UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD911399

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; 02 JUL 1973. Other requests shall be referred to Ogden Air Logistics Center, Attn: Reliability Section [MMERR], Service Engineering Div., Ogden AFB, UT 84406.

AUTHORITY

OOY ltr, 5 Oct 1973

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

99 M 4D911

「日本のないのである」

1 million

11: NWERRAM-73-121

JUNE 1973

SYSTEM COST RELIABILITY ANALYSIS PROGRAM

ISCRAIN

DOCUMENTATION

A. P.

REPORT

PREPARES BY MMER Reliadility Soction ENGINEERING SERVICE DIVISION

> ordred and matteries area LILL AFE UTAH 84406

SYSTEM COST RELIABILITY ANALYSIS PROGRAM

(SCRAP)

DOCUMENTATION

Bistribution limited to U.S. Covet. Accords only in the second se Reliability Section (MMERR) Service Engineering Division Ogden Air Materiel Area Hill Air Force Base, Utah 84406

SYSTEM COST RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FROGRAM

(SCRAP)

DOCUMENTATION

Prepared By: WILLIAM F. PYTLIK Capt, USAF Project Engineer Approved By: ANGELO CAPPAS Chief, Reliability Section Approved By: MURRAY EDWARDS, Lt Col, USAF Chief, Reliability & Value Engrg Branch MURRAY EDWARDS

Approved By:

Service Engineering Division

PAGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 1 2.0 2 3.0 TOTAL RECURRING ANNUAL LOGISTICS 5 4.0 THE HIGH COST AREAS OF A WEAPON 13 THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF AN ECP 5.0 (ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL) 15 6.0 17 A-1 APPENDIX B AFM 66-1 DATA DEFINITION B-1 APPENDIX C LISTING OF PROGRAM SCRAP C-1 APPENDIX D SAMPLE PRINTOUT AND SENSITIVITY D-1 APPENDIX E LISTING OF PROGRAM SORTSUM E-1

ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE	1	LABOR	EXPI	ENDITU	JRE	S	٠	٠	۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠
FIGURE	2	BASE	SHOP	DATA	•		•		•		•	•	J			٠	•	•

i

ABSTRACT

This document presents documentation necessary to use the System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (SCRAP). This program, developed by OOAMA/MMERR, was established to realistically determine, <u>in real time</u>, the Total Annual Logistic cost of an aircraft weapon subsystem or system and to perform cost sensitivity analyses on these weapon subsystems or systems.

1.0 BACKGROUND

One of the most complex areas of endeavor within the Air Force Logistic Command (AFLC) is to reduce the total recurring annual logistic cost of a weapon system (the cost to operate and support a weapon system). It is the purpose of this document to show how existing maintenance, failure, and cost data available within the Air Force can be used to formulate a realistic method to determine total recurring annual logistic cost. Then, the document will show how this method can be used to determine high cost areas within a weapon system, and how the method may be used to determine whether a proposed modification to a weapon system is economically sound. Finally, the document will present details on how to work with the SCRAP and SORTSUM computer programs.

2.0 BACKGROUND

Prior to the determination of which weapon system areas are the most costly and whether a modification to a weapon system is economically sound, a complete cost analysis must be performed to determine present total recurring annual logistic cost of the weapon system in question. Determination of total recurring annual logistic cost would then result in performing a cost of ownership (annual logistic cost) analysis and a cost sensitivity analysis of a weapon system.

It is apparent that in order to perform a cost analysis of a large, complex weapon system, a great deal of data must be available. Within the Air Force Logistic Command such data does exist in the AFM 66-1 data system. The time taken to repair an item in the field, MTBF (Mean-Time-Between-Failure), cost of material per repair, and many other data items are also available from the AFM 66-1 data system.

Every weapon system is divided into subsystems. These subsystems are further reduced to the LRU (Line Replaceable Unit) level. For example, the F-4C fighter aircraft is considered a weapon system; items such as the engine, navigation equipment, and radar are considered subsystems; and items such as the antenna of a radar are considered LRU's. Thus, a weapon system may be made up of thousands of subsystems and LRU's. To

insure that the data kept for each subsystem and LRU is easily accessible, numbers are attached to each subsystem, LRU, or major part of a LRU. For example, the jet engine has the number 23000; the ejector nozzle assembly, a LRU of the engine, has the number 23530; and the nozzle itself, a part of the ejector nozzle assembly, has the number 2353A attached to it. These numbers are referred to as Work Unit Codes (WUC). Some of the AFM 66-1 data is organized by means of these WUC's. Data such as manhours expended to adjust, overhaul, clean a LRU, is listed under a WUC within the AFM 66-1 data system.

In addition to the AFM 66-1 data system, depot data exists in the LOG-K65 of the GO72A data system. This is an AFLC data system which contains depot information such as time required to overhaul a particular LRU, cost of parts replaced, number of LRU's condemned at the depot, and cost per manhour of overhaul. Additionally, data such as the cost of the subsystem or LRU, the number of items in the inventory, the number of parts, exists within the Air Force--in other words, the physical parameters of the weapon system in question.

Adequate raw data does exist to conceivably perform a cost analysis. Within the AFLC "library" also exists a document called AFLCM 66-18. In Chapter 15 (Cost Analysis - AFLC Increase

and an allow

Reliability of Operational Systems (IROS) Program) of this manual, equations are presented which, when implemented, will result in total recurring annual logistic cost. Thirty-eight parameters are required to implement the equations listed in Chapter 15.

It is the intent of the next section to show how the existing raw data and the basic equations of AFLCM 66-18, Chapter 15, are used to provide a means of determining the total recurring annual logistic cost for a weapon system, and a breakout of the cost into its relevant categories (e.g., labor, material, etc.).

3.0 TOTAL RECURKING ANNUAL LOCISTIC COST BASELINE

Prior to making any decisions with regard to total recurring annual logistic cost, an annual logistic cost baseline must be determined. To establish this baseline, the data in AFM 66-1, G072A, and the basic equations in AFLCM 66-18 must be used. To integrate the raw data with the equations of AFLCM 66-18, a computer program called System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (SCRAP) was written. There are 31 variables required to drive the cost program SCRAP. (See Appendix A for a listing of the 31 variables.) It should be noted that some of the variables shown in AFLCM 66-18 are actually constants and thus included in the program body. The first six pertain to on-weapon system actions; the next nine pertain to base shop actions; and the last eleven provide general information required for cost computation. Variable A(15), Base Material Cost Standard for Non-Failure Maintenance, is assumed to be zero in many cases since data from the field, to even approximate this standard, is usually not available. It should be noted that variable A(20) is a decision factor for handling the situation of two labor standards existing in the depot--one for condemnation, one for overhaul--and is therefore not a true data element.

The first fourteen variables are generated from AFM 66-1 data; the depot data, variables A(16) - A(21), comes from the LOG K65 of the GO72A system (a depot data management system); and the last eleven variables are provided by the respective item and system managers.

In the writing of the computer program, it is assumed that maintenance is accomplished on these levels: on-weapon system (on equipment), base-shop (off-equipment), and depot overhaul. A flow diagram outlining the different maintenance accomplished at each level and the interconnection of levels is given in Fig 1. The following abbreviations are used in Fig. 1. NRTS - not reparable this station, MMHs - maintenance manhours, ACPT - aircraft, equip equipment, hrs - hours, Mal - malfunction.

The respective variables (A(1) - A(13), A(17) - A(20)) applying to each block are also included on the diagram. These are the variables used in the computer program. The definitions dictating which AFM 66-1 data elements apply to each block are given in Appendix B. These definitions are based on the criteria set forth in AFLCM 66-18, Chapter 15, and on the individual definition of each, as defined in the technical manuals. The depot rates and standards come directly from depot data products and are not derived from AFM 66-1 data. The different rates (A(2), A(7), etc.) denote the percentage of action accomplished in that block. The rates are derived by taking the ratio of the number of actions accomplished in

FIG. 1

LABOR EXPERDITIONS



a particular block to the total actions accomplished at that maintenance level. The labor standard for each block is derived by taking the ratio of maintenance manhours reported to the number of actions reported for each block. This indicates the average manhours expanded per action for that particular block.

