

## ARL-TM-2015 • DEC 2015



# **Volume I: Select Presentations**

by ARL Summer Student Research Symposium

#### NOTICES

#### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

### ARL-TM-2015 • DEC 2015



# **Volume I: Select Presentations**

# by ARL Summer Student Research Symposium

	REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>								
1. REPORT DATE (	DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)			
December 201	5	Final						
4. TITLE AND SUB	TITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
Volume I: Sele	ect Presentations							
					5b. GRANT NUMBER			
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
ARL Summer	Student Research							
				5e. TASK NUMBER				
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
2800 Powder M					ARL-TM-2015			
Adelphi, MD 2			CC(FC)					
9. SPONSORING/N	VIONITORING AGENCY	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRE	55(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
	I/AVAILABILITY STATE							
		tribution is unlimite	ed.					
13. SUPPLEMENTA	ARY NOTES							
14. ABSTRACT								
The ARL Summer Student Research Symposium is an ARL Director's Award Program for all the students participating in various summer scholarship and contract activities across ARL. The goal of the program is to recognize and publicize exceptional achievements made by the students and their mentors in the support of Army science.								
All college undergraduate and graduate students receiving research appointments and conducting summer studies at ARL are automatically enrolled in the symposium program. As an integral part of their summer study, all students are required to write a paper on their work which summarizes their major activity and its end product.								
The program is conducted on two separate competitive levels: undergraduate and graduate. The format of the paper in both levels is the same. However, the evaluation will take into consideration the difference in the academic level of the students.								
All students submitted their research paper for directorate review. Directorate judging panels selected one or two papers from each								
-	competition category for the laboratory-wide competition at the Summer Student Symposium on 7 August 2015.							
Students selected by their directorate for competition participated in the one-day Summer Student Symposium on 7 August 2015. At the symposium the students gave presentations on the focuses of their research papers to the ARL Director and an ARL Fellows panel.								
This volume of the Summer Student Symposium Proceedings contains many of the presentations that the selected students gave at the symposium.								
15. SUBJECT TERMS								
ARL Summer Student Research, SEAP								
16. SECURITY CLA	SSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Dr Vallen Emery			
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	PAGES	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)			
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU	116	(301) 394-3585			

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

## Contents

Director's Foreword	v
Introduction	7
Computational and Information Sciences Directorate (CISD)	9
Human Research & Engineering Directorate (HRED)	31
Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate (SEDD)	53
Vehicle Technology Directorate (VTD)	87
Weapons & Materials Research Directorate (WMRD)	97
Distribution List	113

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### **Director's Foreword**

The U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) mission is to "Provide innovative science, technology, and analyses to enable full spectrum operations." As the Army's corporate laboratory, we provide the technological underpinnings critical to providing capabilities required by our current and future Soldiers.

Our nation is projected to experience a shortage of scientists and engineers. ARL recognizes the criticality of intellectual capital in generating capabilities for the Army. As the Army's corporate laboratory, addressing the projected shortfall is a key responsibility for us. We have, therefore, identified the nation's next generation of scientists and engineers as a key community of interest and have generated a robust educational outreach program to strengthen and support them. We have achieved many successes with this community. We believe that the breadth and depth of our outreach programs will have a significant positive effect on the participants, facilitating their journey toward becoming this Nation's next generation of scientists and engineers.

A fundamental component of our outreach program is to provide students research experiences at ARL. During the summer of 2015, we supported research experiences at ARL for over 175 undergraduate and graduate students. Each of these students writes a paper describing the results of the work they performed while at ARL. All of the papers were of high quality, but only a few could be selected for presentation at our student symposium. Several of the presentations for the selected research papers prepared this summer are contained in this volume of the proceedings, and they indicate that there were many excellent research projects with outstanding results. It is unfortunate that there was not enough time for us to have all of the papers presented. We would have enjoyed hearing them all.

We are very pleased to have hosted this outstanding group of students for the summer. It is our hope that they will continue their pursuit of technical degrees and will someday assist us in providing critical technologies for our Soldiers.

Thomas P. Russell Director

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### Introduction

The ARL Summer Student Research Symposium is an ARL Director's Award Program for all the students participating in various summer scholarship and contract activities across ARL. The goal of the program is to recognize and publicize exceptional achievements made by the students and their mentors in the support of Army science.

All college undergraduate and graduate students receiving research appointments and conducting summer studies at ARL are automatically enrolled in the symposium program. As an integral part of their summer study, all students are expected to write a paper on their work which summarizes their major activity and its end product.

The program is conducted on two separate competitive levels: undergraduate and graduate. The format of the paper in both levels is the same. However, the evaluation will take into consideration the difference in the academic level of the students.

All students submitted their research paper for directorate review. Directorate judging panels selected one or two papers from each competition category for the laboratory-wide competition at the Summer Student Symposium on 7 August 2015.

Students selected by their directorate for competition participated in the one-day Summer Student Symposium on 7 August 2015. At the symposium, the students presented their papers to an audience of ARL scientists and engineers, including the ARL Director and an ARL Fellows panel.

This volume of the Summer Student Symposium Proceedings contains many of the selected presentations given at the symposium.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

**Computational and Information Sciences Directorate (CISD)** 

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.











		U.S. ARMY						
U.S.ARMY		R <b>DECOM</b> ®	Reward-Biased Replay					
U.S.ARMT	~							
Proble	Problem:							
• Def	<ul> <li>Default sampling does not emphasize winning.</li> </ul>							
• Del	<ul> <li>Delayed reward signal – hard to correlate actions with rewards.</li> </ul>							
Coluti								
	Solution:							
		e agent rece ent experien	ives a reward, perform extra policy updates					
WIL	intece	int experient						
Experie	nce	Reward						
FRAME	<b>(</b>	$\overleftrightarrow$						
FRAME	-							
FRAME	0	000						
		•						
FRAME	-	☆ <b>○</b>						
FRAME	-	☆						
FRAME	-	Ô.						
FRAME	0	\$	http://newsletter.newington.nsw.edu.au/wyvern/files/2014/05/Digital-Brain-1000x440.jp					
LASSIFIED			The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces					
		US ADDAY	UNCLASSIFIED					
$\mathbf{x}$		RDECOM®	Reward-Biased Replay					
U.S.ARMY								
Proble	-m·							
		ampling do	as not emphasize winning					
Default sampling does not emphasize winning								
• Dei	ayed	reward sign	al – hard to correlate actions with rewards					
Soluti	on:							
• Wh	en the	e agent rece	ives a reward, perform extra policy updates					
		nt experien						
		-						
Experie	ence	Reward						
FRAME	-	$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}$	and the Court of					
FRAME	-	000						
FRAME	0	0						
FRAME	-							
FRAME	-	☆ <b>⊘</b>						
FRAME		☆						
FRAME	-	<b>⊗</b> ☆						
FRAME	0	X						
			The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces					





























Human Research & Engineering Directorate (HRED)

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

z

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.












