UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD904930

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; SEP 1972. Other requests shall be referred to US Army Aviation Systems Command, Attn: AMSAV-EF, PO Box 209, St. Louis, MO 63166.

AUTHORITY

US Army Aviation Systems Command ltr dtd 12 Nov 1973



AD

AD RDTE PROJECT NO. AVSCOM PROJECT NO. 69-17 USAASTA PROJECT NO. 69-17

HEIGHT-VELOCITY EVALUATION CH-47C HELICOPTER WITH T55-L-11A ENGINES

FINAL REPORT

JOHN I. NAGATA PROJECT ENGINEER ALAN R. TODD MAJ, CE US ARMY PROJECT OFFICER/PILOT

ALTON G. STEINMETZ PROJECT ENGINEER JOHN R. BURDEN MAJ, AR US ARMY PROJECT PILOT

E

SEPTEMBER 1972

Distribution limited to US Government agencies only; test and evaluation, September 1972. Other requests for this document must be referred to the Commanding General, AVSCOM, ATTN: AMSAV-EF, PO Box 209, St. Louis, Missouri 63166.

US ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS TEST ACTIVITY EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA 93523

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

REPRODUCTION LIMITATIONS

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission obtained through the Commanding General, AVSCOM, ATTN: AMSAV-EF, PO Box 209, St. Louis, Missouri 63166. DD'2 is authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TRADE NAMES

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of the commercial hardware and software.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

RDTE PROJECT NO. AVSCOM PROJECT NO. 69-17 USAASTA PROJECT NO. 69-17

HEIGHT-VELOCITY EVALUATION

CH-47C HELICOPTER WITH T55-L-11A ENGINES

FINAL REPORT

JOHN I. NAGATA PROJECT ENGINEER

.

ALAN R. TODD MAJ, CE US ARMY PROJECT OFFICER/PILOT

. . . .

ALTON G. STEINMETZ PROJECT ENGINEER JOHN R. BURDEN MAJ, AR US ARMY PROJECT PILOT

SEPTEMBER 1972

Distribution limited to US Government agencies only; test and evaluation, September 1972. Other requests for this document must be referred to the Commanding General, AVSCOM, ATTN: AMSAV-EF, PO Box 209, St. Louis, Missouri 63166.

US ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS TEST ACTIVITY EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA 93523

ABSTRACT

The CH-47C height-velocity flight test program was conducted at Edwards Air Force Base and Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test Range, Nevada, between 29 September 1971 and 9 March 1972. Engineering flight tests were conducted to develop realistic single-engine height-velocity diagrams for the CH-47C helicopter with T55-L-11A engines. During these tests, no deficiencies were identified, but one shortcoming was identified: the excessive pilot compensation required to control pitch attitude following a simulated single-engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height-velocity diagrams developed are suitable for inclusion in the operator's manual when accompanied by the flight conditions and a discussion of the pilot technique. Entry characteristics of the helicopter following engine failure are satisfactory. Power settling may occur following an engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover unless the helicopter is pitched immediately to an accelerating attitude before the thrust control rod is lowered. The takeoff procedures depicted in the operator's manual and the US Army Aviation School CH-47 standardization guide are safe in the event of single-engine failure. However, hard landings may result when a power failure occurs during a steep approach at or above a 40,800-pound gross weight or during a normal approach at a 46,000-pound gross weight. Increases in gross weight and density altitude degraded height-velocity performance. Efforts to generalize height-velocity performance data using analytical procedures and referred-gross-weight methods were unsuccessful. Height-velocity performance was apparently unaffected by the center-of-gravity location or which engine was failed. Further testing at high outside air temperatures would be required to completely define the single-engine height-velocity performance of the CH47C helicopter equipped with T55-L-11A engines.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION

Background	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Description	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	1
Methods of Test	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	•	•	3
Chronolcgy	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

•

General
Entry Characteristics
Pilot Technique Following Entry
Airspeed/Altitude Landing Window
Density Altitude Effects
Gross Weight and Center-of-Gravity Effects.
Height-Velocity Performance Prediction
Airspeed Calibration
Operational Single-Engine Height-Velocity Performance
Out-of-Ground-Effect Hover and Level Flight
In-Ground-Effect Hover and Takeoff
Landing Approach

CONCLUSIONS

Genera Shorto	al . coming	Affec	ting	M	is s i	on	.cc	011	Ipli	ish	me	ent	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18 19
RECOMME		IONS	•					•							•	•	•	•	•	•	28

APPENDIXES

1 -

A.	References		•												•		,		21
B,	Aircraft Description	•	•		•	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	٠		•	22
С.	Data Analysi, Procedures	•				×			•									•	24
D.	Handling Qualities Rating	S	cak	3	•			•	•					•		•		•	75
E.	Test Instrumentation						,			•									25
F.	Test Data		•	•		•	٠	•	•			•	٠	•	•		•	•	2

. . .

Page

DISTRIBUTION

vi

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

1. Single-engine height-velocity (H-V) testing has not previously been conducted with the CH-47C helicopter. The operator's manual for this aircraft (ref 1, app A) does not contain an H-V diagram. Single-engine H-V testing of the CH-47B has been conducted at gross weights up to 40,600 pounds (refs 2 and 3); however, these test results are not directly applicable to the CH-47C. The US Army Aviation Systems Command (AVSCOM) directed the US Army Aviation Systems Test Activity (USAASTA) to conduct height-velocity tests on the CH-47C (ref 4). The CH-47C height-velocity test plan (ref 5) was prepared in accordance with the test directive.

TEST OBJECTIVES

2. The objectives of the CH-47C H-V test were as follows:

a. To develop operational CH-47C H-V diagrams for incorporation in the operator's manual.

b. To determine compliance with the military specification, MIL-H-8501A (ref 6, app A), and the detail specification (ref 7).

DESCRIPTION

3. The CH-47C helicopter is manufactured by the Vertol Division of The Boeing Company (Boeing-Vertol). It is a twin-turbine, tandem-rotor helicopter designed to provide air transportation for cargo, troops, and weapons. The helicopter is intended for use during visual or instrument flight conditions. The test helicopter was powered by two T55-L-11A Lycoming engines. A more complete description of the CH-47C is presented in the operator's manual (ref 1, app A) and in appendix B.

SCOPE OF TEST

4. Height-velocity tests were conducted with the CH-47° helicopter from 29 September to 9 March 1972 at Edwards Air Force Base (2302-foot elevation) and Shafter, California (420-foot elevation), and Tonopah Test Range, Nevada (5540-foot elevation). During the test program, 47 flights were conducted for a total of 48 hours, of which 34 hours of productive testing were accomplished. Testing at a safe altitude above ground level (AGL) was accomplished at gross weights from 29,400 to 46,000 pounds, density altitudes from 2000 to 6000 feet,

and center-of-gravity (cg) locations from fuselage station (FS) 319.5 (forward) to FS 334.5 (aft), Height-velocity tests were accomplished to a touchdown at the conditions shown in table 1. The scope of this evaluation was limited to single-engine failures. The tests were conducted to produce data which were realistic with respect to operational conditions and do not show the maximum capability of the aircraft.

Average Gross Weight (1b)	Average Density Alticude (ft)	Average Outside Air Temperature (°C)	Average Center-of-Gravity Location (in.)	Entry Flight Condition
40,910	4500	-2.5	FS 325.8 (mid)	Level flight
44,110	4500	-2.0	FS 326.2 (mid)	Level flight
46,100	4030	-9.0	FS 327.3 (mid)	Level flight
40,870	650	-1.0	FS 325.8 (mid)	Level flight
44,080	-200	-5.5	FS 326.2 (mid)	Level flight
46,050	900	4.5	F3 327.3 (mid)	Level flight
40,870	650	-1.0	FS 325.8 (mid)	Takeoff
46,030	1150	3.5	FS 327.3 (mid)	Takeoff
41, 40	480	-0.5	FS 325.8 (mid)	Approach
46,030	1150	3.5	FS 327.3 (mid)	Approach

Table 1. Height-Velocity Touchdown Test Conditions.

5. All of the CH-47C H-V tests were conducted without external loads. Ballasting was accomplished by use of internal water tanks. The cargo hook and the lower rescue door were removed to facilitate emergency water jettison. The pitch stability augmentation (PSA) system was placed in the automatic-synchronization mode because that is the normal operational mode.

6. Maximum-rated power checks (topping) were accomplished on the installed engines in accordance with the current maintenance procedures stated in the CII-47C organizational maintenance manual (ref 8, ap 3 A). The allowable range for the indicated torque is ± 1 percent. The engines were adjusted so that power available with the lower half of this range. This was to ensure that the test aircraft did not have more power available than representative operational aircraft.

Ż

7. The flight restrictions and limitations contained in the safety-of-flight release (ref 9, app A) were observed. All H-V touchdowns were accomplished on a paved surface.

METHODS OF TEST

8. The procedure used to simulate a sudden engine failure was to stabilize the helicopter at the desired conditions of airspeed and height AGL, and then to place one engine condition lever in the ground position. This was accomplished following a countdown and the simulated failure was not a surprise to the pilot. The delay time between power reduction and control movement was started when the condition lever reached the ground position. Except as noted in appendix C, conventional H-V test techniques and data analysis procedures were used as discussed in reference 10, appendix A. A Handling Qualities Rating Scale (HQRS) was used to augment qualitative comments (app D).