The cost of material expended per repair action at the depot, A(16), is reported directly in the LOG K65 data product. The material cost per repair action at the base shop A(14), is not reported directly. An estimate of the material cost at the base shop was made by taking the total cost of the bits and pieces reported as being replaced in the AFM 66-1 data and dividing by the number of repair actions. This gives an average material cost per repair action at the base shop.

The basic differences between the SCRAP computer program and the equations in AFLCM 66-18 lie in the definition of failure and in the breakout of maintenance repair areas. AFLCM 66-18 defines a failure as type 1 How Malfunction Code with only F, P and R actions and only P and R actions not followed by a B action Code in the base shop. (See Appendix B for definitions of actions and How Mal codes.) The SCRAP program defines a failure as type 1 or 2 How Mal Codes with action codes F, G, K, L, P, R and Z. It simply makes sense to call a required adjustment a failure if the mission must be scrapped because of this maladjustment. Additionally, AFLCM 66-18 assumes that all failures result in a

removal of the item from the weapon system. This contradicts their definition of failure since an F action code denotes on equipment (weapon system) repair. The SCRAP program allows for the fact that some repair actions are accomplished on the weapon system. Lastly, the AFICM 66-18 equations group all base shop data together to give one labor standard for repair. The SCRAP program breaks out this data, which enables the sensitivity of all various actions to be determined. A detailed procedure of how to enter data and a listing of the SCRAP program is contained in Appendix C. The program incorporates the modified equations of AFLCM 66-18, as previously mentioned, (lines 1060 - 1360), plus other features which allow a cost sensitivity analysis to be per formed. The program is written in FORTRAN IV computer language. To drive the program, the previously mentioned 31 variables must be entered. To find variables A(1) - A(14) calculations are required. The program allows raw data to be fed to it and it will calculate A(1) through A(14), lines 150 - 570 of the program, thereby simplifying the cost analysis. The maw data items (denoted by S(1) through S(23)) used to calculate A(1) through A(14) are listed in Fig 2. Fig 2 also shows from which data products S(1) through S(23) are obtained.

Once S(1) through S(23) and A(15) through A(31) are entered, a resultant cost analysis will be performed. If more than one run is anticipated of any given work unit code, and if A(1) through

FIG. 2 - BASE SHOP DATA

From 3-LOG-K261 (AFM 66-1)

S(1)	Ħ	Туре	1	δe	2,	S Actions
S (2)	8	Туре	1	&	2,	S MMHs
S (3)	8	Туре	1	δ.	2,	V+Y MMHs
S (4)	#	Туре	1	&	2,	P Actions
S (5)	=	Туре	1	&	2,	R Actions
S(6)	-	Туре	1	&	2,	P&R MMHs
S(7)	5	Туре	1	&	2,	True Actions Summary
S (8)	-	Type	1	&	2,	True MMHs Summary
S (9)	-	Total	LI	M	ls S	Summary

From 4-LOG-K261 (for all types AFM 66-1)

S(10) - V MMHs S(11) = Z Actions S(12) = Z MMHs S(13) = 9 MMHs

From 5-LOG-K261 (for all types AFM 66-1)

S(14) = AFG, Repair + KL, Adjustment Actions S(15) = AFG, Repair + KL, Adjust + CDMN, Delayed MMHs S(16) = 1 - 8, NRTS Actions S(17) = 1 - 8, NRTS and 9, Condemned MMHs S(18) = 9, Condemned Actions S(19) = BJ + VXZ, Clean/Test/Corrosion Actions S(20) = BJ + VXZ, Clean/Test/Corrosion MMHs S(21) = Total Cost of Parts replaced S(22) = Type 1, 2 and 6, Q Actions S(23) = Type 1, 2, and 6 Q MMHs A(14) have been previously calculated, it is possible to enter A(1) through A(31) and obtain the same results. Sample printouts from the program are shown in Appendix D. The top portion shows data entry and a printout of the calculated variables A(1) through A(14), Page D-1. These variables deal with on-weapon system actions and base (field) shop maintenance actions. Page D-2 provides a printout of the program results based on the previously calculated variables plus the remaining eighteen variables required to complete the data (Appendix A).

As previously mentioned, a sensitivity analysis is also an option to the SCRAP program. A sample of the printout from a sensitivity analysis is shown in Appendix D, page D-3.

Operation of the analysis involves entering (1) number of variables to be changed, (2) which variables these are, and (3) percentage of change desired. Only the number of the variable has to be entered; i.e., for A(1) enter 1. The program is keyed to reductions of that variable by the percentage entered. If a negative percentage (i.e., -10) is entered, the variable is increased by that percentage. The only exception is when a change to a labor or other rate is desired. In this case the value of the new rate must be entered. The program then calculates the new total recurring annual logistic cost (TALC), the resulting amount of reduction in TALC, and the percentage reduction in TALC. (See Appendix D, Page D-2 for an example.)

a ježi

The next sections will cover what applications are possible using this method of obtaining total recurring annual logistic cost.

4.0 THE HIGH COST AREAS OF A WEAPON SYSTEM

In the previous section, 3.0, a method for determining a baseline for total recurring annual logistic cost was developed. Assuming that a baseline for several WUC's was calculated, it will be shown how the high cost areas of a weapon system or subsystem are determined.

Perhaps the most obvious way to approach this is to take all the total recurring annual logistic costs calculated by SCRAP and rank them by decreasing cost. Subsequent to ranking this total recurring annual logistic cost, a cumulative sum and percentage should be taken. This is necessary to find the high cost items. For example, after ranking and calculating the cumulative percentages, it is found that three or four out of perhaps twenty items comprise 95% of the total recurring annual logistic cost, it would be advantageous to expend engineering effort and money on those three or four items rather than the rest.

These calculations may be handled manually, but for larger systems it becomes imperative to use a computer program to handle the calculations. A computer program was written to rank the total recurring annual logistic cost calculated by SCRAP. The program called "SORTSUM", ranks cost in decreasing order or increasing order. The program also prints out the WUC associated with a

given total recurring annual logistic cost, and the cumulative sums and percentages. For a detailed instruction of using the program and listing of the program see Appendix E, pages E-1 to E-4.

Thus, high cost areas of a weapon system can be readily determined by first finding the baseline cost data using SCRAP and the using SORTSUM to rank these costs. A sample printout of the ranking is given in Appendix E, Page E-4. SORTSUM may also be used to rank failures, manhours, or any other factors associated with total recurring annual logistic cost. The sample printout, page E-4 shows that failures as well as costs are ranked. The capability to do this is another useful tool in the determination of high cost areas. In the sample printout it should be noted that the first item of the seven listed comprises 98.14% of the total cost, yet only 33.53% of the total failures. Consequently, it can be concluded that the cost per failure of the 23000 WUC is proportionally higher than the other WUC's. Thus, a reduction in failure of that WUC would result in the greatest savings.

The value of knowing total recurring annual logistic and the top high cost items now becomes apparent. Any endeavor in engineering requiring changes to a weapon system should not be undertaken unless a detailed cost analysis is performed.

5.0 THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF AN ECP (ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL)

One of the areas stressed in section 3.0 was the capability of SCRAP to perform a sensitivity analysis on any variable in the program; that is, an analysis showing the amount of change in total recurring annual logistic cost due to a change in one of the variables making up annual logistic cost. This capability lends itself to the evaluation of an ECP (Engineering Change Proposal).

Often ECP's are accepted or bought because they sound appealing. But, are they economically sound? Without a program like SCRAP it is rather difficult to assess the financial worth of an ECP.

Prior to making any decision on the worth of an ECP, the methods formulated in section 3.0 must be used to find the baseline annual logistic data. Then the manufacturer's proposal must be evaluated to pin down which area of the ECP is to be improved, how it is to be improved (i.e., reduction in maintenance manhours, reduction in failures), and by how much each area may be improved. Having established an annual logistic cost baseline and quantified the proposed modification, it is now possible to enter the amount of reduction the proposed modification calls for on any given variable. This is done to every variable for which reductions are claimed within a WUC. It is also done to every WUC affected

by the modification. A new resultant annual logistic cost will be found for the system in question, and the savings realized will also be found.

