UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD874223

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution: Further dissemination only as directed by Army Materiel Command, ATTN: AMCPA-S, Washington, DC 20315, APR 1970, or higher DoD authority.

AUTHORITY

AMC ltr dtd 26 Dec 1973

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



- <u>}</u>

RDTE PRÓJECT 1S665702D625-05 USATECOM PROJECT 9-CO-005-000-002

AD

METHODOLOGY INVESTIGATION: OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE FAST BURST REACTOR

FINAL REPORT

ΒY

TED F. LUERA DON L. WELCH

APRIL 1970

INFORMATION ONLY Action By Higher Anthonity Pending

ARMY MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE NEW MEXICO

AD874223

۴

Ŷ

62

CQ

CV

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator. The disposition instructions do not apply to the record copy.

DISCLAIMER

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

Information and data contained in this document are based on input available at the time of preparation. Because the results may be subject to change, this document should not be construed to represent the official position of the US Army Materiel Command unless so stated.

DISTRIBUTION

Each transmittal of this document within US Army Materiel Command must have prior approval of QG, USATECOM, ATTN: AMSTE-TS, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Miryland 21005. Each transmittal of this document <u>outside</u> US Army Nateriel Command must have prior approval of CG, US Army Materiel Command, ATTN: AMCPA-S Washington, DC 20315.

ii

RDTÉ PROJECT 1S665702D625-05

ž

alth

STATEMENT #5 UNCLASSIFIED

ent may be furth

docum

fic prior approval

ÚŠATEĆOM PROJECT 9-CO-005-000-002

METHODOLOGY INVESTIGATION:

OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS

OF THE WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE

FAST BURST REACTOR

TEST REPORT

ΒY

TÈD F. LUERA DÔN L. WELCH

. SHE HER

What is a state

OWNERS PROPERTY BUD F. Brun

energy and and an and a start of the start of the second start of the second start of the second start of the s

APRIL 1970

ARMY MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATIÓN WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE NEW MÉXICO

iii

State of the second sec

ì.,

ABSTRACT

The results of neutron and gamma radiation dosimetry measurements are presented in this report. Sufficient data are provided so that estimates of the neutron fluence, neutron spectrum, gamma dose, and gamma dose rate can be obtained for both burst operations and power runs.

A discussion of the dosimetry techniques presently in use at the Nuclear Effects Directo.ate is presented, as are plans for improving and expanding upon these techniques.

A summary of those factors which affect burst yield or maximum power level is also provided.

FOREWORD

The Fast Burst Reactor Division of the Nuclear Effects Directorate (NED), Army Missile Test and Evaluation, White Sands Missile Range, was responsible for the planning and performance of these tests and for the preparation of this report.

たるとうないのの時代で、

The assistance of all personnel who helped in the gathering of data and in the preparation of the report is gratefully acknowledged. Special recognition is extended to personnel of the NED Dosimetry Section for their assistance and cooperation in obtaining dosimetry data and providing information on dosimetry procedures.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	v
FOREWORD	vi
SECTION 1. SUMMARY	
1 1 Background	1 - 1
1.2 Description of Materiel	1-2
1 3 Objectives	1-5
1.4 Scope	1 - 7
1.5 Summary of Results	1-7
1.6 Conclusions	1 -7
1.7 Recommendations	1 - 8
SECTION 2. DETAILS OF TEST	
2.1 Neutron Dosimetry	2-1
2.2 Gamma Dosimetry	2-21
2.3 Operating Limits and Factors Affecting FBR Output Characteristics	2-25
SECTION 3. APPENDICES	
I. Test Data	I-1
II. References	II-1
III Distribution List	III-1

vii

Table of Contents (cont)

2

Figures		Page
1.	Isometric Section of FBR Core	1-3
2.	Fast Burst Reactor with Experiment Table	1-6
3.	Neutron Fluence as a Function of Distance	2-15
4.	Error in Neutron Fluence Due to an Error in Foil Position, as a Function of Distance from the Core Centerline	2-17
5.	Comparison of Burst Profiles Between Unreflected and Moderated Bursts	2-26
6.	Temperature Yield vs Radial Distance from Core Centerline as Determined with a Moderating Experiment	2-28
7.	Location of Experiments with Respect to the Burst Rod	2-29
8.	Maximum Temperature Yield as a Function of Angular Position for a Steel Plate at 6 Inches from Core Centerline	2-30
I-1	Steady-State Reactor Power vs Current as Determined from Compensated Ionization Chamber (Channel No. 1)	I-1
I-2	Neutron Fluence per Kw-Min as a Function of Distance from the Core Centerline	I-3
I-3	Neutron Fluence per ΔT as a Function of Distance from the Core Centerline	I-5
I-4	Relative Neutron Fluence as a Function of Vertical Distance from Core Midplane at 6 Inches from Core Centerline (Measured with Experiment Table)	I-7
I-5	Relative Neutron Fluence as a Function of Vertical Distance from Core Midplane at 12 Inches from Core Centerline	I-8
1-6	Relative Neutron Fluence as a Function of Vertical Distance from Core Midplane at One Meter from Core Centerline (Measured with Experiment Table)	I-9

Table of Contents (cont)

- Martine

ાં છે. દ

Figures		Page
I-7	Relative Neutron Fluence as a Function of Vertical Distance from Core Midplane at One Meter from Core Centerline	I-10
I-8	Neutron Fluence as a Function of Angle at Several Distances (Measured at Core Midplane)	I-11
I-9	Fission Yield vs Temperat [.] re Rise (TC-1), 96.5 kg Core, Temperature (peak´avg) = 2.08	I-12
I-10	Gamma Exposure per Kw-Min as a Function of Distance from the Core Centerline	I-13
I-11	Gamma Exposure per TT as a Function of Distance from the Core Centerline	I-15
I-12	Gamma Exposure pe: ΔT as a Function of Vertical Distance from Core Midplane at One Meter from Core Centerline	I-17
I-13	Gamma Exposure us a Function of Angle, at Core Midplane	I-18
I-14	Gamma Dose Rate as a Function of Radial Distance from the Core Centerline	I-19
I-15	Peak Core Temperature vs Time for Several Power Levels	I-20
Tables		
I	FBR Neutron Spectrum as Determined by Threshold Detectors	2-5
II	One Mev Equivalent Fluence (Silicon)	2-6
III	Error in Fluence Resulting from Normalization to ΔT_1 as a Function of T_1	2-8
IV	Calculated and Measured Fluence for Power Runs	2-9
v	Calculated and Measured Fluence for Bursts	2-10
VI	Changes in FBR Fluence Characteristics	2-13
VII	Change in Neutron Spectrum	2-13
VIII	Reactivity Worths of Ccre Components	2-31

· Manager and a state of the st

ž

ing or allowing the second

1.1.1.1.1

5

د تاريل ا تايه سرست مؤدلاً مام ما مسطل تدايد يود

ولياد والكور

1014 4 July

193

ix

SECTION I. SUMMARY

1.1 BACKGROUND

a. The Fast Burst Reactor Division is an operational element of the Nuclear Effects Directorate, Army Missile Tost and Evaluation, White Sands Missile Range. The Fast Burst Reactor Facility (FBRF) is used for the performance of radiation effects tests, including the evaluation of electronic systems and components, radiobiological studies, and determination of effects on materials. The Nuclear Effects Directorate (NED) provides instrumentation and dosimetry support for the user of its facilities.

b. This report presents the results of recent dosimetry measurements made at the FBRF. Data contained herein are based on calibrations performed by the NED Dosimetry Section.

1 N 10 N 10 N 10 N

c. The radiation environment produced by the FBR is affected by the presence of experiments near the reactor. The data presented here are appropriate for the conditions under which they were taken. The estimates obtained from this report should be supplemented by the results of dosimetry measurements made under the desired experimental conditions. Further, the correlation of experimental effects with experiment depends on the knowledge of the neutron energy spectrum. The free-field integral energy spectrum is provided in this report. Energy deposition and hence damage effects are proportional to the flux weighted cross sections of the material being irradiated. Therefore, when objects massive enough to significantly alter the spectrum are exposed, spectral data should be obtained for proper interpretation of results (para 2, 1, 3, 2).