9. To provide a realistic H-V diagram of maximum benefit to the operational aviator, the pilot technique was critiqued by two aviators with extensive CH-47 experience in the field and by a recent graduate of the CH-47 transition course at the US Army Aviation School, Fort Rucker, Alabama. These aviators were placed in several test conditions at altitude and their reactions and techniques were recorded. The test technique developed by the test team was then demres trated to these aviators and a final technique was developed based on their comments.

10. The tests were conducted under nonturbulent atmospheric conditions to produce accurate, repeatable data. All touchdown tests were conducted in wind velocities of 5 knots or less. The test CH-47C helicopter (serial number 68-15859) was equipped with sensitive, calibrated instrumentation. A detailed list of the test instrumentation is presented in appendix E.

CHRONOLOGY

11. The chronology of the test program is listed below. The delay in the start of H-V testing was due to other CH-47C testing.

Height-velocity test request received	3	November	1969
Height-velocity test flying commenced	29	September	1971
lieight-velocity test flying completed	9	March	1972

RESULTS AND DICUSSION

CENERAL

12. Engineering flight tests were conducted to develop realistic single-engine height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C helicopter with 155-L-11A engines, During these tests, no deficiencies were identified, but one shortcoming was identified: the excessive pilot compensation required to control pitch attitude following a simulated single-engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height-velocity diagrams developed are suitable for inclusion in the operator's manual when accompanied by the flight conditions and a discussion of the pilot technique. Entry characteristics of the helicopter following engine Jure are satisfactory. Power settling may occur following an engine failule from an out-of-cound-effect hover unless the helicroter is pitched immediately to an accelerating attitude before the thrust matrol rod is lowered. The takeoff procedures depicted in the operator's manual and the US Army Aviation School CII-47 standardization guide are safe in the event of single-engine failure. However, hard landings may result when a power failure occurs during a steep approach at or above a 40,800-pound gross weight or during a normal approach at a 46,000-pound gross weight. Increases in gross weight and density altitude degraded height-velocity performance. Efforts to generalize height-velocity performance data using analytical procedures and referred-gross-weight methods were unsuccessful. The center-of-gravity location and the particular engine which was selected to remain operational had no apparent effect on height-velocity performance. Further testing at high outside air temperatures would be required to completely define the single-engine height-velocity performance of the CII-47C helicopter equipped with T55-L-11A engines.

ENTRY CHARACTERISTICS

13. Sudden single-engine failures were simulated by first stabilizing the aircraft at the desired conditions of airspeed and height above the ground, and then placing one engine condition lever in the ground position. Simulated single-engine failures were conducted at gross weights from 32,000 to 46,000 pounds and airspeeds from hove: to 152 knots calibrated airspeed (KCAS). Following a simulated single-engine failure, with the PSA system in the automatic-synchronization mode, a small nose-up pitch change occurred and the aircraft stabilized at the new pitch attitude. The helicopter slowly rolled to the left at a rate that was easily controlled by the pilot. At airspeeds above 130 KCAS, the roll rate was slightly higher. During the stability and control tests (ref 11, app A), a slow, divergent nose-up pitch change resulted from a simulated single-engine failure with the PSA system OFF, but the pitch-up was easily controlled by the pilot. Testing showed that for similar aircraft conditions and engine topping settings, single-engine H-V performance was similar for each engine. During the actual H-V diagram development, the engine failure was simulated by reducing power on the left engine. 14. Following a single-engr. (asture, the operating engine increased power until reaching maximum power av_{ab} ble for the engine beep true setting. The minimum transient rotor speeds during the control-tixed period following the simulated failure are presented in figure 4, appendix F. The data show that for the conditions tested, the minimum transient rotor speed did not reach generator cutoff rotor speed of 204 \pm 4 rpm. During all the level flight entry tests, the rotor speed decay rate increased with gross weight and decreased with forward speed. The rotor speed stabilized above 210 rpm following all simulated single-engine failures in level flight without moving the thrust control rod or increasing engine beep trim. Within the scope of this test, the CH-47C entry characteristics following a simulated single-engine failure are satisfactory.

15. Entry characteristics following simulated dual-engine failures were evaluated during the CH-47C stability and control tests (ref 11, app A) using essentially the same methods as for single-engine failures, except that both engine condition levers were placed in the ground position. The flight controls were held fixed as long as practical after the simulated failure. These tests were conducted at gross weights from 33,000 to 45,000 pounds and airspeed from 78 to 148 KCAS. Results of the tests indicate that at airspeeds below 100 knots, there was a slight nose-up pitch which was easily corrected. There was no apparent roll attitude change. Response of the helicopter following simulated dual-engine failures at airspeeds greater than 100 KCAS was more severe than under simulated single-engine failures. At airspeeds of 100 KCAS or less, the response was similar to the single-engine failure response. The nose-up pitch change following a dual-engine failure was adequately corrected by the PSA system. With the PSA system OFF, a correction of the divergent nose-up pitching required a slightly faster pilot reaction than was required with single-engine failure, but presented no aircraft control problem. Lateral and directional oscillations were apparent following failures at airspeeds in excess of 140 KCAS, but did not limit control of the aircraft. The noise change associated with the rapid rotor speed decay provided the pilot with an unmistakable cue to an engine failure. Time delays from engine failure to thrust control rod movement were slightly in excess of 1 second and produced a minimum transient rotor speed of approximately 190 rpm. At the minimum transient rotor speed there was no apparent degradation in controllability. These response characteristics and delay times between dual-engine failure and thrust control rod movement, evaluated during the previous stability and control testing. met the requirements of the detail specification and are satisfactory.

PLOT TECHNIQUE FOLLOWING ENTRY

16. There are many techniques which could be used to transition from full-power flight to steady-state autorotational flight. The variables were too numerous for an exhaustive evaluation during this program to determine the technique which provides the maximum capability of the aircraft for the entire envelope. The pilot technique determination was therefore limited to the range of conditions which were within the capabilities (training and tolerance) of operational pilots.

17. In discussion with instructor pilots at the US Army Aviation School and with two experienced CH-47 pilots at USAASTA, the consensus was that nose-down pitch attitudes beyond 20 degrees or rates in excess of 20 degrees per second were extreme and could not be consistently expected from operational pilots. To determine the reaction or corrective action which could be expected from operational pilots and therefore would be best for the conduct of this test, simulated single-engine failures were conducted at a safe altitude above the ground at various gross weights, airspeeds, and density altitudes. At gross weights above 40,000 pounds, at airspeeds from hover to 42 KCAS, and using a similar pitch rate, increasing nose-down pitch attitudes decreased the height loss to reach a specific airspeed. Height loss also decreased as higher pitch rates were used to reach a given pitch attitude. Time histories of pitch attitudes and rates used following simulated single-engine failures at different entry airspeeds are presented in figure 2, appendix F. Pitch attitudes and maximum pitch rates used during the touchdown tests are presented in figure 3. The recommended pitch attitudes and rates are presented in table 2. At airspeeds above 80 KCAS, manipulation of the cyclic and thrust control rods to slow the helicopter to a safe touchdown speed while maintaining approximately 235 rpm was necessary.

Calibrated Airspeed at Engine Failure (kt)	Stabilized Pitch Attitude (deg nose down)	Maximum Pitch Rate (deg/sec nose down)
Hover	17	16
42	12	9
58	9	7

Table 2. Recommended Pitch Attitudes and Rates.

18. The best pilot cue to engine failure is the sound change associated with decreasing rotor speed. Less obvious cues are the torque split and engine compressor speed (N_1) decay as observed on the appropriate instruments. The time required for pilot recognition and reaction was estimated at 2 seconds and the audio cue of rotor speed decay is consistent with that estimate. All tests, excert during takeoff and approach, incorporated a 2-second delay from the time the engine condition lever was moved to the ground position until movement of the flight controls. During takeoff and approach tests, a zero time delay was used to more closely simulate operational flying where aircraft operation is more closely monitored.

19. The normal engine trim control switch (beep) was used to gain maximum available power on the operating engine following the simulated failure. It was determined prior to the touchdown tests that this procedure would be unsafe for testing since it placed the power turbine actuator out of the rotor speed governing range, which could easily result in rotor overspeed following the landing. Therefore, the test technique was to adjust the thrust control rod and not the engine beep

ł

trim when committed to land. This tends to make the resultant data conservative since slightly better performance could be achieved in full beep. For actual single-engine failures, the beep control should be used for maximum capability of the operating engine. The crew should also be aware that rotor overspeed can occur with full beep when the thrust control rod is lowered after landing.

20. The operator's manual suggests regaining normal operating rotor speed following a single-engine failure. At gross weights of 40,000 to 46,000 pounds, normal operating rotor speed is 245 rpm. The increased reduction in collective pitch to regain 245 rpm versus 235 rpm caused an initial increase in sink rate which resulted in approximately 10 percent more height loss to reach the target airspeed. Because of these results, the touchdown tests for the CH-47C were conducted using 235-rpm rotor speed following the simulated failure. When an immediate landing is required following a single-engine failure, the pilot should regain 235 rotor rpm for all gross weights. The operator's manual suggestion to regain normal operating rotor speed is adequate when continued flight is possible.

