UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD880431

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; JAN 1971. Other requests shall be referred to Ballistic Research Labs., Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

AUTHORITY

USAARADCOM ltr, 24 Feb 1981

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

.

4. •

-

•

۹

.

۰,



The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT No. 1524

JANUARY 1971

.

SENSITIVITY STUDY OF RIFLE GAS SYSTEMS

Nathan Gerber

Exterior Ballistics Laboratory

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Commanding Officer, U.S. Army Aberdeen Research and Development Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

RDT&E Project No. 1T061102A33D

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, NARYLAND

an an an tha an an the shares in

,

REPORT NO. 1524

NGerber/smo Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. January 1971

SENSITIVITY STUDY OF RIFLE GAS SYSTEMS

ABSTRACT

Results of a sensitivity study of the M-16 rifle gas system are presented; this study is based on a simulation of rifle gas system operation developed in the BRL. The calculations indicate that thermodynamic variables in the bolt carrier cavity are only weakly sensitive to variations in the following parameters: i) pressure and temperature in the gun barrel when the bullet passes the port, ii) friction in the duct flow, and iii) frictional resistance to motion of the bolt carrier. The computational results are sensitive, however, to the chosen origin of time on the oscillogram showing barrel pressure at the port station.

Graphs are presented for a typical round illustrating pressure, temperature, density, and piston motion histories for M-16 and AR-18 rifle gas systems.

³ **Preceding page blank**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	ABSTRACT	. 3
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	. 7
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	. 9
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	. 13
II.	THEORETICAL MODEL OF GAS SYSTEM	. 14
111.	STUDY OF M-16 GAS SYSTEM	16
	A. Introductory Remarks	16
	B. Input Data	. 18
	C. Cavity Pressure	21
	D. Cavity Temperature and Density	. 25
	E. Motion of Piston	30
IV.	AR-18 GAS SYSTEM	30
	A. Discussion	30
	B. Calculations	33
	C. Comparison With M-16	. 33
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	. 33
	REFERENCES	. 37
	APPENDIX A	39
	APPENDIX B	41
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	43

5 Preceding page blank

 $(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}) \in \mathcal{J}_{1}(\mathfrak{s}_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{2})$

-

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

•

Figure		P	age
1.	Idealized Geometry for Rifle Gas System	•	15
2.	Design Sketch of M-16 Rifle	•	17
3.	Oscillogram Record of Barrel Pressure for Two Rounds Fired in M-16 Rifle	•	19
4.	Effect of \overline{p}_{g_i} , $\overline{\theta}_{g_i}$, $\overline{\Delta t}_{i}$ on Cavity Pressure (M-16, Lot #1 Round)	•	20
5.	Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Cavity Pressure (M-16)	•	22
6.	Effect of Friction Factor f on Cavity Pressure (M-16, Lot #2 Round)	•	24
7.	Effect on Cavity Pressure of Frictional Resistance to Piston Motion (M-16, Lot #2 Round)	•	26
8.	Effect of Jamming on Cavity Pressure (M-16)	•	27
9.	Temperature in Cavity (M-16, Lot #2 Round)	•	28
10.	Density in Cavity (M-16, Lot #2 Round)	•	29
11.	Velocity and Displacement History of Piston (M-16, Lot #2 Round)	٠	31
12.	Sketch of AR-13 Rifle Gas System	•	32
13.	Pressure in Cavity, Piston Velocity and Displacement (AR-18, Lot #2 Round)	•	34
14.	Temperature and Density in Cavity (AR-18, Lot #2 Round)	•	35

