ARL-SR-0357 ● JULY 2016

US Army Research Laboratory

Advances in Additive Manufacturing

Compiled by Marc S Pepi

A Compilation of Presentations by Marc Pepi, Todd Palmer, Jennifer Sietins, Jonathan Miller, Dan Berrigan, and Ricardo Rodriquez

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

ARL-SR-0357 ● JULY 2016

US Army Research Laboratory

Advances in Additive Manufacturing

Compiled by Marc S Pepi

A Compilation of Presentations by Marc Pepi, Todd Palmer,
Jennifer Sietins, Jonathan Miller, Dan Berrigan, and Ricardo Rodriquez

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	*Form Approved* *OMB No. 0704-0188*

1. REPORT DATE ***(DD-MM-YYYY)***	**2. REPORT TYPE**	**3. DATES COVERED (From - To)**
July 2016	Special Report	1–31 May 2015

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Advances in Additive Manufacturing

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. COMPILER(S)
Marc S Pepi

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
US Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: RDRL-WMM-D
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
ARL-SR-0357

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT
This special report is a compilation of the presentations provided at the 2016 Society for Machinery Failure Prevention Technology conference in Dayton, OH, on 23–26 May 2016. These 6 briefs were presented in the Additive Manufacturing session and provide a summary of work being performed in additive manufacturing by the Army, Air Force, and academia (Penn State University).

15. SUBJECT TERMS
3-D printing, validation and verification, nondestructive inspection, print-on-the-move, prototyping

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			**17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT**	**18. NUMBER OF PAGES**	**19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON**
					Marc S Pepi
a. REPORT	**b. ABSTRACT**	**c. THIS PAGE**			**19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)**
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU	110	410-306-0848

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Contents

Acknowledgments

The following personnel were instrumental in providing contributions to the briefs herein:

Army Research Laboratory, Weapons and Materials Research Directorate

- Raymond Wildman
- Nicole Zander
- Margaret Gillan
- Andrew Gaynor
- William Green
- Larry Holmes

Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory

- Rich Martukanitz
- Ken Meinert
- Ted Reutzel
- Jay Keist
- Griffin Jones
- Jay Tressler
- Ed Good
- Pan Michaleris
- Michael Gouge
- Erik Denlinger
- Jarred Heigel
- Dennis Krizcky
- T DebRoy
- Long-Qing Chen
- Ashwin Raghavan

- B Carroll
- Allison Beese
- Patrick Hricko
- Huiliang Hue
- Reggie Hamilton
- Beth Bimber

Air Force Research Laboratory, Metals Branch

- Eddie Schwalbach
- Mike Groeber
- Benjamin Leever
- James Hardin
- Phillip Buskohl
- Abby Juhl
- Ryan Kohlmeyer
- Aaron Blake
- Ming Shao
- Jason Wilkinson
- Giorgio Bazzan
- Michael Durstock

Rutgers University

- Rik Riman

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

The Society of Machinery Failure Prevention Technology (MFPT) held its first session in additive manufacturing (AM) at the 2016 conference in Dayton, Ohio, on May 24th, 2016. The presentations included work being performed within the Department of Defense (Army Research Laboratory [ARL] and Air Force Research Laboratory [AFRL]) as well as academia (Penn State University's Applied Research Laboratory) in the area of AM, with a focus on the technologies that the MFPT community could provide assistance with in this area (nondestructive testing and inspection, prognostics, diagnostics, structural health monitoring, failure analysis, data management, and sensors). This report includes all 6 of the presentations briefed at the conference.

Additive manufacturing is an emerging and disruptive technology that is transforming the manufacturing industry by allowing the designer to convert a computer model to a finished product in a few steps while overcoming the constraints associated with traditional manufacturing. There are many different technologies that comprise additive manufacturing, with the ability of utilizing polymer materials, metals, ceramics, fibers, and combinations therein.

Marc Pepi (Team Leader, Near-Net Shape Processing Team, ARL) briefed the status of an ARL program titled "On-Demand Manufacturing of Recycled, Reclaimed and Indigenous Materials". Mr Pepi indicated that AM on the battlefield could provide a logistical and tactical advantage for the Warfighter. This research focuses on 3 different aspects of additive manufacturing on the battlefield: 1) researching the formation of AM-grade metal powder from battlefield scrap and operating base waste, 2) potential of 3-D printing with sand to make casting molds for traditional casting processes on the battlefield, and 3) the use of recycled polymeric materials as feedstock for 3-D printers already on the battlefield within the Army Rapid Equipping Force Expeditionary Laboratory. Todd Palmer (Senior Research Associate and Associate Professor, Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory) subsequently briefed his presentation "Role of Processing-Structure-Property Relationships in Developing Certification Protocols for Ti-6Al-4V Components", stressing that AM certification requires an understanding of the processing-structure-property relationships of the materials used for AM. He showed the importance of locking down the manufacturing process steps for repeatability in structure and properties of Ti-6Al-4V. Jennifer Sietins (Materials Engineer, ARL) then briefed "Additive Manufacturing Characterization Utilizing X-ray Computed Tomography", which showed the impact of X-ray computed tomography (CT) in the nondestructive inspection of

AM parts for quality control, dimensional tolerance, and microstructural characterization.

The second AM session at the conference commenced with Jonathan Miller (AM Lead for Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, AFRL) presenting "Quality Assurance Methods for Additive Manufacturing Processes: Motivation, Challenges and Opportunities", summarizing the importance of understanding the many implicit details of AM processing that affect the final structure and properties of the built component. Dan Berrigan (Program Manager and Research Scientist, AFRL) followed with a presentation titled "Air Force Vision and Challenges for Additive Manufacturing of Functional and Soft Matter Materials", focusing on flexible hybrid electronics. He highlighted a few projects in additively manufactured electronics (e.g., batteries, capacitors, antennas) that span bench-level research to engineered solutions. In addition, he discussed the path forward as AFRL begins to explore the fundamental materials and processing challenges associated with pattern stimuli responsive materials and design of soft mechanical structures/actuators. The final paper was presented by Ricardo Rodriguez (Materials Engineer, ARL), entitled "ARL's Additive Manufacturing for the Future Expeditionary Force". This brief summarized the impact AM will have as the Army changes its focus from a traditional force into a more expeditionary force and hybrid AM techniques developed at ARL.

2. Agile Additive Manufacturing in Austere Environments

Marc Pepi
(Army Research Laboratory, Weapons and Materials Research Directorate)

Additive manufacturing provides many cost-saving advantages to industry and the ability to manufacture complex and unique designs and geometries in a timely fashion. It also provides a more environmentally friendly means of production (leads to less waste than subtractive manufacturing). The Department of Defense is now interested in additive manufacturing as a means of being able to produce parts "on-demand" in extreme environments, such as on a ship or on a forward-operating base. However, there are technical challenges that need to be overcome to fully achieve this capability in the future. One such challenge is part quality, and the qualification and certification of parts produced in this manner to ensure the parts will not fail in service. This paper will discuss this and other challenges in more detail, and will provide a lead for other briefs on additive manufacturing to be featured in the same session.


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
U.S.ARMY
ARL
Agile Additive Manufacturing in
Austere Environments
Marc Pepi, Nicole Zander, Jennifer Sietins, Ray Wildman, Margaret Gillan
US Army Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
U.S.ARMY
Overview
ARL
• What is "Additive Manufacturing"?
• How can Additive Manufacturing help the DoD?
• Additive manufacturing on the battlefield…ARLs current work with:
✓ Metal AM
✓ Sand + Binder AM with traditional foundry methods
✓ Polymeric 3D printing
• Conclusions
General Dwight D. Eisenhower noted, "You will not find it difficult to prove that battles, campaigns, and even wars have been won or lost primarily because of logistics."
UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

What is Additive Manufacturing? ARL

Definition[1, 2]:

- **Additive manufacturing** is a process of joining materials to make objects from 3D model data, usually layer upon layer, as opposed to subtractive manufacturing methodologies.
- **3D printing** is the fabrication of objects through the deposition of a material using a print head, nozzle or other printer technology.

Eight processing steps common to additive manufacturing[3]:

- conceptualization and Computer-Aided Design (CAD)
- conversion to STereoLithography (STL) / Additive Manufacturing Format (AMF)
- transfer and manipulation of STL file on AM machine
- machine setup
- build product
- part removal and cleanup
- post-processing of part
- application of printed part

[1] Additive Manufacturing Challenges and Opportunities for Military Applications, Joseph Hazeltine, RIAC TAT: RI-13-RMS#690/DO#290, November 2013.
[2] ASTM-F2792-12a, "Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies", ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA, 2012.
[3] Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Thesis, Additive Manufacturing In The Marine Corps, by Luke J. McLearen, June 2015.

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Additive Manufacturing Processes ARL

From: **"POTENTIAL OF ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING IN THE AFTER-SALES SERVICE SUPPLY CHAINS OF GROUND BASED MILITARY SYSTEMS",** BSc Graduation Assignment – Final report, Gino Balistreri, **University of Twente:** Department Industrial Engineering and Business Information Systems (IEBIS), Netherlands, 27 July 2015.

