UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD905666

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; DEC 1972. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Attn: FER, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433.

AUTHORITY

AFWAl ltr, 24 Jun 1980

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AEDC-TR-72-171

Cy.L

com

SINGLEDIK; MTRUP

DEC 25 1972 MAR 5 1975

DRAG, PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS, AND PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS OF SEVERAL RIGID AERODYNAMIC DECELERATORS AT MACH NUMBERS FROM 0.2 TO 5

R. W. Rhudy and S. S. Baker

ARO, Inc.

December 1972

Distribution limited to U.S. Government agencies only; this report contains information on test and evaluation of military hardware; December 1972; other requests for this document must be referred to AFFDL (FER), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

VON KÁRMÁN GAS DYNAMICS FACILITY ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TENNESSEE

Yeu N. S. Mr Force



When U. S. Government drawings specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Qualified users may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center.

References to named commercial products in this report are not to be considered in any sense as an endorsement of the product by the United States Air Force or the Government.

DRAG, PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS, AND PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS OF SEVERAL RIGID AERODYNAMIC DECELERATORS AT MACH NUMBERS FROM 0.2 TO 5

R. W. Rhudy and S. S. Baker ARO, Inc.

Distribution limited to U.S. Government agencies only; this report contains information on test and evaluation of military hardware; December 1972; other requests for this document must be referred to AFFDL (FER), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

FOREWORD

The work reported herein was conducted by the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) under sponsorship of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory (AFFDL), Recovery and Crew Station Branch (FER), under Program Element 62201F.

The results presented were obtained by ARO, Inc. (a subsidiary of Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc.), contract operator of AEDC, Air Force Systems Command (AFSC), Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee. The tests were conducted from April 25 through May 5, 1972, under ARO Project No. VA011. The final data reduction was completed on June 15, 1972, and the manuscript was submitted for publication on July 28, 1972.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

JIMMY W. MULLINS Lt Colohel, USAF Chief Air Force Test Director, VKF Directorate of Test A. L. COAPMAN Colonel, USAF Director of Test

ABSTRACT

Static force and pressure distribution tests were conducted at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 5.0 on rigid models of several aerodynamic decelerators in the wake of three types of forebodies. The tests were conducted at decelerator pitch angles from -8 to 8 deg at nominal freestream dynamic pressures of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 psia. The decelerators were positioned at various axial stations in the wake of the forebodies, and selected configurations were tested in the free stream (no forebody). Data are presented showing the effects of Mach numbers, decelerator pitch angle, and location in the wake on the drag and stability of various decelerator configurations. The pressure data support the measured force data and show large fluctuations in the canopy internal pressure on the supersonic X-2 parachute design.

> Distribution limited to U.S. Government agencies only; this report contains information on test and evaluation of military hardware; December 1972; other requests for this document must be referred to AFFDL (FER), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

CONTENTS

	<u>_</u>	Page
	ABSTRACT	iii
	NOMENCLATURE	vi
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	APPARATUS	
	2.1 Test Articles	1
	2.2 Instrumentation	3
	2.3 Wind Tunnel	4
III.	TEST PROCEDURE	
	3.1 Test Conditions and Methods	4
	3.2 Uncertainties of the Data	5
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1 Decelerator Force Data	7
	4.2 Decelerator Pressure Data	8
v.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	9
	REFERENCES	10

APPENDIXES

I. ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.	Forebody Details
2.	Decelerator Details
3.	Schematic of Decelerators Relationship to Forebodies 18
4.	Model Photographs
5.	Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 8-in. X-2 with Lines, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$
6.	Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 8-in. X-2 without Lines in Wake of Cone-Cylinder Forebody, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$, $x/d = 9.0$
7.	Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 5-in. X-2 with Lines in Wake of Crew Module Forebody, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$, $x/d = 7.0$