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF MATERIEL

a. The FBR is an unmoderated and unreflected fast burst (or prompt pulse) reactor designed to be operated in the super-promptcritical region. It can also be operated in a steady-state (power) mode. Operations are normally conducted in a cell that is 50 feet square and 20 feet high, but facilities are also available for outdoor operation. There are no features of the surrounding tertain that limit the utility of the outdoor site.

b. The reactor core consists of six cylindrical stacked and bolted fuel rings, three control elements, and a safety block. These core components are 93.2% enriched in uranium-235 and alloyed with ten weight percent molybdenum (U-10 wt. % Mo). The control elements move axially in the core on a 3.125-inch radius (Fig. 1). The cylindrical safety block moves along the axis of the core into the stacked iuel assembly. The core is shielded with a cadmium-covered mild steel decoupling shroud, or safety shield, which also acts as a cooling air plenum. The core is cooled by forced air convection.

c. Three thermocouples are used to monitor the reactor core temperature. These thermocouples are located in the core as follows:

(1) T_1 (chromel-alumel), axially in the safety block

(2) T_2 (chromel-alumel), axially through the four bottom fuel rings

(3) T_3 (iron-constantan), radially in the fourth ring from the bottom

d. The quantity ΔT_3 has been used as the reference temperature change to specify burst yield. The data in this report are referenced to ΔT_1 since T_1 can be more directly related to the peak fuel temperature (T_p). Conversion from one thermocouple reading to another can be readily made because the ratios of thermocouple readings have been constant. The ratios are:

$$\frac{\Delta T_1}{\Delta T_P} = 0.99$$



$$\frac{\Delta T_1}{\Delta T_2} = 1.33$$
$$\frac{\Delta T_1}{\Delta T_3} = 1.18$$
$$\frac{\Delta T_2}{\Delta T_2} = 0.88$$

Thes. thermocouple ratios are in good agreement with the fission density distribution in the core obtained by a two-dimensional (r, z) neutron transport code calculation (Ref 1*).

e. A modification of the FBR being planned for the first quarter of FY 71 will include the insertion of spacers between the fuel rings to reduce axial shock effects. The reduction of these shock effects will make it feasible to perform bursts of higher yield. In addition, the safety block mandrel will be modified so that the safety block will be supported from the bottom. Modification of the center insert will then provide an internal irradiation cavity that is 1.25 inches in diameter and approximately 7 inches long. This cavity will be reduced to about 1.0 inch in diameter by the necessary liners. It is anticipated that the fluence in this cavity will approach 10¹⁵ fast neutrons per square centimeter, as compared to less than 10¹⁴ at the closest external experimental approach. A new safety shield is being designed for installation on the FBR stand in conjunction with the core modification. This safety shield will employ 155 mg/cm² of boron-10 as decoupling material.

f. Most FBR burst operations are in the range between 210° and 300°C (ΔT_1). Pulsewidths are typically 40 to 50 microseconds full-width

*References are listed in Appendix II.

at half-maximum (FWHM). In the presence of moderating materials, pulses over 200 microseconds wide can be obtained (para 2. 3. 3. 1). Fission yields are typically on the order of 10¹⁷ fissions. The minimum time between bursts is limited to approximately 75 minutes by the efficiency of the forced-air cooling system. Of these 75 minutes, 20 are required for the decay of airborne contamination, and 30 are required for preparation of the reactor for burst. The remaining 25 minutes may be used by the experimenter for setting up, removing, or altering experiments in the cell. Few experimenters maintain this schedule; in general, the time between bursts is determined by the experimenter.

g. Trigger pulses usable for starting oscilloscope traces or for other experimenter requirements are available. These are adjustable from 900 seconds to a few microseconds prior to the burst peak.

h. Power operations up to 10 kilowatts are performed when high doses are required and dose-rate effects can be neglected.

i. After an operation, the reactor is shut down, lowered into a 38-foot-deep pit, and covered with a lead shielding door to provide for rapid recovery of exposed items.

j. For exposure, small items are placed on a 4- by 8-foot experiment table, which is adjustable in the vertical direction (Fig. 2). A hole has been cut through the center of the table so that the reactor can be brought up into position for operation after the test items are in place. 1.3 OBJECTIVES

a. The purpose of this report is to provide a reference for the determination of:

(1) The radiation environment produced by any given reactor operation



2

Fig. 2. Fast Burst Reactor with Experiment Table

(2) The number, type, and fission yield of the reactor operations required to provide a given radiation environment

b. Additional information is provided to enable the user of the facility to design his experiment to fit the constraints imposed by the nuclear characteristics of the reactor.

1.4 SCOPE

- -

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF

a. This report presents the results of neutron and gamma dosimetry measurements made at the FBRF. It is intended for use by the facility contractor as an aid in planning and preparing for tests at the FBR, and by members of the reactor staff, primarily as a reference for dosimetry data.

b. Factors affecting the output characteristics of the reactor are summarized. Some data are provided on thermal characteristics and the effects of reflectors. Definitive and detailed investigations in these areas have not been completed.

1.5 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

a. The free-field neutron fluence and gamma exposure data obtained during these tests are in good agreement with previous measurements (Ref 2).

b. The results of the dosimetry measurements are summarized in tapular and graphic form in the appropriate sections of this report.
1.6 CONCLUSIONS

The following specific conclusions are derived from the dosimetry data obtained during these tests:

a. The recalibration of the sulfur dosimetry system (para 2. 1. 2) resulted in an increase of approximately 12% in the measured fluence for a given burst.

b. The recalculation of the power level for a given compensated ion chamber current (para 2. 1. 3. 3) resulted in an increase of 16% in the neutron flux at a given power level.

c. Based on dosimetry measurements and heat capacity calculations, the efficiency of the in-core thermocouples is approximately 70%.

d. The gamma exposure rate for a given burst operation decreases approximately as $1/R^{1.7}$, where R is the distance between the detector and the reactor core centerline.

1.7 RECOMMENDATIONS

a. It is recommended that additional dosimetry measurements be made at the FBR. These should include high-resolution neutron spectrum measurements in both free-field and shielded configurations.

b. The neutron sensitivity of the LiF thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) in use at NED should be evaluated.

c. A detailed study of the FBR thermal characteristics is required. Intrinsic thermocouples capable of following the burst temperature profile should be used to provide an accurate measure of the temperature rise in the core.

d. Radiochemical analysis of fission foils placed within the reactor core is required to provide additional insight into the number of fissions in the core for any given operation.

e. An investigation of the FBR modified core characteristics is required, as are dosimetry measurements within the internal irradiation cavity.

f. Measurements of the gamma-ray spectrum should be made for both free-field conditions and behind shields of commonly used materials.

SECTION 2. DETAILS OF TEST

2.1 NEUTRON DOSIMETRY

2.1.1 Objective

The objective of the neutron dosimetry measurements is to describe the neutron environment within the reactor cell for both burst and power operations.

