UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD867106

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution: Further dissemination only as directed by Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia, PA, 24 NOV 1969, or higher DoD authority.

AUTHORITY

FA ltr 22 Apr 1971

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



AD867106

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AN ALUMINUM ALLOY FOR FABRICATION OF SMALL ARMS CARTRIDGE CASES any holder anly with

Contract No. DAAA25-68-CO771

issued by

Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

FINAL REPORT

for the period

June 28, 1968 to October 28, 1969

This document may be further distributed by specific prior approval of ------

THE UNCLASSIFIED

LANDER VIE

Alcoa Research Laboratories

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AN ALUMINUM ALLOY FOR FABRICATION OF SMALL ARMS CARTRIDGE CASES

Contract No. DAAA25-68-C0771

issued by

Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

FINAL REPORT

for the period

June 28, 1968 to October 28, 1969

by

R. W. Rogers, Jr.

R. W. Rogers Jr.

Project Engineer

H. Y. Hunsicker Project Coordinator

November 24, 1969

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA Alcoa Research Laboratories Physical Metallurgy Division

New Kensington, Pennsylvania

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																											Page
FOREWOR	Ð	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
SYNOPS I	S	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
INTRODU	ICT	ON	ι.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
PROCEDU	RE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
RESULTS	ι.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
Pr	eci	ipi	ta	tic	n	He	at	: 1	fre	a	tir	ıg	Cı	ırı	7e s	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
			-					_				_	• •		-	_			• •								
Ef	fec													tie	1	P1	rec	ciț	511	tat	10	nc					_
		Te	mpe	era	nti	lre		٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	7
Ef	fec	st	of	R		n 1	ſeī	ape	era	ati	ıre		Ag :	lng	j I	Int	tei	cva	1	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	8
Te	ar	Re	si	sta	and	ce	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
De	si	. + -				~	~~ 1	-					C (201										
			acl																			•	•	•	•	•	9
Te	mpe	era	tu	re	St	tal	51]	11	⊧y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Fo	27014	bi	1i (ty	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
Qu	enc	ch	Sei	nsi	iti	Lvi	Ltz	7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
St	rea		Re l	Lie	f	Te	əmş)ei	cat	:11	ces	e 1	[0]	c 1	1A 0)9-	F	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
	-																			_							
Re	lat		nsi ugi												re.						•	•	•	•	•	•	12
SUMMARY	6	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	19
TABLES	• •	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	e	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
FIGURES	6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	35

-

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by the Aluminum Company of America under Contract Number DAAA25-68-CO771 issued by the U. S. Army, Frankford Arsenal. The contract was administered by Mr. Harry J. Krusch, Contracting Officer, and the Technical Supervisor was Mr. Marvin Rosenbaum.

Mr. H. Y. Hunsicker was the Alcoa Project Co-ordinator. The author would also like to acknowledge the major contributions to this work made by the following Alcoa Research Laboratories personnel: Messrs. M. S. Hunter and D. L. Robinson for the electron microscopic investigations of structure and fracture mode and Mr. J. D. Walsh for the corrosion studies.

ŧ

•

SYNOPSIS

.

Five experimental, low impurity content aluminum alloys were cast as large commercial-size ingots and fabricated in a sheet rolling mill to starting stock gauges for small arms cartridge case manufacture. Samples were laboratory rolled to .063 inch stock for evaluation of characteristics such as tensile properties, fracture toughness, resistance to corrosion and stress corrosion, temperature stability and guench sensitivity.

Although none of the alloys achieved the target strength-toughness criteria of both a tear/yield strength ratio of 1.5 and a yield strength of 80 ksi, two compositions were superior to conventional alloys in this respect. These were nominally Al-5 Zn-2.4 Mg-1.2 Cu-.15 Cr (MA07) and Al-5.9 Zn-2.4 Cu-2.2 Mg-.3 Mn (MA08).

One strain-hardenable alloy, A1-7.5 Mg-.1 Mn-.1 Cr (MA09) was included in the evaluation and displayed good notch toughness and moderate resistance to crack growth but at a relatively low strength level compared to the heat-treatable alloys.

Electron metallography and fractography showed fracture toughness to depend upon the relative proportions of fracture path that were intergranular or transgranular and, hence, upon relative strengths of grain interiors and boundaries. The effect of second-phase constituent particles upon fracture toughness was not discernible at the low insoluble element level of these alloys.

INTRODUCTION

The use of aluminum for cartridge cases is attractive from the standpoints of weight savings, conservation of copper and possibly of cost. The service conditions, however, are severe for aluminum alloys since internal pressures of more than 50,000 psi can be reached in one millisecond after firing and any discontinuities in the case are subject to erosion by high-temperature, high-velocity propellant gases. In addition to high strength and fracture toughness to assure case integrity during firing, resistance to stress-corrosion cracking must be considered since the assembly of case and projectile may result in residual stresses in a portion of the cartridge case.

Work under Contract DAAA25-68-CO771 covered the evaluation of five aluminum alloys in regard to their suitability for small arms cartridge cases. Target requirements for this end use were established by Frankford Arsenal to include the following:

(1) Yield strength of 80 ksi

x.

- (2) Tear strength/yield strength ratio of 1.5
- (3) Good resistance to general corrosion and stresscorrosion cracking
- (4) Stability of properties from -70 to 165 F.
- (5) Adequate formability for present cartridge case equipment and methods.

(6) Thermal treatments not unduly complicated or expensive. Alloy not quench sensitive in thicknesses up to .500 inch.

Previous comparisons of the fracture toughness of various types of aluminum alloys have shown the 7000 series (Al-Zn-Mg and Al-Zn-Mg-Cu) to have the highest level of tear resistance for a given level of strength⁽¹⁾. Unpublished work at Alcoa Research Laboratories further showed that, at the highest strength levels, Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys were superior to the Cu-free Al-Zn-Mg alloys. The stress-corrosion cracking resistance of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu allcys is also superior. It was further found that at a specific strength level the tear resistance of an alloy in T6 temper was greater than that of a more highly alloyed composition in an overaged T7 type temper.

.

ŧ

Three compositions designated MA05, MA06, and MA07 were selected to represent a series of increasing Zn + Mg contents with Zn/Mg ratios of 2 to 2.5 that were expected to develop the highest strengths for a given total of these two elements. Copper contents of these alloys were maintained in the range 1.1 to 1.4%, and chromium contents were 0.13 to 0.17%. The MA05 alloy level was selected to obtain in the T6 temper an 80 ksi yield strength, MA06 for a maximum tear/ yield strength ratio and MA07 for an intermediate level of both of these criteria.

Another similar composition which has exhibited good stress-corrosion cracking resistance at high strength levels

in other experimental programs was included for evaluation; this alloy, designated MA08, has a higher Cu and lower Mg content and substitutes Mn for Cr as an ancillary alloying addition.

.

٩

Finally, a non-heat treatable alloy was chosen for evaluation to determine to what extent the fracture toughness and strength levels developed by the large amount of cold work anticipated in cartridge case manufacture would meet the target criteria. This alloy, MA09, was to contain 7.5 Mg, which is considerably more than the Mg content of any present commercial Al-Mg wrought alloy.

High purity refined aluminum was used in producing the ingots to minimize the insoluble constituents introduced by Fe and Si impurities. The compositions of the alloys are listed in Table 1.

PROCEDURE

Commercial size ingots were cast at Alcoa Technical Center for this program. Three 8" x 38" x 130" ingots were cast in MA09 and one 12" x 38" x 130" in each of the other alloys. All were cast by the direct water-chill method.

Soundness of the ingots was established by ultrasonic inspection and by macroscopic examination of a slice sawed from the head end of each ingot after cropping an 8 inch length. The ingot slices were also subjected to dye penetrant inspection and found satisfactory in this respect.

The ingots were fabricated into sheet at Alcoa's Tennessee Operations by the practices listed in Table 2.

Shipments of the .150 and .187 inch annealed-temper sheet were made to Frankford Arsenal and to Alcoa Research Laboratories.

Tensile properties, strain ratio (R) and strain hardening coefficient (n) values, and hardness were determined for the as-received stock which was then flat-rolled to .063 inch at which thickness solution and precipitation treatments were applied for subsequent testing in the T6 type tempers. Solution heat treating temperatures were the maximum obtainable without encountering eutectic melting as established from thermal analyses and confirmed by metallographic examination. The solution heat treatments used subsequently for .063 inch sheet specimens throughout this program were

MA05	MA06	MA0 7	MA08
l hr at 890 F	1 hr at 960 F	l hr at 960 F	l hr at 910 F

With the exception of tests for quench sensitivity, all specimens were quenched in cold (room temperature) water.

Isothermal precipitation treatments at 250 F and 275 F for one to 36 hours for the four heat-treatable alloys (plus 300 F precipitation treatments for MAO8) were evaluated by determining tensile properties. Precipitation treatments by step aging were also investigated using a first step of 3 hours at 250 F (also 3 hours at 275 F for MAO8). All heating rates to the initial precipitation temperature were at a uniform 90 F/hour, and a four-day minimum prior room temperature aging interval was observed.

A brief evaluation of the effect of heating rate to the initial precipitation temperature in step precipitation treatments was obtained by employing two different heating rates to reach the initial temperature immediately after solution heat treatment and quenching.

A similar investigation was conducted to determine the effect on strength of the room temperature aging interval between solution heat treatment and elevated temperature precipitation treatments. A heating rate of 90 F/hour to initial precipitation temperature was employed both for samples treated immediately after quenching and those held four days at rcom temperature before elevated-temperature precipitation was started.

Employing the precipitation cycles which developed maximum strengths, sheet samples in the T6 type tempers (F for MA09) were obtained to test for tear resistance, stress-corrosion resistance, and temperature stability.

Resistances to corrosion and to stress-corrosion cracking were evaluated by 12 weeks exposure to alternate immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution at ambient temperature and humidity. Stressed preformed specimens and transverse tensile specimens stressed to 50 and 75% of the yield strength were employed in these tests.

Stability of properties after extensive storage at high and low temperatures was determined by holding sheet samples for 30 days in contact with dry ice (-110 F) or for 30 days at 165 F. Tensile and tear tests were then performed at room temperature.

Quench sensitivity of the four heat-treatable alloys was evaluated by cold rolling .500 inch, .250 inch, and .125 inch thick samples from .750 inch hot mill slabs for heat treatment together with samples from .187 inch annealed-temper stock and from .063 inch as-rolled sheet. Panels 12 inches square of these several thicknesses were quenched into both cold and boiling water baths and after precipitation treatment were tested for tensile properties.

Cold rolled MA09-F samples were subjected to thermal treatments of 1-12 hours at 425-550 F to determine the maximum thermal stress relief temperature that could be applied without encountering recrystallization. Pinhole x-ray diffraction procedures and tensile property determinations were employed for this.

RESULTS

Precipitation Heat Treating Curves

Tables 3-6 contain tensile test and electrical conductivity data for MA05, MA06, MA07, and MA08 as a function of precipitation heat treatments. Figures 1-4 contain yield strength curves and Figures 5-8 electrical conductivity curves plotted from these data.

It will be noted that MA05, MA06, and MA07, which are the same type of alloy varying only in alloy content, had very similar precipitation hardening curves; in isothermal aging, peak yield strengths were reached after 36 hours at either 250 or 275 F or in 16-24 hours at 275 F. Their step-aging

curves were also similar, although the time to reach peak strengths can be seen to decrease with increasing alloy content.

MA08 differed from the other alloys in developing higher levels of strength (within 36 hours) by isothermal precipitation at 275 F or 300 F than at 250 F. In step precipitation treatments, the time to reach peak strengths for MA08 was considerably longer than for MA05, which was of about the same strength level. It was indicated that firststep aging at 275 F shortened the time for the second step to achieve peak strength, but did not increase peak strength.

Effect of Heating Rate to Initial Precipitation Temperature

As the following Table 7 shows, fast initial heating rates decreased the strength of the more dilute alloys, MA06 and MA07, but not that of the higher strength alloys.

TABLE		Heating Rate to In perature Upon Tens:			
Alloy	Heating Rate to First Step •F/hour	Precipitation Treatment	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elonga- tion % in 2"
MA05	90	3/250 + 5/325 F	82.4	76.9	11.0
	1800	3/250 + 5/325 F	82.4	77.1	10.2
MA06	90	3/250 + 5/340 F	70.1	61.4	12.5
	1800	3/250 + 5/340 F	63.9	50.4	12.2
MA07	90	3/250 + 5/340 F	72.9	66.9	6.5
	1800	3/250 + 5/340 F	72.1	64.8	11.5
MA08	90	3/250 + 9/325 F	83.8	78.3	10.0
	1800	3/250 + 9/325 F	83.6	78.2	9.5

Effect of Room Temperature Aging Interval

The effects of four-day compared to no room temperature aging prior to elevated temperature precipitation are seen in the following Table 8. Only in the most dilute alloy, MA06, was any benefit evident from aging at elevated temperatures immediately after solution heat treatment and quenching.

TABLE		of Room Temperatur on Heat Treatment Precipitatio	and Elevate		
Alloy	Room Temp. Aging Period	Precipitation Treatment	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elonga- tion % in 2"
MA05	0	3/250 + 5/325 F	82.4	76.9	11.0
	4 days	3/250 + 5/325 F	83.4	76.8	11.0
MA06	0	3/250 + 5/340 F	70.1	61.4	12.5
	4 days	3/250 + 5/340 F	68.9	59.4	11.5
MA0 7	0	3/250 + 5/340 F	72.9	66.9	6.5
	4 days	3/250 + 5/340 F	74.9	67.8	11.5
MA08	0 4 days	3/250 + 9/325 F 3/250 + 9/325 F	83.8 84.8	78.3 78.2	10.0

Tear Resistance

The Kahn-type tear testing procedure, as described elsewhere (1,2,3), reports results as tear strength/yield strength ratio (tear/Y.S.), which is a measure of notch toughness, and as unit propagation energy (UPE), which is a measure of the resistance to crack growth. The tear and tensile test results for the five alloys are contained in Table 9.

It will be seen that the anticipated strength and fracture toughness levels for the three Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Cr alloys in the T6-type temper were obtained; MA05 yield strengths approached

80 ksi, the tear/Y.S. of MA06 was above 1.5 and MA07 had tear/Y.S. values over 1.4 at yield strengths of 67-68 ksi. Also of significance is a comparison of the two highest strength alloys, MA05 and MA08; the latter displayed higher tear/Y.S. and UPE values for the same yield strength level. This is better shown in Figure 9 where tear/Y.S. values are plotted against yield strength; it can also be seen that the difference in notch toughness between the two alloys decreased considerably as the yield strength approached 80 ksi.

Figures 10 and 11 are the same type of plot of transverse tear/Y.S. and UPE, respectively, versus yield strength covering all of the alloys in this program. K.:ufman and Holt's data for commercial 7075-T6, 7079-T6 and 7178-T6 sheet are also plotted for comparison⁽¹⁾.

Resistance to Corrosion and Stress-Corrosion Cracking

The results of 12 weeks exposure of the five alloys to 3 1/2% NaCl alternate immersion corrosion testing are listed in Table 10 together with results for a 7075-T6 stock sample included for comparison.

All materials were highly resistant to stress-corrosion cracking in the .063 inch sheet thickness and of the same order of corrosion resistance as the 7075-T6 sheet. Among the four heat-treatable alloys, MA05 showed slightly greater losses in strength when exposed in the stressed condition than did the other three alloys which were about equal in this respect. The Al-7.5 Mg alloy, MA09, showed the excellent resistance to

aqueous chloride solutions that is typical of this type of alloy.

Temperature Stability

The original tensile and tear properties for all five alloys are compared with those after 30 days storage at -110 F or at 165 F in Table 11. The cold storage had no effect on properties with the possible exception of MA08; here a slight decrease in fracture toughness was noted.

The 165 F storage generally resulted in some additional precipitation hardening in the four heat-treatable alloys; tensile and yield strengths were increased slightly and fracture toughness parameters were correspondingly decreased by a small amount. In the case of as-rolled MA09-F, storage at 165 F caused a considerable degree of recovery; strengths were decreased substantially while elongation and fracture toughness values increased markedly.

Formability

Tensile and hardness test results for the .150 inch and .187 inch annealed-temper stock supplied to Frankford Arsenal and ARL are listed in Table 12. As would be expected, the strength and hardness of the Al-7.5 Mg alloy, MA09-0, were much higher than for the other materials; its strain ratio (\overline{R}) and strain-hardening coefficient (\overline{n}) values, however, were high indicating drawability should be good.

The hardness values for the other four alloys were within or only slightly above the 55-65 Rockwell 15T range reported

by Frankford Arsenal as typical for their present fabricating practice using 7075-0 stock. Hardness and strength varied directly and \overline{n} values inversely in accordance with the alloy content of the materials.

Final judgement as to the formability of these alloys will depend upon Frankford Arsenal's experience with them in manufacture of cartridge cases.

Some age hardening tendencies in MAO8-0 were noted even though a slow cool and low-temperature stabilize treatment were prescribed as part of the annealing cycle. As discussed below, this alloy also showed a very low degree of quench sensitivity.

Quench Sensitivity

Table 13 contains the tensile test results for transverse specimens of various thicknesses quenched in cold or boiling water; the yield strengths are plotted against specimen thickness in Figure 12. It will be seen that none of the alloys was sensitive to the quenching rate variations encountered in thicknesses to .500 inch when quenching was into cold (room temperature) water.

Boiling water quenching disclosed some differences between the alloys; in the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Cr types, boiling water quench sensitivity varied with the alloy content (Zn + Mg) and was very pronounced in the most highly alloyed material, MA05. In contrast, MA08, the equally strong Al-Zn-Cu-Mg alloy with Mn instead of Cr, showed almost no strength variation with thickness when quenched into boiling water or into cold water.

Stress Relief Temperatures for MA09-F

The effects of 425 to 550 F stress relief treatments on the yield strength of as-rolled MA09 sheet are shown in Figure 13 plotted from data listed in Table 14. It was found that treatments at 500 F or below did not produce a degree of recrystallization detectable by x-ray diffraction analysis.

Relationships of Structure to Strength and Toughness

From the results of the tests described in previous sections, the five alloys in this program met most of the Frankford Arsenal criteria for a cartridge case alloy except that none achieved both a tear/Y.S. ratio of 1.5 and a yield strength of 80 ksi. It was considered desirable, therefore, to investigate the relationship of the structures of the heat-treatable alloys to their strength and toughness characteristics.

Figures 14-18 are 500X photomicrographs of the longitudinal (YZ) plane perpendicular to the rolling plane for the five alloys--after solution heat treatment and isothermal precipitation for the heat-treatable alloys and in the as-rolled condition for MA09. Figures 14-16 for MA05, MA06, and MA07 show small amounts of equilibrium second-phase particles corresponding to the low (.04-.06 Si + Fe) insoluble element contents of these alloys (Table 1) as an electron microprobe analysis showed them to be Al₇Cu₂Fe. In this text, second-phase particles is the

term applied to those particles resolved by optical microscopy and not to precipitate or dispersoid particles requiring electron microscopy.

In Figure 17, the microstructure of MA08 shows a distinctly larger number and higher volume fraction of equilibrium second-phase particles corresponding to its .09 Si + Fe content. These particles were also identified by electron microprobe and x-ray Guinier analyses as Al_7Cu_2Fe but with Mn replacing some of the Fe. In spite of its having a greater volume fraction of these second-phase particles, the fracture toughness of MA08 was significantly greater than that of MA05 (Figure 14) of equivalent strength.

All of the heat-treatable alloys had fully recrystallized structures as verified by x-ray diffraction analysis. The grain size of all four alloys was fine; MA08 has the finest grain size (ASTM No. 9) and MA06 the coarsest (ASTM No. 8.5) as also determined by x-ray diffraction. MA08 showed a more nearly equiaxed grain structure than did the Cr-bearing alloys. Figure 18 (MA09-F) illustrates the typical structure of highly cold-worked Al-Mg alloys in which a portion of the Mg is precipitated in the form of Al₁Mg₂ phase.

Figures 19-22 are 50,000X thin film transmission electron micrographs of MA05, MA06, MA07 and MA08, respectively, after solution heat treatment and isothermal precipitation treatments. Of interest here is a comparison of dispersoid particle (high temperature precipitates of Cr or Mn-bearing

phases) size and distribution. Of the three Cr-containing alloys, MA05 (Figure 19) showed about the same volume fraction but considerably smaller and more numerous E phase (Al₁₂Mg₂Cr) dispersoid particles than MA06 and MA07 (Figures 20 and 21). This difference in size was considered primarily a result of the difference in solution temperatures employed for the alloys. The higher alloy content of MA05 necessitated use of a lower solution temperature. Precipitation of E phase occurs primarily at solution temperatures which are effectively precipitation temperatures for the supersaturated Cr solid solution, and the lower solution temperature for MA05 would be expected to develop a finer precipitate.

In MA08 (Figure 22), the Mn dispersoid particles $(Al_{20}Cu_2Mn_3)$ were of about the same size as the E phase particles present in MA06 and MA07. The apparent volume percent of Mn-bearing dispersoid was somewhat lower than that of the E phase dispersoid in the Cr-containing alloys.

In these 50,000X micrographs the strengthening G-P zone structure is apparent as a very fine scale mottling of the matrix. Grain boundary precipitate particles of M phase generally ranging to 500 Å in maximum dimension are apparent on tilt boundaries in all cases. In MA05 (Figure 19) several much larger grain boundary precipitate particles are also evident at the triple point as well as along the grain boundary proceeding to the right.

Figures 23-26 are electron micrographs of the same alloys but at 100,000X; the (a) structures are those of isothermally

aged material (24-36 hours at 275 F) and the (b) structures after step-aging (3 hours at 250 F plus 5-9 hours at 325 or 340 F). Differences in zone size among alloys for the same type of precipitation hardening treatment were apparent. The higher alloy content (and higher strength) MA05 and MA08 (Figures 23 and 26) exhibited the smallest zones, and the lowest strength and most dilute alloy, MA06 (Figures 24) the largest. Step-aging produced appreciably larger zone sizes than did isothermal aging for each alloy and the differences between alloys were preserved. Despite the significant differences in zone size and electrical conductivity (Table 9) produced by the two types of precipitation treatments for a given alloy, the corresponding differences in strength and fracture toughness levels were relatively minor.

Grain boundary precipitates were apparent in all four alloys. Some differences among the alloys in apparent continuity of the grain boundary precipitate are indicated by the micrographs. However, it must be kept in mind that the morphology, density and distribution of grain boundary precipitate particles vary from one boundary to another depending upon the relative orientations of the adjacent grains. The small field shown, selected as representative in each case, does not show all the grain boundary conditions present in each composition. In general, the MA05 sample (Figure 23) appeared to have the least continuous grain boundary precipitate, while MA08 (Figure 2^r) had the finest and most nearly continuous grain boundary precipitate. MA06, the most dilute of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys, had the widest

precipitate-free zone adjacent to grain boundaries (Figure 24).

While yield strength differences among these alloys correlated in the expected way with zone size, there were no prominent structural differences to which the large differences in tear characteristics could be clearly related-especially the nearly 10 to 1 UPE differences between MA06 and MA07 at the one extreme and MA05 at the other with MA08 somewhat intermediate.

To investigate further the modes of fracture, scanning and replica transmission electron micrographs of the fracture surfaces were made. Figure 27 is an SEM fractograph of an MA05-T6 transverse tear specimen having low fracture toughness (UPE of 150 in-1b/in², tear/Y.S. of .95) and high strength (yield strength of 78.1 ksi). The fracture path for this specimen was predominantly intergranular, occurring by shear at grain boundaries with resultant grain surfaces inclined at steep angles to the main fracture plane. In the center of Figure 27b is one area of more ductile transgranular fracture distinguished by its fine dimpled appearance.

The contrasting appearance of the fracture surface of an MA07-T6 tear specimen of high fracture toughness (UPE of 1065 in-1b/in², tear/Y.S. of 1.43) is shown in the SEM fractographs of Figure 28. Here the fracture path was mostly transgranular with only a minor portion of the fracture surface made up of large facets corresponding to grain boundary shear or cleavage.

Further illustrating the relationship between modes of fracture and fracture toughness is Figure 29, an SEM fractograph of MA08-T6, which had an intermediate fracture toughness (UPE of 480 in-1b/in², tear/Y.S. of 1.19) and high strength (76.6 ksi yield strength). Here the mode was about evenly divided between transgranular and intergranular fracture. Also, the grain facets corresponding to the latter mode were generally oriented at only small angles to the main fracture plane, unlike the intergranular shear fracture path of Figure 27.

A replica transmission electron micrograph of the MA05 tear specimen fracture surface corresponding to the SEM of Figure 27 is shown in Figure 30. The major portion of the fracture surface was generated by grain boundary separation with resultant low fracture toughness. On the surfaces of the grains are many fine dimples believed to be initiated by grain boundary precipitate particles averaging 500 Å in major dimension (as previously seen in the transmission micrograph, Figure 23a). Also shown in the lower left region is an area of transgranular fracture in which the elongated shape of the dimples indicates substantial strain occurred here before fracture.

A comparable transmission fractograph for the MA07 specimen of high fracture toughness (Figure 28) is shown in Figure 31. The transgranular fracture surface consists of fine dimples (.2 to 2μ in diameter) each of which appears to have been initiated by an E phase particle corresponding to those that are present in the transmission micrograph of this alloy, Figure 21.

From these studies, it appeared that fracture toughness values of the several alloys included in this investigation were dependent principally on the prevailing mode of fracture, i.e., on the relative areal proportions of the fracture path that were intergranular or transgranular; this relationship has also been found in other Alcoa Research Laboratories investigations of this type. In the lower strength materials, the fracture path was through the grains and appeared to be from the linking up of micro voids generated at dispersoid-matrix interfaces. As the alloy content was increased, the strength of the grains increased to the point that it equalled or exceeded that of the grain boundaries with the result that fracture then took place along the latter to increasing degrees.

The two high strength alloys, MA05 and MA08, had equivalent strengths but significantly different fracture toughness levels corresponding to the relative proportions of intergranular fracture observed in the tear specimens. While metallographic examinations did not positively identify the characteristics of MA08 grain boundaries responsible for the decreased degree of separation along them compared to MA05, it was noted that the grain boundary precipitate in this alloy was the finest and most continuous of those in the materials examined.

Further improvement in fracture toughness at high strength levels thus appears to depend upon maintaining transgranular fracture at such strengths by developing grain boundary characteristics which better resist separation. The effect of

second phase constituent particles (sizes resolved by optical metallography) upon fracture toughness did not appear to be significant at the levels in which they were present in these alloys. Indeed, the difference in toughness of alloys MA05 and MA08 at a yield strength level of 75-76 ksi amply demonstrates that factors other than the relative proportions of second phase particles can have a greater effect on toughness than the second phase particles do. Of these two compositions, MA08 having the larger impurity content and greater volume fraction of second phase particles had distinctly higher fracture toughness. This does not, of course, imply that MA08 of higher purity and lower second phase content than the material tested would not have high toughness.

SUMMARY

The following table summarizes the characteristics of the five alloys relative to the Frankford Arsenal criteria for a cartridge case alloy:

Criterion	<u>MA05</u> (T6)	<u>MA06</u> (T6)	<u>Ma07</u> (T6)	<u>MA08</u> (T6)	
Y.S. of 80 ksi	78	59	68	76	56
tear/Y.S. of 1.5	.95	1.6	1.4	1.13	1.5
UPE in-1b/in ²	125	1200	1000	450	475
Corrosion and SCR	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent

Criterion	MA05	<u>MA06</u>	MA07	MA08	MA09
	(T6)	(T6)	(T6)	(T6)	(F)
Temperature Stability	OK	ок	ок	ок	Loss in strength at 165 F
Quench Sensitivity	Low	Very low	Low	Very low	

Formability performance is not known pending actual trial fabrication of cartridge cases; tensile and hardness data for the annealed-temper stock indicate formability of the heattreatable alloys should be similar to that of 7075-0.

Solution and precipitation treatments employed in this investigation were conventional practices; solution temperatures were the maximum permissible and quenching was by immersion in room temperature water. Some differences in strength and fracture toughness levels were evident in MA05 and MA08 precipitation hardened by isothermal aging versus that which had been stepaged by relatively short time practices. As Figure 9 shows, the toughness values were highly dependent upon the strength level rather than the type of aging cycle per se; the strength levels were affected by small differences in precipitation temperature as the aging curves indicated the same maximum yield strengths could be obtained by either aging procedure.

While the target strength-toughness criteria were not obtained in any alloy, MA07 and MA08 in particular appear to be improvements in this respect compared to conventional alloys. This is best illustrated in Figures 10 and 11 where the trend line shown for the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Cr alloys is above that for 7075, 7079, and 7178.

The points for MA08, the Al-Zn-Cu-Mg alloy with Mn instead of Cr, were above either of these lines indicating the superior fracture toughness at high strength of this type of alloy. Its superiority appeared to be somewhat greater in resistance to crack propagation than in notch sensitivity (UPE rather than tear/yield strength).

Since a strong relationship was shown between fracture toughness and the mode of fracture in tear testing, studies of the effects of alloy type and content, of thermo-mechanical processing, and of final solution and precipitation treatments upon the ability of grain boundaries to resist separation at high strength levels appear to offer the most likely area for improvement in fracture toughness in further research.

REFERENCES

- J. G. Kaufman and M. Holt, "Fracture Characteristics of Aluminum Alloys," Alcoa Research Laboratories Technical Paper No. 18, 1965.
- 2) N. A. Kahn and E. A. Imbembo, "A Method of Evaluating the Transition from Shear-to-Cleavage-Type Failure in Ship Plate," WELDING JOURNAL, 27, 1948.
- 3) J. G. Kaufman and H. Y. Hunsicker, "Fracture Toughness Testing at Alcoa Research Laboratories," ASTM Special Technical Publication 381, FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING AND ITS APPLICATIONS, April 1965, pp. 290-309.

 $\hat{\varphi}$

~	1
TARLE	1

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF EXPERIMENTAL CARTRIDGE CASE ALLOYS

Alloy		Zn	Mg	Cu	Mn	Ŀ	Si	Pe	Ti	Be
MAO5 S-362033	Ingot Sheet*	6.72 6.39	2.78 2.63	1.26 1.21	00.	.17	.02	.03	.02	.000
MA06 S-362034	Ingot Sheet*	4.29 4.03	2.15 2.03	1.35 1.34	00.	.15	10.	.02	.02	000.
MA07 S-362035	Ingot Sheet*	5.11 4.84	2.51 2.41	1.16 1.15	00.	.13	.02	.03	.02	000.
MAO 8 S-362036	Ingot Sheet*	5.86 5.84	2.25 2.27	2.37 2.51	.29	00.	.02	.06	.04	.000
HA 09 S-362037	Ingot A Ingot C Sheet*	.02 .03 .03	7.65 7.63 7.76	.02 .01 .01	.08 .08 .08	60. 60.	.02	.02 .03 .03	.03 .04	.004 .006

* Spectrographic analysis of remelted sheet sample.

Analytical Chemistry Reports 68-092406, 68-102913, 69-032410.

FABRICATING PROCEDURE FOR .150" AND .187" FLAT STRIP SHEET IN FIVE EXPERIMENTAL CARTRIDGE CASE ALLOYS

	<u>MA05</u>	MA06	MAO7	MA08	MA 09
Ingot Size	12" x 38"	12" x 38"	12" x 38"	12" x 38"	8" x 38"
Scalp	1/2" per side	1/2" per side	1/2" per side	1/2" per side	1/2" per side
Hot Roll	.750"	.750"	.750"	.150"	.750"
Continuous Mill Roll	(1/2 to .225" (1/2 to .200"	{1/2 to .225" {1/2 to .200"	1/2 to .225" 1/2 to .200"	<pre>{1/2 to .225" {1/2 to .200"</pre>	£1 to .225" £1 to .200"
Cold Roll	.225"187" or .200"150"	.225"187" or .200"150"	.225"187" or .200"150"	.225"187" or .200"150"	.225"187" or /.200"150"
Anneal	2 hours at 850	850 F; furnace cool to 450 F; hold 4 hours at	to 450 F; hold 4	hours at 450 F	1 hr. at 700 F

TABLE 2

Sample	Aging Practice	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elong. % in 2"	El. Conductivity % IACS
S-362033-1	1 hr./250 F	79.6	62.0	19.0	30.3
-2	3 hr./250 F	83.0	69.3	17.0	30.7
-3	6 hr./250 F	84.8	73.0	15.8	31.1
-4	10 hr./250 F	85.7	74.3	15.0	31.3
-5	16 hr./250 F	85.8	76.4	14.0	31.5
-6	24 hr./250 F	86.0	77.4	13.2	31.8
-7	36 hr./250 F	86.1	78.4	12.8	32.1
-8	1 hr./275 F	82.5	70.0	16.2	31.2
-9	3 hr./275 F	84.0	74.0	15.0	31.7
-10	6 hr./275 F	84.9	76.2	13.2	32.1
-11	10 hr./275 F	85.0	77.8	13.0	32.3
-12	16 hr./275 F	85.2	78.8	12.8	32.9
-13	24 hr./275 F	85.0	79.1	12.0	33.4
-14	36 hr./275 F	84.2	78.6	11.5	33.9
-15	3/250 + 1/310	83.3	72.8	14.8	31.8
-16	3/250 + 3/310	83.5	75.8	13.5	32.5
-17	3/250 + 5/310	83.8	77.7	12.8	33.0
-18	3/250 + 8/310	84.1	78.3	12.0	33.4
-18	3/250 + 12/310	83.1	77.3	11.5	35.1
-28	3/250 + 16/310	82.8	76.6	11.5	35.5
-19	3/250 + 1/325	83.1	73.7	14.0	32.2
-20	3/250 + 3/325	83.0	76.8	12.2	33.2
-21	3/250 + 5/325	83.7	78.1	11.8	33.7
-22	3/250 + 8/325	83.8	77.4	11.0	34.6
-23	3/250 + 1/340	82.7	76.6	12.5	33.6
-24	3/250 + 3/340	81.8	75.3	10.8	36.4
-25	3/250 + 5/340	80.8	74.3	11.0	37.9
-26	3/250 + 8/340	78.5	71.6	11.0	39.0

TENSILE AND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE AGING OF .063" MA05 SHEET

- 1, All tests transverse to rolling direction; averages of two tests listed.
- Solution heat treatment 1 hour at 880-890 F, cold water quench; elevated temperature aging followed minimum of 4 days room temperature aging. Heating rate to 250 or 275 F at 90 F/hour.

3. M.T. Reports 040369B 5/22/69 and 8/25/69.

TENSILE AND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE AGING OF .063" MA06 SHEET

Sample_	Aging Practice	Tensile Strength ksi	Yteld Strength <u>ksi</u>	Elong. % 1n_2"	El. Conductivity % IACS
S-362034-1	1 hr./250 F	63.5	43.5	21.0	32.9
-2	3 hr./250 F	64.3	47.9	18.0	33.4
-3	6 hr./250 F	66.1	51.0	16.5	33.9
-4	10 hr./250 F	66.9	53.1	16.0	34.0
-5	16 hr./250 F	67.4	55.3	15.0	34.5
-6	24 hr./250 F	67.9	57.3	14.2	34.5
-7	36 hr./250 F	69.1	57.9	15.2	35.0
-8	1 hr./275 F	64.0	48.0	18.8	33.8
-9	3 hr./275 F	65.3	52.8	16.5	34.4
-10	6 hr./275 F	66.7	55.8	15.0	34.6
-11	10 hr./275 F	67.3	56.4	14.8	35.0
-12	16 hr./275 F	67.7	56.8	14.2	35.5
-13	24 hr./275 F	67.8	57.6	14.0	36.2
-14	36 hr./275 F	68.5	57.8	13.8	36.0
-15	3/250 + 1/310	64.9	51.4	16.0	34.3
-16	3/250 + 3/310	66.3	54.8	15.0	35.0
-17	3/250 + 5/310	67.0	55.9	14.5	35.4
-18	3/250 + 8/310	67.4	56.5	14.2	35.3
-38	3/250 + 12/310	68.7	58.5	12.5	36.6
-48	3/250 + 16/310	69.0	59.1	12.5	36.8
-19	3/250 + 1/325	65.1	52.5	16.2	34.7
-20	3/250 + 3/325	66.6	55.6	14.5	35.6
-21	3/250 + 5/325	67.0	56.0	13.5	36.1
-22	3/250 + 8/325	68.1	57.1	13.0	36.6
-7B	3/250 + 12/325	68.7	58.8	11.8	38.4
-8B	3/250 + 16/325	68.8	58.8	12.0	38.4
-23	3/250 + 1/340	66.1	54.8	14.0	36.0
-24	3/250 + 3/340	67.5	56.9	12.5	38.0
-25	3/250 + 5/340	67.9	57.8	11.8	39.4
-26	3/250 + 8/340	67.0	56.9	11.5	40.7

- 1. All tests transverse to rolling direction; averages of two tests listed.
- Solution heat treatment 1 hour at 950-960 F; cold water quench; elevated temperature aging followed minimum of 4 days room temperature aging. Heating rate to 250 or 275 F at 90 F/hour.

3. M. T. Report 040369B.

TENSILE AND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE AGING OF .063" MA07_SHEET

Sample	Aging Practice	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elong. % 1 in 2"	El. Conductivity % IACS
S-362035-1	1 hr./250 F	68.4	49.2	22.8	31.5
-2	3 hr./250 F	70.5	55.0	18.2	31.9
-3	6 hr./250 F	73.0	60.2	16.8	32.5
-4	10 hr./250 F	73.7	62.6	15.0	32.8
-5	16 hr./250 F	74.8	65.0	15.0	32.9
-6	24 hr./250 F	75.8	67.1	14.5	33.0
-7	36 hr./250 F	75.7	67.9	14.0	33.3
-8	1 hr./275 F	70.6	56.8	17.0	32.4
-9	3 hr./275 F	72.4	61.0	15.8	32.7
-10	6 hr./275 F	73.7	64.3	15.0	33.0
-11	10 hr./275 F	75.2	66.7	13.8	33.2
-12	16 hr./275 F	75.7	68.2	13.8	33.5
-13	24 hr./275 F	76.1	68.7	13.5	33.7
-14	36 hr./275 F	75.8	68.5	13.0	34.2
-15	3/250 + 1/310	72.1	62.3	14.5	32.7
-16	3/250 + 3/310	74.0	65.7	13.2	33. 4
-17	3/250 + 5/310	74.5	66.8	13.8	33.7
-18	3/250 + 8/310	75.0	67.6	13.8	33.9
-5B	3/250 + 12/310	75.6	67.8	13.0	34.7
-6B	3/250 + 16/310	75.7	67.9	12.8	35.1
-19 -20 -21 -22 -9B -10E		72.9 74.6 75.0 75.0 75.4	63.1 66.8 67.3 67.6 67.0 67.2	15.0 13.5 13.0 12.0 11.0 11.5	32.6 33.9 34.4 34.9 36.8 36.5
-23	3/250 + 1/340	73.2	65.0	13.2	34.4
-24	3/250 + 3/340	74.4	67.1	11.0	36.1
-25	3/250 + 5/340	75.5	68.4	11.0	37.4
-26	3/250 + 8/340	74.3	67.0	11.0	38.5

- 1. All tests transverse to rolling direction; averages of two tests listed.
- 2. Solution heat treatment 1 hour at 950-960 F; cold water quench; elevated temperature aging followed minimum of 4 days room temperature aging. Heating rate to 250 or 275 F at 90 F/hour.

3. M. T. Report 040369B.

Sample	Aging Practice	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elong. % in 2"	El. Conductivity % IACS
S-362036-1 -2 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	2 3 hr./250 F 3 6 hr./250 F 4 10 hr./250 F 5 16 hr./250 F 5 24 hr./250 F	79.4 81.0 82.0 82.5 82.7 83.3 83.6	60.8 64.6 67.4 68.7 69.5 70.6 72.1	19.5 17.8 16.5 16.0 15.2 14.8 14.2	26.6 27.1 27.5 27.8 27.8 28.2 28.4
	9 3 hr./275 F 10 6 hr./275 F 11 10 hr./275 F 12 16 hr./275 F 13 24 hr./275 F	80.9 82.2 83.1 83.4 84.1 84.6 85.2	66.3 69.1 71.1 73.1 75.0 76.5 78.2	16.0 15.0 14.0 13.5 12.8 12.2 12.0	27.3 28.3 28.8 29.1 29.6 30.2 31.0
-1	11B 10 hr./300 F 12B 16 hr./300 F 13B 24 hr./300 F 14B 36 hr./300 F	85.1 85.7 85.8 85.1	77.2 78.6 79.4 78.4	11.2 11.2 11.2 10.5	30.2 31.1 31.9 32.7
	16 3/250 + 3/310 17 3/250 + 5/310 18 3/250 + 8/310	81.4 83.0 83.9 84.3 84.6 85.2 85.0	67.5 71.9 74.5 76.2 78.4 79.6 79.6	15.0 14.0 13.0 11.2 11.0 11.0 10.3	28.3 29.2 29.7 30.1 30.8 31.8 32.3
	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	81.9 83.9 84.5 85.4 85.0 83.9	69.7 75.5 77.6 79.9 80.1 78.7	14.0 11.8 11.5 10.5 10.5 10.5	28.6 30.2 30.8 31.9 32.9 34.0
	23 3/250 + 1/340 24 3/250 + 3/340 25 3/250 + 5/340 26 3/250 + 8/340	84.5 84.6 83.3 79.4	77.5 80.2 77.8 72.3	11.5 10.2 10.0 10.0	30.7 33.5 34.9 36.1
	15B 3/275 + 8/325 16B 3/275 + 12/325	85.1 83.4	79.3 77.3	10.5 9.8	32.9 34.5

TENSILE AND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA FOR ELEVATED TEMPERATURE AGING OF .063" MA08 SHEET

1. All tests transverse to rolling direction; average of two tests listed.

 Solution heat treatment 1 hour at 900-910 F, cold water quench; elevated temperature aging followed minimum of 4 days room temperature aging. Heating rate to 250, 275 or 300 F at 90 F/hour.

3. M.T. Report 040369B.

TENSILE AND TEAR TEST RESULTS FOR .063" SHEET IN MAOS, MAOS, MAO7, MAO8 AND MAO9 ALLOYS

						Iong	Longitudinal					Ē	Transverse		
Alloy - Tember Type	Sample	Aging Practice hours/oF	Electrical Conductivity & IACS	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength ksi	Elongation \$ in 2"		Tear Strength Yield Strength	Unit Propagation Energy 2 in-lb/in ²	Tensile Strength ksi	Yield Strength Ksi	Elongstion \$ in 2"	Tear Strength	Tear Strength Yield Strength	Unit Propegation Energy 2 in-lb/in
NAO5-T6	362033-9 373264-7 Average		34.7 34.4	84.1 83.7 83.9	76.8 76.8 71.2	о. 11.0 11.0	78.9 78.5 78.7	1.01 1.02 1.01	951 125 125	83.4 83.3 1.8	76.8 76.1 76.1	0 5 1 1 1 1	1-6-92 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	28.8	3 5 5 2 5 2
••••	362033-6 373264-2 362033-10 373264-8 Average	24/275	32.6 32.6 8.9	86.0 85.5 85.6 9.9	79.0 78.5 78.4	0.015 13.00 13.00 13.00	78.5 76.1 73.8 72.1 72.1	8838	훵 <i>킢븮</i> 송킍	8.58 9.59 9.59 9.59 9.59 9.59 9.59 9.59	1911 1911 1911 1911	49559 9000	44848 01019	5.6.5.5.5	85833
MAC6-76	362034-3	3/250 + 5/340	39.2	69.2	59.8	12.0	93.4	1.56	1035	6.99	59.4	5 . 4	4.65	1.57	39TT
	362034-6	24/275	35.2	69.8	59.6	14.8	95.8	1.61	1215	69.2	59.3	14.8	6.19	1.6	1320
M07-76	362035-3 373266-1 Average	3/250 + 5/340	36.5	75.4 75.6 75.5	67.9 67.8 67.8	0.51 9.11 9.11	1956 87.3	a a a a a a a	965 890 827	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	67.8 66.9 67.3	111 8 8 9	0.16	334	1085 1000 1042
• ·	362035-6 373266-2 Åverage	54/515	33.7 33.4	76.9 77.1 77.0	69.0 58.2 68.6	13.2 14.0 13.6	39.2 96.2 97.7	월 달 달 고 드 드	970 855 912	76-2 75-9	68.9 67.7	8.00 8.00 8.00	8.8.6 0.0.7	131	1065 1045
MAOB-T6	362036-9 373267-7 Average	3/250 + 9/325	33.3 33.3	85.5 85.5 85.1	80.1 78.9 79.5	10.3	79.1 77.6 78.9	88.8	265 265 265	8. 9 1. 18 1. 18	78-5 19-5 19-5	10.5	60 - 18 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	58:1 58:1	825
	362036-6 373267-2 362036-10 373267-8	36/275	8.8.8 9.9.9 9.9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.	488948 001-90	75.8 71.9 76.5	25.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2	88.5 91.5 86.5 87.5	38973 11111	88553	999999 199999 19999	96009 992 992 992 992 992 992 992 992 992	00000 00000 11111	32222	11111 1	ទំងមិនទ
1-60M	362037-3 373268-1 Average	!1		72.17 72.7 72.1	61.5 61.5	5.6 8.6	86.9 85.5 86.2	111 1987 1987	195 175 185	5 7 7 7 7 7 7	26.1 26.1 26.1	12.0 12.0	888 8	838 838	មុខ
1. 3362023-	37 rolled	3362023-37 rolled from .187" nominal stock; 3373264-8 rolled from	1 stock; 5373264	-8 rolled fr	.150"	nominal stock				DOF /hour					

Four days minimum road temperature aging interval before elevated temperature aging. Heating rate to initial aging temperature 90%/hour. Solution best treatment of 1 bour at 890% for Max5; 1 hour at 960% for MaX6, MaY7; 1 hour at 910% for MaX8 MT Reports 040369 B 6/20/69, 71/14/69, 8/6/69. Tensile test results are averages of two tests; test results average of three tests except where diagonal fractures were deleted.

29

,	c	5
4		4
l	¢	ų
	4	ş
	Ê	•

S ALLOYS
CASE
TRIDGE
G
0.064" THICK SHEET OF EXPERIMENTAL CARTRIDGE CASE ALLOYS
OF EXPEI
SHEET
ğ
H
0.064

								Str	Stressed				
		0	Original	1	Unstressed	essed				% Loss	Stressed Preforms	Pref	SMEO
	Alloy	Prop	Properties(1)	(1)	Length	% Loss	Applied			(1)			
	생	T.S.		×	of	(2)	Stress,	FAN		in T.S.	Test	F/N	
S. No.	Temper	<u>ksi</u>	<u>ksi</u>	<u>E</u> 1.	Exposure	in T.S.	<u>× Y.S.</u>	ଟ	Days	(12 wks.)	Direction	<u>e</u>	Days
326033	MA05-T6	80.7	74.3	10.8	4 wks.	œ	75	0/3	OK-84	31	3	0/3	OK-84
					12 wks.	15	50	0/3	OK - 84	27	×	0/2	OK -84
326034	MA 06- T 6	68.9	59.7	12.7	4 wks.	ŝ	75	0/3	OK - 84	20	3	0/3	OK - 84
					12 wks.	13	50	0/3	OK -84	18	×	0/2	OK - 84
326035	326035 MA07-T6	74.8	67.5	12.7	4 wks.	œ	75	0/3	0K-84	19	3	0/3	0K-84
					12 wks.	13	50	0/3	OK -84	21	×	0/2	OK - 84
326036	MA08-T6	82.5	76.6	10.0	4 wks.	80	75	0/3	OK - 84	23	3	0/3	OK-54
					12 wks.	18	50	0/3	OK-84	20	×	0/2	OK-84
326037	326037 MA09-F	72.7	56.6	12.2	4 wks.	1	75	0/3	OK-84	2	3	0/3	0K- 84
					12 wks.	2	50	0/3	OK-84	7	×	0/2	OK - 84
342703	3 42 703 7075- T 6	82.7	74.7	10.5	4 wks.	٢	75	0/3	OK -84	15	3	0/3	0K-84
~	(ARL Stock)				12 wks.	11	50	0/3	0K-84	15	×	0/2	OK-84

(1) Results are the average of tests of triplicate transverse tensile specimens. Notes:

- Results are the average of tests of duplicate transverse tensile specimens. (5)
- (3) F/N denotes number of specimens failed over exposed.
- l hr. at 910F for MA08. Elevated temperature aging after 4 days at room temperature: 3 hrs. at 250F plus 5 hrs. at 340F for MAO5, MAO6, MAO7; 3 hrs. at 250F plus 9 hrs. at 340F for MAO8. Solution heat treatment 1 hr. at 890F for MA05; 1 hr. at 960F for MA06, MA07; (4)
TABLE 11

4

TENSILE AND TEAR TEST RESULTS FOR MASS, "MAG, MAT, MAGR AND MASS AFTER EXTENDED PERIODS AS -1107 AND AT 1655

Allor and Tunger Jype Sample Post Acia, Treatment Strengtal MMO5-F6 362033 H.T. and aged only H.I. MMO5-F6 362034 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MMO6-F6 362034 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MMO6-F6 362034 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MMO6-F6 362035 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MMO6-F6 362035 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MU7-F6 362035 H.T. and aged only 69.2 MU7-F6 362035 H.T. and aged only 75.4	T:ald Streagth 74.7 74.6 77.1 77.1 59.8 59.4 59.4 59.4	5		UPE 2 Teer/YS in-1b/in 2 1.12 2c5 1.20 2k5 .96 180	0795 2 0-16/53 205	Curentle Strength Lat	Yleid Stregth ast	Elongetico E := 2 [°]	and Street		×
362033 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 After 30 days at 1657 After 30 days at 1657 After 30 days at 1167		8.01 9.1 0.11 2.11 2.11 2.11	81.8 89.7 1.4.1 93.4	1.12 05.1 .96	ŞQ					Cerr YS	10-11-11
After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 362034 H.f. and aged only After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1677		9.3 6.11 8.11 8.11	89.7 T4.1 93.4	1.20 .96		Bc.ê	·	:1	8. S		530
After 30 days at 165F 362034 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -110F After 30 days at 165F After 30 days at -110F After 30 days at -110F		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4°56	×,	572	Sc.5	(1) 1	12.0	¥7		566
362034 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 362035 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1557		्र इ.म.	4 *Eó		381	81.6	¥,	0 .11	ų.	35.12	\$;
After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1657 362035 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -1107 After 30 days at 1677		<u>इ.स</u>		1.56	1002	66.3	+• 5 5	Ĩ	: ::	t	v H
After 30 days at 165F 362035 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -110F After 30 days at 165F		с. т.	92.4	1.55	8 6:	1. 69	3.92	2.54	35+36	3. .1	Ĭ
362035 H.T. and aged only After 30 days at -110F After 30 days at 1657		:	4.62	1.57	9 9	70-5	ęź.4	12.2	i.	::	1065
30 days at -110F 30 days at 165F		0. 71	30.1	99.11 99.11	59 6	74.9	61.8 6	ំដ	:: 5		ij).
30 days at 1657	.h 67.4	12.0	д.1		325	74.6	н. 1 1	5.2 2.2	8	3	\$`
	4°04 0°	12.0	37.7	0) . .	ŝ	76.3	r. V		975	#1 	a.
MAOB-T6 362036 3.T. and aged only 81.9	-9 75.2	0.01	54.6	2	ŝ	9. 语 1.	2.4	2012		\$; .1	
After 30 days at -110F 82.3	.3 76.3	0.11	7.8	20	ŞÇ	615		0.01	ř		142 142
After 30 days at 165F 83.4	.4 77.8	о.ц	7 9. 8	1.02	325	1.8	r; F	о Н	3.5	8	090
1409-7 362037 H.T. and aged only 71.6	.6 60.7	9.5	86.9	1.43	195	7.7	56.1	ц., Ц.,	Â.		ť,
After 30 days at -llOF 71.8	.8 60.2	8.0	86.3	1.43	515	1	56.4	5.61	۴.	3. .1	
After 30 days at 165F 65.6	.6 48.6	13.0	6.99	1.78	211	1.49	 .	16.5	а. Ж	il. H	3907

Elevated temperature aging after 4 days at room temperature: 3 hours at 250F plus 5 hours at 360F for MACF, 840CF, MACF, 3 hours at 250F plus 9 hours at 5.0F for VaCE; ceature rate to initial aging temperature 90F/hour.

3. Tensile data average of two tests; tear test data average of three tests except diagonal fractures deleted.

.

4. ME Reports 040369 B 6/20/69, 7/3/69, 8/5/69, 9/12/69.

TABLE 12

TENSILE TEST AND HARDNESS DATA FOR ANNEALED TEMPER STOCK FOR CARTRIDGE CASE DEVELOPMENT

			I	L cngitudinal	1		Transverse	e			
		Nominal Thickness	Tensile Strength	Yield Strength	Elongation	Tensile	Yield Ctrength	F]nnde+îcr			
Alloy and Temper	Sample	In.	ksi	ksi	d in 2"	ksi	,ksi	# in 2"	E LE	10.	1
MAO5-0	362033	781.	29.4	0 . म	19.5	29.7	11.2	16.5	67	<u>.</u>	.195
	373264	.150	23.7	9.11	19.8	29.3	7.LI	20.0	96		
MA06-0	362034	. 187	28.0	9.3	22.8	28.3	5.6	22.8	63	£.	12
	373265	.150	28.2	9.4	22.2	:	;	ł	\$		
MAO7-0	362035	.187	29.1	10.0	22.0	29.4	10.1	21.8	62	ß	513
	373266	.150	28.4	10.6	21.8	28.7	10.0	21.5	65		
MA08-0*	362036	.187	32.3	9.11	19.0	31.8	л.6	20.2	8	-1 U V	.206
	373267	.150	32.8	12.8	18.2	31.9	13.2	1ĉ.2	66.5		
MA09-0	362037	.187	51.5	23.3	28.8	50.8	23.3	31.5	61	-58	385
	373268	.150	51.9	23.8	29.8	50.7	23.6	29.5	79		
1. Tensile test data		average of two tests	ts.								

1. Tensile test data average of two tests.

2. MT Reports 040369B 9/9/69

* Tests made approximately one and six months after annealing indicate some age hardening had occurred. Listed data are averages of the two tests.

TABLE 13

TENSILE PROPERTIES OF MA05, MA06, MA07 AND MA08 OF VARIOUS THICKNESSES QUENCHED INTO COLD OR BOILING WATER

ench	Elong. 1n 2"	00000 00000 00000	000mm	101111 1000000	0,00,00 0,00,00 0,00,00
Boiling Water Quench	Yield Strength ksi	71.4 564.9 54.6 54.6	55555 57855 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90	\$6555 \$655 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1.5 \$1	76.1 75.5 74.9
Boili	Tensile Strength ksi	78.1 78.1 64.5 8.2 8.2 7 8 8.2 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	000000 0000000 00000000000000000000000	73.1 74.1 72.5 70.7	82.7 82.8 81.9 80.9
ch	Elong. In 2"	11.5 11.0 12.0 14.0	12.0 13.0 15.0 15.5	12.0 13.0 18.5	10.5 11.5 12.0 12.0
Cold Water Quench	Yleld Strength ks1	78.2 77.3 78.0 77.5	58.6 61.1 60.6 60.6	67.7 67.5 67.3 67.1	79.6 80.7 80.2 79.4
Cold	Tensile Strength ksi	82.7 844.0 84.50 84.50 84.50	68.0 69.3 70.4 70.4	74.6 75.3 74.9 74.9	84.3 85.9 85.1 85.7 85.7
	Nominal Thickness inch	.063 .125 .187 .250	. u63 . 125 . 187 . 500	.063 .125 .187 .550 .500	.063 .125 .187 .250
	S. No.	362033	362034	362035	362036
	Alloy	MAO5	MA06	MAO7	MA08

Test direction transverse to rolling direction.

Results are averages of two tests.

- MAO5 solution heat treat 90 minutes at 890 F; MAO6 and MAO7, 90 minutes at 960 F; MAO8 90 minutes at 910 F.
- All samples room temperature aged four days minimum; then MA05 3 hours at 250 + 5 hours at 325 F; MA06 and MA07 3 hours at 250 + 5 hours at 340 F; MA08 3 hours at 250 + 9 hours at 325 F. Heating rate to initial aging temperature 90 F/hour. 4
 - M.T. 040369B, 8/20/69. <u>د</u>

٩	σ.
e	-
	a
•	-
¢	q
1	

TENSILE PROPERTIES	09-F SHEET
NO	MA
C OF LOW TEMPERATURE THERMAL TREATMENTS ON 1	RECRYSTALLIZATION OF MA09-F SE
HL I	RECR
E	OF
TEMPERA	AND DEGREE OF I
LOW	AND
OF	
EFFECT	

and and a				61	
Time Time hrs.	rinermal Treatment Fine Temp. Ars. OF	Degree of Recrystallization	rensile Strength ksi	riela Strength ksi	Elongation % in 2"
:	1	ł	71.7	56.1	12.0
ч	425	None	61.9	40.2	19.3
4	425	None	62.2	38.2	20.0
8	425	None	62.0	37.0	15.3
12	425	None	61.5	36.1	15.5
г	450	None	60.1	38.6	19.3
4	450	None	60.2	36.1	17.5
œ	450	None	59.2	34.7	16.5
12	450	None	58.0	33.2	17.0
Ч	500	None	58.5	36.5	21.0
4	500	None	57.3	33.9	18.5
8	500	None	56.5	33.1	19.5
12	500	None	56.2	32.7	19.8
I	550	Partial	56.2	32.8	21.8
4	550	Partial	55.4	31.3	21.0
8	550	Partial	54.9	30.7	21.0
12	550	Fartial	54.7	30.5	21.5
:					

Notes: (1) Data for .063" sheet from S-362037.

- Degree of recrystallization from X-ray diffraction analysis. X-ray Report 9248, dated September 19, 1969. M.T. Report 040369-B, dated October 15, 1969. (5)
- Tensile test results average of two tests in transverse direction. (E)



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Transverse Yield Strength vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA05-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Transverse Yield Strength vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA06-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Transverse Yield Strength vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA07-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Transverse Yield Strength vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA08-T6 Sheet.



FIG. 5

Precipitation Treatment Curves - Electrical Conductivity vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA05-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Electrical Conductivity vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA06-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Electrical Conductivity vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA07-T6 Sheet.



Precipitation Treatment Curves - Electrical Conductivity vs Aging Time for .063 inch MA08-T6 Sheet.



FIG. 9

Tear/Yield Strength Ratio vs Yield Strength for Isothermal and Step Precipitation Treated .063 inch MA05-T6 and MA08-T6 Sheet in Longitudinal and Transverse Directions.



FIG. 10

Tear/Yield Strength Ratio vs Yield Strength in Transverse Direction for .063 inch MA05, MA06, MA07, MA08 and MA09 Sheet. Kaufman and Holt data are for same thickness and direction of test in commercial sheet.



FIG. 11

Unit Propagation Energy vs Yield Strength in Transverse Direction for .063 inch MA05, MA06, MA07, MA08 and MA09 Sheet. Kaufman and Holt data are for same thickness and direction of test in commercial sheet.



FIG. 12

Yield Strength vs Specimen Thickness for MA05, MA06, MA07 and MA08 Sheet or Plate after Quenching into Cold or Boiling Water and Subsequent Precipitation Treatments by Step Aging.





Yield Strength and Degree of Recrystallization vs Time at Temperature for Thermal Stress Relief Treatments of .063 inch MA09-F Sheet.





Keller's Etch

Fig. 14 - Microstructure of .063" MA05; solution heat treatment 1 hr at 890 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. Longitudinal section.



S-362034-6

Keller's Etch

500X

Fig. 15 - Microstructure of .063" MA06; solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. Longitudinal section.



Fig. 16 - Microstructure of .063" MA07; solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. Longitudinal section.



S-362036-6

Keller's Etch

500X

Fig. 17 - Microstructure of .063" MA08; solution heat treatment 1 hr at 910 F, precipitation treatment 36 hr at 275 F. Longitudinal section.



S-362037-3 Keller's Etch 500X

Fig. 18 - Microstructure of .063" MA09-F as-rolled sheet. Longitudinal section.



S-362033-6

50,000X

Fig. 19 - Electron micrograph of MA05. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 890 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. Dispersoid particles within grains are E phase.



S-362034-c

50,000X

Fig. 20 - Electron micrograph of MA06. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. E phase particles are larger and less numerous than for MA05 in Figure 19.



8-362035-6

50,000X

Fig. 21 - Electron micrograph of MA07. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment 24 hr at 275 F. E phase particles similar in size to those in MA06, Figure 20.



S-362036-6

50,000X

Fig. 22 - Electron micrograph of MA08. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 910 F, precipitation treatment 36 hr at 275 F. Dispersoid particles within grains are Al₂₀Cu₂Mn₃.



Fig. 23 - Electron micrographs of MA05. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 890 F, precipitation treatment (a) 24 hr at 275 F, (b) 3 hr at 250 F plus 5 hr at 325 F.





100,000X

Fig. 24 - Electron micrographs of MA06. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment (a) 24 hr at 275 F, (b) 3 hr at 250 F plus 5 hr at 340 F.



s-362035-3

- 100,000X
- Fig. 25 Electron micrographs of MA07. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 960 F, precipitation treatment (a) 24 hr at 275 F, (b) 3 hr at 250 F plus 5 hr at 340 F.



Zone Size Range: . 10-50 Å

Average: 25 Å

S-362036-6

100,000X



(a)

Zone Size

Range: . 15-90 A Average: 50 Å

S-362036-9

100,000X

Fig. 26 - Electron micrographs of MA08. Solution heat treatment 1 hr at 910 F, precipitation treatment (a) 36 hr at 275 F, (b) 3 hr at 250 F plus 9 hr at 325 F.



S-362033-6 (a) 570X



Fig. 27 - Scanning electron microscope fractograph of MA05-T6 tear specimen fracture of low U.P.E.



S-362035-6 (a) 480X



S-362035-6 (b) 1900X

Fig. 28 - Scanning electron microscope fractograph of MA07-T6 tear specimen fracture of high U.P.E.



S-362036-6

...

570X

Fig. 29 - Scanning electron microscope fractograph of MA08-T6 tear specimen fracture of intermediate U.P.E.



S-362033-6

12,000X

Fig. 30 - Transmission electron micrograph of oxide replica of fracture surface from MA05-T6 tear specimen of low U.P.E.



s-362035-6

12,000X

Fig. 31 - Transmission electron micrograph of oxide replica of fracture surface from MA07-T6 tear specimen of high U.P.E.

Security Classification	-					
(Socurity closelfication of title, body of ober	UMENT CONTROL DAT		the everall regard is a beautied)			
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corpo.eto author)		2	AT BECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Aluminum Company of Ameri			Unclassified			
Alcoa Research Laboratoria		20				
New Kensington, Pennsylva	nia 15068					
APPORT TITLE						
Feasibility Study for Deve	elopment of an	Aluminum /	alloy for			
Fabrication of Small A	rms Cartridge (ases				
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inches						
Final Report for Period	6/28/68 to 10/2	8/69				
S AUTHOR(S) (List name. Het name. mitial)						
Pogara Balah M Is						
Rogers, Ralph. W., Jr.						
REPORT DATE	7. TOTAL .		75			
11/24/69	6	3	3			
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO			MOC #(S)			
DAAA25-68-C0771						
J.O. 13-AX202						
C St. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be excident						
* Pb. OTHER REPORT NO(8) (Any other numbers that may be eveloped the report)						
AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES						
This document may be further d						
approval of Commanding Officer	Frankford Arsens	al, Fhiladel	phia, Pa.			
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
SUPPLEMENTANY NOTES			ord Arsenal			
	Tacony	and Bridg	e Streets			
	Philad	elphia. Pa	19137			
ABSTRACT						
Five experimental, low imp for characteristics such a resistance to corrosion an and quench sensitivity. Although none of the alloy	s tensile prop d stress corro	erties, fr sion, temp	acture toughness, erature stability			
criteria, two compositions this respect. These were (MA07) and A1-5.9 Zn-2.4 C	were superior nominally A1-5	to conven Zn-2.4 Mg	tional allovs in			
One strain-hardenable allo included in the evaluation moderate resistance to cra level compared to the heat	and displayed ck growth but	good notch	h toughness and			
Electron metallography and depend upon the relative p granular or transgranular interiors and boundaries. particles upon fracture to insoluble element level of	fractography a roportions of a and, hence, upo The effect of ughness was not	showed frac fracture particular on relative second-pha	ath that were inter- strengths of grain			
DD 1473						
			curity Classification			

Security Classification		_					
KEY WORDS		ROLE		ROLE		ROLE	# C
Aluminum Alloys Experimental Alloys Alternate Materials for Ctg. Gases.		HOL					
INSTRU	CTIONS taposed	by security	classifi	cation, u	sing stan	derd state	ments
of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.	such as:	"Qualified report from	requeste		-		
 2. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations. 25. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive S200.10 and Armed Forces industriel Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized. 3. PEPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in al. capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show tall classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title. 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES. If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of services. The name of the principal anthor is an absolute minimum requirement. 6. REPORT DATE. Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report. Batter of publication. 7. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information. 7. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report. 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: It appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written. 	(3) (4) (5) If the Services cate this 11. SUP tory note 12. SPC the depains for) 13. ABS summary it may a port. If be attact formatio The	"Foreign i report by I "U i dee this report users shall "U S mil report the shall count "All distri- tried DDC e report has a fact and e PPLEMENT PS. DNSU: ING primental pr the researc STRACT: I of the doc iso appear	innounce DC is no directly i request itary age ctly from east throug bution of unter the carry NO MILITAR agect off h and der Enter an ument inne elsewher space is nirable th ach paragraph.	authoria in our part of the security response of the security respo	red." may obtain c t. Other obtain c ther quali- ort is con- st through or sale to nows. e for sale to nor sale to nor sale to nor sale to nor sale to for sale to for sale to for sale to nor sale to satory sa satory sato	trolled (trolled (trolle	me of (pay- inter- me of (pay- inter-
 Bo, Er, & Bd. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report. 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponsor), also enter this number(s). 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further discemination of the report, other than those 	14. KEY or short index en selected fiers, su project of words by text. Th	WORDS: phrases the tries for ca so that no ich as equij code name, at will be for assignment	Key word at characteristic to loging security pment mo geograph bollowed b	ds are tec terize a re classific del designic location y an indio	hnically eport and t. Key we ation is r nation, tro on, may b cation of	meaningfu may be u ords must required. ade name, e used as technical	be Identi- military key con-

Security Classification