21. The CH-47C is susceptible to the phenomenon of power settling, often referred to as the vortex ring state. This condition was encountered following the simulation of single-engine failures at an out-of-ground-effect (OGE) hover in very light wind conditions. When the thrust control rod vias lowered simultaneously with or slightly before the nose-down pitching of the helicopter, the settling resulted. Power settling was characterized by a high rate of descent in a hover attitude. Forward cyclic control was initially ineffective, which prevented an increase in airspeed. The application of a large amount of forward cyclic control during power settling caused a slight nosc-up pitching which aggravated the settling condition. Recovery from this condition was achieved by further lowering the thrust control rod until the cyclic control was effectively able to pitch the helicopter to an accelerating attitude. This recovery required approximately twice the amount of altitude normally lost and, depending on the initial hover height, could result in ground contact. This condition was observed at conditions where single-engine OGE hover capability did not exist. The helicopter was more susceptible to power settling with a slight tail wind than with a head wind. To avoid power settling following a simulated single-engine failure from an OGE hover, it was necessary to pitch to the accelerating attitude immediately after the 2-second delay time and prior to lowering the thrust control rod. When lowering the thrust control iod, a nose-up pitching moment occurred that varied with the rate of thrust control rod application. Figure 4, appendix F, shows the magnitude of the pitch-up with a moderate thrust control rod rate (1 inch per second (in./sec)) and the amount of forward cyclic required to control the pitching. The pitch-up was minimized by lowering the thrust control rod at a slower rate (approximately 1/2 in./sec). Extensive pilot compensation was required to control pitch attitude following a simulated single-engine failure from an OGE hover (HQRS 6). This is a shortcoming and should be corrected for improved safety of operation. A discussion of the power-settling phenomenon and the technique used to prevent it should be incorporated in the operator's manual as shown below:

CAUTION

Power settling can result if the thrust control rod is lowered first, following a single-engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover. The helicopter should first be pitched to an accelerating attitude before the thrust control rod is slowiy lowered (1/2 inch per second) to regain rotor speed. If power settling is encountered, the tecovery may require twice the amount of altitude normally lost. The helicopter is more susceptible to power settling following an engine failure while hovering with a slight tail wind.

22. The following discussion of pilot technique following single-engine failure should be included in the operator's manual;

Following determination that an engine failure has occurred, the pilot should immediately lower the nose of the helicopter to an accelerating attitude prior to lowering the thrust control rod. if the airspeed is slow and altitude permits. If the speed at the time of the failure is near hover, the accelerating attitude should be between 15 and 20 degrees nose down and should be reached with a relatively rapid pitch rate (approximately 16 degrees per second (deg/sec)). For airspeeds between 30 knots indicated airspeed (KIAS) and approximately 50 KIAS (52 KIAS), the accelerating attitude should be between 10 and 15 degrees and should be reached with a moderate pitch rate (approximately 9 deg/sec). For airspeeds between approximately 50 and 75 KIAS (52 and 74 KIAS), the accelerating attitude should be between 5 and 10 degrees and should be reached with a slow-to-moderate pitch rate (approximately 7 deg/sec). Steeper pitch attitudes and faster pitch rates will improve performance but may be uncomfortable to the pilot. While the pilot is assuming the accelerating attitude, the copilot should advance the normal engine trim control switch to full beep to gain maximum available power on the operating engine. After the helicopter is established in an accelerating attitude, the thrust control rod should be slowly lowered (1/2 in./sec) as necessary to regain the desired rotor speed. If the thrust control rod is lowered too rapidly, a nose-up pitchi, g will occur that will delay the airspeed build. If flight conditions are such that continued flight with one engine operable is possible, normal operating rotor speed of either 235 rpm or 245 rpm should be regained. The performance section of the operator's manual contains information on best single-engine operation. When an immediate landing is required following an engine failure, the pilot should establish rotor speed at 235 rpm for all gross weights. If conditions in excess of 50 KIAS at a minimum of 100 feet above the ground in the accelerating attitude are reached, a safe running landing is possible for all operational gross weights, assuming the terrain is satisfactory. If these conditions of airspeed and altitude are not met or landing terrain is unsuitable, some damage to the helicopter should be expected. Upon completion of the landing and before lowering the thrust centrol rod, the normal engine trim control switch must be reduced to the governing range to prevent rotor overspeed. For conditions of airspeed greater than approximately 75 KIAS (74 KIAS) and height at or above 30 feet above the ground, the helicopter should be smooth y decelerated to touchdown speeds of between 20 and 30 knots.

AIRSPEEL ALTITUDE LANDING WINDOW

23. Recognizing that operational pilors would use varying flare techniques, it was necessary to develop H-V diagrams which are compatible with these techniques. Accordingly, final flare and touch down tests were accomplished using various flare rates and starting at various flare altitudes and airspeeds. The results of these tests show that at an airspeed of 58 KCAS and a 100-foot height AGL, with the aircraft in the accelerating attitude appropriate for the entry airspeed (para 17), safe run-on landings at touchdown speeds of approximately 30 knots could be made using a wide range of flare rates and heights. Slow flare rates beginning at approximately 75 feet and faster flare rates beginning at approximately 45 feet were equally successful. This airspeed/altitude window (58 KCAS/100 feet AGL) was used to define the H-V diagrams. When the window conditions were reached, an end point was established for defining that particular point of the H-V diagram. For the conditions tested, this technique resulted in similar levels of pilot compensation. However, higher density altitude or lower power-available conditions than encountered during this test may require a greater degree of pilot compensation and a subsequent change to the window parameters.

DENSITY ALTITUDE CFFECTS

ł

24. Density altitude effects on H-V performance were evaluated at the conditions shown in table 3. The minimum entry heights were obtained using the window concept (para 23). The data show that for the same gross weight, an increase in density altitude resulted in a greater height loss. Density altitude effects were more pronounced as entry airspeed was decreased and the maximum effect occurred at the high hover (OGE) point. No apparent density altitude effect was noted at the low hover (in-ground-effect (IGE)) point and from this point no difference in touchdown technique was required for the density altitudes tested.

Average Density Altitude (ft)	Average Gross Weight (1b)	Calibrated Entry Airspeed (kt)	Average Outside Air Temperature (°C)	Minimum Entry Height (ft)
4500	40,910	Hover	-2.5	460
650	40,870	Hover	-1.0	390
4500	40,910	43	-2.5	155
650	40,870	42	-1.0	150
4500	44,110	43	-2.0	178
-200	44,080	43	-5.5	140
4030	46,100	42	-9.0	192
900	46,050	39	+4.5	155

Table 3. Density Altitude Comparison.¹

Entry rotor speed: 245 rpm.

Average center of gravity: F5 327 (mid).

GROSS WEIGHT AND CENTER OF GRAVITY EFFEC: 3

25. Gross weight effects were evaluated at nominal gross weights of 40,800, 44,000, and 46,000 pounds at density altitudes near sea level and approximately 4500 feet. The entries were made from stabilized level flight and the maneuver continued to a touchdown. The minimum entry heights were obtained using the window concept (para 23) and are presented in table 4. At the higher density altitude, an increase in gross weight resulted in a greater height loss. At the lower density altitude, II-V performance remained approximately the same for the gross weights tested. Gross weight had minimal effect on H-V performance at the lower density altitude because of the proximity of the entry altitude to the window altitude.

Average Gross Weight (1b)	Average Density Altitude (ft)	Calibrated Entry Airspeed (kt)	Average Outside Air Temperature (°C)	Minimum Entry Height (ft)
40,910	4500	43	-2.5	155
44,110	4500	43	-2.0	178
46,100	4030	43	-9.0	192
40,870	650	42	-1.0	150
44,080	-200	43	-5.5	140
46,050	900	39	+4.5	155

Table 4. Gross Weight Effects.¹

¹Entry rotor speed: 245 rpm.

Average center of gravity: PS 327 (mid).

26. Gross weight effects in a hover were evaluated IGE only, since an OGE hover capability did not exist at the higher gross weights. The IGE tests were conducted from a stabilized hover, and following the simulated single-engine failure, a vertical descent to a touchdown was accomplished. The maximum safe height was determined based on pilot qualitative comments, minimum transient rotor speed, or the height from which a running landing could be made. At 40,800 pounds and a 650-foot density altitude, a running landing could be made from 30 feet. Gross weight had a significant effect on the maximum safe IGE hover height, as shown in table 5. At no time did the gear loads approach limit values.

Average Gross Weight (1b)	Average Density Altitude (ft)	Average Outside Air Temperature (°C)	Maximum Safe In-Ground-Effect Height (ft)	Minimum Transient Rotor Speed (rpm)	Maximum Gear Load ² (1b)
40,800	650	-1.0	31	209	12,305
44,000	-200	-3.0	26	193	11,212
46,000	400	-3.0	19	181	Note ³
44,000	5200	2.0	20	192	15,007
46,000	5200	1.5	15	188	15,180

Table 5. In-Ground-Effect Hover Results.¹

. .

s ' Mille

¹Entry rotor speed: 245 rpm. Average center of gravity: FS 327 (mid). ²Gear loads listed for the critical parameter (aft gear spindle housing). Maximum allowable load is 18,000. ³Data not available.

; .

27. During the development of the pilot technique, cg locations at FS 319.5 (forward), FS 324.0 (mid), and FS 334.5 (aft) were evaluated at a 38,000-pound gross weight. For these conditions, no handling qualities or performance differences were detected by the pilots following simulated single-engine failures. Subsequent landing tests were accomplished at a mid cg.

HEIGHT-VELOCITY PERFORMANCE PREDICTION

28. An attempt was made to predict H-V performance using the analytical procedures developed by JSAASTA during CH-47B H-V testing (ref 12, app A). It was possible to match the analytical performance with data flown by tailoring the forcing functions to shape the results. With changes in flight conditions, corresponding changes in the state variables (gross weight, density altitude, etc.) did not result in accurate predication of H-V performance without appropriate change in the forcing functions. The forcing-function changes could not be determined before the testing was completed. Currently, additional work is being accomplished to develop an improved analytical method for H-V prediction. This analytical method should be available for test application in the near future.

