UNCLASSIFIED



AD NUMBER

AD-876 328

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT - A

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

LIMITATION CODE: 1

FROM DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT - B Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only.

LIMITATION CODE: 3

AUTHORITY

AFRPL Ltr, Feb 05, 1986

19990303087

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AFR9L-TR-70-87

BIPROPELLANT ATTITUDE CONTROL (ACR) PLUME EFFECTS ON SOLAR CELLS OPTICS AND THERMAL PAINT

P.J., MARTIMEOVIC

FECHNICAL REPORT AFRPL-TR-79-87

DED

LIGITI

ຠຓຠຉຉ

1970

40303081

IC FILE COPY

20 २२

8763

AUGUST 1978

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL EXPORT CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN NATIONALS MAY BE MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF AFRIL (REOR ASTIMEO) EDWARDS, CALIFORNIA, 93523

> AIR FORCE ROCKET PROPULSION LABORATORY DIRECTORATE OF LABORATOMES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND UNITED STATES AIR FORCE EDWARDS, CALIFORNIA

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

AFRPL-TR-70-87

BIPROPELLANT ATTITUDE CONTROL ROCKET (ACR) PLUME EFFECTS ON SOLAR CELLS, OPTICS AND THERMAL PAINT

P.J. Martinkovic

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal ... to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AFRPL (RPOR/STINFO), Edwards, California 93523

FOREWORD

This report summarizes work performed during a USAF in-house program under project 632A00DRI, during the period November 1969 through January 1970.

The program was conducted by the Liquid Rocket Division of the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory at Test Stand 1-15, Space Chamber Number 4. Mr. Paul J. Martinkovic was the project engineer.

The author gratefully acknowledges the assistance of the following individuals in support of the Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocket (ACR) Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Optics and Thermal Paint: Mr. Dave Massie, Mr. G. M. Kevern and Mr. R. E. Wallis of the Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, who conducted the pretest and posttest measurements of the solar cells; Mr. Robert Winn of the Air Force Material Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, who conducted the pretest and posttest measurements of the Optics and Thermal Paint.

ii

This report has been reviewed and approved:

DONALD H. CLEGG, Captain, USAF Chief, Propulsion Subsystems Branch Liquid Rocket Division Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory

ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of the Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocket (ACR) Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Optics and Thermal Paint. The objectives of this effort were to: (1) Determine exhaust plume effects on the functional surfaces of a spacecraft, i. e., a change, if any, in the operational characteristics of this equipment and (2) identify the contaminant. Tests were conducted under vacuum conditions using a Marquardt R1E attitude control rocket engine. The propellants were nitrogen tetroxide (N_2O_4) and monomethylhydrazine (MMH). The analysis of the test data revealed that the bipropellant attitude control rocket engine exhaust plume does have an effect on the operational characteristics of the spaceborne equipment, in varying degrees, dependent upon the location of the equipment with relation to the rocket engine nozzie exit. The exhaust plume contaminant has been identified as monomethylhydrazine nitrate.

iii/iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
·I		1
п	OBJECTIVES	3.
ш	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.	4
IV .	TEST FACILITY.	.5
	A. Altitude Chamber	5 -
•	B. Plume Source ACR Engine	5
v	PROBLEM AREAS	7
VI	SOLAR CELL AND OPTICS TESTS	8
	A. Test Configuration	8
	B. Test Position	16
	C. Test Conditions	18
•	D. Test Results	18
VΠ	ANALYSIS OF THE EXHAUST CONTAMINANT	51
VIII	THERMAL - CONTROL COATING TESTS	52
	A. Test Configuration	52
	B. Test Position	55
	C. Test Conditions	55 _i
•	D. Test Results	55
IX	CONCLUSIONS	66
x	RECOMMENDATIONS	67
AUTHOR'S	BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	68
DISTRIBUT	ION	69
FORM 1473	•••••	73
•		

ILLUSTRATIONS

Fig

2

rigure		Page
1.	Test Facility	6
2.	Solar Cell and Optical Test Hardware.	9
3.	Solar Cell	. 11
4.	Solar Cell Installation	12
5.	Test Locations of Optical and Solar Cell Coupons	13
6.	Optical Coupon	14
7.	Cross-Slit Collimator	15
8.	Solar Cell and Optical Test Position	17
· 9.	Contaminated Test Specimens	19
LO.	Solar Cell Contamination	23
11	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 1	25
12.	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 2.	26
13.	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 3	. 27
14.	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 6 .	2
15.	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 7.	. 29
16.	Spectral Transmittance - Optical Coupon No. 8	. 30
17.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 1	. 40
18.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 2	. 41
19.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 3	. 42
20.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 6	. 43
21.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 7	. 44
ZZ. .	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 8	. 45
23.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 4	. 46
24.	Contamination of Optical Coupon No. 9	4.7
25.	In situ Measurements of Solar Cell No. 1	
	Under Vacuum	. 4
26.	In situ Measurements of Optical Coupon No. 6	
	Under Vacuum	. 49
27.	Thermal Paint Test Hardware	. 53
28.	Thermal Control Coating Coupon	. 54
29.	Thermal Paint Test Configuration	. 50
30.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 2	. 51
31.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 3	. 5
32.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 4	. 59
33.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 5	. 60
34.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 6	. 61
35.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No. 7	. 04
36.	Spectral Reflectance of Thermal Paint Coupon No, 8	. 03
37.	Nozzle Lip Contamination	. 65

vi

TABLES

vii/viii "

Table		Page	~ .
I	Solar Cell Pre and Post Exposure Electrical Performance	21	
ц Г	Solar Cell Pre and Post Exposure Spectral Response	22	•
ш	Spectral Transmittance Measurements of Optical Coupons	31	



SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

Attitude Control Rocket (ACR) plume impingement on spacecraft functional surfaces can result in subjecting spaceborne equipment to high exhaust gas temperatures, mechanical effects such as sandblasting, and coating by exhaust contaminants. The degree of damage to spacecraft functional surfaces due to plume impingement is largely dependent upon factors such as: (1) size of the ACR engine, (2) propellants used for engine operation, (3) propellant and engine hardware temperatures, (4) engine pulse width, (5) total engine on-time for a given space mission, (6) location of the spaceborne equipment relative to both the rocket engine nozzle exit and the exhaust plume centerline and (7) temperature of the equipment during plume impingement.

ACR plume impingement on solar cells could physically damage the cell leads and/or the fused silica coverslide due to high exhaust gas temperatures. Moreover, the operational characteristics of the cell can be affected by exhaust contaminant build-up. These adverse conditions can cause deterioration of the power output of the solar cell panels. Exhaust contamination of optics, used for various functions, i. e., telescopes, viewports, and sensor windows used in combination with navigational equipment, can create major problems, such as image distortion, loss of optical transmittance and deterioration of optical anti-reflective coatings. Thermal paint can be degraded, by ACR exhaust impingement, relative to the ratio of solar absorptivity and emissivity. This condition can, in turn, cause thermal problems within a vehicle housing temperature-sensitive components.

An ACR plume contamination program, just recently completed at AFRPL, reference technical document AFRPL-TR-69-251, "Bipropellant Attitude-Control (ACR) Plume Contamination Investigation" has revealed that a brownish viscous material is emitted from the rocket engine nozzle exit during engine pulsing. This material has been identified as monomethylhydrazine nitrate.

大学に

SECTION II OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this program were to: (1) investigate bipropellant attitude control rocket engine exhaust plume effects, on selected spaceborne equipment, i. e., coating, abrasion and/or physical damage, (2) determine degradation, if any, in the operational characteristics of this equipment, and (3) identify the exhaust contaminant.

SECTION III .