AEDC-TR-72-171

Figure		Page
8.	Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of Hemisflo Decelerator, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 27
9.	Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 45-deg Conical Decelerator in Wake of Crew Module Forebody, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 30
10.	Schlieren Photographs of Decelerators in Wake of Forebodies, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 32
11.	Effect of Mach Number on Stability Derivatives and Axial Force at Zero Pitch Angle for Several Decelerators, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 35
12.	Zero Pitch Angle Pressure Distributions on Supersonic X-2 Decelerators in Wake of Cone-Cylinder Forebody, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 36
13.	Zero Pitch Angle Pressure Distributions on 5-in. Supersonic X-2 Decelerator in the Free Stream, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 38
14.	Internal Pressure Fluctuations at $x_p/\ell = 0.735$ on X-2 Decelerator in Wake of Cone-Cylinder, $x/d = 7.0$, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$. 39
II. TA	BLES	
	I. TEST CONDITIONS	. 40
	II. TEST SUMMARY	. 41

NOMENCLATURE

А	Reference area, 50.27 in. ² for 8-in. supersonic X-2 model, 19.63 in. ² for 5-in. supersonic X-2 model, 24.63 in. ² for Hemisflo model, and 22.90 in. ² for 45-deg cone model
C _A	Total axial-force coefficient, total axial force/ $q_{\infty}A$
CAO	Total axial-force coefficient at zero pitch angle
Cm	Pitching-moment coefficient, pitching moment/ $q_{\omega}Ad_{l}$
Cma	Initial slope of pitching-moment curve, $(dC_m/d\alpha)_{\alpha=0}$

vi

C _N	Normal-force coefficient, normal force/ $q_{\omega}A$
$C_{N_{\alpha}}$	Initial slope of normal-force curve, $(dC_N/d\alpha)_{\alpha = 0}$
Cp	Pressure coefficient, $(p - p_{\omega})/q_{\omega}$
d	Forebody reference dimension used to nondimensionalize the decelerator-forebody longitudinal separation distance (see Fig. 3), in.
d ₁	Decelerator reference length, 5.0 in. for 5-in. supersonic X-2 model, 8.0 in. for 8-in. supersonic X-2 model, 5.6 in. (nominal design diameter) for Hemisflo model, and 5.4 in. for 45-deg cone model
f	Frequency of pressure variations, Hz
l	Distance from lip of X-2 decelerator to trailing edge (see Fig. 2), in.
M. R.	Moment reference location
M _∞	Free-stream Mach number
р	Surface pressure, psia
р _о	Tunnel stilling chamber pressure, psia
₽∞	Free-stream static pressure, psia
Δp	Amplitude of pressure variations at x_p/ℓ = 0.735
q _∞	Free-stream dynamic pressure, psia
Re/in.	Free-stream Reynolds number per inch
To	Tunnel stilling chamber temperature, °R
x	Distance from forebody reference point to leading edge of decelerator (see Fig. 3), in.
x'	Distance from center of rotation to leading edge of decelerator, measured along decelerator axis (see Fig: 3), in.
×p	Distance from lip of X-2 decelerator to pressure orifice, measured along decelerator axis (see Fig. 2), in.
z p	Perpendicular distance from decelerator axis to pressure orifice (see Fig. 2), in.
α	Decelerator pitch angle, deg
φ	Roll angle of pressure orifice rays on supersonic X-2 decele- rator pressure models (see Fig. 2), deg

SECTION I

An experimental investigation was conducted in the 40-in. Supersonic Wind Tunnel (A) of the von Kármán Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF) to determine the drag and static-stability coefficients and surface pressures of a number of rigid aerodynamic decelerators. The decelerators were tested at several positions in the wake of three forebodies which were supported by a strut spanning the tunnel test section. The tests were conducted at free-stream Mach numbers from 0.2 to 5 at freestream dynamic pressures from 0.5 to 2.0 psia (simulated pressure altitudes up to 130,000 ft). These tests are a follow-on to a previous investigation of rigid aerodynamic decelerators conducted in Tunnel A (Ref. 1).

Results are presented to show the effects of Mach number, dynamic pressure, decelerator pitch angle, and location in the wake on the drag and stability of various decelerator configurations. Corresponding results for the surface pressure distributions are also presented. A complete test summary is given in the tables.

SECTION II APPARATUS

2.1 TEST ARTICLES

2.1.1 Forebody Models and Support

The forebody support consisted of a full-tunnel-span strut with wedge-shaped (total angle of 18 deg) leading and trailing edges and a total thickness of 0.312 in. A small span of cylindrical leading edge, just upstream of the forebody, was exposed when the ejection seat was installed.

