# UNCLASSIFIED

# AD NUMBER

### AD861046

# NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

## FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; OCT 1969. Other requests shall be referred to Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA.

# AUTHORITY

USNWC ltr, 30 Aug 1974

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

# **RIFLE RECOIL AND MUZZLE SHOCK EFFECTS UPON A WAR** GAMES OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS CONCEPT

by

William J. Bonner, Jr. and George L. Craig Aviation Ordnance Department

ABSTRACT. This report presents the results of experiments conducted to determine the origin, magnitude, and characteristics of signal Interference in the Direct Fire Simulation System (DFSS) communications caused by weapon recoil and shock wave effects. The two effects were considered independently and were investigated using an M-1 (.30 caliber) and an M-16 (.233 caliber) rifle. Of primary concern in this investigation were the effects of blank ammunition although a limited amount of data was gathered on ball ammunition. Transmission time intervals required for sending and receiving a coded message, as related to various mechanical aspects of the rifles, were also investigated.



34



ł. t market

à

61046

00

# NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA \* OCTOBER 1969

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL EXPORT CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN NATIONALS MAY BE MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER.

Mundah Solat
 C C C A P 1 N G H Q U S E
 Roca Todard - Landel A C H back
 Information Social prevails (2015)

| AUDI SSIDH ID<br>DESTI<br>LUD<br>ULANEUNILLD<br>LUST FLOL HON | WHITE STUTION D<br>SUFF SECTION D<br>N AD<br>AN AC<br>M. R. E | AL WEAPONS CENTER<br>TIVITY OF THE NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND<br>heridge, Capt., USN Commander<br>S. Amile, Ph.D Technical Director |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| u181. A                                                       | tant, and/or SPECIAL                                          | FOREWORD                                                                                                                        |

The work described in this report was performed as part of the continuing research program of the Naval Weapons Center (NWC). The work was supported by the Instrument Support Group, U.S. Army Combat Developments Command, Fort Ord, Calif.

This report was reviewed for technical accuracy by H. P. Leet and L. W. Nichols.

Released by N. E. WARD, Head Aviation Ordnance Department 8 October 1969

\$

Under authority of THOMAS S. AMLIE Technical Director 5

ł

t

NWC Technical Publication 4791

| Published by |    | • | • | • | • | •       | •  | •  |    | • | •   | •   | •   | •  | • | Avia     | tio | n  | Ord      | dna | anc  | e Dep | artment  |
|--------------|----|---|---|---|---|---------|----|----|----|---|-----|-----|-----|----|---|----------|-----|----|----------|-----|------|-------|----------|
| Manuscript   | •  | • | • | • | • | ٠       | •  | •  | •  | • | ٠   | •   | •   | •  | • |          | •   | •  | •        | • • |      | . 35  | /MS-285  |
| Supersedes   | •  | • | • | • | • |         | •  |    | •  | • | ٠   | l   | ns  | id | е | Distr    | ibu | ti | on       | Ρι  | 1 du | icati | on 2928  |
| Collation    |    |   |   |   | 0 | )<br>OV | er | ۰. | 16 | 5 | lea | ave | s.  | D  | D | Form     | 147 | 3  | ar       | hd  | ah   | ctrar | + carde  |
|              | •  | • | • | • | _ |         |    | ,  |    |   |     |     | , , |    |   | 1 OL III | 147 | 7, | <u> </u> | 10  | uυ   | 21/00 | i carus  |
| First printi | ng | • | • | • | • | •       | •  | •  | •  |   | •   | •   | ••• | •  | • | ••••     | •   | 13 | 5 i      | unr | านต  | bered | l copies |

ii

### CONTENTS

Sarah Strates In

| Introduction                                                       | •   | •  | •      | •      | •   | •      | • | • | •      | • | •      | •      | • | • | •      | • | • | • | •      | • | • | 1           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|--------|--------|-----|--------|---|---|--------|---|--------|--------|---|---|--------|---|---|---|--------|---|---|-------------|
| Shock Wave Effects<br>He:Ne Investigations<br>Ga:As Investigations | •   | •  | •<br>• | •<br>• | •   | •<br>• | • | • | •<br>• | • | •<br>• | •<br>• | • | • | •<br>• | • | • | • | •<br>• | • | • | 2<br>2<br>5 |
| Rifle Recoil                                                       | •   | •  | •      | •      | •   | •      | • | • | •      | • | •      | •      | • | • | •      | • | • | • | •      | • | • | 6           |
| Analysis                                                           | •   | •  | •      | •      | •   | •      | • | • | •      | • | •      | •      | • | • | •      | • | • | • | •      | • | • | 14          |
| Acoustical/Mechanical Con                                          | nsi | de | era    | at i   | ior | าร     | ٠ | • | •      | • | •      | •      | • | • | •      | • | • | • | •      | • | • | 19          |
| Conclusions                                                        | •   | •  | •      | •      | •   | •      | • | • | •      | • | •      | •      | • | • | •      | • | • | • | •      | • | • | 27          |

iii

No.

No.

1

このでいたのでもったいないたいで

人となるうい

#### INTRODUCTION

and the second state of th

contrained the second state that the statement with the second statement of the second statement of the second

The Direct Fire Simulation System (DFSS) concept<sup>1</sup> proposed a method of accounting for the number of rounds of ammunition expended versus hits obtained in a war games situation. The method proposed mounting a coded source of invisible radiation on a weapon to serve as a message transmitter and attaching highly sensitive, small-area detectors on personnel and various types of military vehicles for message reception. The coded message would convey the identity of the initiating source and, if detected, be relayed to a central decision computer along with the identity of the object that received the message. Firing a blank round of ammunition would key a weapon-mounted "round counter" that in turn would key message transmission. Detected "hits" would be stored in a local buffer memory until interrogated by the central computer through a data link. The computer would then make all hit/kill decisions and inform the object that received the message. However, as indicated by the name given to the system, only line-of-sight encounters are considered in the concept.

This report presents the results of experiments conducted at the Naval Weapons Center (NWC), China Lake, for the Instrumentation Support Group, U.S. Army Combat Developments Command, Fort Ord, Calif., to determine the magnitude of received signal interference caused by weapon recoil and shock wave effects. The two effects were considered independently and these were investigated using the M-1 (.30 caliber) and M-16 (.233 caliber) ritles. Although the primary concern in this investigation were the effects of blank ammunition, a limited amount of data was gathered on ball ammunition. A blank adapter was installed on the muzzle whenever blank ammunition was used.

The DFSS concept considers weapons that vary from small-bore rifles to large-caliber guns. Due to the size, weight, and power requirements and the need for visual security, solid-state light-emitting diodes or injection laser diodes provide the logical choice for a message transmitter. Such devices, as well as a continuous-wave (C-W) helium-neon (He:Ne) laser, were used as radiation sources during the NWC investigation.

<sup>1</sup>Stanford Research Institute. Direct Fire Simulation System, by Kenneth W. Gardiner and others. Menlo Park, SRI, Calif., October 1966. (SRI Project No. 5860; AD 648-069.)

#### SHOCK WAVE EFFECTS

To determine the effects of the muzzle shock wave upon the transmitted message, a mirror was positioned independently of the rifle to project the beam from a C-W He:Ne laser collinearly with the rifle bore so that the rifle discharge would occur within 2 inches of the beam. This method was used to remove the laser from the high acoustical overpressures occurring near the muzzle and to allow a realistic proximity of the beam to the muzzle, as dictated by the proposed concept. The beam was incident upon a gridded target 50 yards down range. As a round of ammunition was fired, the muzzle shock wave interfered with the He:Ne beam. The effect of this interference, and its duration, were recorded by photographing the target with a high-speed motion picture camera operating at 3,200 frames/second. The duration of any disturbance was obtained from timing lights mounted in the camera.

A pulsed gallium-arsenide (Ga:As) laser transmitter was also positioned so that its wavefront would travel in close proximity (1 to 2 inches) to the muzzle. A totally reflecting mirror was placed near the gridded target to intercept the beam and redirect it to a wide fieldof-view silicon photodetector located behind and approximately 3 feet to the side of the rifle muzzle. This separation was chosen to approximate the geometry of a rifleman's weapon and his own detection set. Thus, muzzle blast effects on the transmitted message and on the detector could be determined. Signals received at the detector were amplified, displayed, and photographed on an oscilloscope. In early tests a crystal microphone was attached to the stock of the rifle to trigger the oscilloscope sweep upon reception of the noise created by the hammer hitting the firing pin of the weapon. In later tests a microswitch attached to the trigger served a similar purpose. The oscilloscope sweep was initiated 3 to 5 milliseconds before any disruption from the muzzle blast occurred. In later tests the pulsed Ga:As transmitter was replaced with a C-W Ga:As light-emitting diode transmitter.

#### He:Ne INVESTIGATIONS

Figures 1 and 2 are selected strips of the high-speed motion pictures taken during the firing of ball and blank ammunition for the M-1 and M-16 rifles, respectively. The first strip shows the undisturbed He:Ne beam before cartridge detonation. The succeeding series of frames shows the beam distortion at various times after cartridge detonation. General analysis of these figures reveals that while the position of the beam pattern on the target varies slightly with respect to the undisturbed position, the effects of absorption and scattering are quite severe. Ball ammunition produced greater periods of disruption than blank loads.



Allina shahan urshi shineen reheri namura waxaa aa aa aa

....

キンド・シー

i. Ę

•

NWC TP 4791

1 5 1378

:



- - -

NWC TP 4791

ž

i

-----

, ,

;

÷

ŧ

4

;

3

NWC TP 4791

Table 1 compares the disruption periods caused by ball and blank ammunition fired in the M-1 and the M-16 rifles and lists the average time after cartridge detonation for the laser-beam pattern to return to a given percent of normal. "Normal" is defined as the position and pattern of the He:Ne beam on the target immediately before discharge. Thus, at 50% normal, approximately one-half of the original area covered by the beam would be effective for message transmission.

| TABLE 1. | Comparison of | <sup>-</sup> Signal | Disruption | Periods | Due | to | Muzzle |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|------------|---------|-----|----|--------|
|          | -             | Blast               | Effects    |         |     |    |        |

|                    | Beam disruption time    |                         |                         |                                 |                         |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type<br>of<br>ammo |                         | M-1 rifl                | е                       | M-16 rifle                      |                         |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 50% of<br>normal,<br>ms | 75% of<br>normal,<br>ms | Return to<br>normal, ms | 50 <b>%</b> of<br>normal,<br>ms | 75% of<br>normal,<br>ms | Return to<br>normal, ms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ball               | 20                      | 35                      | 65                      | 12                              | 25                      | 50                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank              | 15                      | 25                      | 50                      | 6                               | 15                      | 35                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Ga:As INVESTIGATIONS

にあためのないないとなったいのか

Deleterious effects were also revealed on the train of pulses received from the Ga:As transmitter. The signal train was emitted at a rate of 1,000 pulses/second and signal amplitude measured at the transmitter was constant to within  $\pm 5\%$ . Figure 3 is a typical example of the data collected from the silicon detector.



FIG. 3. Signals Received Before and During the Firing of One Round of . 223 Caliber Blank Ammunition Using a Pulsed Ga:As Laser Transmitter. Horizontal scale, 5 ms/div.; vertical scale, 2 v/div.

1. 193

In another series of tests a C-W Ga:As light-emitting diode transmitter, transmitting at 50 kilohertz, was substituted for the laser transmitter. Other test procedures remained constant. Figure 4 is a typical example of the data collected in this configuration.



FIG. 4. Signals Received Before and During the Firing of One Round of . 223 Caliber Blank Ammunition Using a C-W Ga: As Light-Emitting Diode. Horizontal scale, 5 ms/div.; vertical scale, 2 v/div.

No serious effects of muzzle shock or afterburning were noticed on the silicon detector set. This was particularly true with blank ammunition. On the occasions when the detector responded to the afterburning radiation, the pulse was of such magnitude and duration that it could be accommodated or discriminated, thus avoiding the recording of erroneous data.

The foregoing tests indicated that the shock wave generated from firing a blank M-1 or M-16 round would not seriously impair the DFSS signals nor disrupt them in a manner that could not be accommodated. It should be re-emphasized that all of these tests were conducted with the transmitter mounted independently of the rifle.

#### RIFLE RECOIL

To determine the effect of rifle recoil on the DFSS signal transmission and reception, a small light-weight mirror was mounted on the bayonet stub of the weapons to project a He:Ne laser beam toward a gridded target (Fig. 5). High-speed motion pictures recorded the data.







ť

ì

ţ

£

FIG. 6. Angular Displacement of the Discharge of the M-1 Rifle. Horizontal scale, 1.042 mrad/div; vertical scale, .521 mrad/div.



----

FIG. 7. Angular Displacement of the Discharge of the M-1 Rifle. Horizontal scale, 1.042 mrad/div; vertical scale, .521 mrad/div.

9

A .....

24 25 5577

4.



h

1

į.

H F

.1

ļ

ŗ

1

;

FIG. 8. Angular Displacement of the Discharge of the M-16 Rifle. Horizontal scale, .833 mrad/div; vertical scale, .416 mrad/div.

10

i

į

เมาะรู้มีคุณใหม่เริ่มเป็นการเก

TANK R

FIG. 9. Angular Displacement of the Discharge of the M-16 Rifle. Horizontal scale, .833 mrad/div; vertical scale, .416 mrad/div.

3

NWC TP 4791

f、

, , ,

.

between mirror rotation and reflected beam angular displacement was 1:1. Rotation of the mirror in roll resulted in a 1:1 angular displacement of the beam in elevation. Experimental analysis showed that displacement contributions from roll were negligible.

The gross effect of weapon recoil is illustrated in Fig. 10 and 11. These pictures are 250-millisecond time exposures of the He:Ne light path traced on the target upon rifle discharge. The previously described experimental procedure was used; however, a Graflex camera was substituted for the high-speed Fastax camera. Upon detonation, the resulting motion of the rifle traced the recorded light path on the target.

In all the tests conducted on rifle recoil, the weapon was positioned in a "V" rest and held as naturally as possible. The "rest" was used as an alignment device; the rifleman exercised primary control over the weapon (Fig. 12). Recoil tests were conducted using blank ammunition.



FIG. 10. 250-ms Time Exposures of M-1 Rifle Recoil Using Blank Ammunition. Horizontal scale, 1.042 mrad/div; vertical scale, 0.521 mrad/div.



**Allis bishis** 

Part of the local division of the local divi

FIG. 11. 250-ms Time Exposures of M-16 Rifle Recoil Using Blank Ammunition. Horizontal scale, 0.833 mrad/div; vertical scale, 0.416 mrad/div.



FIG. 12. M-1 Rifle Positioned in "V" Rest.

#### ANALYSIS

The findings presented in the preceding section on rifle recoil presented a serious problem when applied to the DFSS concept. Consequently, a detailed investigation of the data gathered was required. The horizontal, x, and vertical, y, components of the laser beam displacement on the target versus time were plotted. Figure 13 is a typical plot of the displacement versus time for the x and y components of rifle recoil and is indicative of the magnitude of deflections encountered during each firing. Figure 14 is a typical plot of the instantaneous velocity components,  $v_{\chi}$  and  $v_{y}$ , versus time and reveals the rapidity with which the rifle moves after discharge and the "jerky" movements of the rifleman after firing.

These one-dimensional graphs do not completely define the seriousness of the recoil problem because the weapon did not move in this fashion. The weapon moved along a z or resultant component axis defined as the positive square root of the sum of the squares of the horizontal and vertical components,

 $z = \left| \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \right|$ 

Similarly, resultant velocity is defined as

 $v_z = \left| \sqrt{v_x^2 - v_y^2} \right|$ 

Plots of the resultant components were prepared and examined to determine whether a period of time occurred, subsequent to rifle discharge, in which the muzzle direction was within a certain deflection angle from the original line of sight. If a recurring pattern of this nature occurred, a coded message could be delayed for transmission at the appropriate time. It would be imperative that such a pattern repeat with high probability from shot to shot.

Since a detection requires an optical hit, a maximum deflection angle in which a detection could occur must be established. A 2-footdiameter beam on a target at a range of 100 yards indicates a maximum tolerable deflection angle of ±3 milliradians. Thus, the center line of the transmitted beam must be found within ±3 milliradians of the original sighting position at some time after rifle discharge and must remain within these limits for a period of time (undefined) to allow message transmission. This would define a "quiet zone" that must occur within a realistic period of time after discharge. The films were examined to determine this time lapse and it was concluded that after 20 milliseconds the rifleman's line of sight was so drastically changed that transmission must necessarily be keyed between 0 and 20 milliseconds after discharge. Displacement and velocity curves were plotted for this time interval to determine if a ±3-milliradian quiet zone existed. Figures 15 and 16



0

у сомроиеит, мяар

Ņ

4

φ

ø

N



0

ę

0

х сомроиеит, мяар

Ņ

4

2

ø

4

10

80

9

4

ไม่ไปรูปสารในสารีเป็นสารสารให้สารีครามสารสารสารทาง

M-16

15

N

0

-10

特別はないないないないないないないないないないないないないないない シュー・アー

: ł

ł

.

n + Isingen

ومغير ككك يقعه

à

ţ

:

į

Ì



۱

1.8 117

出版のになっ、 とうないないないないないない こういっていたい ないたいたい こう

•

í

• ? \$

t



J

NWC TP 4791



are typical plots of the resultant displacement versus time and it can be seen from the plots that the quiet zone does not appear. The oscillatory pattern of the curves indicates that the rifleman has little, if any, control over the recoil mechanisms. Figures 17 and 18 are typical curves of the instantaneous velocity versus time and show the significantly large velocities of oscillation of the rifle after discharge. These and all similar plots did not reveal the requisite quiet zone.

To summarize the data, a set of "extrema" curves for resultant displacement were prepared (Fig. 19 and 20) for all data recorded. When plotted on the same coordinate system, a maxima and a minima curve defines an envelope within which all curves for that weapon should fall. Offscale values were omitted in the extrema plots because they indicate additional excursion error not measurable in the experiment. An open-bar histogram appearing below each envelope indicates the percentage of the total number of data points included within the envelope and thus the repeatability of the experiment. A shaded histogram, an index of the total number of data points recorded within the ±3-milliradian criterion at that point in time, is also shown. The shaded histogram is an experimental indication of the probability of a successful message reception.

#### ACOUSTICAL/MECHANICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The preceding investigations presumed that the DFSS signal transmission would be initiated by a "round counter," or other device, actuated by the firing of a blank round of ammunition. The study indicates that rifle recoil prohibits transmitting the message after or during the detonation of the round. Consequently, an investigation was made of the timing relationships of events internal to the weapon. To determine the available time intervals in which it would be possible to trigger and transmit an identification message without interference from recoil and muzzle shock, an M-16 rifle was instrumented and investigations were made to determine its various internal effects on message transmission and their timing relationship. Both automatic and semiautomatic firing, using blank ammunition, were investigated.





20

:

!

and the state of the

3



•

and de la galacteria a consta

ş

5

しいいき ひば

N.4. .

シストレートア・シート しんかく かたかとうたい たんちょう たいちょう かいたいかい ひかいかかん かかく かかく かいかい ちゅうかん ちゅうかん アイチ かいかく マンド・チー







\$

į

いたわりてきいで

ŗ

The instrumentation consisted of a small crystal microphone attached to the magazine receptacle, a detector to observe muzzle flash, and a second detector to provide an indication of rifle recoil. The recoil measurement was intended to indicate the time that the muzzle was first observed to move during the firing of a blank round. To measure this time, a mirror was mounted on the bayonet stub of the weapon and a C-W He:Ne laser beam was reflected from it to a silicon detector. The rifle was hand-held in an alignment fixture. A motion of the muzzle of  $\pm 1$ milliradian removed the signal from the silicon detector. Muzzle flash occurrence time was indicated by a silicon detector that was set to observe a large volume of space in front of the muzzle. It was presumed that the crystal microphone mounted near the breech of the weapon would detect the noise generated by the various physical occurrences inside the rifle with an insignificant time delay. A microswitch was actuated by the trigger a short time before hammer release to provide a signal for electronic triggering. Wide-band electronics (20 hertz to 20 kilohertz) were used throughout. All signals were recorded on an FM tape recorder and subsequently replayed on an oscilloscope. Timing was ultimately derived from the oscilloscope time-base generator. Timing error introduced by the tape recorder was less than 5%.

The photographed oscilloscope "trace results" of the firing of a round of blank ammunition is presented in Fig. 21. The upper trace of each picture represents the action of the trigger switch; the lower trace in each picture is, respectively, the noise detected by the microphone, the movement of the muzzle, and the occurrence of muzzle flash. If the time base of these pictures is expanded, a more significant comparison may be made of the timing relationship of the various events. Figure 22 compares the acoustic noise, recoil and muzzle flash detectors on an expanded scale of 5 milliseconds/division. Of particular interest are the times between (1) hammer release and hammer impact on the firing pin, (2) impact and recoil, and (3) impact and muzzle flash. The first interval averaged 8.0 milliseconds over a number of firings, the second interval was quite short (approximately 200 microseconds), and the third interval averaged 500 microseconds. One weapon was used for this investigation and although the measured effects were consistent for all rounds fired, minor variations would be expected from rifle to rifle.

To further understand the data, the action of the hammer was observed in a direct manner with the M-16 rigidly clamped to a bench. A He:Ne laser and a silicon detector were set on opposite sides of the rifle to allow radiation to pass through the thin slit left between the trigger housing group and the barrel/receiver group when the weapon was closed. The laser and the detector were positioned longitudinally, allowing the hammer to intercept the beam as it impacted the firing pin. The microphone was used to detect internal noises. The rifle was dryfired several times and data were recorded. Figure 23 presents a typical oscilloscope trace of the signal from the silicon detector and



비슷한 는 것은 원고 ?!?



> : T



FIG. 22. Acoustic, Recoil, and Muzzle Flash Instrumentation Responses Compared in Time. Horizontal scale, 5 ms/div.



FIG. 23. Acoustic Noise Compared With Hammer Action. Laser and detector positioned near bolt. Horizontal scale, 5 ms/div.

the microphone. Of significance is the indication that the hammer impacts the firing pin 8 milliseconds after the first noticeable acoustic signal. To confirm suspicions about the origin of the first noise pulse, the laser and the detector were moved aft to a point where the hammer would interrupt the beam just as it was released from the sear. Figure 24 shows that the first acoustic signal and the laser beam interruption occur simultaneously.



FIG. 24. Acoustic Noise Compared With Hammer Action. Laser and detector positioned away from bolt. Horizontal scale, 5 ms/div.

The foregoing demonstrated that an M-16 rifle presents an inherent effect of sufficient magnitude, and sufficiently before other disturbances, to trigger the signals required from the DFSS transmitter when the weapon is operated in the semiautomatic mode. Unfortunately, this effect does not present itself before each shot when the M-16 is operated in the automatic mode.

To determine if there might be some identifiable effect sufficient for transmitter triggering purposes when the weapon was operated in the automatic mode, the latter experiment mentioned was repeated using blank ammunition in four-round bursts; the muzzle flash signal was included. Figure 25 presents the resulting signals with a sweep time of 10 milliseconds/division. Events are noted that were deduced from inspection of the actuating mechanisms. To also meet the constraints of other system requirements, it would appear that acoustic means of obtaining a triggering signal is not possible. The action of the hammer, however, presents a clue as to how such a signal, at a proper time, might be obtained. The insertion of a microswitch or piezoelectric crystal, actuated by hammer release, could provide up to 8 milliseconds of transmission time before cartridge detonation and the subsequent rifle recoil.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated that muzzle blast from shoulder-type weapons does not irreparably disrupt communication signals from a DFSS device. However, the effect of rifle recoil presents a serious problem, 



ł

•

:

NWC TP 4791

4 - 4

as defined by the graphs of line-of-sight displacement, velocity, and resultant position components. These curves indicate a significant inverse relationship between rifle recoil and the probability of message detection. Analysis failed to reveal a quiet zone and the curves of extrema substantiated this fact by indicating a high degree of uncertainty in the line of sight of the rifle after discharge. Any set of data may be considered as typical since there was little repeatability from shot to shot. In addition, transmission time studies, as related to various mechanical functions of an M-16 rifle, were investigated. These investigations indicate that modification of the weapon to include a piezoelectric crystal or microswitch, to be triggered by the hammer release, could provide the necessary time required for message transmission.

Ē

; "

\_| \_| }.

1

\*\*\*

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

3 Naval Air Systems Command AIR-5333E (1) AIR-604 (2) 2 Naval Ordnance Systems Command (ORD-9132) 1 Office of Naval Research (Code 421, F. Isakson) 1 Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville 1 Naval Avionics Facility, Indianapolis 1 Naval Electronics Laboratory Center, San Diego 1 Haval Missile Center, Point Mugu 1 Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak 1 Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey 1 Naval Research Laboratory 1 Army Combat Developments Command, Experimentation Command, Fort Ord 1 Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth 1 Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal 1 Aberdeen Proving Ground 1 Army Electronic Proving Ground, Fort Huachuca 1 Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center, Fort Belvoir 1 White Sands Missile Range 20 Defense Documentation Center 1 Aerojet-General Corporation, Azusa, Calif., via NPRO 1 Aerospace Corporation, Los Angeles 1 Applied Physics Laboratory JHU, Silver Spring 1 Autonetics, A Division of North American Rockwell Corporation, Anaheim, Calif. 1 Baird-Atomic, Inc., Bedford, Mass. 1 Barnes Engineering Company, Stamford, Conn. 1 Convair Division of General Dynamics, San Diego 1 General Dynamics Corporation, Pomona Division, Pomona, Calif. 1 Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation, Bethpage, N.Y. 1 Holobeam Incorporated, Paramus, N.J. 1 Hughes Aircraft Company, Culver City, Calif. 1 IIT Research Institute, Chicago 1 Institute for Defense Analyses, Arlington 1 Institute of Science and Technology, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor (IRIA) 1 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, CIT, Pasadena 1 Ling-Temco-Vought, Inc., Vought Aeronautics Division, Dallas 1 Lockheed-California Company, Burbank 1 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory 1 McDonnell Douglas Corporation, St. Louis 1 Midwest Research Institute, Kansas City 1 Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Company, Minneapolis 1 North American Rockwell Corporation, Downey, Calif. 1 Northrop Corporation, Norair Division, Hawthorne, Calif. 1 Santa Barbara Research Center, Goleta, Calif.

UNCLASSIFIED

í

ł,

| Security Classification                                                                                |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DOCUMENT CO                                                                                            | INTROL DATA - R&D                                                                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ORIGINATIN & ACTIVITY (Corporate author)                                                             | 24. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION                                                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naval Weapons Center                                                                                   | Unclassified                                                                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| China Lake, California 93555                                                                           | 25 GROUP                                                                                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S REPORT TITLE                                                                                         |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RIFLE RECOIL AND MUZZLE SHOCK EFFEC                                                                    | TS UPON A WAR GAMES OPTICAL                                                                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNICATIONS CONCEPT                                                                                 |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. DUSCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)                                              |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S AUTHOR(S) (Leet name, first name, initial)<br>Ronnor in William I                                    |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Craig. George L.                                                                                       |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. REPORT DATE<br>October 1969                                                                         | 76 TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 76. NO. OF REFS                                                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.                                                                               | Se ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)                                                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | NWC TP 4791                                                                                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. PROJECT NO.                                                                                         |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MIPER USACDCEC # 5-68                                                                                  |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| u<br>L                                                                                                 | The report                                                                                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d                                                                                                      |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL EXPOR<br>GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN NATIONALS MAY BE<br>WEADONS CENTED | <pre>   CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL TO FOREIGN MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE NAVAL </pre> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY                                                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | Naval Air Systems Command                                                                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | Naval Material Command                                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | Washington, D.C. 20360                                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 ABSTRACT                                                                                            |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This report presents the result                                                                        | ts of experiments conducted                                                                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to determine the origin, magnitude.                                                                    | and characteristics of signal                                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| interference in the Direct Fire Sin                                                                    | nulation System (DFSS) communi-                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cations caused by weapon recoil and                                                                    | 1 shock wave effects. The two                                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| effects were considered independent                                                                    | ly and were investigated using                                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| an M-1 (.30 caliber) and an M-16 (.                                                                    | .233 caliber) rifle. Of primary                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| concern in this investigation were                                                                     | the effects of blank ammunition                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| although a limited amount of data w                                                                    | vas gathered on ball ammunition.                                                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission time intervals require                                                                    | ed for sending and receiving a                                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| coded message, as related to variou                                                                    | is mechanical aspects of the                                                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rifles, were also investigated.                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        |                                                                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DD FORM 1473 0101-807-6800                                                                             | UNCLASSIFIED                                                                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | Security Classification                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                                                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

UNCLASSIFIED

#### Security Classification LINK A LINK B LINK C 14 KEY WORDS ROLE WT ROLE ROLE WT WT Atmospheric Optical Interference Direct Fire Simulation System Optical Communications System Weapon Recoil Effects Shock Wave Effects

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

25. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200, 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorised.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title .n all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, furst name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count shou. 2 follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: En., r the total number of references cited in the report.

8s. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9e. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualitied requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. mulitary agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

#### UNCLASSIFIED

#### Security Classification