7

Preceding page blank

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ē,	specific heat at constant pressure [Nm/(kg deg K)]
ē _v	specific heat at constant volume [Nm/(kg deg K)]
ē	$(\Xi \bar{c}_v \bar{\theta})$ internal energy per unit mass [Nm/kg]
f	friction factor
ĥ	enthalpy per unit mass [Nm/kg]
k	thermal conductivity of powder gas $[(kg m)/(sec^{3} \circ K)]$
Ē	length of gas tube [m]
- m	$\equiv \bar{A}_{e} \bar{\rho}_{e} \bar{u}_{e} [kg/sec]$
p	pressure [kg/(m sec ²)]
r _v	radius of vent cross-section [m]
Ŧ	time [sec]
ū	gas velocity [m/sec]
v B	velocity of bolt carrier with respect to bolt [m/sec]
x	distance from port in gas tube [m]
х _в	displacement of bolt carrier [m]
×. BE	maximum displacement of bolt carrier [m]
x Bv	location of center of vent [m]
$\bar{\lambda}_{c}^{}, \bar{\lambda}_{e}^{}, \bar{\lambda}_{v}^{}$	cross-sectional areas of cavity, cavity entrance, and vent, respectively [m ²]
$\bar{\lambda}_{\min}, \bar{\lambda}_{p}$	areas at throat and exit of port, respectively $[m^2]$
$5 (= [4\bar{\lambda}_{e}/2]^{1/2})$	cross-sectional diameter of gas tube (m)
к _у , к _в	resistance coefficients due to friction and bends
й _в	mass of bolt carrier [kg]
Rgas	effective gas constant for powder gas [Nm/(kg deg K)]

9

Proceding page blank

Star Carlos Sinta Antonio Maria

۰.

v _c	volume of cavity [m ³]
v _{ci}	$= \overline{V}_{c} (\overline{t} = 0)$
αe	contraction coefficientbackward flow from cavity into duct
αp	contraction coefficientbackward flow from duct into port
۵g	contraction coefficientforward flow from gun barrel into port
∆ŧd	time interval between initial rise of barrel pressure oscillogram and $t = 0$ axis
Ŷ	effective ratio of specific heats of gas
Ŷ	exponent in p_{g} , θ_{g} relation
Ŷ	ratio of specific heats for gas initially present in gas tube (= 1.4 for air)
ē/D	relative roughness of duct
ē	temperature [deg K]
μ	viscosity coefficient of powder gas [kg/(m sec)]
ē	density [kg/m ³]
ō	resistance forces on piston [N]
Subscripts	
c	bolt chamber
•	entrance to cavity
g	gun barrel at port station
i	initial value $(\bar{t} = 0)$
E	end of piston motion

Preceding page blank 11



I. INTRODUCTION

It is desirable to simulate the operation of the gas system which extracts a spent cartridge and positions the next round in the chamber of an automatic gas-operated rifle. The operation cycle is a rather complicated gasdynamic process and must necessarily be described with a considerably simplified model.

The model developed in Reference 1^* has proven to be reasonably accurate in predicting pressure history for the bolt cavity of the M-16 rifle. This model is applicable to the firing of all rifles during the initial interval before moving parts interact in the reloading mechanism. This interval covers a small portion (~1/20th) of the total operation time, but during this period the pressure and temperature attain their peaks and the system receives all its momentum from the powder gas.

The above model forms the basis for this report. In particular, we shall study the sensitivity of the gas system to variations in input data. Since it is not possible to survey in detail the many factors which affect the performance, attention will be concentrated on several of the more notable effects caused either by change of conditions inside of the gun (e.g., friction in gas duct, change of ammunition) or uncertainties resulting from measurements (e.g., zero time and peak pressure at gas duct entrance).

The basic analysis, assumptions, and approximations are described thoroughly in Reference 1 and will not be repeated in detail here. A brief outline of the model is given in Section II. Results of computations and measurements for the M-16 rifle, including data for the sensitivity study, are presented to illustrate general behavior of gas systems. Finally, data are presented for the AR-18 rifle, and some comparisons with M-16 results are made.

*Current computations employ the "area discontinuity" treatment of the gun tube port, treated in the ADDENDUM of Reference 1. References are listed on page 37.

13 Preceding page blank

II. THEORETICAL MODEL OF GAS SYSTEM

For the early stage of the operation cycle, rifle gas systems are essentially equivalent to the system represented by the idealized geometry shown in Figure 1.* Hot, high-pressure powder gases are extracted from the rifle barral and fed through a duct into a chamber, where the gases expand, thereby accelerating a piston. After the piston has traveled a certain distance, vent holes are laid free and the gas in the chamber expands to atmosphere. The pressure, temperature and density of the powder gas in the barrel $-\frac{1}{p_g}(t)$, $\theta_g(t)$, $\rho_g(t)$ -- are known, and constitute the input to the problem.