Vat Polymerization	Material Jetting	Material Extrusion	Powder Bed Fusion	Binder Jetting	Sheet Lamination	Directed Energy Deposition
• Stereo-lithography • Digital Light Processing	• Multi-jet Modeling	• Fused Deposition Modeling	• Electron Beam Melting • Selective Laser Sintering • Selective Heat Sintering • Direct Metal Laser Sintering	• Powder Bed and Inkjet Head Printing • Plaster-Based 3D Printing	• Laminated Object Mfg. • Ultrasonic Consolid-ation	• Laser Metal Deposition



Koff, W., and Gustafson, P., "3D printing and the future of manufacturing", CSC Leading Edge forum Technology Program, Fall 2012.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Additive Manufacturing Impact on DoD

ARL

Key AM applications with high potential significance to DoD, now and in the future:

- Prototyping / Modeling
  - Intended to improve an existing design
- Tooling / Support Aids / Direct Part Production
  - Offers design flexibility, lighter weight, increased complexity, modularity, cost efficiency
- Maintenance and Repair
  - On-demand spare parts production, and field repairs (so far, better suited for depot level versus field-level)
- Medical
- Food

Even after a decade of combat operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, parts requisitioning was a long process. Every day a piece of equipment is inoperable because of a repair part is another day that a unit's overall capability is degraded.

*Additive Manufacturing Challenges and Opportunities for Military Applications, Joseph Hazeltine, RIAC TAT: RI-13-RMS#690/DO#290, November 2013.

*Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Thesis, Additive Manufacturing In The Marine Corps, by Luke J. McLearen, June 2015.

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Impact of Additive Manufacturing

ARL



From: Koff, W., and Gustafson, P., "3D printing and the future of manufacturing", CSC Leading Edge forum Technology Program, Fall 2012.

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

How can Additive Manufacturing Help the DoD?

ARL

Advanced Manufacturing Implementation Plan

CSA's Guiding Concept

39th Chief of Staff of the Army
Initial Message to the Army

We have the most skilled, ethical, and combat hardened Army in our Nation's history. No matter where we are around the world, America's Soldiers are displaying courage, commitment and character. We are demonstrating unparalleled competence and agility. And no matter the challenge, no matter how complex the environment, or how dangerous the situation, our Soldiers fight and win.

I am honored to lead this remarkable team.

I have three priorities:

#1. Readiness: (Current Fight) Our fundamental task is like no other – it is to win in the unforgiving crucible of ground combat. We must ensure the Army remains ready as the world's premier combat force. Readiness for ground combat is – and will remain – the U.S. Army's #1 priority. We will always be ready to fight today, and we will always prepare to fight tomorrow. Our most valued assets, indeed, the Nation's most valued assets, are our Soldiers and our solemn commitment must always be to never send them into harm's way untrained, poorly led, undermanned, or with less than the best equipment we can provide. Readiness is #1, and there is no other #1.

#2. Future Army: (Future Fight) We will do what it takes to build an agile, adaptive Army of the future. We need to listen and learn – first from the Army itself, from other services, from our interagency partners, but also from the private sector, and even from our critics. Developing a lethal, professional and technically competent force requires an openness to new ideas and new ways of doing things in an increasingly complex world. We will change and adapt.

#3. Take Care of the Troops: (Always) Every day we must keep foremost in our minds our Soldiers, Civilians, and their Families. Our collective strength depends on our people - their mental and physical resilience is at our core. We must always treat each other with respect and lead with integrity. Our Soldiers are the crown jewels of the Nation; we must love them, protect them, and always keep faith with them.

I am honored and proud to serve with you. Thank you for your service and commitment to a cause larger than yourselves.

Army Strong!

MARK A. MILLEY
General, United States Army
39th Chief of Staff of the Army

Foreword:
"The Army has been using AM for two decades to refurbish worn parts, create custom tools, and produce 3D visualizations for surgery rehearsals. We can aggressively exploit our manufacturing experience by placing 1) large scale systems in our depots and labs 2) medium scale systems at the Brigade level and 3) small mobile systems with our Brigade Combat Teams. World competitors are investing heavily in AM. Prudence demands the Army invest in this technology to shape an outcome suited for the Army of 2025 and Beyond".

Mary Miller Gustave F. Perna SES, Director of Technology Lieutenant General, GS, Office of the Assistant Secretary Deputy Chief of Staff, G-4 Research & Technology

…requires an openness to new ideas and new ways of doing things in an increasingly complex world. We will change and adapt.

From the US Army Advanced Implementation Plan, Volume I – MAR 2016.

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

How can Additive Manufacturing Help the DoD?

ARL

According to General Dennis Via, Commander of Army Materiel Command, "printers could one day be embedded with squads, so that troops can manufacture weapons, tools or repair parts while they are in the field"

Logistical

Additive Manufacturing (AM), presents a significant opportunity for the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) to enhance warfighter capability and reduce the current logistical footprint and total life cycle costs of numerous systems. AM offers the potential to reduce production time/costs for low-volume/high-value/complex-shaped components and the opportunity to manufacture in atypical, remote environments – such as forward operating bases (battlefield). AM may help DoD overcome a burdensome acquisition cycle requiring a great amount of cost, time, security, and storage space.

Tactical

With our enemies forced to innovate rapidly to survive, it's become increasingly important for the U.S. military to improve its own agility and flexibility. With additive manufacturing, parts could be produced where they're needed, when they're needed.

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM® Additive Manufacturing on the Battlefield ARL

Imagine a company or brigade able to produce repair parts on the battlefield. The Army alone spends billions of dollars buying parts every year. Every Army unit carries large parts stockpiles to keep rolling. This is costly and adds a huge burden to a unit as it deploys and in moving around the battlefield. A unit can't carry everything, and it's very difficult to predict what parts will be needed, so the Army uses various methodologies to figure out the most important ones on hand, balancing against cost and bulk. When a unit needs a part it doesn't have, equipment can sit for weeks until a replacement part is shipped all the way from a depot or the manufacturer. Worse yet, sometimes the part isn't available at all, triggering a potentially lengthy acquisition process. This problem has increasingly plagued the US military. Fewer manufacturers are interested in producing small batches of specialized military items for the fleets that have dwindled from their Cold War expanse. The explosion of unique, constantly evolving low-density equipment used in Iraq and Afghanistan has exacerbated this issue.

From:
*http://breakingdefense.com/2014/01/3d-printing-imagine-a-brigade-producing-parts-on-battlefield/

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM® Additive Manufacturing on the Battlefield ARL

When dealing with safety concerns, it's also important to consider additive manufacturing as a supplier of temporary parts rather than final replacement parts. If a part breaks in the field, vital equipment may be down until the replacement part can be secured. This can sometimes require great time, great money, or both. But with additive manufacturing supplying a temporary part, equipment can remain operational until the actual replacement arrives. Because the temporary part is not intended to be the final replacement part, it doesn't need to meet the same stringent operating requirements. The additive manufactured part can bridge the gap, much like a spare tire on a car miles from an auto shop.

From: "Additive Manufacturing: Production on Demand", James Barkley, http://www.mitre.org/publications/project-stories/additive-manufacturing-production-on-demand, referenced 10/14/15.

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

ARL is investigating how to bring AM capabilities to the battlefield:

1) Metal AM
   - Must overcome many challenges. Can we make powder in-theater from battlefield scrap, and FOB waste?

2) Sand/binder AM with traditional foundry capabilities
   - Can use recycled/reclaimed/scrap materials

3) Polymeric AM using recycled materials
   - Must overcome issue of dedicated OEM feedstock materials

- *Improved sustainment*
- *Maximized operational readiness*
- *Enhanced supply logistics*

Resource/Material/Process/Application Map for Battlefield AM



Source
Material
Process
Application

Goal: Utilize all available materials at FOBs to create useful structural components while reducing logistics tail, energy consumption, carbon footprint, and overall cost.

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM — **Metal AM on the Battlefield** — ARL

- SBIR Phase I Topic A16-023, "Processing of Metallic Scrap Materials for Battlefield Additive Manufacturing" approved. Proposals received include the following technologies for AM Grade metallic powder production in-theater:
  - ➢ Melting + Lorenz Force Projection
  - ➢ Metal carbonyl process
  - ➢ Spark erosion
  - ➢ Atomization in mobile foundry
  - ➢ Rotating electrode wire/rod process -REP
  - ➢ Centrifugal Atomization or Plasma Rotating Electrode Process (REP)

Al 1100 – 97%
Al 6063– 95%
Al 6061 – 90%

- Received actual foreign metallic battlefield scrap from NGIC. Chemistry results based on hand-held LIBS analysis.

Cast Al 356 – 94%

4140 – 95%
Carbon steel – 94%
E52100 – 94%

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release — **The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces**

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM — **Metal AM on the Battlefield** — ARL

Typical waste on a Forward Operating Base:

This list is by no means exhaustive, but it was all present on the last FOB I operated from in RC-E this past fall.

1. MRE Trash: plastic bags of varying materials, cardboard boxes, cardboard food trays.
2. Clear plastic water bottles.
3. Cardboard boxes, cellophane and Styrofoam packing boxes from spare parts.
4. Used oil & air filters from vehicles and aircraft.
5. Used (waste) motor oil, used gear oil, used trans fluid and the 1qt - 55gal metal containers these fluids are shipped in.
6. Ammunition dunnage: This includes cardboard packing, wooden crates, wooden pallets, Styrofoam packing, individual metallic round shipping containers (for grenades & artillery rounds) empty brass cartridge casings ranging in size from 9 to 105mm, expended AT-4 tubes (I believe they're fiberglass or some type of composite) and metallic links.
7. Medical waste, human fecal waste.
8. Used batteries; mostly sizes AA (lithium), BA-5590 (lithium), and 24V automotive.
9. Used steel-belted off-road tires. If the FOB is utilized by an Armored Brigade, they will also have some used steel track on hand though not much.

The amounts of these waste materials present will vary with the pace of operations being conducted from that particular FOB as well. I hope this helps, but feel free to give me a call with any questions or if there's anything specific you're trying to determine if it's available or not.

v/r,
CPT, USA

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release — **The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces**

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM Additive Manufacturing on the Battlefield ARL

Challenges/gaps associated with metal powder additive manufacturing on the battlefield…

- Cost of equipment
- Footprint of equipment
- Weight of equipment
- Power needs
- Transport and storage of metal powders
- EDM equipment generally needed to remove parts from build plate
- Need for post-processing equipment
- Equipment supportability

…and what about…

- IP of parts being made in the field?
- Inspection / validation / verification?