29. A further attempt to predict H-V performance was made using the referred-gross-weight method. This method envisioned a generalization of data based on the ratio of gross weight to density ratio (W/σ) . The data collected both at altitude and during touchdown testing did not generalize. The failure to generalize can be attributed to the difference in power available on the operating engine (due to ambient temperature differences) and cc appressibility effects at the different conditions even though W/σ remained constant.

30. The inability to predict H-V performance using the above methods led to H-V profiles being defined at constant gross weights at several density altitudes, which increased the amount of flight testing originally anticipated and also increased the risk of the entire test program. In addition, test results could only be obtained at the test conditions available.

AIRSPEED CALIBRATION

31. The ship airspeed system was calibrated in the slow-speed range using the boom airspeed system as a standard. The results are shown in figure 5, appendix F, for gross weights above 40,000 pounds. Between 50 and 108 KCAS, the error was as presented in the operator's manual (ref 1, app A). Below 50 KCAS, the error deviates up to 2 KCAS from the data shown in reference 1. The difference can be attributed to the heavier weights and resultant increase in downwash. For these conditions of gross weight, a minimum reliable airspeed indication of 30 KIAS (41 KCAS) was determined and is incorporated in the operational H-V diagrams presented. The airspeed calibration developed during this test was used to obtain indicated airspeed for the operational presentation.

ï,

OPERATIONAL SINGLE-ENGINE HEIGHT-VELOCITY PERFORMANCE

Out-of-Ground-Effect Hover and Level Flight

32. Single-engine H-V diagrams were determined by stabilizing the helicopter in level flight at the desired conditions of airspeed and height accee the ground, then placing one engine condition lever in the ground position and accomplishing a landing. The techniques established during this test (paras 16 through 23) were used in determining the minimum heights required to accomplian a safe landing. Nominal gross weights of 40,300, 44,000, and 46,000 pounds were tested to a touchdown at the conditions shown in figures 6 and 7, appendix F. At airspeeds of 58 KCAS and slower, the entry height at each airspeed was determined by incrementally decreasing height above the touchdown point until the window conditions of 58 KCAS at 100 feet could no longer be achieved. This determined the minimum height AGL required for a safe landing following a single-engine failure. A smooth deceleration was used at entry airspeeds from 59 to 79 KCAS. At entry airspeeds greater than 79 KCAS, the window conditions do not apply, 100 feet of altitude was no longer required to accomplish a safe landing, and a minimum safe height of 30 feet was chosen. Tests were conducted at speeds in excess of 100 KIAS as low as 20 feet above the ground, to demonstrate the capability for a safe landing following a single-engine failure. At all speeds, the helicopter reaction was a slight nose-up pitching which precluded any tendency to abruptly settle into the ground.

33. The H-V diagrams presented in figures 6 and 7, appendix F, were defined at relatively low outside air temperatures, which affected maximum power available. Further testing would be required at similar gross weight and density altitudes, but at higher outside air temperatures to more completely define the single-engine H-V performance of the CH-47C helicopter equipped with T55-L-11A engines. Operational single-engine H-V diagrams (figs. δ and 9) were developed from the conditions shown in figures 6 and 7, using the airspeed calibration discussed in paragraph 31. For the conditions tested, no OGE hover capability existed except at a gross weight of 40,800 pounds. The operational single-engine H-V diagrams developed during this test are suitable for presentation in the operator's manual when accompanied by gross weight, density altitude, and outside air temperature information and a discussion of the recommended pilot technique (para 22).

In-Ground-Effect Hover and Takeoff

34. The CH-47C was tested during IGE hover at gross weights to 46,000 pounds and density altitudes of 650 and 5200 feet (para 26, table 5). A safe vertical landing from a hover with no ground roll was made from 20 feet at 44,000 pounds, and from 15 feet at 46,000 pounds.

35. The takeoff from a hover for the CH-47C is described very generally in the operator's manual (ref 1, app A). It advises the pilot to increase airspeed and altitude simultaneously after reaching translational lift. The maneuver is started from a stabilized hover height of 10 feet. The CH-47 standardization guide

published by the US Army Aviation School (ref 13) is more explicit. It calls for accelerating from a 10-foot hover to translational lift in a level attitude, not exceeding 20 feet until reaching 30 KIAS and thereafter simultaneously gaining altitude and airspeed. Testing was conducted to verify the safety of the recommended takeoff techniques in the event of a single-engine failure. The data, presented in figure 10, appendix F, were obtained at gross weights of 40,800 and 46,000 pounds at density altitudes near 1000 feet. The recommended takeoff technique was used except that pitch attitudes up to 5 degrees, nose low, were tested. To remain below 20 feet and not exceed a 5-degree nose-low pitch attitude while accelerating to 30 KIAS, engine torque had to be limited to approximately 5 percent above that required for a 10-foot hover. The pilot technique used after the simulated failure was to level the aircraft, set the thrust control rod to regain 235 rpm rotor speed (if time permitted), and to complete a run-on landing. Beep trim was unchanged in this test. In all cases tested, including a steep climb after reaching 30 KIAS, a safe landing could be made using normal roll-on procedures. While at low altitude (below 20 feet) it is necessary to avoid rapid aft cyclic movement to prevent the aft gear from contacting the ground. The normal takeoff procedure described in the operator's manual and the CH-47 standardization guide is safe in the event of single-engine failure. The procedure can be safely expanded to include an attitude of 5 degrees, nose low, during takeoff. This takeoff technique is recommended for training and operations, to reduce the possibility of damage following a single-engine failure.

Landing Approach

36. The operator's manual (ref 1, app A) has a description of procedures for the pilot to follow for a single-engine approach, but does not discuss approaches from which a safe landing can be made in the event of a single-engine failure. The CH-47 standardization guide (ref 13) does explain the procedures for shallow (5- to 8-degree), normal (8- to 10-degree), and steep (12- to 15-degree) approaches, but without regard to the degree of risk in the event of a single-engine failure during the approach.

37. The CH-47C helicopter was tested during approaches at gross weights of 40,800 and 46,000 pounds at the conditions listed in table 6. The pilot technique after the simulated failure was to maintain the airspeed until the landing flare, set the thrust control rod to regain 235-rpm rotor speed (if time permitted), and to complete the run-on landing. No reduction in thrust control rod position was made unless adequate altitude remained. The beep trim was unchanged in this test to preclude rotor overspeed on touchdown. This procedure was necessary because of the extra crew workload during the testing, which is not present operationally. Full beep is recommended in the event of actual engine failure.

U	Type Approach (deg)	Average Density Altitude (ft)	Average Outside Air Temperature (°C)	Entry Height Above Ground Level (ft)	Calibrated Airspeed At Failure (kt)	Entry Rate Of Descent (ft/min)
0	Normal (8 to 10)	006	-0.5	22 28 37 53 72 72 125	25 26 33 55 55	300 378 450 432 468 582 582 624
0	Shailow (5 to 6)	1580	8.0	15 24 36 38 53 63 63	24 20 39 41 41	180 246 324 486 558 456
•	Normal (8 cc 10)	1200	3.5	81 84 101 [.]	50 52 63	552 564 690

Table 6. Approach Test Conditions.¹

1

.

٩,

1

¹Entry rotor speed: 245 rpm. Average center of gravity: FS 327 (mid). ²Estimated airspeed.

.

16

a said a Ballin a

γy.

38. At a 40,800-pound gross weight and the conditions in table 6, safe landings were made following simulated single-engine failures on a normal (8- to 10-degree) approach without undue pilot effort, using the normal run-on landing technique Qualitatively, it was determined that simulated single-engine failures from a stellapproach at gross weights of 40,800 pounds and above would result in hard landings and were not tested. A discussion of the risk involved in approaches, such as the note below, should be included in the operator's manual and the CH-47 standardization guide.

NOTE

At a 40,800-pound gross weight or greater, an engine failure during the final portion of a steep approach may result in a hard landing.

39. At a 46,000-pound gross weight' the conditions in table 6, safe landings following a simulated single-engine failure were made from normal (8- to 10-degree) approaches when failure occurred at or above 41 KIAS on the ship's airspeed system (50 KCAS). Qualitatively, it was determined that simulated failures below this airspeed, during a normal approach, would result in hard landings and were not tested. Simulated single-engine failures were successfully conducted at a 46,000-pound gross weight using a shallow (5- to 6-degree) approach. However, simulated failures below 30 KIAS on the ship's airspeed system (41 KCAS) required large thrust control rod adjustments and resulted in a minimum transient rotor speed below 200 rpm. These points are very near maximum performance for these flight conditions. A discussion of the risk involved in approaches, such as the note below, should be included in the operator's manual and the CH-47 standardization guide.

NOTE

At a 46,000-pound gross weight, an engine failure during the final portion of a normal approach may result in a hard landing.