This savings can then be compared to the total cost of the modification, and a judgment can be made as to the worth of the ECP. For example, if the manufacturer claims a reduction in failures of 10%, a reduction of 10% on variable A(1) is entered into the sensitivity analysis. The sample analysis of WUC 23000, Appendix D, page D-3 above that reducing A(1) by 10% results in a savings of \$1,818,125. It is now possible to weigh the cost of the modification against the predicted savings. If the amortization time is acceptable the ECP should be accepted,

The sensitivity analysis also shows that the 10% reduction in failures of the 23000 WUC results in savings of 9.23%, or almost all the cost is associated with failures. This is not always the case. The sample analysis of WUC 2361B, Appendix D, page D-5 shows that a 50% reduction in failures yields only a 22% savings in total annual logistic cost. Therefore without SCRAP, it is difficult to evaluate, realistically and in real time, an ECP from an economic standpoint.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The uncertainties in the determination of the total recurring annual logistic cost can be greatly reduced by using the procedures developed in section 3.0. Therefore, guessing at this cost will no longer be necessary. This report has shown how existing maintenance, failure, and cost data available within the Air Force can be used to determine annual logistic cost. It was then shown how the method developed in section 4.0 can be used to determine high cost areas of a weapor system. This is extremely important in allocating time and money to improve the appropriate portion of the weapon system: because, little is gained by expending engineering time and money on a low cost portion of a weapon system. Lastly, section 5.0 shows how it is possible to use SCRAP to determine whether a proposed modification to a weapon system is economically sound. A complete cost analysis done by the methods developed in this report provides an invaluable data base to be used in future decisions dealing with modifications or the operating cost of a weapon system. With this data base and SCRAP, sensitivity analyses are possible at any time, in real time, reducing the unknowns present in making a decision on the economics of engineering and management problems of a weapon system.

APPENDIX A

SCRAP PROGRAM VARIABLES

¢

A(1)	-	Total Failures
A(2)	Ħ	On-Aircraft Repair Rate
A(3)	=	On-Aircraft Repair Labor Standard
A(4)		Remove and Replace Rate
A(5)	=	Remove and Replace Labor Standard
A(6)	==	Non-Failure MMHs (On-Equipment)
A(7)	#	Base Shop Repair Rate
A(8)	#	Base Shop Repair Labor Standard
A(9)	æ	Base Shop NRTS Rate
A(10)	7	Base Shop NRTS Labor Standard
A(11)	=	Base Shop Condemnation Rate
A(12)	1	Base Shop Condemnation Labor Standard
A(13)	3	Base Shop Non-Failure MMHs
A (1 4)	=	Base Mat'l Cost Standard for Repair (\$/repair)
A(15)	=	Base Mat'l Cost Standard for Non-Failure Maintenance (\$/hour)
A(16)	*	Depot Mat'l Cost Standad for Repair
A(17)	.	Depot Condemnation Rate
A(18)	-	Depot Condemnation Labor Standard (hrs/cond action)
A(19)	=	Depot Repair Labor Standard (hrs/repair action)
A(20)	=	Decision Factor (equals 0 when Repair - Condemned hrs in
		one std; 1 otherwise)
A(21)	-	Percent Condemned Items to be Reprocured
A(22)	=	Total Annual Flying Hours
A(23)	=	Weight (1bs)
A(24)	=	Percent Aircraft Conus
A(25)	=	Percent Aircraft Overseas
A(26)	-	Number of Items Managed
A <u>(</u> 27)	=	Standard Unit Cost of Item
A(28)	2	Salvage Value (\$/1b)
A(29)	=	Number of Stock Listed Parts and Subassemblies
A(30)	-	Number of Applicable T.O. pages
A (31)	=	Other Significant Recurring Costs

A-1

.

Se Level

APPENDIX B

441° 349 "TRANS

SCRAP PROGRAM

AFM 66-1 DATA DEFINITION

Noun	How Mal Type	Action Cpdes
(Base "On-Equip." 3/5-Log-K261)		
Total Failures	1 & 2	F,G,K,L,P,R,Z
On-Aircraft Repair Actions	1 & 2	F,G,K,L,Z
On-Aircraft Repair MMHs	1 & 2	F,G,K,L,Z,V,Y
Detect, Isolate, Remove, Replace Actions	1 & 2	P,R
Detect,Isolate, Remove, Replace MMHs	1 & 2	P,R,Q
Non-Failure MMHs	1,2 and 6	E,H,J,S,T,U,X All Codes
(Base "Off-Equi ," 4/5-Log-K261)		
Shop Repair Actions	N/A	A,F,G,K,L,Z
Shop Repair MMHs	N/A	A,F,G,K,L,Z,C,D, M,N,V
Shop NRTS Actions	N/A	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8
Shop NRTS MMHs	N/A	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8
Shop Condemnation Actions	N/A	9
Shop Condemnation MMHs	N/A	9
Shop Non-Failure Actions	N/A	B,J,X
Shop Non-Failure MMHs	N/A	B,J,X

B~1

designation in the

ACTION TAKEN CODES

- A <u>Bench Checked and Repaired</u>. Bench check and repair of any one item is accomplished at the same time. (Also see Code F.)
- B <u>Bench Checked-Serviceable.</u> (No repair required) Item is bench checked and no repair required.
- C <u>Bench Checked-Repair Deferred</u>. Bench check is accomplished and repair action is deferred. (See Code F.)
- D Bench Checked-Transferred to Another Base or Unit. Item is bench checked at a forward operating base, dispersed operating base or enroute base and is found unserviceable and transferred to a main operating base or home base for repair. This code will not be used for items returned to a depot for overhaul. This code will also be used when PME or other equipment is sent to another base or unit for bench check, calibration, or repair and is to be returned, and for items forwarded to contractors on base level contracts.
- E <u>Initial Installation</u>. For installation actions that are not related to a previous removal action such as installation of additional equipment or installation of an item to remedy a ship-short condition. This code will be used only for equipment managed under the advanced configuration management system. Reference T.O.¹s 00-20-2-3, 00-20-2-5, and 00-20-2-7 must be used with How Mal Code 799.
- F <u>Repair</u>. Not to be used to code "on-equipment" work if another code will apply. When it is used in a shop environment, this code will denote repair as a separate unit of work after a bench check. Shop repair includes the total repair manhours and includes cleaning, disassembly, inspection, adjustment reassembly and lubrication of minor components incident to the repair when these services are performed by the same work center. For precision measurements equipment, this code will be used only when calibration of the repaired item is required (See Code C).

B-2

- G <u>Repair and/or Replacement of Minor Parts. Hardware and Softgoods.</u> (Seals, gaskets, electrical connectors, fittings, tubing, hose, wiring, fasteners, vibration isolators, brackets, etc.) Work Unit Codes do not cover most non-repairable items; therefore, when items such as those identified above are repaired or replaced, this action taken code will be used. When this action taken code is used, the Work Unit Code will identify the assembly being serviced or most directly related to parts being repaired or replaced. For example, if an electrical connector was repaired and was attached to a radio transmitter, the Work Unit Code for the transmitter would be used with this action taken code. For precision measurement equipment, this code will be used for repairs that do not require calibration of the repaired item (see Code F).
- H Equipment Checked-No Repair Required (For "On-Equipment" <u>Mork Only</u>). All discrepancies which are checked and found to require no further maintenance action. This code will be used only if it is definitely determined that a reported deficiency does not exist or cannot be duplicated. Must be used with the How Mal Code 799, 812 or 948.
- J <u>Calibration-No Adjustment Required</u>. Use this code when an item is calibrated and found serviceable without need for adjustment, or is fond to be in tolerance but is adjusted merely to peak or maximize the reading. If the item requires adjustment to actually meet calibration standards or to bring in toleran ce, use Code K.
- K <u>Calibrated-Adjustment Required.</u> Item must be adjusted to bring it in tolerance or mest calibration standards. If the item was repaired or needs repair in addition to calibration and adjustment, use Code F.
- L <u>Adjust.</u> Includes tighten, adjust, bleed, balance, rig, and fit, or actuating reset button or switch. A particular discrepancy is cleared by adjusting, etc., the item. If the identified component also requires replacement bits and pieces as well as adjustment (new points, condensers, tubes, etc.), enter the appropriate repair code instead of L.
- M <u>Disassemble</u>. Disassembly action when the complete maintenance job is broken into parts and reported as such. Do not use for on-equipment work.