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM ARL

AM Grade metallic powder production on an operating base would reduce our logistics tail, and support operational readiness, by enabling component repair via gas dynamic cold spray or laser powder deposition technology.

http://www.boconline.co.uk/internet.lg.lg.gbr/en/images/20151005_95572_2333410_176194.jpg

http://www.vrcmetalsystems.com/images/VRC%20Gen%20III%20Cold%20Spray%20System.jpg

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
Metal AM on the Battlefield
ARL
PENNSTATE ARL
Powder from Metal
EOS M280 powder bed fusion process
Al-10Si-0.05Mg
Design optimized for compression through modeling
Heat treatment (Solution heat treat and age, with stress relief)
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
LTS and geopolymer infusion followed by compression testing
40mm x 40mm x 40mm compression cube
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
Metal AM on the Battlefield
ARL
Micro-CT inspection of LTS-infused truss
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

Metal AM on the Battlefield



Aluminum truss made from EOS M280 powder bed fusion process (above, left), and similar truss infused with low-temperature solidified ceramic (above, right). Future protection of soft FOB shelters (right)?

From: http://battlerattle.marinecorpstimes.com/2014/05/12/on-the-ground-in-afghanistan-the-last-days-of-a-fob/

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

Intermediary Step to Battlefield Metallic 3D Printing?

In-Situ 3D Printing with Sand

"In-Theater 3D-printing with sand for the creation of casting molds"

•Objective: Increase in-theater manufacturing capability to perform repairs and make spare parts, reducing the logistics tail.

ExOne
DIGITAL PART MATERIALIZATION

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Intermediary Step to Battlefield Metallic 3D Printing?
ARL
ARDEC
The Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center
Parameter
Value
PAN TOOL ENABLED
Ft. Irwin Sand
Desert Sand
Moon Dust
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Intermediary Step to Battlefield Metallic 3D Printing?
ARL
• Alternative approach to metallic 3D printing, sand printing
• Othermill allows for precision 3D milling (positional accuracy to within 0.001 inch)
• Scrap metals (aluminum, brass, copper) will be cast into simple molds and milled to shape
• Limited to thin parts (<1.25 in)
OTHERMILL
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM — **Metal AM on the Battlefield** — ARL

Ground vehicle parts that might be technologically feasible through additive manufacturing:

Brake levers	Levers
Brake shoes	(Mirror) mounts
(Front axle) casings	Specific rings
Cross pieces	Steering wheels
Exhaust manifolds	Tow bars
Fan clutches	Universal joints
Flanges	Vent valves
(Metal/plastic) gaskets	Thrust collars
Guide carriages	Locking levers
Hoods	Filler necks

*From "POTENTIAL OF ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING IN THE AFTER-SALES SERVICE SUPPLY CHAINS OF GROUND BASED MILITARY SYSTEMS", BSc Graduation Assignment – Final report, Gino Balistreri, University of Twente: Department Industrial Engineering and Business Information Systems (IEBIS), Netherlands, 27 July 2015.

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release — The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM — *Polymer AM on the Battlefield* — ARL

Army Rapid Equipping Force (REF) Expeditionary Laboratory (ExLab III)

- Contains a polymeric fused deposition modeling (FDM) 3D printer; a Stratasys Fortus 250.

http://www.rapidreadytech.com/2012/08/u-s-army-brings-3d-printing-to-the-front-lines/

http://www.cadvision.fr/wp-content/uploads/Fortus-400mc-imprimante3D_part.jpg

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release — The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Polymer AM on the Battlefield
ARL
Problem: The Fortus 3D printers use only their proprietary filament feedstock
Question: Can we use waste polymers such as PET, PS, PP, LDPE/HDPE, PC as feedstock for 3D printing on FOBS?
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Polymer AM on the Battlefield
ARL
The Army REF furnished ARL with 3D printed parts from the battlefield, made from ABS for comparison to these parts made of recycled materials.
UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Polymer AM on the Battlefield

ARL

ARL has successfully made 3D printing filament feedstock from waste MRE bags. nScrypt Ex31:3 fused deposition modeling (FDM) equipment successfully converted the filament into a simple shape.

Filaments also made from water bottles (PET), Styrofoam, scrap polystyrene, polypropylene and HDPE.

rPET rPS

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Polymer AM on the Battlefield- Technical Challenges

ARL

- PET extrusion difficult due to low viscosity of polymer, filament diameter too small- modification to extruder needed (larger nozzle, filament winder and speed controller ordered). Collaborator making PET filament in meantime
- PP filament diameter not consistent- winder should help solve problem
- Styrofoam filaments too brittle- switch focus to high-density polystyrene
- MRE (outer bag) print layers have poor adhesion- working on drying filament, changing bed and printing parameters, work planned also using inner bags (polypropylene)

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

MFPT Community can help here...

ARL



From: http://www.amaze-project.eu/[10]

In-situ and/or final non-destructive inspection

Sensors, diagnostics, prognostics if/when part placed into service

UNCLASSIFIED – Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Conclusions

ARL

- Additive manufacturing (polymer 3D printing) is already on the battlefield
- ARLs current work is hoping to prove out the following:
  - Metal AM powder production on the battlefield
  - Sand + Binder AM with traditional foundry methods on the battlefield
  - Polymeric 3D printing using recycled, reclaimed, and scrap materials as feedstock on the battlefield

UNCLASSIFIED - Approved for Public Release

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

3. Role of Processing-Structure-Property Relationships in Developing Certification Protocols for Ti-6Al-4V Components

Todd Palmer
(Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory)

A fundamental understanding of processing-structure-property relationships is a key prerequisite to the eventual development and implementation of a certification protocol for additively manufactured (AM) components. One unique aspect of the AM process is the role that geometry plays on these relationships and how it can be integrated into certification. These relationships are defined here for specific directed energy deposition AM processing conditions in Ti-6Al-4V by correlating microstructural features with the resulting static mechanical properties. By concentrating on simple geometries, we can characterize variations in the resulting microstructures and mechanical properties at all locations within the Ti-6Al-4V builds. As a result, the relationships between the processing conditions and the resulting structure and properties of the build are quantified and used in the selection of processing conditions that ensure adequate mechanical properties and performance in the final design. Based on these results, a methodology that establishes fundamental relationships between the AM processing conditions, the microstructural features, and the mechanical properties is under development. As part of this effort, an analysis of the uncertainty in mechanical property data for Ti-6Al-4V AM components and a methodology for identifying minimum design values is being developed.

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

PennState
Applied Research Laboratory

Role of Processing-Structure-Property Relationships in Developing Certification Protocols for Ti-6Al-4V Components

T.A. Palmer

Applied Research Laboratory
Department of Materials Science and Engineering
Pennsylvania State University

PennState CIMP-3D

1

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Acknowledgements

Center for Innovative Materials Processing through Direct Digital Deposition CIMP-3D

Dr. Rich Martukanitz (Director)

Dr. Ted Reutzel	Dr. Jay Keist
Mr. Griffin Jones	Mr. Ed Good
Mr. Jay Tressler	Dr. Ken Meinert

Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering

Prof. Pan Michaleris

Dr. Michael Gouge	Dr. Erik Denlinger
Dr. Jarred Heigel	Mr. Dennis Krizcky

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

Prof. T. DebRoy

Prof. Long-Qing Chen	Prof. Allison Beese
Dr. Ashwin Raghavan	Mr. Patrick Hricko
Ms. B. Carroll	Mr. Huiliang Hue

Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics

Prof. Reggie Hamilton	Ms. Beth Bimber

PennState CIMP-3D

2

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Agenda

Challenges in Certification of Titanium Components

Developing Process-Structure-Property Relationships in Titanium Alloys

Impact of Post Processing

Inspection of AM Components

Path Forward

PennState CIMP-3D

3

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Challenges in Certification of Titanium Components

PennState CIMP-3D

4

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Changing the Paradigm Accelerated AM Implementation

Current Process

- Linear Building Block Qualification Process
- Engineer Confidence based upon Statistically Substantiated Test Data



On-demand Vision State



- Design Process Incorporates Qualification & Certification
- Engineering Confidence based upon Validated Integrated Models and Simulation Tools



PennState CIMP-3D

5

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Designers Rely on MMPDS

- Various processes
  - Die forging
  - Extrusion
  - Sheet
  - Bar
  - Casting
- Post processing
- Includes various information beyond tensile properties
  - Fatigue behavior
  - Creep behavior
  - Properties versus temperature

UTS
Yield
Elong.

