A Comparative Study of United States Service Members With and Without a History of Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalization on Post-Deployment Trauma, Depression, and Hazardous Alcohol Use Symptoms

by

Jennifer L. Bakalar

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Medical and Clinical Psychology Graduate Program Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Science 2014

The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy of the Department of Army/Navy/Air Force, Department of Defense, or U.S. Government

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project was made possible through the contribution and collaboration of many individuals. I would like to thank my thesis advisor, Dr. Marjan Holloway, whose guidance was instrumental in designing and conducting my Master's project. I also would like to thank my Master's committee members, Dr. Mark Ettenhofer and Dr. Jeffrey Goodie. Both have provided invaluable guidance and feedback that helped shape and strengthen this project.

I would like to thank Dr. Elisabeth Carlin for being my research partner over the past three years. I am not only grateful to acknowledge her as a collaborator, but also as a cherished friend and role model.

Many thanks to LTC Geoffrey Grammer for serving as the WRNMMC Principal Investigator on this project and to Mr. Germaine Allen and Mr. Christopher Davis for their assistance with the data acquisition process. From the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center, I would like to thank Drs. Angelia Eick-Cost and Hala Nsouli for their support of this project and for playing an integral role in data acquisition.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Cara Olsen and Ms. Kanchana Perera for their invaluable statistical guidance and Mr. Robert Wheeler for his assistance with WRNMMC and USUHS IRB procedures. I would also like to acknowledge the members of the Laboratory for the Treatment of Suicide-Related Ideation and Behavior for their ongoing support.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my family, the 2010 MPS cohort, and my friends who have provided unconditional support not only over the course of this project, but throughout the entirety of my graduate education.

### **DEDICATION**

For P and all of the men and women in uniform who defend the freedom of the United States of America.



# UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES F. EDWARD HÉBERT SCHOOL OF MEDICINE 4301 JONES BRIDGE ROAD BETHESDA, MARYLAND 20814-4799



September 17, 2013

#### GRADUATE PROGRAMS IN THE BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Ph.D. Degrees

Interdisciplinary

-Emerging Infectious Diseases

-Molecular & Cell Biology

-Neuroscience

Departmental

-Clinical Psychology

-Environmental Health Sciences

-Medical Psychology

-Medical Zoology

Physician Scientist (MD/Ph.D.)

Doctor of Public Health (Dr.P.H.)

Master of Science Degrees

-Public Health

**Masters Degrees** 

-Military Medical History

-Public Health

-Tropical Medicine & Hygiene

Graduate Education Office

Eleanor S. Metcalf, Ph.D., Associate Dean Bettina Arnett, Support Specialist Roni Bull, Support Specialist

Web Site

http://www.usuhs.mil/graded http://usuhs.mil/geo/gradpgm\_index.html

E-mail Address

graduateprogram a usulis mil

Phone Numbers

Commercial: 301-295-9474 Toll Free: 800-772-1747 DSN: 295-9474 FAX: 301-295-6772 APPROVAL SHEET

Title of Thesis: "A Comparative Study of United States Service

Members With and Without a History of Inpatient Psychiatric

Hospitalization on Post-Deployment Trauma, Depression, and

Hazardous Alcohol Use Symptoms"

Name of Candidate:

Jennifer L. Bakalar

Medical & Clinical Psychology

Master of Science September 17, 2013

Thesis and Abstract Approved:

Marjor G Holloway, Ph.D.

Marjan G. Holloway, Ph.D.

Department of Medical & Clinical Psychology

Thesis Advisor

Mark Ettenhofer, Ph.D.

Department of Medical & Clinical Psychology

Committee Member

Jeffrey Goodie, Ph.D., ABPP

Department of Medical & Clinical Psychology

(secondary appointment) Committee Member  $\frac{9\left(18\right)13}{\text{Date}}$ 

9/18/2013

1859+13 Date

#### **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

The author hereby certifies that the use of any copyrighted material in the thesis manuscript entitled:

A Comparative Study of United States Service Members With and Without a History of Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalization on Post-Deployment Trauma, Depression, and Hazardous Alcohol Use Symptoms

is appropriately acknowledged and, beyond brief excerpts, is with the permission of the copyright owner.

Jennifer L. Bakalar

September 27, 2013

#### **ABSTRACT**

A Comparative Study of United States Service Members With and Without a History of Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalization on Post-Deployment Trauma, Depression, and Hazardous Alcohol Use Symptoms

Jennifer L. Bakalar, MS, 2014

Thesis directed by: Marjan G. Holloway, Ph.D, Associate Professor, Medical & Clinical Psychology

Background: The prevalence of mental disorders in the United States (U.S.) military has increased significantly since the onset of Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) in 2001 and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in 2003 and mental disorders are currently the leading reason for admission among U.S. service members. However, despite the growing population of psychiatrically hospitalized service members, no studies to date have examined how these service members compare to the general military population on prevalence of psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use following deployment. Purpose: This study compared a sample of U.S. service members with and without a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization to determine 1) if the two samples differed on psychiatric symptoms as reported on post-deployment health assessments (PDHA) and post-deployment health reassessments (PDHRA), 2) if PTSD and MDD screens on the PDHA would predict hazardous alcohol use screen outcome on the PDHRA, and (3) if

PDHA PTSD and MDD screens would moderate the relationship between inpatient psychiatric history and the PDHRA hazardous alcohol use screen. Methods: Data for two samples of U.S. service members with and without a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization was obtained from the Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS) for this retrospective cohort study. Chi-square and logistic regression analyses were conducted to test study hypotheses. Results: Previously psychiatrically hospitalized service members demonstrated significantly higher rates of positive PDHA PTSD screens (10.6% versus 4.1%) and MDD screens (12.6% versus 3.7%) and PDHRA hazardous alcohol use screens (8.9% versus 4.1%) than controls. PDHA-reported MDD and PTSD screens did not significantly predict PDHRA TICS alcohol screen in either sample. Finally, PDHA PTSD and MDD screens did not moderate the relationship between prior inpatient psychiatric history and PDHRA hazardous use alcohol screen. Conclusion: U.S. service members with a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization who deploy following discharge report significantly higher rates of post-deployment PTSD, MDD, and AUD symptoms, although this study did not find support for PTSD and MDD as unique predictors of subsequent hazardous alcohol use. These findings highlight previously psychiatrically hospitalized service members as a vulnerable subset of the military population warranting careful mental health assessment surrounding deployment. Implications of using the PDHA and PDHRA to screen for psychiatric disorders in this population following deployment are discussed.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	12
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND	14
Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalizations in the U.S. Military	14
Burden of Psychiatric Hospitalization for the U.S. Military	15
Characteristics of U.S. Service Members Admitted for Inpatient Psychi	
Disposition Following Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalizations in the U.S Military	
Psychiatric Consequences of Deployment	18
Hazardous Alcohol Use and Alcohol Use Disorders in the U.S. Military	19
Alcohol use and deployment	20
Comorbid Psychiatric and Substance Use Disorders	
Comorbid PTSD and Alcohol Use Disorders	
Etiologic models of comorbid PTSD and alcohol use disorders	24
Comorbid Depression and Alcohol Use Disorders	
Purpose and Significance	
Aims and Hypotheses	28
CHAPTER 3: METHODS	29
Research Design	29
Study Population	30
Study Procedures	30
Case Selection for Group 1 from the Former WRAMC Medical Record	ls30
Data Extraction – DMSS	31
Case selection for Group 2 – DMSS	32
Deployment-related data	
Study Databases	33

Measures	34
Post-Deployment Health Assessment (PDHA)	34
Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHRA)	36
Human Subjects Protection	37
Data Analytic Approach	38
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	41
Overall sample characteristics	41
Group 1 Characteristics	41
Group 2 Characteristics	43
Observed Differences Between Groups	43
Results for Aim 1	44
Results for Aim 2	44
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	46
Summary and Interpretation of Study Findings	46
Limitations	51
Strengths	52
Clinical Implications and Future Directions	53
Future Directions	56
APPENDIX A: VARIABLES PROVIDED BY AFHSC	66
APPENDIX B: DD 2796 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH ASSESSMENT, 2003 VERSION	
APPENDIX C: DD 2796 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH ASSESSMENT, 2008 VERSION	72
APPENDIX D: DD 2900 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH REASSESSMEN 2005 VERSION	
APPENDIX E: DD 2900 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH REASSESSMEN 2008 VERSION	,
REFERENCES	88

### LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.</b> Descriptive statistics for Group 1 with an inpatient psychiatric history $(N = 246)$ and Group 2 with no inpatient psychiatric history $(N = 246)$
<b>Table 2</b> . Documented psychiatric diagnoses for Group 1 (N = 246)60
<b>Table 3</b> . PDHA PTSD and MDD screen and PDHRA TICS alcohol screen results among inpatient (Group 1; N=246) and control (Group 2; N=246) cases
<b>Table 4</b> . Summary of unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) from history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (N = 492)
<b>Table 5</b> . Summary of unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) in inpatient cases (Group 1; N = 246) .63
<b>Table 6.</b> Summary of logistic regression model predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) in control cases (Group 2; N = 246)
<b>Table 7</b> . Summary of logistic regression model testing moderation of inpatient psychiatric history and TICS score by PTSD and Depression screens (N = 492)65

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Identification of Group	1 cases based on WRAMC psychiatric admission date	S
of 2001-2010	5	8

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

Since the onset of Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) in 2001 and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in 2003, mental disorders have become a significant concern for the United States (U.S.) military, carrying implications for the health and well-being of U.S. service members as well as overall force readiness. According to the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (1), mental disorders became the leading admission reason for inpatient hospitalizations in the U.S. military as of 2010 and rates of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization have continued to rise since then (3). However, despite the increasing rates of mental health-related inpatient hospitalizations in the U.S. military, there is a dearth of empirical research examining the impact of continued military service on psychiatric morbidity in previously hospitalized service members who return to duty after discharge. Further, there have been no published studies to date examining the association between deployment and psychological functioning of previously psychiatrically hospitalized service members.

Deployment is one of the most stressful experiences associated with military service during the OEF/OIF era due to the high operational tempo and hostile environment (55). In nonclinical populations, there is robust empirical support for the psychiatric consequences of OEF/OIF deployment(s), including high rates of reported symptoms of mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders on post-deployment health assessments in both the active (30, 47) and reserve components (5). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that a known clinical sample of service members would be more vulnerable to these deleterious consequences of deployment, which may further

jeopardize their health, their military careers, and the broad Department of Defense (DoD) mission (74).

The broad objective of this thesis is to address the aforementioned gaps in the scientific literature pertaining to psychiatric outcomes for active duty U.S. service members who are admitted for inpatient hospitalization, return to duty, and deploy following discharge from the hospital. Specifically, this thesis examined the psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use behaviors reported by U.S. service members who deploy following an inpatient psychiatric admission as compared to a sample of U.S. service members with no such history. The findings from this study will contribute to the scientific literature on the psychiatric consequences of deployment in support of OEF/OIF, which may be used to inform research and clinical treatment efforts to address the unique needs of this subset of the military population.

This Master's thesis manuscript is divided into six sections. These sections include: 1) Background; 2) Purpose and Significance; 3) Aims and Hypotheses; 4) Methods; 5) Results; and 6) Discussion. The Background section provides an overview of the significance of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization in the U.S. military, followed by a review of the literature on: 1) the psychiatric consequences of deployment with a focus on the development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), major depressive disorder (MDD), and alcohol use disorders (AUD); and 2) the implications of comorbid psychiatric disorders and AUDs that are relevant to the subset of the military population who have an inpatient psychiatric history. The Purpose and Significance section summarizes gaps in the current scientific literature relating to how U.S. service members with an inpatient psychiatric history compare to U.S. service members with no such

history with respect to psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use behaviors reported following deployment including a description of how the present study addressed these gaps. The Aims and Hypotheses section outlines the specific objectives and predicted findings of this thesis. The Methods section outlines the research design, sample, measures, procedures, human subjects protection, and data analytic approach for the study. The Results section describes the data analytic procedures in additional detail as well as the findings for the hypotheses associated with each specific aim. The Discussion section provides a summary of the study findings, an interpretation of the results in the context of the scientific literature including research and clinical implications, a discussion of study limitations and strengths, and proposed future directions for further research.

#### **CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND**

#### INPATIENT PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALIZATIONS IN THE U.S. MILITARY

Psychiatric disorders are an increasing concern for the DoD and the Armed Forces, especially given that psychiatric hospitalizations are significantly more likely to lead to premature separation from the military than hospitalization for a physical illness or injury (29, 30). Psychiatric hospitalization rates have increased sharply and steadily over the last decade since the onset of conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. According to the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (2), the overall rate of hospitalization for any reason in the military in active component members has increased by approximately 8% to 59.3/1,000 person-years during the OEF/OIF war period (defined as October 2001-June 2012) as compared to 54.9/1,000 person-years during the pre-war period (defined as January 1998-August 2001). Psychiatric hospitalizations have increased by 35% during

the OEF/OIF period and mental disorders accounted for approximately two-thirds of the total excess hospitalizations documented during that time; injury/poisoning-related events combined with mental disorders accounted for approximately 90% of all additional inpatient bed days (2). In light of this disproportionately large increase in inpatient psychiatric hospitalizations during the OEF/OIF era, research and clinical efforts are increasingly being focused on addressing the mental health needs and psychological well-being of U.S service members (4).

#### Burden of Psychiatric Hospitalization for the U.S. Military

In aggregate, the top four leading psychiatric diagnoses in active duty U.S. service members in 2012 (substance abuse disorders, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and adjustment disorders) accounted for approximately 44% of hospital bed days for all inpatient hospitalizations in active duty personnel. All mental disorders combined accounted for approximately half (49.6%) of hospital bed days (4); the remaining bed days were accounted for by physical injury or medical conditions. Between the service branches, the U.S. Army reports approximately twice as much duty time lost due to inpatient psychiatric hospitalization than the U.S. Marine Corps, and triple the amount of all other branches. In total, 573 person-years of lost duty time were reported in 2010 due to psychiatric hospitalization (1).

The annual number of hospital bed days attributed to the four leading mental disorders has risen sharply over the past four years. Between 2001-2006, numbers remained relatively stable between approximately 75,000-80,000 annual hospital bed days for mental disorders. In 2007, hospital bed days rose to over 100,000, which more than doubled to over 200,000 hospital bed days for mental disorders in 2010 (1). In contrast, annual hospital bed days attributed to maternal conditions, now the second

leading cause of hospitalization in the U.S. military, has remained stable at approximately 70,000 between 2001-2010, which translated to 178 person-years of lost duty in 2010 (1).

Psychiatric treatment poses a significant burden on individual service members, their families, military peers, and leadership; furthermore, the delivery of such care in a timely and effective manner places tremendous pressure on providers and the healthcare system within the DoD (74). Attrition of service members who experience psychiatric problems is another concern that poses both emotional (e.g., unit morale) as well as financial (e.g., loss of a trained service member) consequences for the DoD. More specifically, service members who are admitted for inpatient psychiatric hospitalization are over five times more likely to separate from military service within three months of discharge and approximately twice as likely to separate from military service within two years of discharge than service members who are hospitalized for medical reasons (29, 30), which represents a disproportionately high loss of manpower in this subset of the military population.

# Characteristics of U.S. Service Members Admitted for Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalization

In general, inpatient psychiatric hospitalization in the U.S. military tends to be associated with being young, single, and female (29). However, although the population of service members admitted for psychiatric hospitalization contains a greater proportion of females as compared to the military as a whole (24% versus 15%; 4, 12), approximately three quarters of the inpatient psychiatric patient population are male.

Among male service members psychiatrically admitted for mental disorders in 2012, the four most frequent diagnoses were adjustment reaction (38%), alcohol dependence (13%), anxiety disorders (13%), and mood disorders (10%). Among female

service members admitted for mental disorders in 2012, the four most frequent psychiatric diagnoses were adjustment reaction (38%), anxiety disorders (17%), episodic mood disorders (17%) and mood disorders (10%). Alcohol dependence was the fifth leading diagnosis for active duty females, accounting for 6% of psychiatric hospitalizations (4).

Out of the service branches, the U.S. Army had the highest rate of psychiatric hospitalizations for mental disorders out of all diagnostic categories within the service branch (28.1 per 1,000 person-years), followed by the Marine Corps (11.9 per 1,000 person-years), Air Force (11.3 per 1,000 person-years), Navy (10.4 per 1,000 person-years), and Coast Guard (8.2 per 1,000 person-years). Only the U.S. Army and Marine Corps mental disorder hospitalization rates were greater than the hospitalization rates reported for diagnostic categories associated with pregnancy and childbirth (4).

# Disposition Following Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitalizations in the U.S. Military

Despite the increasing rates of inpatient psychiatric hospitalizations in the military, there is a limited body of scientific literature describing the clinical and occupational outcomes for OEF/OIF-era active and reserve component service members who received inpatient psychiatric care at some point during their military service. However, one of the findings that has remained stable over time is the increased likelihood of separation from the military following an inpatient psychiatric admission. In the pre-OEF/OIF period, Hoge and colleagues (32) found that of a sample of soldiers hospitalized in 1998 with a primary discharge diagnosis of a mental disorder, nearly half left military service within six months, two-thirds had left military service within two years, and only one third remained in service beyond two years. In contrast, of a sample

of soldiers hospitalized for medical reasons, 11% left military service within six months of discharge, 28% left in the first two years after discharge, and 72% remained in service more than two years after discharge from hospitalization. Further, the soldiers who were psychiatrically hospitalized were significantly more likely to be separated involuntarily as compared to the soldiers who were hospitalized for other reasons. Hoge and colleagues (30) found similar patterns of attrition in a sample of OEF/OIF veterans who deployed between 2003-2004.

#### PSYCHIATRIC CONSEQUENCES OF DEPLOYMENT

The occupational implications of psychiatric hospitalization combined with the increasing admission rates during the OEF/OIF era highlight the importance of addressing the psychiatric consequences of deployment. Psychiatric disorders are one of the leading reasons that service members are evacuated from theater. Between 2004-2007, 5% of service members deployed in support of OIF and 6% of service members deployed in support of OEF were medically evacuated from theater (for any reason), with approximately one third of all evacuees returning to full duty (20). Psychiatric disorders were the fourth leading reason for a medical evacuation behind musculoskeletal, combat, and neurological injuries, representing 9-10% of all medical evacuations. However, only 8% of service members evacuated for psychiatric disorders returned to full duty (20, 76). Rundell (60) obtained similar findings, with only 6% of psychiatric evacuees returning to full duty.

Along with the significant number of service members evacuated from theater for mental health reasons, the broad psychiatric sequelae of deployment have acquired increased attention in military clinical research and practice during the OEF/OIF era. In

addition to physical injuries and hazardous environmental exposures, service members are reporting a range of psychiatric symptoms associated with mental disorders including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and major depressive disorder (MDD) upon return from deployment (25, 31, 54).

Further, research has shown that the endorsement of psychosocial stressors and psychiatric symptoms increases over time during the reintegration period. Among active duty soldiers, Milliken and colleagues (47) found a four-fold increase in the frequency of interpersonal conflict, over a two-fold increase in MDD symptoms, and a 40% increase in positive PTSD screens as reported on post-deployment health reassessments (PDHRAs) as compared to the post-deployment health assessment (PDHA), which is completed immediately following the return from deployment, approximately three months before the PDHRA. Among the National Guard and Reserve components, these increases were significantly higher. It remains unclear whether these findings are better explained by a lower prevalence of stressors and symptoms in the period immediately following deployment or an under-reporting of stressors or symptoms due to the desire to avoid a delay in returning home.

#### HAZARDOUS ALCOHOL USE AND ALCOHOL USE DISORDERS IN THE U.S. MILITARY

In parallel to the growing problem of psychiatric disorders, hazardous alcohol use and alcohol use disorders (AUD) have also been a significant problem for the U.S. military during the OEF/OIF era, which is especially concerning in individuals with comorbid psychiatric symptoms and disorders. Historically, alcohol consumption has been deeply embedded in U.S. military culture as a means to cope with stress and as a staple in social settings (6), which is compounded by the traditionally wide availability of alcohol on military installations. However, during the Vietnam War era, the prevalence

and extent of alcohol consumption raised concerns in the DoD, which contributed to a comprehensive investigation of the problem and a series of DoD Directives (DoDDs) issued in the early 1970s addressing illicit drug and alcohol abuse. Over time, the DoDDs were believed to be highly effective at reducing rates of illicit drug use, but rates of heavy alcohol consumption have remained steady.