The quantities to be determined are the flow variables in the chamber and the motion of the piston. The equations to be solved are:¹

$$\bar{\bar{A}}_{e}\bar{\bar{\rho}}_{e}\bar{\bar{u}}_{e} = (\bar{\bar{V}}_{ci} + \bar{\bar{A}}_{c}\bar{\bar{x}}_{B}) (d\bar{\rho}/d\bar{t}) + \bar{\bar{A}}_{c}\bar{\bar{\rho}}_{c}\bar{\bar{v}}_{B} + \text{venting term}$$
(1)
$$\bar{\bar{m}}\bar{\bar{h}}_{e \text{ tot}} = d/d\bar{t} [\bar{\rho}_{c}\bar{\bar{e}}_{c}\bar{\bar{V}}_{c} + 1/2 \bar{\rho}_{c}\bar{\bar{v}}_{B}^{2} \bar{\bar{V}}_{c} + \bar{\bar{A}}_{c} \int_{0}^{\bar{t}} \bar{\bar{p}}_{c}\bar{\bar{v}}_{B} d\bar{t}] +$$
(2)

venting terms

$$d\bar{v}_{B}/d\bar{t} = \bar{p}_{C}\bar{A}_{C}\bar{M}_{B}^{-1} + \bar{\phi}\bar{M}_{B}^{-1}$$
(3)

$$d\bar{x}_{B}/d\bar{t} = \bar{v}_{B}$$
(4)

$$\vec{p} = \vec{R}_{\text{cas}} \vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{\theta}$$
(5)

where $\bar{m} = \bar{A}_{e}\bar{\rho}_{e}\bar{u}_{e}$ $\bar{b}_{e} \text{ tot} = \bar{p}_{e}/\bar{\rho}_{e} + \bar{u}_{e}^{2}/2$ $\bar{c} = \bar{c}_{v}\bar{\theta}$ $\bar{v}_{c} = \bar{v}_{ci} + \bar{A}_{c}\bar{x}_{B}$

*This report uses the rotation of Reference 1; definitions of symbols are found in LIST OF SYMBOLS.





For computations thus far performed, $\bar{\theta}_c = 300^{\circ} K$, $\bar{p}_c = 1$ atm, $\bar{x}_B = 0$, $\bar{v}_B = 0$ at $\bar{t} = 0$.

The quantities at the entrance to the chamber (e) remain to be determined. This determination, however, entails the solution of partial differential equations for the unsteady viscous heat-conducting flow through the duct, with initial and boundary conditions that require matching this flow on the one side to conditions in the barrel (via the port), and on the other side to conditions in the chamber. The procedures for obtaining solution are described in Reference 1.

Of prime significance is the friction factor f occuring in the momentum equation (also, by Reynolds analogy, in the energy equation):

$$\partial \overline{u}/\partial \overline{t} + \overline{u} \partial \overline{u}/\partial \overline{x} + (1/\overline{\rho}) \partial \overline{p}/\partial \overline{x} + (2 f \overline{u}^2/\overline{D}) = 0$$

It includes losses due to bends as well as to friction in the duct and is given by

$$f = [\vec{D}/(4\vec{k})] (K_{p} + K_{p})$$
(C)

where K_F and K_B are values taken from handbooks.² Since the value of f is an estimate at best, it is taken to be a constant, adjusted within limits to give best agreement with experiment.

The analysis assumes an instantaneous pressure rise in the barrel at the port and predicts the delay between this instant and the beginning of pressure rise in the chamber.

III. STUDY OF M-16 GAS SYSTEM

A. Introductory Remarks.

Since all rifle gas systems can be treated as the basic configuration of Figure 1 for early times, it is expected that some general information could be extracted from the study of a particular system—in this case, that of the N-16 rifle, shown in Figure 2. The bolt carrier



Figure 2. Design Sketch of M-16 Rifle

assumes the role of the piston here, and the annular bolt carrier cavity is the chamber of Figure 1. Properties of the powder gas, dimensions of the gas system, and loss coefficients are given in APPENDIX A. Input data for the numerical study are drawn mainly from two rounds fired with different ammunition, which will be referred to as "lot #1" and "lot #2".