Table 5.4.1.0(f). Design Mechanical and Physical Properties of Ti-6Al-4V Die Forging

Specification	AMS 4928			AMS 4920	
Form	Die forging				
Condition	Alpha-beta processed, annealed			Alpha-beta or beta processed, annealed	
Thickness, in.	≤2.000	2.001-4.000	4.001-6.000	≤2.000	2.001-6.000
Basis	S	S	S	S	S
Mechanical Properties:					
F_{tu}, ksi:					
L	135	130	130	130	130
LT	135[a]	130[a]	130	130[a]	130[a]
ST	...	130[a]	130	...	130[a]
F_{ty}, ksi:					
L	125	120	120	120	120
LT	125[a]	120[a]	120	120[a]	120[a]
ST	...	120[a]	120	...	120[a]
F_{cy}, ksi:					
L	...	123	123	...	123
LT	...	128	128	...	128
ST	...	...	...	...	...
F_{su}, ksi	...	79	79	...	79
F_{bru}, ksi:					
(e/D = 1.5)	...	203	203	...	203
(e/D = 2.0)	...	257	257	...	257
F_{bry}, ksi:					
(e/D = 1.5)	...	171	171	...	171
(e/D = 2.0)	...	201	201	...	201
e, percent:					
L	10	10	10	8	8
LT	10[a]	10[a]	10	8[a]	8[a]
ST	...	10[a]	8	...	8[a]
RA, percent:					
L	25	25	20	15	15
LT	20[a]	20[a]	20	15[a]	15[a]
ST	...	15[a]	15	...	15[a]
E, 10^3 ksi	16.9				
E_c, 10^3 ksi	17.2				
G, 10^3 ksi	6.5				
μ	0.31				
Physical Properties:					
ω, lb/in.^{3}	0.160				
C, K, and α	See Figure 5.4.1.0				

Example table from Metallic Materials Properties Development and Standardization (MMPDS)

PennState CIMP-3D

6

AMS4999A Uses an Extensive Material Property Database

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Laser Additive Manufacturing (LAM) process developed by AeroMet
High-power laser powder deposition process (18 kW CO_2)
Until 2005, AeroMet manufactured parts for the aerospace industry







Materials specification with chemistry, heat treatment, and quality assurances
Minimum tensile properties requirements
Qualification of the process and supplier and process parameters

PennState CIMP-3D

[1] Kelly et al. (2000) Met. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. Vol. 625, pp. 3-8.
[2] Kelly et al. (2004) From proceedings of TMS (The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society)

7

Current AM Allowables are Generally Below Forged Properties

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Minimum tensile properties from AMS4999A specification for Ti-6Al-4V AM components

	Tensile Strength		Yield Strength		Elongation
	(ksi)	(MPa)	(ksi)	(MPa)	(%)
Direct Deposited X and Y [1]	129	889	116	799	6
Direct Deposited Z [1]	124	855	111	765	5
Forged Bars and Billets [2]	130	895	120	828	10



Specimen orientation for direct deposited Ti-6Al-4V [1]

[1] Aerospace Material Specification AMS4999 Rev. A. Titanium Alloy Direct Deposited Products 6Al – 4V Annealed
[2] ASTM B348-13. Standard Specification for Titanium and Titanium Alloy Bars and Billets

PennState CIMP-3D

8

8

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Wide Range of Processing Conditions Results in Wide Range of Properties

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Wide range of mechanical properties reported for AM fabricated Ti-6Al-4V.

PBF and DED Processes



DED Processes



Even with nominally similar processing conditions, mechanical properties reported for AM fabricated Ti-6Al-4V still vary, particularly for elongation.

PennState CIMP-3D

9

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Developing Process-Structure-Property Relationships in Titanium Alloys

PennState CIMP-3D

10


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
A Wide Range of Heat Inputs in Directed Energy Deposition Processes
LENS®
Powder feed
500 W
Laser based directed energy (Laser)
Powder feed
2000 W
Electron Beam (E-beam)
Wire feed
Increasing Heat Input
(scale: walls are 4×4 inches)
(scale: walls are 4×4 inches)
PennState CIMP-3D
11


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Overview of Single Geometry Laser Builds
Fabrication of eight geometries using the laser deposition process has been completed.
L-Shapes
Single Pass
Three Pass
Cruciforms/Crosses
Single Pass
Three Pass
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Three samples of each geometry were fabricated to provide samples for testing in the as-deposited and HIP conditions.
PennState CIMP-3D
12

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Sample Extraction from Single Geometry Laser Builds

Tensile and metallographic specimens are removed at specific locations in each sample.

L-Shapes — **Single Pass** | **Three Pass**

Cruciforms/Crosses — **Single Pass** | **Three Pass**



Same locations and orientations are tested for the as-deposited, heat treated, and HIP'd samples.

PennState CIMP-3D

13

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Overview of As-Deposited Tensile Results

Design[A]	Wall Thickness	Build Height (mm)	Orientation	n[B]	UTS (MPa)	Yield (MPa)	Elongation (%)	Young's Modulus (GPa)
L	Thin (1-pass)	50	Longitudinal	4	1077 +/- 33	959 +/- 32	18 +/- 2	118 +/- 5
			Transverse	5	1012 +/- 20	895 +/- 19	18 +/- 2	117 +/- 6
L	Thin (1-pass)	100	Longitudinal	8	1059 +/- 18	947 +/- 29	18 +/- 4	114 +/- 3
			Transverse	10	1047 +/- 18	933 +/- 18	17 +/- 4	114 +/- 3
L	Thick (3-pass)	50	Longitudinal	4	1118 +/- 10	1015 +/- 12	12 +/- 3	113 +/- 7
			Transverse	5	1042 +/- 29	923 +/- 25	20 +/- 3	113 +/- 4
L	Thick (3-pass)	100	Longitudinal	8	1087 +/- 31	988 +/- 29	20 +/- 3	121 +/- 6
			Transverse	10	1031 +/- 29	924 +/- 26	22 +/- 4	117 +/- 4
C	Thin (1-pass)	50	Longitudinal	4	1043 +/- 10	923 +/- 15	19 +/- 5	115 +/- 2
			Transverse	5	1024 +/- 13	901 +/- 11	15 +/- 5	112 +/- 3
C	Thin (1-pass)	100	Longitudinal	8	1035 +/- 18	910 +/- 17	13 +/- 2	109 +/- 4
			Transverse	12	995 +/- 18	871 +/- 19	19 +/- 3	109 +/- 5
C	Thick (3-pass)	50	Longitudinal	4	1104 +/- 24	994 +/- 33	12 +/- 2	114 +/- 2
			Transverse	5	1072 +/- 29	959 +/- 29	14 +/- 4	113 +/- 5
C	Thick (3-pass)	100	Longitudinal	10	1076 +/- 25	973 +/- 25	20 +/- 3	115 +/- 3
			Transverse	12	1048 +/- 23	938 +/- 22	23 +/- 3	111 +/- 3
			Average Longitudinal	50	1072 +/- 33	961 +/- 40	17 +/- 4	115 +/- 5
			Average Transverse	64	1032 +/- 31	916 +/- 34	19 +/- 4	113 +/- 5
			Average	114	1049 +/- 37	936 +/- 43	18 +/- 4	114 +/- 5
Minimum Grade 5 Ti-6Al-4V (ASTM B358)					895	828	10	–

[A] L designates L-shape wall structure and C designates cruciform shape wall structure

[B] n designates the number of tensile samples tested

4

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Impact of Orientation on Mechanical Properties





Longitudinally oriented samples exhibited significantly higher tensile strengths than transverse oriented samples.

PennState CIMP-3D

15

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Impact of Wall Thickness on Mechanical Properties





Tensile samples extracted from multi-pass thick wall structures exhibited significantly higher tensile strengths than samples extracted from single pass thin wall structures.

PennState CIMP-3D

16

Impact of Geometry on Mechanical Properties



The wall shape was a significant parameter for the single pass thin wall structures. The thin wall L-shapes exhibited a higher tensile strength than the thin wall cruciform structures.

The resulting mechanical properties from the thick wall cruciform and thick L-shape structures, however, were statistically similar.

PennState CIMP-3D

17

Summary of Statistically Significant Relationships

Factor	Measurement	P-Value	Significant
Wall Thickness	Tensile Strength	< 0.001	Yes
	Elongation	0.006	Yes
Design	Tensile Strength	0.039	Yes
	Elongation	0.449	No
Thickness × Design	Tensile Strength	0.003	Yes
	Elongation	0.659	No

PennState CIMP-3D

18


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Primary Microstructural Features in AM Ti-6Al-4V
Microstructural features
• α lath width
• α/β Phase volume fraction
10 μm
SEM microscopy – backscatter
(Laser based directed energy)
20 μm
Optical microscopy
(Laser based directed energy)
Normalized Intensity
2 θ
XRD (x-ray diffraction)
β phase
α lath width
10 μm
Characteristic Ti-6Al-4V microstructure from AM builds
PennState CIMP-3D
19


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Different Part Geometries Produce Different Microstructures at Same Location
(a) – L-Shape Thin Wall
(b) – L-Shape Thick Wall
(c) – Cruciform Thin Wall
(d) – Cruciform Thick Wall
10 μm
PennState CIMP-3D
20

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Alpha Lath Width Shows Little Change with Geometry



PennState CIMP-3D

21

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Prior Beta Grain Structure Impacted by Changing Geometry



		Average Grain Intercept (mm^{-1})		
Design[A]	Passes	Longitudinal	Transverse	Ratio[B]
L	1	4.1	2.7	0.66
L	3	4.8	1.4	0.29
C	1	3.5	1.3	0.37
C	3	4.8	0.7	0.15

PennState CIMP-3D

22

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Prior Beta Grain Measurements Show Trends With Tensile Strength



The impact of orientation, wall thickness and wall shape may be explained by the amount of boundary strengthening from the prior β grain boundaries.

Higher tensile strengths were obtained from orientations and wall structures that exhibited a higher number of prior β grain boundary intercepts.

PennState CIMP-3D

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Location Dependence of Mechanical Properties for Longitudinal Specimens



The tensile strengths decreased linearly with increasing height for all the wall structures and for both the longitudinal and transverse orientations.

The elongation measured from the longitudinal tensile samples extracted from the thick wall structures increased linearly with increasing height.