2.1.2 Method

2. 1. 2. 1 Sulfur Dosimetry

a. At present, sulfur activation is the primary method used to determine the neutron fluence at the FBR. If the neutron spectrum is known, the total fast-neutron fluence can be determined from the exposure of sulfur pellets. An effective threshold of 3 Mev is chosen for the $^{32}S(n, p) ^{32}P$ reaction (Ref 3).

b. Recalibration of the NED sulfur activation counting system was performed in 1969 with the Los Alamos Cockcroft-Walton accelerator as a source of monoenergetic neutrons (Ref 4). The neutron output of the Los Alamos Cockcroft-Walton is well known. A calibration, or counter, constant is determined for each sulfur counter by the relation

$$K = \frac{\sigma_0 \Phi_0}{\overline{\sigma} C_0}$$

where the subscripted variables are the cross section, fluence, and the initial beta count rate for the monoenergetic fluence, and $\overline{\sigma}$ is the average cross section for the spectrum in which the fluence is being measured. The fluence is calculated by the equation

$$\Phi_0 = KC_t e^{\lambda t}$$

where K is the counter constant, C_t is the count rate measured t days after the irradiation, and λ is (0.693/14.2) d⁻¹. As a result of this re-calibration, the counter constants were increased by 12.5%. The errors

involved in fluence measurements with sulfur pellets are discussed in paragraph 2. 1. .. 8.

c. The pellets in use at NED are 3/4 inch in diameter, 1/4 inch thick, and are encased in a protective aluminum can. Pellets are beta counted 3 to 5 days after irradiation in one of two Nuclear Chicago counters or in a Beckman Widebeta-II. The wait period allows the ²⁴Na activity from the (n, a) reaction in the aluminum can and the ³¹Si activity from the (n, a) reaction in ³⁴S to decay to a negligible level. Significant additional background activity is produced by the capture of thermal neutrons in ³⁴S. The half-lives of ²⁴Na, ³¹Si, and ³⁵S are 15 hours, 2.6 hours, and 87 days, respectively. Because of the long half-life of ³⁵S, special techniques must be used to read pellets exposed in high thermal fluxes. A Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-8 computer is used to control the sequencing of the counters. The PDP-8 also calculates the fluence using the Sulflux I program, which makes corrections for counter geometry and efficiency and extrapolates the activity back to the time of exposure. Once the fluence is calculated, the data are stored on magnetic tape as a permanent record and are printed out by teletype to be forwarded to the experimenter. The PDP-8 can be programmed to count to a preset number of counts and used on a 24-hour-per-day basis. Neutron dosimetry is reported in time-integrated neutron flux or fluence (n/cm^2) . A comparison of NED and EG&G sulfur dosimetry measurements was made by EG&G after the recalibration of the system. Approximately 50 measurements over a wide range of fluences were compared. Discrepancies in the measured values were systematic and were less than 2%.

2.1.2.2 Thermal Neutron Measurements

a. Thermal neutron fluence measurements are made with gold activation foils that are exposed in pairs. One foil is inclosed in a

cadmium shield, and the other is unshielded. Activation in the bare foil is produced by both thermal and epithermal neutrons. Ideally, activation in the covered foil is only by neutrons above the cadmium cutoff. The difference in the foil activities is therefore proportional to the thermal neutron fluence. For a quantitative treatment of gold foil techniques, see Reference 3.

b. The thermal neutron dosimetry system is currently available for experimenter use. The system will be recalibrated in 1970 as part of an overall NED dosimetry recalibration program.

2.1.2.3 Spectrum Measurements

a. Recalibration of the fission foil counters is being carried out by members of the Dosimetry Section. In addition, a study is being conducted to evaluate nickel foils as a substitute for sulfur pellets as the primary means of fluence measurement. Nickel foils are advantageous in that they are smaller than sulfur pellets and, unlike sulfur, can be read by gamma counting techniques.

b. The SPECTRA computer code is presently being adapted for use at NED. This code provides a smooth differential spectrum based on foil activation measurements and a trial spectrum (Ref 5).

c. An Oak Ridge Technical Enterprises Corporation (ORTEC) ⁵Li neutron spectrometry system is presently being calibrated and will be used to make free-field spectral measurements and measurements behind shields of various materials in the reactor cell. The ⁶Li detector uses the

 ${}^{1}_{0}n + {}^{6}_{3}Li - {}^{4}_{2}He + {}^{3}_{1}H + Q$

reaction, with the a particle and the triton counted in coincidence in two silicon surface barrier detectors. The pulses are shaped and summed to provide an output proportional to the Q of the reaction plus

the incident neutron energy. Because the detector counts individual neutron events, it may be used only during steady-state operations of the reactor. An ORTEC ³He spectrometry system has also been purchased for use at the NED facilities. The ³He detector operation is based on the

 ${}^{1}_{0}n + {}^{3}_{2}He \longrightarrow {}^{1}_{1}H + {}^{3}_{1}H + Q$

reaction. Its operation is similar to that of the ⁶Li detector system. The ³He system provides higher sensitivity and better energy resolution. This system will also be used during steady-state operations at the FBR.

d. A 38.5 cm³ lithium drifted germanium solid-state detector is currently available for use in conjunction with a 4096-channel pulseheight analyzer. This system provides high-resolution (3.5 kev) energy spectrum analyses of activated samples.

2.1.3 Results

2.1.3.1 Spectral Measurements

The neutron fluence produced by the FBR has been measured by a series of foil activation measurements (Ref 2). The foils used were Pu, Np, U, S, Ni, Mg, and Al. The free-field spectral data arc presented in Table I and are applicable to free-field conditions. Caution must be exercised in using the 8:1 plutonium-to-sulfur fluence ratio near the reactor cell walls (>190 inches from core centerline) or if the experiment being exposed can significantly change the neutron spectrum.

2.1.3.2 Conversion to Dose

a. For the Godiva spectrum, Reference 3 gives a value of

$$\frac{rad}{\Phi_{Pu}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-9} \frac{rads (tissue)}{n/cm^2}$$

for the conversion of plutonium fluence (Φ_{Pu}) to rads tissue. The sulfur

TABLE I

No. of Street, Street,

FBR NEUTRON SPECTRUM AS DETERMINED BY THRESHOLD DETECTORS

Detector	²³⁹ Pu	²³⁷ Np	²³⁸ U	S or Ni	Mg	Al
Energy Threshold						
(Mev)	0.01	0.6	1.5	3.0	6.3	7.5
Percent Fluence Above Each						
Threshold	100	62	33	12.5	1.7	0.6
Percent Fluence in Each Energy						
Band	38	29	20.5	10.8	0.9	0.7

fluence (Φ_S) is 12.5% of the total fluence (Table I), and therefore the relation

$$\frac{rad}{\Phi_{S}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-8} \frac{rads (tissue)}{n/cm^{2}}$$

can be used to convert free-field sulfur fluence to dose in rads tissue. Since the Godiva spectrum is slightly more energetic than the FBR spectrum, these values overestimate the tissue dose by a few percent.

b. An estimate of the 1 Mev equivalent fluence in silicon was made by using the reactor free-field energy spectrum. The cross sections used were calculated from data in Reference 6. The following relation was used to calculate the weighting factors:

where $(\overline{E\sigma})_i$ is the average product of the energy times the elastic scattering cross section over the i^{th} energy range.

 $W_i = \frac{(\overline{E\sigma})_i}{\overline{E}.\sigma.}$

c. The quantity $E_1\sigma_1$ is 1 Mev times the elastic scattering cross section for silicon at that energy. The results of the calculation are listed in Table II.

TABLE II

	ONE MEV EQUIVALENT	FLUENCE (SILICON)	
Neutron Group (i)	Energy Range (Mev)	Fluence (%)	Relative Weight
1	0.01 - 0.6	36	0.418
2	0.6 - 1.5	30	0.969
3	1.5 - 3.0	22	2.02
4	3,0 - 6,3	10.6	2.27
5	6.3 - 7.5	1	2.05
6	7.5 - ∞	0.6	2.04

d. The relation



yields

Strategic and the state of the

$$\Phi(1 \text{ Mev}) = 1.16 \Phi_{p_{11}}$$
 (>10 kev)

e. The conversion of fluence to first collision dose was obtained by the equation (Ref 3)

$$\frac{\text{rad}}{\sigma_{\text{Pu}}} = 1.602 \times 10^{-8} \text{ f N} \sum_{i=1}^{6} (\overline{\text{Eo}})_{i} \text{ F}_{i}$$

where Φ_{Pu} is the total fast fluence, f is the average fractional energy transfer per collision, N is the number of nuclei per gram in the sample, and F_i is the fraction of fluence in the ith energy band. The value

$$\frac{\text{rad}}{\Phi_{\text{Pu}}} = 7.76 \text{ x } 10^{-11} \frac{\text{rads (silicon)}}{\text{n/cm}^2}$$

was obtained for the FBR spectrum.

2.1.3.3 Normalization of Data

a. Neutron fluence as measured with sulfur pellets placed at a given position relative to the core varies linearly with fission yield. In order to simplify the use of data presented in this report, burst data are normalized to a 1-degree temperature change in T_1 , and power run data are normalized to 1 kilowatt-minute. The errors resulting from normalization to ΔT_1 are small, as shown in Table III.

b. The power-level calibration was obtained by using the following equation:

$$\Phi_{\rm S} = \frac{K_1 K_2}{4\pi R^2} Pt \times \frac{\Phi_{\rm S}}{\Phi_{\rm Pu}}$$

where K_1 is based on 190 Mev per fission and is equal to 3.28 x 10^{10} fissions per watt-second. The quantity K_2 is the number of lea' ge neutrons

TABLE III

$\frac{\text{ERROR IN FLUENCE RESULTING FROM}{\text{NORMALIZATION TO } \Delta T_1 \text{ AS A FUNCTION OF } T_1$

T ₁ (°C)	Error in Fluence (%)
25	+6.0
125	+4. 5
187	+2.7
250	0.0

per fission and is approximately 1.48 neutrons per fission (Ref 4), P is the power level in watts, and t is the duration of the power run in seconds. The ratio Φ_S/Φ_{Pu} is found in Table I for the free-field sper .m. Sulfur fluence measurements made at distances from 10 to 150 in were used to obtain an average value for the power level. The results of these measurements were used to plot power level versus current from the compensated ion chamber, which is used to monitor the neutron population in the reactor. This calibration curve is shown in Figure I-1 (Appendix I).

2.1.3.4 Radial Measurements

a. Free-field radial measurements were made in the midplane of the core with a thin metal ring and lacing cord string to the walls of the reactor cell. Sulfur pellets were suspended from the lacing cord. Measurements were taken during power runs and burst operations. The reported fluence is the time-integrated neutron flux greater than 3 Mev.

b. Fluence per kilowatt-minute as a function of radial distance from the core centerline is plotted in Figure I-2. The following equation can

be used to calculate the fluence from a power run:

the tradition of the state of the

$$\Phi_{\rm S} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} 4.38 \times 10^{12} \frac{\rm Pt}{\rm R^2}$$

where the units of P, t, and R are kilowatts, minutes, and inches, respectively. Radial fluence measurements for both burst operations and power runs deviate from $1/R^2$ behavior from the surface of the safety shield (4.5 inches) to 10 inches and at distances greater than 200 inches. Table IV lists the calculated fluence, the measured fluence, and percent difference as a function of distance from the core centerline for power runs.

TABLE IV

CALCULATED AND MEASURED FLUENCE FOR POWER RUNS

Distance (in.)	Calculated Fluence per kw-min (n/cm ² > 3 Mev)	Measured Fluence per kw-min (n/cm ² >3 Mev)	Error _(%)
6.5	1.035×10^{11}	1.143 x 10 ¹¹	+10
10	4.37 $\times 10^{10}$	4.51 $\times 10^{10}$	+3
20	1.093×10^{10}	1.091×10^{10}	-0.3
50	1.75×10^{9}	1.68×10^{9}	-4
100	4.37 $\times 10^{8}$	4.26×10^8	-3
150	1.949×10^{8}	1.98×10^{8}	+1
200	1.093×10^{8}	1.12×10^8	+2
250	7.00×10^{7}	7.86 $\times 10^{7}$	+10

c. Neutron fluence per ΔT_1 is plotted as a function of radial distance from the core centerline in the core midplane in Figure I-3. The following equation can be used to calculate the approximate fluence from a burst:

$$\Phi_{\rm S} = 8.25 \times 10^{11} \frac{\Delta T_1}{R^2}$$

where R is the distance to the core centerline in inches. Table V is a comparison of measured and calculated values.

TABLE V

CALCULATED AND MEASURED FLUENCE FOR BURSTS

Distance (in.)	Calculated Fluence per ΔT_1 (n/cm ² > 3 Mev)	Measured Fluence per ΔT ₁ (n/cm ² > 3 Mev)	Error (%)
6.5	1.95×10^{10}	2.14 \times 10 ¹⁰	+10
10	8.25 x 10^9	8.43 \times 10 ⁹	+2
20	2.06×10^9	2.03×10^{9}	- 1
50	3.30 $\times 10^8$	3.15×10^{8}	- 5
100	8.25×10^{7}	8.33 x 10^7	- 1
150	3. 67 \times 10 ⁷	3.71 \times 10 ⁷	+1
200	2.06 \times 10 ⁷	2.16 \times 10 ⁷	+5
250	1.32×10^{7}	1.51×10^{7}	+13

2. 1. 3. 5 Vertical Distribution

Fluence measurements were made along vertical lines at 6 inches, 12 inches, and 1 meter from the core centerline. Figures I-4 through I-6 show the fluence distribution as a function of vertical distance from the horizontal midplane of the core. The experimenter table was in position with the table surface 6 inches below the core midplane. The vertical distribution at 1 meter without the experimenter table is shown in Figure I-7. For these measurements, tape was stretched vertically between two horizontal members on a large ring stand, and sulfur pellets were held in place by the tape. This technique insured that a minimum number of scattered neutrons were counted.

2.1.3.6 Angular Dependence

In Figure I-8, fluence as a function of angle is plotted for several distances. The angles are referred to north and increase in a clockwise direction as viewed from the top. These measurements were made in the horizontal midplane of the core. Statistical errors were on the order of 0.2%, and the maximum deviation from the arithmetic mean was 7%. The effects of positioning errors are superimposed on the flux distribution. Fluence depressions correspond to locations of the inconel bolts. These are located at 90, 210, and 330 degrees. The maximum deviation from symmetry is of the same order as the estimated positioning error (para 2.1.3.8). At a distance of about 10 inches from the core axis, these small depressions disappear, and the measured fluence attains radial symmetry.

2.1.3.7 Changes in Fluence Characteristics

Neutron fluence and gamma dose measurements were made behind shields of thicknesses up to 9 inches in order to demonstrate the variety of radiation environments obtainable from reactor operations.

The shields were planar slabs approximately 18 inches high by 24 inches long. The center of the shield and the dosimeters were in the midplane of the core. The front of the shield was always at 8 inches from the core centerline, and the dosimetry was at 17 inches for all measurements. Tables VI and VII show the results of these measurements. and the anti-base of the particular states and

2.1.3.8 Error in Sulfur Dosimetry Measurements

a. Uncertainties in the measured values of the neutron fluence at the FBR arise primarily from uncertainties in detector calibration, pellet size and weight, pellet counting, and positioning of the pellet.

b. The uncertainties in detector calibration result from errors in cross-section values, counting statistics, and errors in the source flux used for the calibration process. One method of detector calibration involves exposing a threshold detector with a known activation cross section to a monoenergetic source flux and determining the count rate at some time after irradiation. A calibration constant may then be evaluated as

$$K = \frac{\sigma_0 \Phi_0}{\overline{\sigma} C_0}$$

where σ_0 and C_0 are the cross section and initial count rate as determined by the source fluence Φ_0 . The quantity $\overline{\sigma}$ is the average cross section for the flux spectrum where the detector will be exposed. The relative error in K arising from variation of the dependent variables is given by

$$\frac{\delta K}{K} = \pm \left[\left(\frac{\delta \sigma_0}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\sigma}}{\overline{\sigma}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta \Phi_0}{\Phi_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta C_0}{C_0} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and is estimated to be on the order of 12% for the case of sulfur pellet calibration.

CHANGES IN FBR FLUENCE CHARACTERISTICS	<u>3 Mev</u> Thermal Ratio	$\Phi > 3 \text{ Mev}/\Phi$ (the rmal)	0. 39	0. 44	0.48	1	0. 52	8.9	4.3	;	Band (Mev)	5-3.0 3.0	10.5 10.5	20.5 12.5
	<u>Neutron</u> Ratio Gamma	$\Phi > 3 \text{ Mev/R}_{\gamma}$!	1.27 × 10 ⁷	2. 17 × 10 ⁷	4.59×10^{7}	5 5 9		5.23 × 10 ⁸	4.75 \times 10 ⁸	I <u>M</u> of Fluence in Each Energ	<u>0.01-1.5</u> <u>1.</u>	55	67 2
	Attenuation*	Φ > 3 Mev	27	16	8	4.5	1.7	1.2	1.3	1	r pellet reading TABLE VII IN NEUTRON SPECTRU Percent	Thermal	24	< 1
		Polyethylene (in.)	6	7.9	6.2	4.5	1.7	0.56	0.56	0	on contribution to sulfu	hylene (in.)	6	0
	Shield	Lead (mil)	0	0	0	0	0	0	250**	0	c thermal neutro Shield	Polyet		
		Cadmium (mil)	60	60	40	20	20	20	20	0	*Not corrected fo **In front	Cadmium (mil)	60	0

TABLE VI

c. The relative error in the dimensions of a sulfur pellet is given by

پېږد. د ارد د د

$$\left[\left(\frac{2\delta \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

d. For a sulfur pellet 0.750 inch in diameter and 0.250 inch thick, the dimensional error to within 1/32 inch is less than 2%.

e. The dependence of K on the weight of a sulfur pellet is avoided by making the pellet "infinitely thick" to beta particles; that is, a beta particle of energy 1.7 Mev emitted at the back surface of the pellet would · not be able to penetrate to the front surface (Ref 7).

f. The following expression is used to determine the fluence Φ_0 to which a pellet is exposed:

$$\Phi_0 = C_t e^{\lambda t} \times K$$

Here, C_t is the count rate obtained at an elapsed time t after exposure, and K is the calibration constant as previously defined. The error in the calculated value of the fluence is given by

$$\Phi_0 \pm \delta \Phi_0 = C_t e^{\lambda t} K \left[1 \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_t}{C_t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta e^{\lambda t}}{e^{\lambda t}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta K}{K}\right)^2} \right]$$

Assuming no error in counting time,

$$\frac{\delta C_{t}}{C_{t}} = \frac{\delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$

where N is the total number of counts.

g. The exponential term reduces to $\delta(\lambda t)$, and for $\lambda t \ll 1$, that is, for counting times which are short compared to the half-life, the error in the exponential factor is negligible compared to errors in K and N.

h. The relative error in calculated fluence then reduces to

The Participant of

$$\frac{\delta \Phi_0}{\Phi_0} = \pm \left[\frac{1}{N} + \left(\frac{\delta K}{K} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

i. For the instance where N is large (as is usually the case), the error in calculated fluence is primarily dependent upon the error in K.

j. For the case where the fluence follows a $1/r^2$ dependence, we may write

$$\Phi = \frac{c}{r^2}$$

k. An error in positioning of a pellet will produce an error in the measured value of the fluence, as seen in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. Neutron Fluence as a Function of Distance

The error in the measured value of Φ at a point r due to an error Δr is

$$\Phi + \Delta \Phi = \frac{c}{(r - \Delta r)^2}$$
$$= \frac{c}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta r}{r}\right)^{-2}$$

The maximum value of Φ due to an error Δr is then

$$\Phi_{\max} = \Phi + \Delta \Phi \approx \frac{c}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\Delta r}{r} \right)$$

In a like manner, the minimum value of Φ at a point r due to an error in r is

$$\Phi_{\min} = \Phi - \Delta \Phi \approx \frac{c}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\Delta r}{r} \right)$$

The ratio of Φ_{max} to Φ_{min} at r is

$$\frac{\Phi_{\max}}{\Phi_{\min}} = \left(\frac{1 + 2\Delta r/r}{1 - 2\Delta r/r}\right)$$
$$\approx \left(1 + \frac{2\Delta r}{r}\right)^{2}$$

1. The above ratio is shown in Figure 4 with a positioning error of 0.125 inch. The positioning errors become negligible at large distances, but at distances closer than about 10 inches, errors on the order of $\pm 10\%$ are not unlikely. Positioning errors are due in part to positioning of the experimenter table relative to the core and the positioning of the reactor by the reactor lift.



Figure 4. Error in neutron fluence due to an error in foil position, as a function of distance from the core centerline.

RADIAL DISTANCE FROM CORE CENTERLINE (INCHES)

2.1.3.9 Calculation of Fission Yield

a. The number of fissions in the FBR core for a burst of $\Delta T_1 = 291$ °C was calculated by two independent techniques.

b. The number of fissions that take place in a burst can be calculated by the following equation:

$$\Phi_{\rm S} = \frac{1.48}{4\pi R^2} \times F \times \frac{\Phi_{\rm S}}{\Phi_{\rm Pu}}$$

where Φ_S is the measured sulfur fluence (n/cm²), Φ_S/Φ_{Pu} is the ratio of the sulfur fluence to the plutonium fluence under free-field conditions, R is the distance from the core centerline to the sulfur pellet, F is the number of fissions in the turst, and 1.48 is the number of leakage neutrons per fission (Ref 8).

c. The free-field burst data between 10 and 150 inches (Table V) was used to obtain an average value for $\Phi_S R^2$. The average value of Φ_S / Φ_{Pu} for these distances is 1/8.12 (Ref 2). The number of fissions calculated was

$$F(\Delta T) = 291 \circ C_{j} = 1.08 \times 10^{17}$$

d. The energy deposited in the fue¹ per fission and the heat capacity of the fuel were also used to determine the number of fissions in the core for this burst.

e. The energy required to change the temperature in the core from T_6 to T is given by (Ref 9)

$$\Delta Q = M \left[a \Delta \overline{T} + b \Delta \overline{T} (T + T_{c}) \right] \qquad Eq (1)$$

where M is the mass of U-10 wt. % Mc in the core (96.5 x 10^5 gm), a and b are constants, and $\overline{\Delta T}$ is the average temperature change of

the core. The number of fissions required to deposit this energy in the core is given by

$$F = \frac{\Delta Q}{K}$$

where the value of K is 178 Mev/fission (Ref 10). For ΔQ in fissions:

$$a = 4.72 \times 10^9$$

 $b = 2.60 \times 10^6$

and, from Reference 1,

$$\overline{\Delta T} = \frac{\Delta T_1}{2.05}$$

When $\Delta T_1 = 291^{\circ}C$ and $T_0 = 30^{\circ}C$ are used,

$$F = 7.18 \times 10^{16}$$
 fissions

f. If equation (1) is solved for $\overline{\Delta T}$, the following result is obtained:

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta T} = \frac{(a + 2bT_0) \pm \sqrt{(a + 2bT_0)^2 + 4bF/M}}{2b}$$

Substituting F = 1.078×10^{17} fissions into the above equation yields $\Delta T_1 = 427$ °C.

g. The efficiency of the thermocouple for the burst can be defined as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\Delta T_{1m}}{\Delta T_{1c}} = \frac{291}{427} \approx 70\%$$

where ΔT_{1m} is the measured temperature change, and ΔT_{1c} is the calculated value.
h. Since the thermocouple ratios are in good agreement with calculated values (para 1. 2), the efficiencies of the other thermocouples (TC 2 and 3) may also be considered to be close to 70%. The apparent low efficiency of the thermocouples may be misleading since the errors in the calculated value are not small. The primary errors are dosimetry calibration (~12%), point source approximation (~5%), and assumption of uniform temperature rise in the core. However, the low efficiency can be attributed to the inability of the thermocouple to respond quickly enough to the change in temperature. This effect is enhanced by the presence of a brass shim between the thermocouple junction and the fuel. Until further measurements are made with, for example, intrinsic thermocouples, the following assumptions are made:

(1) The sulfur activation measurements provide the correct (larger) number of fissions.

(2) The heat capacity calculation based on this number of fissions yields the correct (higher) temperature.

i. The number of fissions in the core versus the measured and calculated values of ΔT , are plotted in Figure I-9.

2.2 GAMMA DOSIMETRY

2.2.1 Objective

The objective of the gamma dosimetry measurements is to describe the gamma-ray environment within the reactor cell for both burst and power operations.

2.2.2 Method

2.2.2.1 Lithium Fluoride and Lithium Borate

a. Lithium fluoride thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD-700) are the primary means of gamma exposure equivalent measurements at the Nuclear Effects facilities. The lithium in TLD-700 powder is 99.993% pure ⁷Li. Lithium fluoride powder is exposed in small cylindrical teflon containers.

b. Extensive cross-calibration with various facilities across the country was carried out early in 1969. Several hundred LiF and $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ dosimeters were sent to Kirtland Air Force Base, Sandia Corporation, Bell Telephone Laboratories, and Natick Laboratories for exposures to 50 Co of from 1 to 20 x 10⁶ R. The results of this cross-calibration were very satisfactory and showed:

(1) Agreement within $\pm 5\%$ among all the facilities, including the WSMR reading

(2) Linearity of $Li_2B_4O_7$ extending to about 2 x 10⁶ R (Ref 11)

2. Lithium fluoride exhibits a flat energy response over a wide range, with an excess response of approximately 25% at 15 kev. After exposure, the measured dose decreases by about 5% in 3 days and then becomes stable. The LiF powder is read on a modified Conrad reader. The heating time is 11 seconds at 300°C. The photomultiplier is on for 10.5 seconds, starting 0.25 second after the heating is begun and ending 0.25 second before the heating is terminated. Light output as measured

by the photomultiplier is plotted against ⁶⁰Co exposure as measured with an ionization chamber for calibration of the system.

d. The lithium borate TLD system is not yet available for routine experimenter use.

2.2.2.2 Calcium Fluoride

Calcium fluoride TLDs (in chip form) are frequently used at NED when a quick readout of the exposure is desired. Results can be obtained within a few minutes after the TLDs are retrieved from the irradiation cell. The TLDs are unshielded and exhibit a strong energy dependence. Also, these dosimeters read 30% to 60% higher than LiF dosimeters when exposed to the same radiation environment at the FBR.

2.2.2.3 Gamma Dose Rate

Gamma dose-rate measurements were made with a Microsemiconductor Corporation MC-1546 computer diode. (This diode was recommended by personnel of the Autonetics Division of North American Rockwell.) A Solid State Radiations Incorporated PIN diode was also used to measure the gamma dose rate.

2.2.3 Results

2.2.3.1 Radial Measurements

Free-field gamma measurements with LiF TLDs were made by using the techniques outlined in paragraph 2. 1. 3. 4. Gamma exposure per kilowattminute is plotted in Figure I-10. These measurements were made in the horizontal midplane of the core. Figure I-11 shows the gamma exposure as a function of distance from the core centerline for burst operations. The exposure is normalized to a 1-degree temperature rise in T_1 .

2.2.3.2 Vertical Distribution

Figure I-12 shows the gamma exposure per ΔT_1 along a vertical traverse at 1 meter from the core centerline. The exposure was measured by LiF TLDs. The technique described in paragraph 2. 1. 3. 5 was used to insure free-field conditions.

2.2.3.3 Angular Dependence

The dependence of exposure on the angle v ith respect to north was determined by LiF TLDs. The TLDs were placed on the experimenter table, which was positioned so that the surface of the table was in the midplane of the core. The results of these measurements are shown in Figure I-13. 2.2.3.4 Gamma Dose Rate

a. Gamma dose rate as a function of distance for both wide and narrow pulses is shown in Figure I-14. A Solid State Radiations Incorporated PIN diode was used to measure the dose rate during the wide pulse (70 μ sec FWHM). Several bursts of the same yield were performed with the diode positioned at different distances from the core. Three MC-1546 diodes were used to measure the gamma dose rate as a function of distance from the core centerline for a narrow pulse (40 μ sec FWHM). In order to insure that the three diodes had sensitivities that were essentially equal, the diodes were exposed at the same distance from the core for two bursts. The outputs were within ±5% of the mean of the three readings. The calibration of these diodes was provided by personnel of Autonetics (Anaheim).

b. Gamma and neutron dose rates are approximately proportional to the pulse height from a photodiode used to monitor the reactor pulse. A burst picture or photograph of the photodiode oscilloscope trace is provided to the experimenter for each pulse. The reliability of this technique is compromised by two effects of the high radiation fields:

(1) The darkening of the scintillator material

(2) The degradation of the photodiode due to neutrons

c. An alternate means of scaling dose rates depends on the ratio of the peak fission rates for the two bursts in question. The peak fission rate (\dot{F}) is given by (Ref 6)

$$\dot{\mathbf{F}} = \frac{Ca\rho}{2} \left(1 + a^2 \tau^2\right)$$

where C is the shutdown coefficient, a is the reciprocal of the initial period in seconds, ρ is the prompt reactivity, and τ is the characteristic propagation time of pressure waves in the assembly. The period appears on the burst photograph, the prompt reactivity can be obtained from reactor performance data, and t is approximately 12 microseconds. For the bursts in Figure I-14, the calculated ratio is

$$\frac{\dot{F}_{1}}{\dot{F}_{2}} = 1.79$$

Here, \dot{F}_1 and \dot{F}_2 are the peak fission rates for the 40- and 70-microsecond pulses, respectively. The corresponding ratio obtained from the measured dose rates is 1.5.

- HAI

2.3 OPERATING LIMITS AND FACTORS AFFECTING FBR OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS

2.3.1 Objective

The objective of this section is to provide the user of the facility with a summary of operating limits and factors affecting the output characteristics of the FBR.

2.3.2 Method

The information in this section is based on documented administrative limits and on FBR operating experience.

2.3.3 Results

2.3.3.1 Effects of Moderating Materials

a. There are no materials of low atomic weight in the FBR core to effectively moderate the neutrons produced in fission. The vast majority of fissions, therefore, are produced by high-energy neutrons. It is the absence of moderating material and the compact construction of the core that account for the very short neutron lifetime, the narrow pulsewidths, and the high dose rates produced by the FBR. The fact that it is a bare, unmoderated, and highly enriched assembly makes the reactor extremely sensitive to the moderating and reflecting characteristics of experiments placed near it (Ref 12 and 13). A decoupling shroud or "safety shield" lined with cadmium is used to reduce the effects of external reflectors by absorbing and scattering thermal neutrons which are reflected back to ward the core. The decoupling provided by the cadmium is not complete, and experiments (especially moderating experiments) broaden the pulse and reduce the dose rates produced by a burst of a given yield. Figure 5 shows two bursts of approximately the same yield, but the pulsewidth, and consequently the peak dose rate, is altered drastically because moderating material was placed near the core.



b. The effects of moderating material on the burst yield are illustrated in Figure 6. The moderating experiment setup consisted of two 4- by 8- by 2-inch polyethylene bricks placed on the experimenter table so that the 8- by 8-inch surface formed by the two bricks was perpendicular to a core radius. The distance plotted is measured from the core centerline to the surface of the reflector. Figure 6 shows a very strong effect on the burst yield. This effect can be reduced somewhat by placing additional cadmium between the reflector and the core. The boron-10 decoupling shroud (para 1.2) will essentially eliminate this effect (Ref 14 and 15).

2. 3. 3. 2 Position Relative to the Burst Rod

a. As shown in Figure 1, the burst rod is inserted at a location near the external surface of the reactor. For this reason, its reactivity worth is affected by the presence of external reflectors placed near it. The experiment reflects neutrons back into the core and is capable of tilting the flux distribution sufficiently to alter the burst rod worth. The burst rod is inserted at a position that is 30 degrees from north and at a radius of 3. 125 inches from the core axis of symmetry.

b. The dependence of burst yield on the angular position of a reflector can best be analyzed by comparison with the effects of a symmetric reflector with the same moderating characteristics as the reflector in question. Experiments placed between 90 and 270 degrees with respect to the burst rod tend to reduce the yield of a burst, while those between 270 and 90 degrees tend to increase the burst yield (Fig. 7) relative to those produced with a symmetric experiment.

c. Figure 8 shows the effect of the angular position of an 8- by 8- by 1-inch steel plate on the burst yield. The 8- by 8-inch face was kept perpendicular to a radius; the distance to the core centerline was 6 inches. This curve shows the very strong dependence of burst yield on angular position. PEAK TEMPERATURE CHANGE (• C)



Figure 6 Temperature yield vs. radial distance from core centerline as determined with a moderating experiment.



Fig. 7. Location of Experiments With Respect to the Burst Rod

d. Generally, experiments are positioned so that they tend to reduce the burst yield. If this is done, initial burst operations smaller than the desired yield are obtained for new types of experiments or those of high experimental worth by compensating for the experiment as though it were symmetrically distributed around the core. After the characteristics of the new experiment are determined, bursts of the desired yield can be produced consistently. This technique enables the Reactor Leader to approach the burst of the desired size from the conservative direction.

2.3.3.3 Limitations on Experimental Worth

a. The size and moderating characteristics of the experiment and its proximity to the core are critical factors in determining its effect on the FBR. It is possible for the worth of an experiment to exceed the amount of reactivity that can be withdrawn with the control rods. In order to maintain shim capability in the control rods and to limit the



effects of experiments on the burst yield, a limit is placed on experimental worth. This reactivity limit is \$1.50 relative to the unreflected reactor with no experiment table in proximity to the core. Experiments exceeding this limit can be irradiated only with the concurrence of the Reactor Safeguards Committee (RSC).

b. The mass adjustment ring (MAR) is removed from the core when experiments exceeding \$1.50 are exposed. The removal of the hollow stainless-steel MAR provides an additional \$0.62 of shim __justment. The reactivity worths of other core components are listed in Table VIII.

TABLE VIII

REACTIVITY WORTHS OF CORE COMPONENTS

Component	Worth (\$)
Burst Rod	1.11
Hollow Stainless-Steel MAR	0.62
Solid Stainless-Steel MAR	1.04
U–10 wt. % Mo MAR	2.46
Safety Block, $\frac{1}{2}$ inch	1.42
Safety Block, 1 inch	3. 77
Safety Block, $l\frac{1}{2}$ inches	>4. 92
Control Rod (peak differential)	No. 1 0.0365 (¢/mil)
	No. 2 0.0345 (¢/mil)
Control Rod (integral)	No. 1 1.59
	No. 2 1.54

c. The analytical evaluation of the reactivity worth of an experiment is a complex problem involving the energy-dependent scattering and absorption cross sections of all the materials involved, the solid angle these materials subtend, and their density, thickness, etc. Because of the complexity of the problem of estimating the worth of an experiment a priori, it is recommended that large experiments that must be placed very close to the reactor be designed so that their reactivity can be reduced. This can be done by segmentation of the experiment or by moving the experiment back. The reactivity contribution of an experiment falls off more rapidly than $1/R^2$, so a small change in its position is generally sufficient to reduce its worth to a value of less than \$1.50.

2.3.3.4 Limitations and Predictability of Burst Operations

a. Experimenters typically request exposures requiring bursts in the range between 210° and 270°C. The maximum yield allowable without prior approval of the RSC is $\Delta T_3 = 300$ °C ($\Delta T_1 = 355$ °C). For narrow bursts greater than about $\Delta T_1 = 310$ °C, the probability of minor mechanical failures that could delay operations increases. In addition, health physics problems become more complex. The flaking of the nickel fuel cladding is accelerated because of the increased thermal shock. Traces of fuel cladding and fission products on the reactor cell floor force the implementation of special procedures to prevent the spread of contamination. The replating of core components with aluminum ion plating has reduced the problem somewhat: however, the problems of contamination associated with larger bursts have not been eliminated.

b. The checkout of the modified core, which is part of a development program to improve reactor operational capability, will provide information for the identification and elimination of structurally weak points in the FBR system As a result of the core modification, the limit on burst yield will be raised to the vicinity of $\Delta T_1 = 400$ °C.

c. When new experiments are set up near the core, the Reactor Leader approaches the desired yield rapidly through a series of bursts if the experimental setup is not altered greatly from burst to burst. Under the ideal conditions, in which the experiment is not changed, the yield can be expected to vary less than $\pm 5\%$. On the order of 2% of the time, neutrons from spontaneous fissions, fissions produced directly or indirectly by cosmic rays, or those produced by delayed neutrons cause a power excursion before the burst rod is fully seated. These preinitiations result in yields which may be as small as 20% of the desired burst yield.

2.3.3.5 Limitations on Power Operations

a. Power operations can be run for several hours at levels from fractions of watts to 5 kilowatts and for short periods of time up to about 10 kilowatts. (A 10-kilowatt power level can be maintained for approximately 10 minutes.) The limiting factor in power operations is the limit of 400°C maximum core temperature stated in the FBR Technical Specifications (Ref 16). An improved forced-air or a liquid nitrogen cooling system would permit operation at higher power levels. Figure I-15 shows the reactor core temperature (T_1) as a function of time for various power levels. The T_1 temperature scram point is set at 350°C, and with the cooling system in operation, it can be seen that 5 kilowatts is very close to the maximum power level that can be maintained indefinitely.

b. For the FBR, the buildup of fission products may restrict maintenance operations necessary for continued pulse operation. The number of fissions normally produced by one full day of burst operations (5 bursts, 5×10^{17} fissions) are produced by the FBR running at 5 kilowatts for about 1 hour. For this reason, long power runs must be carefully scheduled so that the high radiation levels produced by the decay of fission products do not limit reactor maintenance operations.

SECTION 3. APPENDICES

1 1.24.25

APPENDIX I. TEST DATA



I-1

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED



NEUTRON FLUENCE / KW-MIN [En ⇒ 3.0 MeV] (n/cm²per KW-MIN)



EACTOR CORE CENTERLINE (INCHES)

2

NEUTRON FLUENCE $/ \triangle T_1$ [En \Rightarrow 3.0 MeV] (**n**/ cm² -°c)



Pages 1-5 and 1-0

DISTANCE FROM REACTOR O





at 6 inches from core centerline. (Measured with experiment table.)





. .

I-8



at one meter from core centerline. (Measured with experiment table.)



I-19



٠.

FLUENCE (Neutrons/CM²)



515 . 1 .

Participant and a second second

•

· , ,

, ,

۰ ۲

te ve returnetere

1. I.

5



Figure 1-9 Fission yield vs temperature rise (TC-1). 96.5 kg core Temperature (Peak / Avg) = 2.08

I-12



Pages I-13 and I-14







Pages I-15 and I-16







GAMMA EXPOSURE (10³ R)

Figure 1-13 Gamma exposure as a function of angle, at core midplane.

ANGLE WITH RESPECT TO NORTH (DEGREES)



I-19

5





APPENDIX II. REFERENCES

LITERATURE CITED

1. T. Wimett, "DDK Calculation of FBR Core Fission Density," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, 1964

2. K. C. Humpherys, et al, "Nuclear Radiation Dosimetry Measurements of the White Sands Missile Range Fast Burst Reactor," EG&G Report 5-26Z-R, Jan 65

3. H. M. Murphy, "Summary of Neutron and Gamma Dosimetry Techniques," Air Force Weapons Laboratory Technical Report No. AFWL-TR-66-111, Sep 67

4. J. Meason and H. Wright, Work Performed for Nuclear Effects Directorate, WSMR, 1969

5. J. A. Halblieb, et al, "Neutron Spectroscopy by Foil Activation in Radiation Effects Studies," Sandia Corporation Report SC-DC-67-1572, Jul 67

6. J. R. Stehn, et al, "Neutron Cross Sections," Brookhaven National Laboratory, BNL-325 Supplement No. 2, 1964

7. A. De La Paz, D. L. Welch, T. F. Luera, and J. D. Parkyn, "Operating Experience and Neutron Fluence Characteristics of the White Sands Missile Range Fast Burst Reactor," Trans. Amer. Nucl. Soc., Vol 11, No. 2, pp 645-646, 1968

8. T. Wimett, et al, "Godiva II – An Unmoderated Pulse-Irradiation Reactor," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol 8, pp 691-708, 1960

9. M. Farkas and E. Eldridge, "Heat Contents and Specific Heats of Some Uranium-Bearing Fuels," Journal of Nuclear Materials, Vol 27, pp 94-96, 1968

10. R. L. Long, Nuclear Engineering Department, University of New Mexico, Private Communication, Sep 69

11. J. Meason and H. Wright, Nuclear Effects Directorate, WSMR, Private Communication, Apr 69

II-1

12. R. L. Long, "Effects of Reflectors on the Burst Characteristics of an Enriched Uranium - 10 w/o Molybdenum Alloy Fast Burst Reactor," Internal Memo 26, Missile Science Division, Army Missile Test and Evaluation Directorate WSMR, 1965

13. R. L. Coats, "Neutron Kinetics of a Reflected Fast Burst Reactor," Sandia Laboratories Report SC-RR-67-802, Dec 67

14. R. L. Coats and P. D. O'Brien, "Pulse Characteristics of Sandia Pulsed Reactor-II," Trans. Amer. Nucl. Soc., Vol 11, No. 1, pp 219-220, Jun 68

15. T. Luera, FBR Technical Memo 69-8, WSMR, Mar 69

16. "Technical Specifications for the WSMR Fast Burst Reactor Facility," Nuclear Effects Division, Missile Science Directorate, Army Missile Test and Evaluation, WSMR, Sep 66

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Memorandum, "Characteristics of Nuclear Effects Branch Radiation Facilities and Operational Procedures," Army Missile Test and Evaluation, WSMR, Mar 66

2. K. C. Humpherys, "A Review of Some Passive Dosimetry Methods Used in Radiation Effects Studies with Fast Burst Reactors," AEC 15 Symposium Series, Fast Burst Reactors, US Atomic Energy Commission Division of Technical Information, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, Dec 69

APPENDIX III. DISTRIBUTION LIST

Addresse

Minister of the second

Number of Copies

Commanding General	
US Army Test and Evaluation Command	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	
ATTN: AMSTE-PO-M (NO STAMP)	15
AMSTE-NB (R. Galasso)	3
Commanding General	
US Army Materiel Command	
Washington, D. C. 20315	
ATTN: AMCRD-SE	3
AMCS-N	3
AMCBD-BN	3
Commanding Officer	3
US Army Aviation Material Laboratories	
ATTN: OSMFE-CPR	
Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604	
Commanding General	3
US Army Electronics Command	
ATTN: AMSEL-PP-PAD	
Fort Monmouth. New Jersev 07703	
Commanding General	3
US Army Tank-Au' motive Command	
ATTN: SMOTA-R T	
Warren, Michigan +8090	
······································	
Commanding Officer	3
Aberdeen Proving Ground	
ATTN: STEAP-DS-TA	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	

III-1
Addressee

Number of Copies

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

Commanding Officer Deseret Test Center ATTN: STEPD-PA(S) Bldg !00 Soldiers Circle Fort Douglas, Utah 84113

President US Army Airborne, Electronics and Special Warfare Board ATTN: STEBF-AP-L Fort Bragg, North Carolina 28307

President US Army Air Defense Board ATTN: STEBD-PO Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

Commanding Officer US Army Arctic Test Center ATTN: STEAC-TA APO Seattle 98733

President US Army Armor and Engineering Board ATTN: STEBB-EX-R Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

President US Army Artillery Board ATTN: STEBA-OP Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73504

President US Army Aviation Test Board ATTN: STEBG-AS Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360

III-2

Addressee	Number of Copies
President	3
US Army Infantry Board	
ATTN: STEBC-OP	
Fort Benning Georgia 31905	
Tort Doming, Goorgia 51705	
Commanding Officer	3
US Army General Equipment Test Activity	
ATTN: STEGE-CP (TA&O)	
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801	
Commanding General	10
US Army Electronic Proving Ground	
ATTN: STEEP-T	
Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85611	
Commanding Officer	
US Army Tropic Test Center	
APO New York 09827	
ATTN: STETC-TE	2
STETC-RE	2
	10
Commanding Officer	10
Yuma Proving Ground	
ATTN: STEYP-TE	
Yuma, Arizona 85364	
Commanding Officer	3
US Army Aviation Test Activity	-
ATTN: CTEAN DO	
AllN: SIEAV-FO	
Edwards Air Force Base, Camornia 95525	
Commanding Officer	3
Jefferson Proving Ground	
ATTN: STEJP-TD	
Madison, Indiana 47251	
	2
President	3
US Army Maintenance Board	
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121	

III-3

Addressee	Number of
Chief, Nuclear Research Laboratory Army Materials Research Agency Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	3
Diamond Ordnance Radiation Facility Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: W. Giesler Washington, D. C. 20425	3
Ballistics Research Laboratories Army Pulse Radiation Facility Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	3
Chief of Engineers Department of the Army ATTN: ENGSO Washington, D. C. 20315	3
Director, US Army Engineers Reactors Group Department of the Army Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	3
Commander (include DDC Form 50) Hq, Defense Documentation Center for Scientific and Technical Information ATTN: Document Service Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20
Commanding General White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002 ATTN: AD-R (Copy 1 stamped Record Copy) TE-P (Copies 2 and 3 for Reference Files) (Prerelease Copy) RE-L	1 22 1 $\frac{3}{161}$
Total	161

III-4

Copies

Security Classification	ROLDATAT	8 D	An antique avec a segurar analysis and an and an and an		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing	ennotation must be	entered when th	he overall report le classified;		
Army Missile Test and Evaluation	·• - ·	IInologeified			
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico		26. GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE		<u></u>			
METHODOLOGY INVESTIGATION: OUTPO SANDS MISSILE RANGE FAST BURST REA	UT CHARA	CTERIST	ICS OF THE WHITE		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report, July 1968 to March 1970	<u>-</u>				
5. AUTHOR(3) (First name, middle initial, last name)					
Ted F. Luera and Don L. Welch					
. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS		
Apr 70	67	16 ACRAS	18		
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	94. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(3)				
$b \operatorname{PRDIECT NO.}$	None				
	S. OTHER REP	ORT NO(S) (Any	r other numbers that may be assigned		
RDT1 project 1S665702D625-05 a. MA	this report)				
19. ALE					
Early transmittal of this locument within H appr val of CC USATEOOM, ATTN: AMS	S Army Ma STE TS, Al	teriel Co erdeen F	roving oround,		
Mary 21005. Each tran mittal of this	document	<u>outside</u> U	S Army Materiel		
Command must have prior approval of CG.	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY				
US Army Materiel Command, ATTN:	USATE	COM, Ab	erdeen Proving Grour		
AMCPA-S, Washington, DC 20315	Maryland, ATTN: AMSTE-PO				
The results of neutron and gamma radiatio in this report. Sufficient data are provided neutron spectrum, gamma dose, and gamn operations and power runs.	n dosimetr d so that es na dose rat	y measur timates c e can be c	ements are presented of the neutron fluence, obtained for both burs		
A discussion of the dosimetry techniques p Directorate is presented, as are the start techniques.	resently in improving	use at th and expa	e Nuclear Effects nding upon these		
A summary of those factors which a fact by provided.	urst yield c	or maxim	um power level is als		
DD FORM 1473 REPLACES DO PORMITATETI VAR 647	WHICH IS IS TAKE	19 19 Holy 5	• -		

KEY WORDS			BOLE		LINK C	
			1			
Dosimetry						
Reactor			1			
Fast Burst Reactor					- 1.	
	1					
	1					
		ļ				
		[
]	
						- x
				· ·		
			· ·			
						4
		2			an a	<i>.</i>
					,	
				1		
	Í					
						یھی۔ بیٹر بیٹر
	1			144		
	· · · ·					
					·	
			1			

1.4

長長

1

......

÷,