B. Input Data

The accuracy of the results of the computation depends on the accuracy with which the input pressure is measured. In the experiments static pressures were recorded on calibrated oscillograms (as traced in Figure 3). Unfortunately, because of certain experimental factors, e.g., electronic circuit delays, it has been impossible so far to determine accurately the initial pressure behavior from Figure 3. The dip in pressure near the peak, and possibly the peak dip, was caused by a change in ground potential of electrical equipment. It is necessary to smooth this dip and other spurious signals.

Computations shown in Figure 4a indicate that changing the peak pressure by 13% has little effect on the cavity pressure.

Reference time (t=0) in the computation is taken as the time at which the pressure pulse appears at the port. The computation assumes that the pressure rises suddenly to \tilde{p}_{max} at the instant the bullet passes the port. However, this time is not well defined in the experiment. Between rise of pressure and maximum of the pressure there is a time interval of about 0.1 x 10⁻³ sec. The origin is thus uncertain by $|0.05 \times 10^{-3}|$ sec. Experimentally this uncertainty may be caused by finite response times, but also it is noted that the shock wave which precedes the bullet and gas blown by the bullet cause an earlier rise in pressure.

To study the effect of location of reference time on cavity pressure, the origin of time was shifted in the computations by various values Δt_d from the instant of pressure rise on the port pressure oscillogram. Results are shown in Figure 4b. Best agreement occurs for





11.1



 $\Delta \bar{t}_d = 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ sec. When this value is applied as a correction to the measured delay between the rise times of port pressure and cavity pressure ($\simeq 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ sec.), a delay of 0.2 $\times 10^{-3}$ sec. results. As stated in Section II, the gas system model predicts the delay time. In the present instance it predicts a delay of 0.2 $\times 10^{-3}$ sec., in agreement with the value determined above.

The influence of difference in ammunition is indicated in Figure 3 for two rounds. The greatest difference in pressure appears in the first half-millisecond of the cycle; the ultimate effect on cavity pressure will be shown in Figure 5.

The temperature at the port is also required input for the calculation, and is computed according to

$\bar{\theta}(\bar{t})/\bar{\theta}(0) = [\bar{p}(\bar{t})/\bar{p}(0)] (\tilde{\gamma} - 1)/\tilde{\gamma}$

The initial temperature $\overline{\theta}_{g}(0)$ was not accurately determined for the rounds fired, and an estimate was required. Fortunately, however, calculations shown in Figure 4a indicate that within limits (1300°-1500°K in this case) an exact knowledge of the temperature is not extremely crucial.

C. Cavity Pressure

1. <u>Comparison with Experiment</u>. Pressure is the variable of prime interest here, and it will be examined in most detail. Pressure histories computed for the bolt chamber are shown in Figure 5. Agreement with experiment is quite good. Maximum pressure is reached in the chamber about 1×10^{-3} sec. after the bullet has passed the port station in the barrel.

2. Effect of Ammunition. The two input curves in Figure 3, representing the effect of change of ammunition, yield the two theoretical cavity pressure-time curves in Figure 5. The difference in peak values is about fifteen per cent.

3. <u>Effect of Friction in Duct</u>. The frictional resistance to flow in the duct causes pressure losses which reduce the pressure in the bolt chamber. This resistance is incorporated into the model by means of the



.

"friction factor" \underline{f} . Powder gas particles accumulated from successive firings adhere to the wall of the duct and thereby produce progressive change in the friction factor. The quantity f is difficult to measure accurately and (as stated in Section II) it must be eventually estimated even after an appropriate value range is determined with the aid of handbook data.

In Figure 6 are shown cavity pressure histories for three values of f(=.0210, .0241, .0270), where .0241 is the nominal handbook value).* The conclusion is that the pressure is not a sensitive function of f in the range which yields optimum agreement with measurements. On the scale to which the curves are plotted the only notable differences can be found near the peak, where a twenty-five per cent variation in f produces only a seven per cent variation in maximum pressure. All these curves fall below experimental pressure values on the right hand side.

The f=0 curve (drawn to half scale in Figure 6) demonstrates the decided effect that the presence of friction and heat conduction has on the pressure in the chamber. Without inclusion of friction the pressure rises to a maximum about two and a half times that of the measured peak in about two-thirds of the time taken to attain the latter, and then drops at a rate several times that of the measured pressure. The f = 0 curve can be viewed as the limiting case for diminishing friction in the duct flow; it is then seen that the sensitivity of the pressure to changes in f will increase as f decreases.