PennState CIMP-3D





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Microstructural Features Demonstrate No Strong Dependence With Location

PennState Applied Research Laboratory



PennState CIMP-3D

27

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Impact of Post Processing

PennState CIMP-3D

28





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


PennState
Applied Research
Laboratory
HIP Was Effective at Eliminating Many Defects
As-deposited
Thin Wall
HIP
3 mm
3 mm
CIMP-3D
31


PennState
Applied Research
Laboratory
HIP has Improved Fatigue Life in AM Components
3.5 mm
As Deposited
200 µm
3.5 mm
HIP
Maximum Stress (ksi)
Cycles to Failure
As Deposited
HIP
HIP has increased the fatigue life of laser deposited AM components by more than three orders of magnitude
32


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Post Processing Results in Lower Tensile Strength and High Elongation
After Post-Processing
Tensile Strength / MPa
1200
1100
1000
900
Lower Tensile
Higher Elongation
Laser/Powder X/Y
Laser/Powder Z
Laser/Wire X/Y
Laser/Wire Z
GTA/Wire X/Y
GTA/Wire Z
Laser/Powder
Laser/Wire [1]
A/Wire
1. Alcisto, J., et al. (2011). Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance 20(2): 203-212.
2. Baufeld, B., et al. (2011). Journal of Materials Processing Technology 211(6): 1146-1158.
3. Baufeld, B. and O. van der Biest (2009).Science and Technology of Advanced Materials 10(1).
4. Brandl, E., et al. (2010). Laser Assisted Net Shape Engineering 6, Proceedings of the Lane 2010, Part 2 5: 595-606.
5. Brandl, E., et al. (2011). Trends in Aerospace Manufacturing 2009 International Conference 26.
6. Dinda, G. P., et al. (2008). Metallurgical and Materials Transactions a-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science 39A(12): 2914-2922.
7. Kobryn, P. A. and S. L. Semiatin (2001). Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, Austin, TX.
8. Qiu, C. L., et al. (2015). Journal of Alloys and Compounds 629: 351-361.
/www.iws.fraunhofer.de
33


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Laser Builds Consistently Exhibit Higher Tensile Strengths
Tensile Strength / MPa
1100
1000
900
800
Laser
Factor → Orientation
Electron Beam
Factor → # Passes
X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z X/Y Z
1-Pass 3-Pass 1-Pass 3-Pass 1-Pass 3-Pass 1-Pass 3-Pass
As Deposited HIP As Deposited HIP
Electron Beam
Laser
34


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Microstructure Visually Varied with Height in Thick Wall Builds
α lath ≈ 0.7 µm
0.76 +/- 0.05 µm
0.77 +/- 0.05 µm
0.79 +/- 0.05 µm
10 µm
Tensile Strength / MPa
Z-Height / mm
PennState CIMP-3D
35


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
Addition of HIP Created Similar Microstructures Across All Heights
1.73 +/- 0.07 µm
1.76 +/- 0.06 µm
1.68 +/- 0.08 µm
1.70 +/- 0.09 µm
10 µm
Tensile Strength / MPa
Z-Height / mm
PennState CIMP-3D
36

Inspection of AM Components

37

Sources of Defects in Metal-Based Additive Manufacturing

Layer-by-layer manner of the additive manufacturing process produces internal defects similar to those seen in welding and joining processes.

Common defects observed across all material types are process related and caused by changes in bead shape and improper selection and control of processing parameters.

Lack of Fusion Defects

Gas Porosity



Keyhole Collapse



Other defects, i.e. cracking and gas porosity, can be material specific or specific to different processes.

38

Limitations to Traditional NDE Tools for AM Components

Limiting factors for the use of Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) tools can be categorized between geometric and material properties.



Complex Part Geometry

Lack of Defined Critical Defect Types and Sizes

Lack of Physical NDE Reference Standards

Lack of Written Inspection Procedures

Lack of Probability of Detection Data

AM processes can add significant design complexity and challenge traditional NDE techniques.

PennState CIMP-3D

[3]Todorov et al, "Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) of Complex Metallic Additive Manufactured (AM) Structures", AFRL-RX-WP-TR-2014-0162, Interim Report, 2014

39

X-Ray Computed Tomography





- GE phoenix v|tome|x m 300
- Cone beam CT
  - 300kV xs|300d microfocus tube
  - 180kV xs|180nf nanofocus tube
- Volume Graphics VGStudio Max 2.2 visualization and analysis software

CIMP-3D

40


PennState
Applied Research
Laboratory
X-Ray CT is a Powerful Tool for Inspecting Complex Internal Geometries
0.25 in
0.3 in
0.3 in
1


PennState
Applied Research
Laboratory
Obtaining Suitable CT Scans and Artifacts in Reconstruction
Beam Hardening
Photon Scattering
3.5 mm
Photon Energy (keV)
Number of photons (counts)
Photoelectric effect dominant
Compton effect dominant
Pair production dominant
Z of absorber
Source: J. P. Kruth et al[1]
Source: ASTM International[2]
• Polychromatic x-ray source
• Lower energy photons preferentially absorbed
• Effect reduced by pre-filters
• X-Rays do not follow the expected linear path to the detector
• Results in a greater variation in gray values for a given density condition
PennState
CIMP-3D
42





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Error in CT Measurements



"Hit" Rate = 137/152

Smaller dimension (diameter or depth) dominated % error

45

Path Forward

46

What are the Challenges for Certifying AM Parts?

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

- **Lack of material property database or pedigreed data**
- **Incomplete knowledge of the role of processing on properties**
- **Anisotropy in the microstructure and mechanical properties**
- **Unknown relationships between properties and geometries**

➢ ***Since AM is producing finished parts → Impossible to provide certification/data for all possible geometries***

➢ ***Need* to develop relationship knowledge base**

PennState CIMP-3D

47

Relationships between Design-Processing-Structure-Properties-Performance

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

The interrelationships between design, processing, structure, and performance are complicating the certification of AM Ti-6Al-4V components.



PennState CIMP-3D

48

Certification of Additive Manufactured Components

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Direct Fabrication of Complex Components





- Small lot sizes (Lot of 1)
- Complex processing conditions
- Undeveloped process and quality controls

Challenges to Certification and Qualification



Tensile Strength



Elongation



- Lack of processing/structure/ property relationships
- Lack of material property database or design allowables
- Unknown impact of complex geometries on material properties

New Approaches for Additive Manufacturing



- Link geometric features and important processing variables
- Knowledge-based expert system
- Use canonical features to develop property data base

PennState CIMP-3D

49

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

PennState CIMP-3D

50

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Overview of Single Geometry Electron Beam Builds

L-Shapes

Single Pass



Three Pass



Electron Beam Processing:

- Current, mA : 95 (peak)
- Voltage, kV : 40
- Min Vacuum: 1 uT
- Working Distance: 9.75"
- Wire Feed Speed: 225 ipm
- Travel Speed:30 ipm (max)

PennState CIMP-3D

51

PennState Applied Research Laboratory

Comparison Between Laser and Electron Beam Deposition

PennState CIMP-3D

52


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
As Deposited Microstructures Vary Between Laser and Electron Beam Builds
20 mm
80 mm
Laser
Electron Beam
20 µm
20 µm
20 µm
20 µm
PennState CIMP-3D


PennState Applied Research Laboratory
α-Lath Widths Vary Between Different Conditions
Laser As Deposited
Electron Beam As Deposited
Laser HIP
Electron Beam HIP
20 µm
20 µm
20 µm
20 µm
Height Above Substrate / mm
α-Lath Width / µm
?
α-lath width measurement → Tiley, J., et al. (2004). Materials Science and Engineering A. 372(1-2): 191-198.
54





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Tensile Strengths After HIP for the Electron Beam DED Builds Show No Change



PennState CIMP-3D

57

Categorization of Geometric Complexity in AM Components

Additive manufacturing capable of producing parts with a wide range of geometric complexity.

Group 1: Simple Components



Group 2: Optimized Components

Group 3: Components with Embedded Features

Group 4: Components Designed for AM



Group 5: Lattice Structures



Todorov et al, "Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) of Complex Metallic Additive Manufactured (AM) Structures", AFRL-RX-WP-TR-2014-0162, Interim Report, 2014

PennState CIMP-3D

58

Impact of AM Microstructure on NDE Response



Relative noise during ultrasonic inspection of Titanium alloys as a function of final hot working[1]



Ultrasonic attenuation in Inconel alloy 718 as a function of frequency for various grain sizes[2]

Example Ti-6Al-4V macrostructure from a laser DED build

- **Large grains and anisotropic grains are known to cause preferential attenuation of ultrasonic waves**
- **Layer-by-layer nature of additive manufacturing promotes epitaxial grain growth**

[1]Gorman, M.D., Woodfield, A. P., "Processing of titanium-alloy billet for improved ultrasonic inspectability", EP 1136582 A1, 2001.
[2]Telschow, K.L., "Noncontacting NDE for Materials Characterization", Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1995.

59

Range of Surface Finishes are Possible in AM Processing

- **A wide range of surface finish is produced in the as-built condition with various additive techniques**
- **NDE methods that are most sensitive to surface finish include visual testing, liquid dye penetrant testing, magnetic particle testing, eddy current testing and ultrasonic testing**
- **Some surface finishes will prevent the use of these methods**
- **As a near-net shape process, AM will usually require some post-process machining that would enable a wider range of methods to be employed**

60

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

4. Additive Manufacturing Characterization Utilizing X-ray Computed Tomography

Jennifer Sietins
(Army Research Laboratory, Weapons and Materials Research Directorate)

X-ray computed tomography (CT) is a valuable technique for quality control measures, part inspection, dimensional analysis, microstructural characterization, and void identification and quantification. This nondestructive characterization technique allows for 3D imaging that readily captures defects and voids on the conditions that the attenuation, which is approximately related to the material density, is distinctly different from the surrounding material, and the resolution is sufficient for the feature or defect sizes of interest. This work summarizes the CT capabilities at the Army Research Laboratory, with a specific emphasis on the characterization of 3D-printed structures. Analysis examples will include quantification of tolerance differences between the designed and manufactured parts, void sizes and distributions, in-situ compression tests for brittle and elastic truss structures, and mechanical behavior simulations for meshes generated from the CT scan data. These tools can enable faster process optimization time frames and ensure that the final part does not have voids above a critical size prior to fielding.



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
X-ray CT Analysis
ARL
U.S.ARMY
3D simulations
Particle size, concentration, and dispersion
Defect Analysis
Porosity
Establish fundamental relationships
Processing
time, temperature, pressure
Microstructure
voids, defects, tolerances
Performance
bending, tension, compression
Crack propagation and failure
Porosity Distributions
Counts
Volume (um3)
2 ksi
5 ksi
10 ksi
Process parameter optimization
Understand fundamental behavioral mechanisms
Provide microstructural information for material models and simulations
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Advanced Analysis Capabilities
ARL
U.S.ARMY
In-situ Mechanical Testing
Load (N)
Displacement (mm)
Orientation Analysis
Carbon fiber composite
AM filament
Nominal/Actual Comparison
% of surface points
Deviation (mm)
90% of all points on the surface are within 0.0834 mm from the design
Deviation (mm)
Target value
Majority of surface points were slightly under the target value, but the largest discrepancies were over the target value
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL CT Systems
ARL
Power: 20-100 kV, up to 10W
Sample size < 26 mm
Minimum voxel size: 0.5 um
Resolution: approximately 5 um
Bruker Skyscan 1172
Power: 30-150 kV, up to 10W
Sample size < 300 mm
Minimum voxel size: 70 nm
Resolution: 0.7 um
Phase contrast enhancement
Zeiss Xradia 520 Versa
Power: 25-225 kV, up to 320 W
450 kV, 700 or 1500 W
Sample size 16”
Minimum voxel size: ~20 um
Non-cabinet system
Enhanced speed detector
NorthStar X5000
150 µm
150 µm
Ultra-High molecular weight polyethylene
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation’s Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL
Analysis Example 1:
Nominal/Actual Comparison
Analysis Example 2:
Porosity Quantification
Analysis Example 3:
In-Situ Mechanical Testing
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation’s Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
PolyJet Gear Results
ARL
Surface Area (mm²)
Deviation (mm)
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
FDM Gear Results
ARL
Surface Area (mm²)
Deviation (mm)
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Cumulative Gear Results

ARL



Percentage	PolyJet	FDM
90	0.207	0.469
95	0.258	0.548
99	0.368	0.651





UNCLASSIFIED

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

ARL

Analysis Example 1:
Nominal/Actual Comparison

Analysis Example 2:
Porosity Quantification

Analysis Example 3:
In-Situ Mechanical Testing

UNCLASSIFIED

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Al Truss Results
ARL
U.S.ARMY
Scan 1: 56.6 um voxel size (to fit entire 40 x 40 x 40 mm part)
Scan 2: 14.8 um voxel size (higher resolution to view internal voids and surface roughness)
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Segmentation of Internal Voids
ARL
U.S.ARMY
UNCLASSIFIED
The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.





- There are clear regions where there are problems infiltrating the truss structure
  - Truss repeats unit cells every 8 mm which corresponds to the spacing between local maximums
- Overall percent porosity ranges from 2.6% to 5.4%
- 2D and 3D quantification methods are in close agreement

Analysis Example 1:
Nominal/Actual Comparison

Analysis Example 2:
Porosity Quantification

Analysis Example 3:
In-Situ Mechanical Testing





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Conclusions

ARL

- X-ray Computed Tomography is a valuable technique for 3D, non-destructive evaluation of additively manufactured parts
- Tolerance differences between the designed and manufactured parts were determined for two different processing methods
- The percent porosity and location dependence of voids can be readily quantified
- In-situ mechanical tests can be conducted on stiff or elastic structures to provide insight regarding the fracture and/or deformation response
- Internal porosity or cracks or various sizes can be segmented using bitwise operations

UNCLASSIFIED

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED

U.S. ARMY RDECOM

Acknowledgements

ARL

- **ARL**
  - Marc Pepi
  - Ray Wildman
  - Bill Green
  - Andy Gaynor
- **Penn State ARL: Manufacturing of metal truss**
- **Rutgers University: Prof. Riman**

UNCLASSIFIED

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

5. Quality Assurance Methods for Additive Manufacturing Processes: Motivation, Challenges, and Opportunities

Jonathan Miller
(Air Force Research Laboratory/Metals Branch)

There has been significant work to date in the metal powder bed fusion community focused on understanding the influence of global processing parameters on microstructure and defect content (e.g., beam speed, power, spot size). However, a range of other implicit details are important, though they are not necessarily simply described. The present work focuses on the development of a novel technique to assess the impact of the energy input process details on material quality. This requires transformation of both in-situ process monitoring data and build-intent information into a voxelized representation, subsequent fusion with postbuild X-ray computed tomography measurements, and analysis to identify correlations between processing details and structure. An example case generated in laser powder bed fusion of Ti-6Al-4V demonstrates this process by identifying correlations between location-specific processing details and porosity.

Quality Assurance Methods for Additive Manufacturing Processes: Motivation, Challenges and Opportunities

MFPT 2016
24 May 2016

Jonathan Miller,
Edwin Schwalbach, Michael Groeber
Air Force Research Laboratory,
Materials & Manufacturing Directorate

Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence

AFRL

1

Talk Outline

- Metal Powder Bed AM Process Explanation
- Quality Assurance Methods
  - Post-Build Methods
  - In-Situ Methods
- Data Fusion & Analytical Tools

AFRL

2

Motivation

- Additive Manufacturing is "a process of joining materials to make objects from 3D model data, usually layer upon layer" – ASTM F2792
- *Potential* benefits include:
  - Rapid turn-around & short lead times
  - Extended geometric complexity
  - Ability to control *local* processing state
- *However*
  - Immature understanding of *Process* – *Structure* – *Property* links
  - As a result: AM design practices & process specs. lacking or non-existent

Process Complexity
Length & Time Scales



Process Complexity

Tool Path & Interpass Temperature

Path plan for a single layer colored by elapsed time



Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS)
EOS M280 Machine
Striping & Hatching Enabled
Stripes Rotate 67° Per Layer

Stripes Typically 1s-10s of mm
Stripes Processed in Serpentine Manner
Laser Moves ~1 m/s
Laser Crosses Stripe in ~1/200 s

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 5

Process Complexity

Geometry Variations & Process Changes

Arcam A2 e-beam powder bed fusion: Ti-6Al-4V

- Notional parameters uniform throughout bed
- Local processing parameters changed by system in response to geometry
- 3D maps of processing parameters generated via ORNL code

Conditions/Parameters (Normalized to Region A)

	Region A	Region B
Length [mm]	20	107.6
Power/P_A	1	4.67
Spot Velocity/V_A	1	8.10
Line Velocity/V_A	1	1.5
Scan time/t_A	1	0.636
Energy density/E_A	1	0.556

Z (build) normal to page



Property variations observed with…

- Different orientations (build direction debits)
- Thicknesses within a "Region" (1-5 mm)
- "Region A" to "Region B" (machine algorithm)
- Powder Lot Variations & Recycle Strategies
- Machine S/Ns, Machine Models, Vendors

…not to even count process knob changes…

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 6

Talk Outline

- Metal Powder Bed AM Process Explanation
- Quality Assurance Methods
  - Post-Build Methods
  - In-Situ Methods
- Data Fusion & Analytical Tools

Quality Assurance Methods
Conventional Approaches

Surface Inspection
- As-deposited porous surface finish reduces inspection effectiveness
- Fluorescent Penetrant: High background fluorescence and false positives
- Ultrasonics: Poor coupling of sound from coarse and non-planar surfaces



Volumetric Inspection (Ultrasonic)



- EBAM of Ti-6Al-4V with Beta Anneal heat treat
- Results in coarse and columnar microstructure
- Limits effective inspection depth to < 1 inch to detect 3/64" D FBH
- Result: UT inadequate for some AM material-process-component configurations

Quality Assurance Methods
Computed Tomography

Volumetric Inspection (CT)

- Post-manufacturing inspection is an integral component of quality assurance
- Conventional inspection methods are inadequate: surface and volumetric requirements
- Computed Tomography likely viable approach but lacks standardization and validation
- Assisted Defect Recognition tools inadequate: unstandardized and highly variable

FDM Structure

Thick-Thin Transitions

Fine, Distributed Porosity

Fine Features: x-ray Scattering



Quality Assurance Methods
In-Situ Monitoring

In-Process Inspection / In-Situ Monitoring

- Validated tools don't exist
- Data-intensive tools
  - Single location probes, @50kHz: 1+TB/in
  - Time resolved IR videos, @100Hz: 500GB/in
  - HD images per layer
  - Execution log files & environment
- Process interferences
- Need analytical tools







1 peak temperature

2 heating rate, 3 cooling rates



Talk Outline

- Metal Powder Bed AM Process Explanation
- Quality Assurance Methods
  - Post-Build Methods
  - In-Situ Methods
- Data Fusion & Analytical Tools

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 11

Data Fusion & Analytical Tools

Systematic collection and analysis of planning, execution, and post build characterization data sets

Planning: process intent

Execution: process reality

Geometry (CAD)



Process Condition Maps



Thermal Histories



IR videos

Outcome: microstructure, defects & properties

Ultrasound



Computed Tomography



Serial Sectioning



Mechanical Testing

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 12

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


Electron Beam Melting Example
Planning & CT Data Fusion
OAK RIDGE
National Laboratory
Low
High
Power
Implicit change due to other parts located in bed
CT data:
Pore location, size
Size → Pore vol. frac.
Color → Average power
Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).
AFRL
13


Laser Powder Bed Fusion Example
In-Situ & CT Data Fusion
Path plan for a single layer colored by elapsed time
32[s]
0[s]
Zoom of 10mm diameter cylinder
Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS)
EOS M280 Machine
Striping & Hatching Enabled
Stripes Rotate 67° Per Layer
Stripes Typically 1s-10s of mm
Stripes Processed in Serpentine Manner
Laser Moves ~1 m/s
Laser Crosses Stripe in ~1/200 s
Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).
AFRL
14

Laser Powder Bed Fusion Example

In-Situ & CT Data Fusion

Control Spatial Processing Variation → Control Structure



Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 15

Acknowledgements

Materials &Processing Team
Dr. Lee Semiatin Dr. Michael Uchic
Dr. Adam Pilchak Lt. Andrew Nauss

UT Inspection
Dr. Eric Lindgren Norman Schehl

Students
Jordan Danko Austin Harris
Brandon Pfledderer Tyler Weihing
Capt. Evan Hanks (AFIT)

Mechanical Properties
Dr. Reji John Dr. William Musinski
Dr. Dennis Buchanan William Porter
Norman Schehl

X-ray CT
John Brausch Nicholas Heider
David Roberts Brian Shivers

ORNL Manufacturing Demo. Facility
Dr. Ryan Dehoff Dr. Vincent Paquit
Dr. Brett Compton Larry Lowe
Michael Goin Ralph Dinwiddie

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release (88ABW-2016-2489).

AFRL 16

6. Air Force Vision and Challenges for Additive Manufacturing of Functional and Soft Matter Materials

Dan Berrigan
(Air Force Research Laboratory/Metals Branch)

Flexible hybrid electronics (blending printed and places devices) is the focus of our research team at the Air Force Research Laboratory's Materials and Manufacturing Directorate. In this talk we highlight a few projects in additively manufactured electronics (e.g., batteries, capacitors, antennas) that span bench-level research to engineered solutions. In addition, we will discuss our path forward as we begin to explore the fundamental materials and processing challenges associated with pattern stimuli responsive materials and design of soft mechanical structures/ actuators.

Additive Manufacturing for Air Force Applications

Dan Berrigan, Ph.D.

AFRL/RXAS
Materials & Manufacturing Directorate
Air Force Research Laboratory
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence

Acknowledgements

- **Mike Durstock**, Research Lead for Flexible Materials & Devices
- **Mary Kinsella**, AFRL AM IPT Lead
- **Jon Miller**, Structural Materials Lead
- **Ben Leever**, CTO NextFlex
- **Chris Tabor**, Research Engineer
- **Jim Deneault**, Researcher
- **Giorgio Bazzan**, Researcher
- **James Hardin**, Researcher

Air Force Research Laboratory Technical Competencies

Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.

AFRL 3

Some Aspects of the Hype

- Additive manufacturing (AM) ***promises reduced lead time***, ***reduced cost***, ***mass customization***, ***weight reduction***, ***part consolidation*** **and** ***enhanced geometric complexity***.
- Single process lead time, cost and energy consumption advantage potentially overwhelmed by limitations in pre-AM and post-AM processes
  - Feedstock Availability & Energy
  - Machining, Heat treatment
- Breadth of AM technology across process speeds, spatial resolution, material classes, material quality and sense of “the replicator” confuses the uninitiated

Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.

AFRL 4

Benefits and Challenges

AF Benefits:

- Reduced lead time and cost for small production runs
  → Aircraft Availability & Sustainment Affordability
- Mass customization and enabling geometric complexity
  → Adaptive Warfighter & Energy Efficiency
- Weight reduction via part consolidation/material substitution
  → Reduced Sustainment Burden & Energy Efficiency

Technical Challenges:

- *Unquantified material quality* with undefined inspection protocols to meet structural requirements
- *Highly variable* material properties and lack of statistical databases for design
- *Lack of standardized process controls* typically required for structural applications
- *Inadequate cost models* for representation of post-processing requirements
  — Inspection, Machining, and Heat Treatment

AFRL 5

AFRL Additive Manufacturing Strategy

- **Quantify Risk Upon Substitution and Implementation of AM**
- **Inform the Qualification of Additive Materials and Processes**
  - Process monitoring and sensing
  - NDE and material characterization
  - AM-tailored material development
  - Component demonstration



- **Advance AM Capabilities via Modeling & Simulation**
  - Process simulation for design & control
  - Verification & Validation for qualification
  - Process-structure-property relationships
  - Develop digital thread for AM components



AFRL 6


Staged Implementation Potential of AM
An AFRL/RX Perspective
Now…
• early design prototypes
• process implements (fixtures, tooling)
• polymeric applications (ducting, brackets)
Soon…
• niche AM applications
• reduced-life or 'safe-life' components
• 'attritable' applications (RPVs, munitions)
Later…
• full-life, non-critical structural applications
• embedded electronics/sensors
Far-Term…
• fracture-critical hardware …?
• hybrids and graded materials …?
How to Shortcut the Timeline
• Design for AM
• Quantify Risk
• Quantify Mfg Variability
• Develop Cost Models
What are "niche AM apps"?
• Component Redesign
• Complex Geometry
• Non-critical Hardware
• Small Lot Production
• Short Life Applications
Process Maturity
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
AFRL
7


Structure vs. Function
…and the opportunities in between
Additive Manufacturing
Mary Kinsella
Jon Miller
Dan Berrigan
Structural – 3-D Printing
Functional – Direct Write
Critical Structures
Ducting, Brackets
Tooling, Fixtures
Non-Critical Structures
Flex Circuits
Conformal Antennas
Sensors and Biosensors
Robust Packaging
Energy Harvesting and Storage
Multifunctional Structures
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
8



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


Adaptive Materials & Design
FSS Origami Structures & Hinges
The resonant frequency shifts as the sheet is folded
Frequency (GHz)
corrugation
miura
waterbomb+miura
inverted miura
Bazzan, Buskohl, White, Vaia
Fold Topology Optimization
Fixed BC
Disp. Obj. (+z)
Responsive Polymers
"Stuck"
Stable Deflection
Locomotion
Potential Energy
Wang, Tan, Vaia (2015)
Locomotion Cycle Path
Buskohl, Vaia
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
AFRL
11


FHE Packaging
Pop-Up Book MEMs (DARPA A2P)
Inexpensive soft actuators and end-effectors for microsurgery tools.
'Popped-Up' and Integrated
'Flat' Post-Fab
Strategic Lamination in 2D, and unfold to 3D structure.
Wood, Berrigan
American Semiconductor SBIR
methods for mechanical reliability.
Flex Hybrid Arduino (AFIT)
Flex Arduino Demonstrator
Establish FHE packaging techniques at AFRL for re-imagine COTS electronics & investigate failure modes and materials needs.
Juhl, Berrigan
Dalton, Berrigan
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
AFRL
12


How Could Flexible Hybrid Electronics Impact the AF?
Man-Machine Interface
StretchSense, Inc.
• Information Overload
• Missed Intelligence
• Threat/Danger Missed
Monitor Airmen Performance & Health
W. Gao et al., Nature 2016, 529, 509-514.
Embedded Electronics for ISR and EW
• Communication (conformal apertures)
• Distributed electronics for feedback & asset monitoring
• Reconfigurable Electronics
More capability within smaller volumes
Integrated Power for Autonomous Ops
Energy limits operational capabilities and mission impact for large time and distances scenarios
• Energy Harvesting
• Storage
• Power Management
Increased flight endurance.
Survivable Electronics
Precision effects with smaller, low profile munitions pressing requirement for current and future platform effectiveness
Robust electronics for extreme shock, vibration & heat.
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
AFRL
13


Technology Development Strategy
• Accelerate development and transition of AM technologies to AF functional materials community
• Phased plan for functional AM technology insertions
AF Transition Timeframe:
15
16
17
18
19
1
22
23
Conformal Antennas
AM Design Rules
Critical Pre-requisites:
RPA Demo
Human Performance
Critical Pre-requisites:
• Composite Certification
• Hybrid-Materials Design
Rugged Flexible Electronics
Embedded Sensors & Structural Antennas
• Improved Conductive & Dielectric Inks
• Qual. Risk Reduction
Distribution Statement A. Approved for Public Release. Distribution is unlimited.
AFRL
14

Summary

- **AF pursuing additive manufacturing to enable numerous capabilities including**
  - Human/airman performance monitoring
  - Rugged/durable electronics
  - Embedded electronics & optics
- **Flexible Hybrid Electronics (rather than fully printed solutions) are near-term focus**
  - In-house R&D
  - External efforts with universities and industry
  - Leveraging NBMC, America Makes, and NextFlex
- **Key Challenges**
  - Ink development – both metals & dielectrics
  - Process enhancements – in-situ process monitoring, improved resolution, etc.
  - Multi-material print heads or print systems

AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

7. Army Research Laboratory's Additive Manufacturing for the Future Expeditionary Force

Ricardo Rodriguez
(Army Research Laboratory, Weapons and Materials Research Directorate)

One major Army focus is in converting our traditional force into a more expeditionary force. This will result in severe reductions in the logistics tail but will require Army forces to become more adaptive. Units, equipment, and personnel will need to be configurable and reconfigurable based on mission parameters. To accomplish this, the Army will be conducting more in-field, or point-of-need, manufacturing than ever before. Other areas of concentration include man-machine interface, capabilities organic to the Warfighter, unmanned systems, networks, and robotics. Many of the materials and technologies needed to accomplish these goals are still experimental, if they exist at all. This presentation will cover the Army Research Laboratory's Additive Manufacturing activities and discuss several research topics that will allow for the success of this future expeditionary force.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL
Advanced Manufacturing Research & Development
MFPT – Additive Manufacturing Session
Dayton, OH - 5/24/16
Larry R. Holmes, Jr. (ARL)
larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
Ricardo X. Rodriguez (SURVICE Eng.)
ricardo.x.rodriguez4.ctr@mail.mil
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate
Materials and Manufacturing Sciences Division
Manufacturing Sciences and Technology Branch
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL
ARL
Background
Agile Manufacturing Lab
Next Generation
Final Thoughts
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.





Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
AM History
ARL
It is not widely known, but three dimensional printing has been around for almost 150 years…
3D Maps
1890
Topographic Map
1979
The genesis of stereolithograpy
1988
Fused Deposition Modeling enters the market
1989
Metals Printing became real
1998
Multi-material inkjet printing
1850
2015
1860
Photosculpturing
3D Image reconstruction and Photosentive gels
1951
First machine resembling a 3D printer
1986
3D Systems founded
1987
Selective Laser Sintering is introduced
[1] Bourell et al., 2009,
[2] P.J. Ba´rtolo (ed.), 2011, Stereolithography: Materials, Processes and Applications
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
ARL
Background
Agile Manufacturing Lab
Next Generation
Final Thoughts
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Why AM for the Army??
ARL
The BIG Army Vision
Expeditionary
Reduce the logistical tail
Adaptive to location. …. jungle, mountain, desert, etc.
Adaptable, configurable
Real-time, on-time manufacturing
Point of use; In-field
Organic capability
Realize lightweightening
Complex manufacturing
Man-machine interface
Unmanned vehicles
Robots
Networks
AM is Agile Manufacturing!
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
AM Research Thrusts
ARL
Materials Development for Additive Manufacturing
Develop Army robust materials
Electrically/Thermally compatible and efficient materials
Bridge the Gap Between Direct Write and Additive Manufacturing
Ultra high fidelity additive manufacturing
Repeatable performance
Hybridization of Materials and Processing Technologies
Graded material/structure
Multi-material processing systems
Multifunctionality
30 µm
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
EXOD Manufacturing LAB
ARL
The Expeditionary On-Demand Manufacturing Lab is the Army Research Laboratory's Flagship facility for Direct Write and Additive Manufacturing Innovation.
ARL is Developing Next Level Functionality for Additive Manufacturing Technologies Through:
• Material Development
• Material Multifunctionality
• Material Compatibility
• Processing Control
• Processing Technology
Commercial Processes
• Polymer micro-extrusion
• Micro-dispensing pump
• Vat polymerization
• InkJet
• Aerosol jet
• Cold spray
• Micro-machining
• Direct Metal Laser Sintering
ARL Novel/Experimental Processes
• Field-aided vat polymerization
• Fiber reinforced micro-extrusion
• Multi-material vat polymerization
• Capillary cold spray
• PRINT, a roll-on deposition
• 6 axis multi-material processing
• Direct Write/ AM combined
Fracture initiation
Final failure
Site A
Site B
hinged
load
500 μm
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Multi-Tech AM
ARL
Hybrid Manufacturing
An active example of a multi-technology printing system. The system is based on universal processing controls and software, which are fully open for manipulation (variation of processing parameters). This system includes:
•Line Scanning
•Thermoplastic Extrusion (up to 400°)
•Thermoset Deposition
•Ink Deposition
(0 to 2,000,000cP, with pico-liter control)
•6-Axis Motion Control
•Tool Switching
•Pick-n-Place
•Micro-spray
•Micro-milling
•Laser Sintering
•Aerosol Jet Deposition
•Micro Cold Spray Deposition
•Hopper and auger based feeding
Fabricate a functioning device
Tools can be added as needed
Scan-to-Print
Conformal Printing
With Scan-to-print capability, the SuperScrypt can deposit on complex curves, or build 3D shapes from scan data.
Inverse kinematics enabled 6-axis motion control allows for true 3D printing instead of stacking 2D layers. Robust hardware allows for +/- 200nm precision.
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
AM Research Road Map
ARL
Material and Technology Cert and Qual
Information Security
Mtls & Tech Integration
Technology Development
Materials Development
Generation After Next After Next….
Generation After Next
Next -Gen In-Progress
• Sikorsky, ARL, AMCOM and NAVAIR qualify cold spray for UH-60 Sump → TRL 9
Before
After
• 3D Printed Auxetic Structures for acoustic energy manipulation
• ARL Patent Awarded for E-field particle alignment in 3D printed polymer structures
• Multi-Material & Self Healing Structures
• Ballistic Surrogates soft and rigid materials
• Print In-Field and on demand
• Microfluidics
• 3D Electronics and Antennas
• Prosthetics, Implants and Surrogates
• Structural, Electronic, Network and Systems Engineering
• Air, Ground and Aquatic Autonomous Systems
• Print-to-Fit, printed armor
• Structural Health Monitoring through integrated sensing
• Voxel-by-Voxel print any material in free space with seamless integration
• Manufacturing Systems that manufacture manufacturing systems
• Large-Scale "print and drive"
2000 -
2015
2030
2045
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.
15


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL
Background
Agile Manufacturing Lab
Next Generation
Final Thoughts
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Future AM Repair
ARL
Possible repair of complex parts could lead to large savings across Defense.
$18M Savings
Seawolf
Periscope repair
$225k part
$33M Savings
Liner bore seat damage in UH-60
helo gearbox $55k part
No Current Repair Available
Hydraulic lines and
outer surfaces
B-1
~$100M annual
DoD Savings
$15M Savings
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Future AM Robotics
ARL
Robots could be fabricated in all shapes and sizes; could self replicate, and could perform single or swarming functions.
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Future AM Vehicles
ARL
Vehicles parts, components and full structures could be fabricated for mission specific applications.
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Future AM Armament
ARL
FRONT TOWARD ENEMY
Parts and components of weapon systems could be replaceable in austere locations.
New class of reactive materials unachievable by conventional ingot metallurgy may be available.
F-15
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S.ARMY
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
Future AM Medical
ARL
Prosthetics, organs and other body parts could be printed to ease transitions for wounded Warfighters. Medical implements, braces and casts could be printed in-field to aid medics.
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S.ARMY
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
Future AM Misc
ARL
What else?
radiation
feed
All-dielectric patch antennas
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
Future AM In-Field
ARL
Soldiers could have immediate access to parts for general duties or mission critical activities
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
ARL
Background
Agile Manufacturing Lab
Next Generation
Final Thoughts
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
FORT
ARL
U.S.ARMY
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
FORT
ARL
U.S.ARMY
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
FORT
ARL
Environment Driven
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY
RDECOM
FORT
ARL
Environment Driven
Single Machine
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
AM Research Road Map
ARL
Material and Technology Cert and Qual
Information Security
Mtls & Tech Integration
Technology Development
Materials Development
Generation After Next After Next
• Sikorsky, ARL, AMCOM and NAVAIR qualify cold spray for UH-60 Sump → TRL 9
Before
After
• Multi-Material & Self Healing Structures
• Ballistic Surrogates
• Prosthetics, Implants and Surrogates
• Structural, Electronic, Network and Systems Engineering
NOW
and on demand
• 3D Printed Auxetic Structures for acoustic energy manipulation
• Print-to-Fit, printed armor
Next -Gen is In-Progress
• ARL Patent Awarded for E-field particle alignment in 3D printed polymer structures
• Microfluidics
• 3D Electronics and Antennas
• Air, Ground and Aquatic Autonomous Systems
• Structural Health Monitoring through integrated sensing
Future
any material
integration
Systems
that manufacture
systems
• Large-Scale "print and drive"
2000 -
2015
2030
2045
UNCLASSIFIED DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.
31


UNCLASSIFIED
U.S. ARMY RDECOM
ARL
Extramural Basic Research
Steering and oversight of the systematic study to increase fundamental knowledge and understanding in physical, engineering, environmental, and life sciences related to long-term national security needs.
Human Sciences
Fundamental understanding of Warfighter performance enhancement, training aids, and man-machine integration.
Information Sciences
Fundamental understanding of information generation, collection, assurance, distribution, and exploitation.
Sciences for Lethality & Protection
Fundamental understanding of emerging technologies that support weapon systems, protection systems, and injury mechanisms affecting the Warfighter
Sciences for Maneuver
Fundamental understanding of the design, integration, control, and exploitation of highly adaptive platforms in complex environments
Computational Sciences
Fundamental understanding of computer hardware, high efficiency algorithms, and novel mathematical methods.
Materials Research
Fundamental understanding of structural, electronic, photonic, and energy materials & devices.
Assessment and Analysis
Quantitatively Assess the development and application of analytical tools and methodologies to quantitatively assess the military utility of Army, DoD, and select foreign combat systems.
UNCLASSIFIED
32


UNCLASSIFIED
For references, contact POC Larry R. Holmes, Jr. larry.r.holmes.civ@mail.mil
QUESTIONS ?
U.S. Army
Research Laboratory
The Nations Premier Laboratory for Land Forces
UNCLASSIFIED
33

List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

3-D	3-dimensional
AFRL	Air Force Research Laboratory
AM	additive manufacturing
ARL	Army Research Laboratory
CT	computed tomography
MFPT	Machinery Failure Prevention Technology

1 (PDF)	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CTR DTIC OCA
2 (PDF)	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB RDRL CIO L IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT
1 (PDF)	GOVT PRINTG OFC A MALHOTRA
1 (PDF)	PENN STATE UNIV T PALMER
2 (PDF)	AFRL D BERRIGAN J MILLER
4 (PDF)	SOCIETY OF MFPT C POMFRET R WADE A WYNN M GASSMAN
4 (PDF)	DIR USARL RDRL WMM D M PEPI J SIETINS R RODRIGUEZ RDRL WMM G N ZANDER