The forebodies were a 2.00-in. -diam cone-cylinder with a 13-deg half-angle sharp cone nose, a simulated ejection seat and man, and a simulated crew-ejection module (Fig. 1, Appendix I). Selected configurations were tested in the free stream with the forebody-strut combination removed from the tunnel.

2.1.2 Decelerator Models

The tests were conducted on four basic decelerator models: two rigid models (an 8-in.-diam and a 5-in.-diam) of a parachute design designated "Supersonic X-2," a 45-deg sharp cone, and a rigid model of a hemispherical parachute design designated "Hemisflo."

The 45-deg sharp cone and the 5-in. diam X-2 force models were designed and fabricated by VKF and were used only for the static force tests. The cone had a base diameter of 5.40 in.

The two rigid X-2 pressure models were obtained from contours specified by AFFDL. The 8-in.-diam pressure model was modified after the pressure phase and used to obtain force data. The simulated parachute canopy of the 5-in. model had a leading-edge outside diameter (OD) of 4.00 in., an exit (trailing-edge) OD of 1.50 in., and maximum OD of 5.00 in. A full set of contours is given in table form in Fig. 2a. Six removable internal webs and twelve suspension lines were provided for each model. The 5-in. model was tested alone, with the lines, and with the lines and webs. The 8-in. model had dimensions 1.6 times as large as the 5-in. model except as shown in Fig. 2b. The 8-in. model was tested alone and with lines. Both X-2 decelerator models, when used for pressure data, were instrumented with nine external and eight internal surface static pressure taps and one internal tap to measure pressure oscillations. The orifice locations are shown in Fig. 2a. The balance attachment for both models was located below the centerline of the canopy to minimize the flow disturbance from the attachment and to allow flow through the canopy exit.

The Hemisflo decelerator was also obtained from contours specified by AFFDL. The hemispherical parachute canopy had a leading-edge of 3.342 in. and a maximum OD of 3.500 in. The canopy contained 16 sets of machined slots with dimensions as shown in Fig. 2c. Sixteen suspension lines were permanently attached to the canopy. A full set of contours is given in table form in Fig. 2c. The balance attachment was located at the back of the canopy on the axis of symmetry.

All the decelerator configurations were tested on the same sixcomponent balance, which was attached by means of an offset strut to a mechanism that provided remote positioning in the vertical and longitudinal direction. This mechanism was attached to the standard Tunnel A model mounting equipment which provides remote positioning of the model in pitch. A schematic showing the relationship of the decelerators to the forebodies is shown in Fig. 3, and photographs of the models and test installation are given in Fig. 4.

2.2 INSTRUMENTATION

Tunnel A stilling chamber pressure is measured with 150- and 300psid transducers referenced to a near vacuum and having full-scale calibrated ranges of 10, 50, 150, and 300 psi. Based on periodic comparisons with secondary standards, the precision of these transducers (a band which includes 95 percent of the residuals) is estimated to be ± 0.5 percent of the reading but no better than 0.015 psid. Stilling chamber temperature is measured with a copper-constant thermocouple to a precision of ± 0.5 percent or $\pm 0.75^{\circ}$ F, whichever is larger, based on thermocouple wire manufacturer's specifications.

The model surface pressures were measured with 15-psid transducers that are referenced to a near vacuum and have full-scale calibrated ranges of 1, 5, and 15 psi. Based on periodic comparisons with secondary standards, the precision of these transducers is estimated to be ± 0.3 percent of full scale for the 1-psid range, and ± 0.2 percent of full scale for the 5- and 15-psid ranges. The pressure oscillations at $x_p/\ell = 0.735$ were measured with a 1-psia, fast-response, straingage transducer developed by the VKF which has a frequency response up to 1000 Hz to a precision of ± 0.02 psi and ± 1.0 percent of the measured frequency.

Model forces and moments were measured with a six-component, moment-type, strain-gage balance supplied and calibrated by VKF. Before testing, static loads in each plane and combined static loads were applied to the balance, simulating the range of model loads anticipated for the test. The following uncertainties represent the bands for 95 percent of the measurement residuals based on differences between applied loads and the corresponding values calculated from the final data reduction equations:

Balance Components	Design Load	Range of Static Loads	Measurement Uncertainty
Normal Force, lb	200	±60	±0.3
Pitching Moment, inlb	680	±90	±0.5
Axial Force, lb	100	0 to 100	±0.2

The transfer distance to the decelerator model moment reference point (4.478 in. for the 5-in. Supersonic X-2, 7.015 in. for the 8-in. Supersonic X-2, 3.827 in. for the Hemisflo decelerator, and 1.604 in. for the 45-deg sharp cone) was measured with a precision of ± 0.010 in.

2.3 WIND TUNNEL

Tunnel A is a continuous, closed-circuit, variable density wind tunnel with an automatically driven flexible-plate-type nozzle and a 40by 40-in. test section. The tunnel can be operated at Mach numbers from 1.5 to 6 at maximum stagnation pressures from 29 to 200 psia, respectively, and stagnation temperatures up to 750°R ($M_{\infty} = 6$). Minimum operating pressures range from about one-tenth to one-twentieth of the maximum at each Mach number. The model may be injected into the tunnel for a test run and then retracted for model changes without interrupting the tunnel flow. A description of the tunnel and airflow calibration information may be found in Ref. 2.

Tunnel A has also been calibrated at subsonic Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8. Mach numbers within the range from 0.2 to 0.8 are set by monitoring tunnel sidewall static pressures in conjunction with the diffuser geometry.

SECTION III TEST PROCEDURE

3.1 TEST CONDITIONS AND METHODS

The tests were conducted at nominal free-stream Mach numbers from 0.2 to 5.0 at nominal free-stream dynamic pressures (q_{∞}) of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 psia. The test conditions are given in Table I (Appendix II), and a complete test summary for both the force and pressure phases of the investigation is given in Table II.

Before injection into the tunnel the models were adjusted for zero roll to within ± 0.1 deg using an inclinometer. After the decelerator models were injected into the airflow, zero pitch was set within ± 0.05 deg using an optical level and reference points on the models. All other pitch angles were set to within ± 0.1 deg using the standard tunnel pitch mechanism. The decelerators were positioned on the horizontal centerline of the forebody wake by use of the remote positioning mechanism and an optical level and in the desired x/d position by a combination of this mechanism and the tunnel injection mechanism. A fixed distance (x') between the center of rotation and the decelerator (see Fig. 3) was maintained at angle of attack. The estimated precision of the model position was ± 0.005 in. in the vertical direction (z) and ± 0.050 in. in the longitudinal direction (x).

Decelerator yaw alignment was checked prior to each operating shift and found to be within ± 0.5 deg for all configurations. During these checks the spanwise alignment of the decelerators to the forebodies was also adjusted to within ± 0.015 in. over the entire range of x/d.

The data were obtained in the following sequence for any given combination of configuration, Mach number, and dynamic pressure: The decelerator was positioned at zero angle of attack and maximum x/d. Data were then obtained as x/d was decreased to the minimum and, in selected cases, returned to the maximum. For the force phase, a ptich polar was then obtained at selected x/d^is as x/d was decreased.

3.2 UNCERTAINTIES OF THE DATA

Uncertainties in the basic tunnel parameters (p_0 and M_{∞}) were estimated from repeat calibrations of the instrumentation and from repeatability and uniformity of the test section flow during tunnel calibrations. These uncertainties were then used to estimate the uncertainties in other free-stream properties, using the Taylor series method to define the error propagation.

Nominal	Nominal	·	Unce	rtainty (Perco	ent ±)
M	q	M _∞	Po	p _æ	q _æ
0.2 to 0.8	0.5 to 1.0	1.9 to 0.2	0.5	0.1 to 0.3	1.7 to 0.5
1.5	1.0	0.7		1.5	0.5
2.0	1.0	0.5		1.6	0.8
2.5	1.0	0.3		1.3	0.8
3.0	1.0	0.4		1.9	1.1
3.5	1.0	0.3		1.5	1.0
4.0	0.5 to 2.0	0.5		2.7	1.7
5.0	1.0	0.3	ŧ	1.9	1.3

AEDC-TR-72-171

The maximum uncertainties listed below are for the aerodynamic coefficients obtained on the Supersonic X-2 decelerator models for $q_{\infty} = 1.0$ psia. A more detailed list of uncertainties may be found in Ref. 1. These values are considered to be typical for all configurations.

X. XXX	=	Maximum	Absolute 1	Uncertainty (t) ne	ar	Minimum	Load
(X. X)	Ξ	Maximum	Percentag	ge Uncertaint	y (±)	at	Maximum	Load

$\underline{C_N}$	Cm	CA
0.003	0.004	0.008
(1.5)	(4.2)	(1.2)

The uncertainties listed below are for the pressure coefficients obtained on the various condigurations of the 5-in., X-2 decelerator model and the 8-in., X-2 decelerator model with lines.

Nomina Condi	al Test itions	Uncertainty	(Percent ±)
M _∞	q _∞	At Maximum C _p	At Minimum C _p
2.0	1.0	1.24	2.24
3.0	1.0	1.40	3.19
4.0	0.5	1.92	9.66
4.0	1.0	1.95	5.74
4.0	1.5	2.01	3.62
5.0	1.0	1.42	5.42

SECTION IV · RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At the request of AFFDL, and in keeping with the previous decelerator test, the data were obtained at constant dynamic pressure levels and are so presented. Corresponding unit Reynolds numbers for each M_{∞} and q_{∞} condition are listed in Table I. In the discussion which follows, only general comments on the test results are made, and these are primarily restricted to the various summary figures which are presented for each test phase. A detailed analysis of these results will be made by AFFDL/FER.

4.1 DECELERATOR FORCE DATA

Unless otherwise noted, the Supersonic X-2 chute models are without webs. The effects of Mach number and angle of attack on the normal-force, pitching-moment, and axial-force characteristics of the various decelerator configurations are shown in Figs. 5 to 9. It should be noted that the reference diameter for the Hemisflo decelerator was the nominal design diameter of 5.6 in., not the actual diameter of 3.5 in. In general, the effect of increasing Mach number for a given configuration at a given x/d was to decrease the axial-force and normalforce coefficients at all pitch angles. However, the pitching moment was essentially insensitive to changes in Mach number. While the X-2 configurations displayed positive static longitudinal stability in the wake of all forebodies (Figs. 5 through 7), the pitching-moment coefficients for the Hemisflo (Fig. 8) and 45-deg conical (Fig. 9) decelerators were near zero for all test conditions.

The data for the crew module forebody show that the 45-deg cone produced the largest axial-force coefficient and the Hemisflo produced the smallest. The results for the two sizes of X-2 behind the crew module (Figs. 5c and 7) show sizable differences in C_N and C_A over most of the pitch range. One obvious reason for the differences must be the large difference in the size of the decelerators (capture area) compared with the size of the wake of the forebody. As noted for the 5-in. X-2 in Ref. 1, the 8-in. X-2 with the lines removed produced higher values of axial force than with the lines installed (Figs. 5a and 6).

The data for all configurations in the wake of either the ejection seat or the crew module forebodies show that the normal force was not zero angle of attack, which is a result of the nonsymmetrical wake produced by these forebodies. Again, because of the larger capture area relative to the wake, the 8-in. X-2 was the least affected. This nonsymmetry of the wake is clearly shown in the schlieren photographs (Fig. 10).

The slopes of the normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients (stability derivatives) as a function of Mach number, along with the axial-force coefficient at zero angle of attack for the various decele-

rators, are shown in Fig. 11. These data show more clearly the reduction in axial force with an increase in Mach number in the supersonic range and the relative magnitudes for the various configurations. As expected, because of the smaller model with a larger porosity, the Hemisflo decelerator gave axial-force and normal-force coefficients well below the X-2 for the same conditions. The axial-force coefficient in the free stream was always higher for this decelerator than when in the wake of the forebody. In the subsonic range, the axial-force coefficient changed very little with Mach number increase and was nearly the same for all decelerators in the wake of the crew module. The subsonic level was well below the supersonic level for the X-2; however, it was very nearly the maximum on the Hemisflo decelerator. Some of the data contained in this figure are from Ref. 1.

The trends of the stability derivatives near zero pitch angle as a function of Mach number are quite mixed. The mixed results in the wake of the ejection seat and the crew module were not unexpected, however, in light of unsymmetrical wakes and the large differences in size of the decelerators.

4.2 DECELERATOR PRESSURE DATA

Zero pitch angle pressure distributions on several configurations of the supersonic X-2 decelerator in the wake of the cone-cylinder forebody are presented in Fig. 12. Only small variations on the forward portion of the canopy were obtained in the external pressure distributions regardless of configuration, x/d, or Mach number. The internal pressure distributions indicate a general increase in overall level whenever x/d was increased or Mach number was decreased. These data, if pressure integrations were performed, would give the same trends in axial force with Mach number that were shown in the force data of Fig. 11a, particularly the large decrease obtained in CA_O for the 8-in. model between $M_m = 3$ and 5.

Pressure data are presented in Fig. 13 for the 5-in. X-2 in the free stream. These data when viewed as proportional to axial force indicate little variation in C_A with Mach number increase on the decelerator without lines, and for the decelerator with lines, indicate that the axial force would decrease as Mach number is increased from 2.0 to 4.0 and then remain essentially constant at $M_{\infty} = 4.0$ and 5.0. These trends are in agreement with the force data of Ref. 1, the results of which, for the decelerator with lines, were included in Fig. 11c.

Data obtained from the dynamic pressure transducer located at an x_D/l of 0.735 are presented in Fig. 14. These data show that, in general, the decelerator in the free stream with the lines removed had relatively low amplitude and low frequency pressure fluctuations. The amplitude of the pressure fluctuation was increased, except at $M_{m} = 2$, by as much as 200 percent, and the frequency increased by about a factor of four to approximately 600 Hz when this configuration was tested in the wake of the cone-cylinder. When the lines were added to the decelerator in the wake of the cone-cylinder, the amplitude of the pressure fluctuations increased dramatically, while the frequency decreased only slightly except at M_{ω} = 5, where both frequency and amplitude dropped to values less than obtained on this configuration in the free stream. The results for x/d = 9.0 at this Mach number (solid symbol), however, show a frequency level as high as obtained at the lower Mach numbers for x/d = 7.0 although the amplitude was still small relative to the peak obtained at $M_m = 4.0$.

SECTION V CONCLUDING REMARKS

The data within this report are presented to give some insight into the performance that could be expected from several types and configurations of decelerators in the wake of three typical forebodies. This report is not meant to give a thorough analysis of the various problems involved; however, some of the more important conclusions that can be derived from these data, in addition to those from the preceding test, are as follows:

- 1. Data obtained on two sizes of Supersonic X-2 decelerator were in disagreement, probably a result of the differences in capture area.
- 2. Supersonically, the Hemisflo decelerator gave values of axial-force coefficient generally lower than the X-2.
- 3. The subsonic axial-force coefficient was higher than was the supersonic for the Hemisflo decelerator, whereas the reverse was true for the X-2.
- 4. The pressure distribution data indicated the same trends in axial force, as did the force data from previous tests.

5. Large amplitude internal pressure fluctuations were present whenever the full configuration X-2 was tested in the wake of the cone-cylinder, except at $M_{\infty} = 5$.

REFERENCES

- Rhudy, R. W. and Jones, J. H. "Drag and Performance Characteristics of Several Rigid Aerodynamic Decelerators at Mach Numbers from 1.5 to 6." AEDC-TR-71-233 (AD889022), November 1971.
- Test Facilities Handbook (Ninth Edition). "von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility, Vol. 3." Arnold Engineering Development Center, July 1971.

APPENDIXES

- I. ILLUSTRATIONS
- II. TABLES



a. Cone-Cylinder Forebody

All Dimensions in Inches (Not to Scale)



b. Ejection-Seat Forebody Fig. 1 Forebody Details



c. Crew Module Forebody Fig. 1 Concluded

.



-

a. Five-Inch Supersonic X-2 Decelerator Fig. 2 Decelerator Details



b. Eight-Inch Supersonic X-2 Decelerator Fig. 2 Continued





Fig. 3 Schematic of Decelerators Relationship to Forebodies



a. Photograph of Forebodies and Decelerators Fig. 4 Model Photographs AEDC-TR-72-171



b. Installation of Ejection-Seat Forebody and Supersonic X-2 Decelerator with Lines and without Webs Fig. 4 Continued



c. Installation of Crew Module Forebody and Hemisflo Decelerator Fig. 4 Concluded





AEDC-TR-72-171









AEDC-TR-72-171



q_m = 1.0, x/d = 9.0



Fig. 7 Static Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 5-in. X-2 with Lines in Wake of Crew Module Forebody, $q_{so} = 1.0$, x/d = 7.0















a. x/d = 4.0Fig. 9 Static-Stability and Axial-Force Characteristics of 45-deg Conical Decelerator in Wake of Crew Module Forebody, $q_{\rm m} = 1.0$

AEDC-TR-72-171



b. x/d = 7.0 Fig. 9 Concluded

M

2

3

4

x/d = 9.5x/d = 8.5Configuration 8 9 7

a. Cone-Cylinder, x/d = 9.0Fig. 10 Schlieren Photographs of Decelerators in Wake of Forebodies, q... = 1.0



Configuration

4

M

2

3

19

b. Ejection Seat, x/d = 7.0 Fig. 10 Continued

M



Configuration

c. Crew Module, x/d = 7.0 Fig. 10 Concluded





AEDC-TR-72-171



Fig. 12 Zero Pitch Angle Pressure Distributions on Supersonic X-2 Decelerators in Wake of Cone-Cylinder Forebody, q_ = 1.0



37

AEDC-TR-72-171



Fig. 13 Zero Pitch Angle Pressure Distributions on 5-in. Supersonic X-2 Decelerator in the Free Stream, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$



Fig. 14 Internal Pressure Fluctuations at $x_p/\ell = 0.735$ on X-2 Decelerator in Wake of Cone-Cylinder, x/d = 7.0, $q_{\infty} = 1.0$

TABLE I TEST CONDITIONS

Nominal M _{co}	Calibrated M _m	p _o ,psia	p _∞ ,psia	T _o , ⁰R	q _∞ , psia	Re/in.x 10 ⁻⁶
Nominal M _m 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.0 4.0	0.19 0.31 0.40 0.51 0.61 0.67 0.79 1.49 1.99 2.49 3.00 3.49 4.00 4.00 4.01 4.02	P ₀ ,psia 18.0 16.9 10.0 6.7 5.0 4.0 3.4 2.3 2.8 3.9 5.8 8.8 6.8 13.6 20.5 27.4	p∞,psia 17.55 15.80 9.00 5.65 3.94 2.93 2.25 0.636 0.363 0.232 0.158 0.117 0.045 0.090 0.133 0.176	T ₀ , [°] R 545 545 545 545 545 540 545 540 545 560 560 570 580 590 610 610 610 610	q _∞ , psia 0.4 1.1 1.0 1.0 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Re/in.x 10 ⁻⁶ 0.128 0.191 0.141 0.116 0.100 0.084 0.078 0.055 0.058 0.063 0.066 0.079 0.045 0.086 0.131 0.173
5.0	5.02	30.2	0.056	640	1.0	0.114

TABLE II TEST SUMMARY

1. MODEL CONFIGURATION CODE



FOREBODY CODE

DECELERATOR CODE

0 - Cone-Cylinder 1 - Ejection seat 2 - None 3 - Crew module	<pre>1 = 45 deg cone 2 = 5 in. X-2 3 = 5 in. X-2 with lines 4 = 5 in. X-2 with lines and webs 5 = 8 in. X-2 with lines 6 = 8 in. X-2</pre>
	/ - Hemisilo

- 2. ANGLE-OF-ATTACK CODE, deg
 - 0 + → 0,2,4,6,8 ± → 0,±2,±4,±6,±8
- 3. X/D TRAVERSE CODE

```
A = 9.0, 9.5, 10.0, 10.5, 11.0

B = 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0

C = 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, 8.0, 8.5, 9.0

D = 4.5, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0

E = 5.6, 6.0, 7.0

F = 5.0, 6.0, 7.0

G = 6.5, 7.0

H = 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 8.4

J = 5.5, 7.0, 9.0

K = 4.0, 5.5, 7.0, 9.0

L = 3.0, 5.5, 7.0, 9.0

M = 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 9.0

N = 9.0, 10.0
```

TABLE II (Continued)

- 4. TEST MATRIX
 - a. Force Data (All data at $q_m = 1.0$ psia except as noted)