4. Frictional Resistance to Piston Motion. Inclusion of forces resistant to the motion of the bolt carrier, $\bar{\Phi}$ in Eq. (3), should improve agreement between theory and experiment. An estimate of the magnitude of the forces involved can be gained by a knowledge of the discrepancies, as in Figure 6. However, the friction force law is not presently known in this situation.

A simplified friction law was assumed and two cases computed with it: namely, that the piston does not move until the cavity pressure reaches a preassigned value \bar{p}_{e} , then it moves against a force

^{*}Because the friction factor depends on the particular gas system, through Eq. (6), the value of f can vary widely from one gun system to another.





×

 $\bar{\Phi} = -\lambda \bar{p}_{C} \bar{A}_{C}$, where $0 < \lambda = \text{const.} < 1$. Results shown in Figure 7 indicate that this model does not uniformly improve agreement with experiment; there is a tendency to produce peak pressures too high in the process of closing the gaps on the sides of the curves. More study will be required on this subject,

5. Effect of Jamming. To determine the effect of gas system jamming on cavity pressure, a computation was performed in which $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm B}$ was set equal to zero for t $\geq 2.05 \times 10^{-3}$ sec. The result is shown in Figure 8 for a particular round. A pressure rise occurs immediately after the piston stops, then the pressure decreases at a slower rate than for the unimpeded piston. Qualitatively this behavior has been confirmed by experiments.

D. Cavity Temperature and Density

Temperature and density histories in the cavity are plotted in Figures 9 and 10. It is seen that the temperature reaches peak value very rapidly; the cooling which then follows is due to the expansion of the gases in the expanding cavity as well as to the decrease of $\overline{\theta}_g$ with time. This cooling brings the temperature down to the range of its initial value (in this case, below it) within the first 2.4 x 10⁻³ sec.

The density attains its maximum at approximately 1×10^{-3} sec., and at 2.4 x 10^{-3} sec. it is still about twenty times its initial value.

The rates of fall of temperature and density are increased slightly when the vent is uncovered.

A survey of the calculations shows that temperature and density are generally affected less than pressure by the variations of the parameters discussed above in Subsection C. The limiting case of zero heat conduction and friction (f = 0) again has a marked effect; the peak temperature increases by a factor of four, and the peak density is cut by about one-half of its value.



Figure 7. Effect on Cavity Pressure of Frictional Resistance to Piston Motion (M-16, Lot #2 Round)



Figure 8. Effect of Jamming on Cavity Pressure (M-16)









÷

E. Motion of Piston

The motion of the bolt carrier relative to the bolt is depicted in Figure 11, where relative velocity and bolt carrier displacement are shown. In about 2.4 x 10^{-3} sec. the piston has moved .0076 m. and attained a relative velocity of 6.3 m/sec.

The velocity, $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{BE}}$, of the bolt carrier at time $\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{\text{E}}$, when it collides with the bolt, is of interest here, since it is a measure of the momentum applied to the reloading system. Variation of the friction factor f from 0.021 to 0.027 produces less than three percent change from the $\bar{\mathbf{t}}_{\text{E}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{BE}}$ of Figure 11. The $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{BE}}$ for the Lot #1 ammunition round differs by less than six percent from that for the Lot #2 round.

Frictional resistance produces retardation; for the case $\bar{p}_z = 0$, $\lambda = 0.3$ it takes 2.8 x 10⁻³ sec. for the piston to attain a velocity of 5.0 m/sec.

With friction and heat conduction absent from the duct flow (f = 0) a piston velocity of 8.73 m/sec. is reached in 1.7 x 10^{-3} sec.

IV. AR-18 GAS SYSTEM

A. Discussion

The AR-18 rifle is basically the same gun as the M-16 rifle. It has the same barrel and location of port as the latter; however, the gas system is constructed differently. A sketch of the AR-18 gas system mechanism is presented in Figure 12 at two instants in its cycle: i) beginning of the piston motion, and ii) uncovering of the vents. The dimensions of the system and the loss coefficients are given in APPENDIX A.