CONCLUSIONS

,

GENERAL

40. The following conclusions were reached upon completion of the height-velocity tests of the CH-47C helicopter equipped with T55-L-11A engines:

a. Single-engine height-velocity performance was similar for similar conditions regardless of which engine remained operational (para 13).

b. Within the scope of this test, the entry characteristics following simulated single-engine failure are satisfactory (para 14).

c. Power settling following single-engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover can be avoided by immediately pitching the helicopter to the accelerating attitude and then slowly lowering the thrust control rod to regain rotor speed (para 21).

d. A safe running landing can be made following a single-engine failure if the aircraft can be accelerated to 58 knots calibrated airspeed prior to reaching 100 feet above ground level (para 23).

e. Where conditions of gross weight and outside air temperature are similar, an increase in density altitude resulted in a greater height loss (para 24).

f. At high density altitudes and similar outside air temperatures, an increase in gross weight resulted in a greater height loss (para 25).

g. Gross weight had a significant effect on the height-velocity pe.formance following a simulated single-engine failure from an in-ground-effect hover (para 26).

h. There were no detectable changes in height-velocity performance due 'o conter-of-gravity location (para 27).

i. Attempts to analytically predict and generalize height-velocity performance were unsuccessful (paras 28 and 2)).

j. The minimum reliable airspeed indication is 30 knots indicated airspeed (41 knots calibrated airspeed) (para 31).

k. The operational single-engine height-velocity diagrams developed during this test are suitable for presentation in the operator's manual when accompanied by gross weight, density altitude, outside air temperature information, and a discussion of the recommended pilot technique (para 33).

I. The uneoff procedures described in the operator's manual and the CH-47 standardization guide are safe in the event of a single-engine failure and can be safely expanded to include an attitude of 5 degrees, nose low (para 35).

m. At a 40,800-pound gross weight or greater, an engine failure during the final portion of a steep approach may result in a hard landing (para 38).

n. At a 46,000-pound gross weight, an engine failure during the final portion of a normal approach may result in a hard landing (para 39).

o. No deficiencies and one shortcoming were identified during this test.

SHORTCOMING AFFECTING MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT

41. Correction of the shortcoming, extensive pilot compensation required to control pitch attitude following a simulated single-engine failure from an out-of-ground-effect hover, is desirable (HQRS 6) (para 21).

RECOMMENDATIONS

42. The shortcoming, correction of which is desirable, should be corrected.

43. The following information should be included in the operator's manual:

a. A "CAUTION" with discussion of the power-settling phenomenon and the technique used to prevent it (para 21).

b. The pilot technique following single-engine failure (para 2?).

c. The operational height-velocity diagrams accompanied by gross weight, density altitude, and outside sir temperature information, and a discussion of the recommended pilot technique (para 33).

d. A steep-approach NOTE: "At a 40,800-pound gross weight or greater, an engine failure during the final portion of a steep approach may result in a hard landing." (para 38):

e. A normal-approach NOTE: "At a 46,000-pound gross weight, an engine fuilure during the final portion of a normal approach may result in a hard landing." (para 39).

44. The normal takeoff technique, described in the operator's manual and CH-47 standardization guide, should be used for operations and training, whenever possible, to reduce the possibility of damage following single-engine failure (para 35).

28

÷

APPENDIX A. REFERENCES

.

1. Technical Manual, TM 55-1520-227-10, Operator's Manual, Army Model CH-47B and CH-47C Helicopters, 5 August 1970, with changes through 26 October 1971.

2. Report, The Boeing Company, Vertol Division, Number 114-FT-030-1, Analyses of CH-47B Helicopter Height-Velocity Testing Program.

3. Final Report, USAASTA, Project No. 68-02, CH-47B Height-Velocity Evaluation, February 1970.

4. Letter, AMSAV-GR(R-F), AVSCOM, 3 November 1969, subject: Request for Test, CH-47C Height Velocity.

5. Test Plan, USAASTA, Project No. 69-17, CH-47C Height-Velocity, September 1971.

6. Military Specification, MIL-H-8501A, Helicopter Flying and Ground Handling Qualities: General Requirements For, 7 September 1961 with Amendment 1, 3 April 1962.

7. Specification, The Boeing Company, Vertol Division, Number 114-PJ-803, Detail Specification for the Model CH-47C Helicopter, 7 December 1967, with Revision A, 15 May 1967.

8. Technical Manual, TM 55-1520-227-20, Organizational Maintenance Manual, Army Model CH-47B and CH-47C Helicopters, 6 August 1970, with changes through 18 August 1971.

9. Message, AVSCOM, AMSAV-EF, 08-16, 25 August 1971, Unclas, subject: CH-47C Height Velocity Test.

10. Manual, USAASTA, "Helicopter Performance Testing Guidebook," unpublished.

11. Final Report, USAASTA, Project No. 66-29, Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics Test, CH-47C Helicopter (Chinook), Stability and Control, March 1972.

12. Technical Note No. 16, USAASTA, Discussion of the Hoffman Autorotation Performance Model, 22 June 1970.

13. Standardization Guide, US Army Aviation School, Fort Rucker, Alabama, Standardization of Helicopter Maneuvers, CH-47, July 1970.

APPENDIX B. AIRCRAFT DESCRIPTION

GENERAL

1. The test CH-47C helicopter was equipped with two Lycoming turboshaft T55-L-11A engines mounted in separate nacelles on the aft fuseiage. The engines (each rated at 3750 shaft horsepower, sea level, standard day) drive two three-bladed rotors in tandem through a combining transmission, drive shafting, and reduction transmissions. A gas turbine hydraulic auxiliary power unit drives the aft transmission accessory gearbox to provide hydraulic and electrical power for engine starting and other ground operations when the rotors are stopped. A pod containing three fuel tanks is located on each side of the fuselage. The helicopter is equipped with fixed landing gear. An entrance door is located at the forward right side of the cabin fuselage section. A hydraulically powered loading ramp is located at the rear of the cargo compartment. The pilot seat and controls are located on the left side.

Physical Dimensions

Length (fuselage)	51.0 ft
Length (rotors turning)	99.0 ft
Overall height (rotors stationary)	18.7 ft
Width of cabin	9.0 ft
Trend (forward gear)	10.5 ft
Tread (aft gear)	11.2 ft
Rotor diameter	60.0 ft
Rotor solidity	0.067
Number of rotors	2
Blades per rotor	3
Disc and (total)	5655 ft ²
Swept area	5000 ft ²
	(approx)
Weight Data	
Empty weight (specification)	20.420 Ib
Design gross weight	33,000 lb
Alternate design gross weight	46,000 lb
Operational Rotor Speeds	
Gross weight of 40,000 pounds or less	235 rpm
All gross weights (normally used only above 40,000 pounds)	245 rpm

CENTER-OF-GRAVITY LIMITATIONS

Forward Limit

2. The extreme forward limit is FS 301 up to a gross weight of 28,550 pounds. From this point, the forward cg limit decreases linearly to FS 309.7 at a gross weight of 33,000 pounds. From this point, the forward cg limit again decreases linearly to FS 319.7 at a gross weight of 46,000 pounds.

.

Aft Limit

3. The extreme aft cg limit is FS 349 up to a gross weight of 28,550 pounds. From this point, the aft cg limit decreases linearly to FS 338 at a gross weight of 33,000 pounds. From this point, the aft cg limit decreases to FS 335 at a gross weight of 46,000 pounds.

Fuselage Station

4. The fuselage station is measured in inches from the reference datum line located 21.5 inches forward of the nose of the helicopter.

APPENDIX C. DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

DATA CONSISTENCY

1. To ensure data cr. lation and technique consistency, three critical parameters were identified and allowable deviation established. These parameters and limits are as follows:

- a. Pitch attitude, ±3 degrees.
- b. Pitch rate, ±3 degrees per second.
- c. Delay time, ± 0.3 second.

EXCEPTIONS TO CONVENTIONAL HEIGHT-VELOCITY TEST TECHNIQUES

2. The Fairchild Flight Analyzer was not used for data acquisition during this test. At Tonopah Test Range, Nevada, the primary data acquisition method used was radar space positioning, with the AN/APN 171 radar altimeter as a secondary method. While at Tonopah, the radar altimeter was calibrated by space positioning. At Edwards Air Force Base, California, the radar altimeter was used as the primary data acquisition method. The radar altimeter, with altitude information on both the photopanel and the oscillograph, was adequate for data acquisition for the test technique used. Altitude and rate-of-descent information was available from the oscillograph trace.



APPENDIX D. HANDLING QUALITIES RATING SCALE

APPENDIX E. TEST INSTRUMENTATATION

and the second second

, . . , . .

COCKPIT PANEL

Boom airspeed Ship's system airspeed Rotor speed Boom altitude Ship's system altimeter Angle of sideslip Angle of attack Longitudinal control position Lateral control position Directional control position Thrust control rod (collective control) position Cruise guide indicator Radar altimeter indicator

PHOTOPANEL

Boom airspeed Ship's system airspeed Rotor speed Gas producer speed (N1) (both engines) Boom altitude Ship's system altitude Free air temperature Fuel temperature (both engines) Fuel used (both engines) Engine torque (both engines) Rate of climb/descent Time of day Correlation counter Camera counter Oscillograph record counter (No. 1 and No. 2) Event light (pilot) Event light (engineer)

OSCILLOGRAPH NO. 1

Rotor blip Engine fuel flow (both engines) Aft pivoting-link actuator Aft fixed-link actuator Cruise guide indicator Forward gear oleo extension (left and right) Aft gear oleo extension (left and right) Aft gear shock axial load (left and right) Aft gear upper drag load (left and right) Aft gear axial load spindle (left and right) Aft gear lower drag bending (left and right) Aft gear vertical acceleration (left and right) Aft gear touchdown switch (left and right) Aft gear touchdown switch (left and right) Voltage monitor Photopanel camera blip Engineer event Pilot event

OSCILLOGRAPH NO. 2

Boom airspeed Longitudinal control position Lateral control position Directional control position Thrust control rod (collective control) position Differential collective pitch (DCP) speed trim position Forward cyclic speed trim position Throttle position (both engines) Pitch SAS (Loth channels) (No. 1 and No. 2) Roll SAS (both channels) Yaw SAS (both channels) Pitch attitude Roll attitude Yaw attitude Pitch rate Roll rate Yaw rate Pitch acceleration Rol! acceleration Yaw acceleration Angle of attack Angle of sideslip Gas producer speed (N1) (both engines) Center-of-gravity normal acceleration

Rotor speed Rotor blip Radar altitude Photopanel camera blip Engineer event Pilot event

21

.