	ED							-
	ata	Cle	anir	ng			A	R/
0.399107 1.200812 0.615815 0.416071 2.820447 638.1812 0	4 4	1 -	1 -1	-1	-1	4		
0.341242 1.148248 0.615944 0.415462 2.731251 637 6979 0 0.3372 0.362308 1.162234 0.61597 0.416971 2.714465 637 6932 0 0.32560 0.36532 1.162747 0.615991 0.41606 2.724448 637 5387 0	86 0.981632 -1 -1	2 0.44781	7 0.393295 1 0.392575 1 -1	2.494111	637.3649 637.215 -1	0 0 4		
0.351972 1.152048 0.615936 0.415874 2.673703 637.6303 0 0.3151 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 4 0.3222 0.357837 1.178212 0.615846 0.415975 2.699876 637.6279 0 0.3324	31 0.957948	8 0.4474			637.215 637.215 637.215	0		
0.371643 1.178315 0.616081 0.41539 2.693588 637.3993 0 0.36704	25 0.991723 49 0.962163					0 0 4		
0.35907 1.163571 0.616409 0.414275 2.672136 637.452 0 0.3156 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 4 0.3277			6 0.390942	2.457174		0		
0.37352 1.173388 0.616754 0.413741 2.738423 637.452 0 0.344 0.3652 1.165525 0.616958 0.414169 2.646918 637.4495 0 0.3027 0.368778 1.180514 0.617194 0.4141003 2.65631 637.4495 0 0.3100		0.448284	0.38964		637.0654	0		
0.354733 1.144357 0.617463 0.413774 2.631077 637.4495 0 0.3064 0.369413 1.168403 0.617674 0.413572 2.662515 637.4494 0	99 0.928804	1 -	-1		637.0652	0 4 0		
0.370346 1.168792 0.617231 0.413641 2.663382 643.5607 0 0.362044 1.18501 0.617964 0.41367 2.657696 637.4495 0 0.2900	-1 -1 35 0.887654	1	1 -1 2 0.389007	-1 2.211987	-1 643.4362	4		
0.363189 1.17779 0.618039 0.413749 2.664907 636.202 0.0.3455. 0.3739 1.181429 0.617914 0.41315 2.665086 636.1998 0.0.28986 0.366917 1.172769 0.617653 0.414151 2.628238 636.1973 0.0.3073	0.9266	0.450203	4 0.390441 3 0.389155 1 0.389464	2.251671	644.9291 644.9208 644.9209	0		
0.351081 1.131776 0.617439 0.413683 2.612685 635.9223 0 0.2970 0.348727 1.175542 0.617058 0.414702 2.633388 635.9199 0 0.2896	47 0.93402 48 0.91530	7 0.449725		2.289969	644.921 644.921	0		
0.371932 1.158071 0.616798 0.414204 2.673497 635.6425 0 0.355172 1.146283 0.616488 0.41421 2.618819 635.5737 0 0.2676 0.353219 1.141294 0.616266 0.414206 2.601598 635.5322 0	25 0.915382	2 0.448710	6 0.390554 1 -1	2.162024	-1 644.9211 -1	4 0 4		
0.36348 1.166076 0.616157 0.414938 2.622056 635.4995 0 0.365225 1.162257 0.616162 0.415024 2.640307 635.4995 0 0.2963 0.364207 1.149339 0.616238 0.415241 2.621223 635.4995 0 0.3261	-1 -1 05 0.92789 93 1.01963				-1 641.7747 641.7787	4 0 0		
0.366712         1.179521         0.616305         0.415527         2.651641         635.4996         0         0.35033           0.369573         1.168785         0.616319         0.415664         2.650174         635.6464         0         0.3424	25 1.053155	5 0.446034		2.572191	641.7827	0		
0.374087 1.162789 0.616294 0.414872 2.685022 635.7286 0 0.3442 1.123252 0.616195 0.415465 2.582196 635.7261 0 0.3145 0.371128 1.152266 0.616072 0.41453 2.6637 635.8524 0	43 0.976003	0.447076	-1 5 0.391233	-1 2.323225 -1	-1 634.9319 -1	4 0 4		
0.351393 1.150845 0.615883 0.414954 2.605016 635.8499 0 0.339 0.35358 1.153353 0.615708 0.414531 2.634429 635.8499 0 0.3206 0.329205 1.150454 0.615023 0.414028 2.658435 635.8498 0 0.3624			7 0.392105 2 0.391075 1 0.390588	2.445532		0		
0.533464         1.066242         0.613209         0.412032         2.706122         635.8137         0         0.5655           0.62098         1.044893         0.611751         0.410561         2.674122         635.6754         0         0.64400	66 0.855246 09 0.826073	6 0.444317 3 0.443309	7 0.389286 9 0.387934	2.337765 2.297609	635.4763 635.312	0		
	18 0.882474 27 0.836226		0.388568			0	-	
	IED					r Lan		rces RL
	ing (	Gaz	e Po	ositi	on		Âİ	R/
	ing (	Gaz		ositi	on	HVT2 FALSE	Âİ	R/
UNCLASSIFI US ARMY US ARMY Defini	Foill FALSE	Gaz Foil2 • FALSE FALSE	e Po	Foil4 FALSE FALSE	ON HVT1 • FALSE FALSE	HVT2 V FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE	RU HVT4 FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left	Foil1 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Poils • TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE	Foil4 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON HVT1 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 V FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 - FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Gaz Foil2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil3 Foil3 TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE	Foil4 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON HVT1 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	RI HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Pc	Foil4 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON HVT1 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS
Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Pc	Foil4 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON HVT1 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e PC	Foil4 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries	Foill Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Pc	Foild FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Pc	Foild FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e PC	Foild FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI •Calculated absent and present from	Foill FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e Pc	Foild FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
	Foill FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	e PC	Foild FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	ON FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT4 FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI •Calculated absent and present from	Foill FALSE	Gaz Foil2 ▼ FALSE	e PC	Foil4 ▼ FALSE	ON FALSE	HVT2 ▼ FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	HVT3 FALSE	HVT4           FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI •Calculated absent and present from	Foill FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE	e PC	Foil4 ▼ FALSE	ON FALSE	HVT2 FALSE FA	HVT3 FALSE	HVT4           FALS           FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI •Calculated absent and present from	Foill FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE	e PC	Foil4 ▼ FALSE	ON FALSE	HVT2 FALSE	HVT3 FALSE	HVT4           FALS
•Averaged x gaze position of the left eye and right eye, and did the same for the y position •Converted these data into pixels •Used gaze data and ROI boundaries to determine if a fixation was in a ROI •Calculated absent and present from	Foill FALSE	Foil2 ▼ FALSE	e PC	Foil4 ▼ FALSE	ON FALSE	HVT2 ▼ FALSE	HVT3 ▼ FALSE	HVT4         FALS           FALS         FALS





	UNCLASSIFIED					
	Acknowledgments					
l would	like to thank my mentors Katherine Gamble and Debbie					
Patton and the Cognitive Sciences Branch.						
	Thank you!					
	Questions?					
19						
13						
UNCLASSIFIED	The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces					

















	UNCLASSIFIED	
	Acknowledgements	ARL
U.S.ARMY		
The author wishes to acknow and Dr. James Head.	wledge the mentorship of Dr. Matthe	w Tenan
		18
UNCLASSIFIED	The Nation's Premier Laboratory for	Land Forces

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate (SEDD)

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

















## Long-Term Operation of a Bio-RDECOM ARL V Hybrid Vapor-Phase FC Successful Run 8 6 Current Output [mA/cm<sup>2</sup>] 6.6%glucose (15g into 6%glucose (15g into 225mL) added to 250mL) added to fermentation 2 fermentation 1 >10 Days! And still going! 0 50 100 150 200 250 0 Time [h] (U//FOUO) 17 TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Conclusions and Future Work

Conclusions:

- · Built and tested a novel vapor-fed bio-hybrid fuel cell
- · Performance is comparable to that of liquid-fed fuel cell
- Flow rate and performance data fit to CSTR reactor model
- · Optimal voltage for peak power output depends on flow rate
- Extended BHFC run time from <24 h to 2 weeks</li>

## Future Work:

- Kinetics and product distribution at elevated temperature
- Lower-energy vapor generation
- Microbial consortia to digest simulated food waste

Acknowledgements:

- Dr. David Mackie and Dr. Justin Jahnke
- Dr. Jim Sumner and the Biotechnology Brance
- 18 ARL, ASEE, and CQL

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

(U//FOUO)

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



Supplemental: Langmuir Adsorption and the Rate Equation

$$r_{CH_3CH_2OH} \approx k[CH_3CH_2OH]$$

For Langmuir Adsorption:

$$r = \frac{kK_{EtOH}P_{EtOH}K_{W}P_{W}}{[1 + K_{EtOH}P_{EtOH} + K_{W}P_{W} + K_{CH3CH0}P_{CH3CH0} + K_{ACOH}P_{ACOH}]^{2}}$$
(UIFOUD)
  
20
  
TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.









UNCLASSIFIED

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces






















UNCLASSIFIED
<b>Future Work</b>
Temperature dependence of current 2D materials using a graphene heater.
More materials characterization (TEM, TOF-SIMS, XPS, XRD, and EDX).
<ul> <li>Further synthesis and tuning of the phase transition from growth.</li> </ul>
Temperature and polarization-dependent Raman analysis.
<ul> <li>Contact optimization for more reliable electrical measurements and more electrical measurements.</li> </ul>
Refining laser patterning of 1T' phase.
<ul> <li>Strain-device engineering or thermal device engineering to induce phase transition from 2H to 1T'.</li> </ul>
14
UNCLASSIFIED The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces
UNCLASSIFIED
Acknowledgments ARL
I would like to thank ARL for the opportunity to conduct interesting and meaningful research as an intern.
I would also like to acknowledge ARO and the NSF for facilities and support before arriving at ARL.
Thanks to those who helped me with this project:
Daniel Chenet*Sina NajmaeiTyler Klarr*Madan Dubey (Team Leader)Alex MazzoniLuis Balicas (PHD Advisor)Matthew Chin (Mentor)Matthew Hwee
Daniel Chenet*Sina NajmaeiTyler Klarr*Madan Dubey (Team Leader)Alex MazzoniLuis Balicas (PHD Advisor)Matthew Chin (Mentor)
Daniel Chenet*Sina NajmaeiTyler Klarr*Madan Dubey (Team Leader)Alex MazzoniLuis Balicas (PHD Advisor)Matthew Chin (Mentor)Matthew HweeRobert Burke(*contributed towards Raman, composition characeterization, and planning
Daniel Chenet*Sina NajmaeiTyler Klarr*Madan Dubey (Team Leader)Alex MazzoniLuis Balicas (PHD Advisor)Matthew Chin (Mentor)Matthew HweeRobert Burke(*contributed towards Raman, composition characeterization, and planning project)And a particular thanks to Alexander Duerloo and Evan Reed for providing theoretical calculations.









Vehicle Technology Directorate (VTD)

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.









UNCLASSIFIED

0.4

0.6

0.8 Rotor Speed (  $\Omega / \Omega_{nom}$  )

Structural model is in good agreement with previous studies

12

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces







INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Weapons & Materials Research Directorate (WMRD)

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.





### Motivation





- Very little work done to characterize internal features of high ٠ performance fibers
- Previous studies utilize microtomy to observe internal features of • **Kevlar and UHMWPE** 
  - Dobb et al. Journal of Polymer Science (1977), McDaniel et al. Polymer (2015)



over time

No time-related effects •



# Methodology







ARL

#### Objective:

RDECOM

Develop test methods for characterizing ballistic fibers after inducing longitudinal shear.

#### Approach:

- Use focused ion beam (FIB) milling to ٠ create notches in single fibers to produce a shear plane.
- Characterize internal surface • morphology by atomic force microscopy (AFM).







- Fix back end of fiber to carbon tape allowing rotational freedom.
- Use optical microscope as visual aid and rotate fiber until fracture surface is facing up.
- Fix tip of fiber to double-sided adhesive tape to secure fiber for imaging.





- Initial imaging performed using Keyence 3D laser scanning confocal microscope.
- Height profiles are generated to confirm fracture surface alignment is maintained after mounting.







Results







Technique works for KM2 – what about other fiber systems?



## Conclusions

ARL

1







### **Questions?**







#### **Shear Experiment Concepts**



- TEM Grid 3-point bending
  - Short beam shear test uses 3 point bend test with span to thickness ratio of about 4:1 for inducing pure shear
  - 400 mesh TEM grid provides span:tk of about 5:1
- Stepwise shear surfaces
  - Provide through thickness observation of internal morphologies



ADMNSTR DEFNS TECHL INFO CTR ATTN DTIC OCP

US ARMY RSRCH LAB ATTN RDRL CIO LL TECHL LIB ATTN IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT ATTN RDRL DP ISABEL LLERENA ATTN RDRL WML B ROSE PESCE-RODRIGUEZ INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.