UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD871610

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; MAR 1970. Other requests shall be referred to U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratorie , Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604. This document contains export-controlled technical data.

AUTHORITY

USAAMRDL ltr, 23 Jun 1971

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD

USAAVLABS TECHNICAL REPORT 70-8 A FIRST APPROXIMATION THEORY TO THE EFFECTS OF TRANSVERSE SHEAR DEFORMATIONS ON THE BUCKLING AND VIDDATION OF FIRED DEINFORCED CIDCULAR CYLINDDICAL **VIBRATION OF FIBER-REINFORCED CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL** A SHELLS - APPLICATION TO AXIAL COMPRESSION LOADING **OF BORON- AND GLASS-EPOXY COMPOSITES**

By

R. M. Taylor J. Mayers

March 1970

U. S. ARMY AVIATION MATERIEL LABORATORIES FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA

CONTRACT DAAJ02-68-C-0035 DEPARTMENT OF AERONAUTICS AND ASTRONAUTICS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

.ms uncurrent is subject to special export controls, and each transmittal to forsign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.



Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Disposition Instructions

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HEADQUARTERS US ARMY AVIATION MATERIEL LABORATORIES FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA 23604

This program was carried out under Contract DAAJ02-68-C-0035 with Stanford University.

The research was directed toward the development of a theory to predict the effects of transverse shear deformations on the buckling and vibration of fiber-reinforced cylindrical shells under uniform axial compression. The cases for boron-epoxy and glass-epoxy shells are presented.

The report has been reviewed by the U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories and is considered to be technically sound. It is published for the exchange of information and the stimulation of future research.

Task 1F162204A17002 Contract DAAJ02-68-C-0035 USAAVLABS Technical Report 70-8 March 1970

A FIRST APPROXIMATION THEORY TO THE EFFECTS OF TRANSVERSE SHEAR DEFORMATIONS ON THE BUCKLING AND VIBRATION OF FIBER-REINFORCED CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL SHELLS -APPLICATION TO AXIAL COMPRESSION LOADING OF BORON- AND GLASS-EPOXY COMPOSITES

By

R. M. Taylor J. Mayers

Prepared by

Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics Stanford University Stanford, California

for

U.S. ARMY AVIATION MATERIEL LABORATORIES FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA

This document is subject to special export controls, and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of U. S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604.

SUMMARY

The effects of transverse shear deformations upon the classical buckling load of fiber-reinforced cylindrical shells under uniform axial compression have been analyzed. A stability determinant which upon evaluation yields an expression for the buckling load has been developed using a modified form of the Reissner variational principle. The buckling loads predicted by the stability determinant, with transverse shear effects neglected, have been calculated and shown to agree with previously published results for boron-epoxy and glass-epoxy cylinders. Inclusion of the transverse shear effects in the two cases investigated shows little reduction in the classical buckling loads. For general application, charts are presented to give stability criteria for fiberreinforced composites as a function of the geometric and mechanical properties. Effective transverse shear rigidities, established by experiment, must be developed in order to estimate realistically the reduction in buckling load from the charts presented. For the determination of natural frequencies of fiber-reinforced shells, with transverse shear effects included, a frequency equation in determinant form is presented.

FOREWORD

The work reported herein constitutes a portion of a continuing effort being undertaken at Stanford University for the U.S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories under Contract DAAJ02-68-C-0035 (Task 1F162204A17002) to establish accurate theoretical prediction capability for the static and dynamic behavior of aircraft structural components utilizing both conventional and unconventional materials. Previous contracts supported investigations which led, in part, to the results presented in references 2, 3, 4, and 5.

State of the second state of the



TABLE OF CONTENTS

																			Page
SUMMARY	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		٠	·	•		iii
FOREWORD	••	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
LIST OF	SYMBOLS	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	viii
INTRODUC	TION	·					•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	1
GENERAL	THEORY	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
Pro	blem St	ate	men	ıt	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
Rei	ssner F	Junc	tio	nal		•			•	L.	•		•	•	•		•	•	2
Rei	ssner F	unc	tio	nal		Pla	ates	s ar	id S	hel	.ls								4
Con	stituti	ve	Law	,															5
Str	ein-Dic	nle	0.00	ont	Re	lat	ior	ne	-	•		•	-	-	•	·		-	6
Mod	defined t	o Pra				.+ 4 .	201	15	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
Eul	er Eque	atio	ns		•		·			•			•	•	•	•	•	•	י 9
METHOD O	F SOLUI	ION			•				•		Ļ	•		•	•		•		11
		• •				•													
ASS	umed Sc	otat	10n	i Fu	net	lor	ns	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	11
Sta	bility	Det	erm	ina	nt	Dev	relo	pme	ent	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
RESULTS	AND DIS	CUS	SIC	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
CONCLUDI	NG REMA	RKS		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	17
LITERATU	RE CITE	ED	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
APPENDIX	ES																		
I.	Euler	Equ	ati	ons	an	d I	Bour	ndar	y C	ond	11+ i	ons	De	riv	red	Fre	om		20
	one ve			11QT					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
11.	Compat N	.101	.11t	ус •	οι τ •	ne.	. Kes	• •	ant.	ГС	aas	. N	×,	. 3	, ,	and .	1		25
III.	Txy Refere	ence	-Su	rfa	ce	Sti	air	ı Co	mpe	itit	ili	.ty	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
IV.	Buckli	ing	Loa	d C	alc	ula	atic	n	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	30
v.	Vibrat	ion	Pr	obl	em		•							•	•				36
VI.	Defin	itic	ons	of	Mat	ri	ces	•		•		•		•				•	39
DISTRIBU	TION	•		•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•		•	•	41

vii

Contraste Services

LIST OF SYMBOLS

А	shell surface area, in.
A_{1j} [A]	extensional stiffness matrix for shell. 1b in.
a [] ij	extensional compliance matrix for shell. in. 1b
Ъ	circumferential length of the shell, in.
$C_{ij}^{(k)} = [C^{(k)}]$	extensional stiffness matrix for k^{th} layer. lb/in. ²
D _{ij} - [D]	stiffness ccupling matrix for shell, lb
$D_{ij} = [D^{\dagger}]$	bending stiffness matrix for shell, lb-in.
d _{ij} = [d]	compliance coupling matrix for shell, in.
d' = [d']	transpose of compliance coupling matrix for shell, in.
$d_{ij}^* = [d^*]$	modified bending stiffness matrix for shell, lb-in.
E ₁₁	modulus of elasticity of fiber-reinforced composite layer in the direction of the fiber reinforcement. lb/in. ²
E ₂₂	modulus of elasticity of fiber-reinforced composite layer in the direction perpendicular to the fiber re- inforcement, lb/in. ²
Fí	complementary energy density, lb/in. ²
G	shear modulus of fiber-reinforced composite layer, lb/in. ²
^G _x . ^G _y	effective transverse shear rigidity in xz- and yz-planes. respectively, lb/in. ²
h	shell total thickness, in.

h k	layer coordinates, in.
L	axial length of the shell, in.
L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 $L_6, L_7, L_8, L_9, L_{10}$	linear operators defined in text
M _x ,M _y ,M _{xy}	moment resultants, lb-in./in.
m	number of buckle half waves in axial direction
N = n/2	number of buckle full waves in circumrerential direction
^N x ^{, N} y ^{, N} xy	force resultants, lb/in.
N Xo	applied axial load, 1b/in.
N _{xo}	nondimensional applied axial load parameter
N ₁ ,N ₂ ,N ₃	undetermined force coefficients, lb/in.
n	number of buckle half waves in the circumferential direction
۹ _x ,۹ _y	transverse shear force resultants, lb/in.
R	radius of shell, in.
TÍÍ	kinetic energy, inlb
t	time, sec
t ₁ ,t ₂	limits of an arbitrary time interval, sec
U**	Reissner functional, inlb
u	reference surface displacement in the x-direction, in.
^u 1, ^u 2	undetermined displacement coefficients, in.

ix

C

V	volume of shell material, in. ³
v··	potential of the applied loading, inlb
V	reference surface displacement in the y-direction, in.
v₁,v 2	undetermined coefficients, in.
W	undetermined coefficient, in.
W	reference surface displacement in the z-direction, in.
x,y,z	reference surface coordinate directions
a	nondimensional parameter defined in text
β	nondimensional parameter defined in text
Γ.,Γ.	undetermined coefficients, in./in.
χ y γ	nondimensional parameter defined in text
^y xy ^{, y} yz ^{, y} xz	shear strains, in./in.
$\overline{\gamma}_{xz}, \overline{\gamma}_{yz}$	average transverse shear strains, in./in.
^e x' ^e y	extensional strain components, in./in.
ĸ	nondimensional parameter defined in text
^ĸ x' ^ĸ y	relative rotations in x- and y-directions, respec- tively, in. ⁻¹
^K xy	relative twist of xy-surface, in. ⁻¹
^{\lambda} x', ^{\lambda} y	nondimensional parameters defined in text
$\mu = \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{L}}\right) / \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}}\right)$	buckle aspect ratio
ν	nondimensional parameter defined in text
^v 12 ^{, v} 21	Poisson's ratios for fiber-reinforced composite layer
5	nondimensional parameter defined in text

X

P.	mass density per unit area, lb-sec ² /in. ³
σ _x ,σ _y	direct stress components, lb/in. ²
xy'yz'xz	shear stress components, lb/in. ²
¢	Airy stress function
α	natural frequency, rad/sec
$\overline{\omega} = \rho \omega^2 R^4 / d_{11}^*$	nondimensional natural frequency parameter
SUPERSCRIPTS	
0	reference surface
(k)	layer
Т	transpose



INTRODUCTION

Due to their high strength-to-weight ratios, fiber-reinforced composites are increasingly being used for structural applications. In the analyses to determine the structural characteristics of fiber-reinforced beams, plates, and shells, the structural members have been assumed to be infinitely rigid with respect to transverse shear deformations. The objective of the present analysis is to investigate the effect of relaxing the rigid-transverse-shear assumption.

The usual fiber-reinforced composite structure is laminated from thin layers, each having unidirectional fiber reinforcement. For a given layer, the ratio of the inplane shear rigidity to the extensional rigidity is much lower than the corresponding ratio in a homogeneous isotropic material. Therefore, transverse shear deformations during bending in laminated fiber-reinforced structures can be expected to be much more significant than shear deformations in homogeneous isotropic structures. In the present study, an analysis which includes the effect of transverse shear deformation on the elastic stability of fiberreinforced circular cylindrical shells subjected to uniform axial compression is developed. Applications are made to the special cases of boron-epoxy and glass-epoxy materials.

The analysis is based upon the use of the Reissner¹ variational principle. First, the indirect variational procedure is used to establish the governing equations and the general boundary conditions for the problem. Then, to calculate buckling loads, the direct variational approach (Rayleigh-Ritz method) is applied. The general buckling criteria results are given in the form of a stability determinant, and the specific problem solution results are given in the form of charts. The nature of the development is such that with very little additional effort the natural frequency equation for fiber-reinforced shells with transverse shear effects included can be obtained; the frequency equation is presented in an appendix.

GENERAL THEORY

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The general problem considered here is the investigation of the effect of transverse shear deformations on the buckling loads of circular cylindrical shells made of fiber-reinforced composite materials under uniform axial compression. The shell geometry and coordinate system used are defined in Figure 1. The fiber reinforcement within each lamina is unidirectional; however, the orientation of a given layer with respect to adjacent layers can be arbitrary. Also, the thicknesses of each of the layers may differ. The shell wall is shown in Figure 2.

REISSNER FUNCTIONAL

In the present analysis, a variational procedure is applied to the Reissner¹ form of the potential energy. As shown by Mayers et al^{2,3,4,4}, the Reissner formulation and modified forms thereof are demonstrated to be better alternatives to the potential energy principle for the nonlinear analysis of plates and shells. To facilitate the extension of the present analysis to nonlinear problems and to preserve continuity, a modified Reissner principle is used for the present linear analysis. For a thin plate or shell in which normal stresses in the direction perpendicular to the surface are neglected, the Reissner functional becomes (see reference 1)

$$U'' = \int_{V} \left\{ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}} + \tau_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} + \tau_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}''\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} + \tau_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}''\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} - F' \right\} dV$$
(1)

where F' is the complementary energy density and is defined by

$$\mathbf{F}' = \int_{0}^{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}} d\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} + \int_{0}^{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}} d\sigma_{\mathbf{y}} + \int_{0}^{\tau_{\mathbf{xy}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{xy}} d\tau_{\mathbf{xy}} + \int_{0}^{\tau_{\mathbf{xz}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{xz}} d\tau_{\mathbf{xz}} + \int_{0}^{\tau_{\mathbf{yz}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{yz}} d\tau_{\mathbf{yz}}$$
(2)

In the linear elastic case,

$$\mathbf{F'} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}} + \tau_{\mathbf{xy}} \gamma_{\mathbf{xy}} + \tau_{\mathbf{xz}} \gamma_{\mathbf{xz}} + \tau_{\mathbf{yz}} \gamma_{\mathbf{yz}} \right]$$
(3)



Figure 1. Sign Convention and Geometry.





3

No Westword Destroy Martin and State

REISSNER FUNCTIONAL - PLATES AND SHELLS

The strains can be expressed in terms of the reference-surface strains and the relative rotations (corresponding to the Kirchoff-Love assumptions) as

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{z}\kappa_{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{y}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{z}\kappa_{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{xy}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{xy}}^{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{z}\kappa_{\mathbf{xy}}$$
(4)

After integration through the thickness, the Reissner functional becomes

$$U^{\prime\prime} = \int_{A} \left\{ N_{\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{O} - M_{\mathbf{x}} \kappa_{\mathbf{x}} + N_{\mathbf{y}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}^{O} - M_{\mathbf{y}} \kappa_{\mathbf{y}} + N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \gamma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^{O} - M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \kappa_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} + Q_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} + Q_{\mathbf{y}} \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} - \int_{b} \mathbf{F}^{\prime} d\mathbf{z} \right\} d\mathbf{A}$$
(5)

where the following usual definitions of the stress resultants apply:

$$N_{x} = \int \sigma_{x} dz$$

$$N_{y} = \int \sigma_{y} dz$$

$$N_{xy} = \int \tau_{xy} dz$$

$$M_{x} = \int z \sigma_{x} dz$$

$$M_{y} = \int z \sigma_{y} dz$$

$$M_{xy} = \int z \tau_{xy} dz$$

$$Q_{x} = \int \tau_{xz} dz$$

$$Q_{y} = \int \tau_{yz} dz$$
(6)

and where $\overline{\gamma}_{xz}$ and $\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$ represent average transverse shear strains.

CONSTITUTIVE LAW

Discussions of the linear-elastic law for laminated anisotropic plates and shells can be found in references 6, 7, 8 and 9. Assumption of a state of generalized plane stress gives the stress-strain relations for the k^{th} layer as

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{(\mathbf{k})} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^{(\mathbf{k})} \\ \tau_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^{(\mathbf{k})} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{(\mathbf{k})} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \varepsilon_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where the symmetrical matrix $[C^{(k)}]$ represents the elastic coefficients associated with the k^{th} lamina. The elastic coefficients depend upon the elastic properties of the lamina referred to a set of elastic axes and the orientation of the elastic axes with respect to the coordinate system of the reference surface in the structure.

The stress resultants can now be related to the reference-surface strains and the relative rotations and twist by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{0}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{0}} \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{xy}}^{\mathbf{0}} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{xy}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases} = [\mathbf{D}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{0}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{0}} \\ \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{0}} \end{cases} - [\mathbf{D}^{*}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases}$$
(9)

The reference surface constitutive law is given now by equations (8) and (9)

where [A], [D], and $[D^*]$ are 3×3 matrices whose elements depend upon the physical and geometric properties of the laminae (see Appendix VI). Coupling of the reference-surface strains and the loads with the bending moments and the relative rotations and twist due to the nonsymmetrical arrangement of the laminae relative to the reference surface is produced by [D]. The details of the evaluation of the elements of the various matrices are given in references 6 through 9. The transverse shear forces and average transverse shear strains are assumed to be related by

$$Q_x = h\overline{G}_x^{\gamma}xz$$
 and $Q_y = h\overline{G}_y^{\gamma}yz$ (10)

where \overline{G}_x and \overline{G}_y are the effective transverse shear rigidities. STRAIN-DISPLACEMENT RELATIONS

For a cylindrical shell, using the Donnell¹⁰ approximation, the reference surface strains for small deflections are given by

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{0} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}^{0} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{R}}$$
(11)
$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^{0} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

In a manner analogous to that of Libove and Batdorf¹¹, the relative rotations and the relative twist are given by the relations

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$
(12)
$$\kappa_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} = 2 \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

in which the terms involving derivatives of $\overline{\gamma}_{xz}$ and $\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$ (the average transverse shear strains) are corrections to the usual curvature terms introduced to account for the effects of transverse shear deformations.

MODIFIED-REISSNER FUNCTIONAL

In a manner similar to that of references 2, 3, 4, and 5, the Reissner functional of equation (5) is now modified so that the force resultants, the reference surface displacements, and the average transverse shear strains are the only variationally independent quantities. The bending and twisting moment resultants and the transverse shear force resultants are eliminated from the Reissner functional through the use of appropriate constitutive relations.

To achieve the modification, equation (8) is inverted and substituted into equation (9) with the result that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{O}} \\ \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathsf{O}} \\ \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xy}}^{\mathsf{O}} \end{cases} = [\mathbf{a}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}} \end{cases} + [\mathbf{d}'] \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{xy}} \end{cases}$$
(13)

 $\begin{cases} M_{\mathbf{x}} \\ M_{\mathbf{y}} \\ M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} N_{\mathbf{x}} \\ N_{\mathbf{y}} \\ N_{\mathbf{y}} \\ N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \kappa_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \kappa_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \kappa_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \kappa_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases}$ (11)

where [a], [d'], [d], and [d^{*}] are 3×3 matrices which are defined in terms of [A], [D], and $[D^*]$ in Appendix VI. The complementary energy per unit surface area is calculated by integrating equation (3) across the thickness; by applying the definitions of equations (6); and by eliminating the transverse shear resultants, reference-surface strains, and bending moments through the use of equations (10), (13), and (14). Such a procedure - the same as that

used by Khot⁹ for evaluating the strain energy - yields

$$\int \mathbf{F'dz} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathbf{a_{11}} \mathbf{N_x^2} + \mathbf{a_{22}} \mathbf{N_y^2} + \mathbf{a_{66}} \mathbf{N_{xy}^2} + 2\mathbf{a_{12}} \mathbf{N_x} \mathbf{N_y} + 2\mathbf{a_{16}} \mathbf{N_x} \mathbf{N_{xy}} + 2\mathbf{a_{26}} \mathbf{N_y} \mathbf{N_{xy}} \right. \\ + \mathbf{a_{11}^*} \mathbf{x_x^2} + \mathbf{a_{22}^*} \mathbf{x_y^2} + \mathbf{a_{66}^*} \mathbf{x_y^2} + 2\mathbf{a_{12}^*} \mathbf{x_x^*} \mathbf{x_y} + 2\mathbf{a_{16}^*} \mathbf{x_x^*} \mathbf{x_y} + 2\mathbf{a_{26}^*} \mathbf{x_y^*} \mathbf{x_y} \\ + \mathbf{\overline{G_x}} \mathbf{n_{yxz}^2} + \mathbf{\overline{G_y}} \mathbf{n_{yyz}^2} \right|$$
(15)

The potential associated with the applied axial compression load is given by (see reference 12)

$$V'' = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{A} \left| N_{x_{o}} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^{2} \right| dA \qquad (16)$$

Combination of equations (5), (10), (11), (12), (14), (15), and (16) gives the following modified form of the Reissner total functional to be used in the variational procedures of the remainder of this analysis.

$$U'' + V'' = \iint_{A} \left\{ N_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + N_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{R}} \right) + N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \overline{G}_{\mathbf{x}} h \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{G}_{\mathbf{y}} h \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}^{2} \right\}$$

$$- (d_{11}N_{\mathbf{x}} + d_{12}N_{\mathbf{y}} + d_{16}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}) \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$- (d_{21}N_{\mathbf{x}} + d_{22}N_{\mathbf{y}} + d_{26}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}) \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)$$

$$- (d_{61}N_{\mathbf{x}} + d_{62}N_{\mathbf{y}} + d_{66}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}_{11}N_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} + \mathbf{a}_{22}N_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} + \mathbf{a}_{66}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^{2} + 2\mathbf{a}_{12}N_{\mathbf{x}}N_{\mathbf{y}} + 2\mathbf{a}_{16}N_{\mathbf{x}}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} + 2\mathbf{a}_{26}N_{\mathbf{y}}N_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[d_{11}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^{2} + d_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)^{2} + d_{66}^{*} \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^{2}$$

(Continued)

$$+ 2d_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y} \right) \\ + 2d_{16}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x} \right) \\ + 2d_{26}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x} \right) \right] dxdy$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \iint_{A} \left[N_{x_{0}} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^{2} \right] dxdy$$
(17)

EULER EQUATIONS

0

An indirect variational approach to the problem results in the establishment of the governing equations (Euler equations) and the associated boundary conditions of the surface. The details of the indirect approach are given in Appendix I; the resulting Euler equations are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0$$
(18)
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} \stackrel{e^{\circ}}{\mathbf{x}} \\ \stackrel{o}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \stackrel{o}{\gamma_{\mathbf{xy}}} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \stackrel{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{x}} \\ \stackrel{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \stackrel{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{xy}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}^{\prime} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \stackrel{\kappa}{\mathbf{x}} \\ \stackrel{\kappa}{\mathbf{y}} \\ \stackrel{\kappa}{\mathbf{xy}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(19)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} = -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}$$
(21)

which apply to flat $(R \rightarrow \infty)$ and circularly curved plates as well as to circular cylinders. Equations (18) represent equilibrium in the x- and y-directions, respectively. Equation (19) represents stressdisplacement compatibility. Equations (20) represent moment equilibrium about the x- and y-axes, respectively. Finally, equation (21) represents equilibrium in the direction normal to the reference surface.

METHOD OF SOLUTION

ASSUMED SOLUTION FUNCTIONS

The generalized displacements and forces satisfying the compatibility conditions derived in Appendix II and Appendix III and, at least, the geometric boundary conditions (simple support for the present problem) are assumed to be

$$w = W \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$\overline{\gamma}_{xz} = \Gamma_x \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$
(22)
$$\overline{\gamma}_{yz} = \Gamma_y \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$u = u_{1} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b} + u_{2} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$v = v_{1} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b} + v_{2} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$
(23)

$$N_{x} = N_{x_{0}} + N_{3} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$N_{y} = N_{1} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$

$$N_{xy} = N_{2} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b}$$
(24)

AL DAME LAW, THE DATE OF

where W, Γ_x , Γ_y , u_1 , u_2 , v_1 , v_2 , N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 become the independent quantities in the direct variational procedure.

STABILITY DETERMINANT DEVELOPMENT

Substitution of the assumed functions into equation (17), integration over the prescribed limits, and subsequent variation with respect to the 9 independent quantities yields a set of 9 linear homogeneous equations. The determinant of the coefficients of the homogeneous equations establishes the condition for equilibrium in the buckled state. An expression for the applied axial load, N_{χ_0} , required for equilibrium in the buckled shape as a function of the shell geometric and elastic properties, the number of circumferential waves (N) , and the buckle aspect ratio (μ) results when the determinant is evaluated. For a given shell, the buckling load corresponds to the lowest value of N_{χ_0} for all the possible combinations of N and μ . The stability determinant as well as the details of the buckling load calculation is given in Appendix IV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary result of the present analysis is the establishment of the stability determinant given by equation (40) in Appendix IV. When the shell geometry and composite material construction are defined, the axial buckling load for a circular cylindrical shell made from any number of arbitrarily orientated fiber-reinforced layers can be determined through numerical evaluation of the stability determinant.

Specifically, the effects of transverse shear deformation on the axial buckling load of fiber-reinforced shells made of two different matrix-fiber combinations have been calculated using equation (40). Both of the shells considered have a 6.0 in. mean radius and are laminated from 0.012 in. thick layers with all fiber reinforcement oriented in the longitudinal direction. The lamina elastic properties for the two cases studied are the same as those used by $\text{Khot}^{8,9}$ and are listed below.

Boron-Epoxy Composite

$$E_{11} = 40.0 \times 10^{6} \text{ lb/in.}^{2} = C_{11}(1-v_{12}v_{21})$$

$$E_{22} = 4.5 \times 10^{6} \text{ lb/in.}^{2} = C_{22}(1-v_{12}v_{21})$$

$$v_{12} = 0.25 \qquad v_{21} = 0.028$$

$$G = 1.5 \times 10^{6} \text{ lb/in.}^{2} = C_{66}$$

Glass-Epoxy Composite

$$E_{11} = 7.5 \times 10^{6} \quad 1b/in.^{2} = C_{11}(1-v_{12}v_{21})$$

$$E_{22} = 3.5 \times 10^{6} \quad 1b/in.^{2} = C_{22}(1-v_{12}v_{21})$$

$$v_{12} = 0.25 \quad v_{21} = 0.18$$

$$G = 1.25 \times 10^{6} \quad 1b/in.^{2} = C_{66}$$

The axial buckling loads for the cases of infinite shear rigidity (no transverse shear effect), along with the reductions in the axial buckling

loads due to finite transverse shear deformations, are shown in Figures 3 and 4 for boron-epoxy and glass-epoxy composites, respectively. The axial buckling loads given in Figures 3 and 4 for the cases of 3-layer shells are the same as those calculated by Khot⁸ on the basis of infinite transverse shear rigidity of the medium.



Figure 3. Stability Criteria, Including Transverse Shear Effects, for Boron-Epoxy Cylindrical Shells (R = 6.0 in., h_i = 0.012 in.)





CONCLUDING REMARKS

For a first approximation to the effects of transverse shear deformation on the buckling load of axially compressed boron-epoxy and glass-epoxy fiber-reinforced shells, the effective shear rigidities $(\overline{G}_x \text{ and } \overline{G}_y)$ can be assumed to have values between the shear modulus of the epoxy matrix (about 200,000 lb/in.²) and the inplane shear modulus of the composite layer $(1.25 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/in.}^2 \text{ for glass-epoxy and } 1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/in.}^2 \text{ for boron-epoxy})$. Figures 3 and 4 show that in this range of values, the buckling loads calculated with transverse shear deformations included are less than 7 percent below those calculated with the assumption of no transverse shear deformation. Actual effective transverse shear rigidities can best be determined by experiment.

Due to the similarity in the formulation of the free lateral vibration problem and the buckling problem to which most of the preceding pages have been devoted, a frequency equation which may be used to establish the effects of transverse shear deformation on the natural frequencies of circular cylindrical fiber-reinforced shells has been developed in Appendix V. For given materials, natural frequencies can be established by finding the eigenvalues of the determinant (equation 47) in a procedure similar to that used for the buckling problems.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Reissner, E., ON A VARIATIONAL THEOREM IN ELASTICITY, <u>Journal of</u> Mathematics and Physics, Vol. 24, No. 2, July 1950, pp. 90-95.
- 2. Mayers, J., and Nelson, E., ELASTIC AND MAXIMUM STRENGTH ANALYSIS OF POSTBUCKLED RECTANGULAR PLATES BASED UPON MODIFIED VERSIONS OF REISSNER'S VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE, Stanford University Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics; SUDAAR 262, 1966. (Also, preprint No. 68-171, AIAA 6th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New York, January 1968).
- 3. Mayers, J., and Rehfield, L. W., FURTHER NONLINEAR CONSIDERATIONS IN THE POSTBUCKLING OF AXIALLY-COMPRESSED CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL SHELLS, <u>Development in Mechanics</u>, Vol. 3, The Proceedings of the Ninth Midwestern Mechanics Conference, Part I, Solid Mechanics and Materials, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1967, pp. 145-160.
- 4. Mayers, J., and Chu, Y. Y., MAXIMUM LOAD PREDICTION FOR SANDWICH PLATES, Stanford University; USAAVLABS Technical Report 69-3,
 U. S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia, April 1969.
- 5. Mayers, J., and Wesenberg, D. L., THE MAXIMUM STRENGTH OF INITIALLY IMPERFECT AXIALLY COMPRESSED CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL SHELLS, Stanford University; USAAVLABS Technical Report 69-60, U. S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories, Fort Eustis, Virginia, August 1969 (also, presented at the AIAA 7th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, AIAA Paper No. 69-91, New York, January 20-22, 1969).
- 6. Ambartsumyan, S. A., THEORY OF ANISOTROPIC SHELLS; NASA TT F-118, 1964.
- 7. Dong, S. B., Pister, K. S., and Taylor, R. L., ON THE THEORY OF LAMINATED ANISOTROPIC SHELLS AND PLATES, <u>Journal of the Aerospace</u> Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 8, August 1962, pp. 969-975.

- 8. Khot, N. S., ON THE EFFECTS OF FIBER ORIENTATION AND NONHOMO-GENEITY ON BUCKLING AND POSTBUCKLING EQUILIBRIUM BEHAVIOR OF FIBER-REINFORCED CYLINDRICAL SHELLS UNDER UNIFORM AXIAL COM-PRESSION, AFFDL-TR-68-19, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
- 9. Khot, N. S., ON THE INFLUENCE OF INITIAL GEOMETRIC IMPERFECTIONS ON THE BUCKLING AND POSTBUCKLING BEHAVIOR OF FIBER-REINFORCED CYLINDRICAL SHELLS UNDER UNIFORM AXIAL COMPRESSION, AFFDL-TR-68-136, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
- 10. Donnell, L. H., STABILITY OF THIN-WALLED TUBES UNDER TORSION, NACA TR 479, 1933.
- 11. Libove, C., and Batdorf, S. B., A GENERAL SMALL-DEFLECTION THEORY FOR FLAT SANDWICH PLATES, NACA TN 1526, 1948.
- 12. Timoshenko, S. P., and Gere, J. M., THEORY OF ELASTIC STABILITY, 2nd ed., New York, McGraw-Hill, 1951, p. 340.

19

APPENDIX I

EULER EQUATIONS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS DERIVED FROM THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

The vanishing of the first variation of equation (17) with respect to N_x , N_y , N_{xy} , u, v, w, $\overline{\gamma}_{xz}$, and $\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$ gives

$$\begin{split} \delta(\mathbf{U}^{\prime\prime} + \mathbf{V}^{\prime\prime}) &= \int \int \left\{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + S \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial \delta v}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{R}} \delta \mathbf{w} + \delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{R}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial \delta v}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) + \overline{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} \delta_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} + \overline{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} \delta_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} \delta \mathbf{w}}{c^{2}} + \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} \delta \mathbf{w}}{c^{2}} + \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} \delta \mathbf{w}}{c^{2}} + \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} \delta \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} + \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2} \delta \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) - \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{2}\mathbf{2}} \delta \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) \\ &- \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &+ \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}6} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \delta \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &+ \left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}2} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}$$

(Continued)

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathbf{a}_{11}\mathbf{N}_{x}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{x} - \mathbf{a}_{22}\mathbf{N}_{y}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{y} - \mathbf{a}_{66}\mathbf{N}_{xy}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{xy} - \mathbf{a}_{12}\mathbf{N}_{x}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{y} - \mathbf{a}_{12}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{x}\mathbf{N}_{y} \\ &-\mathbf{a}_{16}\mathbf{N}_{x}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{xy} - \mathbf{a}_{16}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{x}\mathbf{N}_{xy} - \mathbf{a}_{26}\mathbf{N}_{y}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{xy} - \mathbf{a}_{26}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{N}_{y}\mathbf{N}_{xy} \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{11}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \mathbf{a}_{11}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x^{2}} \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{22}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \mathbf{a}_{22}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y} \\ &+ 2\mathbf{a}_{66}^{*}\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x\partial y} - \mathbf{a}_{66}^{*}\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x} \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{12}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x} \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{12}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial y}\right) + \mathbf{a}_{12}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial y}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{16}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial x}\right)\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial x}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{26}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial x}\right)\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial x}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{26}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial y}\right)\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial x}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{a}_{26}^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{w}}{\partial y^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial y}\right)\left(2\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{b}}{\partial x\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\overline{\mathbf{b}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}}}{\partial x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(Continued)

$$- N_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial \delta \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right\} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$
$$= 0$$
(25)

After integration by parts, regrouping of terms, and application of equations (11) and (12) along with the constitutive relations (10) and (14), equation (25) becomes

$$\begin{split} & \delta(U^{\prime\prime} + V^{\prime\prime}) = -\int \int \left| \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial y} \right| \delta u dx dy - \int \int \left| \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial x} \right| \delta v dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| \epsilon_x - a_{11} N_x - a_{12} N_y - a_{16} N_{xy} - d_{11} \epsilon_x - d_{21} \epsilon_y - d_{61} \epsilon_{xy} \right| \delta N_x dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| \epsilon_y - a_{12} N_x - a_{22} N_y - a_{26} N_{xy} - d_{12} \epsilon_x - d_{22} \epsilon_y - d_{62} \epsilon_{xy} \right| \delta N_y dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| \epsilon_{xy} - a_{16} N_x - a_{26} N_y - a_{66} N_{xy} - d_{16} \epsilon_x - d_{26} \epsilon_y - d_{66} \epsilon_{xy} \right| \delta N_x dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| \epsilon_{xy} - a_{16} N_x - a_{26} N_y - a_{66} N_{xy} - d_{16} \epsilon_x - d_{26} \epsilon_y - d_{66} \epsilon_{xy} \right| \delta N_x dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| e_x - \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial y} \right| \delta \overline{\gamma}_{xz} dx dy + \int \int \left| e_y - \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} \right| \delta \overline{\gamma}_{yz} dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| - \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} - \frac{N_y}{R} + N_x - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right| \delta w dx dy \\ & + \int \int \left| N_x \delta u - \int_0^1 dy + \int_0^1 \left| N_x y \delta u - \int_0^1 dx + \int_0^1 \left| N_y \delta v - \int_0^1 dx + \int_0^1 \left| N_x y \delta v - \int_0^1 dy \right| dy \\ & + \int \int_0^1 \left| N_x \delta u - \int_0^1 dy + \int_0^1 \left| N_x y \delta u - \int_0^1 dx + \int_0^1 \left| N_y \delta v - \int_0^1 dy + \int_0^1 dy \right| dy \end{split}$$

(Continued)

$$+ \int_{0}^{b} \left\{ M_{\mathbf{x}} \delta \left(\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \right] d\mathbf{y} + \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \left\{ M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \delta \left(\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \int_{0}^{b} \right] d\mathbf{x}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \left\{ M_{\mathbf{y}} \delta \left(\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) \int_{0}^{b} \right] d\mathbf{x} + \int_{0}^{b} \left\{ M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \delta \left(\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \right\} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{b} \left\{ \left[-N_{\mathbf{x}_{0}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right] \delta \mathbf{w} - \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \right\} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\mathbf{L}} \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right] \delta \mathbf{w} - \int_{0}^{b} \right\} d\mathbf{x} = 0 \qquad (26)$$

For the above expression to vanish for the specified arbitrary states of stress and displacement consistent with the geometric boundary conditions, each of the terms must vanish independently. The first eight terms of equation (26) lead to the Euler equations and the remaining terms establish the boundary conditions, as follows:

Inplane equilibrium:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0$$
(27)

ないない

Constitutive relation:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}^{O} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}^{O} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xy}}^{O} \end{cases} = [\mathbf{a}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{cases} + [\mathbf{d}'] \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{cases}$$
(28)

Moment equilibrium:

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial M_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(29)

.

Lateral equilibrium:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} = -\frac{\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\mathbf{R}} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}$$
(30)

Boundary conditions:

$N_{\mathbf{x}} = 0$	or	u = 0	at	$\mathbf{x} = 0$,	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}$	
$N_{xy} = 0$	or	u = 0	at	$\mathbf{y} = 0$,	y = b	
$N_y = 0$	or	$\mathbf{v} = 0$	at	y = 0	,	y = b	
$N_{xy} = 0$	or	$\mathbf{v} = 0$	at	x = 0	,	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}$	
$M_{\mathbf{x}} = 0$	or	$\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0$	at	x = 0	,	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}$	
M _{xy} = 0	or	$\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0$	at	$\mathbf{y} = 0$,	y = b	
$M_{\mathbf{y}} = 0$	or	$\overline{\gamma}_{yz} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0$	at	$\mathbf{y} = 0$,	y = b	
M _{xy} = 0	or	$\overline{\gamma}_{yz} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0$	at	x = 0	,	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}$	
$-N x \frac{\partial x}{\partial w} + Q x = 0$	or	w = 0	at	$\mathbf{x} = 0$,	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}$	
Q y = 0	or	w = 0	at	y = 0	,	y = b	(31)

APPENDIX II

COMPATIBILITY OF THE RESULTANT LOADS Nx + Ny + AND Nxy

From Appendix I, : equilibrium conditions for the x- and y-directions are given by equations (27) as

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0$

A constitutive relation is given by equation (8) as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}} \end{cases} = [\mathbf{A}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{O}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{O}} \\ \mathbf{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xy}}^{\mathbf{O}} \end{cases} - [\mathbf{D}] \begin{cases} \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{cases}$$

and equations (11) and (12) give

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{0} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}^{0} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{w}{\mathbf{R}}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{xy}}^{0} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

(Continued)

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$
$$\kappa_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} = 2\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$

Substitution of equations (11) and (12) into (8) and subsequent sub stitution of the result into equations (27) yields equations of the form

$$L_{1}u + L_{2}v = L_{9}w - L_{4}\overline{\gamma}_{xz} - L_{5}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$$

$$L_{2}u + L_{6}v = L_{10}w - L_{5}\overline{\gamma}_{xz} - L_{8}\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$$
(32)

where the "L's" are linear operators defined as follows:

$$L_{1} = \left\{ A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + 2A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

$$L_{2} = \left\{ A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + (A_{12} + A_{66}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

$$L_{3} = \left\{ D_{11} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}} + (D_{12} + 2D_{66}) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + 3D_{16} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + D_{26} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}} \right\}$$

$$L_{4} = \left\{ D_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + 2D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

$$L_{5} = \left\{ D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + (D_{12} + D_{66}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

(Continued)

I

$$L_{6} = \left\{ A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + 2A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

$$L_{7} = \left\{ D_{16} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{3}} + 3D_{26} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + (2D_{66} + D_{12}) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + D_{22} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{3}} \right\}$$

$$L_{8} = \left\{ D_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + 2D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} + D_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \right\}$$

$$L_{9} = L_{3} + \frac{A_{12}}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{A_{26}}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$L_{10} = L_{7} + \frac{A_{16}}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{A_{22}}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$
(33)

Solution of the simultaneous equations (32) gives for the referencesurface displacements

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{L}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{9} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{10}) \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{L}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{4} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{5}) \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}} - (\mathbf{L}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{5} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{8}) \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{10} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{9}) \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{5} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{4}) \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}} - (\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{8} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{5}) \overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}$$
(34)

where

$$\Delta = \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{L}_6 - \mathbf{L}_2^2$$

APPENDIX III

REFERENCE-SURFACE STRAIN COMPATIBILITY

Elimination of the reference surface-displacements between the straindisplacement relations given by equations (11) results in the following strain compatibility expression:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^0}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{y}}^0}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}^0}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{y}} = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}$$
(35)

Consideration of the equilibrium conditions for the x- and y-directions given by equation (18) allows the definition of the Airy function $\Phi(x,y)$ such that

$$N_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2}$$

$$N_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2}$$

$$(36)$$

$$N_{\mathbf{xy}} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x \partial y}$$

With the constitutive relation given by equation (13) and the relations (36), the compatibility equation (35) becomes

 $a_{11}\phi_{,yyyy} + a_{12}\phi_{,xxyy} - 2a_{16}\phi_{,xyyy} + a_{22}\phi_{,xxxx} - 2a_{26}\phi_{,xxxy}$ $+ a_{56}\phi_{,xxyy} = (2d_{66} - d_{22} - d_{11}) \frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}} - d_{12}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial y^{4}} - d_{21}\frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{4}}$ $(d_{26} - 2d_{16}) \frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x\partial y^{3}} + (d_{61} - 2d_{26}) \frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{3}\partial y} + (d_{11} - d_{66}) \frac{\partial^{3}\overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x\partial y^{2}}$

(Continued)

$$+ d_{16}' \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial y^{3}} + d_{21}' \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x^{3}} + (d_{26}' - d_{61}') \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{xz}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}$$

$$+ d_{12}' \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial y^{3}} + (d_{16}' - d_{62}') \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - (d_{22}' + d_{66}') \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y}$$

$$+ d_{26}' \frac{\partial^{3} \overline{\gamma}_{yz}}{\partial x^{3}} - \frac{1}{R}' \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}$$
(37)

APPENDIX IV

BUCKLING LOAD CALCULATION

DIRECT VARIATIONAL METHOD

Substitution of the assumed trigonometric functions given by equations (22), (23), and (24) into the functional (17) and use of the following integrations

$$\int_{0}^{L} \sin^{2} \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = \frac{L}{2}$$

$$\int_{0}^{L} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = 0$$

$$\int_{0}^{L} \cos^{2} \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = \frac{L}{2}$$

$$\int_{0}^{L} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = \left|1 - (-1)^{m}\right| \frac{L}{m\pi}$$

$$\int_{0}^{L} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{L} dx = 0$$

as well as corresponding integrations with respect to y, yields $U'' + V'' = -N_{3}u_{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} - N_{1}v_{1}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} - N_{1}\frac{W}{R}\frac{Lb}{4}$ $+ N_{2}u_{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} + N_{2}v_{1}\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{c}_{x}hr_{x}^{2}\frac{Lb}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{c}_{y}hr_{y}^{2}\frac{Lb}{4}$ $+ d_{11}N_{3}W\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)^{2}\frac{Lb}{4} - d_{11}N_{3}r_{x}\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} + d_{12}N_{1}W\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)^{2}\frac{Lb}{4}$ $- d_{12}N_{1}r_{x}\left(\frac{m\pi}{4}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} + d_{21}N_{3}W\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{Lb}{4} - d_{21}N_{3}r_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{Lb}{4}$ $+ d_{22}N_{1}W\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{Lb}{4} - d_{22}N_{1}r_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{Lb}{4} - d_{66}N_{2}2W\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right)\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{Lb}{4}$

(Continued)

$$+ d_{66}N_{2}\Gamma_{x}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{\frac{1b}{b}} + d_{66}N_{2}\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{\frac{1b}{b}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}a_{11}N_{x0}^{2}bb - \frac{1}{2}a_{11}N_{3}^{2}\frac{1b}{4} - \frac{1}{2}a_{22}N_{1}^{2}\frac{1b}{4} - \frac{1}{2}a_{66}N_{2}^{2}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$- a_{12}N_{1}N_{3}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}d_{11}^{*}w^{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)^{\frac{1b}{4}} - d_{11}^{*}w\Gamma_{x}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{3}\frac{1b}{4} + \frac{1}{2}d_{11}^{*}\Gamma_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}d_{22}^{*}w^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{\frac{1b}{4}} - d_{22}^{*}w\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{3}\frac{1b}{4} + \frac{1}{2}d_{22}^{*}\Gamma_{y}^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}d_{66}^{*}\left[hw^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2} + \Gamma_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2} + \Gamma_{y}^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}d_{66}^{*}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1}{b}$$

$$- 4w\Gamma_{x}\frac{m\pi}{b}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4} - d_{12}^{*}w\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2} + 2\Gamma_{x}\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{1b}{4} + d_{12}^{*}\Gamma_{x}^{*}W\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{1b}{4} - d_{12}^{*}W\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^{2}$$

$$+ d_{12}^{*}\Gamma_{x}\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{1b}{4} - \frac{1}{2}N_{x}w^{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4} - d_{12}^{*}\Gamma_{x}W^{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)^{2}$$

$$+ d_{12}^{*}\Gamma_{x}\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{1b}{4} - \frac{1}{2}N_{x}w^{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$+ d_{12}^{*}\Gamma_{x}\Gamma_{y}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)\frac{1b}{4} - \frac{1}{2}N_{x}w^{2}\left(\frac{m\pi}{b}\right)^{2}\frac{1b}{4}$$

$$(38)$$

The variation of $U^{\prime\prime} + V^{\prime\prime}$ with respect to the free parameters gives

$$\delta_{W}(U'' + V'') = \frac{Lb}{4} \left[\left| d_{11}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{4} + d_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{4} + 4d_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} + 2d_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} - N_{X_{0}} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} \right] W$$

$$+ \left\{ - d_{11}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{3} - 2d_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} - d_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) \right] \Gamma_{X}$$

$$+ \left\{ - d_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{3} - 2d_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) - d_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) \right] \Gamma_{Y}$$

$$+ \left\{ - \frac{1}{R} + d_{12} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} + d_{22} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} \right\} N_{1} + \left\{ - 2d_{66} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) \right\} N_{2}$$

$$+ \left\{ d_{11} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} + d_{21} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} \right\} N_{3} \right\} = 0$$
(39a)

31

Statistic Maintenan

$$\delta \mathbf{r_{y}}^{(U^{\prime\prime} + V^{\prime\prime})} = \frac{\mathbf{Lb}}{\mathbf{L}} \left[\left| - \mathbf{d}_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} \right)^{3} - 2\mathbf{d}_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{L}}^{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{d}_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{b}}^{2} - \mathbf{d}_{b}^{*} \right) \right) \right] \mathbf{W} + \left[\mathbf{d}_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} \right) + \mathbf{d}_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} \right) \left| \mathbf{r_{x}} + \left| \mathbf{\overline{G}_{yh}} + \mathbf{d}_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}}^{2} + \mathbf{d}_{66}^{*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{L}}^{2} \right) \right| \mathbf{r_{y}} + \left| - \mathbf{d}_{22} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} \right) \right| \mathbf{N}_{1} + \left| \mathbf{d}_{66} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{L}} \right) \right| \mathbf{N}_{2} + \left| - \mathbf{d}_{21} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}} \right) \right| \mathbf{N}_{2} \right] = 0$$
 (39c)

$$\delta_{u_1}(U'' + V'') = 0$$
 (39d)

$$\delta_{u_2}(U'' + V'') = \frac{Lb}{4} \left[-\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right) N_3 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right) N_2 \right] = 0 \quad (39e)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}(\mathbf{U''} + \mathbf{V''}) = \frac{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{k}}{4} \left[-\left(\frac{\mathbf{n}\pi}{\mathbf{b}}\right) \mathbf{N}_{1} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}\pi}{\mathbf{L}}\right) \mathbf{N}_{2} \right] = 0 \quad (39f)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\mathbf{U}^{\prime\prime} + \mathbf{V}^{\prime\prime}) = 0 \qquad (39g)$$

$$\delta_{N_{3}}(U'' + V'') = \frac{Ib}{4} \left[\left| d_{11} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} + d_{21} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} \right| W - d_{11} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) \Gamma_{x} - d_{21} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) \Gamma_{y} - \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) u_{2} - a_{12}N_{1} - a_{11}N_{3} \right] = 0 \quad (39h)$$

$$\delta_{N_{1}}(U'' + V'') = \frac{Lb}{4} \left[\left[-\frac{1}{R} + d_{12} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^{2} + d_{22} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right)^{2} \right] W - d_{12} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L} \right) \Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} - d_{22} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) \Gamma_{\mathbf{y}} - \left(\frac{n\pi}{b} \right) V_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{22} N_{1} - \mathbf{a}_{12} N_{3} \right] = 0$$
(391)

$$\delta_{N_{2}}(U'' + V'') = \frac{Lb}{4} \left[-2d_{66} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right) \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right) W + d_{66} \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right) \Gamma_{x} + d_{66} \left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right) \Gamma_{y} + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right) u_{2} + \left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right) U_{1} - u_{66}N_{2} \right] = 0$$
(391)

Through the use of equations (39e), (39f), (39h), and (39i) to eliminate N_3 , N_1 , N_2 , and v_1 , the system of equations (39) is reduced quite readily to a set of linear, homogeneous equations in terms of W, Γ_x , Γ_y , and N_2 . A non-trivial solution of the set of four equations exists only if the determinant of the coefficients vanishes; that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) + \frac{\tilde{N}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}}{N^{2}} & -\lambda_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha + \gamma) & -\lambda_{\mathbf{y}}(\beta + \gamma) & -\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{g})\right) \\ -\lambda_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha + \gamma) & \frac{1}{N^{2}} + \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} \alpha & \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}\lambda_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma & \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v} \\ -\lambda_{\mathbf{y}}(\beta + \gamma) & \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}\lambda_{\mathbf{y}} \gamma & \frac{1}{N^{2}\mu^{2}} + \lambda_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} \beta & \lambda_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{g} \\ -\left|\frac{1}{N^{2}} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{g})\right| & \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{v}} & \lambda_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{g} & \kappa \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(40)$$

where the various nondimensional parameters are defined as follows:

$$\lambda = \frac{u^{2}d_{11} + d_{0}}{d_{11}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{(1/\mu^{2})d_{22} + d_{0}}{d_{11}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{(1/\mu^{2})d_{22} + d_{0}}{d_{11}}$$

$$\nu = \frac{d_{12} + d_{0}}{d_{11}}$$

$$\nu = \frac{-d_{11} - \mu^{2}d_{12} + d_{66}}{R}$$

$$\xi = \frac{-d_{22} - (1/\mu^{2})d_{21} + d_{66}}{R}$$

$$\kappa = -\frac{d_{11}^{*}(2a_{12} + (1/\mu^{2})a_{11} + \mu^{2}a_{22} + a_{66})}{R^{2}}$$

$$(41)$$

$$\lambda_{x} = \left(\frac{d_{11}}{R^{2}h}\frac{1}{\bar{c}_{x}}\right)^{1/2}$$

(Continued)

<u>N_x</u> R² d^{*}11 N_xo $\mu = \left(\frac{m\pi}{L}\right) / \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)$

APPENDIX V

VIBRATION PROBLEM

The governing equations for the free lateral vibration of the circular cylindrical shell can be derived using the following form of Hamilton's variational principle:

$$\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (U'' - T'') dt = 0$$
 (42)

In equation (42), U'' represents the Reissner form of the strain energy and T'' represents the kinetic energy. In this case, both U'' and T'' are functions of time. With the assumption of harmonic motion, the time dependence of equation (42) is eliminated and the variational principle is stated as

$$5(U'' - T'') = 0$$
 (43)

For the fiber-reinforced shell, U'' is given in equation (17). When the radial (lateral) motion of the shell is assumed to predominate, T'' is given by

$$T'' = -\frac{\rho \omega^2}{2} \int_0^b \int_0^L w^2 dxdy \qquad (44)$$

where ω is the natural frequency and ρ is the mass density of the shell per unit reference surface area. The functional for the variational procedure is expressed as

$$U^{\prime\prime} - T^{\prime\prime} = \int_{A} \int_{A} \left[N_{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + N_{y} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{w}{R} \right) + N_{xy} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \overline{G}_{x} h \overline{\gamma}_{xz}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{G}_{y} h \overline{\gamma}_{yz}^{2} \right]$$

(Continued)

$$- (\mathbf{d}_{11}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{12}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{16}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}}) \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$- (\mathbf{d}_{21}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{22}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{26}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}}) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)$$

$$- (\mathbf{d}_{61}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{d}_{62}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{d}_{66}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}}) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}_{11}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} + \mathbf{a}_{22}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} + \mathbf{a}_{66}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}}^{2} + 2\mathbf{a}_{12}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}} + 2\mathbf{a}_{16}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}} + 2\mathbf{a}_{26}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{xy}})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{d}_{11}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^{2} + \mathbf{d}_{22}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)^{2} + \mathbf{d}_{66}^{*} \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ 2\mathbf{d}_{12}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)$$

$$+ 2\mathbf{d}_{16}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$+ 2\mathbf{d}_{26}^{*} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{xz}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial\overline{\gamma}_{\mathbf{yz}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right] \right] d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}$$

$$+ \frac{\rho\omega^{2}}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{A}}^{*} \mathbf{w}^{2}d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}$$

$$(45)$$

Variation of the functional given by equation (45) with respect to N_x , N_y , N_{xy} , u, v, w, $\overline{\gamma}_{xz}$, $\overline{\gamma}_{yz}$ yields the Euler equations and associated boundary conditions for the vibration problem. If N_x is set equal to zero, the boundary conditions and the Euler equations, except for the lateral equilibrium equation, are identical to those established in Appendix I for the buckling problem. The lateral

equilibrium equation for the vibration problem becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{y}^2} = -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{R}} + \rho \omega^2 \mathbf{w}$$
(46)

With the use of the assumed generalized displacements and forces given by equations (22), (23), and (24) with N_x set equal to zero, the direct variational procedure yields a frequency equation in determinant form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\alpha+\beta+2\gamma)+\frac{\omega}{N^{4}\mu^{2}} & -\lambda_{x}(\alpha+\gamma) & -\lambda_{y}(\beta+\gamma) & -\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}+(\nu+\epsilon)\right) \\ -\lambda_{x}(\alpha+\gamma) & \frac{1}{N^{2}}+\lambda_{x}^{2}\alpha & \lambda_{x}\lambda_{y}\gamma & \lambda_{x}\nu \\ -\lambda_{y}(\beta+\gamma) & \lambda_{x}\lambda_{y}\gamma & \frac{1}{N^{2}\mu^{2}}+\lambda_{y}^{2}\beta & \lambda_{y}\epsilon \\ -\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}+(\nu+\epsilon)\right) & \lambda_{x}\nu & \lambda_{y}\epsilon & \kappa \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$(47)$$

where

$$\overline{\omega} = \frac{\rho \omega^2 R^4}{a_{11}^*}$$

APPENDIX VI

DEFINITIONS OF MATRICES

$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\ A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix}$ (48)

where

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{C} C_{ij} (h_{k+1} - h_k)$$

$$[D] = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\ D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\ D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} \end{bmatrix}$$
(49)

where

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{D} C_{ij} (\mathbf{n}_{k+1}^2 - \mathbf{n}_{k}^2)$$

$$\mathbf{D}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{11} & \mathbf{D}_{12} & \mathbf{D}_{16} \\ \mathbf{D}_{12} & \mathbf{D}_{22} & \mathbf{D}_{26} \\ \mathbf{D}_{16} & \mathbf{D}_{26} & \mathbf{D}_{66} \end{bmatrix}$$
(50)

where

$$D_{ij}^{*} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} C_{ij} (h_{k+1}^{3} - h_{k}^{3})$$

39

$$[a] = [A]^{-1}$$
 (51)

.

$$[d'] = [a][D]$$
 (52)

$$[d] = [D][a] = [d']^{T}$$
 (53)

$$[d^*] = [D^*] - [D][a][D] = [D^*] - [D][d']$$
 (54)

2

.

۰.

D(CUMENT CONTROL DATA - R	LD				
(Security classification of fills, and of a	estrect and indexing amountion must be	Sa. REPORT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Stanford University			ssified			
Repartment of Aeronautics and A Stanford California	stronautics	s. ender				
A FIRST APPROXIMA	TION THEORY TO THE EFFEC	TS OF TRA	NSVERSE SHEAR			
EFORMATIONS ON THE BUCKLING AN	D VIBRATION OF FIBER-REL	INFORCED C	IRCULAR CYLINDRICAL			
HELLS - APPLICATION TO AXIAL C	OMPRESSION LOADING OF BO	DRON- AND	GLASS-EPOXY COMPOSITES			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclue	ive dates)					
inal Technical Report - AUTRIOR(8) (Piret news, middle initial, last news)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
aymond M. Taylor, Jr. Gan Mayers						
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES	74. NO. OF REFS			
arch 1970	51		12			
AA.102=68=C=0035	PE, ORIGINATOR	- ALFORT NU				
A PROJECT NO.	USAAVLABS	Technical	Report 70-8			
ask 1F162204A17002 •.	N. OTHER REP		other numbers that may be acalgued			
	this report)					
C DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT						
his document is subject to spe						
inte document ne aubject to ape	cial export controls, an	na each tr	ansmittal to foreign			
overnments or foreign national	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with	prior app	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army			
overnments or foreign nationals viation Materiel Laboratories,	cial export controls, an s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia	prior app 23604.	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army			
overnments or foreign national: viation Materiel Laboratories, 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia 12. SPONSORING U. S. Army	nd each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army nvity Materiel Laboratories			
overnments or foreign nationals viation Materiel Laboratories, 1, BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	cial export controls, an s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis	Aviation , Virgini	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army nvirv Materiel Laboratories a			
overnments or foreign national: viation Materiel Laboratories, 1. BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	cial export controls, an s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia 12. SPONSORING U. S. Army Fort Eustis	nd each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army nvirv Materiel Laboratories a			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear	cial export controls, an s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia 12. SPONSORINE U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the	a each tr prior app 23604. MULITARY ACT Aviation 1 , Virgini. classical	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical s	cial export controls, an s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia 12. SPONSORING U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia	Ad each tr prior app 23604. MULITARY ACT Aviation 5, Virgini classical al compres	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin	cial export controls, and s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia hant which upon evaluati	d each tr prior app 23604. MULITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini classical al compress on yields	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted b	d each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation 1 s, Virgini classical al compress on yields form of t	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant			
Assignation of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical si analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects in	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia hant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h heglected, have been cal	Ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini classical al compress on yields form of t by the stal culated a	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical si analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published result	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and	A cach tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation is, Virgini classical al compression yields form of the by the stal culated as glass-epo	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders.			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been dev variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published result Inclusion of the transverse shear	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati eloped using a modified ckling loads predicted b neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two c	classical al compression yields form of t by the stal culated an glass-epo cases invest	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders, stigated shows			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects of with previously published result Inclusion of the transverse shear little reduction in the classic	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two for	classical Aviation s, Virgini classical compression yields form of t by the stal culated as glass-epo cases invest	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determine the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published result Inclusion of the transverse shear little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the give stability	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted b neglected, have been cal its for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei	A each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini. classical al compress on yields form of t by the stal culated at glass-epo ases invest forced co	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical si analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by exp	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two of cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties.	A cach tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini. classical al compress on yields form of ti by the stal culated an glass-epo ases inver general a .nforced co Effective ed in orde	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical si analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects of with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and r rigidities, established by expe-	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two c cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart	classical al compression of the stall classical al compression form of the y the stall culated and glass-epon cases investigated and forced of Effective and forced of compression cases investigated and forced of compression cases investigated and forced of compression forced of compression forced of compression forced of compression forced of compression forced of compression fo	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders, stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buckling with transverse shear effects a with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expension estimate the reduction in buck: determination of natural frequencies	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two c cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce	classical al compression of the state of the state classical al compression of the state classical al compression classical al c	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expe estimate the reduction in buck determination of natural freque shear effects included, a freque	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia hant which upon evaluati eloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h heglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two of cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce uency equation in determ	ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation s, Virgini. classical al compress on yields form of ti by the stal culated an glass-epo ases inver- general a inforced co Effective bed in order is presented at a shells inant form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
Assimilt is subject to spa- overnments or foreign nationals viation Materiel Laboratories, between the second second second second fiber-reinforced cylindrical second analyzed. A stability determine the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects is with previously published result Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expe- estimate the reduction in buck determination of natural freque- shear effects included, a freque-	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two c cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce uency equation in determ	Ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation is, Virginia classical al compression form of the y the stal culated an glass-epo ases inver- general a inforced on Effective ed in orders is presented and form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been dew variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published resu. Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expe estimate the reduction in buck determination of natural freque shear effects included, a freque	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal its for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce uency equation in determ	ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation 5, Virgini classical al compress on yields form of the y the stat culated as glass-epo ases invest ageneral a inforced ca Effective ed in orders in orders s presented in ant form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects a with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expe estimate the reduction in buck: determination of natural freque shear effects included, a freque	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia hant which upon evaluati eloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h heglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two of cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinford uency equation in determ	ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation 1 3, Virgini. classical al compress on yields form of ti by the stal culated an glass-epo ases inver general a inforced co Effective ed in order is presented in ant form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
Assimilation of the geometric and rigidities, established by expension of the transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical signalyzed. A stability determine the buckling load has been deverse that the transverse shear effects of the transverse shear effects and the transverse shear effects of the transverse shear effects are presented to give stability function of the geometric and the rigidities, established by expensionate the reduction in buck determination of natural frequents and the transverse shear effects included, a frequents of the transverse shear effects included and the transverse the transverse shear effects included and the transverse shear effects included and the transverse the transverse shear effects included and the t	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce uency equation in determ	ad each tr prior app 23604. MILITARY ACT Aviation is, Virginia classical al compress on yields form of the y the stal culated an glass-epo cases inver- general a inforced on Effective ed in orders in ant form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been deve variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects in with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse shear little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and a rigidities, established by expension estimate the reduction in buck: determination of natural freque shear effects included, a freque	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati eloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two co cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinforce uency equation in determ	classical Aviation , Virgini classical al compression form of the y the staticulated and glass-epo ases invest general and inforced co Effective red in orders in ant form	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			
ABSTRACT The effects of transverse shear fiber-reinforced cylindrical sl analyzed. A stability determin the buckling load has been dev variational principle. The buck with transverse shear effects i with previously published resul Inclusion of the transverse she little reduction in the classic are presented to give stability function of the geometric and r rigidities, established by expe estimate the reduction in buck determination of natural freque shear effects included, a freque	cial export controls, ar s may be made only with Fort Eustis, Virginia U. S. Army Fort Eustis r deformations upon the hells under uniform axia nant which upon evaluati sloped using a modified ckling loads predicted h neglected, have been cal lts for boron-epoxy and ear effects in the two of cal buckling loads. For y criteria for fiber-rei mechanical properties. eriment, must be develop ling load from the chart encies of fiber-reinford uency equation in determ	classical Aviation , Virgini classical al compression form of the y the staticulated and glass-epoint ases investigated and glass-epoint ases investigated and classical a	ansmittal to foreign roval of U. S. Army Materiel Laboratories a buckling load of sion have been an expression for he Reissner bility determinant, nd shown to agree xy cylinders. stigated shows application, charts omposites as a transverse shear er to realistically ed. For the , with transverse m is presented.			

Unclassified Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LIN	K A	LIN	ĸ	LH	ĸc
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
					1	
Composite Construction	1			I		
Fiber Keinforced Materials				[ſ	
BUCKLING	1					
Vibration				1	1	
Variational Methods				1	1	ł
Transverse Shear Effects						
Circular Cylindrical Shells	{			ļ		
Axial Compression						
				1		
	1					
	ļ					
				Į		
				1		
						_
-		Unc	lassif:	ied		

Security Classification

L I

•