According to the 2008 DoD Survey of Health Related Behaviors in Active Duty Personnel (12, 13), there was a statistically significant decrease in illicit drug use from 27.6% of active duty service members in 1980 to 3.4% in 2002, which was attributed to the strict enforcement of the DoDDs. In contrast, heavy drinking (defined as five or more drinks on a typical occasion, at least once per week), was reported in 20.8% of active duty service members in 1980 and 18.5% in 2005, which is not a statistically significant decrease (13).

In the anonymous 2011 DoD Health Related Behaviors Survey (8), the definition of heavy drinking use was revised to be the consumption of at least 14 drinks per week for males and at least 7 drinks per week for females. The average consumption of 4 to 14 drinks per week for males and 4 to 7 drinks per week for females was defined as moderate drinking. According to the new definitions, 8.4 % of active duty service members reported being heavy drinkers and 17.5% reported being moderate drinkers (8).

#### Alcohol use and deployment

Although alcohol consumption is prohibited for service members while deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan according to General Order Number 1 (GO1), which was released in 1990, and its most recent revision, GO-1C (United States Central Command, 2013; 73), alcohol use is prevalent among service members returning from deployment (13, 14). Deployment in support of OEF/OIF has been associated with increased rates of

reported alcohol use (31), especially for service members who report combat exposure on post-deployment assessments (36, 75). Hoge and colleagues (31) found that Army soldiers were over 50% more likely to endorse the subjective statements of alcohol misuse that comprise the Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS; 16) for alcohol abuse (i.e., "Have you used alcohol more than you meant to?" and "Have you felt you wanted or needed to cut back on your drinking?") after deployment as compared to responses collected before deployment; Marines were 2.7-2.9 times more likely to endorse these statements after deployment. However, while the Marines demonstrate the highest self-reported rates of heavy drinking behaviors across all service branches (8), the Army demonstrates a markedly higher rate of AUDs than all other services, which may be related to the increased likelihood of immediate separation without treatment for AUDs in the Marine Corps (49).

Heavy drinking is reported significantly more often by male service members than by female service members and by enlisted personnel more often than commissioned officers (8, 14). The consequences of hazardous alcohol use in service members and Veterans include higher rates of impulsive and reckless behavior (8, 62, 75) and decreased productivity (14). Santiago and colleagues (62) found that soldiers who screened positive for alcohol misuse by endorsing at least one item on the TICS (16) were five times more likely to endorse drinking and driving and nearly six times more likely to ride as a passenger with a drunk driver as compared to soldiers who did not endorse either item. Statistically significant predictors of alcohol-related behaviors in this study included male gender, junior enlisted status, and reserve or National Guard component, although reserve component and National Guard soldiers were found to be 66% less

likely than their active duty counterparts to receive a referral for alcohol-related treatment (62).

Despite concerning rates of post-deployment hazardous alcohol use, most service members who report alcohol concerns are not referred for treatment. Milliken and colleagues (47) found that while soldiers openly endorsed alcohol misuse at about the same rates as they endorsed mental health concerns, only 2% of soldiers who endorsed hazardous alcohol use on a PDHRA were referred for treatment and of those who were referred, only about 22% were seen within 90 days of the referral. Santiago and colleagues (62) found that only 0.2% of a sample of soldiers who endorsed alcohol misuse on post-deployment screenings were referred for treatment.

#### COMORBID PSYCHIATRIC AND SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS

While psychiatric disorders and substance use disorders (SUD) each lead to deleterious sequelae, the co-occurrence of both disorders (i.e., dual diagnosis) has consistently been shown in the scientific literature to be more detrimental than a diagnosis of a single disorder in either category in both civilian and military populations (18, 37, 57). Further, carrying a dual diagnosis has significant prognostic implications for individuals receiving both outpatient and inpatient psychiatric care. However, the findings pertaining to psychiatric inpatients are most germane to the present study. In civilian populations, substance abuse has consistently been the most common comorbid diagnosis among individuals admitted for acute psychiatric hospitalization, with approximately 30%-40% of psychiatric inpatients being diagnosed with a comorbid SUD; notably, AUDs are the most prevalent type of comorbid SUD (9, 61, 77). Psychiatric inpatients with comorbid SUDs have a markedly worse prognosis than patients without SUDs, such that they are more likely to report a lower overall quality of life, demonstrate

lower insight into their condition, and they are significantly more likely to be rehospitalized (61, 63, 77).

Similar associations between comorbid psychiatric disorders and SUDs have been obtained in military samples. In a study of dual diagnosis patients admitted to a Veterans Administration (VA) hospital, Ilgen and colleagues (34) found that 23% were readmitted within 90 days of discharge, although utilization of continuing care for SUDs in the 30 days after discharge notably reduced rehospitalization risk. Comorbid psychiatric disorders and SUDs have also been associated with higher rates of mortality among Veteran populations (57). Rosen and colleagues (57) found that mortality rates over a seven year period were 70% higher among dual diagnosis patients as compared to Veterans diagnosed with a single disorder and among the dual diagnosis sample, mortality rates were significantly higher for patients diagnosed with AUDs as compared to patients with other types of SUDs. Taken together, these findings highlight the negative implications of comorbid psychiatric disorders and AUDs in inpatient Veterans, but there is a relative paucity of published research on this topic in the active duty population.

#### **Comorbid PTSD and Alcohol Use Disorders**

While the general co-occurrence of psychiatric disorders and SUDs is concerning in light of the poor prognosis for dual diagnosis patients, the specific co-occurrence of PTSD and AUDs is especially robust in the scientific literature and it is highly relevant to the military population given the high likelihood of trauma exposure and higher prevalence of AUDs in military versus civilian populations (12). In the U.S. general population, an epidemiological study revealed that approximately 42% of individuals (50) with PTSD also met criteria for an AUD. As compared to patients with PTSD or an

AUD alone, patients with both disorders have been found to have less social support, to be unemployed, and to have lower income, which carries implications for their ability to seek and engage effectively in treatment (53).

In military populations, AUDs have been independently associated with PTSD in both male and female service members (64). Factors associated with more severe PTSD symptoms and AUDs include trauma type (e.g., exposure to enemy hostility), deployment location (i.e., OIF was associated with more PTSD and AUDs than OEF), and number of deployments (5). While the highest rates of AUDs associated with deployment during the OEF/OIF era have been observed among younger service members (12, 49, 75), high rates of comorbid PTSD and AUDs and the corresponding deleterious sequelae have also been found in elderly Veterans (40, 68), which highlights the persistence and long-term negative impact of these co-occurring disorders.

#### Etiologic models of comorbid PTSD and alcohol use disorders

Given the empirically-supported association between PTSD and AUDs, numerous theoretical models have been proposed to explain the etiological relationship underlying the co-occurrence of the two disorders. Two related models that are especially relevant to the military, especially in the context of deployment as a significant stressor, are the temporal-ordering hypothesis (7, 19) and the self-medication hypothesis (18, 56). The temporal-ordering hypothesis (19) posits that the order of onset of PTSD and AUDs carries etiological and prognostic significance. While there are studies supporting AUDs as a risk factor for later trauma exposure and subsequent PTSD due to the physiological effects of alcohol (e.g., impaired judgment and disinhibition; 21), the predominant findings in the dual diagnosis literature indicate that that AUDs generally develop

following trauma exposure, which is supported in both civilian (19, 45, 69) and military (18) populations.

A primary theoretical explanation for the temporal ordering of PTSD preceding AUD onset is the self-medication hypothesis, which posits that individuals with PTSD use alcohol to alleviate distressing symptoms such sleep disturbance and hyperarousal (56). Leeies and colleagues (39) obtained support for the face validity of the self-medication hypothesis in a community sample of PTSD patients, finding that 21.4% openly endorsed medicating their symptoms with substances; approximately two-thirds (67.3%) of those patients endorsed using only alcohol. However, despite the initial sedating properties of alcohol, it is known to impair sleep and the elevated anxiety associated with alcohol withdrawal exacerbates the hyperarousal symptoms of PTSD, which reinforces a negative cycle of alcohol abuse and psychological distress (35).

Both of these theories addressing the relationship between co-occurring PTSD and AUDs apply to military populations due to the high risk of trauma exposure during deployment and the prevalence, cultural significance, and negative clinical and occupational outcomes of alcohol use in the military. Further, service members with a pre-deployment history of psychiatric hospitalization may be especially vulnerable to resorting to alcohol for the purposes of self-medication given their established psychiatric morbidity, which may subsequently increase their risk of negative clinical, psychosocial, legal, and occupational outcomes.

#### **Comorbid Depression and Alcohol Use Disorders**

In addition to the negative consequences of comorbid PTSD and AUDs among U.S. service members, the co-occurrence of MDD and AUDs is also concerning and

highly relevant to service members with a prior inpatient psychiatric history who deploy. While it is currently not well known how rates of co-occurring MDD and AUDs in previously psychiatrically hospitalized service members compare to the general military population, there is published research to support the association between MDD and AUDs in deployed service members as a whole (28, 65). Heltemes and colleagues (28) found that OEF/OIF Veterans who reported depressive symptoms were 4.2 times as likely to report alcohol abuse as those who did not report depressive symptoms. Similarly, in a sample of National Guard soldiers, Marshall and colleagues (43) found that those who reported a depressive disorder with onset during or after deployment were 3.9 times more likely to endorse post-deployment alcohol abuse.

While the association between comorbid MDD and AUDs has been well-established, there is comparatively less literature addressing etiologic models that clarify the nature of the relationship between comorbid MDD and AUDs than there is for PTSD and AUDs. The published research to date reveals mixed findings with respect to the temporal-ordering relationship between MDD and AUD onset with some studies supporting the onset of MDD preceding and/or independent of an AUD (42) and others reporting the onset of MDD as a consequence of an AUD (71).

In summary, high rates of reported symptoms associated with PTSD, MDD, and AUDs in the general military population following deployment during the OEF/OIF era have been well documented in the scientific literature and carry substantial health, occupational, and mission-related implications. However, despite an increasing inpatient psychiatric hospitalization rate in the military during the OEF/OIF era, there is currently no research to date examining how service members with a prior history of inpatient

psychiatric hospitalization compare to the general military population with respect to reporting these symptoms following deployment. Further, it is currently unknown whether the proposed temporal relationships between PTSD/MDD symptoms and AUD symptoms apply to this population.

#### PURPOSE AND SIGNIFICANCE

As a result of the efforts of federal offices such as the AFHSC and publications including the Medical Surveillance Monthly Report (MSMR), there is a substantial amount of epidemiological data describing the medical and mental health events and risk factors associated with deployment since the onset of OEF/OEF, including the prevalence of psychiatric diagnoses among U.S. service members and rates of mental health service utilization. However, with the exception of a recent study reporting increased suicide rates among previously hospitalized service members (41), there is very limited published research reporting the psychiatric, psychosocial, or occupational outcomes for the highly vulnerable population who are admitted for inpatient psychiatric care aside from documented rates of military health care utilization and separation from military service. Further, there is a substantial body of dual diagnosis literature addressing comorbid psychiatric disorders and alcohol use disorders among both civilian and military psychiatric populations. However, there is a dearth of research examining hazardous alcohol use among the growing population of military service members with an inpatient psychiatric history, for whom hazardous alcohol use may have more severe consequences given the pre-existing vulnerability of this population.

The DoD invests substantial time and resources in training and caring for each service member, which is lost if service members separate prematurely from military

service. Further, early separation, especially in the context of a psychiatric problem and/or an AUD, is disruptive to the individual and his/her loved ones due to potential stressors such as legal charges associated with alcohol abuse, unemployment, and barriers to care. Therefore, every effort should be made to optimize rehabilitation efforts, prevent recidivism, and prevent premature attrition in service members who receive psychiatric care while serving in the U.S. military. Moreover, an understanding of the unique characteristics of this highly vulnerable group can inform mental health delivery within the VA healthcare system as well as other systems serving the needs of these individuals upon their entry back into the civilian sector.

The objective of the present retrospective cohort study is to acquire a better understanding of potential post-deployment differences in psychiatric symptoms and associated self-reported hazardous alcohol use in service members with and without a prior history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization. The results of this study will advance the current scientific understanding of the post-deployment psychiatric functioning of an inpatient psychiatric sample as compared to a healthy control sample with no documented prior psychiatric history. These findings will contribute to a growing body of literature on the psychiatric sequelae of military service in support of OEF/OIF because of their practical utility in enhancing assessment and treatment efforts targeted to the needs of this highly vulnerable subset of the military population.

#### AIMS AND HYPOTHESES

**Aim 1:** To determine whether service members with and without an inpatient psychiatric history differ in their self-reported post-deployment hazardous alcohol use, as measured by the PDHRA Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS).

Hypothesis 1: Service members with an inpatient psychiatric history will be significantly more likely to endorse self-reported post-deployment hazardous alcohol use as measured by the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen than service members with no such history.

**Aim 2:** To examine the relationship between post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens (as measured by the PDHA) and subsequent self-reported hazardous alcohol use (as measured by the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen) among service members with and without an inpatient psychiatric history.

Hypothesis 2a: Post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens (as measured by the PDHA) will significantly predict self-reported hazardous alcohol use (as measured by the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen) among individuals with an inpatient psychiatric history.

Hypothesis 2b: Post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens (as measured by the PDHA) will significantly predict self-reported hazardous alcohol use (as measured by the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen) among individuals without an inpatient psychiatric history.

Hypothesis 2c (exploratory): Post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens (as measured by the PDHA) will moderate the relationship between prior inpatient psychiatric history and subsequent self-reported hazardous alcohol use (as measured by the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen) such that higher rates of positive PDHA PTSD and MDD screens will enhance the effect of a prior inpatient psychiatric history as a predictor of self-reported hazardous alcohol use.

#### **CHAPTER 3: METHODS**

#### RESEARCH DESIGN

A longitudinal, retrospective cohort design was used to examine psychiatric, military service, and deployment-related data obtained from the Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS) for U.S. service members with (Group 1) and without (Group 2) a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization(s) at the former Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC)<sup>1</sup>.

#### **Study Population**

U.S. service members included in this study: 1) Were adults (at least 18 years old at the time of record entry), 2) Served on active duty or in the reserve component at some point between 2001-2010, 3) Deployed following the index hospitalization date, and 4) Had a completed, matched pair of PDHA and PDHRA documents stored in DMSS for the deployment following the index hospitalization date. Exclusion criteria include: 1) Service members who did not have a documented deployment following the index hospitalization date, and 2) Service members who deployed following the index hospitalization date, but did not have a completed, matched pair of PDHA and PDHRA assessments documented in DMSS for the deployment in DMSS. The final sample consisted of 258 index cases (Group 1) and 258 control cases (Group 2) frequency matched on age and sex.

#### STUDY PROCEDURES

#### **Case Selection for Group 1 from the Former WRAMC Medical Records**

A comprehensive list of patients was generated with the assistance of a WRNMMC information technology (IT) technician who performed a query of archived

<sup>1</sup> Following the 2011 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) transition, the former WRAMC has closed and relocated to Bethesda, MD as a joint military medical facility, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center (WRNMMC). The name of the original facility was retained, as applicable, for clarity.

electronic medical records (EMRs) using the Essentris (22) system. The query produced a list of 15,041 admission entries of all patients hospitalized on the inpatient psychiatric unit with admission dates from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2010. Approximately 12% (N = 1.748) of these entries pertained to admissions for non-military personnel (e.g., dependents) and 56% (N = 8,383) of the admission entries were duplicates because individual patients may be entered into Essentris multiple times during a single admission upon transfer between inpatient units, including at the time of discharge. Therefore, the original list was cleaned to eliminate dependents and duplicate entries (i.e., admissions with the same SSN) in order to ensure that each individual patient's SSN and earliest documented former WRAMC admission date was represented once in the final dataset. The finalized Group 1 list contained 4,910 SSNs of service members with inpatient psychiatric hospitalizations at the former WRAMC with admission dates from 2001 to 2010. This list was provided to the Epidemiology and Analysis Division at AFHSC for two purposes: 1) to obtain DMSS data for each case and 2) to obtain a matched control group listing and associated data.

#### **Data Extraction - DMSS**

Of the 4,910 inpatient SSNs provided to AFHSC, 146 (approximately 3%) did not have information documented in DMSS, which may have been the result of a clerical error in Essentris or misclassification of the case as an active duty service member.

Therefore, the overall sample for the Group 1 database contained 4,764 cases. AFHSC extracted demographic data, military service-related data, and longitudinal medical and deployment-related data (see Appendix A for listing of variables) from DMSS for each case in Group 1 for a minimum of two and maximum of 12 years following the

documented discharge date from the *index* inpatient psychiatric hospitalization at the former WRAMC. The index inpatient psychiatric hospitalization was defined as the patient's first documented admission to the former WRAMC that occurred within the 2001-2010 time period from which the study sample was drawn. The index inpatient psychiatric hospitalization date was deidentified and subsequently defined by AFHSC as "Day 0". When applicable, subsequent inpatient psychiatric admissions and other time-dependent variables for Group 1 were coded by AFHSC by the number of days the event occurred after the index hospitalization date (i.e., an entry dated "150," refers to an event that occurred 150 days following the index admission date). The purpose of the "Day 0" classification was twofold: 1) It contributed to maintaining confidentiality and 2) It provided a standardized reference date for age-matching and calculation of other time-dependent variables in the study database.

#### **Case selection for Group 2 – DMSS**

Next, an age and sex matched control sample of 4,764 service members with no prior documented inpatient or outpatient psychiatric history was identified by AFHSC (Group 2). For Group 2, AFHSC was instructed to identify service members with no documented inpatient or outpatient psychiatric history prior to "Day 0" for the corresponding Group 1 cases. Similar to Group 1, this parameter provided a standardized reference date for all Group 2 cases and ensured that Group 2 cases did not have a documented psychiatric history through the age that they were matched to Group 1. Also similar to the procedures used for generating Group 1 data, AFHSC extracted longitudinal demographic, medical, military service, and deployment-related data from DMSS for each case in the Group 2 database. A comprehensive, aggregate deidentified

dataset for both groups was subsequently created and electronically transferred to study investigators in an encrypted file.

#### **Deployment-related data**

For both groups, all cases with at least one documented deployment occurring after Day "0" were identified by the author of this thesis. Only cases with a matched pair of PDHA and PDHRA assessments from the same deployment were included in the present study. PDHA and PDHRA assessments were matched by comparing the documented date of arrival in theater, date of departure from theater, and date of submission for the assessment form, which is consistent with matching procedures used in previously published studies analyzing PDHA and PDHRA data (47). If an individual had multiple documented deployments after Day "0", the data for the first deployment with a complete matched PDHA and PDHRA assessment was used for the present study. Number of deployments was tested as a potential covariate to account for cases with multiple deployments.

#### **Study Databases**

Two electronic databases were used to extract data for the study samples described above: 1) Essentris and 2) The Defense Medical Surveillance System.

Essentris. Essentris (22) is a medical software program used at the former WRAMC and WRNMMC in acute care, inpatient units to document medical data including medications, laboratory results, clinical notes, and diagnoses. Patient EMRs stored in Essentris are accessible to all medical professionals who are involved in patient care including physicians, nurses, and behavioral health professionals both in garrison

and abroad, which contributes to continuity of care and consistent medical documentation across clinical settings.

Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS). The DMSS database is a public health record database maintained by AFHSC. DMSS contains records for all individuals who have served on active duty in the U.S. military from 1989 through the present (59). Records that are stored in DMSS include demographic characteristics, military service history, health-related behaviors, combat and non-combat-related injuries, hospitalizations, ambulatory care visits, diagnoses, and other reportable medical events. Medical and behavioral health diagnoses documented in DMSS are coded according to the International Classification of Disease, 9<sup>th</sup> Revision (ICD-9; 48). DMSS data quality is comparable to other established health services data (46)

#### **MEASURES**

In addition to the medical and military service-related data extracted from DMSS, deployment-related data was extracted from deployment health assessments stored in DMSS, which include measures of psychiatric symptoms and behaviors embedded in the body of the assessment.

#### **Post-Deployment Health Assessment (PDHA)**

The PDHA (DD Form 2796; 23) is a self-report health screening measure implemented by the DoD in April 2003 (see Appendix B) and revised in 2008 (see Appendix C). All service members who are deployed outside of the continental US for at least 30 days are required to complete and submit a PDHA within 30 days of their return from each deployment. The PDHA was designed to collect information on the service member's current medical and mental health, psychosocial concerns, and deployment

experiences (e.g., combat and/or environmental exposure) for the purposes of assisting healthcare providers in identifying problems warranting clinical attention and/or referrals for additional evaluation and treatment. The original 2003 version of the PDHA (23) contains three pages of self-report items and one page to be completed by a healthcare provider, which included a brief six-item clinician-administered interview and a health assessment section where referral recommendations may be indicated. The first revised version of the PDHA, implemented in 2008 (23) was expanded to include five pages of self-report items with additional detail about experiences including combat exposure, substance use, and interpersonal difficulties. The clinician-administered section was also expanded to include additional detail on screening for health concerns such as alcohol problems, traumatic brain injury (TBI) risk, and environmental exposure.

*Primary Care PTSD Screen.* The Primary Care PTSD Screen (PC-PTSD; 51) is a four-item self-report measure embedded in the PDHA that assesses the presence or absence of core PTSD symptoms including: 1) avoidance; 2) detachment; 3) hypervigilance; and 4) nightmares (See Appendix A). The PC-PTSD has been validated in U.S. service members returning from deployment. Specifically, a cutoff of two items endorsed out of four yielded high sensitivity (.91) and acceptable specificity (.72); when the cutoff was set at three items out of four, the measure yielded acceptable sensitivity (.78) and specificity increased to .87 (10). In the present study, a cutoff of three was used due to the comparatively higher positive predictive value that has been obtained across studies as compared to a cutoff of two (67).

Patient Health Questionnaire-2. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2;38) MDD screen is a two-item self-report measure that was adapted from the Primary

Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders (PRIME-MD; 70) and is embedded in the PDHA. The PHQ-2 items assess: 1) Depressed mood, "Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless"; and 2) Anhedonia, "Little interest or pleasure in doing things" (See Appendix A). A positive response to either question (i.e., 2003 PDHA: "A lot" with a total score of at least 2/4; 2008 PDHA: "More than half the days" or "Nearly every day" with a total score of at least 3/6) is considered a "positive" screen for depression warranting further clinical evaluation; these criteria have been applied in a previous similar study (47) and were applied to code positive responses in the present study. The PHQ-2 demonstrates an overall sensitivity of .84 and specificity of .72 (38).

#### Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHRA)

The PDHRA (DD Form 2900; 24) was implemented in 2005 (see Appendix D) and revised in 2008 (see Appendix E). Service members are expected to submit a PDHRA within the 90-180 day period post-deployment. The PDHRA is similar in format, length, and content to the PDHA. It is designed to identify symptoms and concerns that were not initially reported on the PDHA immediately following deployment. Research has consistently shown that service members tend to endorse psychiatric symptoms and behaviors more frequently on PDHRAs than PDHAs (47). Once completed, both the PDHA and PDHRA documents are entered as a permanent part of each service member's medical record and they are stored in DMSS.

Two Item Conjoint Screen (TICS). The Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS; 16) is a screening measure that contains two self-report questions assessing the presence or absence of perceived hazardous alcohol use. The TICS is embedded in both the 2005 and 2008 versions of the PDHRA and the two screening questions include: 1) "In the past

month, did you use alcohol more than you meant to?" and 2) "In the past month, have you felt that you wanted or needed to cut down on your drinking?" (See Appendix A). A positive, "yes" response to one or both TICS items is defined as a positive screen for alcohol misuse in military populations (62) and those same criteria were used to code positive responses in the present study. Further, the TICS has been validated with .81 sensitivity and .81 specificity in the general population (15).

#### **HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTION**

This project involved an analysis of a subset of de-identified data collected as part of a larger scale study (Principal Investigator: Marjan Holloway, Ph.D.), which was approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of the WRNMMC and the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS, secondary concurrence). Support letters from the AFHSC were obtained to access DMSS data for the study samples and a Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) between USUHS and AFHSC was submitted and approved by the Department of Research Programs at WRNMMC. The study did not require informed consent given that it was based on the extraction of information from medical records and a population based surveillance database within the Department of Defense.

In accordance with AFHSC standard operating procedures, which were outlined in the approved DSA, all data transfer between USUHS and AFHSC was conducted using the "Safe Access File Exchange" (SAFE) system, which is an encrypted online file exchange website. The SAFE system is set up to allow only designated individuals to access the transferred files during a finite time period (i.e., two weeks), after which the file is expunged. These procedures were generated following consultation with the

USUHS IRB, the WRNMMC Department of Research Programs, and Dr. Angelia Eick-Cost, Special Studies Lead at AFHSC in order to ensure that all identifying information were removed prior to dissemination of the data via a secure encrypted transfer system to the research team at USUHS. The author of this thesis served as a collaborator on the larger scale study and contributed to the study's conceptualization, methodology, data collection, analysis, and interpretation. Furthermore, the USUHS Office of Sponsored Programs has received graduate student documentation for this study. A publication clearance request has been filed with WRNMMC.

#### DATA ANALYTIC APPROACH

The data analytic approach for this study is presented according to the Aims and Hypotheses outlined in the Background section.

**Aim 1.** To determine whether service members with and without an inpatient psychiatric history differ in their self-reported post-deployment hazardous alcohol use, as measured by the PDHRA Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS).

Outcome variable. Perceived hazardous alcohol use, as measured by the PDHRA TICS (dichotomous variable; positive/negative)

**Predictor variable.** History of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (dichotomous variable; yes/no)

*Covariates.* Age, sex, and service branch.

Analyses. First, a Pearson Chi-Square analysis was conducted to determine if there were between-group differences in the number of service members with a positive TICS screen on the PDHRA. Then, in order to adjust for potential confounding due to covariates, a logistic regression model using the predictor variable, covariates, and

outcome variable described above was conducted. Given the paucity of scientific literature addressing the inpatient psychiatric military population, demographic and military service characteristics that could potentially confound study results were tested statistically using univariate logistic regression analyses with a conservative alpha-value cutoff ( $\alpha = .25$ ; 33). The variables tested included age, sex, race, marital status, service branch, component, rank (officer versus enlisted), and number of deployments. While the two samples were matched on age and sex, these variables were tested in univariate analyses to account for potential confounding effects of these characteristics (58). Variables that significantly predicted PDHRA TICS score at p < .25 (i.e., age, sex, marital status, service branch, and rank) were included as covariates in the multivariate model. Covariates that yielded a p < .1 in the multivariate model were retained in the final model (i.e, age and sex) and excluded covariates were then assessed for confounding effects by examining changes in parameter estimates between the adjusted and unadjusted models (17). No confounding effects were observed for marital status and rank. Service branch was retained in the final model due to the implications of branch for alcohol use behaviors in the general military population (8, 49). The final model was conducted with age, sex, and branch entered in the first block of the model and predictor variables entered in the second block.

Aim 2. To examine the relationship between post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens (as measured by the PDHA) and subsequent self-reported hazardous alcohol use (as measured by the PDHRA TICS) among service members with and without an inpatient psychiatric history.

Outcome variable. Perceived hazardous alcohol use, as indicated by the PDHRA TICS (dichotomous; positive/negative)

*Predictor variables.* Hypothesis 2a and Hypothesis 2b: PDHA PTSD screen (dichotomous: positive/negative); PDHA MDD screen (dichotomous: positive/negative). Hypothesis 2c: Prior history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (dichotomous: yes/no); PDHA PTSD screen (dichotomous: positive/negative); PDHA MDD screen (dichotomous: positive/negative); Inpatient psychiatric history x PTSD interaction term; Inpatient psychiatric history x MDD interaction term.

Covariates. Age, sex, and branch.

Analyses. Chi-square analyses were conducted to determine if there were between-group differences in the PDHA PTSD and MDD screens. Logistic regression models using the predictor and outcome variables described above were used to determine if PDHA PTSD and MDD screens would predict PDHRA TICS screen outcome among service members with (Group 1) and without (Group 2) a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (Hypotheses 2a and 2b). PDHA PTSD and MDD screens were each tested as moderators of the relationship between prior inpatient psychiatric history and PDHRA TICS screen with a logistic regression using the outcome and predictor variables listed above including interaction terms of inpatient psychiatric history and PDHA PTSD and MDD screens (Hypothesis 2c). Given the potential for the comorbidity of PTSD and MDD symptoms, the PDHA PTSD and MDD screen variables were evaluated for multicollinearity. Covariates for Aim 2 were selected and tested using the same procedures described for Aim 1. Study analyses were performed using IBM SPSS v.19.0 for Mac.

**Statistical Power.** Due to the retrospective design of this study and the fixed sample size, post-hoc power analyses were conducted using G\*Power 3.1.7. Observed power for study analyses is reported under the corresponding results for each hypothesis.

## **CHAPTER 4: RESULTS**

Of the 258 cases included in Group 1, approximately 4.7% (n = 12) were missing data for either the predictor and/or outcome variables. Therefore, these 12 cases and 12 corresponding matched controls were omitted from the final study analyses following sensitivity analyses that confirmed that there were no statistically significant differences in demographic and military service characteristics with and without these cases included. In the final database used for study analyses, Groups 1 and 2 each consisted of 246 cases resulting in a total sample size of 492.

#### OVERALL SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

The final sample (N = 492) consisted of 100 (20%) females and 392 males (80%) with a mean age of 28.0 years (SD = 7.25). Demographic and military service characteristics for both samples are presented in Table 1.

# **Group 1 Characteristics**

The majority of Group 1 was comprised of Caucasian (n = 163, 67%,), active duty (n = 206, 84%), enlisted (n = 217, 88%), U.S. Army (n = 201, 82%) service members. Approximately half of Group 1 cases were married (n = 124, 50%). Group 1 cases had a mean of 2.01 (SD = 1.17) career deployments documented in DMSS, which included the deployment associated with the matched pair of PDHAs and PDHRAs analyzed in the present study.

Descriptive statistics for the index inpatient psychiatric hospitalization documented in DMSS for Group 1 are shown in Table 2. The four most frequent categories of psychiatric diagnoses were 1) adjustment disorder (n = 86, 35%), 2) mood disorders (n = 76, 31%), 3) substance use disorders including alcohol use disorders (n = 33, 13%) and 4) anxiety disorders including PTSD (n = 19, 8%). Taken together, the top four diagnostic categories accounted for 85% of the primary psychiatric diagnoses for the index cases. In addition to their primary diagnoses, most Group 1 cases (n = 133, 54%) were diagnosed with at least one formal comorbid psychiatric disorder. While 8 cases (3%) had PTSD as a primary diagnosis, a total of 20 (8%) cases in the sample were diagnosed with PTSD. Twenty cases (8%) had a primary diagnosis of alcohol abuse or dependence and 40 (16%) total cases had a documented AUD. Finally, in addition to the psychiatric diagnoses documented for each index case, 45 (18%) cases had documentation of suicidal behavior for the index hospitalization (i.e., ICD-9 Codes E950-959; 48).

Descriptive statistics for PDHA and PDHRA PTSD and MDD screens are shown in Table 3. In Group 1, 85 (35%) service members endorsed at least one symptom on the PDHA PTSD screen, out of which 26 (11%) screened positive with a score of at least three symptoms. Thirty-one (13%) service members endorsed at least one symptom on the PDHA MDD screen, which was considered a positive screen, with over 50% more service members endorsing both items as compared to a single item. On the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen, 22 (9%) service members screened positive for an AUD, with an equal split between service members who endorsed a single item (n = 11) and those who endorsed both items (n = 11).

# **Group 2 Characteristics**

The majority of Group 2 was also comprised of Caucasian (n = 162, 66%,), active duty (n = 196, 80%), enlisted (n = 205, 84%), U.S. Army (n = 142, 58%) service members. Slightly less than half of Group 2 cases were married (n = 114, 46%). Group 2 cases had a mean of 2.16 (SD = 1.12) deployments documented in DMSS, including the deployment associated with the matched pair of PDHAs and PDHRAs analyzed in the present study.

On the PDHA PTSD screen, 31 (13%) service members in Group 2 endorsed at least one symptom, out of which 10 (4%) screened positive with a score of at least three symptoms. Nine (4%) service members screened positive for MDD by endorsing at least one MDD symptom, with over three times as many Group 2 service members endorsing a single item (n = 7) versus both items (n = 2). On the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen, 10 (4%) service members screened positive for hazardous alcohol use, with an equal split between service members who endorsed a single item (n = 5) and those who endorsed both items (n = 5).

# **Observed Differences Between Groups**

Chi-square analyses revealed that the two groups were statistically comparable across all demographic and military service variables except service branch; Group 2 contained a disproportionately higher number of airmen and disproportionately lower number of soldiers as compared to Group 1,  $\chi^2(3, N = 492) = 34.03$ , p < .01, V = .26 (see Table 1).

Chi-square analyses revealed significant between-group differences on all PDHAand PDHRA-reported psychiatric screens examined in this study. Specifically, Group 1 cases were significantly more likely than Group 2 cases to have a positive PDHA PTSD screen,  $\chi^2(1, n = 492) = 7.67$ , p < .01, V = .13, a positive PDHA MDD screen  $\chi^2(1, n = 492) = 13.17$ , p < .001, V = .16, and a positive PDHRA TICS alcohol screen,  $\chi^2(1, n = 492) = 4.81$ , p < .05, V = .10. Observed power for chi-square analyses with N = 492 and a small effect size (Cramer's V = .10), was 0.6.

#### RESULTS FOR AIM 1

Logistic regression analyses were run to test Hypothesis 1 that service members in Group 1 would be significantly more likely than Group 2 to screen positive on the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen. Results from the univariate analysis revealed that Group 1 cases were over twice as likely as Group 2 cases (OR = 2.32) to have a positive PDHRA TICS alcohol screen,  $\chi^2(1, n = 492) = 4.93$ , p < .05. However, while the parameter estimates remained consistent as reflected by <15% change in the odds ratio (OR = 1.99; 17) inpatient history was no longer a significant predictor of a positive PDHRA TICS alcohol screen after adjusting for age, sex, and branch in the multivariate model, approaching significance at p = .09. Post-hoc iterations of the adjusted multivariate model revealed that service branch was uniquely underlying the nonsignificant results obtained in the final model; adjusting for age and sex alone did not impact the original findings. Observed power for the adjusted model was sufficient (power = 0.97). Therefore, Hypothesis 1 was partially supported (see Table 4).

#### RESULTS FOR AIM 2

To address Hypothesis 2a, that PDHA-reported PTSD and MDD screens would predict PDHRA TICS alcohol screen among Group 1 cases, a logistic regression analysis was conducted with a selection criterion of Group 1 only. Collinearity diagnostics for the

PDHA-reported PTSD and MDD screens revealed tolerance values greater than 0.1 and variance inflation factor (VIF) values less than 10 (26), indicating no violation of the multicollinearity assumption for the predictor variables. Results indicated that the PDHA PTSD screen was not a significant predictor of the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen among Group 1 cases. The PDHA MDD screen approached significance (p = .07) as a unique predictor of the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen such that Group 1 cases with a positive MDD screen were nearly three times (OR = 2.88) as likely as cases with a negative MDD screen to have a positive TICS alcohol screen. However after adjusting for age, sex, and branch, neither the PDHA PTSD nor MDD screen distinguished between Group 1 cases that did and did not screen positive on the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen. Observed power in the adjusted model was sufficient (power = 0.96). Therefore, Hypothesis 2a was not supported (see Table 5).

To address Hypothesis 2b that PDHA PTSD and MDD screens would predict PDHRA TICS alcohol screen among Group 2 cases, the analyses for Hypothesis 2a were duplicated with a selection criterion of Group 2 cases. Results revealed that neither PDHA PTSD nor MDD screens uniquely predicted a positive PDHRA TICS alcohol screen among Group 2 cases. Observed power was sufficient (power = 0.81). Therefore, Hypothesis 2b was not supported (see Table 6).

To address Hypothesis 2c in order to determine if an interaction existed between inpatient psychiatric history and the PDHA PTSD and MDD screens, a logistic regression model was conducted with the following predictor variables: inpatient psychiatric history (i.e., Group 1 versus Group 2), PDHA PTSD screen, PDHA MDD screen, an inpatient psychiatric history x PTSD interaction term, and an inpatient psychiatric history x MDD

interaction term. Results indicated that the overall model did not distinguish between cases that did and did not screen positive on the PDHRA TICS,  $\chi^2$  (5) = 10.18, p = .07 (ns). Further, inpatient history (i.e., Group 1 vs Group 2) remained the only unique predictor of PDHRA TICS alcohol screen, indicating that there was no moderation effect of the PDHA PTSD or MDD screens on the relationship between inpatient psychiatric history and PDHRA TICS alcohol screen. Observed power was sufficient for all predictors (power = 0.98) except the inpatient psychiatric history x MDD interaction term, which revealed power = 0.15. When the models for each interaction were run separately, comparable results were obtained such that the inpatient psychiatric history x MDD interaction term was still markedly underpowered (power = 0.47). Therefore, Hypothesis 2c was not supported (see Table 7).

# **CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION**

## SUMMARY AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY FINDINGS

Using a retrospective cohort design, previously psychiatrically hospitalized service members were compared to an age and sex matched control sample of service members with no prior psychiatric history on post-deployment screening measures for PTSD, MDD, and AUDs. Overall, the sample of index cases was representative of the typical demographic characteristics of service members admitted for psychiatric hospitalization such that the sample was predominately young, Caucasian, and enlisted with a slightly lower proportion of males to females than the general military population (4, 12). Further, the top four primary diagnoses for this sample matched the leading diagnoses reported by AFHSC (4) for all service members admitted for inpatient psychiatric hospitalization. In addition to being matched on age and sex, the prior

inpatient sample was statistically comparable to the control sample on characteristics including race/ethnicity, marital status, component, rank, and number of deployments. However, the two samples differed significantly on service branch such that the control sample was comprised of a disproportionately high number of airmen and low number of soldiers than the prior inpatient sample.

Study findings revealed that service members with a prior history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization were approximately twice as likely than service members without such a history to screen positive for an AUD on the PDHRA TICS. However, these findings no longer reached significance in the adjusted models, which was determined to be due to the effect of service branch. These findings partially confirmed the hypothesized association between an inpatient psychiatric history and self-reported hazardous alcohol use on the PDHRA. This observed association aligns with previous empirical findings in military populations reporting the relationship between psychiatric symptoms/disorders and increased likelihood of self-reported hazardous alcohol use (28, 44, 66). However, it remains unknown whether this association would be observed in samples matched on service branch. Previous research has shown a discrepancy between the branches with regard to AUDs such that the Army and Marine Corps report the highest rates of AUDs and the Air Force reports the lowest (49). Ultimately, these findings highlight this clinical population as potentially more vulnerable to AUDs as compared to the general military population, although further research within individual service branches is needed to fully address that question.

Based on the literature supporting the relationship between post-deployment psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use in nonclinical military samples, it was

hypothesized that this relationship would be observed in both a nonclinical and a known clinical sample of service members in the present study. Further, given that post-deployment psychiatric symptoms would indicate distress and/or the impact of a significant stressor that occurred after discharge from the index psychiatric hospitalization, an exploratory hypothesis stated that PDHA PTSD and MDD screens would enhance (i.e., moderate) the relationship between a prior inpatient psychiatric history and post-deployment hazardous alcohol use. However, in contrast to the predominant findings in the empirical literature, the hypothesized association between post-deployment PTSD and MDD screens and the PDHRA TICS alcohol screen was not observed in this study.

Between groups, a significantly greater number of service members in the prior inpatient sample screened positive for PTSD and MDD on their PDHAs, which reflects a higher rate of these psychiatric symptoms following deployment in a known clinical population. Yet, neither screen in the early post-deployment period significantly predicted a subsequent positive PDHRA TICS alcohol screen in either group.

Additionally, a positive PDHA PTSD or MDD screen did not strengthen the relationship between a prior inpatient psychiatric hospitalization history and subsequent PDHRA TICS alcohol screen outcome, which suggests that that relationship is potentially influenced by different factors. Alternatively, methodological factors such as composition of the sample (e.g., differences in service branch) and lack of anonymity for the self-report PDHA and PDHRA data may also be underlying the discrepancy between the present findings and the existing literature. Ultimately, this study does not provide conclusive support for the temporal-ordering and self-medication models that have been

proposed to explain the etiology of comorbid disorders in dual diagnosis patients (18, 19).

These findings were surprising given the robust body of literature documenting the prevalence and co-occurrence of PTSD, MDD, and AUD symptoms among U.S. service members returning from deployment and the known predisposition for psychiatric morbidity among the prior inpatient cases. However, there are several relevant factors that may have impacted the study findings and warrant attention. First, although twice as many prior inpatient cases than controls reported hazardous alcohol use on the PDHRA (8.9% versus 4.1%), which was consistent with the expected relationship, the overall rate of endorsing the PDHRA TICS items is markedly lower than the rates reported in the scientific literature (47, 67). Overall, there is a wide variation in the literature of the reported prevalence of hazardous alcohol use in U.S. service members, ranging from 12% (47) to 70% (52), with the most consistent figures ranging between 20-30% (11, 13, 49). These figures vary widely by demographic and military-related variables such as age, sex, grade, and service branch, and also by method of data collection.

When data are collected anonymously (62, 75), the percentage of service members who endorse hazardous alcohol use is approximately two to three times higher than when data are not anonymous. Given the potential for serious occupational and/or legal consequences associated with engaging in hazardous alcohol use in the U.S. military (49), this pattern is understandable. However, while estimates for the current study were determined conservatively based on a widely-known study with similar methods (47) the prevalence of a positive TICS screen in the current study (6% of the combined samples) was still substantially lower than expected, which highlights the

likely impact of under-reporting on study findings. Further, Milliken and colleagues (47) conducted their study in an exclusively Army sample, which may also have contributed to comparatively lower rates of self-reported hazardous alcohol use in the present study where all service branches were represented.

Second, while PTSD and MDD symptoms alone may not carry the same legal implications for service members as AUDs, there is still a higher likelihood of premature separation following either diagnosis and additional barriers to care such as stigma and a delayed return home if service members endorse these symptoms following deployment (30); these barriers likely contribute to the under-reporting of symptoms on non-anonymous assessments such as the PDHA and PDHRA, which are entered into each service member's medical record.

Despite the fact that the majority of the study hypotheses were not confirmed, this study's negative findings carry meaningful implications for the effectiveness of the PDHA and PDHRA as post-deployment screening tools. The individual screening measures embedded in the PDHA and PDHRA (i.e., the PHQ-2, the PC-PTSD, and the TICS) have established psychometric properties. However, those properties have not been assessed in this specific population under similar reporting conditions, which raises the question of whether these screening measures are similarly valid and reliable in this context and necessitates cautious interpretation. Further, these findings raise the question of whether these assessments, when administered in isolation, are adequate tools to accurately screen for post-deployment psychiatric symptoms and behaviors if the differences between a known inpatient clinical sample and a nonclinical sample are so difficult to detect.

In a recent study, Skopp and colleagues (67) examined the diagnostic efficiency of the PHQ-2, PC-PTSD, and the TICS as they appear on the PDHRA and obtained prevalence rates for positive screens that were consistent with previous similar studies (47, 75). The authors found that all three measures demonstrated robust negative predictive value and adequate specificity, but weaker sensitivity and very weak positive predictive value. The authors noted that their findings of a low prevalence of positive PTSD, MDD, and AUD screens are consistent with the "healthy warrior effect," which identifies service members as an overall healthier subset of the U.S. population. However, in the present study, that interpretation is not a strong explanation for low prevalence positive screens in the prior inpatient sample. Given that 20% of the prior inpatient cases had previously been diagnosed with PTSD, 37% were diagnosed with a mood disorder, and 16% were diagnosed with an AUD, the PDHA and PDHRA findings observed in the present study should be interpreted with caution. The clinical implications of these findings are described in further detail below.

#### LIMITATIONS

The findings of this study must be considered in the context of several limitations. First, the study was conducted using a retrospective dataset with a fixed sample size and self-report measures to assess post-deployment PTSD, MDD, and AUD symptoms. The observed prevalence of PDHA and PDHRA-reported symptoms was lower than expected, which raises the question of whether underreporting was occurring, these service members did not perceive their drinking to be hazardous, or these service members were not drinking excessively.

Second, given the study inclusion criteria for a deployment following discharge with a matched PDHA and PDHRA, the sample of prior inpatient cases represents a small subset of the former WRAMC inpatient psychiatric population, which limits the generalizability of study findings to the military inpatient psychiatric population as a whole. Given that these service members were returned to duty and deployed, it is reasonable to assume that the severity of their disorders was comparatively lower and their prognosis comparatively better than service members who did not return to duty and deploy after discharge. Despite this limitation, the sample was comparable in terms of demographic and military service characteristics as well as primary diagnosis to the general inpatient psychiatric population in the military. Further, it is also safe to assume based on study findings that these service members were more psychiatrically troubled following deployment than controls.

A third limitation was the reliance on a matched pair of PDHA and PDHRA assessments that were completed following the discharge date of the index psychiatric hospitalization due to the possibility that the service member may have had an earlier deployment that occurred following discharge. This limitation was partially addressed by assessing for the potential confounding effects of number of previous deployments, for which no significant effects were found. However, interpretation of study findings continues to be limited by the potential that service members' reported symptoms could change over time.

# **STRENGTHS**

Despite its limitations, this study is characterized by several unique strengths.

This is the first study examining post-deployment psychiatric outcome for service

members with a prior inpatient psychiatric hospitalization. Despite the fact that PDHAs were implemented a decade ago in 2003 with PDHRAs being added in 2005 with a primary objective of screening service members for psychiatric disorders among other illnesses and injuries, there have been no studies examining how service members with an established inpatient psychiatric history compare on these measures to the general population of service members who deploy. The present study is also the first to compare service members with an inpatient psychiatric history to a sample with no prior psychiatric history on self-reported hazardous alcohol use, which is a prevalent behavior across the entire military that carries the potential for significant negative consequences.

Another strength of this study is the longitudinal analysis of symptoms at the time of redeployment back from theater and again months later during the reintegration process. By matching each individual service member's PDHA to the PDHRA that they completed for the same deployment, we were able to conduct analyses examining the relationship between PTSD and MDD symptoms reported at an initial time point on the PDHA and behaviors reported at a later time point on the PDHRA. Despite the inconclusive findings of the present study, this design allowed us to test hypotheses that were generated based on established theoretical models of psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use that would not have been possible if we had analyzed data collected at a single time point.

#### CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

While there are limited conclusions that can be drawn from the results of the current study, the findings carry implications for ongoing clinical research and treatment in military populations. First, service members with a prior history of inpatient

hospitalization endorsed significantly more post-deployment psychiatric symptoms and hazardous alcohol use behaviors than service members with no such history. Therefore, it is prudent to ensure that service members with a known psychiatric history are appropriately monitored, both before and after deployment, and referred for treatment when necessary to avoid deleterious consequences, especially in light of established barriers to care following deployment (30, 31, 47). Further, this finding highlights the importance of bolstering protective factors and prophylactic measures to help prevent service members from reaching a point of crisis or level of distress that necessitates an initial inpatient psychiatric hospitalization.

Second, PTSD has acquired a substantial amount of attention in both the mainstream media and the scientific literature as one of the most salient psychiatric disorders associated with deployment during the OEF/OIF era, which is understandable given the potential for exposure to combat or other traumatic events in deployed settings. However MDD should not be overlooked as a significant problem that deployed service members may experience during or after deployment, especially if they have a documented prior psychiatric history that necessitated inpatient hospitalization. While neither PTSD symptoms nor MDD symptoms significantly predicted hazardous alcohol use in the present study, only MDD symptoms approached significance in the prior inpatient sample. While it is not possible to speculate based on these findings whether data collected from an anonymous measure would have revealed MDD as a unique predictor of subsequent hazardous alcohol use and/or a more significant problem than PTSD in deployed service members with an inpatient psychiatric history, it is appropriate

to recommend that individuals with a known inpatient psychiatric history are assessed thoroughly for both disorders.

The low rates of self-reported hazardous drinking in this study lend support for the development and implementation of empirically-supported methods of anonymous screening and treatment for mental health and/or alcohol use concerns in U.S. service members, which has been previously recommended as means to address AUDs in the military (49). Preliminary findings indicate that participants in a confidential alcohol treatment program experienced fewer barriers to seeking treatment in military settings, including stigma (27), although additional research on feasibility and efficacy of such programs is still needed. Additionally, further development and implementation of treatment programs that offer amnesty to service members who might otherwise be involuntarily separated following alcohol-related conduct or legal problems may also address current barriers to care.

While further research is needed, this study suggests that the PDHA and PDHRA may not be sufficient when administered as the only screening measure following deployment for service members with a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization. While it is possible that the service members in Group 1 achieved and maintained remission throughout their deployment and reintegration and were in fact comparable to Group 2, they still carry multiple significant risk factors for serious negative outcomes that justify a conservative clinical approach. As a prime example, in a recent study Luxton and colleagues (41) found that service members with an inpatient psychiatric history are five times more likely than the general military population to die by suicide. Therefore, establishing and maintaining a safety plan that incorporates the anticipated

stressors of continued military service would be appropriate for all service members who are expected to return to duty.

Given the clinical implications of these study findings, it is important to acknowledge the necessity of balancing the potentially competing goals of thoroughness and efficiency when evaluating how post-deployment assessments are conducted because significantly increasing the number of psychiatric referrals following deployment would further compromise the availability and quality of care for service members who truly need it. Further research is needed to identify the unique psychiatric characteristics and treatment needs for service members who return to duty following an inpatient psychiatric hospitalization.


#### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The present study is one of a limited number of studies examining the long-term impact of being admitted for inpatient psychiatric care in the U.S. military.

Consequently, there are many important research questions pertaining to psychiatric and occupational outcomes that warrant attention in this population. Planned future directions will address two broad objectives that expand on the current study. First, the sample examined in this study represented a small subset of the population of U.S. service members with a history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization. Future research will include an expansion of the current sample to include all active duty, reserve, and guard component service members admitted to the former WRAMC between 2001-2010 in order to conduct a more comprehensive study of the characteristics and longitudinal outcomes in this unique clinical population using survival analyses to account for time-dependent variables. Further, in addition to the control group with no psychiatric history,

a second control group comprised of service members with only a prior *outpatient* psychiatric history will be added to help determine what, if any, unique implications inpatient psychiatric hospitalization has for a service member when compared to a less acute clinical sample. The second major objective for future research will entail adding objective outcome variables that were not derived from self-report measures (i.e., rehospitalization and separation from military service) in order to address the limitations of the current study that were potentially driven by under-reporting. Ultimately, continued research that will advance our understanding of this growing, vulnerable subset of the military population is paramount in order to address these service members' clinical needs, prevent premature attrition, and preserve overall force readiness.

**Figure 1.** Identification of Group 1 cases based on WRAMC psychiatric admission dates of 2001-2010.



**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics for Group 1 with an inpatient psychiatric history (N = 246)and Group 2 with no inpatient psychiatric history (N = 246)

and Group 2 with h	Group 1		Group 2	,		
Characteristics	N	%	N	%	$\chi^2$	$p^{\mathrm{a}}$
Demographic						
Race/Ethnicity					6.23	.29
Caucasian	163	67	162	66		
African-American	48	20	52	21		
Hispanic	20	8	20	8		
Asian/Pacific Islander	10	4	4	2		
Other	3	1	5	2		
Data Missing	2	<1	3	1		
Marital Status					.85	.65
Married	124	50	114	46		
Single	111	45	121	49		
Other	11	5	11	5		
Military Service						
Rank					3.65	.30 <sup>b</sup>
E1 - E4	137	56	125	51		
E5 – E9	80	32	80	33		
O1 - O5	26	11	33	13		
Warrant	3	1	8	3		
Service Branch					34.03	<.01
Army	201	82	142	58		
Navy	8	3	17	7		
Air Force	28	11	60	24		
Marine Corps	9	4	27	11		
Component					1.43	.49
Active	206	84	196	80		
Reserve	11	4	15	6		
National Guard	29	12	35	14		
Total No. Deployments					6.84	.08
1	79	32	103	42		
2	82	33	80	33		
3	53	22	35	14		
≥4	28	11	28	11		
Data Missing	4	2	=	-		

*Note.* Groups were matched on age and sex.

<sup>a</sup> Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests (for analyses in which the expected count was less than 5 in 20% of cells); <sup>b</sup> Fisher's exact test

**Table 2**. Documented psychiatric diagnoses for Group 1 (N = 246)

Table 2. Documented psychiatric d	Primary Psychia	<u> </u>	Any Psychiatric	Diagnosis
Diagnosis	N	%	N	%
Axis I Disorders				_
Adjustment Disorder	86	35	90	37
Mood Disorders				
Depressive Disorder	62	25	74	30
Dysthymic Disorder	6	2	8	3
Bipolar Disorder	6	2	7	3
Other Mood Disorder	2	1	3	1
Substance Use Disorders				
Alcohol Use Disorder	20	8	40	16
Other Substance Use Disorder	13	5	57	23
Anxiety Disorders				
Posttraumatic Stress Disorder	8	3	20	8
Other Anxiety Disorder	11	4	27	11
Other Mixiety Disorder	11	7	21	11
Psychotic Disorder	12	5	12	5
		_		
Other Axis I Disorder	6	2	10	4
Axis II Disorder	6	2	35	14
Other Primary Diagnosis	5	2	-	-
Missing Diagnosis	3	1		
Missing Diagnosis	3	1	-	-
Suicide-related ICD Code	-	-	45	18

**Table 3**. PDHA PTSD and MDD screen and PDHRA TICS alcohol screen results among inpatient (Group 1; N=246) and control (Group 2; N=246) cases.

	Gro	oup 1	Gro	oup 2		
	N	%	N	%	$\chi^2$	р
Primary Care-PTSD Screen						
No. positive responses						
1	20	8.1	14	5.6		
2	19	7.7	7	2.8		
3	9	3.7	5	2.0		
4	17	6.9	5	2.0		
Positive Screen (≥3)	26	10.6	10	4.1	7.67	<.01
PHQ-2 Depression Screen						
No. positive responses						
1	12	4.9	7	2.8		
2	19	7.7	2	0.8		
Positive Screen (≥1)	31	12.6	9	3.7	13.17	<.001
Two-Item Conjoint Screen (Alcohol)						
No. positive responses						
1	11	4.5	5	2.0		
2	11	4.5	5	2.0		
Positive Screen (≥1)	22	8.9	10	4.1	4.81	<.05

**Table 4**. Summary of unadjusted<sup>a</sup> and adjusted<sup>b</sup> logistic regression models predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) from history of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization (N = 492)

Variable <sup>a</sup>	В	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	p
Inpatient History	0.84	0.39	2.32	[1.07, 5.00]	4.58	<.05
Model $\chi^2(1) = 4.93$ ,	<i>p</i> < .05					

Variable <sup>b</sup>	В	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	р
Inpatient History	0.69	0.41	1.99	[0.89, 4.44]	2.84	.09
Age	-0.08	0.03	0.92	[0.86, 0.98]	6.97	<.05
Sex	1.03	0.63	2.80	[0.82, 9.53]	2.72	.09
Service Branch	0.75	0.52	2.12	[0.77, 5.83]	2.10	.15

Model  $\chi^2(4) = 18.05$ , p < .001

**Table 5**. Summary of unadjusted<sup>a</sup> and adjusted<sup>b</sup> logistic regression models predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) in inpatient cases (Group 1; N = 246)

Variable <sup>a</sup>	B	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	p
PTSD Screen	-1.36	1.08	0.26	[0.03, 2.14]	1.58	.21
MDD Screen	1.06	0.57	2.88	[0.94, 8.86]	3.40	.07

Model  $\chi^2$  (2) = 4.11, p = .13

Variable <sup>b</sup>	R	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	n
PTSD Screen	-1 39	1 10	0.25	[0.03, 2.14]	1.61	.21
MDD Screen	0.95	0.59	2.58	[0.82, 8.14]	2.61	.11
Age	-0.05	0.04	0.96	[0.20, 0.96]	1.64	.20
Sex	0.97	0.77	2.62	[0.58, 11.85]	1.57	.19
Service Branch	0.43	0.66	1.54	[0.42, 5.61]	0.42	.52

Model  $\chi^2$  (5) = 8.19, p = .15

**Table 6**. Summary of logistic regression model predicting positive Two-Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) in control cases (Group 2; N = 246)

Variable	В	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	р
PTSD Screen	0.72	1.22	2.07	[0.19, 22.74]	0.35	.55
MDD Screen	0.89	1.23	2.44	[0.22, 27.10]	0.53	.47

Model  $\chi^2(2) = 1.15$ , p = .56

**Table 7**. Summary of logistic regression model testing moderation of inpatient psychiatric history and TICS score by PTSD and Depression screens (N = 492)

Variable	B	SE	OR	95% CI	Wald Statistic	p
History	0.87	0.43	2.38	[1.03, 5.53]	4.07	<.05
PTSD Screen	0.73	1.22	2.07	[0.19, 22.74]	0.35	.55
MDD Screen	0.89	1.23	2.44	[0.22, 27.01]	0.53	.47
History x PTSD	-2.08	1.63	0.13	[0.01, 3.05]	1.63	.20
History x Depression	0.16	1.36	1.18	[0.08, 16.76]	0.02	.90

 $\frac{1}{\text{Model }\chi^2(5) = 10.18, p = .07}$ 

# APPENDIX A: VARIABLES PROVIDED BY AFHSC

APPENDIX A: VARIABLES PR	<u></u>
Item Description Demographic	Categorical Item Anchors
Sex	Male, Female
Age*	iviaic, i cinaic
Marital Status	Married, Single, Other
Service Branch	Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine
Component	Corps Active Duty, Reserve, National Guard
Grade	E1-E4, E5-E-9, O1-O5, Warrant
Date entered the military*	
Date left military*	
Race	Caucasian, African American, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, Other
Deployment Roster In	formation
Start date of deployment(s)*	
End date of deployment(s)*	
Operation code for deployment	OIF/OND, OEF, Other
PDHA DD2796, 2003 and	2008 versions
Date of completion*	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Date of arrival in theater*	
Date of departure from theater*	
(2003 ONLY) PHQ-2 Depression Screen Over the last two weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems?  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things. b. Feeling down, depressed or hopeless.	All item anchors were:  None (0) Some (1) A lot (2)
<ul> <li>(2008 ONLY) PHQ-2 Depression Screen</li> <li>Over the past month, have you been bothered by the following problems?</li> <li>c. Little interest or pleasure in doing things.</li> <li>d. Feeling down, depressed or hopeless.</li> </ul>	All item anchors were:  Not at all (0) Few or several days (1) More than half the days (2) Nearly every day (3)

Primary Care PTSD Screen (PC-PTSD)	All item anchors were:
Have you ever had any experience that was so	
frightening, horrible, or upsetting that in the	Yes
past month you:	No
a. Have had nightmares about it or thought	
about it when you did not want to?	
b. Tried hard not to think about it or went out	
of your way to avoid situations that	
remind you of it?	
c. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or	
easily startled?	
d. Felt numb or detached from others,	
activities, or your surroundings?	
PDHRA DD2900, 2005 an	d 2008 versions
Date of completion*	
Date of arrival in theater*	
Date of departure from theater*	
Two Item Conjoint Screen (TICS) for Alcohol	Both item anchors were:
a. In the past month, did you use alcohol	
more than you meant to?	Yes
b. In the past month, have you felt that you	No
wanted to or needed to cut down on	
your drinking?	
Health Care Enco	ounters
For each Inpatient Psychiatric Admission:	
1. Admission and discharge dates*	
2. ICD codes: Mental Disorders (290-319)	
3. ICD codes: Suicide and Self-inflicted	
injury (E950-959)	

<sup>\*</sup>All time-dependent variables were calculated by AFHSC in relation to the reference date (i.e., "Day 0") such that positive values reflected number of days an event occurred after Day 0 and negative values reflected number of days an event occurred before Day 0.

# APPENDIX B: DD 2796 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH **ASSESSMENT, 2003 VERSION**



## POST-DEPLOYMENT

Authority: 10 U.S.C. 136 Chapter 55. 1074f, 3013, 5013, 8013 and E.O. 9397 Principal Purpose: To assess your state of health after deployment outside the United States in support of military operations and to assist military healthcare providers in identifying and providing present and future medical care to you. Routine Use: To other Federal and State agencies and civilian healthcare providers, as necessary, in order to provide necessary Disclosure: (Military personnal and DoD civilian Employees Only) Voluntary. If not provided, healthcare WILL BE furnished, but INSTRUCTIONS: Please read each question completely and carefully before arking your selections. Provide a response ask the administrator. for each question. If you do not understand a question Demographics Last Name First Name Name of Your Unit or Ship during this Deployment Gender Service Branch Component O Male O Air Force O Active Duty O Female O Army O National Gua O Coast Guard O Marine Corps Pay Grade O Navy O 001 O w1 O Other O E2 O 002 O w2 Location of Operation О Е3 O 003 О wз O Europe O E4 O 004 O w4 O SW Asia O North America O E5 O 005 O w5 O SE Asia O Other O E6 O 006 O E7 O 007 O Other O F8 O 008 O E9 O 009 mainly deployed: O 010 O Iraq O Turkey O Uzbekista O Bosnia O Kosovo On a ship O conus O Other Name of Operation: Administrator Use Only Indicate the status of each of the following: Occupational specialty during this deployment (MOS, NEC or AFSC) Yes No N/A 0 0 0 0 Medical information sheet distributed

DD FORM 2796, APR 2003 PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

Combat specialty:

ASD(HA) APPROVED

0 0 Post Deployment serum specimen collecte

# Please answer all questions in relation to THIS deployment

1. Did your health change during this deployment?	Did you receive any vaccinations just before or during this deployment?	
O Health stayed about the same or got better		
O Health got worse	O Smallpox (leaves a scar on the arm)	
	O Anthrax	
	O Botulism	
	O Typhoid	
2. How many times were you seen in	O Meningococcal	
sick call during this deployment?	O Other, list:	
No. of times	O Donat know	
	O None	
3. Did you have to spend one or more nights in a	. Did you take any of the following medications	fl
hospital as a patient during this deployment?	during this deployment?	
O No 411	(enark all that apply)	
O Yes, reason/dates:	Q PR (pryritigostigmine bromide) nerve agent pill	alli
	O Mark 1 antidote kit	
<b>Un</b> 4/1 8 8	O Anti-malaria pills	411
THEORY	Pills to stay awake, such as dexedrine.	ABP.
15.41 W/P*	O' Other, please list	學一 1
tab/	O Don't know	
<ol><li>Do you have any of these symptoms now or did</li></ol>	you develop them anytime during this deploymen	t?
	.411 A PYTH WFF	
No Yes During Yes Now	No Yes During Yes No.w	
O O Chronic cough	O 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
O O Runny nose	Dizziness, fainting, light header	dness
O O Fever	O Difficulty breathing	
O O Weakness	Still feeling tired after sleeping	
O O Headaches		
O O Swollen, stiff or paintulaiounts	O Difficulty remembering O Diarrhea	
O O Swollen, stiff or paintul joints O O Back pain	O O Frequent indigestion	
O O Od Muscle athes	O O Vomiting	
O O Numbbniess or singling in hands or feet	O O Ringing of the ears	
O O Start diseases or rastes		
O Redness of gyes with tearing		
O On A O Ommiling of vision, like the lights		
A work going out		
7. Did you see anyone wounded, killed or dead during this	O. Are you currently interested in receiving help for a emotional, alcohol or family problem?	stress,
deployment? (mak all flattopply)	emotional, alcohol or family problem?	
B A WY P	O No O Yes	
● No O Yes - coalition O Yes - enemy O Yes - civilian	0 100 0 100	
Alle.	1. Over the LAST 2 WEEKS, how often have you	
	been bothered by any of the following problems?	
8. Were you engaged in direct combat where you discharged	None Some A Lot	
your weapon?	O O Little interest or pleasure in	
	doing things	
O No O Yes (O land O sea O air)	O O Feeling down, depressed, or	
	hopeless	
9. During this deployment, did you ever feel that you were in	O O Thoughts that you would be	
great danger of being killed?	better off dead or hurting	
0	yourself in some way	
O No O Yes	33348	3
	<b>P</b> ■ · ■	
DD FORM 2796, APR 2003	arr	4

frighte	ning, b		or upset	ience that was so ting that, IN THE	15.	On how many days did you wear your MOPP over garments?
No	Yes					
0	0			ghtmares about it or thought ou did not want to?	16.	How many times did you put on your gas mask because of alerts and
0	0			think about it or went out of id situations that remind you		NOT because of exercises?
0	0	Were c		on guard, watchful, or easily		
0	0		mb or det surround	ached from others, activities, ings?	17.	Were you in or did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehicles?  O No i O Yes
13. Are yo	u havi	ng thou	ghts or c	oncerns that all.		F16G/ BBC
No	Yes	Unsure		a to the second of the second	18.	Do you think you were exposed to any chemical.
0	0	0		y have serious conflicts and ir spouse, family magnitudes.		Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or radiological warfare agents during this deployment?
0	0	0		ht hurt or lose comme		O No O Don't know Yes, explain with date and to thor
(mark <u>a</u>	that i	apply)		ere you exposed to:	_	
No		etimes	Often	- 4	AA	r. W
0	(	-	0	DEET insect repellent applied to Pesticide-treated unitoring	P FKIP	
ŏ	Č	-	ŏ	Environmental pessicides (like	ane all c	ogging)
0			0	Environmental pesticides (like Flea or tick collars	<b>#</b> P	
0	(		0	HEL- 1808 T 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 - 186 -		
0				Emarino Resilio Compilia de la compilia del compilia del compilia de la compilia del compilia de		
0			97	Smoke from burning trash or f Vehicle or truck exhaust fume		
ő	6	s. ##		Tent heater smoke		
anQ	at all \$		d.	P8 or other fuels		
AND A				Fog oils (smoke screen)		
			<b>"</b> O	Solvents		
		##	0	Paints		
	(申入	く事	0	lonizing radiation Radar/microwaves		
	0	5	0	Lasers		
(III)	-	5	ŏ	Loud noises		
ŏ		5	ŏ	Excessive vibration		
0	(		0	Industrial pollution		
0		)	0	Sand/dust		
0		)	0	Depleted Uranium (If yes, expl	ain) _	
0	(	)	0	Other exposures		

DD FORM 2796, APR 2003



	Health Care Provider Only			_		ı
	SERVICE MEMBER'S SOCIAL SECURITY #					
P	Post-Deployment Health Care Provider Review, Interview, and Assessment					]
łr	nterview					
1	. Would you say your health in general is: O Excellent O Very Good O Good	0	Fair	0	Poor	
2	2. Do you have any medical or dental problems that developed during this deployment?	0	Yes	0	No	
3	3. Are you currently on a profile or light duty?	0	Yes	0	No	
4	i. During this deployment have you sought, or do you now intend to seek, counseling or care for your mental health?	0	Yes	0	No	
5	5. Do you have concerns about possible exposures or events during this deployment that you feel may affect your health? Please list concerns:	0	Yes	0	No 1	-e (
6	5. Do you currently have any questions or concerns about your eating. Please list concerns:	0	Yes	A	No	
н	Health Assessment		y	H	p r	ø
	After my interview/exam of the service member and review of this form, there is a need for further availation as made than one may be noted for patients with multiple problems. Further documentation of the problem evaluation at the problem evaluation at the problem.	ced	below in the	. (Mo	re :e	-
	EFERRAL INDICATED FOR:  One  O GI	eplo	yme	ent):		-
0	Cardiac O GU O Environmental					
0	Combat/Operational Stress Reaction O Gyn O Occupational					
0	Dental O Combat or mission rela	sted				
0	Dermatologic Seurologic None					
0	ENT Ofthopedic					
0	Eye A P P Pregnancy					
0	Pamily Pobleris Pulmonary					
0	Fatiges, Malaiss, Multisystem complaint O Other					
ď	Contracts.					-
						-
	certify that this review process has been completed. This visit is coded le Provider's signature and stamp:	by \	V70.	5	6	
	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	/Г			_	7
L		<u>/ L</u>				]
E	End of Health Review		22	240		_
	DD FORM 2796, APR 2003 ASD(HA) APPROVED		33.			ı

# APPENDIX C: DD 2796 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH ASSESSMENT, 2008 VERSION

This form must be completed electronically. Handwritten forms will not be accepted.

## POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH ASSESSMENT (PDHA)

#### PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 136, 1074f, 3013, 5013, 8013 and E.O. 9397.

**DD FORM 2796, JAN 2008** 

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): To assess your state of health after deployment in support of military operations and to assist military healthcare providers in identifying and providing present and future medical care you may need. The information you provide may result in a referral for additional healthcare that may include medical, dental or behavioral healthcare or diverse community support services.

**ROUTINE USE(S):** In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, to other Federal and State agencies and civilian healthcare providers, as necessary, in order to provide necessary medical care and treatment. Responses may be used to guide possible referrals.

DISCLOSURE: Voluntary. If not provided, healthcare WILL BE furnished, but comprehensive care may not be possible.

DEMOGRAPHICS Last Name	S A V	P		Middle Initia	ıl	
Social Security Num	ber	Today's Date	(dd/mmm/yyyy)	-		
Name of Your Unit d	uring this Deployment	Date of Birth	(dd/mmm/yyyy)	Gender  O Male	O Female	
Service Branch	Component	Pay Grade		-		
O Air Force	O Active Duty	O E1	O 01	O W1		
O Army	O National Guard	O E2	0 02	O w2		
O Coast Guard	O Reserves	O E3	O 03	O w3		
O Marine Corps	O Civilian Government Employee	O E4	O 04	O W4		
O Navy	O Other	O E5	O 05	O W5		
O GS Employee		O E6	O 06			
O Other		O E7	0 07	O Other		
Other						
		O E8	0 08			
	ater (dd/mmm/yyyy)	O E8 O E9	O 08 O 09			
Date of arrival in the	1	O E9				
Date of arrival in the	1	Operation:	O 09 O 010	han 30 days)?		
Date of arrival in the Date of departure fro Location of Operatio Please mark all that app	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  n. To what areas were you mainly deplo ly, including the number of months spent at e	Operation:	O O9 O 010	han 30 days)?		
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  n. To what areas were you mainly deplo	Operation:  Nyed (land-based operation.)  Time at location (more	O 09 O 10  Perations for more to	han 30 days)?		
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  In. To what areas were you mainly deplo	Operation:  Nyed (land-based operation.)	O9 O10  erations for more to thinks)	han 30 days)?		
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  n. To what areas were you mainly deplo	Operation:  oyed (land-based operation)  Time at location (more at location)	O9 O10 erations for more t		=	
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Country 4	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy) Name of nn. To what areas were you mainly deploy, including the number of months spent at each	Operation:  syed (land-based operation)  Time at location (more at	op on one tenths)			
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Country 4 Country 5	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy) Name of nn. To what areas were you mainly deploy, including the number of months spent at each	Operation:  syed (land-based operach location.)  Time at location (more at location	op on one tenths)			
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Country 4 Country 5 Cocupational specia	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  n. To what areas were you mainly deplo	Operation:  syed (land-based operach location.)  Time at location (more at location	op on one tenths)			
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Country 4 Country 5 Country 5 Cocupational special Combat specialty: Current Contact Info	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  In. To what areas were you mainly deploy in, including the number of months spent at elements of the spent at e	Operation:  Oyed (land-based operation)  Time at location (more time at location)).	op on one tenths)  erations for more tenths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)	llways reach y	ou:	
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from the Date of departure from Date of Operation of Op	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  In. To what areas were you mainly deploy in, including the number of months spent at elements of the spent at e	O E9  Operation:  Oyed (land-based operation)  Time at location (more at location) (more at location (	op on one tenths)  inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths)	lways reach y	ou:	
Date of arrival in the Date of departure from Cocation of Operation Please mark all that app Country 1 Country 2 Country 3 Country 4 Country 5 Cocupational special Combat specialty:  Current Contact Informacical Combat Contact Informacical Combat Country	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  In. To what areas were you mainly deploy in, including the number of months spent at elements of the spent at e	Operation:  Injury (land-based operation continued in location (more))  Time at location (more)  Time at location (more)  Time at location (more)  Time at location (more)  Point of Continued Continued  Name:  Phone:	op on one tenths)  erations for more tenths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)  inths)	lways reach y	ou:	
Date of arrival in the:  Date of departure fro  Location of Operatio  Please mark all that app  Country 1  Country 2  Country 3  Country 4  Country 5  Decupational special  Combat specialty:  Current Contact Info	om theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)  Name of  In. To what areas were you mainly deploying including the number of months spent at each of the number of month	O E9  Operation:  Dyed (land-based operation)  Time at location (more time at location (mor	op on one tenths)  inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths) inths)	lways reach y	ou:	

72

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

Page 1 of 7 Pages Adobe Professional 7.0

Service Member's Social Security Number:

1.	Overall, how would you rate your health during the PAST MONTH?	2.	Compared to before this deployment, how would you rate your health in general now?
	O Excellent		O Much better now than before I deployed
	O Very Good		O Somewhat better now than before I deployed
	O Good		O About the same as before I deployed
	O Fair		O Somewhat worse now than before I deployed
	O Poor		O Much worse now than before I deployed
3.	During the past 4 weeks, how difficult have physical health problems (illness or injury) made it for you to do your work or other regular daily activities?  O Not difficult at all	<b>_4</b> .	During the past 4 weeks, how difficult have emotional problems (such as feeling depressed or anxious) made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people?  Not difficult at all
	O Somewhat difficult		O Somewhat difficult
	O Very difficult		O Very difficult
	O Extremely difficult		O Extremely difficult
	How many times were you seen by a healthcare provider (physician, PA, medic, corpsman, etc.) for a medical problem or concern during this deployment?  Were you wounded, injured, assaulted or otherwise	50000 13	Did you have to spend one or more nights in a hospital as a patient during this deployment?  No  Yes. Reason/dates:
	hurt during this deployment?		event?
	O No O Yes		O No O Yes O Unsure
8.	For any of the following symptoms, please indicate whetl corpsman, etc.), were placed on quarters (Qtrs) or given light symptom now.	her yo	ou went to see a healthcare provider (physician, PA, medic, ed duty (Profile), and whether you are still bothered by the
	Sick Call? Otrs/Profile? Still Bothered	1?	Sick Call? Qtrs/Profile? Still Bothered?

	Sick	Call?	Qtrs/F	rofile?	Still Bo	thered?	S	Sick	Call?	Qtrs/P	rofile?	Still Bothered	
Symptom	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Symptom	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Fever	0	0	0	0	0	0	Dizzy, light headed, passed out	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cough lasting more than 3 weeks	0	0	0	0	0	0	Diarrhea	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trouble breathing	0	0	0	0	0	0	Vomiting	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bad headaches	0	0	0	0	0	0	Frequent indigestion/ heartburn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Generally feeling weak	0	0	0	0	0	0	Problems sleeping or still feeling tired after sleeping	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muscle aches	0	0	0	0	0	0	Trouble concentrating, easily distracted	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swollen, stiff or painful joints	0	0	0	0	0	0	Forgetful or trouble remembering things	0	0	0	0	0	0
Back pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	Hard to make up your mind or make decisions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Numbness or tingling in hands or feet	0	0	0	0	0	0	Increased irritability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trouble hearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	Skin diseases or rashes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ringing in the ears	0	0	0	0	0	0	Other (please list):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Watery, red eyes	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Dimming of vision, like the lights were going out	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Chest pain or pressure	0	0	0	0	0	0							

DD FORM 2796, JAN 2008

Page 2 of 7 Pages

Service Member's Social Security Number:

9.a. During this deployment, did you experience following events? (Mark all that apply)		ny of the	9.b. Did any of the following happen to told happened to you, IMMEDIATEL event(s) you just noted in question	Y after any	
<ol> <li>Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)</li> </ol>	O NO	Oles	(Mark all that apply)	·	
<li>(2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)</li>	O No	O Yes	(1) Lost consciousness or got "knocked ou	t" O No	O Yes
(3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders	O No	O Yes	(2) Felt dazed, confused, or "saw stars"	O No	O Yes
(4) Fall	O No	○ Yes	(3) Didn't remember the event	O No	O Yes
(5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:	O No	O Yes	(4) Had a concussion	O No	O Yes
to your nead). Describe.			(5) Had a head injury	O No	O Yes
9.c. Did any of the following problems be after the event(s) you noted in questi (Mark all that apply)		t worse	9.d. In the past week, have you had any you indicated in 9.c.? (Mark all that apply)	of the sym	ptoms
(1) Memory problems or lapses	O No	○ Yes	(1) Memory problems or lapses	O No	O Yes
(2) Balance problems or dizziness	O No	O Yes	(2) Balance problems or dizziness	O No	O Yes
(3) Ringing in the ears	O No	M	(2) Ringing in the ears	O No	○ Yes
(4) Sensitivity to bright light	No -	TAAF	(4) Sensitivity to bright light	O No	O Yes
(5) Irritability	O No	○ Yes	(5) Irritability	O No	○ Yes
(6) Headaches	O No	O Yes	(6) Headaches	O No	O Yes
(7) Sleep problems	O No	O Yes	(7) Sleep problems	O No	O Yes
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitie  11. Were you engaged in direct combat wo No O Yes (O land O sea O ai	on O Civ where you ir )	vilian ) u discharge	1500 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	nat apply)	
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitie  11. Were you engaged in direct combat wo No O Yes (O land O sea O ai  12. During this deployment, did you ever O No O Yes	on Civ where you ir ) r feel that	vilian ) u discharge you were ii	d a weapon? n great danger of being killed?		I by the
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitit  11. Were you engaged in direct combat v O No O Yes (O land O sea O a)  12. During this deployment, did you ever O No O Yes  13. Have you ever had any experience tha frightening, horrible, or upsetting that	on O Civ where you ir ) r feel that at was so	vilian ) u discharge you were ii	d a weapon?  n great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been following problems?	en bothered	8
No Yes ( Enemy Coalitimate Combat was a combat was not combat	on O Civ where you ir ) r feel that at was so	vilian ) u discharge you were ii	d a weapon?  n great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Foundation is a fact all is seen.		nan Nearly ne every
No Yes ( Enemy Coalitit  No Yes ( Enemy Coalitit  No Yes ( Ind Sea Cal  Land Sea Cal  During this deployment, did you ever  No Yes  No Yes  No Have you ever had any experience that frightening, horrible, or upsetting that PAST MONTH, you	on Ocion where you ir ) r feel that at was so t, IN THE	vilian ) u discharge you were ii	d a weapon?  n great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Foundation is a fact all is seen.	en bothered ew or More t veral half ti	nan Nearly ne every
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coaliting  11. Were you engaged in direct combat water of the No O Yes (O land O sea O at the No O Yes  12. During this deployment, did you ever on No O Yes  13. Have you ever had any experience that frightening, horrible, or upsetting that PAST MONTH, you  14. Have had nightmares about it or thought about it when you did not want to?  15. Tried hard not to think about it or went out of your way to avoid situations that remind you of it?  16. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or	where you ir ) relatives so t, IN THE  No	vilian ) u discharge you were in  Yes  Yes	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not at all se do doing things  In the second of th	en bothered ew or More t veral half to ays day	nan Nearly ne every day
O No O Yes (○ Enemy ○ Coaliting  11. Were you engaged in direct combat work of the Normal of the No	where you ir ) r feel that at was so t, IN THE	vilian ) u discharge you were ii • Yes	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been following problems?  Not at all se do d.  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed,	en bothered ew or More t veral half th ays day.	nan Nearly ne every day
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitimate Combat variety of the Normal Coality of Normal Co	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No	vilian ) u discharge you were it  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been following problems?  Not at all se do d.  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed,	en bothered ew or More t veral half II ays day O O	Nearly every day
No Yes ( ) Enemy O Coaliting  11. Were you engaged in direct combat was not yes ( ) land O sea O at land O yes O yes  12. During this deployment, did you ever o yes  13. Have you ever had any experience that frightening, horrible, or upsetting that PAST MONTH, you  a. Have had nightmares about it or thought about it when you did not want to?  b. Tried hard not to think about it or went out of your way to avoid situations that remind you of it?  c. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or easily startled?  d. Felt numb or detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	on City where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No	vilian ) u discharge you were it  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been following problems?  Not at all se do d.  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  D. R&R, port call, etc. Prior to deploying or hopeless	en bothered ew or More t veral half II ays day O O	Nearly every day
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitimal Coalitimal Coality No O Yes (O land O sea O at 12. During this deployment, did you ever O No O Yes  13. Have you ever had any experience that frightening, horrible, or upsetting that PAST MONTH, you  a. Have had nightmares about it or thought about it when you did not want to?  b. Tried hard not to think about it or went out of your way to avoid situations that remind you of it?  c. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or easily startled?  d. Felt numb or detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?  15. Alcohol is occasionally available during the company of the company of the coality available during deployment:	where you ir ) release that at was so to, IN THE  No  No  No  No  ng deploy eant to?	vilian )  u discharge you were in  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Fe at all see d  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  ORANGE OF THE ARCH TO THE ARCH	en bothered ew or More t veral half tl ays day  O O O or during th	nan Nearly every day
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitimal	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No  No eant to?	vilian )  u discharge you were it  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yments, e.g	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Fe at all see d  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  ORANGE OF THE ARCH TO THE ARCH	en botherecew or More teveral half that days day	Nearly every day
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitimate Combat was a coality of the Combat was a coality of	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No eant to? eded to coning alcohology	vilian )  u discharge you were it  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yments, e.g	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Fe at all se d  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  ORANGE OF THE ACT OF THE AC	en botherecew or More teveral half that days day	nan Nearly every day
O No O Yes (O Enemy O Coalitimal	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No  No eant to? eded to coning alcoho) 2 to 4 tim o you have	u discharge you were in Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yments, e.g	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Fe at all see d  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  or hopeless  NR&R, port call, etc. Prior to deploying or our drinking?  O 2 to 3 times a week  It day when you are drinking?	en botherecew or More teveral half the days day of day of the days day of the days of the	nan Nearly every day
O No O Yes (○ Enemy ○ Coalitimate Combat variable Passes of a land of the combat variable Passes of the combat variable Passe	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No  No  teded to coming alcoholy 2 to 4 time o you have to 5 or 6	u discharge you were in Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yments, e.g	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Feat all see  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  or hopeless  NR&R, port call, etc. Prior to deploying or deployin	en botherecew or More teveral half the days day of day of the days day of the days of the	nan Nearly every day
O No O Yes (○ Enemy ○ Coalitimal	where you ir ) reel that at was so t, IN THE  No  No  No  No  No  teded to coming alcoholy 2 to 4 time o you have to 5 or 6	u discharge you were in Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yments, e.g	d a weapon?  In great danger of being killed?  14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you bee following problems?  Not Feat all see  a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things  b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless  or hopeless  NR&R, port call, etc. Prior to deploying or deployin	en botherecew or More teveral half the days day day on the day of the days of	nan Nearly every day

Service Member's Social Security Number:

<ol><li>Are you worried about your health because you were expose</li></ol>	a to manita	11 27				
Animal bites						
Animal bodies (dead)						
Chlorine gas						
Depleted uranium (If yes, explain)  Excessive vibration						
Fog oils (smoke screen						
Garbage						
Human blood, body fluids, body parts, or dead bodies						
Industrial pollution						0
Insect bites						0
lonizing radiation						
JP8 or other fuels						_
Lasers						
Loud noises Paints	n	T	$\overline{}$			
Pesticides	$\nu$		$\dashv$			
Radar/Microwaves	<u> </u>					_
Sand/dust						
Smoke from burning trash or feces					C	
Smoke from oil fire						0
Solvents						
Tent heater smoke				1000		
Vehicle or truck exhaust fumes  Other exposures to toxic chemicals or materials, such as ammonia, nitric						
medical care?  ○ No ○ Yes  3. Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  ○ No ○ Yes	les?		•			
medical care?  ○ No ○ Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  ○ No ○ Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the property of the prope	les? or radiologic	cal warfare	agents d	uring this	deployme	
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic. No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, one of the control	berculosis or nationals	or other los was:	e agents d	ous disea	deployme uses. per day, ever	nt? y day)
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, one of the control	berculosis or nationals	or other loo was: at not daily) g items yo	cal infecti	ous disea	deployments.	nt?  y day)  nt and  Not
medical care?  ○ No ○ Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic ○ No ○ Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or No ○ Don't know ○ Yes, explain with date and location  This question assesses your personal risk for exposure to ture would you say your INDOOR contact with local or 3rd count ○ None ○ Minimal (less than 1 hour per week) ○ Moderate (1 or more hours)  Force Health Protection Measures. Please indicate which of how often you used them.	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other lo was: t not daily) g items yo Most days	cal infecti  External call in fection in fec	ious disea	deployments.  ses.  deployments.  Not available	y day) nt and Not required
medical care?  ○ No ○ Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic ○ No ○ Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, compared to the process of the process o	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other lo was: at not daily) g items you Most days	cal infecti   External call in fection in the call in	ious disea	deployments.	y day) nt and Not required
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the second of	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other lowas: at not daily) g items you Most days	cal infecti  Cal infection  Extended to used did  Some days	ious disea	deployments.	nt?
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the second of	berculosis or radiologic berculosis or radionals see per week, but the followin Daily	or other lowas:  It not daily)  g items you  Most days	cal infecti   Extended discounting the call infection of the call	ious disea	deployments.	nt?
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the second of	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other lo was:  It not daily)  g items you days  O	cal infecti  External calculations of the calculation of the calculati	ious disea	deployments.	nt?
medical care?  No Yes Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic No Yes Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or No Don't know Yes, explain with date and location  This question assesses your personal risk for exposure to ture the Would you say your INDOOR contact with local or 3rd count (less than 1 hour per week)  Moderate (1 or more hours)  Force Health Protection Measures. Please indicate which of how often you used them.  DEET insect repellent applied to skin Pesticide-treated uniforms  Eye protection (not commercial sunglasses or prescription glasses)	berculosis or radiologic berculosis or radionals see per week, but the followin Daily	or other lowas:  It not daily)  g items you  Most days	cal infecti   Extended discounting the call infection of the call	ious disea	deployments.	nt?
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the second of	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other lo was:  It not daily)  g items you days  O	cal infecti  External calculations of the calculation of the calculati	ious disea	deployments.	nt?
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the property of th	berculosis or radiologic	or other lowas:  It not daily)  g items you  Most days  O  O	cal infection call infection used do	ious disea	deployments.	y day) nt and Not required
medical care?  No Yes Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic No Yes Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or No Don't know Yes, explain with date and location  This question assesses your personal risk for exposure to tu Would you say your INDOOR contact with local or 3rd count None Minimal (less than 1 hour per week) Moderate (1 or more hours) Force Health Protection Measures. Please indicate which of how often you used them.  DEET insect repellent applied to skin Pesticide-treated uniforms Eye protection (not commercial sunglasses or prescription glasses) Hearing protection N-95 or other respirator (not gas mask) Pills to stay awake, like dexedrine	berculosis or radiologic per week, but the followin    Daily	or other lowas:  It not daily)  g items you  Most days  O  O  O	cal infection of the calculation	ious disea	deploymentses.	y day) nt and Not required
medical care?  No Yes Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic No Yes Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or No Don't know Yes, explain with date and location  This question assesses your personal risk for exposure to tu Would you say your INDOOR contact with local or 3rd count None Minimal Moderate (less than 1 hour per week)  Force Health Protection Measures. Please indicate which of how often you used them.  DEET insect repellent applied to skin Pesticide-treated uniforms  Eye protection (not commercial sunglasses or prescription glasses) Hearing protection N-95 or other respirator (not gas mask) Pills to stay awake, like dexedrine Anti-NBC meds	berculosis or nationals sper week, but the followin	or other low was:  It not daily)  g items you days  O  O  O  O	cal infection of the calculation	ious disea	deploymentses.	nt?  y day)  nt and  Not required  O
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic  No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the control of	berculosis or radiologic berculosis or pationals seperweek, but the followin Oaily	or other loo was:  It not daily)  g items you days  O  O  O  O	cal infecti  Cal infecti  External calculations of the control of	ious disea	deployme  ses.  per day, ever deployme  Not available  O  O  O  O  O  O	nt?
medical care?  No Yes  Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic. No Yes  Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, or the control of	berculosis or radiologic berculosis or pationals sper week, but the followin	or other loo was:  It not daily)  g items you host days  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	e agents d  cal infecti  C Extended Infection used divided Infection used divided Infection used	ious disea	deployments.  Deer day, even deployments.  Not available O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	nt?  y day)  nt and  Not required  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O
O No O Yes  3. Did you enter or closely inspect any destroyed military vehic No O Yes  3. Do you think you were exposed to any chemical, biological, one of No O Don't know O Yes, explain with date and location O No O Don't know O Yes, explain with date and location O None O Minimal (less than 1 hour per week) O Moderate (1 or more hours)  4. Force Health Protection Measures. Please indicate which of how often you used them.  DEET insect repellent applied to skin Pesticide-treated uniforms Eye protection (not commercial sunglasses or prescription glasses) Hearing protection N-95 or other respirator (not gas mask) Pills to stay awake, like dexedrine Anti-NBC meds Pyridostigmine (nerve agent pill) Nerve agent antidote injector	berculosis or radiologic berculos or	or other loo was:  It not daily)  g items you days  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	e agents d  cal infecti	ious disea	ses.  Der day, ever  deployme  Not available  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O	nt?

75

Service Member's Social Security Number:			
22. Did you receive any vaccinations just before or during this deployment?  Smallpox (feaves a scar on the arm)  Anthrax  Botulism	23. Were you told to take medicines t  No Yes  If YES, please indicate which medicines y missed any doses. (Mark all that apply)		
O Typhoid	Anti-malarial medications		Took All Pills
O Meningococcal	○ Chloroquine (Aralen®)		O No O Yes
O Yellow Fever O Other, list:	O Doxycycline (Vibramycin®)		O No O Yes
	○ Mefloquine (Lariam®)		O No O Yes
O Don't know	O Primaquine		○ No ○ Yes
	Other:		O No O Yes
24. Would you like to schedule a visit with a healthcare concern(s)?	e provider to further discuss your health	O No	O Yes
Are you currently interested in receiving information alcohol concern?	on or assistance for a stress, emotional or	O No	O Yes
26. Are you currently interested in receiving assistance	O No	O Yes	
27 Would you like to schedule a visit with a chaplain o	or a community support counselor?	O No	O Yes

# SAMPLE

Page 5 of 7 Pages

DD FORM 2796, JAN 2008

Service Member's Social Security Number: **Health Care Provider Only** Post-Deployment Health Care Provider Review, Interview, and Assessment O Yes O No 1. Do you have any medical or dental problems that developed during this deployment? O Yes O No If yes, are the problems still bothering you now? O Yes 2. Are you currently on a profile (or LIMDU) that restricts your activities (light or limited duty)? O No If yes: For what reason? O NA O No O Yes O NA Is your condition due to an injury or illness that occurred during the deployment? O Yes O No O NA Did you have similar problems prior to deployment? O Yes O No If so, did your condition worsen during the deployment? O NA 3. Ask the following behavioral risk questions. Conduct risk assessment as necessary. a. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been bothered by thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way? O Yes O No IF YES, about how often have you been bothered by these thoughts? O More than half of the time O A few days O Nearly every day b. Over the PAST MONTH, have you had thoughts or concerns that you might hurt or lose control with someone? O No O Unsure 4. If member reports YES or UNSURE responses to 3.a. or 3.b., conduct risk assessment. O Unsure a. Does member pose a current risk for harm to self or others? O Immediate referral b. Outcome of assessment O Routine follow-O Referral not indicated 5. Alcohol screening result O No evidence of alcohol-related prob O Potential alcohol problem (positive resp AUDIT-C score of 4 or more for men or 3 or more for women). O No O Yes Refer to PCM for evaluation. 6. During this deployment have you sought, or do you now intend to seek, counseling or care for your mental health? O Yes O No 7. Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) risk assessment O No evidence of risk based on responses to questions 9.a. - d. O Potential TBI with persistent symptoms, based on responses to question 9.d. O Yes O No Refer for additional evaluation. 8. Tuberculosis risk assessment, based on response to question 20. O Minimal risk O Increased risk Recommend tuberculosis skin testing in 60-90 days O Yes O No 9. Depleted Uranium (DU) risk assessment, based on responses to question 16 (DU, Yes) or question 18 (Yes). O No evidence of exposure to depleted uranium O Potential exposure to depleted uranium O Yes O No Refer to PCM for completion of DD Form 2872 and possible 24-hour urinalysis. 10. Do you have any other concerns about possible exposures or events during this deployment O No that you feel may affect your health? Please list your concerns: O Yes O No 11. Do you currently have any questions or concerns about your health? Please list your concerns: Page 6 of 7 Pages **DD FORM 2796, JAN 2008** 

Service Member's Social Security Number:

#### Health Assessment

After my interview/examination of the service member and review of this form, there is a need for further evaluation and follow-up as indicated below. (More than one may be noted for patients with multiple problems. Further documentation of the problem evaluation to be placed in service member's medical record.)

11. Identified Concerns	Minor	Major			12. Referral Information	Within	Within	Within
11. Identified Concerns	Concern	Concern	Yes	No	12. Referrar information	24 hours	7 days	30 days
O Physical Symptom(s)	0	0	0	0	a. Primary Care, Family Practice	0	0	0
C Exposure Symptom(s)	0	0	0	0	b. Behavioral Health in Primary Care	0	0	0
○ Environmental	0	0	0	0	c. Mental Health Specialty Care	0	0	0
Occupational	0	0	0	0	d. Other specialty care:			
O Combat or mission-related	0	0	0	0	Audiology	0	0	0
O Depression symptoms	0	0	0	0	Cardiology	0	0	0
O PTSD symptoms	0	0	0	0	Dentistry	0	0	0
O Anger/Aggression	0	0	0	0	Dermatology	0	0	0
O Suicidal Ideation	0	0	0	0	ENT	0	0	0
O Social/Family Conflict	0	0	0	0	GI	0	0	0
O Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0	Internal Medicine	0	0	0
Other:	_ 0	0	0	0	Neurology	0	0	0
13. Comments:					OB/GYN	0	0	0
					Ophthalmology	0	0	0
					Optometry	0	0	0
	2027 0 200				Orthopedics	0	0	0
				*	Pulmonology	0	0	0
					Urology	0	0	0
					e. Case Manager, Care Manager	0	0	0
					f. Substance Abuse Program	0	0	0
4 4					g. Health Promotion, Health Education	0	0	0
					h. Chaplain	0	0	0
					i. Family Support, Community Service	0	0	0
					j. Military OneSource	0	0	0
					k. Other:	0	0	0
					No referral made			

I certify that this review process has been completed. Provider's signature and stamp:

This visit is coded by V70.5 \_ E



### Ancillary Staff/Administrative Section

14. Member was provided the following:	15. Referral was made to the following healthcare or support system:
Medical Threat Debrief	Military Treatment Facility
Health Education and Information	O Division/Line-based medical resource
O Health Care Benefits and Resources Information	O VA Medical Center or Community Clinic
O Appointment Assistance	O Vet Center
O Service member declined to complete form	TRICARE Provider
O Service member declined to complete interview/assessment	O Contract Support:
O Service member declined referral for services	O Community Service:
O LOD	Other:
O Post-deployment blood specimen collected (if required)	O None
O Other:	

DD FORM 2796, JAN 2008

Page 7 of 7 Pages

# APPENDIX D: DD 2900 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH REASSESSMENT, 2005 VERSION



### POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH REASSESSMENT (PDHRA)

Authority: 10 U.S.C. 136 Chapter 55. 1074f, 3013, 5013, 8013 and E.O. 9397

Principal Purpose: To assess your state of health after deployment in support of military operations and to assist military healthcare providers, including behavioral health providers, in identifying present and future medical care needs you may have. The information you provide may result in a referral for additional healthcare that may include behavioral healthcare.

Routine Use: To other Federal and State agencies and civilian healthcare providers as necessary in order to provide necessary medical care and treatment. Responses may be used to guide possible referrals.

Disclosure: Disclosure is voluntary.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please read each question completely and carefully before making your selections. Provide a response for each question. If you do not understand a question, ask the administrator. Please respond based on your MOST RECENT DEPLOYMENT.

			A E609 II (1602)			11 11
Demograph	nics		A WIII			
Last Name			Today's Da	te (dd/mm/yy	m #	
			Today's Dal	/ [[[]	/ 44	
First Name			DOB (dd/mi	m/vvvv)	/ <del>Lat 11</del>	
				/ 14 4	ATTII	aff'i
Date arrived	theater (mm/	yyyy) Date	departed theater (mm/yyyy) Social Secu	rity Number		P.
Gender	Ser	vice Branch	Status Prior to Deployment	Pay Grade		
O Male	0	Air Force	O Active Duty O Selected Reserves & Reserves Unit	#O"E1	O 001	O w1
O Female	0.	Army		" O E2	O 002	O wz
	0	Navy	O Selected Reserve - AGR	O E3	O 003	O ws
Marital Statu	8	Marine Corps	O Selected Retienes Reserve IMA	O E4	O 004	O W4
O Never Mar	· 0	Coast Guard	O Selected Reserves Netional Guard - Unit	O E5	O 005	O w5
O Married	0	Other /	O Selected Reserves - National Guard - AGR	O E6	O 006	
O Separated		. 1.4	O Ready Reserves - IRR	O E7	O 007	O Other
O Divorced	'	Laggi	O Ready Reserves - ING	O E8	O 008	
O Wildowed		IMIF	O Civilian Government Employee	O E9	O 009	
O TANDOMOU	di	anluna	O"Other		O 010	
Location of 0	Operation		Since return from deployment I have:	Current C	ontact Informati	on:
0.444	Φ.	South America	O Maintained/returned to previous status	Phone:		
O Algharileta O Kuwait O Catar	n 0	More America	O Transitioned to Selected Reserves:	Cell:		
O Kuwait	0	Australia	O Transitioned to Ready Reserves:	DSN:		
O Catal	∥ ∥ Pol	Europe	O Retired from Military Service	Email:		
O Basnla Ko	sovo OF	On a ship	O Separated from Military Service	Address:		
O SW Asia -	other O	Other:		_		
O Africa						
Total Donlar	ments in Pas	A & Vanne	Current Unit of Assignment	D-1-4-4.0		always reach you
OIF	OEF	Other	Current Unit of Assignment	Name:	ontact who can	always reach you
O 1	01	O 1		Phone:		
O 2	O 2	O 2	Current Assignment Location	Email:		
03	03	O 3		Mailing Ad	drace:	
0.4	0.4	0.4		Mailing Ao	ures5:	
O 5 or	O 5 or	O 5 or				
more	more	more				

DD FORM 2900, JUN 2005

ASD(HA) APPROVED





1.	Overal O Exc		ate your health durin	ng the PAST MC O Goo			O Fair			0	Poor			
2.	O Mui O Sor O Abo O Sor	ch better now than b mewhat better now to out the same as befo	han before I deployed ore I deployed han before I deployed	ment, how woul	d you r	ate your he	ealth in gener	al now?						
3.	such a	is in sick call, eme	deployment, about h rgency room, primar	y care, family do	octor, o		salth provider	?	or any re					
	O No	visits	O 1 visit	O 2-3 v	risits		O 4-5 visits			0	Over 6 v	isits		
4.	Since	you returned from	deployment, have y	ou been hospita	lized?		A),			0	Yes	O N	0	
5.	During	your deployment,	, were you wounded,	injured, assault	ed or c	mary se p	musically hurt	?		0	Yes	ON	예 🎚	. 1
	If NO,	skip to Question	6.		a.	Fill	Leff							蜇
	5a. IF	YES, are you still	having problems rela	ateologica this	d ess	aut otinju	ry?	O Ye	es	0	<b>%</b>	Ą		
6.			juries, do you curren	tly <b>have</b> a heath	conc	or cond	ition that	O Ye	IR.	ര	4.P	of a		
		el is related to you		THE	P	誰		,	(	#	T# .	.AA	N.	4
	IF NO	, skip to Question	17.	Ph A.				4	Mi		IW	Ma.		₩
	6a. IF	YES, please mark	the item(s) that bes	t describe your	deployr	ment-relate	d condition or	centaer	fii	ii.	ľŦ			
	0	Chronic cough			0		eyes with tear			₽	ş.			
	0	Runny nose			0	CHROCKE	vision, like the	Rights we	re going	out				
	0	Fever			0		or pressure		-					
	0				0		himming light hi	easfednes	s					
	0				æa.	Difficulty by								
	0		inful joints	أقم عمر	P		omitting, or freq							
		Back pain		#aiii	119		Seeping or still	feeling tire	ed after :	sleep	ping			
	-	Muscle aches	an in banda as foot	i mii	Щ		membering							
	0	Numbness or tingli Skin diseases or ra			IK.	Increased i	miability re risks such as	driving 6	retor					
	0	Ringing of the earls	10	T L/I '	, 0	Other:	e risks such as	driving is	ssier					
			ATE	₩'	0	_								_
7.	Do yo	u have any persist	ent major concerns i osed to or encounter	regarding the he	raith ef	fects of sor	nething you b	elieve		0	Yes	ON	о	
4		, skip to Questio		red write deploy	eur									
ar.	7a IF	THE REAL PROPERTY.	the item(s) that bes	t describe your	concen	n:								
H	. 16	DEET insect repel		,	0									
1	118	Pesticide-treated u			ŏ									
1	#8		ticides (like area foggin	ng)	Õ		owaves							
#	" ō	Flea or tick collars	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ō	Lasers								
	ō	Pesticide strips			ō	Loud noise	s							
	_	Smoke from oil fire	•		0	Excessive	vibration							
	0	Smoke from burnir	ng trash or feces		0	Industrial p	ollution							
	-	Vehicle or truck ex			0									
	_	Tent heater smoke	•		0		otor vehicle acc							
	_	JP8 or other fuels			0	Depleted U	Iranium (if yes,	explain)						
	0		reen)											
	0	Solvents			0	Other:				_				
										_	3	3348		
	D	D FORM 2900, JU	JN 2005								•	• •		

8.	Since return from your deployment, have you had serious conflicts with your spouse, family members, close friends, or at work that continue to cause you worry or concern?	O No	O Unsure
9.	Have you had any experience that was so frightening, horrible, or upsetting that, IN THE PAST MONTH, y	ou	
	a. Have had any nightmares about it or thought about it when you did not want to	O Ye	es O No
	b. Tried hard not to think about it or went out of your way to avoid situations that remind you of it	O Y6	es O No
	c. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or easily startled	O Y6	es O No
	d. Felt numb or detached from others, activities, or your surroundings	O Ye	es O No
10	a. In the PAST MONTH, did you use alcohol more than you meant to?	O Ye	s O No
	b. In the PAST MONTH, have you felt that you wanted to or needed to cut down on your drinking?	O Ye	ss O No
11	problems?	lore than half the days	Nearly every daysil
	a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things	0	AUI
	b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	6	
12	. If you checked off any problems or concerns on this questionnaire, how difficult have these creams made do your work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people?	te tilor	you to
	O Not difficult at all O Somewhat difficult	ΟĐ	dremely difficult
13	Would you like to schedule a visit with a healthcare provider to (wither distribus your teath concern(s)?	O Y	ss O No
14	. Are you currently interested in receiving information or assistance for a stress, emotional or alcohol concern?	O Y	es O No
15	Are you currently interested in receiving assistance for a family portelationship concern?	O Y	es O No
16	4. 4. 19" 1. 11 7"	O ye	es O No
	AAADEU T		

DD FORM 2900, JUN 2005



		Health (																				
		SERVICE	MEME	ER'S:	SOCIA	L SEC	URITY		_	1				DATE	(dd/r	nm/yyy	y)	<i>,</i> г		-	_	, —
		Ш		- L	$\perp$	] –	Ш									/L		/L		┸		
Pre	ovic	ler Reviev	w and	Interv	iew	E S			100	0. 30		No.					地面	B) i	8.4		148	(43) Tel
1.	Rev	view symp	toms a	and de	ploym	ent c	oncer	ns ider	tified	on form	1:											
	0	Confirmed	screen	ing res	ults as	repor	ted		0.8	Screening	result	s mod	ified	l, amen	ded,	clarifie	during	interv	iew:			
2.	Ask	behavior	al risk	quest	ions.																	
		Over the F or of hurtin						n bothe	ered t	by thoug	hts th	at you	1 WC	ould be	bet	ter off	dead	С	) Yes		0	No
		IF YES, al thoughts?	bout h					n bothe	ered b	y these	(	) Ver	Ø.	w days	0	More t	han half time	C	) Near	ty eve	ry day	,
		Since retu you might							had ti	houghts	or co	ncern	ħ		0	Yes		C	No.		0	Unsure
3.	IF Y	YES OR U	NSUF	E to b	ehavi	oral r	isk qu	estions	econ	duct nis	BSS	sme	#1	M								111
	a. I	Does men	nber p	ose a	curren	t risk	for ha	arm tod	s <b>es</b> q	<i>c</i> ohes		A.NO.	illet.	nisk	0	Yes, p	oses a	C	Unag	ire, re	ferred	alli
	b. (	Outcome of	of asse	essme	ent				m'	AI		j Imn	nedi		0	Routin	e follow-		₽ Refg	rake	e indii	ated
4	Rec	cord additi	ional n	uestio	ne or	conce	erns in	lentifie			lurina	refe		-		up refe	erral	. 1		H.	1	1117,
-	_	oora adam	orran q	00000		00110	31710 10		J.	graphic o	un-ng					Á	_0.4	B.	$n_{\parallel}$	W	ľV	P
	_							ų.	y_							- 2		10	8 3	199		
As	ses	sment an	d Ref	erral:	After	my in	itervie	w with	the s	ervice m	embe	er and	ray	tew of	this	201	thereis	ahe	ed for	furth	er	
_					Minor		ojor			der Care	De II	- 3111	100	III 43P	3 16	- 10	DIE COM	PITTE	S.J.	P 10 300	Roll Co.	25
5.	_	ntified Cor			Concern	Con	cem	Y	es	No		30.	-45	7	क्ष क	.09 30	P					
	-	Physical S			0	6				0	. 4	9	300	Nagef			nt care					
	-	Exposure ( Depression			ő	lò			ŏ	o si		200	b.				illy Pract	ion				
	-	PTSD Sym		IUIIIIO	ŏ	lò			0.40	18 11	T I	ŏ		Specia			my r noon	100				
	-	Anger/Agg			ŏ	lò			ď	151	# #	- 8	ga.				in Prima	ny Ca	ne .			
	_	Suicidal Ide			0	140	)	Has	d 1		H P	ŏ					cialty Ca					
		Social/Fam		iffict	0	# c			a I	<b>В</b> ь #	2"	Õ					re Mana					
		Alcohol Us	,	- 1	O.	₽ď	71		dill	ъ		0	-				Program	_				
		Other:			Ø	帽齿	k#	1	O <sub>de</sub>	0							Health E		tion			
	0	None	- 4	АÏ	II.	<b>日</b> 日	J.II	300				0	) j.	Other	Healt	hcare S	Service					
7.	Co	mments:_	A B			llu'	100					0	) k.	Chapla	in							
á	Ð.	- 44	ÆI	# H	W	₩					_	0	) Į.	Family	Sup	port, Co	ommunit	y Sen	vice			
4	₩-	40 87		J B	dh.						_	0	) m.	Military	One	Source	•					
ű	a.	Name (Le	t Fire	7								0	) n.	Other:								
100	Bir		P																	IÇE	0-9 Co	de for this
1	E	Signature					OCCUPATION OF						_		THE RES			B. 01000			visit	: V70.5_6
-		ary Staff/						200	1	30	E. 2	10.5		18	200	the C	Tauda -	need)		R 5	100	25 Bit
9. N		ber was p Health Edu				-								ral mad litary Tr			_	neal	ırıcare	or st	ippor	t system:
	_	Health Car					Inform	ation									unny Aedical F					
	_	Appointme				u1005	and the	130011				_					Commu					
		Service me				mplet	e form					_		t Cente			Johnsto	y C				
		Service me							sessm	ent		_		RICARE		vider						
	_	Service me										_		ontract :								
		Other:												mmuni		_						
														her:								
													) No	_							33344	
																				_	-	<b>—</b>
		DD FOR	M 290	0, JU	N 200	5						ASI	D(H	A) AP	PRO	VED			11	۲_	_7	
																			Ь	_		

# APPENDIX E: DD 2900 POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH REASSESSMENT, 2008 VERSION

### This form must be completed electronically. Handwritten forms will not be accepted.

### POST-DEPLOYMENT HEALTH RE-ASSESSMENT (PDHRA)

#### PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 136, 1074f, 3013, 5013, 8013 and E.O. 9397.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): To assess your state of health after deployment in support of military operations and to assist military healthcare providers in identifying and providing present and future medical care you may need. The information you provide may result in a referral for additional healthcare that may include medical, dental or behavioral healthcare or diverse community support services.

ROUTINE USE(S): In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, to other Federal and State agencies and civilian healthcare providers, as necessary, in order to provide necessary medical care and treatment.

DISCLOSURE: Voluntary. If not provided, healthcare WILL BE furnished, but comprehensive care may not be possible.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please read each question completely and carefully before entering your response or marking your selection. YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO ANSWER EACH QUESTION. Withholding or providing inaccurate information may impair a healthcare provider's ability to identify health problems and refer you to appropriate sources for additional evaluation or treatment. If you do not understand a question, please ask for help. Please respond based on your MOST RECENT DEPLOYMENT.

DEMOGR					NAME OF THE PARTY					
Last Name				First Name	Middle Initial					
Social Sec	urity Nun	nber C	Δ	Date of Birth (dd/mmm/yyyy)	Today's Date (dd/mmm/yyyy)					
Date arrive	d theater	(dd/mmm/yyyy)	7	Date departed theater (dd/mmm/yyyy)						
Gender		Service Branch		Status Prior to Deployment	Pay Grad	de				
O Male	(	Air Force		O Active Duty	O E1	0 01	O W1			
O Female O Army				O Selected Reserves - Reserve - Unit	O E2	O 02	O W2			
O Navy				O Selected Reserves - Reserve - AGR	O E3	O 03	O W3			
O Marina Carna				O Selected Reserves - Reserve - IMA	O E4	0 04	O W4			
Coast Guard				O Selected Reserves - National Guard - Unit	O E5	O 05	O W5			
O Never Married O Married O Civilian Employee				O Selected Reserves - National Guard - AGR	O E6	O 06				
O Separated		Other		O Ready Reserves - IRR	O E7	0 07	O Other			
O Divorced				O Ready Reserves - ING	O E8	O 08				
O Widowed				O Civilian Government Employee	O E9					
O Widowed				O Other		O 010				
Location of	Operatio	n		Since return from deployment I have:	Current (	Contact Inform	nation:			
To what areas	were you	mainly deployed (land-		O Maintained/returned to previous status	Phone:					
		han 30 days)? Please ne number of months s		O Transitioned to Selected Reserves	Cell:					
at each location		io nambor or monato o	Point	O Transitioned to IRR	DSN:					
O Country 1		Months		O Transitioned to ING	Email:					
O Country 2	Strain Control	Months		O Retired from Military Service	Address:					
O Country 3		Months		O Separated from Military Service						
O Country 4	ST.	Months								
O Country 5		Months								
Total Deploy	yments ii	n Past 5 Years:	1	Current Unit of Assignment	Point of reach yo	Contact who o	can always			
OIF	OEF	Other			Name:					
O 1	0 1	0 1	2		Phone:					
O 2	O 2	O 2	1	Current Assignment Location	Email:					
O 3	O 3	O 3			Mailing Ad	dress:				
O 4	0 4	O 4			J. 1					
O 5 or more	O 5 or more	O 5 or more			\					
DD FORM	2900, J	AN 2008		PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.			Page 1 of 5 Pag			

# This form must be completed electronically. Handwritten forms will not be accepted. Service Member's Social Security Number:

Overall, how would you rate your health durin PAST MONTH?	g the	2.	Compared to before y would you rate your h	ealth in genera	t deployn I now?	nent,	how
O Excellent			Much better now than				
O Very Good			O Somewhat better now		oyea		
O Good			O About the same as be		oved		
O Fair			<ul> <li>Somewhat worse now</li> <li>Much worse now than</li> </ul>		byed		
O Poor			O Much worse now than	before i deployed			
<ol><li>During the past 4 weeks, how difficult have phealth problems (illness or injury) made it for you your work or other regular daily activities?</li></ol>		N 4.	During the past 4 wee problems (such as feelin to do your work, take with other people?	ks, how difficul ng depressed or ar care of things a	t have en exious) mad at home, o	notior de it f or get	nal or you ∶along
O Not difficult at all O Very difficult			O Not difficult at all	<ul><li>Very diffic</li></ul>	ult		
O Somewhat difficult O Extremely difficult			<ul> <li>Somewhat difficult</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Extremely</li> </ul>	difficult		
<ol><li>Since you returned from deployment, about he such as in sick call, emergency room, primary</li></ol>					r any reas	son,	
O No visits O 1 visit	O 2-3	3 visits	O 4-5 visits		O 6 or mo	re	
6. Since you returned from deployment, have yo	u been ho	ospitaliz	ed?		O Yes	01	No
7. During your deployment, were you wounded,	injured, a	ssaulted	or otherwise physica	ally hurt?	O Yes	0 1	No
If NO, skip to Question 8.  7a. If YES, are you still having problems related to this wou	und, assault	t, or injury	,	O Yes	O No	0	Unsure
In addition to wounds or injuries you listed in a health concern or condition that you feel is if NO, skip to Question 9.	question related to	7., do yo your de	ou currently have ployment?	O Yes	O No	0 (	Unsure
8a. If YES, please mark the item(s) that best describe your	deploymen	nt-related of	condition or concern:				
O Fever		0	Dimming of vision, like the	ne lights were goin	g out		
O Cough lasting more than 3 weeks	Access of the last	0	Chest pain or pressure				3-53-5-5
Trouble breathing		0	Dizzy, light headed, pass	sed out			
O Bad headaches		0	Diarrhea, vomiting, or fre	quent indigestion/l	neartburn		
Generally feeling weak		0	Problems sleeping or still	I feeling tired after	sleeping		
Muscle aches		0	Trouble concentrating, e	asily distracted			
Swollen, stiff or painful joints		0	Forgetful or trouble reme	embering things			
O Back pain		0	Hard to make up your m	ind or make decision	ons		
Numbness or tingling in hands or feet		0	Increased irritability				
O Trouble hearing		0	Taking more risks such a	as driving faster			
Ringing in the ears		, 0	Skin diseases or rashes				
O Watery, red eyes	7 4	- 0	Other (please list):				
	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following h	any of the event(s)	you just no	ted in	ened to
events? (Mark all that apply) (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade,		9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after aquestion 9a.? (Mark all th	any of the event(s) at apply)	you just no	oted in Yes	No
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following h	any of the event(s) at apply)	you just no	ted in	
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.) (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)	Yes No	96.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after aquestion 9a.? (Mark all th	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out"	you just no	oted in Yes	No
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all th	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars"	you just no	oted in Yes	No O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after question 9a.? (Mark all the (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, or (2) and the following th	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars"	you just no	Yes	No () ()
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following h you, IMMEDIATELY after question 9a.? (Mark all th (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the e	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars"	you just no	Yes O O	<b>№</b>
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders	Yes No	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after the puestion 9a.? (Mark all this fit of the fit of	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars"	you just no	Yes O O O	No O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.) (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft) (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders (4) Fall (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your	Yes No. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9b	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after the puestion 9a.? (Mark all this fit of the fit of	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" vent	you just no	Yes O O O O	Nº 0 0 0 0 0
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)	Yes No.	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all the (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the er (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury in the past week, have you	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" event	you just no	yes O O O O U u indicayes	No O O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses	Yes No C	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after question 9a.? (Mark all th (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the er (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury in the past week, have youn 9c.? (Mark all that apply (1) Memory problems or	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" went  u had any of the sy ) lapses	you just no	yes O O O O u indica	No O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses  (2) Balance problems or dizziness	Yes No CO	9b.	Did any of the following h you, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all th (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the even (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury in the past week, have youn 9c.? (Mark all that apply (1) Memory problems or (2) Balance problems or	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" went  u had any of the sy ) lapses	you just no	ves	No O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses  (2) Balance problems or dizziness  (3) Ringing in the ears	Yes No CO	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after question 9a.? (Mark all th (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the et (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury  In the past week, have youn 9c.? (Mark all that apply (1) Memory problems or (2) Balance problems or (3) Ringing in the ears	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" vent  I had any of the sy )  lapses dizziness	you just no	ves	No O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses (2) Balance problems or dizziness (3) Ringing in the ears (4) Sensitivity to bright light	Yes	9b.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all the (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the even (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury (1) Memory problems or (2) Balance problems or (3) Ringing in the ears (4) Sensitivity to bright light.	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" vent  I had any of the sy )  lapses dizziness	you just no	ves	No O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses  (2) Balance problems or dizziness  (3) Ringing in the ears  (4) Sensitivity to bright light  (5) Irritability	Yes	9b	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all the (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the even (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury (1) Memory problems or (2) Balance problems or (3) Ringing in the ears (4) Sensitivity to bright life (5) Irritability	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" vent  I had any of the sy )  lapses dizziness	you just no	ves	No O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
events? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Blast or explosion (IED, RPG, land mine, grenade, etc.)  (2) Vehicular accident/crash (any vehicle, including aircraft)  (3) Fragment wound or bullet wound above your shoulders  (4) Fall  (5) Other event (for example, a sports injury to your head). Describe:  c. Did any of the following problems begin or get worse aft you noted in question 9a.? (Mark all that apply)  (1) Memory problems or lapses (2) Balance problems or dizziness (3) Ringing in the ears (4) Sensitivity to bright light	Yes	96.	Did any of the following hyou, IMMEDIATELY after a question 9a.? (Mark all the (1) Lost consciousness or (2) Felt dazed, confused, (3) Didn't remember the even (4) Had a concussion (5) Had a head injury (1) Memory problems or (2) Balance problems or (3) Ringing in the ears (4) Sensitivity to bright light.	any of the event(s) at apply) got "knocked out" or "saw stars" vent  I had any of the sy )  lapses dizziness	you just no	ves	No O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O

Service Member's Social Security Number: 10. Do you have any persistent major concerns regarding the health effects of something you O Yes O No believe you may have been exposed to or encountered while deployed? If NO, skip to question 11. 10a. If YES, please mark the item(s) that best describe your concern: Animal bites Loud noises O Animal bodies (dead) Paints O Pesticides O Chlorine gas O Radar/Microwaves O Depleted uranium (If yes, explain) O Sand/dust Excessive vibration O Fog oils (smoke screen O Smoke from burning trash or feces Garbage O Smoke from oil fire O Human blood, body fluids, body parts, or dead bodies Solvents O Tent heater smoke Industrial pollution O Insect bites O Vehicle or truck exhaust fumes Other exposures to toxic chemicals or materials, such as ammonia, Ionizing radiation nitric acid, etc.: (If yes, explain) O JP8 or other fuels Lasers 11. Since return from your deployment, have you had serious conflicts with your O No O Unsure spouse, family members, close friends, or at work that continue to cause you 12. Have you ever had any experience that was so frightening, horrible, or upsetting that, IN THE PAST MONTH, you .... O Yes O No a. Have had nightmares about it or thought about it when you did not want to? O Yes O No b. Tried hard not to think about it or went out of your way to avoid situations that remind you of it? O Yes O No c. Were constantly on guard, watchful, or easily startled? d. Felt numb or detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? O Yes O No 13a. In the PAST MONTH, Did you use alcohol more than you meant to? O Yes O No O Yes O No b. In the PAST MONTH, have you felt that you wanted to or needed to cut down on your drinking? c. How often do you have a drink containing alcohol? O Never O Monthly or less O 2 to 4 times a month O 2 to 3 times a week O 4 or more times a week d. How many drinks containing alcohol do you have on a typical day when you are drinking? O 5 or 6 O 10 or more O 1 or 2 O 3 or 4 O 7 to 9 e. How often do you have six or more drinks on one occasion? O Weekly O Daily O Never O Less than monthly O Monthly 14. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been bothered by the Not at all More than following problems? every days a. Little interest or pleasure in doing things 0 0 0 0 0 0 b. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless 0 0 15. Would you like to schedule a visit with a healthcare provider to further discuss your health O Yes O No 16. Are you currently interested in receiving information or assistance for a stress, emotional or O Yes O No alcohol concern? O Yes O No 17. Are you currently interested in receiving assistance for a family or relationship concern? O Yes O No 18. Would you like to schedule a visit with a chaplain or a community support counselor? **DD FORM 2900, JAN 2008** Page 3 of 5 Pages

Service Member's Social Security Number:	Date (dd/mmm/yyyy):					
Health Care Provider Only						
Provider Review and Interview						
Review symptoms and deployment concerns identified on for O Confirmed screening results as reported     Screening results modified, amended, clarified during interview:	orm:			74		
Ask behavioral risk questions. Conduct risk assessment.     a. Over the PAST MONTH, have you been bothered by thoughts that you or of hurting yourself in some way?  IF YES, about how often have you been bothered by these	would be better off	dead  O More than half	O Yes	O No		
thoughts?  b. Since return from your deployment, have you had thoughts or concern you might hurt or lose control with someone?	fs. (50)	of the time  O Yes	O No	O Unsure		
3. If member reports positive or unsure response to 2a. or 2b.,     a. Does member pose a current risk for harm to self or others?     b. Outcome of assessment      4. Alcohol screening result     O No evidence of alcohol-letated problems.     O Potential alcohol problem (positive response to either question 13a. o score of 4 or more for men or 3 or more for women).	No, not a current risk Immediate referral	Yes, poses a current risk Routine follow-up referral	O Referral no	ot indicated		
Refer to POM for evaluation.  No evidence of risk based on responses to questions 9.a d.  Potential TBI with persistent symptoms, based on responses to quest Refer for additional evaluation.		v:	O Yes	O No		
-						
			17 3 19 3			
		T:				
	=					
10						
DD FORM 2900, JAN 2008			Pa	ge 4 of 5 Pages		

Service Member's Social Security Number:

Date (dd/mmm/yyyy):

Assessment and Referral: After my interview with the service member and review of this form, there is a need for further evaluation and follow-up as indicated below. (More than one may be noted for patients with multiple concerns.)

7 Identified Concerns	Identified Concerns Minor Concern Concern Concern Already Under Care Yes No			8. Referral Information	Within 24 hours	Within 7 days	Within 30 days	
7. Identified contents			a. Primary Care, Family Practice	0	0	0		
O Physical Symptom(s)	0	0	0	0	b. Behavioral Health in Primary Care	0	0	0
O Exposure Symptom(s)	0	0	0	0	c. Mental Health Specialty Care	0	0	0
O Depression symptoms	0	0	0	0	d. Other specialty care:			
O PTSD symptoms	0	0	0	0	Audiology	0	0	0
O Anger/Aggression	0	0	0	0	Cardiology	0	0	0
O Suicidal Ideation	0	0	0	0	Dentistry	0	0	0
O Social/Family Conflict	0	0	0	0	Dermatology	0	0	0
O Alcohol Use	0	0	0	0	ENT	0	0	0
Other:	0	0	0	0	GI	0	0	0
9. Comments:					Internal Medicine	0	0	0
					Neurology	0	0	0
					OB/GYN	0	0	0
					Ophthalmology	0	0	0
					Optometry	0	0	0
					Orthopedics	0	0	0
No. of the contract of the con					Pulmonology	0	0	0
					Urology	0	0	0
					e. Case Manager, Care Manager	0	0	0
					f. Substance Abuse Program	0	0	0
					g. Health Promotion, Health Education	0	0	0
					h. Chaplain	0	0	0
					i. Family Support, Community Service	0	0	0
XX CAST CONTRACT CONT					j. Military OneSource	0	0	0
					k. Other:	0	0	0
					No referral made			

I certify that this review process has been completed.

10. Provider's signature and stamp:

S A M

P L

ICD-9 Code for this visit: V70.5 \_ F

### **Ancillary Staff/Administrative Section**

11. Member was provided the following:	12. Referral was made to the following healthcare or support system:				
O Health Education and Information	Military Treatment Facility				
O Health Care Benefits and Resources Information	O Division/Line-based medical resource				
O Appointment Assistance	O VA Medical Center or Community Clinic				
O Service member declined to complete form	O Vet Center				
O Service member declined to complete interview/assessment	O TRICARE Provider				
O Service member declined referral for services	O Contract Support:				
O LOD	O Community Service:				
Other:	O Other:				
	○ None				

DD FORM 2900, JAN 2008

Page 5 of 5 Pages

### REFERENCES

- 1. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC). 2011. Absolute and relative morbidity burdens attributed to various illnesses and injuries, U.S. Armed Forces, 2010. *MSMR*. 18(4):2-7
- 2. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC). 2012. Costs of war: excess health care burdens during the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq (relative to the health care experience pre-war). *MSMR*. 19(11):2-9
- 3. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC). 2013. Absolute and relative morbidity burdens attributed to various illnesses and injuries, U.S. Armed Forces, 2012. *MSMR*. 20(4):5-10
- 4. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (AFHSC). 2013. Hospitalizations among members of the active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2012. *MSMR*. 20(4):11-17
- 5. Allison-Aipa TS, Ritter C, Sikes P, & Ball S. 2010. The impact of deployment on the psychological health status, level of alcohol consumption, and use of psychological health resources of postdeployed U.S. Army Reserve soldiers. *Mil Med*. 175(9):630-37
- 6. Ames GM, Cunradi CB, Moore RS, & Stern P. 2007. Military culture and drinking behavior among U.S. Navy careerists. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 68(3):336-44
- 7. Back SE, Dansky BS, Carroll KM, Foa EB, & Brady KT. 2001. Exposure therapy in the treatment of PTSD among cocaine-dependent individuals: description of procedures. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 21(1):35-45
- 8. Barlas FM, Higgins WB, Pflieger JC, & Diecker K. 2013. 2011 Health Related Behaviors Survey of Active Duty Personnel. Fairfax, VA: ICF International
- 9. Blader JC. 2011. Acute inpatient care for psychiatric disorders in the United States, 1996 through 2007. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 68(12):1276-83
- 10. Bliese PD, Wright KM, Adler AB, Cabrera O, Castro CA, & Hoge CW. 2008. Validating the primary care posttraumatic stress disorder screen and the posttraumatic stress disorder checklist with soldiers returning from combat. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 76(2):272-81
- 11. Bray RM, & Hourani LL. 2007. Substance use trends among active duty military personnel: Findings from the United States Department of Defense Health Related Behavior Surveys, 1980-2005. *Addiction*. 102:1092-101
- 12. Bray RM, Pemberton MR, Hourani LL, Witt M, Olmsted KLR, Brown JM, et al. 2009. 2008 Department of Defense Survey of Health-Related Behaviors Among

- Active Duty Military Personnel. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute.
- 13. Bray RM, Pemberton MR, Lane ME, Hourani LL, Mattiko MJ, & Babeu LA. 2010. Substance use and mental health trends among U.S. military active duty personnel: key findings from the 2008 DoD Health Behavior Survey. *Mil Med*. 175(6):390-99
- 14. Brown JM, Bray RM, & Hartzell MC. 2010. A comparison of alcohol use and related problems among women and men in the military. *Mil Med*. 175(2):101-7
- 15. Brown RL, Leonard T, Saunders LA, & Papasouliotis O. 1997. A two-item screening test for alcohol and other drug problems. *J Fam Practice*. 44(2):151-60
- 16. Brown RL, Leonard T, Saunders LA, & Papasouliotis O. 2001. A two-item conjoint screen for alcohol and other drug problems. *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 14(2):95-106
- 17. Bursac Z, Gauss, CH, Williams, DZ, & Hosmer D. 2008. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med*, 3(17):np
- 18. Carter AC, Capone C, & Short EE. 2011. Co-occurring Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Alcohol Use Disorders in Veteran Populations. *J Dual Diagn*. 7(4):285-99
- 19. Chilcoat HD, & Breslau N. 1998. Investigations of causal pathways between PTSD and drug use disorders. *Addict Behav*. 23(6):827-40
- 20. Cohen SP, Brown C, Kurihara C, Plunkett A, Nguyen C, & Strassels SA. 2010. Diagnoses and factors associated with medical evacuation and return to duty for service members participating in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 375(9711):301-9
- 21. Cottler LB, Compton WM III, Mager D, Spitznagel EL, & Janca A. 1992. Posttraumatic stress disorder among substance users from the general population. *Am J Psychiat*. 149(5):664-70
- 22. Defense Health Information Management System (DHIMS). 2013. Essentris Inpatient System. <a href="http://dhims.health.mil/products/garrison/essentris.aspx">http://dhims.health.mil/products/garrison/essentris.aspx</a>
- 23. Deployment Health Clinical Center (DHCC). 2013. Enhanced Post-Deployment Health Assessment (PDHA) Process (DD Form 2796). http://www.pdhealth.mil/dcs/dd\_form\_2796.asp
- 24. Deployment Health Clinical Center (DHCC). 2013. Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHRA) Program (DD Form 2900). http://www.pdhealth.mil/dcs/pdhra.asp

- 25. Eisen SV, Schultz MR, Vogt D, Glickman ME, Elwy AR, Drainoni ML, et al. 2012. Mental and physical health status and alcohol and drug use following return from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. *Am J Public Health*. 102(1):66-73
- 26. Field A. 2009. *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishing
- 27. Gibbs DA, & Rae Olmsted KL. 2011. Preliminary examination of the Confidential Alcohol Treatment and Education program. *Mil Psychol*. 23(1):97-111
- 28. Heltemes KJ, Clouser MC, MacGregor AJ, Norman SB, & Galarneau MR. in press. Co-occurring mental health and alcohol misuse: Dual disorder symptoms in combat injured veterans. *Addict Behav*.
- 29. Hoge CW, Lesikar SE, Guevara R, Lange J, Brundage JF, Engel CC Jr, Orman DT. 2002. Mental disorders among U.S. military personnel in the 1990s: association with high levels of health care utilization and early military attrition. *Am J Psychiat*. 159(9):1576-83
- 30. Hoge CW, Auchterlonie JL, & Milliken CS. 2006. Mental health problems, use of mental health services, and attrition from military service after returning from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. *JAMA*. 295(9):1023-32
- 31. Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, McGurk D, Cotting DI, & Koffman RL. 2004. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *New Engl J Med.* 351(1):13-22
- 32. Hoge CW, Toboni HE, Messer SC, Bell N, Amoroso P, & Orman DT. 2005. The occupational burden of mental disorders in the U.S. military: psychiatric hospitalizations, involuntary separations, and disability. *Am J Psychiat*. 162(3):585-91
- 33. Hosmer DW, & Lemeshow S. 2000. *Applied Logistic Regression*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: John Wiley
- 34. Ilgen MA, Hu KU, Moos RH, & McKellar J. 2008. Continuing care after inpatient psychiatric treatment for patients with psychiatric and substance use disorders. *Psychiat Serv*. 59(9):982-88
- 35. Jacobsen LK, Southwick SM, & Kosten TR. 2001. Substance use disorders in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder: a review of the literature. *Am J Psychiat*. 158(8):1184-90
- 36. Jacobson IG, Ryan MA, Hooper TI, Smith TC, Amoroso PJ, Boyko EJ, et al. 2008. Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems before and after military combat deployment. *JAMA*. 300(6):663-75

- 37. Kranzler HR, & Rosenthal, RN. 2003. Dual diagnosis: Alcoholism and comorbid psychiatric disorders. *Am J Addict*. 12(Suppl 1):S26-S40
- 38. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, & Williams JB. 2003. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. *Med Care*. 41(11):1284-92
- 39. Leeies M, Pagura J, Sareen J, & Bolton JM. 2010. The use of alcohol and drugs to self-medicate symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress Anxiety*. 27(8):731-36
- 40. Lemke S, & Schaefer JA. 2010. VA nursing home residents with substance use disorders: Mental health comorbidities, functioning, and problem behaviors. *Aging Ment Health*. 14(5):593-602
- 41. Luxton DD, Trofimovich L, & Clark LL. 2013. Suicide risk among U.S. service members after psychiatric hospitalization, 2001-2011. *Psychiat Serv*. 64(7):626-9
- 42. Magidson JF, Wang S, Lejuez CW, Iza M, & Blanco C. 2013. Prospective study of substance-induced and independent major depressive disorder among individuals with substance use disorders in a nationally representative sample. *Depress Anxiety*. 30(6):538-45
- 43. Marshall DL, Prescott MR, Liberzon I, Tamburrino MB, Calabrese JR, & Galea S. 2012. Coincident posttraumatic stress disorder during and after deployment among Army National Guard Soldiers. *Drug Alc Depend*. 124(3):193-199
- 44. McDevitt-Murphy ME, Williams JL, Bracken KL, Fields, JA, Monahan CJ, & Murphy JG. 2010. PTSD symptoms, hazardous drinking, and health functioning among U.S. OEF and OIF Veterans presenting to primary care. *J Trauma Stress*. 23(1):108-111
- 45. McFarlane AC. 1998. Epidemiological evidence about the relationship between PTSD and alcohol abuse: the nature of the association. *Addict Behav*. 23(6):813-25
- 46. Meyer GS, & Krakauer H. 1998. Validity of the Department of Defense Standard Inpatient Data Record for quality management and health services research. *Mil Med*. 163(7):461-65
- 47. Milliken CS, Auchterlonie JL, & Hoge CW. 2007. Longitudinal assessment of mental health problems among active and reserve component soldiers returning from the Iraq war. *JAMA*. 298(18):2141-48
- 48. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). 2009. International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9). http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd9.htm

- 49. O'Brien CP, Amaro HD, Beale RR, Bray RM, Caetano R, Falco M, et al. 2012. Substance Use Disorders in the U.S. Armed Forces. Washington, D.C.: Institute of Medicine of the National Academies Press.
- 50. Pietrzak RH, Goldstein RB, Southwick SM, & Grant BF. 2011. Prevalence and Axis I comorbidity of full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: results from Wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Anxiety Disord*. 25(3):456-65
- 51. Prins A, Ouimette P, Kimerling R, Cameron RP, Hugelshofer DS, Shaw-Hegwer J, et al. 2004. The primary care PTSD screen (PC-PTSD): development and operating characteristics. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract*. 9:9-14
- 52. Ramchand R, Miles J, Schell T, Jaycox L, Marshall GN, & Tanielian T. 2010. Prevalence and correlates of drinking behaviors among previously deployed military and matched civilian populations. *Mil Psychol*. 23:6-21
- 53. Riggs DS, Rukstalis M, Volpicelli JR, Kalmanson D, & Foa EB. 2003. Demographic and social adjustment characteristics of patients with comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol dependence: Potential pitfalls to PTSD treatment. *Addict Behav*. 28(9), 1717-30
- 54. Ritchie EC. 2007. Update on combat psychiatry: from the battle front to the home front and back again. *Mil Med*. 172(12):11-14
- 55. Ritchie EC, Benedek D, Malone R, & Carr-Malone R. 2006. Psychiatry and the military: an update. *Psychiat Clin N Am*. 29(3):695-707
- 56. Robinson J, Sareen J, Cox BJ, & Bolton J. 2009. Self-medication of anxiety disorders with alcohol and drugs: Results from a nationally representative sample. *J Anxiety Disord*. 23(1):38-45
- 57. Rosen CS, Kuhn E, Greenbaum MA, & Drescher KD. 2008. Substance abuse-related mortality among middle-aged male VA psychiatric patients. *Psychiat Serv*. 59(3):290-96
- 58. Rothman KJ, Greenman S, & Lash TL. 2008. *Modern Epidemiology*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
- 59. Rubertone MV, & Brundage JF. 2002. The Defense Medical Surveillance System and the Department of Defense serum repository: glimpses of the future of public health surveillance. *Am J Public Health*. 92(12):1900-4
- 60. Rundell JR. 2006. Demographics of and diagnoses in Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom personnel who were psychiatrically evacuated from the theater of operations. *Gen Hosp Psychiat*. 28(4):352-56

- 61. Russo J, Roy-Byrne PP, Jaffe C, Ries R, Dagadakis C, & Avery D. 1997. Psychiatric status, quality of life, and level of care as predictors of outcomes of acute inpatient treatment. *Psychiat Serv*. 48:1427-34
- 62. Santiago PN, Wilk JE, Milliken CS, Castro CA, Engel CC, & Hoge CW. 2010. Screening for alcohol misuse and alcohol-related behaviors among combat veterans. *Psychiat Serv*. 61(6):575-81
- 63. Schmutte T, Dunn CL, & Sledge WH. 2010. Predicting time to readmission in patients with recent histories of recurrent psychiatric hospitalization: a matched-control survival analysis. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 198(12):860-63
- 64. Scott JC, Pietrzak RH, Mattocks K, Southwick SM, Brandt C, & Haskell S. 2013. Gender differences in the correlates of hazardous drinking among Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *Drug Alcohol Depen*. 127(1-3):15-22
- 65. Shen YC, Arkes J, & Williams TV. 2012. Effects of Iraq/Afghanistan deployments on major depression and substance use disorder: Analysis of active duty personnel in the US military. *Am J Public Health*. 102(1):80-87
- 66. Shipherd JC, Stafford J, & Tanner LR. 2005. Predicting alcohol and drug abuse in Persian Gulf War Veterans: What role do PTSD symptoms play? *Addict Behav*. 30:595-99
- 67. Skopp NA, Swanson R, Luxton DD, Reger MA, Trofimovich L, First M, Maxwell J, & Gahm GA. 2012. An examination of the diagnostic efficiency of post-deployment health screens. *J Clin Psychol*. 68(12):1253-65
- 68. Smith MW, Lemke S, & Schaefer J. 2011. Substance use disorders and health care costs among veterans affairs nursing home residents. *Med Care*. 49(6):538-44
- 69. Sonne SC, Back SE, Diaz Zuniga C, Randall CL, & Brady KT. 2003. Gender differences in individuals with comorbid alcohol dependence and post-traumatic stress disorder. *Am J Addict*. 12(5):412-23
- 70. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, & Williams JB. 1999. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. *JAMA*. 282(18):1737-44.
- 71. Tanielian TL & Jaycox LH, eds. 2008. *Invisible wounds of war: Psychological and cognitive injuries, their consequences, and services to assist recovery.* Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation
- 72. Thomas JL, Wilk JE, Riviere LA, McGurk D, Castro CA, & Hoge CW. 2010. Prevalence of mental health problems and functional impairment among active

- component and National Guard soldiers 3 and 12 months following combat in Iraq. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 67(6):614-23
- 73. United States Central Command (USCENTCOM). 2013. General Order Number 1C: Prohibited Activities for U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Personnel Present within the United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) Area of Responsibility. http://www.cusnc.navy.mil/ctf-ia/documents/GO-1C\_20130521.pdf
- 74. Westphal RJ. 2007. Fleet leaders' attitudes about subordinates' use of mental health services. *Mil Med*. 172(11):1138-43
- 75. Wilk JE, Bliese PD, Kim PY, Thomas JL, McGurk D, & Hoge CW. 2010. Relationship of combat experiences to alcohol misuse among U.S. soldiers returning from the Iraq war. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 108(1-2):115-21
- 76. Wojcik BE, Akhtar FZ, & Hassell LH. 2009. Hospital admissions related to mental disorders in U.S. Army soldiers in Iraq and Afghanistan. *Mil Med*. 174(10):1010-18
- 77. Zhang J, Harvey C, & Andrew C. 2011. Factors associated with length of stay and the risk of readmission in an acute psychiatric inpatient facility: a retrospective study. *Aust NZ J Psychiat*. 45(7):578-85