.

.

ه . مور آ

.

•

- :

÷.

.

•

APPENDIX F. TEST DATA

INDEX

Figure Number

ł,

Figure

:.

.

.

;

:

•••

:

•••

i

Minimum Transient Rotor Speed1Pitch Characteristics Commanded2 and 3Power Settling4Airspeed Calibration5Height-Velocity Diagrams for Level Flight6 and 7Operational Height-Velocity Diagrams for Level Flight8 and 9Single-Engine Failure During Takeoff10

.....

LEVEL Enuiti

1

1:# 1.40

C.

2

A

8

1750 1912

65 20 83 6A 83 160 12A EATTRY ATTREES PROTECT

THUR'S CHALLE USA ANALISA

ROTCH 1.110 AN G F MARY-A 14 110000 DEN LTT THEIST HITZY in the second 1141 -CPM1 -

CARE AND A CONTRACTOR 20

-101E 1 ALC: NUMBER OF

-CIT AND A ... 1117

- 11

4

10

1.22

192.00

, ii 🗄

G

£.

0.....

3

2

Ž,

ATTITUDE

-----a FO TIME FOLIOWING THROTTLE CHOP(SEC)

	The second		
The second se	the second s	and the same data and	
a to the state of the	and the second sec		the second se
	and the second	NEWS ON THE N. L. L. L. L. L. L.	a starte in the second s
	1		And the same break and a backlass
farten ber	a second s		
an a		COLORADO	the second line of the second line of the second line of
and a set of	A track in our canadiants with a supervision of a line of the	and the second second second in the second sec	Advantation of the second seco
		and the set of the set	
	an a	Contraction of the local division of the loc	
a se fan in sand a sa a sand an fangene a na an gar a sand a sand a sand a sand a sand a sand a baga at a sand an an an an a sand a	the payment of a strange town of the state of the party of	a na an	and the same designed to the second
E AND THE COLOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DE			and the second
a sent upon firs an an fit to be to an antipute frank to a set to an to be a set of the	the second s		And the second division of the local divisio
	Tandas felle Balleberg unterstand Affendelle. All VII. atter-		the second s
The second state and an article state and an article state and a second state and an article state and and an a	where \$1 bester and owned and a strang weet whet we that we	and the state of the second state of the secon	
and the second state and and well and and present reasons the second state and the second state and and and and	The survey of the second se		
Berna an a	and and the particular and the second s		and the second se
and the same the same time to be a subject of the same time to be a subject to		and the second s	and a second
auf 17 bes pe feine annen auterinis fig ets, annen best fill big gest av etter annen bei at a	the day the second descent of the second s	and the second	
The states of the state of the	The rest of the second second sector is a second seco	Contraction of the local division of the loc	and the state of the second
and we have a second a subsect a subsect of the second second second second second second a second	and a second state of the second s	a second sing the second s	And a state when the state being the state of the
for a search and a search of the part of the search of the	a de la companya de l	and the second sec	
ter and the second s	and the second state of th	the second s	
tenter ander anter berten and " and " anter " foresten erfer. " # fe' " " en en enter anter " a de an	the second stands and the second stands and the second stands and the second stands at the second stands at the	and the set of the state of the set of the s	and a stand in the second as and many
anter anter anter anter an a set anter a set anter at an an a set anter at a set at a set at a set at a set at	the set we have a surply a surply the set of the star star star star	The second s	the second se
anne fann eine ander ante an eine anne eine anne eine ante an eine an eine ante ante ante ante ante ante ante a	intervent lager - squares and and The Fre	and a discourse of survey of the local discourse of the survey of the su	
and the second	and the second	the summer of a state of the State of States and a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
sarbentenen m. d	the winner and a	a dagba i ibb ab bei a babbinden ff	All the second of the second o
antige unters analysis beert. Its at antigerte berneretiger bigelenet efterstenete ette Bargerte. b	and the second sec	and a set that he seems some of a second set the and - to	
for and place or highly we use the same a same we are same and the same and the same we are same and the same are	The set of	and a subscription of the second s	and a subscript of the second of the
hand a second			time and the case in the second secon
ant the second state and a second state of the second second second second second second second second second s	a second and a second of the last second or adjust the second second second second second second second second		and the second se
		and the second se	And a state in case or y is a printer of the Party of
thanke entergene an er anter an er anter an er anter anter anter an er anter	946 9 97 AN 2 A 9 5 1 1 199 8 2 A 9	in any statute and in a supervision of the supervision where a	************************
server a subset when a subset of the server a subset of the server and the server as a subset of		and the second sec	والمحادث والمحجو والمحجو والمحجو والمحجو المحجو المحجو والمحجو
	trager wer by this is a little build state and a side of the second		
and the set of the set	TTT 12 THE HE I HE I AT ME WE WERE TO A MAKE ME IN		The same and a second second state
aunter aufenten an eine eine fannten for in feine aufen fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fan fa	night, shadhara di kuli i bi adaminin jan a	perstantion für a ber ter inderetation ber	and the state of the second seco
and a state of the	and in the second s	and the second	and the second s
for and the same star and same and a same same same same same same same sa	Town Wild name that a water of the 2 restor fairs a range in the last he	AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER	An office of the second second second second second
fante bie an eine Berte ante an eine Berte Berte Berten ante berting und alles fer berebene mitten ber berte ber	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
and the second se	A CONTRACTOR OF		
	the set of	the second s	Concerning and an other states of the local division of the local
ferrenen ander an eine eine eine eine seine seine seine ander an beite besteht bes	and the state of t	a winner sing will a star a set of the start of barry a desired	a a gun a gun a san a sa a sa a sa a sa a sa a sa a
berter bit sent bit billing bererte aufer an Berter ber	and the second	and the state of t	the second s
bernand to and and a biden and a far and a far beine an and a gar and an an and a sa an and a sa an an an an an			and some successive to part the second s
		genten bertanter filter reitet . 4. Befeite entenent bille	In Read of the Local Designed in
	the state of the second state of the second state and the second state and the second state of the second	the second se	the life of the law of the second second second
berter ann en er fi inner af inner a traff fin Wart af sere an			
hand have a state of the second s		and a summer of the sum of the state of the sum of the state of the st	the second se

SI:

÷.,

the second s	and the second se	the second se	and the second se	the second	a second descent in the	and the second					and the second se
										the second se	
				**************************************		a distant of the second se	the second s				the second se
	And the Party Name of Street, or other	A PARTY AND A PARTY OF	the second s	and the second se						the set of the set of the	A A A SHALL BE A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
****					where the second se			A THE R WHEN PARTY AND	the state of the s		the second descent of the second s
			and the second			second			Constraints and the second second	and the second	
						and the second se	the second s	the second second second	الأسيب والمتحفظ والمتعاد والمحاصر	the second s	
										the second second as the second second	
		State in the second	The second s		The second second			And a state of the	and the second se		and the second second proved
of the second se	****			Card of the stand of the stand	and the second se		The second se	the second s			the second second second
Address of the second	and the second	and the second s	and the second	the state of the s	and the second se	The second s	And the Party of t	the second s	the second s		
**********				A statement of a statement of	and a second second second	the second se	a second a s				
		and the second s						a set i have been a s	the second s	م و الدينة «عيد معينية الأخيرية» «	Statements of the second s
		the statement in success	a second the second							the second s	And the second
			and the second se					And the second se			the second se
the second s	and a subscription of the last of				a summer of the second	_	a water a set where they be	and the second states of the second	The Statement of Statement of Statement of Statements		
		And the state of t					and the surgery strength and the second			and the second design of a design of	
	77 www		the second have seen as a second		A ADDRESS TAXABLE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS		a constituent of the second second		the state of the second se		Which are not be cannot a faire
		and a second sec			in the second seco				the second se	the second se	
the summer the base of the		a sea contract the second	and the second se	A COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	as the - remains	No. 100-100 7 10 1	. I the color color -	and the second second -		and the second se	the second s
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The reserves the sec		the second second	same frank a stand	and here as a		the second s	A A STORE A A A REAL PROPERTY.
					and the surface designs as	and the state of t					
		With A state of State Orders and			the state of the s	THE P DO NOT THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	of the local division of the local divisiono	the state of the second second		a state of the second state of	
and a set of the set o	the second second	a second s	المتحد المتحد فترقب	and the second se	warding and the local states of the				the state of the s		
and the second second									والمساد والمراجعة المتحاك	المطالبة المتكريس ومعرفي والمرا	the state of the second second second
						the should be a first of the	and the second		the second s	A LONG TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND A	
		a se a companyage of		**********					1	and the second se	ALL
	*********************				of the second se			And the state of t			
	the second s		and the second	the second second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second second second	and the second		A - COMPANY OF A DESCRIPTION OF	the state of the s	
	and the second	and a second	and the second sec	and the second se	a second s	the second second	and the second se			and the second	
				the second se	and the second				And the second se	and the second	
										and the set of a summer the set	
The second secon		Market 1		a state of the sta							
	And the second second				AA DOLLAR						
*			and the state of the local distance of the state of the s	and the state of t			the second s		the state of the s	and the second sec	
	seasons and an all	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ment and address	T		And the Address of the same of	and the second sec	an interest statement and		the state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				the second se	the second se			and the second s	the second se	the second s	and the second se
										the state and a sumble	
		statement of the local division of the local		and the state of t	the state of the s			diam's s age of a diam's	a la se de la se se de la se d		and the second second
					of the local division of the local divisiono			the second second second	the state of the state of the state		
		BAT							the statement of the second second		
	The set of a set of a set			A		the strength inter who			al - water a suffer suffer to water		and a sure of the second s
									and the second	and the second	the state of the s
		and have the sheet in the second	and the second states and		and the second s					COMPANY OF THE OWNER	Continues of states in the second states in the second states of the sec
	T THE A STREET STREET			and the second sec	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE						





38 9 100

¢ i 20 1.10

ENTRY SECREPTINCEN'S

------ALCOPET REAL TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

12

7

7 Ser Littera ALTINOT: 1.61 7.10

10-1-11

Epro 1110 **f** 110 -1 E

5

::::

ī .

13

THE ROLL BRIES PROTICE CHERCELL

ARCOS AL PARTICUL STATISTICS TO SHEET STATES L'ALL INCOM

					A12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
		- B.C SIX . 6			ST CR
	THE CAPE	A TOWN MILLING			
· · ·	9				SPM I
				AND A WART	¥45
				3-2 34.1201	74 6 6
				A THINK	2.+5
				STALLT.	245
	44-90			326 2/401	241.
	446.30		4.5	- 11 9 MART	
		**********			all want at an entres batter free att

AUSSE STATEM AS A STALIDARD

740 11.111

irin i - 14 r XZ

<u>i...</u> ÷i:

ÍX.

L CINE OF FERDERSIE

1.....

INCALL DIARSTERDIC PROCESSION MUST CAMPERATE COMMENTS -ril

· , .

BASE CHILLENG SET STREET IN THE THE TAIL TAIL KIAS USING LINDON (ODIT AT SCHAA) CONCEPT SELASTING 2 SEC TROW CHARACTER STELLE THE FACE FROM CARLINE TAILINE TO Ē iii. •\$ HHIP SINGUATED ALE SPEEDUNDELIANLE BELOW NE KNOTS CAS 0 Z (1111) | 0 U 320: AVE A N **.** 1 G

e l

100-

FRISK ANSPED CHCAS

23122 6×274 SUSITIZA 出せた正

ice l

......

 ALC: UN

A STATE OF (L Ġ. **.**...

<u>i</u> 223 r ... SACE I 300 . -----E 200 <u>ت</u>ل

e Đ. Ē

.

MTRY MIRSPERINGAS $\overline{v}\overline{v}$

OFERATIONAL MELON MICHAELES AN PORILESS. FLORET CHI-AIC USA ALORISAN ELIGANS TESTIA BASET ALTIVOL OAT SPEED PLICAT HELGAT ALTIVOL OAT SPEED PLICATE HELGAT ALTIVOL OAT SPEED PLI

TO INITIAL CONTROL HOVEMENT ASHIPS MRAPELO UNRUMBUL SELON BOKUCTS MOICATED AIRSPELO MOICATED AIRSPELO

1111111111 100 1.1 ie li -----N DC -900

511

3 NO. 4 ХIХ ber. 71

•

CANE ----17.31 ------HI C - - - -

1111

HIL

72

HHH TUE HIF -----2111: 1122122 H 1 1 2 HAL 5 -----

2 200 -*7*777 ÷ U III

7 test: **0** 120 10.11 20 ÷C: -----

IN DIC ATER ADDIED (COURS) lail.

SINGLE SACING FAILURE DORESTAND CHIGHLES-TSS-L and and ENTRY ENTRY ROTOR THEFT SPEED COLSE SLICHT AT SIMULATED ENGINE FAILURE 2 TIME DELAY WAS FERD SECONDS **.** 86 iintiii 9 **7**11 2 **D**. 2 7 g 11 N.S. -7 39-51 **d** 2Ľ: िंध ल उठा इठा इठा इठा ह TI CUTRY AND PURCHED

35

DISTRIBUTION

 $r \to -3$

÷

والمراجع المراجع

۰,

.

1

• . , . . .

.,

Ĵ,

.

1 .

.....

.

.....

Arency	Test Plans	Final Reports
Commanding General US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: AMSAV-EF PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166	6	12
Commanding General US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-HLS PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166	ţ	i.
Commanding General US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-FQ AMCSF-A AMCQA	2	2 1 1
HQDA (DARD-ZA) Washington DC 20310	2	2
HQDA (DALO-AL-Z) Washington, DC 20310	. 1	2
Commanding General US Army Combat Developments Command ATTN: USACDC LnO PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166	11	tt
Director US Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory ATTN: SAVDL-D Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035	2	2

_____*

.

۰. . • •

Agency	Test Plans	Final <u>Reports</u>
Deputy Director US Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory ATTN: SAVDL-SR PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166	2	2
Commanding Officer Eustis Directorate US Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory ATTN: SAVDL-EU-TD Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604	•	4
Deputy Director US Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory Mail Stop 124 Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23365	2	2
Commanding General US Army Test and Evaluation Command ATTN: AMSTE-BG USMC LnO Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	2 1	2 1
Commanding General US Army Aviation Center ATTN: ATSAV-AM Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362	1	1
Commanding General US Army Primary Helicopter School Fort Wolters, Texas 76067	1	1
President US Army Aviation Test Board Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362	•	1
Commanding Officer US Army Agency for Aviation Safety ATTN: FDAR-P-OC Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360	1	1

••-•-:

	· .		
Armey	Test <u>Mone</u>	Final Reports	
President US Army Maintenance Board ATTN: AMXMB-ME-A Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121	•	· 1	
Commanding General US Army Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-VL-D Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	٠	1	. *
Director US Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360	1	r	
Chief Office Aircruft Accident Prevention US Army Aviation School Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360	•	1	
Commanding General US Army Weapons Command ATTN: AMSWE-REW (Airborne Armament Flying) Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois 61201.	•	2	
Department of Transportation Library FOB-10A Services Branci., TAD-494.6 800 Independence Avenue SW Washington, DC 20590	•	2	
Director Marine Corps Development and Education Command Quantico, Virginia 22134	1	2	
Commander Naval Air Test Center (FT 23) Patuxent River, Maryland 20670	1	1	
US Air Force Aeronautical Systems Division ATTN: ASD-UNFDP Wright Pattenson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	•	.	

and the second second

.....

...

· •

.

• .•

•

.

•

•. •

2.4

÷

				••
. I				,
		6		
	Agency	I det Mana	Final	
			ALE DEATH	er en
•	US AIF FORCE Flight Dunaming Labor to The			,
**	ATTN: DOO (Library)			
· .	Wright Patterson Air Force Base Obio 46422	•	1	
•				
•	Air Force Flight Test Center			
. ·	ATTN: SSD	-	•	
	IGES Edwards Ais Essen B	•	2	
•	Edwards Air Porce Base, California 93523		• •	
, ·	Lycoming Division of Avco Composition			
	Stratford Plant		5	
•;	550 South Main Street			
	Stratford, Connecticut 06497			
· ·	The Design Community	· ·		
	Vertol Division - Regime Contraction			
•	ATTN: Product Support Engineering			
	P 14-53	•	5	
•	PO Box 16858			
· ·	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19142			
• ·	Defense It and the			
	Cameron S ation	•	2	
*	Alexandria Virginia 77214		-	
	and a gaine 24014			
•				
;				
.*				
•				
· .				
•	·			
•				
•				
•				

• • •

. .