A numerical study of the AR-18 gas system was carried out, using input data employed in the M-16 study because of the basic similarity of the two rifles.



Figure 11. Velocity and Displacement History of Piston (M-16, Lot #2 Round)

1.114.41





Figure 12. Sketch of AR-18 Rifle Gas System

B. Calculations

The computational results for a round fired in the AR-18 rifle are shown in Figures 13 and 14. Qualitatively the variables behave in the same manner as the corresponding ones in the M-16 rifle. A comparison with measurements of displacement vs time and final velocity of the piston (the only experimental data available) shows good agreement between theory and experiment.

C. Comparison with M-16

While the dynamic and thermodynamic variables are all of the same order of magnitude for the M-16 and AR-18 gas systems, there are quantitative differences due to differences in size of corresponding parts.

Because of the shorter duct length, the delay time (between pressure rise in port and that in cavity) of the AR-18 is about onefifth of that of the M-16. Venting produces a steeper drop in the thermodynamic quantities in the AR-18, which has a venting area about four times that of the M-16. The peak pressure of the AR-18 is about one and a half times that of the M-16, and the peak temperature is about 100 °K higher in the AR-18.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Miss B. Bilsborough for programming and performing the calculations, and Dr. J. H. Spurk for his assistance.



Figure 13. Pressure in Cavity, Piston Velocity and Displacement (AR-18, Lot #2 Round)



Figure 14. Temperature and Density in Cavity (AR-18, Lot #2 Round)

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Spurk, "The Gas Flow in Gas-operated Weapons," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report No. 1475, February 1970.

2. Crane Technical Paper No. 410, "Flow of Fluids Through Valves, Fittings, and Pipe," Crane Co., Chicago, 1969.

37 Preceding page blank

ふくえんまん アクリーク

APPENDIX A: TABLES OF PARAMETERS*

Table A-I. Properties of Powder Gas**

$$\bar{c}_{p} = 1.74 \times 10^{3} (\text{m/sec})^{2}/^{\circ}\text{K}$$

$$\bar{c}_{v} = 1.38 \times 10^{3} (\text{m/sec})^{2}/^{\circ}\text{K}$$

$$\bar{k} = 0.831 \times 10^{-1} (\text{kg m})/(\text{sec}^{3} \circ^{\circ}\text{K})$$

$$\bar{R}_{gas} = 0.400 \times 10^{3} (\text{m/sec})^{2}/^{\circ}\text{K}$$

$$\gamma = 1.26$$

$$\bar{\gamma} = 1.24$$

$$\bar{\mu} = 4.80 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg/(m sec)}$$

Table A-2. Dimensions of M-16 Gas System

۸ ۵	$= 1.269 \times 10^{-4} m^2$	м _в	= 0.4366 kg
Ā	$= 0.6605 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	r _v	$= 0.1442 \times 10^{-2} m$
Ā min	$= 0.4383 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	v _{ci}	$= 0.7600 \times 10^{-6} m^3$
Āp	$= 0.6605 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	×BE	$= 0.7600 \times 10^{-2} m$
Ā	$= 0.6533 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	x Bv	$= 0.6158 \times 10^{-2} m$
Ď	$= 0.2900 \times 10^{-2} m$	(ē/D)	• 0.015
ī	= 0.3600 m		

39

Preceding page blank

A second second in the second s

⁴⁴Estimates based on the interior ballistics computation of the composition of the powder gas.

^{*}Values of powder gas properties and dimensions of gas systems supplied by Interior Ballistics Laboratory.

Tab]	le .	A-III.	Loss	and	Flow	Coeffi	cients	of	M-16	Gas	System
	-	and the local data and the second data and the						_			

$\alpha_e = 0.62$	$K_{B} = 5.04$
$\alpha_p = 0.64$	$K_{F} = 6.95$
$\alpha_{g} = 0.62$	f = 0.0241

Table A-IV. Dimensions of AR-18 Gas System

Ã _c	$= 0.732 \times 10^{-4} m^2$	м _в	= 0.463 kg
Ā _e	$= 0.766 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	ī,	$= 0.299 \times 10^{-2} m$
Ã min	$= 0.195 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	v _{ci}	$= 0.690 \times 10^{-6} m^3$
à p	$= 0.766 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	x BE	$= 1.57 \times 10^{-2} m$
Ã, v	$= 2.80 \times 10^{-5} m^2$	x Bv	$= 0.970 \times 10^{-2} m$
Đ	$= 0.310 \times 10^{-2} m$	(ē/D)	¤ 0.015
ē	= 0.0660 m		

