File lopy



BB R I 1482

Y

1

١

1

REPORT NO. 1492

STABILIZATION OF A LIQUID-FILLED SHELL BY INSERTING A CYLINDRICAL PARTITION IN THE LIQUID CAVITY

by

J. T. Frasier W. P. D'Amico

August 1970

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U.S. ARMY ABERDEEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

•

.

.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents. BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT NO. 1492

AUGUST 1970

STABILIZATION OF A LIQUID-FILLED SHELL BY INSERTING A CYLINDRICAL PARTITION IN THE LIQUID CAVITY

J. T. Frasier Terminal Ballistics Laboratory

W. P. D'Amico

Exterior Ballistics Laboratory

Presented at and published in the proceedings of the 8th Navy Symposium on Aeroballistics

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

RDT&E 1W542703D344

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT NO. 1492

JTFrasier/WPD'Amico/lse Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. August 1970

STABILIZATION OF A LIQUID-FILLED SHELL BY INSERTING A CYLINDRICAL PARTITION IN THE LIQUID CAVITY

ABSTRACT

In July 1968, the XM613, a 107mm, WP mortar shell, was range tested at Yuma Proving Ground. The projectile had a history of flight instabilities when the WP was in the liquid state. Specially modified rounds incorporating a cylindrical partition mounted concentrically to the central burster experienced stable flights. The rationale of inserting cylindrical partitions in liquid-filled shell is explained as being a straightforward and flexible method of changing internal geometries to produce stable flight by means of understood design procedures.

3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

.

			Page
	ABSTRACT	•	3
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	•	7
I.	INTRODUCTION	•	9
II.	LIQUID-FILLED PROJECTILE STABILITY	•	9
	Stewartson's Theory of Stability	•	10
	Assumptions of Stewartson's Analysis	•	11
	Results of Stewartson's Analysis	•	13
III.	.STABILITY OF A SHELL WITH A CENTRAL ROD ALONG ITS PAYLOAD COMPARTMENT	•	20
IV.	RATIONALE FOR THE INSERTION OF A CYLINDRICAL		
	PARTITION	•	20
v.	CONCLUSIONS	•	26
	REFERENCES	•	27
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	•	29

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	<u>No.</u>	Page
1	Resonance Curve for Liquid-Filled Projectile	19
2	Typical Shell Cavities	22
3	Physical Characteristics of the XM613	23
4	Nondimensional Frequencies Versus Partition Outside Radii	25

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade investigators at the U.S. Army Ballistics Research Laboratories have conducted theoretical and experimental studies of the causes of flight instability of liquid-filled projectiles. Recently, these studies led to a rationale for the stabilization of such projectiles by incorporating within their payload compartment, an axially aligned cylindrical partition [1a]. A limited, but successful, field test of the design rationale was made in July 1968.

The XM 613, a 107mm, spin stabilized, WP (white phosphorous) mortar shell, was range tested at Yuma Proving Ground. The projectile had a history of flight instabilities when the WP was in the liquid state. Specially modified rounds incorporating cylindrical partitions experienced stable flights. Limited resources allowed the testing of only two rounds, and therefore conclusive proof testing of the rationale cannot be claimed. However, the insertion of cylindrical partitions in liquid-filled projectiles is a straight-forward and flexible method to produce stable flight by means of well-founded design procedures. In fact it is the most flexible means for "a priori" design of a stable liquid-filled-projectile available to munitions designers today. This method is not seen as a panacea, but it does represent a major improvement over previous "ad hoc" procedures.

Our intention in the present paper is to explain the rationale for using a cylindrical partition to achieve well-behaved flights of liquid-filled shell. This is a simple task if we assume our audience is familiar with the work done at the BRL over the past ten years. This assumption is not likely to be valid, however. We will begin our presentation with a brief discussion of the problem of liquid-filled projectiles and our current understanding of the causes of their ills. Having done this, the use of cylindrical partitions in the payload compartment to achieve stability will be explained.

II. LIQUID-FILLED PROJECTILE STABILITY

WP rounds, one of the most common types of liquid-filled projectiles, have long been infamous for their poor flight behavior, (WP melts at 112^oF). A major step toward understanding the physical realities of this behavior was achieved by Stewartson when he published

Numbers in square brackets denote references found at the end of the paper.

an analysis of the stability of a spinning top containing liquid in a cylindrical cavity [2]. This work provided a clear definition of the basic mechanisms causing flight instabilities of liquid-filled projectiles and was the basis for further analytical and experimental research. A summary description of the current level of knowledge concerning liquid-filled projectiles is given very conveniently by using the conditions, assumptions, and results of Stewartson's analysis as a foundation. Theoretical and practical advances are, in large measure, the consequence of relaxation of the assumptions of Stewartson's analysis.

STEWARTSON'S THEORY OF STABILITY

Stewartson's theory concerns the flight stability of a spinning shell with a right circular cylindrical cavity either wholly or partially filled with liquid. Results of the theory show that growth of the nutational component of the projectile's yaw is possible under adverse combinations of the geometrical and physical characteristics of the projectile and its liquid filler. Instabilities are a consequence of the nutational frequency of the shell being hazardously close to certain natural frequencies of the liquid. This can be described as a condition of resonance. When this condition occurs, oscillations of the liquid produce a periodic moment (couple) on the shell casing and lead to a growth in yaw.

The theory is valuable for several reasons. First, it provides a clear understanding of the physical phenomena through which liquids produce instabilities in spinning projectiles, namely, the resonance type behavior mentioned above. This basic mechanism of instability applies to cavities of all geometries and not just cylinders. Second, the assumptions and conditions of the theory supply a useful framework to discuss the work at the BRL. The advances represented by the latter efforts are, in many instances, the consequence of modifications or relaxation of Stewartson's assumptions and conditions.

Stewartson's theory is based upon assumptions and stipulations that define the situations for which it is valid. Here we will review the most important of these factors and attempt to point out their physical significance. When appropriate, research advances by other investigators will be mentioned and references to their work cited. Certain of the stipulations are absolute requirements in that if they are not satisfied the theory is invalid. Others are taken as a matter of convenience to simplify the analysis and to clarify the role of the liquid in causing flight instability. An example of an assumption of the latter type is that the overturning moment is the only significant aerodynamic force or moment acting on the shell. Drag, etc., can be included in the analysis but are not essential to its development. Therefore, we shall neglect them to maintain focus on the basic features of interactions between the shell and its liquid. Distinctions between the two types of assumptions will become clear in the course of discussion.

Assumptions of Stewartson's Analysis

The Cavity in the Shell is a Right Circular Cylinder Whose Axis

is Parallel to the Spin Axis of the Projectile. Immediately we see that the theory is restricted to shell with cylindrical cavities. Hence, direct quantitative application of its results is limited to a single geometrical shape. Wedemeyer, however, has achieved a modification of Stewartson's theory through which it is possible to design for other shapes; specifically, cavities whose radii change slowly along their length [3a]. As a consequence, we are able to perform analyses on many practical cavity goemetries. To use Wedemeyer's modification, one must have a working knowledge of the basic Stewartson analysis.

The Aerodynamic Overturning Moment is the Only External Force

or Moment Affecting the Flight of the Shell. We explained above that this assumption is taken for convenience. Gravity and other aerodynamic effects can be taken into account through conventional procedures but are not essential to the theory.

The Shell Flies with Constant Translational Velocity and Spin. This assumption is consistent but implies that the liquid does not influence the spin rate of the projectile. In practice these conditions are never satisfied. For a period after the projectile leaves the gun, the shell's spin decreases because it must spin-up the liquid. Subsequent to liquid spin-up, the shell experiences spin decrease due to drag. Furthermore, the **projectile** encounters translational drag. In general however, once the transient phase of liquid spin-up complete, the drag effects are sufficiently small for the current assumption to be reasonable for most projectiles.

Finally, we remark that this assumption deals with the shell casing - not with the liquid - and relates to the equation of motion written for the projectile. Assumptions below concern the motion of the liquid.

The Gross Motion of the Liquid is a Rigid Body Translation and

Spin Identical to the Translation and Spin of the Projectile. This assumption, in conjunction with the one above, restricts our considerations to the full spin condition of the liquid. The theory does not consider situations where the shell casing and liquid have unequal rigid body spins, nor does it take account of variations in the spin of the liquid.

Wedemeyer [3b] and Scott [4] have performed analyses that allow us to calculate the time required for the liquid to spin-up after a projectile leaves the muzzle. Hence, in practical situations, we can determine when Stewartson's full-spin assumption becomes valid. Usually, it is soon after exit from the muzzle. Furthermore, a semi-empirical analysis is available to determine whether instability is likely to occur during the transient spin-up process [5]. The Spin of the Liquid and the Dimensions of the Cylindrical Cavity Satisfy the Condition.

$$a^2 \Omega^2 >> qc$$

(1)

where a = cavity radius, inches,

- Ω = spin rate of the projectile (and therefore the liquid), rad/sec,
- q = magnitude of the resolved gravity and drag vectors, in/sec^2 ,
- 2c = height of the cavity, inches.

The physical significance of this assumption is that centrifugal forces exerted on the liquid due to its spin far outshadow any forces imposed by gravity or drag. A consequence of the assumption is that the liquid (except when the cavity is completely filled) has the shape of a cylinder with a hollow, cylindrical core^{*}.

Equation (1) must be satisfied for Stewartson's theory to be used. If a shell experiences high drag along with a low spin rate there is a possibility the relation will be violated. Then the liquid will not have a cylindrical core, but will develop a paraboloidal surface. Designers should always verify Equation (1) is satisfied to avoid imprudent application of the theory.

It should be understood that the current assumption is not the external forces assumption. The former condition concerns external forces and moments acting on the shell casing and their effect on the motion of the shell. The present assumption concerns the effect of gravity and drag on the behavior of the liquid.

The Mass of the Liquid is Small Compared to the Total Mass of the Shell. This assumption is one of convenience. It is satisfied for many shell and simplifies the equations of motion for the liquid-shell system. We use it here for these reasons.

The Liquid is Incompressible and Inviscid. The assumption of incompressibility is reasonable for the liquids encountered in actual projectiles. Viscous effects, however, can influence the behavior of liquid-filled projectiles. Fortunately, Wedemeyer has provided an analysis to account for these effects [3c]. His analysis involves a boundary layer correction to the basic, inviscid theory of Stewartson.

> The Final Assumptions Concern the Nature of any Variations to the Rigid Body Translation and Spin of the Shell and Liquid. Any Disturbance to the Shell's Motion is Restricted to Small Amplitude Perturbations Superposed on its Gross Translation and Spin. Correspondingly, the Liquid is Assumed to Experience only Small Amplitude Perturbations to its Large Scale Translation and Spin. The assumption about the shell is the familiar small

yaw situation associated with the linearized equations of yawing motion.

Actually a paraboloid whose vertex is far from the shell.

Similarly, the assumption imposed on the liquid linearizes the equations describing its behavior. By virtue of linearization, the equations for the liquid motions can be solved and their result incorporated into the equations of the motion for the shell.

Results of Stewartson's Analysis

All the basic assumptions and conditions underlying Stewartson's theory were presented above. From these assumptions, we can make a qualitative statement of our problem. Namely, determine the conditions for which a symmetric, rapidly spinning projectile will experience a flight instability as a consequence of having liquid (at full spin) in a cylindrical, axially symmetric cavity. Stewartson attacked this problem in two phases, and it seems most effective to describe his analysis in a similar fashion. First, he considered the behavior of the liquid in a state of rapid rotation within a container that could perform motions similar to those of the yawing motion of a shell. He then combined the problem solution he found for the liquid with the equations of motion for a shell. Upon analysis of the resulting equations it was found that under certain adverse conditions the yaw of the shell will grow without limit.

To describe the behavior of the liquid, we remember that it is confined in a container and that its basic motion involves rigid body spin about an axis with fixed direction. Upon assuming that the axis of the container is subjected to a small disturbance similar to the yawing motion of a shell, it is necessary that the liquid also experiences a disturbance to its basic motion because it must follow the walls of the cavity. Stewartson's solution shows that the liquid conforms to the cavity motion through the exitation of small amplitude oscillations superposed on the rigid body motion. There is an infinite number of discrete frequencies for these oscillations - the natural frequencies (or eigenfrequencies) of the spinning liquid. For an arbitrary motion of the container all the natural frequencies will be excited, but in varying degrees. If, however, the container performs a yawing motion at certain of the eigenfrequencies of the liquid, oscillations at this frequency become predominant, that is, a condition of resonance is established. As we shall describe later, it is this resonance that leads to the instability of a liquid filled projectile.

We should emphasize that the oscillations performed by the liquid are of small amplitude. <u>Sloshing does not occur</u>, but a wave pattern is established in the longitudinal, radial, and circumferential directions of the cavity and there are mode numbers^{*} associated with each direction. For problems of projectile stability, an infinity of the possible longitudinal and radial modes are significant theoretically, but only the first circumferential mode is important. This circumstance is a result of the fact that the pressure fluctuations produced in the

These can be thought of as fundamental wave patterns and harmonics.

liquid by this mode lead to a periodic couple (with the same frequency as that of the oscillating liquid) on the walls of the container. It is this couple which renders a projectile unstable.

Now, we must explain how the natural frequencies of the liquid are determined. Stewartson's theory gives these frequencies in a complicated relation of the form

$$\tau_{nj} = \frac{\omega_{nj}}{\Omega} = f_n \left[\frac{c}{a(2j+1)}, \frac{b^2}{a^2} \right]; n = 1, 2, 3, ...$$
(2)
$$j = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

- where n = radial mode number (the number of nodes in the radial wave pattern),
 - j = longitudinal wave number (2j + 1 = number of nodes in the longitudinal wave pattern),
 - ω_{ni} = natural frequency of the njth node,
 - τ_{ni} = the non-dimensional eigenfrequency of the nj^{th} mode,
 - 2a = diameter of the cavity,
 - 2b = diameter of the cylindrical air core,
 - 2c = cavity length.

Several aspects of Equation (1) should be noted. First, the eigenfrequencies of the liquid are dependent upon the cavity geometry through the ratios c/a and b²/a². The ratio b²/a² is the air volume in the cavity expressed as a fraction of the total cavity volume. Hence $(1 - b^2/a^2)$ is the fraction of the cavity occupied by liquid. Next, we note that the eigenfrequencies depend upon the longitudinal mode number through the ratio c/a(2j + 1) appearing as a variable in f_n. This is a fortunate circumstance, because once τ_{nj} is known for a set of fixed values of c/a(2j + 1), b²/a², and n, the eigenfrequencies are known for all longitudinal modes for which c/a(2j + 1) equals the set value. Finally, Equation (2) shows the frequencies are linearly related to Ω , that is, τ_{nj} is independent of Ω .

As mentioned above, the function of f_n in Equation (1) is a complicated one, and it must be evaluated numerically through machine determination of the poles (singularities) of another equation appearing in the Stewartson analysis. This has been done and the results, the liquid eigenfrequencies, tabulated so that it is possible to make quantitive use of Stewartson's and subsequent work [1b].

This completes our discussion of the natural frequencies of the spinning liquid, which are dependent upon the cavity geometry, i.e., (c/a and b/a). Now, we turn to the questions of how and when

an instability of a liquid-filled shell is produced by the oscillating liquid. To begin we recall our assumptions that the overturning moment is the only significant aerodynamic force or moment acting on the shell and that we are dealing with small yaws. Under these conditions, the motion of the shell (without the liquid) is governed by the relations

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 e^{i\Omega\tau t}$$
(3)
$$I_y \tau^2 - I_x \tau + \frac{I_x^2}{4I_y s} = 0$$
(4)

where I and $I_{\mathbf{y}}$ are, respectively, the axial and transverse moments of inertia of the shell, and

- $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the complex yaw,
- t is time,
- τ is the non-dimensional frequency of the motion of the shell,
- s is the gyroscopic stability factor.

Equation (3) represents the form of the motion of the shell and the values of τ are provided by solution of Equation (4). Since Equation (4) is quadratic, the latter are found easily:

$$\tau_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{I_{x}}{I_{y}} (1 + \sigma)$$
 Nutational frequency (5)

$$\tau_{\rm p} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{{}^{1}x}{{}^{1}y} (1 - \sigma) \qquad \text{Precessional frequency} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\overline{\nu} = \frac{I_x}{I_y} \frac{2\pi}{n} ,$$
$$M = \frac{\rho_a Sd}{2m} K_t^{-2} C_{M_a}$$

 $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{s}},$

 $s = \frac{\overline{v}^2}{4M} ,$

 ρ_{a} = air density , $S = reference \ area \ of \ shell, \ usually \ the \ cross-sectional \ area,$

n = twist of rifling, calibers per turn,

d = diameter of the shell , m = mass of the shell , $K_t^{-2} = md^2/I_y$, $C_{M_{\alpha}}$ = aerodynamic overturning moment coefficient .

It is advantageous to recall here that the shell is stable (the yaw does not grow with time) so long as τ_n and τ_p are real quantities. To achieve this situation we must have s greater than one, a familiar condition for the gyroscopic stability of a projectile. If s is less than one, σ and therefore τ_n and τ_p become imaginary, and an exponential growth of yaw occurs.

Earlier, we stated that the oscillating liquid produces a moment on the casing of the projectile. Now we must describe that moment in functional form and modify Equation (4) to include its effect. Stewartson showed that when the frequency, τ , of the projectile is near any one of the natural frequencies, τ_{nj} , of the liquid, the moment applied to the shell casing is given by

$$\frac{M_{L}}{\Omega^{2}} = -\frac{\rho a^{6} [2R(\tau_{nj})]^{2}/4c}{\tau - \tau_{nj}} , \qquad (7)$$

where

 M_{T} = the moment exerted on the shell by the liquid,

 τ = the frequency of motion of the shell,

- ρ = the density of the liquid,
- $R(\tau_{nj}) = a \text{ (small) constant depending upon } \tau_{nj}$ and is always positive, "the residue".

The quantity R_{nj} is available in the tabulation of liquid eigenfrequencies referred to above [Ib]. We see from Equation (7) that it governs the magnitude of the liquid-moment for a given cavity and frequency, τ_{nj} . Each possible frequency and modal configuration of the liquid involves a specific value of R_{nj} . With regard to the residue, it is convenient to point out a significant feature of its behavior. Namely, for any specific value of frequency, τ_{nj} , the residue decreases greatly for each successively larger value of n (i.e., R_{nj} decreases with increasing radial mode number). Thus, the higher radial modes produce relatively weak liquid-moments. In practice it has been found that modes beyond n = 2 are seldom strong enough to cause projectiles to be unstable.

The moment due to the liquid is a forcing function on the motion of the shell. Thus to account for the presence of the liquid in the equation of motion of the shell we add Equation (7) to the right-hand-side of Equation (4) and obtain

$$I_{y}\tau^{2} - I_{x}\tau + \frac{I_{x}^{2}}{4I_{y}s} = -\frac{\rho a^{6} [2R(\tau_{0})]^{2}/4c}{\tau - \tau_{0}}, \qquad (8)$$

where for convenience, τ_0 has been written in place of τ_{nj} to emphasize that we are now thinking of a specific fluid frequency. By solving Equation (8) for τ , the frequency of the shell's motion and the conditions under which the liquid can produce an unstable flight are determined, (that is, the conditions for which τ has an imaginary part assuming, of course, that s > 1). Here, we shall only summarize the results of this solution. It is found that when τ_0 is close to τ_p , the precessional frequency, no instability occurs. However, if τ_0 is near the nutational frequency, τ_n , Equation (8) has the roots

$$\tau = \left(\frac{\tau_{n} + \tau_{o}}{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_{n} - \tau_{o}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\rho a^{6} [2R(\tau_{o})]^{2}}{4cI_{\mathbf{x}}\sigma}$$
(9)

The condition for instability is provided immediately by Equation (9). When the quantity under the radical is negative, τ has a negative imaginary part as we see by substituting Equation (9) into Equation (3):

$$\lambda = \lambda_{0} \exp\left\{i\Omega\left(\frac{\tau_{n} + \tau_{0}}{2}\right)\tau - i\Omega\sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_{n} - \tau_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\rho a^{6}(2R)^{2}}{4cI_{x}\sigma}t\right\}$$
(10)
$$= \lambda_{0} \exp\left[i\Omega\left(\frac{\tau_{n} + \tau_{0}}{2}\right)t\right] \exp\left(\alpha\Omega t\right) ,$$

$$re \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\rho a^{6}(2R)^{2}}{4cI_{x}\sigma} - \left(\frac{\tau_{n} - \tau_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}}$$

wher

Thus, an exponential growth of the nutational component of yaw occurs when 2

$$\left(\frac{\tau_n - \tau_o}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{\rho a^6 (2R)^2}{4c I_x \sigma} < 0$$

or, written more conveniently, when

$$1 < (\tau_0 - \tau_n)/S^{1/2} < 1$$
 The condition for (11) instability

where S, "Stewartson's Parameter", is

$$S = \frac{\rho a^{5} (2R)^{2}}{I_{x} \sigma (c/a)}$$

When Equation (11) is satisfied, the rate of growth of yaw is [Equation (10)].

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{S - (\tau_{0} - \tau_{n})^{2}} , \qquad (12)$$

or

$$\int_{\overline{S}}^{\frac{2}{5}} \alpha = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\tau_{o} - \tau_{n}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^{2}}$$

Figure 1 is a plot of $(2/S^{1/2})\alpha$ against $(\tau_0 - \tau_n)/S^{1/2}$. This curve, as well as examination of Equations (11) and (12), shows the yaw growth rate to be largest when $\tau_0 = \tau_n$. For non-zero values of $\tau_0 - \tau_n$, the yaw growth rate decreases until it vanishes for $|\tau_0 - \tau_n| = S^{1/2}$.

Equations (11) and (12) are the basic results of the Stewartson theory. They permit us to calculate the conditions producing instability in a given projectile (and therefore a means to avoid these instabilities) and to calculate the strength of the instability, provided, of course, that the assumptions of Stewartson's analysis are satisfied. More accurately, we should say "provided that these conditions are satisfied or that advantage is made of the advances of Karpov [5], Wedemeyer [3] and Scott [4] to relax these restrictions." The work of these investigators was referenced during discussion of the assumptions of Stewartson's analysis. This work is extremely important in that it demonstrated the strengths and weaknesses of the original analysis and made the necessary advances for the practical application of the theory. Space does not permit any discussion of the details of this work. It can be summarized quickly, however, by pointing out that this work considers three points of concern that must be included in the analysis of any genuinely practical situation. Namely,

- Viscous effects in the liquid filler [3c],
- Certain types of non-cylindrical cavities, including those with profiles similar to the ogival shape of conventional artillery projectiles [3a],
- Liquid spin-up effects [3b].



· .

.

. .

III. STABILITY OF A SHELL WITH A CENTRAL ROD ALONG ITS PAYLOAD COMPARTMENT

The preceeding discussion has centered about the problem of the stability of a projectile with a payload cavity that is either partially or completely filled with liquid. For the original Stewartson case this cavity is a right circular cylinder. However, owing to the efforts of Wedemeyer this restriction can be relaxed to treat cavities where a profile radius varies slowly with axial distance [2]. An important feature of these analyses, however, is that when the cavity is partially filled, a cylindrical air core runs along the axis of the cavity. A problem very similar to this and one that is important to the rationale for projectile design involves a core of rigid material along the center of the cavity rather than a flexible air core.

Let us substitute an axially rigid core for Stewartson's flexible air core. Analytical treatment of the problem of flight stability of a projectile carrying liquid in a payload compartment consisting of a right circular cylinder with an axially aligned rigid core is quite similar to that of the Stewartson problem. This problem has recently been solved by Frasier and Scott and has results completely analyogous to those discussed earlier [1c]. Namely, yaw of the projectile grows without limit if the nutational frequency of the projectile is **suff**iciently close to certain of the eigenfrequencies of the spinning liquid payload. More specificially, the constraints apply to the analysis; and the results expressed by Equation (1) through (12) apply with only one qualification. That qualification is that the dimension b used in those equations should be replaced by d, where d is the radius of the rod in the cavity. The residues for this case are numerically distinct from the air core solution.

We should emphasize that the analysis involving the rod requires that all the volume of the cavity after the rod is inserted is completely filled with liquid. Hence, the side walls, end faces, and rod are wetted by liquid at all times. We will know explain how all of the preceeding methods can be combined into procedures for the insertion of a cylindrical partition.

IV. RATIONALE FOR THE INSERTION OF A CYLINDRICAL PARTITION

The rationale for inserting cylindrical partitions in liquidfilled shell is an integrated use of Stewartson's theory and the subsequent extensions to techniques that account for non-cylindrical cavities, rigid central cores, and liquid spin-up. Once castings for a liquid-carrying projectile are made, it is not an easy task to modify the round for the elimination of shell-liquid resonances. Several "ad hoc" approaches for stabilization, longitudinal baffles being the most common, are frequently not successful. It is desirable to establish techniques that make possible "a priori" design control. A concept satisfying this criterion involves the insertion of a cylindrical partition in the payload cavity. Flight instabilities due to liquid payloads are a consequence of resonance between liquid eigenfrequencies and the shell nutational frequency. This matching can occur during liquid spin-up or at a full spin condition. To alleviate such a resonant condition, the shell geometry, the percent of fill, or the shell nutational frequency must be changed. Normally, the liquid payload cannot be significantly altered. A redistribution of mass could shift the shell nutational frequency, but this results in a complete aerodynamic redesign. It appears that a variation in cavity geometry is the best route. This geometry modification should not be a haphazard one.

An intelligent change in geometry can be made by using a cylindrical partition. To illustrate this method, consider the two common shell cavities shown in Figure 2. Non-cylindrical cavities are shown with a burster located in the nose and with a central burster. Assume that the cavity is 95 percent filled with liquid. A cylindrical partition could be mounted about the longitudinal center line, as indicated by the broken lines. These cylinders must be fastened in such a way as to allow the liquid to move over the cylinder edges when it is thrown outward by centrifugal forces. Since the spinning liquid will seek the outermost position, the outer cavity will be 100 percent filled after the liquid spin-up process is completed.

Effectively two cavities result from the insertion of a cylinder in a shell cavity. For Figure 2a, the outer cavity takes up boundary conditions of a non-cylindrical wall and a rigid core (100 percent full). The inner cavity is the case treated by Stewartson, consisting of a partially filled cylindrical cavity [2]. A similar double cavity results in Figure 2b except that the central burster may act as a rigid core [1c] or as a partially wetted rod [6]. If the percent fill is not high enough to cause liquid-burster interference, then both Figure 2a and 2b have the same boundary conditions. Usually a cylinder radius can be selected that will result in a stable flight.

Assume that an analysis of some non-cylindrical cavity reveals a resonant condition. (How could a cylindrical partition be selected to stabilize the projectile?) In the case of the XM613, a 107mm, WP mortar shell, an unstable flight was caused by a transient resonance. The XM613 geometry is shown in Figure 3, with a cylindrical partition in place. It is possible to generate a table of nutational frequencies and rigid core radii that produce resonant conditions for the outer cavity [3a, 1c]. This is done for rigid core, full spin modes. Considering only the first radial mode, Table I lists the longitudinal modes (j = 2, 3, 4, and 5) for a fill ratio of 100 percent over a range of frequencies close to the XM613 nutational frequency of 0.067.



Figure 2. Typical Shell Cavities



Figure 3. Physical Characteristics of the XM613

(U) TABLE I

	d _{j=2}	^d j=3	d _{j=4}	dj=5
<u> </u>	inches	inches	inches	inches
0.00	0.568	0.891	1.036	1.138
0.02	0.616	0.910	1.052	1.156
0.04	0.653	0.927	1.066	1.166
0.06	0.686	0.944	1.081	1.179
0.08	0.718	0.961	1.096	1.194
0.10	0.745	0.978	1.111	1.208

A graphical representation of Table I is shown in Figure 4. The selection of a nonresonant cylinder radius, d, can easily be made. Logical choices would be 0.85" or 1.025". If values of 0.70" or 0.95"were selected, a resonant condition is produced. Once d and a cylinder wall thickness are chosen then the geometry of the inner cavity is fixed. For the XM613, a cylinder outside radius of 1.025" and a wall thickness of 0.10" were selected. The slenderness ratio (height/diameter) of the inner cavity for the j = 0 mode was 5.27. The resulting percent of fill for the inner cavity was 62.5 percent.

The new design was checked for viscous effects and transient resonances [3,7]. Conditions for the XM613 were such that no viscous corrections needed to be made. Transient resonances can occur in either of the cavities. Transient eigenfrequencies cannot be explicitly calculated, but the yaw growth while passing through a transient instability can be approximated by the following equation [5,7].

.

$$\log \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \right) = - \left(\frac{\pi \Omega}{4} \right) \frac{S}{d\tau_0/dt}$$

where α_{0} = yaw angle before transient resonance,

- α_1 = yaw angle after transient resonance,
- Ω = shell spin rate,
- S = Stewartson's parameter,
- τ_{o} = time dependent, non-dimensional eigenfrequency,
- t = time.



If $d\tau_0/dt$ is computed correctly, viscous effects in the spin-up process are taken into account. Assumptions made to extend the above equation to partially filled cylinders or to non-cylindrical, solid core cavities are not overly restrictive. For the inner cavity of the XM613, a transient resonance was located for an effective percent fill of 0.597. Since α_1/α_0 was calculated to be 1.35, no serious problems were expected.

It is quite possible the cylinder radii available from plots such as Figure 4 could still yield serious resonances for either spin-up or full spin conditions. It might then be possible to use more than one cylinder, especially in some of the larger rounds. A multi-cylinder design should still allow for fluid movement, while not decreasing the payload capacity or impairing the manufacturing process. This was not needed for the XM613, which was flown just as shown in Figure 3.

Firings held in July 1968 at Yuma Proving Ground were part of a project termination that included the expenditure of available hardware. Since the XM613 had a history of flight instabilities, blamed upon shell-liquid resonances, this afforded the design engineers a test vehicle for "ad hoc" stabilization methods. At the invitation of personnel from the Artillery and Mortar Section of Picatinny Arsenal and the Weapons Development and Engineering Laboratory of Edgewood Arsenal, the BRL suggested the use of a cylindrical partition. Other modifications made by the MUCOM agencies included the insertion of several types of longitudinal baffles, the use of a gel filler representing thickened WP, and the addition of a sponge material into the shell cavity in an attempt to suspend the WP, i.e., reduce fluid movement. Firings were made from a single tube for a single charge and elevation. Thirty projectiles were thermally cured to a surface temperature of 145°F for a period of twenty-four hours. Four to five rounds were removed from the conditioning oven and fired within thirty minutes until all ammunition was expended. This insured that the filler was in a liquid state. Of the thirty rounds fired only the two rounds that employed cylindrical partitions flew well. These two rounds fell ten meters apart at 6,000 meters.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The small number of rounds that have actually been field tested does not represent statistical proof or conclusive verification of the overall ability of a cylindrical partition to stabilize liquid-filled shell. It does, however, produce a high level of confidence in the technique and in the combined use of many theoretical methods. The use of a cylindrical partition is the only available procedure that has a well-understood theoretical foundation for "ad hoc" stabilization.

REFERENCES

- 1a. J. T. Frasier and W. P. D'Amico, "Stabilization of a Liquid-Filled Shell by Inserting a Cylindrical Partition in the Liquid Cavity," Ballistic Research Laboratories Technical Note 1713, March 1969.
- 1b. J. T. Frasier, "Dynamics of Liquid Filled Shell: Aids for Designers," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1892, December 1967.
- 1c. J. T .Frasier and W. E. Scott, "Dynamics of Liquid-Filled Shell: Cylindrical Cavity with a Central Rod," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1391, February 1968.
- 2. K. Stewartson, "On the Stability of a Spinning Top Containing Liquid," J. of Fluid Mech., Vol. 5, part 4, 1959, pp. 577-592.
- 3a. E. H. Wedemeyer, "Dynamics of Liquid-Filled Shell: Non-Cylindrical Cavity," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1326, August 1966.
- 3b. E. H. Wedemeyer, "The Unsteady Flow Within a Spinning Cylinder," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1225, October 1965.
- 3c. E. H. Wedemeyer, "Viscous Corrections to Stewartson's Stability Criterion," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1325, June 1966.
- 4. W. E. Scott, "A Theoretical Analysis of the Axial Spin Decay of a Spin-Stabilized Liquid-Filled Shell," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1170, August 1962.
- 5. B. G. Karpov, "Dynamics of Liquid-Filled Shell: Instability During Spin-Up," Ballistic Research Laboratories Memorandum Report 1692, January 1965.
- 6. W. P. D'Amico, "Dynamics of a Liquid-Filled Shell: Rod-Liquie Interference," Ballistic Research Laboratories Report 1985, June 1969.
- 7. <u>Liquid-Filled Projectile Design</u>, Engineering Design Handbook of the Army Materiel Command, AMCP 706-165, April 1969.

No. of		No. of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
20	Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: TIPCR Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Electronics Command ATTN: AMSMU-RE Dover, New Jersey 07801
1	Director of Defense Research & Engineering (OSD) ATTN: Asst/Tac Ord & Msl Sys Washington, D. C. 20301	2	U. S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-R AMSMI-RDK,Mr.J.Henderson Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809
1	Headquarters Defense Atomic Support Agency Washington, D. C. 20305	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CL
1	Commanding General U. S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDL Washington, D. C. 20315	2	Warren, Michigan 48090 Commanding Officer U. S. Army Mobility Equipment
1	Commanding General U. S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD, Dr.J.V.R.Kaufman Washington, D. C. 20315		ATTN: Tech Docu Ctr, Bldg 315 AMSME-RZT Fort Belvoir, Virginia 20260
1	Commanding General U. S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-TE Washington, D. C. 20315	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Munitions Command ATTN: AMSMU-RE Dover, New Jersey 07801
1	Commanding General	5	Commanding Officer U. S. Army Edgewood Arsenal

- Commanding General
 U. S. Army Materiel Command
 ATTN: AMCRD-TP
 Washington, D. C. 20315
- Commanding General
 U. S. Army Aviation Systems Command
 ATTN: AMSAV-E
 12th & Spruce Streets
 St. Louis, Missouri 63166
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Army Fort Detrick
 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland

SMUEA-R

SMUEA-W,Mr.R.Whelan

SMUEA-RA, Mr.A.Flatou

Mr.R.Traub

SMUEA-WGM,Mr.W.Dee

ATTN:

21010

29

No. of		No. of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
3 Comma U.S. ATTN:	Army F rankf ord Arsenal SMUFA-C2600 SMUFA-U2000 Mr. J. Regan Mr. D. Matthews	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center ATTN: AMXMR-ATL Watertown Massachusetts 02172
Phila 19137 3 Comma U.S.	ndelphia, Pennsylvania nding Officer Army Picatinny Arsenal	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Natick Laboratories ATTN: AMXRE, Dr. D. Sieling Natick, Massachusetts 01762
ATTN: Dover	SMUPA-DRI Mr. C. Jacobson Mr. H. Brunvoll Mr. D. Mertz r, New Jersey 07801	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
1 Comma U.S. ATTN: Rock	anding General Army Weapons Command AMSWE-R E Island, Illinois 61202	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Combat Arms Group Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027
l Direc U.S. Cor Washi	ctor Army Advanced Materiel Acepts Agency Angton, D. C. 20315	1	Office of Vice Chief of Staff ATTN: CSAVCS-W-TIS Department of the Army Washington, D. C. 20310
1 Direc U.S. Lab Moffe Calif	ctor . Aeronautical Research poratory ett Naval Air Station fornia 94035	1	Director U. S. Army Research Office 3045 Columbia Pike Arlington, Virginia 22204
1 Comma U.S. Lat ATTN Washi	anding Officer Army Harry Diamond coratories AMXDO/TD-002 ington, D. C. 20438	1	Department of Ordnance U. S. Military Academy ATTN: Associate Professor West Point, New York 10996
		3	Commander U. S. Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, D. C. 20306

No. of Copies Organization

No. of

Copies

- Commander
 U. S. Naval Ordnance Systems Command
 ATTN: ORD-9132 (3 cys) ORD-033, Mr.B.Drimmer
 Washington, D. C. 20360
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville
 Warminster, Pennsylvania
 18974
- 1 Commander U. S. Naval Missile Center ATTN: Code 5312 Mr. G. Cooper Point Mugu, California 93041
- Commanding Officer & Director
 U. S. Naval Ship Research & Development Center
 ATTN: Aerodynamics Laboratory
 Washington, D. C. 20007
- 3 Commander U. S. Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 753 Code 4075, Mr.H.Newkirk Code 40604,Mr.L.Seeley China Lake, California 93555
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot
 Crane, Indiana 47522
- 1 Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

1 Commander U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory ATTN: Mr. D. Brown

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

Organization

- Superintendent U. S. Naval Postgradiate School ATTN: Tech Repts Sec Monterey, California 93940
- Commander

 S. Naval Ordnance Station
 ATTN: Code RFN, Mr. O. Sengel
 Indian Head, Maryland 20640
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Weapons Station
 ATTN: Tech Lib
 Seal Beach, California 90740
- FJSRL
 U. S. Air Force Academy
 ATTN: DFAN,Maj L. Slockhaur
 Colorado Springs, Colorado
 80840
- 2 HQ USAF (AFRDC; AFCSA) Washington, D. C. 20330
- 2 AFSC (SCTSM; SCTTS) Andrews AFB Washington, D. C. 20331
- 1 AEDC (AER) Arnold AFB Tennessee 37389
- 2 AFATL (ATBL, Mr. C. Butler Mr. E. Sears) Eglin AFB Florida 32542

31

No. of		No. of	£
Copies	Organization	Copies	5 Organization
2	RTD (FDM; FDTS) Wright Patterson AFB Ohio 45433	1	Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. ATTN: Lib P. O. Box 235
1	Headquarters National Aeronautics & Space Administration Washington, D. C. 20546	1	Buffalo, New York 14221 Massachusetts Institute of Technology ATTN: Dr. H. Grenspan
1	Director NASA Scientific & Technical Information Facility		77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
	ATTN: SAK/DL P. O. Box 33 College Park, Maryland 20740	I	ATTN: Dr. N. Abramson 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, Texas 78228
1	Director National Aeronautics & Space Administration George C. Marshall Space Flight Center ATTN: R-AERO-DAM, Miss Bauer	1	University of Delaware Department of Civil Engineering ATTN: Professor D. Boyer Newark, Delaware 19711
	Huntsville, Alabama 55612	Abe	rdeen proving Ground
1	Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California 91103	(Ch, Tech Lib Marine Corps Ln Ofc CDC Ln Ofc

32

ARO, Incorporated ATTN: VKF-AEDC, Mr. C. Welsh Arnold AFS

Tennessee 37389

P. O. Box 5800

Sandia Corporation ATTN: Info Distr Div

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

1

1

ONCHROOTITED

Security Classification							
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	& D					
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing a	nnotation must be e	ntered when the	overall report is classified)				
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICATION				
USAARDC Ballistic Research Laboratories		UNCLAS	SSIFIED				
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.		25. GROUP					
3. REPORT TITLE		J					
STABILIZATION OF A LIQUID-FILLED SHELL BY I	NSERTING A	CYLINDRICA	AL PARTITION IN				
THE LIOUID CAVITY							
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)							
5. AUTHOR(\$) (First name, middle initial, last name)		·····					
John T. Frasier, William P. D'Amico							
6. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	75. NO. OF REFS				
August 1970	32		7				
M. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	S. ORIGINATOR	REPORT NUM	BER(\$)				
ь. рвојест но. RDT&E 1W542703D344	Report N	5. 1492					
	1						
c.	95. OTHER REPOR	RT NO(S) (Any of	ther numbers that may be assigned				
	this report)						
d.							
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	I						
		un 1d milden d					
Approved for public releas	e; distribution	uniimitea.					
			. المحمر المستحمد المحمد المستحم				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	ILITARY ACTI	VITY				
	U.S. Army Materiel Command						
	U.S. Army Washington		Johimand				
	wasnington, D.C.						
13. ABSTRACT	·····						
$I_{m} = I_{m} I_{m} = 1000$ the $OV(17) = 107$ is							
In July 1968, the SM613, a 10/mm, WP mo	ortar shell,	was range	tested at Yuma				
Proving Ground. The projectile had a histor	ry of flight	instabili	ties when the WP was				
in the liquid state. Specially modified rou	inds incorpo:	rating a c	ylindrical partition				
mounted concentrically to the central burste	er experience	ed stable	flights. The rationale				
of inserting cylindrical partitions in liqui	d filled sh	all is own	lained as being a				
straightforward and flavible method of shore		err rs exp	lamed as being a				
straigntforward and flexible method of changing internal geometries to produce stable							
flight by means of understood design procedures.							

DD 1 NOV .. 1473 REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINI	K A	LIN	КВ	LIN	ĸc
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Liquid-Filled Projectiles Rotating Liquids Eigenfrequencies of Rotating Liquids Stability of Projectiles	ROLE	K A	LIN ROLE	K B	LIN	W T
່. ອ້					n Santan Sa	

Security Classification