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The solar cells, optics and thermal paint were subjected to a series of bipropellant attitude control rocket engine firings under the low pressure environment. During a test series, in situ measurements were taken at various intervals to analyze contamination trends for correlation with post-test measurements. The test specimens were maintained under the low pressure environment during the entire test phase, and upon completion of each test phase, the test coupons were removed from the altitude chamber under a gaseous nitrogen atmosphere and placed into their respective shipping containers. The test specimens were maintained in this inert environment to minimize atmospheric contamination during shipment to the various laboratories for the post-test measurements.

SECTION IV

TEST FACILITY AND TEST SYSTEM

. ALTITUDE CHAMBER

The test facility used for these tests was Space Chamber No. 4, located in Test Area 1-15. The altitude chamber (reference Figure 1) is 8 feet in diameter and 13 feet in length and incorporated a 5- by 5-foot cryogenic (LN₂) panel which served a twofold purpose: (1) cryopumping to obtain the high start altitude and (2) the entrapment of exhaust particles to minimize recirculation of the exhaust particles during an ACR firing. The pumping system consisted of two 300-cfm mechanical pumps, a Roots blower rated at 615 cfm and two 10-inch diffusion pumps, each rated at a pumping capacity of 4200 liters per second. The pumping system incorporated three isolation valves to isolate the altitude chamber from the pumping system. Such isolation (1) maintained a vacuum in the altitude chamber during the evening hours and weekends without the pumping system on the line to prevent atmospheric contamination of the test specimens during extended test periods and (2) prevented ingestion of large quantities of propellants by the pumping system in the event of a major failure of the rocket engine and/or the ACR subsystem during tests. The test start altitude and altitude degradation following each rocket engine firing was measured by the use of an Pirani and ion vacuum gage and recorder on a Leeds and Northrup Type "G" Recorder.

B. PLUME SOURCE ACR ENGINE

The ACR engine used for the bipropellant plume contamination tests was a Marquardt RIE engine. Engine technical data are as follows:

Thrust

22 lbs

Nozzle Area Ratio

Propellants

Chamber Pressure

.

Nitrogen Tetroxide and Monomethylhydrazine

98 psia



SECTION V

PROBLEM AREAS

During tests two problems were experienced. The first problem that occurred was the loss of a photocell for the number 2 optical coupon shortly after initiation of tests. The second problem occurred during the 305th ACR firing which resulted in a slightly longer pulse width than the schedule 100 millisecond firing. At first, it was thought that the engine timer had momentarily malfunctioned, however, a thorough check of the timer revealed no abnormalities. At the present time there is no explanation as to what was the contributing factor concerning the second problem.

SECTION VI

SOLAR CELLS AND OPTICS TESTS

A. TEST CONFIGURATION

The test hardware used for the solar cells and optics tests, reference Figure 2, consisted of two major components: (1) a reference test specimen module of two solar cells and two optical coupons, protected from the engine plume by the use of a solenoid-actuated protective shield; and (2) the main test specimen module which incorporated six solar cells, six optical coupons and a contamination coupon. The main test specimen module was positioned perpendicular to the centerline of the ACR engine for the direct plume impingement tests. For the in situ measurements, the main specimen module was rotated to the horizontal position for exposure of the test specimens to standard illumination (Sylvania Sun Guns). Rotation of the main test specimen module was accomplished by the use of an AC motor in combination with mechanical linkage. The specimens used for these tests were as follows:

Solar Cells: N/P Silicon solar cells 2 x 2 cm, minimum 9 % space efficiency 7-14 ohm cm, 20 mil fused silica covers attached with Sylgard 182 adhesive.

Optics:

KZFSN4 glass with an OCLI red reflecting cyan transmitting filter.

SF2 glass with an OCLI high efficiency antireflective coating

Contamination Coupon: Quartz glass

The solar cells were procured from the Heliotek Division of Textron Electronics Inc., Sylmar, California, and the optical coupons were purchased from the Optical Coating Laboratory, Inc. (OCLI), Santa Rosa, California.

-8



The solar cells were bonded to a teflon disk, reference Figure 3, 1.5 inches in diameter and 0.250 inch thick. The solar cell coupons, reference Figure 4, were secured to the specimen module by the use of a retainer ring. Solar cell coupons Nos 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, reference Figure 5, were subjected to the ACR plume. Solar cell coupons Nos 7 and 8 were control coupons and were protected from the ACR plume.

The optical coupons (reference Figure 6) were 1.5 inches in diameter and 0.250 inch thick. These coupons were secured to the specimen module by the use of a cross-slit collimator as shown in Figure 7. The light collimator was used to narrow down the acceptance angle of the photocell which is normally rated at 5 percent, but which can, based on past experiences, in some cases be as much as 15 percent. The inner dimensions of the cross-slit collimator were 1.75 inches in length and 1.00 inch in diameter. The slot widths were 0.70 inch, and the interior of the unit was painted flat black to minimize reflection. Optical coupons 1, 2, 3, and 4 were SF2 glass (fuzed quartz) with an OCLI high efficiency antireflective coating and coupons Nos 6, 7, 8, and 9 were KZFSN4 glass (fused quartz) with an OCLI red reflecting cyan transmitting filter. Optical test specimens 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, and 8 (reference Figure 5) were subjected to the ACR plume. Optical coupons 4 and 9 were control specimens and were protected from the ACR plume. The purpose of the contamination coupon was to obtain and identify a sample of the exhaust contaminant. The size and shape of the contamination coupon were identical to the optical coupons. This coupon was also secured to the main specimen module by the use of a retainer ring.

During tests in situ measurements were taken, i.e., solar cell output and optical transmittance using standard illumination. These measurements, which are not considered refined, were taken to plot contamination trend, if any, for correlation with laboratory post-test measurements. The equipment used to measure the power output of the solar cells was a Moseley strip chart recorder: The optical transmittance measurements were











accomplished by the use of a photocell in combination with a cross-slit collimator, reference Figure 7. The photocell output was also recorded on a Moseley strip chart recorder.

The laboratory equipment, used for the pre-test and post-test electrical performance measurements of the solar cells, was a Spectrolab X-259613L Xenon-Arc solar simulator, a Spectrolab D-550 Electronic load and Moseley 135 X-Y recorder. The spectral response measurements were obtained using a filter wheel containing 8 narrow band interference filters in combination with the equipment mentioned with the exception of the electronic load unit, which was disconnected. Other equipment utilized as a substitute solar simulator was a standard 1000 w, 28 volt, sealed beam aircraft landing lamp (General Electric NR 4615). Temporary failure of the X-259613C Xenon-Arc solar simulator necessitated the use of this equipment.

The laboratory equipment, used for all the pre-test and post-test spectral transmittance measurements of the optical coupons, was a Beckman Model DK-2 ratio recording spectrophotometer. This instrument has a range of 0.25 to 2.5 microns (2500 to 25000 Angstroms) and employs a magnesium oxide (MGO) coated integrating sphere for collecting the energy transmitted through the samples.

B. TEST POSITION

The test hardware was positioned five feet downstream of the rocket engine nozzle exit, reference Figure 8. The contamination coupon, on the main test specimen module, was positioned on the centerline of the rocket engine nozzle for reference purposes. Sylvania Sun Guns were positioned directly above, the reference and main test specimen module, to provide illumination for the in situ measurements taken at various test intervals. The lamp reflectors were protected from the ACR exhaust particles during engine firings by the use of a solenoid-actuated protective shield.



C. TEST CONDITIONS

During tests the solar cells and optical coupons were maintained under a continuous vacuum condition for a period of 336 hours and during this time period were subjected to 40 ACR firings at an engine pulse width of 100 milliseconds. The start altitude for an engine firing was 400, 000 feet and upon completion of the engine firing, the altitude had decreased to 205, 000 feet. The altitude recovery time between engine pulses was approximately five minutes. In situ measurements were taken following 50, 100, 150, 260, 250, 300, 350, and 400 ACR firings. During the test series the engine injector temperature varied between $39^{\circ}F$ and $75^{\circ}F$. The temperature of the main test specimen module varied between $38^{\circ}F$ and $47^{\circ}F$.

D. TEST RESULTS

Visual observation of the test specimens, through one of the altitude chamber viewports during various test intervals revealed the presence of a frost-like material deposited on solar cells and optics, as well as on the face of the main test specimen module. The heaviest concentration being within a 9.50 inch radius of the contamination coupon which was positioned on the centerline of the engine. The build-up of this material was uniform up until the completion of 300 ACR firings. For some unknown reason, as previously mentioned, the 305th engine firing resulted in a slightly longer engine pulse width which cansed a disturbance (scattering effect) of the frost-like material (reference Figure 9). Visual observation of the rocket engine nozzle lip revealed a build-up of a brownish material which also had a crystal-like structure. At the completion of 400 ACR firings this material had completely coated the nozzle lip 360 degrees. Moreover, there were whitish particles on the inner surface of the rocket nozzle which varied in size form 0.062 to 0.125 inches in diameter. The review of the colored test movies substantiated the visual observations previously mentioned. These test movies are available on a loan basis for a period of two (2) weeks.



SOLAR CELL PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST MEASUREMENTS

The following is a summary of the test data, concerning pre-test and post-test of the solar cells which was extracted from the Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory Technical Memorandum APIP-TM-70-6 "Degradation of Solar Cells Caused by Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocket Plume Contamination" dated February 1970.

The comparison of the solar cell pre-test and post-test measurements relative to short circuit current and maximum power is shown in Table I. In all but one case, there was a deterioration in short circuit current which varied from 1.5 percent to 5.3 percent. One cell showed an increase of 0.8 percent. There was a degradation of all cells in maximum power which varied from 2.2 percent to 7.8 percent. These changes do not greatly exceed the tolerance of the laboratory equipment used which is ±2 percent. The spectral response measurements of the solar cells, Table II, showed a slight deterioration at all wavelengths, in approximate proportion to short circuit current degradation.

Visual inspection of the solar cells revealed that all test specimens experienced contamination in varying degrees, reference Figure 10. Cells 1, 2, 4, and 5 which were located 4.032, 4.032, 5.906, and 5,906 inches from the plume centerline respectively experienced the most contamination. Cells 3 and 6, which were located 10.687 and 11.531 inches respectively, from the plume centerline, were slightly contaminated and the contaminant had a translucent appearance. Review of photograph Figure 9 shows that the heaviest concentration of the contaminant on the main test specimen module was in a radius of 9.500 inches from the contamination coupon which was positioned on the centerline of the rocket engine. Cells 1, 2, 4, and 5 were located within this radius.

Following the post-test measurements and visual inspection, the cells were cleaned. This was accomplished by removing much of the contaminant with ordinary Kleenex tissue using light pressure. This was followed by

•	Maximum	Power (mw)		42.5 40.5	48	50.8	50.8 8	49.5	50.8	. 1		Maximum	Power (mw)	39.2	47.5 44.8	47.5	49. 5 49. 7	49.5	48.8
RICAL PERFORMANCE	Current at Maximum	Power (ma)		\$	120	121	121	115	121		CAL PERFORMANCE	Current at Maximum	Power (ma)	106	113	113	118	115	116
RE-EXPOSURE ELECT	Voltage at Maximum	Power		.37	40	. 42	42	. 43	42		r-Exposure electri	Voltage at Maximum	Power (Volts)		. 42	. 42	42		42
SOLAR GELL PI	Short Gircuit	Current		131	130	140	133	134	140		AR CELL POS	Short Circuit	Current (ma)	124	161	151	161	121	137
TABLE 1.	/ Open Circuit	Voltage	(BITO A)	. 53	• 44.л 44.л	- in - in - in	. 53	• 0 4 0 4			SOL	Open Circuit	Voltage (Volta)					40.	
		 ; ;	Cell	-	~1 c	0 4	ີ້ທີ	0 r 1				• ·	Cell	-	• ••	n 1 ;	Р нО 1	-• {	- 60

Control Cells

TABLE II. SOLAR CELL PRE-EXPOSURE SPECTRAL RESPONSE

۱

	nw at cron				nw at cron			··· ··
,	ma/m 1.1 Mi	53			ma/m 1.1 Mid	10.	998995 998995	
	ma/mw at 1.0 Micron	å=:		· ·	· ma/mw at 1.0 Micron	. 14 30 31	.32 .32 .32 .32 .32	12 • •
•	ma/mw at 9 Micron	32	4444		ma/mw at .9 Micron	£\$\$	19994	•
·	ma/mw at Micron	39	623333	NL RESPONS	ma/mw at .8 Micron	39	44444 44468	•
-	ma/mw at	. 39	4444	RE SPECTRA	ma/mw at .7 Micron	 86 40 80 40	14444	· _ ·
•	ma/inw at at .	35 35		ST-EXPOSU	ma/mw at .6 Micron	33.94		
• •••	ma/mw at 5 Micron	. 27 . 25 28	5555 5755 5755 5755 5755 5755 5755 575	AR CELL PC	ma/mw at .5 Micron	. 25 . 23	23 25 25 25	
	• ma/mw at .4 Micron	04	34483	SOL	ma/mw at .4 Micron	. 05 07	0. 90 90 90	rol Cella
,	ell	- 2 6	9 4 10 0 C 00		ell		₩10-0 [~ 00	Cont

ĺ

.



the use of a liquid glass cleaner consisting of Isopropanol, a wetting agent, and water. This cleaning procedure was very effective in removing this type of contaminant from the solar cells. The cleaned test specimens matched the laboratory control samples in appearance. There was no noticeable physical change, i.e., abrasion. The test specimens were again checked for electrical performance and spectral response. The average deterioration in short circuit current was 0.7 percent compared to 2.8 percent prior to cleaning. The average maximum power degradation was 2.3 percent compared to 5.1 percent prior to cleaning. There was no significant change in spectral response.

OPTICAL PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST MEASUREMENTS

The following is a summary of the test data, regarding pre-test and post-test of the optics, which was extracted from the Air Force Materials Laboratory, Technical Memorandum MAY-TM-70-1 "Spectral Transmittance Measurements on Optical Coupons Before and After Exposure to the Plume of a Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocket," dated February 1970.

The comparison of the pre-test and post-test measurements, reference Figures 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 reveals that optical coupons 1, 2, and 3 experienced a slight decrease in transmittance in the lower micron range, however, a slight increase in the 1.1 to 2.5 micron range. The most noticeable decrease in transmittance, relative to optical coupon 6, 7, and 8, was at 0.86 microns. Following completion of the post-test measurements the test specimens were cleaned which was accomplished by a mild detergent wash followed by four rinses in distilled water and a final rinse in methyl alcohol. After the test coupons were cleaned, the same measurements were taken to ascertain whether or not there was a permanent change. in optical transmittance as a result of the exhaust contaminant coming in contact with the optical coatings. The results of these measurements are shown in Table III. Coupons 1, 2, and 3 showed no residual change in spectral transmittance; however, coupons 6, 7, and 8 showed a permanent ' change in spectral transmittance. It is surmised that the red reflecting, cyan transmitting coating on these optics is being affected by the exhaust contaminant.












% Transmittance

Wa	velength			Coupon	Number	*	
λ,	microns	la*	lb*	lc*	2a	. 2Ъ	2c
	•	3			/ 		
	0.250	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· 0.0
	0.260	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.270	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0. 0
	0.280 /	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.290	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0. 0
	0.300	`	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
	0.310	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
	0.320	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.8
	0.330	12.3	11.3	14.0	10.2	12.1	14.0
	0.340	40.6	36.6	43.3	37.8	34.8	43.3
	0.345	50.6			••••		
	0.350	59.8	56.3	74.9	58.6	54.2	.74.9
	0.350	65.2	60.2	71.5	57.4	59.0	71.5
•	0.365	79.2					
	0. 380	88.1	81.6		83.8	. 81.2	
	0:400	94.4	86.7	96.7	92.7	86.9	96.7
	0. 405	95.4					
	0.410	96.8					
	0.425	98.5			98 4		· · ·
	0.440	98.9	91.8		,	92.4	
	0.455	99.4			99.0	/211	
	0.470	99.8	92.8			94.0	
-	0.495	99.4	93 2			04 A	0.0
<u> </u>	0.515	98.7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		100 0	72.3	0.0
	0.550	98.5	97.6	40 6	99.5	04 6	A 00
· •	0.560	98.3	/2.0	,,,,¢	,,,,,	71.0	0.04
	0.600	- 2 89		08 3	90 5	04 A	09.2
		/0.0	76.9	70. 3	77• 5	77. 7	70. 3
· 1	0.650	98.8	91.2		99.0	93.8	
	0.700	97.1	91.2	97.5	97.4	92.8	97.5
- (0.750	96.0	89.0	96.3	94.9	92.4	96.3

"pre-exposure" measurements "post-expcsure" measurements "after-cleaning" measurements

% Transmittance

Wavelength		•	Coupon	Number		,	
λ , microns	- la*	1b*	- lc*	2a —	2Ъ	2c	•
0,550	98.7	93. 3	99.6	98.4	93.9		
0.570	98.9	92.3					
0.600	99.4	92.2	99.4	99.5	93.5	98.3	
0.650	99.2	91.2	98.6	99.5			
0.700	97.9	90.0	97.5	97.9	91.8	96.3	
0.750	95.6	90.6	96.3				
0.800	93.7	86.4	90. O.	93.7	88.4	90.0	
0. 860	89.9	84,5		·····			
0.900	86.7	83.7	85.6	87.9	85.1	85.6	
1.000	82.7	82.0	° 82. 0	83.2	82.9	82.0	
1.100	79.7	80.8	79.5	80.0	81.0	79.5	
1.200	77.8	. 80. 0°	78.1	77.9	79.6	78.1	
1.250	76.9	79.8					
1.300	76.6	79.8	77.1	76.8	79.0	77.1	~ .
1.400	75.5	79.3	76.0	76.2	78.5	76.0	
1.500	75.1	79.2	76.0	75.3	78.1	76.0	
1.600	75.1	79.4	76.2	75.3	. 77.8	76.2	
1.630	75.1	79.4		·			
1.700	74.8	79.4	76.0	75.3	77.8	76.0	
1.800	74.5	79.0	75.7	74.7	77.4	75.7	
1.900	.74.2	78.6	75.3	74.6	77.5	75.3	
2.000	74.1	78.1	75.3	74.5	76.7	75.3	
2.060	74.5	77.4				~ 	
2.100	74.5	77.0	74.6	73.7	74.7	74.6	
2.130	73.8	76.4		73.2			•
2.200	70.5	74.7	72.7	70.5	72.3	72.7	
2.230	70.8	74.1					
2.300	71.0	73.6	72.0	70.9	71.4	72.0	
2.400	70.8	74.9	71.3	70.4	73.8	71.3	
2.500	69.0	73.7	70.7	69.0	72.7	70.7	

		% Tra	nsmittance	•	· .	*	
Wavelength		ۍ م	Coupon	Number	•		
, microns	3a*	3b *	3c*	4a	. 4 b	.4c	
0.250		0.0	0.0	•	0.0	0.0	
0.250	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.200	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	9
0.210	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
0.290	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· · ·
0. 300	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	:
0.310	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	
0. 320	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.2	1.0	0.8	
0.330	10.2	8.2	14.0	10.7	13.8	14.0	
0.340	37.8	29.9	* 43. 3	38.0	40.5	43.3	
0.345		/			· · · ·		, , , ,
0.350	57.5	47.9	74.9	58.3	59.6	74.9	
0.350	59.3	51.6	71.5	58.2	61.2	71,.5	
0.365			\				 .
0.380	85.3	74.8	\ 	85.0	86.6		
0.400	92.7	81.9	·\`96.7	93.2	93.3	96.7	
0.405	*		\				•
0.410			///				
0.425	97. 9 [*]			97.4	97.2		
0.440		88.3		98.4	97.6		
0.455	99.0		`	99.0	98.2	98 - 8	
0.470		90.4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · ·	, .	· · · · ·	1		· ·,	. »	
0.495		91.2		99.5	98.8 ·	99. 2°	
J. 515	99.0						
.0.550	99.0	91.2	99.6	99.0	99.2	99.6	
0.560				99.0			
0.600	99.0	90.4	99.4	99.5	99.4	99 . 4	
0.650 -	99.5	89.6	98.6	99.0	99.2	98:6	
0.700	98.4	89.0	97.5	97.9	98.6	97.5	. • •
0.750	95.8	89.0	96.3	96.4	97.0	96.3	••••

_ _

		. % Tra	nsmittanc	8			
Wavelength		• :	Couper	ı Number	- 		
λ, microns	• 3a#	3Ъ≠	3c*	4 a	4ь	4 c	2
	•	00.1			·		, ,
0.550	98.9	90.1		98.9	99. Z		
0.570							
0.600	99.5	89.9	98.3	98.4	99.4	98.3	
0.000	99.5			99.0			
0.700	97.4	88.0	90.3	97.4	97.0	96.3	
0 750		•		· ·			
0.750	02 3	06 6		02.2			
0.800	• 93.2	02.2	90.9	93.6	92.0	90.9	
0.000	94 0	92 3	95 4	 07 A	· 04 E	05' ('	
1,000	92.2	63.3	82.0	01.4	00.3	83.0	
1.000	02.2	06.6	, 82.0	02.3	04.1 5	82.9	
1 1 00	80 0	<u>81</u> 7	70 5	79 6	- 80 5	70 5	
1 200	77 5	80.0	79.1	. 17.0 77 A	79 0	77.5	
1.250	111.5				10. 7	• 10.1	í
1.300	76.4	80.6	77.1 .	76.4	77.7	77-1	
1.400	75.3	80.3	76.0	75.3	76.7	76 0	٠
						*	
1.500	75.3	80.1	76. G	74.9	76.5	76.0	
1.600	74.9	80.5	76.2	74.9	76.3	76.2	
1.630		***					•
1.700	75.3	80.2	76.0	74.9	76.1	76.0	
1.800	74.7	79.9	75.7	73.8	75.9	75.7	
		•			3		
1.900	74.2	78.6	75.3	73.7 .	75.4	75.3	
2.000	74.1	78.1	75.3	73.7	74.7	75.3	
2.060	74:5	77.4					
2.100	74.5	77.0	74.6	73.2	74.0	74.6	•
2.130	73.8	76.4					•
2.200	70.5	74.7	72.7	70.2	72.3	• 72.7	•
2.230	70.8	- 74.1		70.0			0
2.300 .	71.0	73.6	72.0	70.0	72.2	72.0	
2.400	70.8	74.9	71.3	70.2	72.7	71.3	
2.500	69.0	73.7	70. 7	68.1	· 69.6	70.7	
	1					••••	

.

τ.

34

TABLE III. SPECTRAL TRANSMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS OF OPTICAL COUPONS (Cont'd)

% Transmittance

Wavelength			Coupon	Number		
λ, microns	6a*	6Ъ*	6c*	72	7ь	7c
•	•	•	•. ·		·	
0.250	0.0	0.0	0. 🕄 🕤	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.260	0.0	0.0	· 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0'
0.270	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.280	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.290	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.300	0.0	. 0.0	0. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.310	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.320	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0 ~.	0.0
0.330	0.0	· 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.340	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4
0. 345	0.5		, 	1.1	1.6	
0.350	-3.7	4.5	·4.6	3.7	4.9	4.6
	-,, T				\mathcal{N}_{0}	
0.350	5.3	5.5	10.0	8.9	6.3	.10.0
0.365	29.8	23.0	27.1	25.4	22.4	27.1
. 0. 380	29.8	23.0	12.7	14.2	11.9	12.7
0.400	45.5	53.3	78.8	64.2	68.9	78.8
0.405	79.6	74.2		75.9	76.6	· }
						- /
0.410	64.9	62.6	65/8	67.5	65.1	65.8
0.425	85.3	82.5	· -/	83.2	84.6	84.9
0.440	75.4	73.7	<u>/</u>	76.7	76.6	77.5
0.455	88.5	87.6	/	87.4	89,4	88.8
0.470	84.7	81.7	Arer .	86.6	83.4	84.8
-	•			· · ·	•	
0.495	90.0	89.3	/ 89.2	90.4	/ 90.2	
0.515	88.4	87.1		88.4	/ 88.6	/
0.550	91.1	90.3	89.7	91.1 /	91.6	92.1
0.560	91.6	91.3.		91.1	92.0	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.600	61.5	52.7	33.1	45.8	40.8	33.1
 •	•	•		· · · /	•	
0.650	2.1	1.2	1.6	1.5	· 2.2	1.6
0.700	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.0
0.750	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.4
				. 1	•	

35.

TABLE III. SPECTRAL TRANSMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS OF OPTICAL COUPONS (Cont'd)

· .			monneeune			
Wavelength	•	· .	Coupor	Number		Υ
, microns	6a*	6b*	6c*	7a	7ь	7c
0.550	89.9	.85.8		90.6	84.8	
0.570	92.6	77.4		91.7		
0.600	81.i	46.2	49.1	71.9	37.1 .	49.1
0.650	2. 1	4.6	1.2	1.6	2.4	1.2
0.700	1,0	1.2	1.0	0.5	1.2	1.0
0.750	1.6	2.6		1.0	3.4	
0.800	6.8	20.1,`	26.6	6.7	23.1	26.6
· 0.860	80.6	57.7	58.8	79.7	56.9	58.8
0.900	46.6	57.9	56.1	, 45.8	56.9	56.1
1.000	89.5	81.9	83.2	90.6	81.1	83.2
1.100	- 59.9	62.9	64.0	59.6	62.2	64.0
1.200	82.7	79.7	86.7	81.8	81.9	86.7
1.250	92.1	88.2		91.2	87.6	90.0
1.300	86.8	85.3	84.2	84.4	83.4	84.2
1.400	72 . 1, S	74.7	74.4	68.2	73.6	74.4
1.500	79.1	78.9	80.7	77.6	80.1	80, 7
1.600	90.5	88.0	90.7	88.6	88.7	90.7
1.630	91.6	. 89.0	90.9	89.1	89.0	90.9
1.700	89.0	88.0	88.4	87.5	87.3	88.4
1.800	80.6	' 82. 3	81.7	77.6	81.5	81.7
1.900	75.3	78.0	78.1	71.9	78.8	75.8
2.000	72.8	74.9	76.4	69.4	76.6	73.3
2.060	72.8			69.3	'	
2.100	72.8	. 72.7	75.4	67.7	72.1	69.3
2.170	70.5			61.5	· • • •	
2.200	71.1	70.6	74.0	62.7	67.5	65.4
2.230	70.7			64.6	68.3	
2. 300	69.3	-69.9	71.0	63.5	68.0	64.3
2.400	58.2	61.3	61.0	48.7	59.8	55.5
2.500	50.5	53.3	55.0	43.4	52.8	45.6
1. 1.		* /			• •	

% Transmittance

. 36

TABLE III. SPECTRAL TRANSMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS . OF OPTIGAL COUPONS (Cont'd)

% Transmittance

λ , microns Ba^{*} Bb^{+} Bc^{*} $9a^{*}$ $9b^{*}$ $9c^{*}$ 0.2500.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.2600.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.2800.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.2900.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.3000.00.00.00.00.00.00.3100.00.00.00.00.00.00.3200.00.00.00.00.00.00.3400.50.80.40.50.80.40.3506.35.57.06.76.50.35017.08.210/014.85.510.00.36528.420.927.126.324.127.10.36528.420.927.126.324.127.10.36528.420.927.126.378.80.4050.00.00.077.40.41067.063.165.867.063.965.80.42582.276.984.981.783.784.90.42582.182.184.882.680.982.30.44075.974.777.376.373.775.10.45587.483.388.886.987.388.80.47	•	Wavelength		· · · · ·	Coupon	Number	·		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		λ, microns	8a*	8b*	8c*	.9a	9Ъ	9c	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				▲				•	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.250	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	° 0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.260	0.0	- 0.0	0.0	Ò. O	0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.270	0.0	- 0.0	0.0 -	σ.ο	0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·	0.280	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.200	0.10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•	0.2/0				•••• ·			•
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.300	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$:	0.310	• 0.0 ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.320	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
0.340 0.5 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.8 0.4 0.345 1.6 2.0 $$ 2.1 2.5 $$ 0.350 6.3 5.5 6.5 7.0 6.7 6.5 0.350 17.0 8.2 10.0 14.8 5.5 10.0 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.380 14.7 9.9 12.7 14.7 11.9 12.7 0.400 75.9 70.4 78.8 76.4 63.5 78.8 0.405 0.0 0.0 $$ 0.0 77.4 $$ 0.410 67.0 63.1 65.8 67.0 63.9 65.8 0.425 82.2 76.9 84.9 81.7 83.7 84.9 0.440 75.9 74.7 77.3 76.3 73.7 75.1 0.455 87.4 83.3 88.8 86.9 87.3 88.8 0.470 82.1 82.1 84.8 82.6 80.9 82.3 0.495 89.5 87.3 $$ 87.9 87.3 $$ 0.550 91.5 88.9 93.2 91.1 91.4 91.1 0.560 90.5 90.2 92.4 91.1 91.8 90.6	:	0.330	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.340	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					• •	•		•	
0.350 6.3 5.5 6.5 7.0 6.7 6.5 0.350 17.0 8.2 10.0 14.8 5.5 10.0 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.365 28.4 20.9 27.1 26.3 24.1 27.1 0.380 14.7 9.9 12.7 14.7 11.9 12.7 0.400 75.9 70.4 78.8 76.4 63.5 78.8 0.405 0.0 0.0 $$ 0.0 77.4 $$ 0.410 67.0 63.1 65.8 67.0 63.9 65.8 0.425 82.2 76.9 84.9 81.7 83.7 84.9 0.440 75.9 74.7 77.3 76.3 73.7 75.1 0.455 87.4 83.3 88.8 86.9 87.3 88.8 0.470 82.1 82.1 82.1 84.8 82.6 80.9 82.3 0.495 89.5 87.3 $$ 87.9 87.3 $$ 0.550 91.5 88.5 93.2 91.1 91.4 91.1 0.560 90.5 90.2 92.4 91.1 91.8 90.6 0.650 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.6 $2.$		0.345	1.6	2.0		2.1	2.5		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.350	6.3	5.5	6.5 -	7.0	6.7	6.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•		• •			σ.		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•	0.350	[©] 17.0	8.2	10.0	14.8	5.5	10.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.365	28.4	./ 20.9	27.1	26.3	24.1	27.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	9.380	.14.7 \	/ .9.9	12.7	14.7	11.9	12.7	
0.405 $0.0.$ $0.0.$ $$ 0.0 77.4 $$ 0.410 67.0 63.1 65.8 67.0 63.9 65.8 0.425 82.2 76.9 84.9 81.7 83.7 84.9 0.440 75.9 74.7 77.3 76.3 73.7 75.1 0.455 87.4 83.3 88.8 86.9 87.3 88.8 0.470 82.1 82.1 84.8 82.6 80.9 82.3 0.495 89.5 87.3 $$ 87.9 87.3 $$ 0.550 91.5 88.5 93.2 91.1 91.4 91.1 0.560 90.5 90.2 92.4 91.1 91.8 90.6 0.600 29.0 24.9 33.1 28.0 36.0 33.1 0.650 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.6 2.2 1.6 0.700 1.0^{-} 1.2 1.0 1.0^{-} 1.4 1.0		0.400	75.9	70.4	78.8	76.4	63.5	78.8	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.405	0.0.	0.0		0.0	77.4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			•		. 1 .	.* .	. •		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.410	67.0	63.1	65.8	67.0	63.9	65.8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.425	82.2	75.9	84.9	81.7	83.7	84.9	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.440	75.9	74.7 🖊	77.3	76.3	73.7	75.1	·
0.470 82.1 82.1 84.8 82.6 80.9 82.3 0.495 89.5 87.3 $.91.3$ 89.9 89.5 89.2 0.515 88.9 87.3 $$ 87.9 87.3 $$ 0.550 91.5 88.5 93.2 91.1 91.4 91.1 0.560 90.5 90.2 92.4 91.1 91.8 90.6 0.600 29.0 24.9 33.1 28.0 36.0 33.1 0.650 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.6 2.2 1.6 0.700 1.0^{\prime} 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.4 1.0 0.750 2.1 1.6 1.4 2.1 2.0 1.4		0.455		83.3	288.8 [′] -	86.9	87.3	- 88.8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ఫ	0.470	82.1	82.1	84.8	82.6	80.9	82.3	•
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				•		,a			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.495	89.5	∖∖87.3	.91.3	89.9	89.5	89.2	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.515	88.9 ·	87.3		87.9	87.3	~	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.550	91.5	88.5	93.2	91.1	.91.4	91.1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.560	90.5	90.2	92.4	91.1	91.8	90.6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•	0.600	29.0	24.9	33.1	28.0	· 36.0	33 . I	•
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · ·		•	•		· · ·	1 <u>1</u> 4 5 5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.650	. 1.6	1.4	1.6	1.6 \	2.2	1.6	
0.750 , 2.1 1.6 1.4 < 2.1 2.0 1.4	•	0.700	1.04	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.0	
		0.750	. 2.1	1.6	1.4	2.1	2.0	1.4	·

37

•

۰.

% Transmittance

Wayalangth		• _ •	Coupon	upon Number		
, microns	8a.*	8b*	8c*	• 9a	9b	9c
	00.5	82.0		90.0	83.2 1	
0.550	• 01 5			91.6		
	62.7	34 7	. 49:1 -	49.5	32.7	49.1
0.600	5.2		1.2	1.6	2.2	1.2
P U.65U	5.6	10	T.O.	0.5	- 1.2	1.0
0.700	0.5	. 4.0			• 7	."
0.750	0.5	3.8	· · ·	1.0	4.4	
0,800	5.2	25.4	26.6	.4.7	25.9	26.6
0.860	79.6	60.5	58.8	79.6	58.6	58.8
	46.6	61.0	56.1	46.6	58-9	56.1
1 000	Å 80 5	82.3	83.2	89.5	81.9 °	83-2
1.000	. 07.5				•	•
1 100 '	60.2	66.7	64.0	59.7	63.9	64.0
1.100		83.3	86.7	83.2	83.7	86.7
1.200 N 250	02.2	88.0	90.0	91.1	88.6	90.0
1.250	95 3	84 7	84.2	. 83.8	83.8	84.2
	71 6	77 3	74.4	71.1	74.5	74.4
1.400	11.0	· · · · · ·			•	•
1 500	80.0	82.5	80.7	80.0	80.7	80.7
F. 500	00.0	80 5	90.7	89.5	89.0	90.7
1.500	90.0	* 90. 0	90.9	89.5	89.2	90.9
1.030	90.5 104 0	0747	98 4	86.4	87.3	88.4
1.700	70 5	** 92 7-	781 7	78.5	. 80.9	81.7
1.800	(8	09-1		10		
	74.3	01 E	76.9	. 73.8	76.6	76.9
1.900	74.2		74 9	72.1	73.9	74.9
2.000	72.2	· 17.0	• • •	72.6		
2.060		77 0	72.7	72.6	72.9	73.7
2.100.		11.0 -		68 6	·····	
2.180	08- 0	-	·	00,0	έ <u>γ</u>	•
/	- 69°5	73 9	71.5	68.6	71.0	,71.5
2.200	60 5			69.6	70.0	
2.230	66 7	72 0	67.0	66.5	68.4	67.0
• 2. 300	52 7	60 /3	56.7	× 54.0	, 56.5	56.7
2.400	03. fr	00.5		- 11 '		1 01

- 4

and the second second

Visual inspection of the optical coupons revealed various size droplets of a clear liquid on the front surfaces of the test specimens. Figures 17, 18, 19, '20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 are 4.4X enlargements of photographs of the front surfaces of coupon Nos 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, and 8. The test specimens experiencing the most contaminant were coupons Nos 1, 2, 6, and 7 which were located fairly close to the exhaust plume centerline. Some of these photographs, Figures 19, 20, and 22 give the misleading appearance of having small pits or bubbles extending into the surfaces of the samples, in reality these are small droplets of condensate on the surface of the optics. Visual inspection of control optical coupons 4 and 9, which were shielded from the ACR exhaust plume exhibited a minute amount of tiny droplets reference Figures 23 and 24. There is no explanation as to how these optical specimens were contaminated since the control solar cells Nos 7 and 8, which were very clean, following completion of test, were installed in the same reference specimen module.

IN SITU MEASUREMENTS - SOLAR CELLS AND OPTICS

The measurements taken during test showed a slight increase in solar cell output (cells 1 through of which varied from 0.8 to 3.9 millivolts. The measurement of solar cell No. 1, reference Figure 25, is typical of the measurement profile for cells 2 through 6. These data do not correlate with the laboratory post-test measurements which indicated in most cases a slight deterioration. When the in situ measurements were taken, the contaminant on the solar cells had the appearance of a frost-like material as previously mentioned, whereas during the laboratory measurements the contaminant had the appearance of a viscous material. It is unknown whether the change in contaminant structure had any bearing in the slight differences between the in situ and laboratory type measurement.

The optical measurements revealed a variation in the transmittance value of the optics located near the conterline of the exhaust plume. The measurement of optical coupon No. 6, reference Figure 26, is typical





•













ľ H UNDER VACUL 300 -----. MEASUREMENTS OF SOLAR CELL #1 OCKET ENGINE PULSES 27 i 200 i Ŧ NTIS N

In SITU Measurements of Solar Cell No. 1. Under Vacuum

Figure 25.

יאטראר בצר סטדפעד אוורגואסנדא -



of the transmittance variation also noted for coupons Nos 1 and 7. It is surmised that this variation in optical transmittance was caused by the deposit of the frost-like material on the face of the test specimens causing a light scattering effect. The measurement for coupon No. 2 was lost during test due to the malfunction of the photocell. The transmittance measurements of coupons Nos 3 and 8 showed no significant change in transmittance value. These coupons experienced the least contamination, reference Figure 9, since they were located on the outer edge of the contaminated area.

Following completion of the test program, a cursory investigation was conducted to determine what caused the variation in optical transmittance of those specimens located in the area where the Heaviest concentration of exhaust contamination occurred. The same test hardware, i. e., cross-slit collimator and optical coupon were enclosed in a column $4'' \times 4'' \times 11''$. A 1/2 inch opening was provided at the top of the column for the light source which was an incandescent light bulb. Moreover, to eliminate reflection, the internal surfaces of the column were sprayed with a flat black paint. The material used for the test was frost from a freezer unit which closely resembled the exhaust contaminant structure under vacuum conditions. Following the pre-test measurement, frost was applied to the face of the optics and immediately there was a substantial decrease in transmittance, however, when the test specimen was rotated and/or moved laterally there were fluctuations in the transmittance values in the order of 30 to 40 percent.

SECTION VII

ANALYSIS OF THE EXHAUST CONTAMINANT

Upon completion of the solar cell and optical test series, the contamination coupon was removed from the main test specimen module, under a GN₂ environment and placed in a special plastic container for shipment to the Laboratory for analysis. In addition, a sample of exhaust contaminant was obtained from the rocket engine nozzle lip for analysis. This contaminant was handled in the same manner as the contamination coupon. Prior to removal of the contaminant samples from the altitude chamber a visual inspection revealed: (1) The contaminant on the surface of the contamination coupon had the appearance of white crystals in the form of needles and (2) The contaminant on the rocket engine nozzle lip had the same structural appearance, but was brownish in color. The analysis of the contaminant, using an infrared spectrophotometer, showed it to be monomethylhydrazine nitrate.

SECTION VIII

THERMAL CONTROL COATING TESTS

A. TEST CONFIGURATION

The test hardware, reference Figure 27, consisted of a flat surface panel, 36 by 44 inches, which incorporated seven flush-mounted thermalcontrol paint coated coupons. The support stands for the test panel incorporated longitudinal and vertical adjustment features for proper positioning of the thermal paint coupons with relation to the rocket engine nozzle exit plane and rocket engine nozzle centerline.

The thermal-control coating was applied to a 3-inch-diameter aluminum disk which was epoxy-resin-bonded to a teflon flange (reference Figure 28). The purpose of the teflon flange was to minimize heat transfer between the coupon and the spacecraft panel during taking of in situ measurements with the Sylvania sun guns. The test coupons were attached to the spacecraft panel by the use of several wing nuts to facilitate their removal under a gaseous nitrogen atmosphere, upon completion of tests.

The instrumentation consisted of iron constantan (IC) thermocouples attached to the underside of the coupons, and the test data were recorded on a Leeds Northrup Type "G" recorder. Prior to testing, baseline measurements were taken of the coupons which consisted of measuring temperature rise and temperature at equilibrium over a 30-minute period using standard illumination. Recordings were taken every 5 minutes during this time period. During tests, temperature measurements were taken following 100, 200, 300, and 400 ACR firings at an engine pulse width of 100 milliseconds per firing.





B. TEST POSITION

The test coupons, reference Figure 29, were positioned parallel to the ACR plume centerline and the distance from the centerline of the rocket engine to the surface of the panel was 3.5 inches. The Sylvania Sun Guns were positioned directly above the test specimens. The lamp reflectors were protected from ACR exhaust particles during engine firings by the use of a solenoid-actuated protective shield.

C. TEST CONDITIONS

Test specimens were subjected to 400 ACR firings at an engine pulse width of 100'milliseconds. The start altitude for the ACR firing was 400,000 feet and upon completion of a firing the altitude decreased to 205,000 feet. The altitude recovery time between engine pulses was approximately five minutes. In situ measurements were taken following 100, 200, 300, and 400 ACR firings. During the test series the engine injector temperature varied between $32^{\circ}F$ and $74^{\circ}F$. The temperature of the spacecraft panel varied between $31^{\circ}F$ and $50^{\circ}F$.

D. TEST RESULTS

The following is a summation of the test data, relative to the pre-test and post-test measurements of the thermal paint coupons, which was extracted from the Air Force Materials Laboratory Technical Memorandum MAY-TM-70-2, "Spectral Reflectance Measurements on Thermal Control Paint Coupons Before and After Exposure to the Plume of a Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocket," dated May 1970. The data, reference Figures 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36, reveal that all the test specimens experienced a decrease in reflectance which varied from 6 to 25 percent in the region of 0.42 microns. Coupon number 2, which was located 5 inches from the nozzle exit plane, showed a general decrease in reflectance throughout the entire micron range. The remaining coupons 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 showed a slight decrease in reflectance between 0.42 to 0.8 microns and 1. \clubsuit to 2.0 microns. Visual inspection of the coupons showed that the
















surface texture of the paint coupons changed from a glossy to a dull finish as a result of repeated ACR firings. There also were brownish spots on some of the coupons located close to the rocket engine nozzle exit. This contaminant, in all probability, came from the engine nozzle lip, reference Figure 37.

64

١.

. .



SECTION IX

CONCLUSIONS

Direct plume impingement on optics and solar cells can result in a build-up of monomethylhydrazine nitrate in the form of a crystal-like structure. This condition can result in light scattering and/or image distortion of the optics. With regard to the solar cells, some deterioration in short circuit current and maximum power output will be experienced.

The thermal paint coupons which were subjected to parallel plume impingement experienced very little change in spectral reflectance with the exception of coupon number 2 which was located five (5) inches downstream of the rocket nozzle exit.

The texture of the exhaust contaminant changes from a crystalline structure when under vacuum conditions to an oily substance when exposed to the atmosphere.

SECTION X

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that laboratory-type equipment be used, if possible, in order to obtain realistic-type measurements under vacuum conditions, relative to changes in the operational characteristics of spaceborne equipment subjected to ACR plume contamination. The present method of removing the specimens from the vacuum environment for measurement in the laboratory under atmospheric conditions, presents several problems. These problems are (1) the change in contaminant structure prior to final laboratory measurements; (2) subjecting test specimens to air contamination and (3) time delay from completion of ' tests to obtainment of post-test measurements.

67

AUTHOR'S BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

PAUL J. MARTINKOVIC Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory Edwards, California

Project Engineer, Propulsion Subsystems Branch, Liquid Rocket Division. Prior to retiring from the United States Air Force, was assigned to the Aeropropulsion Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, where he served as an assistant project engineer in the area of propulsion and vehicle integration design. In addition to his assigned duties, he was responsible for the safety aspects of fires and explosions in propulsion system installations. During the past 10 years he has been assigned to the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory, where he has been involved in space testing to define vehicle and space propulsion integration problems. Author of technical documents on space vehicle hazards, propellant frost effects on the operation of rocket engine propellant valves and injectors, monopropellant and bipropellant attitude-control rocket engine plume effects on thermal paint, optics and solar cells. Currently is involved in the testing of space engines under vacuum conditions.

- · · ·				
• • •		r'	• · ·	
an a	د معاون بروسنده (۱۹۵۹هم) ویو وی	allala on the state of the state	ى ئەرىيەنىدۇنىڭ ھىرىمىيۇن بىلەر ئايەيۇرىيىيۇنىيەتكەنلەك بىل ىغۇرىغىرىغىرىغەر يەلىكىيە بەكلىكە بەكىلەك ھەتلەك بەك يەرىيەنىدۇنىڭ ھىرىيەتلەر بىلەر ئايەيلەر ئىلەيلەك يېلىكىيەتكە يەكلىكىيەتكە بەكلىكىيەتكە بەكلىكىيەتكە بەكلىكە بەك	() - 1944-1962-19-196-196 -
UNCLASSIFIED			· · ·	•
Security Classification	ENT CONTROL PA	TA-RAD		
Security classification of IIIIo, body of abstract	and indexing annotation	must be entered when the	overall report is (lassified)	
Dept. of the Air Force. AFSC	• •	Uncl	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
Air Force Rocket Propulsion Lab	oratory	26. GROUP		
Edwards, California 93523		N/A		
Bipropellant Attitude Control Rocl Thermal Paint	ket (ACR) Plum	ne Effects on S	olar Cells, Optics	and
CESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive de	((()))	······································		
L'INAI NOVEMBER 1969 - June 1970 AUTHOR(3) (First nears, middle initial, last nears)	``````````````````````````````	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Martinkovic, Paul J.				
REPORT DATE	74. TOTA	AL NO. OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS	
August 1970		74	2	
N/A	94. ORIG	INATORS REPORT NUM	SER(3)	
A PROJECT NO.		AFRPL-TR-7	U-87	
Scientific on Tech Area		ER REPORT HOIS /Am	thet sumbers that may be seen	
NI 5900 Spacecraft		operi)		
014700 Rocket Propellants				·
D14700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to specia mations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523	al export contr for approval of	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOI	ansmittal to foreig R-STINFO)	n /
DI4700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to specia nations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523	al export contrior approval of	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOI	ansmittal to foreig R-STINFO)	n
D14700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to specia nations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523	al export contrior approval of bor Depa l Depa Air H	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOI internet of the Force Rocket P	ansmittal to foreig C-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato	n
DI4700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to specia nations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523 - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A	al export contr for approval of l'Depa Air H Edwa	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOI infiment of the Force Rocket P ards, California	ansmittal to foreig R-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato	n
DI4700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to special nations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A	al export contr ior approval of Depa Air I Edwa	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOF Infiment of the A Force Rocket P Irds, California	ansmittal to foreig C-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato A	n ory
N/A ABSTRACT Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Option Spacecraft, i.e., a change, if any equipment and (2) identify the cont conditions using a Marquardt RIE were introgen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and the test data revealed that the b obume does have an effect on the o equipment; in varying degrees, de- relation to the rocket engine nozzl dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contrior approval of Depa Air H Edwa dits of the Bipr tics and Therm aust plume effer, in the operation attitude contro d monomethylb ipropellant att operational cha ependent upon t e exit. The exit	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine hydrazine (MMI itude control re- racteristics of the location of the chaust plume control	ansmittal to foreig ATT Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis bocket engine exhaut the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
NA OI 4700 Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to special hations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A Asstract This report presents the result Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opti- effort were to: (1) determine exha- pacecraft, i.e., a change, if any equipment and (2) identify the conti- conditions using a Marquardt RIE vere nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and if the test data revealed that the b- lume does have an effect on the o- quipment, in varying degrees, de- elation to the rocket engine nozzli dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contri for approval of Depa Air F Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operation taminant. Tes attitude contro d monomethylb ipropellant att operational cha ependent upon the exit. The est enitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine ydrazine (MMI itude control re- racteristics of the location of the chaust plume control	ansmittal to foreig A-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis ocket engine exhau the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
N/A ABSTRACT This report presents the result Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opt Advisor were to: (1) determine exhi- pacecraft; i.e., a change, if any equipment and (2) identify the cont conditions using a Marquardt RIE vere nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and the test data revealed that the b blume does have an effect on the o quipment, in varying degrees, de elation to the rocket engine nozzl dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contrior approval of Depa Air H Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therma aust plume effer in the operational the attitude contro d monomethylbr ipropellant atti- perational chase e exit. The estimates and the formation of th	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional characte: ts were conduc- ol rocket engine itude control re- racteristics of the location of the chaust plume control re-	ansmittal to foreig ATT Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants I). The analysis bocket engine exhaut the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
DIA700 Rocket Propellants DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document is subject to special nations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 EUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A ABSTRACT This report presents the result Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opt effort were to: (1) determine exha- pacecraft, i.e., a change, if any equipment and (2) identify the cont conditions using a Marquardt RIE vere nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and if the test data revealed that the b- blume does have an effect on the or- equipment, in varying degrees, de- elation to the rocket engine nozzl dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contri for approval of Depa Air F Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operation attitude contro d monomethylk ipropellant att perational cha ependent upon the exit. The est enitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc ol rocket engine ydrazine (MMI itude control re racteristics of the location of the chaust plume co	ansmittal to foreig A-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis ocket engine exhau the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
DIA700 Rocket Propellants DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document is subject to special nations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 	al export contrior approval of Depa Air H Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therma aust plume effer in the operation attitude control d monomethylbr ipropellant att operational cha ependent upon the e mitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine wydrazine (MMI itude control ro racteristics of the location of the chaust plume co	ansmittal to foreig ATT Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis ocket engine exhaut the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
Diaron Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to special hations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 EUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A Asstract This report presents the resul- Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opti- effort were to: (1) determine exha- pacecraft, i.e., a change, if any quipment and (2) identify the conti- conditions using a Marquardt RIE vere nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and if the test data revealed that the b- blume does have an effect on the o- quipment, in varying degrees, de- elation to the rocket engine nozzi dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contri for approval of Depa Air I Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operational char attitude contro d monomethylk ipropellant att perational char ependent upon the e mitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P and a control of the A force Rocket P and a control of the A construction of the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine and a control rocket engine and a control rocket engine and a control rocket engine the location of the chaust plume control rocket engine the location of the control rocket engine the location of the control rocket engine the location of the location of the location of the location of the control rocket engine the location of the location of t	ansmittal to foreig ASTINFO) AT Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants H). The analysis ocket engine exhaus the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
Diarrow Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to special hations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 - EWEPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A Asstract This report presents the result Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opti- effort were to: (1) determine exha- pacecraft, i.e., a change, if any equipment and (2) identify the conti- conditions using a Marquardt RIE vere nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and if the test data revealed that the b- lume does have an effect on the o- quipment, in varying degrees, de- elation to the rocket engine nozzli dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contri for approval of Depa Air F Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operation taminant. Tes attitude contro d monomethylb ipropellant att operational cha ependent upon the exit. The est enitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine hydrazine (MMI itude control re- racteristics of the location of the chaust plume control	ansmittal to foreig A-STINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional surfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis ocket engine exhau the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
Di 4700 Rocket Propellants Di 957mis document is subject to specia hations may be made only with pri Edwards, California 93523 Edwards, California 93523	al export contri for approval of Depa Air I Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operation attitude contro d monomethylk ipropellant att operational cha ependent upon t e exit. The est e nitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P and a control of the A force Rocket P and a control of the A control character to the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine and a control rocket engine and a control rocket engine the location of the chaust plume control rocket engine the location of the control rocket engine the location of the control rocket engine the location of the location of th	ansmittal to foreig ASTINFO) Air Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants H). The analysis ocket engine exhaus the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n
Diaron Rocket Propellants Distribution statement This document is subject to special hations may be made only with pri- Edwards, California 93523 EUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A Asstract This report presents the result Plume Effects on Solar Cells, Opti ffort were to: (1) determine exhi- pacecraft, i.e., a change, if any quipment and (2) identify the contor onditions using a Marquardt RIE were nitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) and f the test data revealed that the b- lume does have an effect on the or quipment, in varying degrees, de elation to the rocket engine nozzl dentified as monomethylhydrazine	al export contri for approval of Depa Air F Edwa alts of the Bipr dics and Therm aust plume effer , in the operation attitude contro d monomethylb ipropellant att perational cha ependent upon the exit. The est enitrate.	ols and each tr AFRPL (RPOR Force Rocket P ards, California opellant Attitud al Paint. The ects on the func- tional character ts were conduc- ol rocket engine hydrazine (MMH itude control re- racteristics of the location of the chaust plume control re-	ansmittal to foreig ASTINFO AT Force/AFSC ropulsion Laborato a le Rocket (ACR) objectives of this tional curfaces of a ristics of this ted under vacuum e. The propellants f). The analysis ocket engine exhaust the spaceborne the equipment with ontaminant has bee	n ory a st n