Table A-V. Loss and Flow Coefficients of AR-18 Gas System

α _e	=	0.62	к _в	=	3.248
αp	-	0.64	ĸ _F	æ	1.1815
۵	*	0.62	f	=	0.0525

an all Children

Sec. 4

Press of

ġ

the second

APPENDIX B: CONVERSION TABLE

 $1 m^2 = 10.764 ft^2 = 1550 in^2$ Area: $1 \text{ kg/m}^3 = 0.06243 \text{ lb/ft}^3$ Density: $1 \text{ kg } (\text{m/sec})^2 = 0.94787 \times 10^{-3} \text{ BTU}$ Energy: $1 N = 1 kg m/sec^2 = 0.22482 lb_{force}$ Force: 1 m = 3.281 ft = 39.37 in.Length: $1 \text{ kg} = 2.205 \text{ lb}_{\text{mass}}$ Mass: Pressure: $1 \text{ N/m}^2 = 1 \text{ kg/(m sec}^2) = 0.14511 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ psi}$ Velocity: 1 m/sec = 3.281 ft/sec = 39.37 in/sec $1 m^3 = 35.315 ft^3 = 61.024 \times 10^3 in^3$ Volume: $1 \text{ atm. press.} = 0.1013 \times 10^6 \text{ kg/(m sec}^2) = 14.69 \text{ psi}$

DOCUMENT CO	NTROL DATA -	RED	
(Security classification of title, budy of abatract and index	ing anoistion must b	e entered when t	e evenili report is classified)
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Exterior Ballistics Laboratory		a. REPORT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratorie	s, ARDC	UNC	LASSIFIED
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	5		
REPORT TITLE			····
SENSITIVITY STUDY OF RIFLE	GAS SYSTEMS.		
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		<u> </u>	
AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
Nathan Gerber			
REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES	78. NO. OF REFS
January 1971	45		2
CONTRACT OR BRANT NO.	S. ORIGINATO	R'S REPORT NU	
PROJECT NO. RDTEE NO. 1T061102A33D	REPORT	NO. 1524	
h.	N. OTHER AS	PORT NOIS (AR	other numbers that may be assigned
	Bite report)		
L			
I SUPPLEMENTANT NOTES			
	U.S. Ar Washing	my Materie: ton, D. C.	TIVITY Command
ABSTRACT	U.S. Ar Washing	my Materie ton, D. C.	TIVITY L Command
Results of a sensitivity study of the M study is based on a simulation of rifle The calculations indicate that thermody are only weakly sensitive to variations and temperature in the gun barrel when the duct flow, and i4±) frictional resi computational results are sensitive, ho oscillogram showing barrel pressure at Graphs are presented for a typical roun and piston motion histories for M-16 an	U.S. Ar Washing -16 rifle gas gas system o namic variabl in the follo the bullet pa stance to mot wever, to the the port stat d illustratin d AR-18 rifle	my Materiei ton, D. C. system are peration de es in the l wing parame sses the pe ion of the chosen or ion. g pressure gas system	e presented; this eveloped in the BRL. polt carrier cavity eters: 1) pressure ort, 11) friction in bolt carrier. The igin of time on the , temperature, density

K F V WARRE		K A	LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	Ē
Automatic Weapons					Į	l
Rifle Gas System						l
M-16 Rifle					ļ	ł
Interior Ballistics						ŀ
	l i				•	
•						ŀ
					1	1
					1	
					[
	·				1	
					1	
					ĺ	Í
]	1
						ł
					Į	l
				-		
						ł
•						1
						l
	•					l
						l
				1		
						ł
					i 1	l
					[
						l
					i 1	l
		U	CLASS	FIED		
		Beeurity	Classifi			
	Automatic Weapons Rifle Gas System M-16 Rifle Interior Ballistics					

19 - A LANDARD MALLEN AL ST

•

ŧ

h

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; Distribution unlimited.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON

AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED