
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	155	1550		55	002	20		
FLIGHT HOURS:	2.0	0	1.	8	1.	8		
DELAY:								
CANCEL:								
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:	ACT:							
UPLOAD INFORMATION	PLOAD INFORMATION NYANG			CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	0	0	0	0	3,230		
FUEL WT:	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	0	0	0	0	3,230		
PAX #:	0	0	0	0	0	3		
FUEL GAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0		
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	0	0	0	0	3,230		
FUEL WT:	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	0	0	0	0	3,230		
PAX #:	0	0	0	0	0	3		
FUEL GAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:			
ATO (# FIRI	ED):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 010	ELEVATIO	N: 0	ACFT T/	KEOFF WT:	0	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	5
ALT-CAMP: 29.63 THE	CET LANDING M	DS:		TO CG:	24.7	SNOW CO	NDITION: OSE/	STICKY/FRE
PREV. WINDS: T100/08 R	ECOMM, LDG V	/T: 0	FLA	ATO KIAS:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	13000
OAT: -9 5	OAT: -9 SKIWAY HEADING:			DISTANCE:	15,000			
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:								

MEDIVAC CREW NEWTON; SCHONGALLA, PRICE, HUARD, JAMES AND PREYER-BLAKNEY

ePMS (040514)

POLAR MISSI	ON SUMMAR	Y GG-20	14-108				LOCAL DAT	TE: 7/16,	2014
PILOT 1 (A	c): SOUZA	с	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	GUTHINGER I	3 MIS	SON SYMBC	DL: ME	бCA
PILOT	2: GODFREY	D	LOADN	ASTER 1:	PETERS J		GDSS	#: JAM113	804197
NAVIGATO	R: LEIMBACI	HR	LOADN	ASTER 2:	FISHER J	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	490
Alert- AL Kanger	r-KG Neem-NM	SOP	TIE 1	50		SOPT	16.3	SOP	
Raven-RV SCH-	SC Summit-SM	I cl Date	7/16/14	I cl Date	7/16/14	50111		301	
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ		//10/14		//10/14				
	SORTIE #:	SM	-016	SM	-016R				
DEPA	ARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	B	GSM				
A	RRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	В	GSF				
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	10	40	1	400				
ARRI	IVAL TIME (Z):	12	35	1	540				
FL	IGHT HOURS:	1.9			1.7				
	DELAY:	FUE	LING						
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST	WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFOR	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARCOMIT	0	10 690	0	12 220		0.0		0.0
	EIIEI WT	0	8 092		15,550				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	18 782	0	13 330				
	PAX #:		30	0	13				
FUEL GAL:		0	1.156	0	0				
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	10.690	0	13.330				
	FUEL WT:	0	8,092	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	18,782	0	13,330				
	PAX #:	0	30	0	13				
	FUEL GAL:	0	1,156	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD	D CAMP MARK	ED?:			ACL	DELIVERED:			
	ATO (# FIR	ED):	0						
	LANDING	G DATA				TAKEC	OFF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:	1600	ELEVAT	ON: 10,5	20 ACFT	TAKEOFF WT:	125	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP:	29.42 TH	RESHOLD COOI	RDS: OK	¢	TO CG:	27.9	SNOW CO	NDITION:	STICKY
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	29.42	ACFT LANDING	WT: 13	5	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:	082
OAT:	-8	SKIWAY HEAD	NG: 08	2	TO DISTANCE:	7.000	AIRDROP	COORDS:	
MISSION/SKIM		rc.		-					
MISSION/SKIW		<u>.</u>							
HAD TO WAIT F	FOR SKIER 32 TO	O LAND FOR	DV PICKUP						

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY	POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-109						TE: 7/16/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC): ROSS A		FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	SON SYMBC	DL: M6	CA
PILOT 2: JACOBSON	1 1	LOADN	ASTER 1:	MORGAN R		GDSS	#: JAM113	805197
NAVIGATOR: ENDRES	J	LOADN	ASTER 2:	CERRONE G	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	91
Alert-Al Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOB	TIE 1	COD		CODT	15.2		
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	SUR		SUR		SORI	IE 3	SORI	IE 4
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	LCI Date	//16/14	LCI Date	//16/14				
SORTIE #:	SM-	017	SM-0	017R				
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BGSM					
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BGSF					
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	11	55	15	20				
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	ARRIVAL TIME (Z): 1345		17	00				
FLIGHT HOURS:	1	.8	1.	7				
DELAV	5115							
DELAT:	DELAY: FUELING							
CANCEL:	CANCEL:							
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	о	0	O	11,730				
FUEL WT:	0	23,303	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	23,303	0	11,730				
PAX #:	0	0	0	9				
FUEL GAL:	0	3,329	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	0	0	11,730				
FUEL WT:	0	23,303	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	23,303	0	11,730				
PAX #:	0	0	0	9				
FUEL GAL:	0	3,329	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL I	DELIVERED:		Yes	
ATO (# FIRI	ED):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 1000/1 MILE	ELEVATI	ON: 10,5	28 ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	120,500	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	2
ALT-CAMP: 29.41 THE	ESHOLD COOF	DS: Needs U	pdate	TO CG:	26.5	SNOW CO	NDITION:)OR/	NIL LT SNO
PREV WINDS: 058/18 R		WT: 140	n FL	ATO KIAS:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	0826
OAT: -9 5	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 082	G TO	DISTANCE:	126,000	AINDIO	000003.	
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENT	5:							
DELAYED FOR FLIEL TRUCK (30	MINS)							

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-112							E: 7/17/	2014
PILOT 1 (AC): SCHONGALL	AM	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	HUARD M	MIS	SON SYMBO	L: M6	CA
PILOT 2: NEWTON	Р	LOADN	ASTER 1:	FISHER J		GDSS	#: JAM113	806198
NAVIGATOR: PRICE D		LOADN	ASTER 2:	PETERS J	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	91
Alert-AL Kanger-KG Neem-NM	SOR	FIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	1E 3	SORT	1E 4
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM Thule-TL Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	7/17/14	Lcl Date	7/17/14				
SOPTIE #1	SM	019	SM	1190				
DEPARTURE ICAO	BG	SE	BG	SM				
ARRIVAL ICAO	BG	SM	BO	SE				
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	10	55	15	45				
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	130	00	17	35				
FLIGHT HOURS:	2.	1	1	.8				
		-	_					
DELAY:								
CANCEL:								
ABORT:								
IN-FLI UNFCSI WX IMPACI:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	1,800	0	6,240				
FUEL WT:	0	18,830	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	20,630	0	6,240				
FUEL GAL	0	2 690		0				
	NIVANC	2,000	NIVANC	CDC	NIVANC	CDC	NIVANC	CDC
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	INTAING	1 000	INTAING	CP3	INTAING	CF3	INTAING	CF3
ELIFI WT:	0	18 830	0	0,240				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	20.630	0	6.240				
PAX #:	0	6	0	14				
FUEL GAL:	0	2,690	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes	
ATO (# FIRE	D):	0						
LANDING	DATA				TAKEC	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 008/1600M	ELEVATIO	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	117	# TAKEOF	F SLIDES:	6
ALT-CAMP: 29.36 THR	ESHOLD COOR	DS:		TO CG:	27.7	SNOW CO	NDITION: FRE	SH/STICKY
ALT-AIRCRAFT: 29.36 AG		NT: 140 NT: 140) N FI	ATO KIAS:	0			082G
OAT: -8 S	KIWAY HEADI	NG: 236	G T	O DISTANCE:	8,000	Antonor		
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS	5:							
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS: APPR END SWY 26 TO MIDFIED VERY SLOW AND STICKY (USELESS) CROSSWINDS!!! AFTER 5 SLIDES, DOWNLOADED 2 PALLETS, TOOK OFF 115.0 ON 6TH TRY. INITIAL 5 SLIDES WERE HEAVIER THAN ANTICIPATED DUE TO FUEL SYSTEM MALFUNCTION RESULTING IN EXTRA FUEL IN #3 MAIN SHUTDOWN TO DIP TANKS, DOWNLOAD CARGO AND TRANSFER FUEL LED TO SUCCESS ON NEXT TAKEOFF SLIDE.								

POLAR MISSI	ON SUMMARY	GG-20:	14-124				LOCAL DAT	E: 7/20/2	2014
PILOT 1 (A	C): NEWTON	P	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	DUMOND C	MISS	ON SYMBO	L: M60	CA
PILOT	2: MARCHEGIA	NI D	LOADN	ASTER 1:	JAMES D		GDSS #	#: JAM1138	806201
NAVIGATO	OR: PRICE D	1	LOADN	ASTER 2: PF	REYER-BLAKE	NY AIRC	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	91
Alert- AL Kange	r-KG Neem-NM	SOR	TIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	IE 3	SORT	1E 4
Raven-RV SCH- Thule-TL	SC Summit-SM Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	7/20/14	Lcl Date	7/20/14				
	SORTIE #:	SM-	019	SM-0	019R				
DEP	ARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM				
A	RRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	13	10	16	15				
ARR	VAL TIME (Z):	15	10	17	55				
FI	IGHT HOURS:	2.	0	1	.7				
	DELAY:								
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST	WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFO	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	3,489	0	14,880				
	FUEL WT:	0	19,663	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	23,152	0	14,880				
	PAX #:	0	5	0	14				
	FUEL GAL:	0	2,809	0	0				
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	3,489	0	14,880				
	FUEL WT:	0	19,663	0	0				
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	23,152	0	14,880				
	PAX #:	0	5	0	14				
	FUEL GAL:	0	2,809	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELI	O CAMP MARKE	D?:	Yes		ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes	
	ATO (# FIR	ED):	0						
	LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.:		ELEVATI	ON: 0	ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	125	# TAKEOF	F SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP:	30.03 THE	CET LANDING	NT· 140	'n	ATO KIAS	24	SKIWAY H	IFADING: HARD	082G
PREV. WINDS:	191/03T R	ECOMM. LDG	NT: 140) FL	AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:	
OAT:	-9C	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 263	3 T	DISTANCE:	10,000			
MISSION/SKIM	AY COMMENT	S:							

CAMP REQUESTED STOP FUEL DOWNLOAD AS THEY HAD NOT PREPARED 2 BLADDERS AND THEY COULDN'T TAKE ANYMORE.

APPROX 20 MIN DELAY ON GROUND DUE TO INSUFFICIENT CARGO PREPARATION. LOADMASTERS HAD TO RE-CHAIN PROPANE CAGES (SK34) SINCE TWO CAGES WERE ON 1 PALLET. EACH CAGE REQUIRES INDIVIDUAL RESTRAINT.

ePMS (040514)

POLAR MISSI	POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-137						LOCAL DATE: 8/14/2014			
PILOT 1 (AC): TATANGELO D FLIGHT E			NGINEER:	HUARD M	MISSON SYMBOL: M6CA			CA		
PILOT	2: PANZERA	D	LOADN	IASTER 1:	BOWDEN		GDSS	#: JAM101	702226	
NAVIGATO	R: COONRAD	TA	LOADN	ASTER 2:	GREGORY K	AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 304	490	
Alert-AL Kange	r-KG Neem-NM	SOB	TIE 1	SOB		SOPT	16.2	SOPT		
Raven-RV SCH-	SC Summit-SM	Lel Data	0/1//1/	Lel Data	0/1/1/1/	SORI	IL S	JOR	116 4	
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ		0/14/14		0/14/14					
	SORTIE #:	SM-	020	SM-0	020R					
DEP	ARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM					
A	RRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF					
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	15	10	17	50					
ARR	VAL TIME (Z):	17	00	19	40					
FL	IGHT HOURS:	1.	8	1	.8					
	DELAY									
	CANCEL									
	ABODT									
	ABORT:									
IN-FLI UNFCS	WX INPACT:									
UPLOAD INFO	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
	CARGO WT:	0	6,500	0	11,000					
	FUEL WT:	0	18,655	0	0					
101	AL WT (LBS):	0	25,155	0	11,000					
	PAX #:	0	0	0	0					
	FUEL GAL:	0	2,665	0	0					
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	
	CARGO WT:	0	6,500	0	11,000					
	FUEL WT:	0	18,655	0	0					
тот	AL WT (LBS):	0	25,155	0	11,000					
	PAX #:	0	0	0	0					
	FUEL GAL:	U	2,665	U	0					
SKIWAY/FIELI	CAMP MARK	ED?:	0		ACL	DELIVERED:				
		-0).	U							
CELLINGAUS	LANDING	ELEVATI	ON: 10 5	40 ACETT	AKEOEE WT.	120,000	# TAKEO		1	
ALT-CAMP:	29.76 TH	RESHOLD COOF	DS:	ACTI	TO CG:	26.4	SNOW CO	NDITION:	good	
ALT-AIRCRAFT:	29.76	CFT LANDING	NT: 140,0	000	ATO KIAS:	0	SKIWAY	HEADING:	good	
PREV. WINDS:	253/6 F	RECOMM. LDG	NT: 140,0	000 FL	AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROP	COORDs:		
OAT:	-18c	SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 263	G T	D DISTANCE:	14				
MISSION/SKIW	AY COMMENT	<u>'S:</u>								

POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-138					LOCAL DATE: 8/15/2014			
PILOT 1 (AC): NIELSON J		FLIGHT EI	ENGINEER: HUBBLEY K		MISSON SYMBOL:		DL: M6	CA
PILOT 2: TATANGE	LO D	D D LOADMASTE		BOOTH J		GDSS #:		704227
NAVIGATOR: COONRA	DTA	TA LOADMAS		HASSIS D	AIRCRAFT TAIL #:		#: 30490	
Alert-Al Kanger-KG Neem-NM	COP	CODTIC 4				CODTIE 2		
Raven-RV SCH-SC Summit-SM	Jol Data	0/1E/14	Jol Data		SORI	IE 5	SORI	116 4
Thule-TL Other-ZZ	LCI Date	6/15/14	LCI Date a	5/15/14				
SORTIE #:	SM-	021	SM-0)21R				
DEPARTURE ICAO:	BG	ISF	BGS	5M				
ARRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPARTURE TIME (Z):	11	45	14	25				
ARRIVAL TIME (Z):	13	40	16	10				
FLIGHT HOURS:	1	.9	1.	7				
DELAV	FCT							
CANCEL.	FSI	VVA						
CANCEL								
ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	17,000	0	8,200				
FUEL WT:	0	15,148	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	32,148	0	8,200				
PAX #:	0	12	0	1				
FUEL GAL:	0	2,164	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CARGO WT:	0	17,000	0	8,200				
FUEL WT:	0	15,148	0	0				
TOTAL WT (LBS):	0	32,148	0	8,200				
PAX #:	0	12	0	1				
FUEL GAL:	0	2,164	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAMP MAR	ED?:			ACLI	DELIVERED:			
ATO (# FI	RED):	0						
LANDIN	G DATA				TAKEOFF DATA			
CEILING/VIS.: BRK	ELEVATI	ON: 10,52	28 ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	120,000	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	1
ALT-CAMP: 29.81 TH	ACET LANDING	WT: 1450	00	ATO KIAS	2/	SKIWAY	HEADING:	GOOD
PREV. WINDS: 137/4	RECOMM. LDG	WT: 145.0	100 FL	AP SETTING:	50	AIRDROF	COORDs:	
OAT: M20	SKIWAY HEADI	NG:	тс	DISTANCE:	6,500			
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMEN	TS:							
L								

POLAR MISSION SU	POLAR MISSION SUMMARY GG-2014-152							'E: 8/19	/2014
PILOT 1 (AC):	PILOT 1 (AC): SALA M FLIG		FLIGHT E	NGINEER: COLLINS C		MISSON SYMBOL:		L: M6CA	
PILOT 2:	MCKEON	М	LOADN	ASTER 1:	BOOTH J	GDSS #:		#: JAM10	1705231
NAVIGATOR:	GREY E		LOADN	IASTER 2:	GIACONIA E	B AIR	CRAFT TAIL	#: 30	491
Alert- AL Kanger-KG	Neem-NM	SOR	FIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	1E 3	SOR	TIE 4
Raven-RV SCH-SC Su Thule-TL Other-	-ZZ	Lcl Date	8/19/14	Lcl Date	8/19/14				
S	ORTIE #:	SM-	022	SM-0)22R				
DEPARTUR	RE ICAO:	BG	SF	BGS	SM				
ARRIVA	AL ICAO:	BG	SM	BG	SF				
DEPARTURE T	IME (Z):	11	40	16	10				
ARRIVALT	IME (Z):	13	35	18	05				
FLIGHT	HOURS:	1.	9	1.	9				
	DELAY:								
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST WX I	MPACT:								
UPLOAD INFORMAT	ION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CAR	GO WT:	0	5,000	O	3,000				
FU	JEL WT:	0	16,429	0	0				
TOTAL W	T (LBS):	0	21,429	0	3,000				
	PAX #:	0	0	0	5				
FU	EL GAL:	0	2,347	0	0				
DOWNLOAD INFORM	MATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
CAR	GO WT:	0	5,000	0	3,000				
FU	JEL WT:	0	16,429	0	0				
TOTAL W	T (LBS):	0	21,429	0	3,000				
	PAX #:	0	0	0	5				
FU	EL GAL:	0	2,347	0	0				
SKIWAY/FIELD CAN	IP MARKE	D?:			ACL	DELIVERED:			
A	TO (# FIRI	ED):	0						
	LANDING	DATA				TAKEO	FF DATA		
CEILING/VIS.: 3000	0/3	ELEVATI	DN: 10,5	28 ACFT T	AKEOFF WT:	116,000	# TAKEO	FF SLIDES:	10
ALT-CAMP: 30.	2 THR	CET LANDING	DS: NT: 145.0	00	TO CG:	28	SNOW CO	NDITION:	soft
PREV. WINDS: 3306	/10 R	ECOMM IDG	NT: 145,0	00 FL	APSETTING	70	AIRDROP	COORDS	2050
OAT: -8		SKIWAY HEADI	NG: 263	G TO	DISTANCE:	16,790	AMUNUT		
MISSION/SKIWAY COMMENTS:									

Soft smow and crosswinds delayed takeoff after 2 slides. Downloaded pallet 2, after 4 more slides downloaded pallet 1. finally got off after 10 slides

ePMS (040514)

POLAR MISSI	ON SUMMARY	GG-20	14-156				LOCAL DAT	E: 8/22,	/2014
PILOT 1 (A	C): MCKEON	М	FLIGHT E	NGINEER:	COLLINS C	MIS	SON SYMBO	L: ME	6CA
PILOT	2: MAUNZ	J	LOADN	ASTER 1:	BOOTH J		GDSS	#: JAM101	1706233
NAVIGATO	R: ENDRES	Î.	LOADN	ASTER 2:	MCCULLEN 1		CRAFT TAIL	#: 30	490
Raven-RV SCH-	SC Summit-SM	SOR	TIE 1	SOR	TIE 2	SORT	1E 3	SOR	TIE 4
Thule-TL	Other-ZZ	Lcl Date	8/22/14	Lcl Date	8/22/14				
	SORTIE #:	SM-	023	SM-	023R				
DEP	ARTURE ICAO:	BG	SF	BG	SM				
A	RRIVAL ICAO:	BG	SM	ВС	SF				
DEPART	URE TIME (Z):	10	00	13	15				
ARR	IVAL TIME (Z):	12	25	15	10				
FI	LIGHT HOURS:	2	4	1	9				
		_							
	DELAY:	N N	1X						
	CANCEL:								
	ABORT:								
IN-FLT UNFCST	T WX IMPACT:								
UPLOAD INFO	RMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	0	0	8,420				
	FUEL WT:	0	21,854	0	0				
тот	TAL WT (LBS):	0	21,854	0	8,420				
	PAX #:	0	0	0	22				
	FUEL GAL:	0	3,122	0	0				
DOWNLOAD IN	FORMATION	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS	NYANG	CPS
	CARGO WT:	0	0	0	8,420				
	CARGO WT: FUEL WT:	0	0 21,854	0	8,420 0				
тот	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS):	0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854	0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420				
тот	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: TAL WT (LBS): PAX #:	0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854 0	0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22				
тот	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL:	0 0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122	0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0				
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE	0 0 0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes	0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL	DELIVERED:		Yes	
TO1 SKIWAY/FIELI	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIR	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0	0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL 1	DELIVERED:		Yes	
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI	0 0 0 0 0 50?: ED):	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0	0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL 1	DELIVERED:	DEE DATA	Yes	
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.:	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC	0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): ED): ELEVATI	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6	0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL 1 TAKEOFF WT:	DELIVERED: TAKEO 125,000)FF DATA # TAKEOI	Yes FF SLIDES:	2
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP:	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIR) LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF	0 0 0 0 5 0 5 5 7 5 6 7 5 6 7 5 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 N: 10,6 RDS:	0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL 1 TAKEOFF WT: TO CG:	DELIVERED: <u>TAKEC</u> 125,000 28.9	<u>PFF DATA</u> # TAKEOI SNOW CO	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION:	2 POOR
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT:	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A	0 0 0 0 cD?: ED): DATA ELEVATI RESHOLD COOP CFT LANDING	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL 1 TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS:	DELIVERED: <u>TAKEC</u> 125,000 28.9 0	DFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS:	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I ACL I CAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7 500	DFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT:	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIR) LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S	0 0 0 0 ED?: ED): EDATA ELEVATI	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,(WT: 145,(NG: 263	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	PFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): DATA ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 NG: 263	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED,	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT MX REQUIRED.	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): ED): EDD: ELEVATH RESHOLD COOF CFT LANDING ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0N: 10,6 xDs: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 NG: 263	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DEF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes Ff slides: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT MX REQUIRED. (MASTER) GSM	0 0 0 0 0 ED?: ED): ED): EDATA ELEVATI RESHOLD COOF ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI SCIENCIAL COOF SCIENCIAL COOF SCIENCIAL COOF	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0N: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DEF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT , MX REQUIRED. (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): ED): EDATA ELEVATI ESHOLD COOF CFT LANDING ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SSI 08/GSM26 / ONLY ONE S	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0 0 0 10,6 RDS: WT: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263 ARE REVERS SLIDE NECE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I CAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	D <u>FF DATA</u> # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELI CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT MX REQUIRED. (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 ED?: ED): ED): EDD: ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 10,6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10,6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	PFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): EDD: ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI ELEVATI SCOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI S. 08/GSM26 / ONLY ONE S	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 ON: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263 ARE REVERS SLIDE NECE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE: SWY26	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DEF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT MX REQUIRED. (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): ED): EDD: ELEVATH RESHOLD COOF CFT LANDING ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SS (SM26 / ONLY ONE S	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0N: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263 ARE REVERS SLIDE NECE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I TAKEOFF WT: TO CG: ATO KIAS: AP SETTING: O DISTANCE:	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	PFF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 THF 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 ED?: ED): ED): ELEVATH RESHOLD COOF ELEVATH RESHOLD COOF SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SCORD (CARACTER) SKIWAY HEADI SCORD (CARACTER) SCORD (CARACTER) SCOR	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0N: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263 ARE REVERS SLIDE NECE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I SWY26	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DEF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G
TOT SKIWAY/FIELD CEILING/VIS.: ALT-CAMP: ALT-AIRCRAFT: PREV. WINDS: OAT: MISSION/SKIM INU #2 FAILED, CHECK REF LIB FIRST SLIDE WA	CARGO WT: FUEL WT: FUEL WT: FAL WT (LBS): PAX #: FUEL GAL: D CAMP MARKE ATO (# FIRI LANDING 3300 OVC 29.91 A 221/17 R -12 S VAY COMMENT (MASTER) GSM AS OFF SWY08.	0 0 0 0 0 5D?: ED): ED): EDTA ELEVATI ESHOLD COOF CFT LANDING ECOMM. LDG SKIWAY HEADI SKIWAY HEADI SSI 08/GSM26 / ONLY ONE S	0 21,854 21,854 0 3,122 Yes 0 0 0N: 10,6 RDS: WT: 142,0 WT: 142,0 WT: 145,0 NG: 263 ARE REVERS SLIDE NECE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,420 0 8,420 22 0 ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I ACL I SWY26	DELIVERED: 125,000 28.9 0 50 7,500	DEF DATA # TAKEOI SNOW CO SKIWAY I AIRDROP	Yes FF SLIDES: NDITION: HEADING: COORDs:	2 POOR 263G

REPORT DO		Form Approved					
Public reporting burden for this collection of information the data needed, and completing and reviewing this co reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washin 22202-4302 Respondents should be aware that ontwi	iewing instructions, se e or any other aspect of Reports (0704-0188), penalty for failing to co	OMB NO. 0704-0188 arching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining of this collection of information, including suggestions for 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA monly with a collection of information if it does not display					
a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NO 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE	ADDRESS.	3. D	ATES COVERED (From - To)			
July 2016	Technical Report/Final		0.5				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER					
Summit Station Skiway Cost A	5b.	5b. GRANT NUMBER					
	5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d.	PROJECT NUMBER			
Terry D. Melendy			5e.	TASK NUMBER			
			5f. V	WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. P N	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT			
U.S. Army Engineer Research and D Cold Regions Research and Engineer 72 Lyme Road Hanover NH 03755-1290	I	ERDC/CRREL TR-16-9					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGEN	CY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	5)	10.	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
National Science Foundation, Divisio	on of Polar Programs		1	NSF			
4201 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22230			11.	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STA Approved for public release; distribu	TEMENT tion is unlimited.						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
Engineering for Polar Operations, Lo	gistics, and Research (EPOL	AR)					
14. ABSTRACT Summit Station, Greenland, is how conducted for the National Science delivering over 400,000 lb of carg place from mid-April to August w	me to a 5120.6 \times 61.0 m (1 ve Foundation. The LC-13 go, personnel, and fuel to the the station is open for	$6,800 \times 200$ ft) s 0 aircraft is the p his remote location the summer sea	skiway that ac orimary airfran on. A majorit son.	ets as the lifeline for research me depended on, each season by of the research activities takes			
Over the past three seasons, the sl new equipment and techniques, re loads. To explore further skiway construction options based on oth this region. Additionally, we wer the cost associated with the skiwa that these metrics have been recor	ciway's ability to handle the esulting in fewer jet-assiste improvement and cost savi er skiways located in Gree e provided the entire seaso y, which allowed us to qua- ded and analyzed.	is frequency of f d takeoffs and lo ing techniques, t nland and alterna n's total labor as ntify the current	flights has inc onger periods his report revi ative available ssociated with and available	reased with the implementation of of maximum allowable cargo iews alternative maintenance and e aircraft that currently operate in the skiway operation and data for e options. This is the first time			
15. SUBJECT TERMS Cost Analysis, EPOLAR, Greenland, Summit Station	LC-130, Logistics, NEEM, N	NSF, Skiway cons	truction, Skiwa	y Standard Operating Procedure,			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include			

SAR

Unclassified

Unclassified

Unclassified

area code)

66