Security Classification		
DOCUMENT	CONTROL DATA - R	L D
Socurity classification of title, body at abottect and in	dramp annoistion must be t	missed when the everall report is classified)
A GIN & TING ACTIVITY (COMPANY) BURNEY)		THE ACCRETED
CO ARMI AVIAIRUN SISIEMES IESI AK FINNARIIS AIR RODOF RASE CALIFORN	JIVIIT JA 02573	ZA. GROUP
Contraction fails i contact service, contact conta		
PORT TITLE		
HEIGHT-VELOCITY EVALUATION, CH47C HE	LICOPTER WITH TSS	LIIA ENGINES
SCHIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	ففالان والأكريم ويقرأنني يبيين الأروب بركمية البرياسيون	
FINAL REPORT		
IOHN I NACATA Druker Engineer ATA		IN Army Project Officer/Pilot
ALTON G. STEINMETZ, Project Engineer JOH	N R. BURDEN, MAJ.	AR. Project Filot
	TE. TOTAL NO. O	F PAGES 1%, NO. OF REFS
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	be GRISINATOR	I II
	TRAADPA	BROTECT NO 4917
PHOJECT NO.	USAA2 \A	FRUNDLA INC. OP1/
AVSCOM PROJECT NO. 69-17		BY MORE (Any addres mumbers that may be an strend
	Unio report)	ne - regres famile meters contracte dutys and as as of the
		NA
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		
Listinguition limited to US Government agencies of	ONLY; LEST AND CVALLADK	The AMEANLEE DO Boy 200
comment most of leterion to the continuentity	UCIECIAI, AVJUUM, AL	III AMARTICE, IV MAK 400,
St. Louis, Missouri 63166.		
St. Louis, Missouri 63166.		
St. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63166.	US ARMY	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOVES	12. SPONSORING US ARMY ATTN: AN	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND
St. Louis, Missouri 63166.	US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ISAV-EF 09, SL Louis, Missouri 63166
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOVES ABSTRACT The CH47C height-velocity flight test p	12. s#onsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2	MILITARY ACTIVITY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonoph Test	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test brichtenlocity discourse for the CH47C	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to beliomentation TSS.	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH-47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH-47C no deficiencies were identified, but o	13. s*onsomme US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 21 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive part
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but o compensation required to control pitch a	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sirr	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive put allated single-engine failure from
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but o compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 20 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim thereboilty diagrams devi	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pust subated single-engine failure from bloped are suitable for inclusion
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The heigh in the operator's manual when accompo-	12. showsoning US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcorning was attitude following a sirr it-velocity diagrams dev anied by the flight cor	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pubt sulated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion religions and a discussion of the
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH-47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH-47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompany pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Design and the statement of the characteristics of Design and the statement of	12. aPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim th-velocity diagrams dew mied by the flight cor the helicopter following	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st sulated single-engine failure from sloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory.
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e- the believents is nitched intermediate to	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 2 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim it-velocity diagrams dev anied by the flight con the helicopter followin engine failure from an o	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pust sulated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion relitions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Sut-of-ground-effect hover unless is before the theret control and
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. DUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to conirol pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff ercording desired	12. stonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 20 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim therefollowing a sim therefolly diagrams dev anied by the flight cor the helicopter following engine failure from an o o an accelerating attitue	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive past subted single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. suit-of-ground-effect hover unless le before the thrust control rod unual and the US Armar Aviation
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization entitle are	12. sponsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 20 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim attitude following a sim	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pust subted single-engine failure from ploped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod must and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, bard
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are s landings may result when a nower fa	12. sponsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim tevelocity diagrams devia anied by the flight cor the helicopter following engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sin tilur. occurs chains a	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion aditions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless ite before the thrust control rod musi and the US Army Aviation glo-engine failure. However, hard steep attorned at or above a
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The heigh in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry choracteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no	12. sponsoning US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcorning was attitude following a sim it-velocity diagrams dev unied by the flight cor the helicopter followin engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sin tilure occurs during a cornel approach at a 46.0	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. xt sulated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. xxt-of-ground-effect hover unless le before the thrust control rod musi and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a X00-pound gross weight. Increases
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonoph Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The heigh in the operator's manual when accomps pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power setting may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude dege	12. showsoning US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim therelocity diagrams dew anied by the flight cor the helicopter following angine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sim tilure. occurs chaing a simul approach at a 46,0 raded height-velocity pe	AVLATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion withous and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. suit-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod must and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a 200-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH-47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH-47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompa- pilot technique. Entry choracteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH-47 standardization gride are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude degi- height-velocity performance data using a	12. amonsomme US ARMY ATTN: AM PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim threlocity diagrams dew anied by the flight cor the helicopter followin engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sim tilur. occurs chaing a simil approach at a 46.0 raded height-velocity pe analytical procedures an	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pust valated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod unual and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a DO-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight methody.
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972 Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are s landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude degi- height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform	12. amonsomme US ARMY ATTN: AM PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim attitude following a sim therelocity diagrams dew mied by the flight cor the helicopter followin engine failure from an o o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sin tilure occurs during a simil approach at a 46,0 raded height-velocity pe analytical procedures an mance was apparently us	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from sloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod musi and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a DO-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight method: maffected by the center-of-gravity
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude deg height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform location or which engine was failed. Fur	12. sponsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 20 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim thereicoity diagrams devi anied by the flight cor the helicopter following engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitude ted in the operator's ma- safe in the event of sim- tilure. occurs chaing a simular approach at a 46.0 raded height-velocity per analytical procedures ar- mance was apparently us other testing at high out-	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive pust valated single-engine failure from ploped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod mual and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a D00-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight methods: maffected by the center-of-gravity fiside air temperatures would be
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. DUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hower. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude deg height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform location or which engine was failed. Fur required to completely define the sing height-process and the sing with the set	12. sponsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim tevelocity diagrams devia anied by the flight cor the helicopter following engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma safe in the event of sin tilure occurs chaing a simul approach at a 46,0 raded height-velocity per analytical procedures ar mance was apparently us other testing at high ou geoengine height-velocity	AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion aditions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Suit-of-ground-effect hover unless le before the thrust control rod musi and the US Army Aviation gle-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a DO-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight methods: maffected by the center-of-gravity itside air temperatures would be by performance of the CH-47C
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The heigh in the operator's manual when accompa- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takooff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude deg height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform location or which engine was failed. Fus required to completely define the sing helicopter equipped with 155-L-11A engine	12. showsoning US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim it-velocity diagrams dew anied by the flight cor the helicopter followin engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's me safe in the event of sim tilure. occurs chaing a simula approach at a 46.0 raded height-velocity pe analytical procedures ar mance was apparently us other testing at high out gines.	AVLATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from sloped are suitable for inclusion wittons and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. suit-of-ground-effect hover unless le before the thrust control rod must and the US Army Aviation glo-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a DOO-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight method: naffected by the center-of-gravity riside air temperatures would be by performance of the CH-47C
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. DUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The height in the operator's manual when accompo- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takeoff procedures depict School CH47 standardization guide are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude deg height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform location or which engine was failed. Fuz required to completely define the sing helicopter equipped with 155-L-11A en	12. sponsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 20 rogram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with T55-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim tevelocity diagrams devia anied by the flight cor the helicopter following engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's ma- safe in the event of sim- tillure. occurs during a simul approach at a 46,0 raded height-velocity per analytical procedures ar- mance was apparently us other testing at high our give-engine height-velocit gines.	AVILITION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF D9, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. st valated single-engine failure from eloped are suitable for inclusion additions and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. Sut-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod musi and the US Army Aviation glo-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a D0-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight methodr. maffected by the center-of-gravity tiside air temperatures would be by performance of the CH-47C
St. Louis, Missouri 63166. NUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The CH47C height-velocity flight test p Shafter, California, and Tonopah Test 9 March 1972. Engineering flight test height-velocity diagrams for the CH47C no deficiencies were identified, but of compensation required to control pitch a an out-of-ground-effect hover. The heigh in the operator's manual when accompa- pilot technique. Entry characteristics of Power settling may occur following an e the helicopter is pitched immediately to is lowered. The takooff procedures depict School CH47 standardization gride are a landings may result when a power fa 40,800-pound gross weight or during a no in gross weight and density altitude deg height-velocity performance data using a were unsuccessful. Height-velocity perform location or which engine was failed. Fus required to completely define the sing helicopter equipped with 155-L-11A engine D 100000	12. sPonsonine US ARMY ATTN: AN PO Box 22 regram was conducted t Range, Nevada, bet s were conducted to helicopter with TSS-L- one shortcoming was attitude following a sim it-velocity diagrams dew anied by the flight cor the helicopter followin engine failure from an co o an accelerating attitue ted in the operator's me safe in the event of sim tilure. occurs during a simul approach at a 46.0 raded height-velocity per analytical procedures ar mance was apparently us other testing at high out give-engine height-velocit gines.	MILLITARY ACTIVITY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND ASAV-EF 09, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 at Edwards Air Force Base and ween 29 September 1971 and develop realistic single-engine 11A engines. During these tests, identified: the excessive p. xt whated single-engine failure from sloped are suitable for inclusion wittons and a discussion of the g engine failure are satisfactory. xut-of-ground-effect hover unless the before the thrust control rod must and the US Army Aviation glo-engine failure. However, hard steep approach at or above a DOO-pound gross weight. Increases arformance. Efforts to generalize and referred-gross-weight methods: naffected by the center-of-gravity diside air temperatures would be by performance of the CH-47C

1

t 1

•

 · · · · · · · · ·	ا المستعلي المستعلي . والمستعلي المعادية من المعادية المستعلية المستعلية .	na ay ang
	K test	Maria Araba ang Panganan ang Pang

بالحمور ال

	· - • · · · ·			· · · •
UNC	LASSIF	FIED		
Sec	writy Ch	Asilic	etion	ميد الدينيية الدينيية (10%) من

4

;

:

.

• • •

天皇子 将合共合主						
	ROLL	WT	FOLE	**	AOLE	A 7
			1		·	
M / C REIGHT-VEIOCITY	{	{			I	
top single-engine height-velocity diagrams	l				{ .	l
TICHENCIES	I		}			
Brcoming		1				
characteristics	l	Į			1	
settling		1			· ·	
off procedures		l				
i landings		{ .				
orts to generalize		1.		•		
re unsuccessiul						
rther testing	1	{				
uid be required	1	1				
	ł	ł	{		 	
		}			1	[
]	ļ	1			
	l	ļ				
	.	{]	
		ł	1			
•	1	ł			[
		1	1			
	}	}	}		1	
		l				
		Į	[
	1	l	1		1	
	ł	{	[(
	ł	Į			1	
	}]			1	
	1	{			Į	
		{]			
	1				1	
	I	1			1	
	ł		(1			l
		I	j l]	
	1	[]	
	1	ł			i	
	1	}			{	
	1				1	
	1	ł				
	1	5)		j	
	ł				t I	
	1	1	({	
					1	
	l	1			1	
	Í	1	ļ]	
	1	1			1	
	ł	1			[
	1	ł			{	
	1	1				l
	1	1			1	1
	1	ł]	ļ
	ł	ſ	1		•	
	ł	ł	1		[1
	l	Į	Į			ł
	ł	}	1		1	
	1	ł	1		Į .	
	1	l	[Į	l
		}			1	{
		L				
	والمحدين والمراجع والمراجع	UNCLA	SSIFIE	D		
		Concernation.	Channell.			_

. .

•