Config.	Nominal Mach Numbers	<u>x/d</u>	<u>a</u>
7	2.0,3.0,4.0*,5.0	A	0
	3.0,4.0,5.0	9.0	+
8	2.0,3.0	B	0
	2.0,3.0	9.0	+
9	2.0,3.0,4.0	С	0
	2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	+
17	2.0,2.5,3.0,3.5,4.0	E	0
	2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	±
19	All Except 5.0	D	0
	0.5,2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	±
29	0.4,0.6,0.8	No Forebody	0
	0.5,2.0	No Forebody	+
32	2.0,2.5,3.0,4.0	I	0
	2.0	I	±
	2.5,3.0,4.0	H	+
35	0.2**,0.4,0.6,0.8,2.0,4.0	D	0
	2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	±
37	0.2**,0.4,0.6***,0.8,2.0,3.0	,4.0 G	0
	2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	±
39	All Except 1.5 and 5.0	F	0
	0.5,2.0,3.0,4.0	7.0	±

*Tested also at $q_{\infty} = 0.5$, 1.5, and 2.0 psia ** $q_{\infty} = 0.4$ psia at $M_{\infty} = 0.2$ ***x/d = 8.0 and 8.4

TABLE II (Concluded)

.

4. TEST MATRIX (concluded)

b.	Pressure Data	(All da	ta at	a = 0°	and q_	= 1.0) psia	except	as	noted)
----	---------------	---------	-------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	----	--------

Config.	Nominal Mach Numbers	x/d
3	2.0,4.0 ^z ,5.0 3.0 4.0	K L M
5	2.0,3.0,4.0 ^{zz} ,5.0	J
6	2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0	J
7	2.0 3.0,4.0,5.0	10.0 N
23	2.0,3.0,4.0, 5.0	No Forebody
25	2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0	No Forebody

z Tested only at q_{∞} = 0.5 and 1.5 zz Tested also at q_{∞} = 0.5 and 1.5

Ν

•

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D (Security Classification of little, body of abstract and indexing annatation must be entered when the overall report is classified)						
Arnold Enginnering Development Center Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee 37389		24. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED				
		20. GROUP	N/A			
DRAG, PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS, AND PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS OF SEVERAL RIGID AERODYNAMIC DECELERATORS AT MACH NUMBERS FROM 0.2 TO 5						
April 25 to May 5, 1972Final Report	rt					
R. W. Rhudy and S. S. Baker, ARO, Ir	ic.					
December 1972	78. TOTAL NO. OF 50	PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS 2			
BB. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	9. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMB	ER(S)			
6. PROJECT NO	AEDC-TR-72-171					
c. Program Element 62201F	9b. OTHER REPOR this report)	T NO(S) (Any of	her numbere that may be easigned			
d.	ARO-VI	KF-TR-72-	-119			
this report contains information on test and evaluation of military hard ware; December 1972; other requests for this document must be referred <u>AFFDL(FER), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.</u> It supplementary notes Available in DDC Vright-Patterson Air Force Base Ohio 45433 ¹³ ABSTRACT Static force and pressure distribution tests were conducted at Nach numbers from 0.2 to 5.0 on rigid models of several aerodynamic						
decelerators in the wake of three types of forebodies. The tests were conducted at decelerator pitch angles from -8 to 8 deg at nominal free- stream dynamic pressures of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 psia. The decele- rators were positioned at avrious axial stations in the wake of the forebodies, and selected configurations were tested in the free stream (no forebodies). Data are presented showing the effects of Mach num- bers, decelerator pitch angle, and location in the wake on the drag and stability of various decelerator configurations. The pressure data support the measured force data and show large fluctuations in the canopy internal pressure on the supersonic X-2 parachute design. Distribution limited to U. S. Government agencies only; this report contains information on test and evaluation of military hardware; December 1972; other requests for this document must be referred to AFFDL(FER), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.						

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14,	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
decelerators						
narachutes						
pur uonuoco						
conical bodies						
drag						
stability						
performance characteristics						
supersonic wind tunnels						
	1					
	[
	ļ					
	1					
	1					
]					
	1					
		1				
APSC Annald AFF Tung		l				

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification