

Zika and Spondweni viruses: Historic evidence of misidentification, misdiagnosis, and serious clinical disease manifestations

Andrew D. Haddow^{1*} and John P. Woodall²

¹United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID)
Entomology Department
Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5011
Andrew D. Haddow, Email: andrew.d.haddow.ctr@mail.mil

²ProMED-mail
International Society for Infectious Diseases
Brookline, MA, USA, 02446
John P. Woodall, Email: jackwoodall13@gmail.com

*Corresponding author

Content Type: Perspective

Word Count: 974

1 **Abstract**

2

3 The Spondweni serogroup (family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*) consists of two members: Zika
4 and Spondweni viruses. Both viruses have been historically misidentified and their diseases have
5 been misdiagnosed due to their serological cross-reactivity and similar clinical presentations.

6 Within historic case reports a sub-set of patients has presented with short duration clinical
7 manifestations suggestive of more serious illness.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Perspective**

25

26 Viruses within the genus *Flavivirus*, family *Flaviviridae*, are notorious for their serological
27 cross-reactivity. Prior to the advent of genetic sequencing, classic serological assays such as the
28 virus neutralization and hemagglutination-inhibition were used to differentiate the various species
29 of arboviruses. Although not perfect, much of the early work differentiating flaviviruses into
30 various serogroups was later confirmed by sequencing and phylogenetic analyses. Historically,
31 serological assays (the neutralization and complement fixation tests) were also used to determine
32 the prevalence of prior infection and geographic distribution of flaviviruses. Both viruses in the
33 Spondweni serogroup, Zika (ZIKV) and Spondweni (SPONV), exhibit serological cross-
34 reactivity and non-specific febrile illness, making diagnosis challenging in regions where both
35 viruses co-circulate.

36

37 ZIKV was first isolated in Uganda in 1947 (strain MR-766) (1) and SPONV was first isolated in
38 Nigeria in 1952 (strain Chuku) (2). Cross-reactivity using the neutralization test led to the
39 misidentification of the SPONV Chuku strain isolated by MacNamara in 1952 as a strain of
40 ZIKV (2-5). This misidentification led to additional studies where this strain of SPONV was
41 reported as ZIKV – confusion that continues to the present day, although the misidentification of
42 this isolate was clarified and widely reported in 1964 (3-5). Consequently, the clinical case
43 reports by MacNamara (2), the work by Bearcroft (6) involving the experimental infection of a
44 human volunteer and vector competence studies in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes, and the
45 experimental work by Bearcroft (7) in *Macaca mulatta* monkeys investigating the effect of prior
46 infection, and the subsequent histopathology of the liver and level of cross-protection following

47 exposure to yellow fever virus, all utilized SPONV (Chuku strain) rather than ZIKV.
48 Furthermore, early serosurveys in regions where both ZIKV and SPONV co-circulate are suspect
49 due to serological cross-reactivity or where serological assays only screened for one of the two
50 viruses (2, 8).

51
52 Both ZIKV and SPONV are arthropod-borne viruses and utilize a mosquito/host (non-human
53 primate and/or human) transmission cycle. ZIKV has a wide geographic distribution that
54 includes East and West Africa, the Indian sub-continent, Southeast Asia, Oceania, South and
55 Central America, and the Caribbean (9-11); whereas SPONV has thus far only been reported
56 from sub-Saharan Africa (12). The lack of continuous historic detection in those regions with
57 ZIKV or SPONV isolations or serological evidence of transmission prior to 2007 is likely due to
58 the lack of surveillance, misdiagnosis, and under-reporting. It is plausible that virus outbreaks
59 did occur in those regions with prior serologic evidence of infection and were attributed to other
60 arboviral infections. Clinical ZIKV and SPONV presentation is similar to classic dengue fever
61 which may have led to historic misdiagnosis (9).

62
63 In their historic geographic ranges (distribution prior to 2007), both ZIKV and SPONV likely
64 circulated at low levels in sylvatic cycles, whereby low numbers of naïve persons were
65 periodically exposed to infection. While historic case reports of serious clinical manifestations
66 associated with Spondweni Serogroup viruses may have been limited due to poor diagnosis and
67 reporting, it is plausible that the lack of historic reports of congenital birth defects associated
68 with ZIKV infection *in utero*, were a result of exposure to the virus prior to puberty. Such an
69 infection would likely result in a female being immune to a subsequent infection during her

70 reproductive years. The extent of cross-protection exhibited within the Spondweni Serogroup is
71 unknown, and cross-protection with other flaviviruses such as yellow fever virus and/or the 17D
72 yellow fever virus vaccine appears to be limited (4-6, 13-16).

73

74 Most cases of ZIKV and SPONV infection are asymptomatic (10, 11). Of symptomatic cases,
75 signs and symptoms appear as early as 3 days following infection for both ZIKV(11) and
76 SPONV (6). The common clinical presentation of ZIKV infection is now well established and a
77 recent literature review lists the most common signs and symptoms reported from 195 patients
78 from 1964 to 2016 as rash (67.2%), fever (63.6%), arthralgia (28.7%), myalgia (23.6%),
79 headache (21.5%), conjunctivitis (20.5%), retro-orbital pain (11.3%), edema (9.7%), puritus
80 (7.7%) and fatigue (7.2%) (11). Less is known regarding the clinical presentation of SPONV as
81 there are few well documented clinical cases reported in the literature (n = 6). The most common
82 signs and symptoms reported in those SPONV cases include: fever (100%), headache (83.3%),
83 nausea (83.3%), myalgia (66.6%), arthralgia (50.0%), vertigo (33.3%), conjunctivitis (16.7%),
84 macropapular and pruritic rash (16.7%), and epistaxis (16.7%), photophobia (16.7%), vomiting
85 (16.7%), and disorientation (16.7%) (2, 5, 6, 13, 16). It is clear that both ZIKV and SPONV
86 display similar signs and symptoms making diagnosis difficult in regions where both viruses co-
87 circulate, additionally there are no commercially available serological assays that can
88 differentiate these two viruses. In regions where both viruses co-circulate, diagnosis requires a
89 monotypic reaction to a given serologic assay, PCR confirmation, or virus isolation.

90

91 While most symptomatic ZIKV and SPONV infections present as a mild to moderate febrile
92 illness, a sub-set of cases present with short duration clinical manifestations suggestive of more

93 serious illness. Prior to reports of ZIKV in the Western Hemisphere, Spondweni Serogroup case
94 reports included: conjunctivitis (ZIKV and SPONV), macropapular rash (ZIKV and SPONV),
95 pruritic rash (SPONV), hematuria (ZIKV), hematospermia (ZIKV), aphthous ulcer (ZIKV), and
96 epistaxis (SPONV) indicating vascular leakage; and reports of photophobia (ZIKV and SPONV),
97 vomiting (ZIKV and SPONV), vertigo (SPONV), disorientation (SPONV), meningismus
98 (SPONV), and bilateral transient ocular paresis (SPONV) were indicative of neurological
99 involvement (2, 5, 6, 13, 16, 17). Additionally, Guillain-Barré syndrome (18), evidence of sexual
100 transmission (15), and evidence perinatal transmission (19) were all associated with a sub-set of
101 ZIKV cases prior to the introduction of the virus in the Western Hemisphere.

102

103 In summary, symptomatic cases of ZIKV and SPONV infection present with similar signs and
104 symptoms and anti-ZIKV and anti-SPONV serological assays exhibit cross-reactivity. Early
105 work by MacNamara (1954) and Bearcroft (1956 and 1957) misidentified SPONV as ZIKV.
106 Prior to the introduction of ZIKV into the Western Hemisphere there was evidence of clinical
107 manifestations indicative of vascular leakage and neurological involvement within the Serogroup
108 as well as unique transmission mechanisms associated with a sub-set of patients infected with
109 ZIKV.

110

111

112

113

114

115

116 **Authors' contributions**

117

118 ADH and JPW both contributed equally to researching historic reports and writing the
119 manuscript.

120

121 **Disclosure Statement**

122

123 The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy
124 or position of the U.S. Department of Defense or the Department of the Army.

125

126 **Competing interests**

127

128 The authors have no financial, personal, or professional interests that inappropriately influenced
129 this paper.

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

References

147

148 1. Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity.

149 Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1952;46(5):509-20.

150 2. Macnamara FN. Zika virus: a report on three cases of human infection during an

151 epidemic of jaundice in Nigeria. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and

152 Hygiene. 1954;48(2):139-45.

153 3. Haddow AJ, Williams MC, Woodall JP, Simpson DI, Goma LK. Twelve isolations of

154 Zika virus from *Aedes (Stegomyia) africanus* (Theobald) taken in and above a Uganda Forest.

155 Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 1964;31:57-69.

156 4. Simpson DI. Zika virus infection in man. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical

157 Medicine and Hygiene. 1964;58:335-8.

158 5. Draper CC. Infection with the Chuku strain of Spondweni virus. West African Medical

159 Journal. 1965;14:16-9. Epub 1965/02/01.

160 6. Bearcroft WG. Zika virus infection experimentally induced in a human volunteer.

161 Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1956;50(5):442-8.

162 7. Bearcroft WG. The histopathology of the liver of yellow fever-induced rhesus monkeys.

163 The Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology. 1957;74:295-303.

164 8. Macnamara FN, Horn DW, Porterfield JS. Yellow fever and other arthropod-borne

165 viruses: A consideration of two serological surveys made in South Western Nigeria. Transactions

166 of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1959;53(2):202-12.

- 167 9. Haddock AD, Schuh AJ, Yasuda CY, Kasper MR, Heang V, Huy R, et al. Genetic
168 characterization of Zika virus strains: Geographic expansion of the Asian lineage. PLoS
169 Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2012;6(2):e1477.
- 170 10. Petersen LR, Jamieson DJ, Powers AM, Honein MA. Zika Virus. The New England
171 Journal of Medicine. 2016; 374:1552-1563
- 172 11. Plourde AR, Bloch EV. A Literature Review of Zika Virus. Emerging Infectious
173 Diseases. 2016;22(7).
- 174 12. Theiler M, Downs WG. The arthropod-borne viruses of vertebrates. New Haven and
175 London: Yale University Press; 1973.
- 176 13. McIntosh BM, Kokernot RH, Paterson HE, De Meillon B. Isolation of Spondweni virus
177 from four species of culicine mosquitoes and a report of two laboratory infections with the virus.
178 South African Medical Journal. 1961;35:647-50. Epub 1961/08/05.
- 179 14. Henderson BE, Cheshire PP, Kirya GB, Lule M. Immunologic studies with yellow fever
180 and selected African group B arboviruses in rhesus and vervet monkeys. The American Journal
181 of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1970;19(1):110-8.
- 182 15. Foy BD, Kobylinski KC, Chilson Foy JL, Blitvich BJ, Travassos da Rosa A, Haddock
183 AD, et al. Probable non-vector-borne transmission of Zika virus, Colorado, USA. Emerging
184 Infectious Diseases. 2011;17(5):880-2.
- 185 16. Wolfe MS, Calisher CH, McGuire K. Spondweni virus infection in a foreign resident of
186 Upper Volta. Lancet. 1982;2(8311):1306-8. Epub 1982/12/11.
- 187 17. Heang V, Yasuda CY, Sovann L, Haddock AD, Travassos da Rosa AP, Tesh RB, et al.
188 Zika virus infection, Cambodia, 2010. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2012;18(2):349-51.

189 18. Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, Leparc-Goffart I, Lastere S, Valour F, et al. Zika virus
190 infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome--case report, French Polynesia, December
191 2013. Euro surveillance. 2014;19(9).

192 19. Besnard M, Lastere S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau V, Musso D. Evidence of perinatal
193 transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. Euro
194 surveillance. 2014;19(13).

195