- N <u>Assemble</u>, Assembly action when the complete maintenance job is broken into parts and reported as such. Do not use for on-equipment work
- P <u>Removed</u>. Item is removed and only the removal is to be accounted for. In this instance delayed or additional actions will be accounted for separately. (Also see Codes Q, R, S, T. AND U.) Do not use for off-equipment work.
- Q <u>Installed</u>. Item is installed and only the installation action is to be accounted for. (Also see Codes E, P, R, S, T, and U.) Do not use for off-equipment work.
- R <u>Remove and Replace</u>. Item is removed and another like item is installed. (Also see Codes T and U.) Do not use for off-equipment work.
- S <u>Remove and Reinstall.</u> Item is removed and the same item reinstalled. (Also see Codes T and U.) Do not use for off-equipment work. Must be used for How Mal Code 800. 804, or 805.
- T <u>Removed for Cannibalization</u>. A component is cannibalized. The Work Unit Code will identify the component being cannibalized. Do not use this code for off-equipment work. Must be used with How Mal Code 799.
- U <u>Replaced After Cannibalization</u>. This code will be entered when a component is replaced after cannibalization. Do not use this code for off-equipment work. Must be used with How Mal Code 799.
- / <u>Clean.</u> Cleaning is accomplished to correct discrepancy and/or cleaning is not accounted for as part of a repair action such as Code F. Includes washing, acid bath, buffing, and blasting, degreasing, decontarination, etc. Cleaning and washing of complete items such as ground equipment, vehicles, missiles or airplanes should be recorded by utilizing support general codes.
- X <u>Test-Inspection-Service</u>. Item is tested or inspected or serviced (other than bench check) and no repair is required. This code does not include servicing or inspection chargeable to support general Work Unit Codes.

Y ~ <u>Troubleshoot</u>, Time expended in locating a discrepancy is great enough to warrant separating the troubleshoot time from the repair time. Use of this code necessitates completion of two separate line entries, or two separate forms, one for the troubleshoot phase and one for the repair phase. When recording the troubleshoot time separate from the repair time, the total time taken to isolate the primary cause of the discrepancy should be recorded utilizing the Work Unit Code of the defective subsystem or system. Do not use for off-equipment work.

ación de la

- Z <u>Corrosion Repair</u>, Includes cleaning, treating, priming and painting of corroded items. This code should always be used when actually treating corroded items, either on equipment or in the shop. The Work Unit Code should identify the item that is corroded. Use support general code for painting or corrosion preventive treatment prior to an item becoming corroded.
- 1 Bench Checked-NRTS (Not Repairable This Station Repair Not Authorized). Shop is not authorized to accomplish the repair. This code shall only be used when the repair required to return an item to serviceable status is specifically prohibited by current technical directives. This code shall not be used due to lack of authority for equipment, tools, facilities, skills, parts or technical data.
- 2 Bench Checked-NRTS-Lack of Equipment, Tools or Facilities. Repair is authorized but cannot be accomplished due to lack of equipment, tools, or facilities. This code shall be used without regard as to whether the equipment, tools, or facilities are authorized or unauthorized.
- 3 <u>Bench Checked-NRTS-Lack of Technical Skills</u>, Repair cannot be accomplished due to lack of technically qualified people.
- 4 <u>Bench Checked-NRTS-Lack of Parts</u>. Parts are not available to accomplish repair.
- 5 <u>Bench Checked-NRTS-Shop Backlog</u>. Repair cannot be accomplished due to excessive shop backlog.
- <u>Bench Checked-NRTS-Lack of Technical Data</u>. Repair cannot be accomplished due to lack of maintenance manuals, drawings, etc., which describe detailed repair procedures and requirements.

- 7 <u>Bench Checked-NRTS-Excess to Base Requirements</u>, Repair will not be scheduled for shop repair due to item being excess to base requirements.
- 8 <u>Bench Checked-Return to Depot.</u> Returned to depots by direction of System Manager (SM) or Item Manager (IM). Use only when items that are authorized for base level repair are directed to be returned to depot facilities by specific written or verbal communication from the IM or SM, or when items are to be returned to depot facilities for modification in accordance with a Time Compliance Technical Order (TCTO), or as UR Exhibits.
- 9 <u>Bench Checked-Condemned.</u> Item cannot be repaired and is to be processed for condemnation, reclamation or salvage. This code will also be used when a (condemned) condition is discovered during field maintenance disassembly or repair.

HOW MALFUNCTION CODES

<u>Type 1 How Malfunction Codes</u> - These codes indicate that the item no longer can meet the minimum specified performance requirement due to its own internal failure pattern. All valid how malfunction codes not listed under types 2 of 6 below are considered type 1.

<u>Type 2 How Malfunction Codes</u> - These codes indicate that the item no longer can meet the minimum specified performance requirement due to some induced condition and not due to its own internal failure pattern. The following codes apply:

- 086 Improper Handling
- 092 Mismatched Wheel halves, Electronic Parts, etc.
- 105 Loose or Damaged Bolts, Nuts, Screws, etc.
- 106 Missing Bolts, Nuts, Screw, etc.
- 108 Broken, Faulty, or Missing Safety Wire or Key
- 158 Launch Damage
- 167 Torque Incorrect
- 230 Dirty
- 246 Improper or Faulty Maintenance
- 301 Foreign Object Damage
- 303 Bird Strike Damage
- 447 Wrong Logic
- 518 Improper Routing
- 553 Does Not Meet Specification, Drawing, or Other Conformance Requirements

- 602 Failed or Damaged Due to Malfunction of Associated Equipment or Item
- 638 Parameters Activated
- 639 Argon Gas Expended
- 697 Faulty Tape
- 698 Faulty Card
- 709 Administrative Condemnation
- 731 Battle Damage
- 877 Transportation Damage
- 878 Weather Damage
- 931 Accidental or Inadvertent Operation, Release, or Activation
- 942 Illegal Address
- 948 Operator Error

<u>Type 6 How Malfunction Codes</u> - These codes indicate maintenance resources were expended due to policy modification, location, or cannibalization and no defect existed at the time of maintenance. The following codes apply:

> 632 - Expended (Thermal battery, fire extinguisher, etc.) 793 - No Defect - TCTO kit received by base supply or parts are available in supply 796 - No Defect - Removed for reliability assessment 797 - No Defect - Technical order previously complied with 798 - No Defect ~ Technical order not applicable (equipment to be replaced, modified, or not installed) 799 ~ No Defect 800 - No Defect - Component removed/reinstalled to facilitate other maintenance 801 - No Defect - Technical order compliance 802 - No Defect - Partial technical order compliance 803 - No Defect - Removed for time change 804 - No Defect - Removed for scheduled maintenance 805 - No Defect - Not otherwise coded 812 - No Defect - Indicated defect caused by associated equipment malfunction 911 - Engine TCTO correction Code

APPENDIX C

Program SCRAP is written in FORTRANS IV Computer language. The program is stored in the USAF/AFLC CREATE time share computer system. Therefore, this program may be accessed from any CREATE terminal by using the following program nomenclature:

OLD CRYSTAL/SCRAP, R

Once the computer program is accessed and the command "RUN" is given, the program will request the information required to make cost analysis possible. First, the program asks whether a complete printout is desired. If the answer is "yes" (NOTE: Simply enter "Y" for "yes" and "N" for "no"), a printout as shown on page D-1 results. If "No", a printout as shown on page D-4 results. The various variables CØ1, CØ2, etc. listed in the abbreviated printout on page D-4 correspond to the equations, lines 1060 to 1360 in the program.

Next, the program asks whether A(1) through A(14) are available. A "yes" or "no" (Y or N) answer is required. Then the program asks for the WUC. This code should be entered by the following format:

1234 X

NOTE: A space should be left between the first four numbers and the fifth number or letter. The program then allows a description of the WUC to be entered.

If (A(1) through A(14) are not available, the program would ask that S(1) through S(23) be entered. If A(1) through A(14) are

C-1

available, the program would ask that A(1) through A(31) be entered. These variables should be entered as shown on sample printouts, page D-1 through D-4.

If S(1) through (23) were entered, the program would calculate and print A(1) through A(14). If A(1) through A(31) were entered, the program would ask for any changes to these variables. This allows corrections to be made to the input data. If corrections are required, "yes" should be entered. The program will ask for the number of changes, the designation of the variable (1 for A(1), 2 for A(2), etc.), and the new value of the variable. The program will then calculate and print the annual logistic cost data desired.

After the program prints out the annual logistic cost data, the program will ask whether a sensitivity analysis is desired. If the labor or other rates are to be changed, "R" should be entered. If the other variables are to be varied, "Y" should be entered and if no sensitivity analysis is required, enter "N". When "Y" or "R" are entered, the program asks for the number of variables to be changed, which variables (1) for A(1), 2 for A(2), etc), and the amount of change. When performing sensitivity analysis on rates, the new rate would be entered. When performing sensitivity analysis on the other variables, the percent reduction should be entered (i.e., 10, 20). The program then calculates a new total annual logistic cost (TALC) based on the changes made to the input variables. The program allows this scnsitivity analysis to be done as often as desired.

C-2

If a sensitivity analysis is not desired, the program allows a new analysis to be performed on the same WUC. This analysis allows any variable to be changed and new annual logistic cost data is printed out. After the new annual logistic cost data is printed, new sensitivity analyses may be performed.

Once the additional analyses are completed, the program will ask if a new WUC is to be analyzed. If "yes", the program will start from the beginning; if "no", the program will stop.

The following is a complete listing of SCRAP:

10 ASCII ANS, WUC(2), DES, FA, AWUC, FB, PO, ANAL 20 DIMENSION A(31), IND(31), S(23), INDA(31), DUM(31), B(31) 30 902 PRINT: DO YOU WANT COMPLETE PRINTOUT? 40 READ: PO 50 KODE=0 63 PRINT: DO YOU HAVE A(1)-A(14)? 70 READ: FB 80 PRINT: "

WUC" 90 READ: WUC 100 PRINT: DESCRIPTION 110 READ: DES 120 IF(FB.EQ."Y") GO TO 15 130 PRINT: ENTER S(1)-S(23) 143 READ: S 150 A(1)=S(7)-S(1)160 IF (A(1)) 101,102,131 170 101 A(2)=(A(1)-S(4)-S(5))/A(1) 180 A(4) = (S(4) + S(5)) / A(1)193 GO TO 103 200 102 A(2)=0 210 A(4)=0 220 103 IF (A(1)-S(4)-S(5)) 105,106,105 230 105 A(3)=(S(8)-S(6)-S(2)+S(3))/(A(1)-S(4)-S(5)) 240 GC TO 107 250 106 A(3)=0 260 107 IF(S(22)) 1313,1314,1313 270 1313 ZZ=S(23)/S(22) 280 GO TO 1315 290 1314 ZZ=0 300 1315 IF(S(4)+S(5)) 110,111,110 310 110 A(5)=(S(6)+((ZZ)*S(4)))/(S(4)+S(5)) 322 GO TO 112 330 111 A(5)=0 340 112 A(6)=S(9)+S(8)+S(2)-S(3)-((ZZ)*S(4)) 350 IF (S(14)+S(16)+S(18)+S(19)) 113,114,113 360 113 A(7)=(S(14)+S(11))/(S(14)+S(16)+S(18)+S(19)) 370 A(9)=S(16)/(S(14)+S(16)+S(18)+S(19))380 A(11)=S(18)/(S(14)+S(16)+S(18)+S(19))390 GO TO 115 400 114 A(7)=0

C-4

410	AC92=0
42.0	A(11)=0
43.0	115 IF (S(14)+S(11)) 116,117,116
44.1	116 A(8) = (S(15) + S(10) + S(12))/(S(14) + S(11))
450	A(14)=5(21)/(S(14)+S(11))
460	GO TO 118
470	117 A(B)=D
480	A(14)=0
490	113 IF (3(16)) 119,123,119
500	119 A(13) = (S(17) - S(13)) / S(16)
51.1	63 70 121
523	123 A(10)=0
53C	121 IF (5(18)) 122,123,122
543	122 A(12)=S(13)/S(18)
55ü	GO TO 124
560	123 A(12)=0
573	114 A(13)=S(20)-S(10)-S(12)
50.0	1 F(PO.EC. V) GO TO 801
59.2	PRINT 1, ACD
€03	PRINT 2, A(2)
610	PRINT 3, A(3)
62.0	PRINT 4,4(4)
53.1	FNENT 5, A (5)
840	PR117 6, A(6)
65.	$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(1) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(1)$
000	7.141 8,8(8)
010	THINE SACES
<u>05</u>	- MALKELD, ACLUD TRATEMENT AT A ALL
- 090	CALAR II, BUILD STATIST TO ACTON
710	COLULIA, HALLES DOINT 13 ACTO
70%	
73.2	$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{2001}$
748	SOL PRINT 21 ACIN
750	PRINT 22 A(2)
760	PRINT 23 A(3)
770	PRINT 24. A(4)
783	PRINT 25, A(5)
790	PRINT 26 A(6)
833	PRINT 27 A(7)
813	PAINT 28 A(8)
82 J	PHINT 29, A(9)
833	PRINT 3J A(13)
843	PRINT 31 A(11)

¥

1

1.4

1.0 0

850 PRINT 32, A(12) 830 PRINT 33 A(13) 870 PRINT 34,A(14) 880 PRINT: 890 2001 PRINT: "ENTER A(15)-A(31)" 900 READ: (A(K),K=15,31) 910 GO TO 901 920 15 PRINT: "ENTER A(1)-A(31)" 930 READ: A 940 931 PRINT: DO YOU DESIRE TO CHANGE ANY PARAMETERS? 950 READ: ANS 960 IF(ANS_EQ. N) GO TO 200 973 PRINT: HOW MANY CHANGES?" 988 READ: NRCHNG 993 PRINT 1001, NRCHNG 1000 1381 FORMAT(1H, WHICH ,12, PARAMETER(S)?) 1010 READ: (IND(JJ), JJ=1, NRCHNG) 1023 PRI IT: INPUT NEW VALUES 1035 DO 201 INR=1, NRCHNG 1840 201 READ: (A(IND(INR)), INR=1, NRCHNG) 1052 203 IF (A(1)) 202,203,202 1060 202 COI=A(22)/A(1) 1070 GO TO 205 1080 203 001=999999.99 1090 205 C11=A(1)/A(26) 1120 CD4=11.91*A(4)*A(9)*(A(19)*(1-(A(17)*A(20)))+(A(17)*A(18) 1110&*A(23))) 1120 CD3=9,37*((A(2)*A(3))+A(4)*(A(5)+(A(7)*A(8))+(A(9)*A(10)) 1133&+(A(11)*A(12))) 1140 005=003+004 1153 C38=(A(14)*A(4)*A(7))+(A(16)*A(4)*A(9)*(1-A(17))) 1163 C39=2*A(23)*A(4)*A(9)*((A(24)*.374706)+(A(25)*.605274)) 1173 C12=C11×(C05+C38+C09) 1182 C23=A(1)*(C05+C08+C09) 1193 C13=((A(6)+A(13))*(9+A(15)))/A(26) 1232 C14=(A(1)*A(4)*(A(11)+(A(9)*A(17)))*A(21)*(A(27)-(A(23) 1210& A(28)))/A(26) 1220 C15=(A(29)*104.2)/A(26) 1230 C16=(A(30)*8)/A(26) 1240 C17=A(31)/A(26) 1250 IF (A(1)) 30002,30001,30030 1260 30001 006=0

- - -

C-6

```
1273 GO TO 33032
1253 30000 C06=A(14)*A(7)*A(4)
1293 30022 C07=A(16)*A(9)*A(4)*(1-A(17))
1300 010=005+008+009
1310 C19=A(26)*(C12+C13+C14+C16+C15+C17)
1320 IF(KODE) 997,245,997
133J 245 TALC=C19
1340 997 KODE=1
1350 C15=C19/A(26)
13 GJ CO2=A(1)/A(22)
1370 IF(ANAL.EQ.Y) GO TO 157
1380 IF(ANAL.EQ.R) GO TO 157
1390 GO TO 159
1433 157 PRINT 158,C19
1413 X3U=((TALC-C19)/TALC)*100
1420 X31=TALC-C19
1430 PRINT 199,X31
1440 PRINT 196,X30
1450 PRINT:
             1460 DO 173 J2=1.31
1470 173 A(J2)=DUM(J2)
1480 158 FORMATCIH .
                        1490 199 FORMATCIH
                       ----AMT OF REDUC= F14.2)
1500 196 FORMATCIH
                       ---- 7 OF SAVING=. , F14.2)
1513 GO TO 171
1523 159 PRI NT_ 75, WUC
1530 IF(PO.EQ. N) GO TO 35
1540 PRINT 500,001
 1552 PRINT 550, C02
 1560 PRI NT:
 1570 PRINT 700,003
 1533 PRINT 800,004
 1590 PRINT 1300.005
 1603 PRINT 1075,006
 1610 PRINT 1076.007
1620 PRINT 2000.008
```

0-7

1000 1000

1633 PRINT 3333 C09 1640 PRINT 1077 C10 1653 PRINT:
1660 PRINT 600,C11 1670 PRINT 4000,C12 1660 PRINT 5000,C13 1690 PRINT 6000,C13 1700 PRINT 7000,C15 1710 PRINT 8000,C15 1720 PRINT 9000,C17 1730 PRINT 11000,C18 1740 PRINT:
1750 PRINT 1074,C20 1762 PRINT 12000,C19 1770 PRINT 10500 1780 GO TO 120 1782 35 PRINT 36,C01 1880 PRINT 37,C22 1813 PRINT:
1823 PRINT 39,003 1830 PRINT 40,004 1840 PRINT 41,005 1850 PRINT 1078,006 1860 PRINT 1078,007 1870 PRINT 42,008 1880 PRINT 42,009 1890 PRINT 43,009 1890 PRINT 1080,010 1900 PRINT:
1910 PRINT 38, C11 1920 PRINT 44, C12 1933 PRINT 45, C13 1943 PRINT 46, C14 1950 PRINT 46, C14 1950 PRINT 47, C15 1963 PRINT 48, C16 1973 PRINT 49, C17 1980 PRINT 52, C18 1992 PRINT:
2030 PRINT 1073.C20 2010 PRINT 50.C19 2020 PRINT 51

and the second second

CA14.8934-

7

Contraction and the state

•••• ·

ent in c

•

2J30 1 FORMATCIN .
TOTAL FAILURES=A(1)=F12.2)2340 2 FORMAT(1H)ON AIRCRAFT REPAIR RATE=A(2)=2350 3 FORMAT(1H)ON AIRCRAFT REPAIR LABOR STANDARD=A(3)=2050 4 FORMAT(1H)DETECT ISO REMV, REP RATE=A(4)=2050 5 FORMAT(1H)DETECT ISO REMV, REP RATE=A(4)=2050 6 FORMAT(1H)DETECT ISO REMV, REP LABOR STANDARD=A(5)=2050 7 FORMAT(1H)DETECT ISO REMV, REP LABOR STANDARD=A(5)=2050 7 FORMAT(1H)NON-FAILURE MMH S(ON EQUIP)=A(6)=2050 7 FORMAT(1H)SHOP REPAIR RATE(RTS)=A(7)=2130 8 FORMAT(1H)SHOP REPAIR LABOR STANDARD=A(8)=2120 10 FORMAT(1H)SHOP NRTS RATE=A(9)=2132 11 FORMAT(1H)SHOP VRTS LABOR STANDARD=A(10)=2140 12 FORMAT(1H)SHOP CONDEMNATION RATE=A(11)=2150 13 FORMAT(1H)SHOP NON-FAILURE MMH S=A(13)=2160 14 FORMAT(1H)BASE MATERIAL COST STANDARD FOR REPAIR=A(14)=2173 503 FORMAT(1H)FI2.2
MTBF:F12.2)216J 55J FORMAT(INFAILURE RATE:219J 6JJ FORMAT(INJASE LABOR COST/FAILURE:22JJ 70J FORMAT(INJASE LABOR COST/FAILURE:2213 80J FORMAT(INJASE LABOR COST/FAILURE:2223 1JJJ FORMAT(INDEPOT LABOR COST/FAILURE:2233 1J74 FORMAT(INDEPOT LABOR COST / FAILURE:2243 1075 FORMAT(INDEPOT MATERIAL COST / FAILURE:2253 1J74 FORMAT(INDASE MATERIAL COST / FAILURE:2253 1J76 FORMAT(INTOTAL COST / FAILURE:2253 1J76 FORMAT(INTATERIAL COST / FAILURE:2253 1J76 FORMAT(INTOTAL COST / FAILURE:2253 JJJ0 FORMAT(INTATERIAL COST / UNIT / YEAR:2323 JJJ0 FORMAT(INSUPPLY MANAGEMENT RECURRING COST / FAILURE:2323 JJJ0 FORMAT(INSUPPLY MANAGEMENT RECURRING COST/UNIT/YR:2330 SJJ0 FORMAT(INSUPPLY MANAGEMENT RECUR COST/UNIT/YR:2340 90J0 FORMAT(INTOTAL RECURRING ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST:2350 J0J00 FORMAT(INTOTAL RECURRING ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST:2360 12502 FORMAT(INTOTAL RECURRING ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST:2372 110023 FORMAT(INRECUR ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST/UNIT/YR:2362 21 FORMAT(INRECUR ANNUAL LOGISTIC COS
A(31)= ,F12.2) 2390 22 FORMAT(1H ,A(02)= ,F12.2) 2400 23 FORMAT(1H ,A(03)= ,F12.2) 2410 24 FORMAT(1H ,A(04)= ,F12.2)

.

C-9

:

:

嫠

2423 25 FORMATCIN "AC35)=" F12.2)
2432 26 FURMAI(IHA(06)=_F12.2)
2440 27 FORMATCIN ACOTA- F12.2)
2459 28 FORMATCH = A(98) = F12.2)
2450 29 FORMATCIN (400) = F12.2)
$2470 30 \text{ FORMAT(INA(10)=_F12.2)}$
2480 31 FORMATCIH (ACLINE) F12.2)
2490 52 FURMAI(INA(12)=_F12.2)
2500 33 FORMATCHE A(13)= F12.2)
$G_{1} G_{2} G_{2$
adio 34 runnai(in _{+ A} (14)= ,ria.a)
2520 36 FORMATCIH
• • • • • • • •
C31 = F12.2
953 A 37 FORMATCHU "CAO-" FIG 135
2330 ST FORMATCIN CD2FIS-ID)
2540 38 FORMAT(1H _ C11= _ F12.2)
2551 30 FORMATCHU (72- F12 2)
2330 33 FORTHIGH , 003-, FI2.27
2563 40 FORMAT(1H _ CØ4= _F12.2)
2573 AL SIGMATILY "CAS-" \$12 2)
2580 42 FGRMAT(1H _ CO8= _F12.2)
2592 43 FORMAT/18 "COS=" F12.2)
2600 44 FORMAI(IRCI2=_,FI2.2)
2612 45 FORMAT(1H C13= F12_2)
ZOZU 40 FURNAICH . UI44, FIZ.ZJ
2630 47 FORMAT(1H _ C15= _F12.2)
2642 AR FORMATCH "CIG." F12 21
2653 45 FORMAI(IH , UI / # , FIZ . 2)
2660 50 FORMAT(1H C19= F12_2)
2673 SI FORMATCINI AN
2010 DI FORMATCIAT, 4A,
2682 52 FORMAT(1H , C18= ,F12.2)
2602 1073 FORMAT/14 623+ F12 2)
2/33 10/8 FORMAT(1HC36=_F12.2)
2710 1079 FORMATCH 007= F12.2)
2/20 1980 FURPHICIN, CID= , FI2.2)
2730 75 FORMATCIH
•
-
WUC = A4A4
2743 100 CONTINUE
SIAN INN CONTINUE
2756 171 PRINT:
CENCTITITY A MALVERCASTED D. FAD DATTO
DENDITIVIT ANALTDID ENTER R FUR RAIED,
2760 & Y FOR OTHERS N FOR NONE
2774 PEAD- ANAL
2782 D0 154 J2=1.31
2793 154 DIMC PA-AC (2)
CI30 174 DDMCC22420C1

њ. -

- 10-+ 10-+ 10-+ 10-+ 10-+

٠

いたがないない ちょう ちゅう

C-10

2800 IF(ANAL.EQ. Y) GO TO 152 2310 IF(ANAL.EQ. R) GO TO 152 2820 PRINT:

FURTHER ANALYSIS?

2830 READ: FA 2840 KODE=0 2850 IF(FA.EQ."Y") GO TO 901 2860 PRINT:

NEW WUC? 2870 READ: AWUC 2880 IF(AWUC.EQ."Y") GO TO 902 2890 GO TO 2333 2900 152 PRINT: HOW MANY A 5? 2913 READ: NOHNG 2922 PRINT 153, NCH NG 2932 153 FORMAT(1H, "WHICH ",12," PARAMETER(S)?") 2943 READ: (INDA(J), J=1, NCH NG) 2953 IF(A NAL.EQ."Y") GO TO 236 2960 IF(A NAL.EQ."R") GO TO 235 2973 235 PDINT. ENTER NEW RATES 2970 235 PRINT: ENTER NEW RATES 2980 GO TO 333 2990 236 PRINT: "ENTER Z REDUCTION, I.E. 10 20 ... 3000 333 IF(ANAL, EQ. Y) GO TO 334 3010 IF(ANAL.EQ. "R") GO TO 335 3020 334 READ: (B(INRA), INRA=1, NCHNG) 3030 DO 156 JI=1, NCH NG 3042 155 A(INDA(JI))=A(INDA(JI))-((3(JI)/100)*A(INDA(JI))) 3050 GO TO 200 3063 335 READ: (A(INDA(INRA)), INRA=1, NCHNG) 3070 GO TO 200 3080 2333 STOP: END

APPENDIX D

The following are sample runs of the Computer Program SCRAP:

DO YOU WANT COMPLETE PRINTOUT? = Y DO YOU HAVE A(1)-A(14)? = N

WUC = 2300 0 DESCRIPTION = ENGINE ENTER S(1)+S(23) = 0 0 612.5 85.5 333 7948.4 462 17872.6 70821.4 0 0 0 0 2 12.1 = 85 52 0 0 0 374495 0 0

TOTAL FAILURES: A(1)=..... 462.23 ON AIRCRAFT REPAIR RATE=A(2)=..... 0.09 ON AIRCRAFT REPAIR LABOR STANDARD=A(3)=..... 242.22 DETECT.ISO.REMV.REP RATE:A(4)=.... 0.91 DETECT, ISO, REMV, REP LABOR STANDARD=A(5)= 18.99 NON-FAILURE MMH SCON EQUIP) = A(6) = 52336.39 SHOP REPAIR RATE(RTS) = A(7) = 0.02 SHOP REPAIR LABOR STANDARD=A(8)=.... 6.05 SHOP WRTS PATE=A(9)=..... 0.98 SHOP NRTS LABOR STANDARD=A(10)=..... 3.61 SHOP CONDEMNATION RATE=A(11)=..... ø. SHOP CONDEMNATION LABOR STANDARD=A(12)=..... ø. SHOP NON-FAILURE MMH S=A(13)=..... 0. BASE MATERIAL COST STANDARD FOR REPAIR=A(14)= 187247.50 ENTER A(15)-A(31) - 0 11654,58 0 0 1872.14 0 0 100531 5050 .59 .41 155380900000 IS AN ILLEGAL INPUT CHAR .-- PLS. RETYPE ALL INPUT A = 0 11654.53 0 0 1872.14 0 0 100531 5050 .59 .41 686 155300 .02 = 9553 5084 0 DO YOU DESIRE TO CHANGE ANY PARAMETERS? = N

WUC=23000

MTBF=	217.63 0.00459550
FM1LURG RH1E	
BASE LABOR COST/FAILURE=	381.16
DEPOT LABOR COST/FAILURE:	19733.46
LABOR COST / FAILURE	20114.62
BASE MATERIAL COST / FAILURE	3899.24
DEPOT MATERIAL COST / FAILURE	10314.54
MATERIAL COST / FAILURE=	14213.78
THA NSPORTATION AND PACKING COST / FAILURE=	5024.96
TOTAL COST / FAILURE	39353.36
NUMBER OF FAILURES/UNIT/YEAR=	3.67
COST OF FAILURES / UNIT / YEAR=	26503.28
OTHER MAINT ACT COST / UNIT / YEAR	686.63
COST OF DEDLACING COND ITEMS /HALT/YR=	ø.
CUST OF REFERENCE DECUPPING COST/UNIT/YR.	1451.05
SUPPLY MANGEMENT RECORDENT COST/UNIT/YRI	59.29
TECHNICAL DATA MANGEMENT DOOLYDNATYR	ø.
DECUD ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST/UNIT/YR:	28700.25
REOR ANNOLE LOGISTIC COST/DAXI/IN-COUCTON	
TOTAL COST OF FAILURES	8181250.25
TOTAL RECURRING ANNUAL LOGISTIC COST	19688371,50

.. .

D-2

١

ŧ

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS -- ENTER R FOR RATES .Y FOR OTHERS .N FOR NONE = Y HOW MANY A ST = 1 WHICH | PARAMETER(S)? = 1 ENTER 2 REDUCTION, I.E. 10 20 ... = 10 ----TALC=..... 17870246.25 ----AMI OF REDUC= 1818125.25 9.23 ---- OF SAVING=. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS -- ENTER R FOR RATES, Y FOR OTHERS, N FOR NONE **=** R HOW MANY A'S? : 2 WHICH 2 PARAMETER(S)? : 2,4 ENTER NEW RATES = .1 .9 ----TALC=..... 19577838.00 ----AMT OF REDUC= 110533.50 0.56 ----Z OF SAVING=.

D-3

un Berusandi and an and and an a a second and a second and a second and a second and a second and

NEW WUC? = Y DO YOU WANT COMPLETE PRINTOUT? = N DO YOU HAVE A(1)-A(14)? = Y

WUC = 23 61 B DESCRIPTION = MAIN FUEL CONTROL ENTER A(1)-A(31) = 192 .85 3.08 .15 27.07 512 .8 1.03 .2 1 900 0 0 1068.5 0 2136.75 = 0 0 58.4 0 1 100531 49.5 .59 .41 686 6200 .04 600 114 0 DO YOU DESIRE TO CHANGE ANY PARAMETERS? = N

. . .

WUC =23618

in the second

C01=	523.60
C02 =	0.00190986
CØ3 =	64.02
CJ4=	20.87
C05=	84.88
CØ6=	128.22
CØ7=	64.13
C98=	192.32
C29=	1.39
C10=	278.60
C11=	0.28
C12=	77.98
C13=	6.72
C14=	ø.
C15=	91.14
C16=	1.33
C17=	ø.
C18=	177,16
C2Ø=	53491.05
C19=	121531.05
	الكالاختياد بيية كالمحموة متهينيات وريد بيهو سرع يلقه

42. ------

10110 A 1.5

ŝ

:

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS -- ENTER R FOR RATES, Y FOR OTHERS, N FOR NONE = N

FURTHER ANALYSIS? = Y DO YOU DESIRE TO CHANGE ANY PARAMETERS? = Y HOW MANY CHANGES? = 1 WHICH 1 PARAMETER(S)? = 29 INPUT NEW VALUES = 300

WUC=2361B

7727

CU1=	523.60
CØ2=	0.90190986
CØ3=	64.02
CØ4=	20.87
CØ5=	84.88
CØ6=	128.22
CØ7=	64.10
C08=	192.32
CØ9=	1.39
C10=	278.60
C11=	0.28
C12=	77.98
C13=	6.72
C14=	0.
C15=	45.57
C16=	1.33
C17=	0
C18=	131.59
0 0.1	

C2U= 53491.05 C19= 90271.05

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS -- ENTER R FOR RATES, Y FOR OTHERS, N FOR NONE = N

FURTHER ANALYSIS? = N NEW WUC? = N

PROGRAM STOP AT 3080

<u>APPENDIX E</u>

Program SORTSUM is written in BASIC computer language. The program may be accessed from any CREATE terminal by using the following program nomenclature:

OLD CRYSTAL/SORTSUM, R

Once the program is accessed, the command "RUN" is given and the program will give instructions, if desired.

The following is a detailed listing of SORTSUM:

r

LIST SORTSUM 03310 DIM A(200),B\$(200) 00020 PRINT DO YOU WANT INSTRUCTIONS; 20030 INPUT CS 00040 PRINT 00050 IF CS="YES" THEN 10010 00060 DS= RANK 00070 ES= WUC 00080 F3= CUM SUM 00090 GS= CUM Z 20122 READ N_Q\$ 00110 PRINT "INCREASING RANK-ENTER 1--DECREASING RANK ENTER 0" 00120 INPUT R 00130 PRINT 02140 IF R=0 THEN 170 00150 K=1 00160 GO TO 180 00170 K=0 00180 FOR I=1 TO N 00190 READ BS(I) 30233 NEXT I 00210 FORI=1 TON JU22J READA(I) 00230 NEXTI 0024J PRINT USING 250.D\$.E\$.Q\$.F\$.G\$ UJ253: LLLL CCC CCCCCCC CCCCCCC D0260 PRINT EEEEEEEE 00260 PRINT 00270 L=N-1 Ø3280 II=1 00290 FOR I=1 TO L 00300 IF K=1 THEN 330 00310 IF A(1+1)<=A(1) GO TO 410 02320 GO TO 340 00330 1F A(1+1)>=A(1) GO TO 410 00340 A1=A(I+1) 00350 A(I+1)=A(I) 00360 A(I)=A1 00370 TS=B\$(I+1) 00380 BS(I+1)=B\$(I) 20390 B\$(1)=T\$ 00400 II=I

1. Carlo

```
20410 NEXT I
00420 IF 11=1 GOTO 450
00430 L=I1-1
60440 GO TO 280
00450 S=0
60460 FOR 1=1 TO N
30470 S=S+A(I)
32480 NEXT I
00490 S1=0
00500 FOR I=1 TO N
00510 S1=S1+A(I)
00520 P1=(S1/S)*100
22530 PRINT USING 540,1,8$(1),A(1),S1,P1
00540:####
                       *****
00550 NEXT I
10000 GO TO 10090
12010 PRINT ENTER DATA STARTING LINE 600; ENTER NUMBER OF WUC'S WHAT

10020 PRINT YOU WISH TO RAME, WUC'S, AND DATA TO BE RANKED AS FOLLOWS

10030 PRINT 600 DATA 2 FAILURES, 1234A, 1234B, 12,1

10040 PRINT NOTE: USE A QUOTATION MARK INSTEAD OF THE APOSTROPHE

10050 PRINT SHOWN WHEN ENTERING AN ALPHANUMERIC BEGINNING WITH A

10060 PRINT NUMBER, SUCH AS A WORK UNIT CODE.
10070 PRINT
```

10280 GO TO 60 10090 END

READY

≭

-0

*RUN

DO YOU WANT INSTRUCTIONS ?NO

INCREASING RANK-ENTER 1-DECREASING RANK ENTER Ø 20

RANK	WUC	TALC	CUM SUM	CUM Z
1	23000	19688371.25	19688371.25	98.14
2	23120	216870.00	19905241.25	99.23
3	2361B	133451.23	20038692.25	99.89
4	23313	11531.00	20050223 25	99,95
5	23315	7973.23	20058196.25	99.99
6	23420	1763.89	20059960.00	100.00
7	2393A	672.20	20060632.00	100.00

*****RUN

DO YOU WANT INSTRUCTIONS ?N

INCREASING RANK-ENTER 1--DECREASING RANK ENTER Ø 70

RANK	W UC	FAILURES	CUM SUM	CUM 7
1	23000	462.00	462.00	33.53
2	23420	289.00	751.00	54.50
3	23618	252.30	1003.00	72.79
4	23120	192.00	1195.00	86.72
5	23313	98.00	1293.00	93.83
6	23315	77.00	1370.00	99.42
7	2393A	8.00	1378.00	100.00

DISTRIBUTION LIST

. .

.

والمرجوب والمراجعة وتراجر

- ----

.

Mary 17 Territory

.

~ ~

1

:

:

States - Lates

No of Copies

UQAMA		
MME	1	
MMER	1	
MMERS	1	
MMERR	15	
DDC	5	
HQ AFLC/MMEEE	3	
HQ AFLC/MMAT	1	
OCAMA/MMER	2	
SAAMA/MMER	2	
Smama/Mder	2	
WRAMA/MMER	2	
AFIT - School of Reliability Engineering, WPAFB	2	

DOCUMENT CONTR((Security classification of file, body of obstract and indexing and ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Reliability Section (MMERR) Service Engineering Division Ogden Air Materiel Area, Hill AFB, Ut 84406 REPORT TIPLE System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (Section 1997) Descriptive Notes (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORISS (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	D Almod when the 22. REPORT SE UNCLASS 28. GROUP N/J Mentation	owiall report is clarafilad) CUAITY CLASSIFICATION SIFTED
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate suther) Reliability Section (MMERR) Service Engineering Division Ogden Air Materiel Area, Hill AFB, Ut 84406 REPORT LINE System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (Si DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORIS (Pirst name, middle initial, isst mame) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	22. REPORT SE UNCLAS: 25. GROUP N/J entation	CURITY CLASSIFICATION
Reflability Section (MMERR) Service Engineering Division Ogden Air Materiel Area, Hill AFB, Ut 84406 REPORT TITLE System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (Si Descriptive Notes (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORISS (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	UNCLAS: 26. GROUP N/J entation	<u>S IFTED</u>
Ogden Air Materiel Area, Hill AFB, Ut 84406 REPORT TITLE System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (S DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORISS (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	25. GROUP N/J entation	<u>A</u>
REPORT TITLE System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (S DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORIS (First name, middle initial, isst name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	entation	A.
System Cost Reliability Analysis Program (S DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHORISI (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973	CRAP) Docum	entation	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973			
Technical Report AUTHORIS: (First name, middle initial, last name) WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973			
WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973			March 8
WILLIAM F. PYTLIK, Capt, USAF REPORT DATE June 1973			
June 1973			
June 1973	. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	74 NO. OF REFS
D. COMPENSET TO CONTACT 1973	49	8 # D C B F	0
	. ORIGINA ION'S	HEPORI NUM	3 £ K(3)
D. PROJECT NO. 3RR 062	TR-MMER/RM		
c. 9	b. OTHER REPOR this report)	T NO(S) (Any o	ther numbers thet may be assign
d.	Non	e	
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	2. SPONSORING M	ILITARY ACT	4114
-			
This document presents documentation n Reliability Analysis Program (SCRAP). This was established to realistically determine, Logistic cost of an aircraft weapon subsyst sensitivity analyses on these weapon subsys	ecessary to program, d <u>in real ti</u> em or syste tems or sys	use the : eveloped : me, the T m and to tems.	System Cost by OOAMA/MMERR, otal Annual perform cost

DD . FORM 1473

Q. 8

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

QCUITIY 1 143 91 1 (0) 1031	LINI	κ 🔺	LIN	K 4	LIN	кс
KEY WORDS	ROLE	W F	ROLE	W T	ROLE	
eliability			1			[
ensicivity marysis			[1
					1]
		ļ	1			
	ì					Í
				1		1
		-	1			
			1		1	
	{				-	
	1					
	-				l	
	1					
		ļ				1
		-				
						i
	1					
			ł		1	1
-						
	1					
			1		ł	1
		UNCL	SSIFIE	D		
		Secu	rity Class	ification)	