UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD854541

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; NOV 1968. Other requests shall be referred to Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA.

AUTHORITY

NWC ltr 24 Mar 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

PREDICTION TECHNIQUES FOR PRESSURE AND HEAT-TRANSFER DISTRIBUTIONS OVER BODIES OF REVOLUTION IN 5454HIGH-SUBSONIC TO LOW-SUPERSONIC FLIGHT

by

L. K. Isaacson and J. W. Jones University of Utah

for the Weapons Development Department



ABSTRACT. A literature survey and an evaluation of applicable literature concerning pressure and heat-transfer discributions over bodies of revolution in high-subsonic to low-supersonic flight is presented. Methods for determining the pressure distribution on bodies of revolution are discussed and compared with experimental data. Variations of the modified Newtonian method may be applied to a large class of bodies of revolution for free-stream Mach numbers greater than 0.75.

Appropriate experimental laminar and turbulent heat-transfer data for a blunt-body vehicle at flight Mach numbers between 1.6 and 5 are compared with calculated values. Experimental data could not be found for blunt-body turbulent heat transfer in the free-stream Mach number range from 0.7 to 1.6.

An extensive bibliography covering prediction techniques and experimental data on pressure and heat-transfer distributions is included as the appendix.



X

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA * NOVEMBER 1968

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL EXPORT CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN NATIONALS MAY BE MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER.

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER AN ACTIVITY OF THE NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND

M. R. Etheridge, Capt., USN Commonder Thomas S. Amlie, Ph.D. Technical Director

FOREWORD

This report documents an effort to determine engineering methods for the calculation of pressure and heat-transfer coefficient distributions that are both convenient in application and accurate in their predictions as exemplified by agreement with the available experimental data.

The work was performed at the University of Utah during the period from August 1966 to April 1968. This study was made under Contract N60530-67-C-0046 with the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, Calif. Leo D. Schultz was the technical coordinator, and funds were provided through WepTask RMGA-81-039/216/F009-10-01.

Technical reviewers for this report were Leo D. Schultz, of the Weapons Development Department; and Richard D. Ulrich, of the Propulsion Development Department.

Release of this report is made at the working level for information only.

Released by R. W. VAN AKEN, Head, Aeromechanics Division 1 November 1968 Under authority of F. H. KNEMEYER, Head. Weapons Development Department

NWC Technical Publication 4570



CONTENTS

Notation	ív
Section 1. Introduction	1
Section 2. Pressure Distribution on Bodies of Revolution Modified Newtonian Theory Example 1. Modified Newtonian/Shock-Expansion Method Example 2. Equation 15 Perturbation Theory Example of Linearized Perturbation Theory Similarity Rules Computer Techniques	3 3 17 27 29 37 42 45
Section 3. Heat-Transfer Distribution on Blunt Bodies of Revolution	46 51 68 73 76 77 79 82
Conclusions Pressure Distributions Heat-Transfer Distributions	83 83 84
Appendix: Bibliography	86
References	141

18

1

Minder of the train

NOTATION

В	Velocity gradient at the stagnation point
° _f	Local skin-friction coefficient, $2\tau \sqrt{\rho u^2}$
с _н	Local Stanton number, $\dot{q}_w / \rho_e u_e \left(h_{aw} - h_w \right)$
c _H	Local Stanton number based on reference conditions, $\dot{q}_{w}/\rho^{\star}u_{e}(h_{aw} - h_{w})$
С _р	Pressure coefficient = $(P - P_{\alpha})/(\gamma/2) P_{\alpha}M_{\alpha}^{2}$
с _р	Specific heat at constant pressure
d	Body diameter at base of nose section
F	A function, defined by context
h	Enthalpy
ħ	h/h _s e
h aw	Adiabatic wall enthalpy
К	$M_{\infty}(d/L)$
k	Thermal conductivity
L	Length of nose section
l	Length of body
М	Mach number
Nu	Local Nusselt number based on reference conditions, $\dot{q}_w(c_p^*) s/k^*(h_{aw} - h_w)$
P	Pressure at the surface of the body
Pr	Prandtl number, 0.71, $\mu c_p/k$

iv

ġ	Heat transfer per unit area per unit time
R	Radius of body cross section normal to the axis of symmetry
Ř	$\operatorname{Re}_{s}^{l_{2}}$
Re	Reynolds number, $\rho_{s_e}^{\nu_{\infty}R_o/\mu}$ e
* Re	Local Reynolds number based on reference conditions, $\rho^* u_e s/\mu^*$
Ro	Hemisphere radius
ī	r _o /R _o
r _b	Body radius at base of nose section
r c	Radius of blunting at tip of nose section
ro	Radial distance from axis of symmetry
S	Area of cross section normal to axis of symmetry
S	Wetted length from stagnation point
- S	s R o
Т	Temperature
u	Velocity
ū	u/u _e
^u 1	Perturbation velocity, x component
^u 2	Perturbation velocity, y component
^u 3	Perturbation velocity, z component
x	Axial distance from nose

.

ν

γ	Ratio of specific heats
θ	Angle between flight direction and radius vector from center curvature of nose
θ _b	Local slope of body surface relative to the axis of symmetry (the angle between tangent to body surface and the axis of symmetry)
μ	Viscosity
μ	μ _e /μ _s
ν	Prandtl-Meyer angle
ρ	Mass density
ρ	^p e ^{/p} se
ິ se	^P s [/] p _s ^h s ^e e
τ	Characteristic body parameter, d/l
τ w	Wall shearing stress
Ф	Velocity potential
φ	First-order perturbation velocity potential
Ø	Second-order perturbation velocity potential

vi

ř.

Subscripts

C CONVERSION CULCTURE FO FILL DOUTIONT ANY C	e	Conditions	external	to	the	boundary	laye
--	---	------------	----------	----	-----	----------	------

- N Conditions at nose of pointed body
- s Stagnation conditions
- t Total conditions
- w Wall conditions
- ∞ Free-stream conditions
- 2 Conditions evaluated behind a shock wave

Superscripts

- *

Denotes conditions evaluated at the state corresponding to the reference enthalpy

1

Section 1.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to present a review of the available methods for determining the pressure distribution on bodies of revolution in the subsonic, transonic, and supersonic flight regimes and a comparison of the results of these methods with available experimental pressure distributions. In Section 2, the methods discussed are those that are most useful as "engineering" tools and provide the pressure distribution with a minimum of effort. These methods are

- 1. Modified Newtonian theory:
 - a. An empirically modified approach applicable in the range $M_{\infty} = 0.75$ to $M_{\infty} = 2.0$
 - b. A hybrid method combining the modified Newtonian and shock-expansion theories for the range $M_m > 1.5$
- 2. Perturbation theory as developed by Van Dyke and Sprieter
- 3. Similarity rules.

Methods 2 and 3 may be applied over the entire range of Mach numbers. However, these methods require considerably more effort than does Method 1.

In addition, brief reference is made to the most successful of computer techniques used for determining pressure distribution for blunt bodies in supersonic flow.

Section 3 presents a review of the engineering methods of analysis for the convective heating of blunt-body vehicles in the high-subsonic to low-supersonic flight regimes. Comparison of these simplified analytical methods with appropriate experimental data is also presented.

The objective of Section 3 is to present methods of prediction that are both convenient in their utilization and accurate in their agreement with available experimental data. These methods of prediction are (1) of convective heat transfer at the stagnation point of a blunt-body vehicle, and (2) of distribution of the heat transfer over the surface of the vehicle. With this objective in mind, a literature search was performed to locate both the methods of analysis and the appropriate experimental data.

2

Considerable effort has been devoted to determining the pressure distribution over bodies of revolution in subsonic and supersonic flight. With the exception of a few notable early efforts, the bulk of the work has been done during the past 20 years. The appendix of this report presents a bibliography covering both theoretical developments and available experimental pressure distribution data. This bibliography comprises 567 entries.

Section 2

PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ON BODIES OF REVOLUTION

MODIFIED NEWTONIAN THEORY

The central hypothesis of Newtonian flow theory is that the component of momentum of the oncoming flow normal to the body surface is transferred to the body while the tangential component remains unchanged. Various authors (Ref. 1) have pointed out that Newtonian theory is reached only through a limiting process as $M_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 1$. Cole (Ref. 2) presents a more detailed examination of the relationship between Newtonian theory and gas dynamics; but again, he concludes that Newtonian theory is applicable only for large Mach numbers. Based on these conclusions, Newtonian theory was considered only as a limiting case and was originally used only to describe pressure distributions in the hypersonic flight regime (Ref. 1, 2, 3). However, it was soon found from experimental data that in a modified form, application was valid also in the supersonic flight regime (Ref. 4-9).

In its original form the Newtonian concept provided a coefficient of pressure in the form

$$C_{p} = 2 \sin^{2} \theta_{b}$$
 (1)

This relationship indicates dependence only on the local slope of the surface, θ_b . Agreement with experiment was not achieved, however, until Lees (Ref. 3) suggested a very useful modification of this expression in the form

$$C_{p} = C_{p} \sin^{2}\theta_{b}$$
(2)

In Eq. 2, C is the maximum value of the coefficient of pressure pmax

on the body surface. For a blunt body in supersonic flow, the maximum value of C_p is at the stagnation point, and its value may be conveniently computed from the following expression:

$$C_{p_{max}} = \frac{{\binom{P_{t_2}}{P_{\infty}}}^{-1}}{(\gamma/2) M^2}$$
(3)

where $P_{t_2}^{P_{\infty}}$ is determined from the Rayleigh formula:

$$P_{t_2}/P_{\infty} = \left[\frac{(\gamma+1)M_{\infty}^2}{2}\right]^{\gamma/\gamma-1} \left[\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma!t_{\infty}^2 - (\gamma-1)}\right]^{1/\gamma-1}$$
(4)

Values of C computed by using Eq. 3 and 4 are compared with experi- P_{max} mental values in Fig. 1. Figure 1 demonstrates an excellent agreement between theory and experiment. As M_w becomes large, computed values of C for air approach 1.83 rather than 2. P_{max}



FIG. 1. Maximum Coefficient of Pressure for Blunt Bodies of Revolution as a Function of Mach Number.

Oliver (Ref. 10) presents the following simple formula which may be used for determining C if $M_{\infty} \ge 2$: P_{max}

$$C_{p_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\gamma+3}{\gamma+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma+3} & \frac{1}{M_{\infty}^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

From Eq. 2, 3, 4, and 5, C_p is seen to be a function of M_{∞} and γ , as well as of θ_b . Comparison of Eq. 2 with experimental data for hemispherically capped cylinders is presented in Fig. 2. The Mach numbers of the data ranged from 1.97 to 4.76. Equation 2 represents the data quite adequately.



FIG. 2. Distribution of Pressure Coefficient Ratio Over a Hemispherical Nose, $M_{\infty} \ge 1.97$.

For bodies of revolution which are only slightly blunted or for a pointed body, the modified Newtonian method may also be applied if C is computed as suggested by Love (Ref. 6): P_{max}

$$C_{p_{max}} = C_{p_{N}} / \sin^{2} \theta_{N}$$
 (6)

where

from tables of conical flow (Ref. 11) for a cone semivertex angle, θ_N , corresponding to the local slope at the nose of the pointed body.

An example of what is meant by an "only slightly blunted" body would be a hemispherically capped ogive with a cap radius that is small relative to the maximum cross-section radius of the ogive (say r_c/r_b less than approximately 0.2). For such a case, the C_p at the stagnation point will correspond to that of Eq. 3, but the distribution away from the stagnation point must be determined on the basis of the C given by P_{max}

Eq. 6 for an equivalent pointed body (Ref. 12). By way of explanation, an equivalent pointed body is that pointed body which would exist if the nose were not blunted.



Love (Ref. 6) presents a very interesting comparison of this generalized Newtonian theory (Eq. 2 and 6) with experimental data for a variety of bodies of revolution over Mach number ranges from 2 to 7. This comparison is reproduced in Fig. 3 with the scatter in the data indicated by the band width.

The modified or generalized Newtonian prediction begins to deviate from experimental values as the local slope approaches zero, or as a point of discontinuity in slope is approached. Lees and Kubota (Ref. 13) suggest that in such a region, a local shock-expansion method be used to predict the distribution. To accomplish this the modified Newtonian equation

$$C_{p} = C_{max} \sin^{2} \theta_{b}$$



FIG. 3. Love's Correlation for Generalized Newtonian Theory.

and the Prandtl-Meyer relation

$$\frac{1}{P}\left(\frac{dP}{d\theta_{b}}\right) = \gamma M^{2}/\sqrt{M^{2}-1}$$
(7)

are matched at the point on the nose surface where both the pressure and pressure gradient, predicted by these two solutions, are equal. This method was further investigated by Wagner (Ref. 14), Jones (Ref. 15), and Blick and Francis (Ref. 16). Blick and Francis suggest the following formula for determining the matching angle in the Mach number range 2 to 15:

$$\theta_{\rm m} = 0.349 + \left[0.198 + 0.80 \left(M_{\infty} - 2.8 \right)^{-0.455} \right]$$
(8)
$$\cos^{-1} \left[1.05 / (\ln M_{\infty}) \right]$$

Vendemia (Ref. 9) presents an excellent summary of this hybrid modified Newtonian/shock-expansion method. A number of comparisons of the method with experimental data or "exact" theoretical methods for ogives, Von Karman minimum drag, and 3/4 power law bodies in the Mach range $M_{\infty} = 1.5$ to 6 and for hemispheres in the $M_{\infty} = 1.82$ to 4.76 range are presented in Ref. 9. Two of these comparisons are reproduced as Fig. 4 and 5. These figures show that the agreement between the present theory and experiment or the more "exact" theory is excellent.



FIG. 4. Comparison of Modified Newtonian/Shock-Expansion Method With Method of Characteristic Solution for Tangent Ogive Bodies at Supersonic Mach Numbers.

Vendemia also developed the following expression to determine the matching point for the modified Newtonian and shock-expansion methods. From

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2}\theta_{b} = \frac{P - P_{\infty}}{(\gamma/2)P_{m}M_{m}^{2}}$$



FIG. 5. Comparison of Modified Newtonian/ Shock-Expansion Method With Experimental Data for Von Karman Minimum Drag Body.

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{dP}{d\theta_{b}} = \frac{(\gamma/2) M_{\infty}^{2}C_{p_{max}} \sin 2\theta_{b}}{1 + (\gamma/2) M_{\infty}^{2} C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2}\theta_{b}}$$
(9)

Computations are then made to determine the matching point θ_m where P and $dP/d\theta_b$ are the same from both equations. Vendemia then presented the results in graphical form as a plot of the matching angle θ_m versus M_∞ for hemispheres and hemisphere cones. The result is reproduced in Fig. 6. It should be noted that for low free-stream Mach numbers, there is no point at which both the pressure and pressure gradient match, for the two methods and the curve of Fig. 6 have been extrapolated in this area. Vendemia (Ref. 9) found that the matching point on a tangent ogive can be determined from the following empirical equation:

$$(x'/L')_{m} = 0.60 + 0.15K \text{ for } K < 2.667$$
 (10)

where

 $K = M_{m} (d/L)$

This equation may also be applied to slightly blunted ogives by relating the $(x'/L')_m$ computed from Eq. 10, which would be the nondimensional distance from the nouse of the equivalent pointed ogive to the corresponding point on the blunted ogive.



For blunted ogives where r_c/r_b is considerably greater than 0.2, an alternative method of determining the pressure distribution, to be discussed shortly, will be more effective.



FIG. 6. Matching-Point Angle for Hemisphere or Hemisphere-Cone Body. (Vendemia graph, Ref. 9.)

For predicting the pressure over a cylindrical afterbody, Vendemia (Ref. 9) gives the following equation:

$$C_{p} = C_{p(x/L=1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta x/L}{K}\right)$$
(11)

where $\Delta x/L$ is the nondimensional distance from the base of the nose where

x/L = 1

The method outlined above, using Eq. 2, 6, 10, and 11, has been applied to a hemispherically capped ogive body and is compared with experimental data (Ref. 12) in Fig. 7 and 8. These figures illustrate the usefulness of this method in obtaining good results. It should also be noted that Eq. 3 again gives the correct value of Cp at the stagnation point. The calculations for Fig. 8 are given as Example 1, page 17.



FIG. 7. Pressure Distribution on a Spherically Tipped Tangent Ogive Body.

A further significant application of modified Newtonian theory was carried out by Andrews (Ref. 8). For the case of a hemisphere cylinder, an empirical modifying function was added to the modified Newtonian





expression, Eq. 2, and agreement was achieved with experimental data from Ref. 8 and 17 for a Mach number range from 0.75 to 2.0. The equation used was

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2} \theta_{b} + F(\theta_{b}, M)$$
(12)

where

$$F(\theta_{b},M) = \left\{0.78 \ M_{\infty}^{-2.27} \ \sin \theta_{b} - 0.95 \ \exp[-2.235 \ (M_{\infty}-1)]\right\} \cos \theta_{b}$$

This equation is presented in Fig. 9 and 10 and compared with experimental results (Ref. 5, 8, 9, and 17).

In the subsonic regime, C for blunt bodies is computed from the isentropic relation pmax

$$C_{p_{max}} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_{\infty}^{2}\right)^{\gamma/\gamma - 1} - 1}{(\gamma/2) M_{\infty}^{2}}$$
(13)

This equation is compared with experimental results in Fig. 1.





FIG. 9. Comparison of Eq. 12 With Experimental Data for Hemisphere Cylinders.

A modified form of Eq. 11 may also be used in combination with Eq. 2 or 12 to predict the pressure distribution on a hemisphere cone combination in the supersonic regime. The pressure distribution for the hemispherical nose is determined by using either Eq. 2 or 12 with Eq. 3. The cone tables (Ref. 11) are then used to determine the pressure coefficient for a cone, C , with a semivertex angle, θ_N , equal to the slope



FIG. 10. Comparison of Eq. 12 With Experimental Data for Hemisphere Cylinders.

of the conical section of the body of interest. This will be the value of C reached on the cone surface some distance downstream of the p_c

hemisphere-cone junction. The pressure distribution on the conical section can then be approximated:

$$C_{p} = \Delta C_{p(j-c)} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta s/l}{K}\right)$$
(14)

where

 $C_{p_j} = C_{p_j}$ from Eq. 2 at the hemisphere-cone junction

$$C_{p_{c}} = C_{p_{c}}$$
 for a cone of θ_{N}

The use of this approach is illustrated by comparison with experimental data (Ref. 18) in Fig. 11 and 12.

For a blunt body with other than a hemispherical nose, Eq. 12 may be used with good results if the function F is multiplied by the fineness parameter (r/L):

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2} \theta_{b} + (r_{b}/L) F(\theta_{b}, M)$$
(15)

 $r_{\rm h}$ = radius at the base of the nose section

L = axial distance from stagnation point
 to nose base

 $F(\theta_{h}, M) = same as that in Eq. 12$

Eq. 15 indicates that the influence of the modifying function $F(\theta_b, M_{\infty})$ decreases as the body becomes more slender.

This equation was used to compute the pressure distribution on a body having an elliptical nose with $r_b/I = 0.61$. The results are compared with experimental data (Ref. 19) in Fig. 13. Equation 15 was also used to predict the distribution on a prolate spheroid (ellipsoid of revolution) with $r_b/L = 0.167$, and the results are compared with experimental data (Ref. 20) in Fig. 14. From Fig. 14, note that the pressure gradient is predicted quite well, even though the actual







FIG. 12. Pressure Distribution on a Hemispherically Capped 15-Deg Cone.

1 1 2

16





FIG. 13. Pressure Distribution on an Ellipsoid of Revolution-Nosed Cylinder.

magnitude of C_p from Eq. 15 and experiments differ somewhat. As an example of this approach, the calculations corresponding to Fig. 13 are presented on page 27.

The chief advantage of the Newtonian method is that with a minimum of effort, good accuracy is available.

Table 1 presents a summary of the available pressure distribution data.

EXAMPLE 1: MODIFIED NEWTONIAN/SHOCK-EXPANSION METHOD

As a numerical example of the modified Newtonian/shock-expansion method, consider again the data shown in Fig. 7 for M_{∞} = 2.17. The body





is a spherically capped ogive with $r_c/r_b = 0.158$. By assuming that this body may be treated in terms of an equivalent pointed body, the pressure distribution may be computed as follows:

1. The coefficient of the pressure at the stagnation point (Fig. 1) is given as $C_p = 1.70$.

2. To determine the distribution away from the stagnation region, first determine $\theta_{_N}$ for the equivalent pointed body. For an ogive,

$$\sin \theta = \frac{L - x}{R}$$

where

L = length of ogive

x = axial distance from the nose

R = radius of ogive surface curvature (in the x-y plane)

Data Source	Body Configuration	Nach Number	Test Reynolds Number/pt	Theoretical Comparison
Andraws, J. S. (AD 465-140, Boeing D2- 22947-1; 1964)	Hemisphere cylinder	0.8 0.9 1.0	$\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 6.0 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 6.1 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 6.2 \cdot 10^{6} \end{array}$	Equation 12.
(Reported in Andrews but original source is Morris and Grobli (16))		1.076	Not reported	- ·
Baer, A. L. (AD 261-501, AEDC TN 61- 96; 1961)	Hessisphere cylinder	1.79 1.99 3.00 4.03 5.06 6.03 8.10	$\begin{array}{r} 2.02 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 4.2 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 5.3 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 6.1 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 4.4 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 2.5 \cdot 10^{6} \end{array}$	Modified Newtonian Equation
Buglia, J. J. (MASA TN D955; 1961)	Hemisphere cone ⁰ cone = 14°30'	Free flight 1.97 . to 3.16	Approximately 10 · 10 ⁶	Modified Newtonian
Carter, H. S. and Bressette, W. E. (NACA RM L57C18: 1957)	Remisphere cone Flat-Faced cone	2.0 2.0	$ 14 \cdot 10^{6} 14 \cdot 10^{5} $	None
Chauvin, L. T. (MACA RM L52K06; 1952)	Hemisphere cone	2.05 - 2.54 3.04	$\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 4.57 \cdot 10^{6} \\ 4.16 \cdot 10^{6} \end{array}$	None
Estabrook, B. B. (AEDC TR-59-12, AD 216 698)	20° Cone Cylinder and parabolic arc- cylinder	0.70 0.80 0.90 0.95 1.00 1.025 1.050	Hangefrom 1.36 x 10 ⁶ to 4.0 x 10 ⁶	Compared with the- pretical come data
		1.10 1.15 1.20 1.30		
Gardiner, G. K. (AD 461 744, Litton Sys- tems, HADES-12-65-1; 1965)	Hemisphere cone (s _c /r _b 2 0.07)	0.3 0.5 0.65 0.8 0.9 1.0	2×10^{6} 3.14 x 10 ⁶ 3.3 x 10 ⁶ 4.15 x 10 ⁶ 4.30 x 10 ⁶ 4.40 x 10 ⁶ 4.40 x 10 ⁶	None
·····		1.2	4.38 x 10 ⁶ 4.30 x 10 ⁶	
Graham, F. J. and Butler, C. B. (AD 240 084, AEDC TN 60- 128; 1960)	Hemisphere cone cylinder and el- lipsoide cylinder	0.50 0.80 0.85 0.90 0.95 1.00 1.05 1.10 1.20 1.60	Eange of Ra 4.0 - 10 ⁶ to 7.2 - 10 ⁶	None
Katz, J. R. (AD 159 801, NAVORD 85849; 1958)	Hemisphere cylinder Hemisphere cone	0.26 0.49 0.69 0.83 1.22 1.6 2.15 2.48 4.20 3.12	(Re not reported)	Modified Newtonian
		5.64		

A SUBSCRIPTION OF

TABLE 1. Pressure-Distribution Data

19

.

Data, <u>Source</u>	Body Configuration	Hach Number	Reynolds Humber/ft	Theoretical Comparison
Lord, D. R. and Ulman, E. F. (KACA RM L51L20; 1952)	Ogive Cylinder	4.04		Perturbation the- ory and method of characteristics
Love, E. S. (Journal of Aerospace Sciences, May 1959)	Hemisphere	1.97 3.8 5.8	(Renot reported)	Modified Newtonia Equation
	Color 0 = 45°	6.9	-	Generalized Nev-
	$\frac{1}{\frac{\log \log (\frac{1}{2} + 4)}{\log \log (\frac{1}{2} + 4)}}$ and $\ln(4/L) = 0.5$ Cone $\theta_{\rm m} = \pm 1^{\circ}$			tonian Equation Exact theoretical methods compared with Generalized Newtonian Theory Equation
Matthews, C. W.	Prolate spheroid	0.3	2.7 • 10%	Prendtl-Glauret
(NACA TH 2519, 1954	1/4 - 6,10	0.6		correction to in-
		0.9	to	tential flow solu
		0.92	2.05 . 105	tion
Michols, J. H.	8.5 diameter and	0.94	approximately	None
(AD 225 362 362, AEDC-	10.0 diameter cone	0.95	4.106	
TH-59-100)	cylinder and 8.5 diumeter orive cy-	1.00		
	linder	1.10		
		1.20	•	
		1.40		
		1.50		
Okeuchi, K.	Bemispherically-	0.75	(le not reported)	None
(AD 291 599, MAVWEPS	capped ogive	0.85		
H BO44; 1962) [see also Johanson, V. F., HUTS TH 4061-77; 1961]	(F /F = 0.16)	1.05		
		1.15	4	
		2.17		Van Dyke
		3.24 4.84	7	Method of Charac- teristics
Pearson, A. O.	Hemisphere cone	0.25	3.0 x 10 ⁶	None
(MASA TH D1961; 1963)		0.40	2.3	
		0.60	3.1	
		0.85	3.8	
		0.90	3.9	
		1.00	4.1	
		1.05	4.1	
		1.10	4.2	
		1.20	4.2	
		1.47	3.0	
		1.90	3.0	
Perkins, E. W., Jorgensen,	Hemisphere cylinder	1.97	4.106	Newtonian Theory
(MACA R 1386; 1958		3,80	4.106	squarion 1
	Hemisphere cone, 6 =	1.50	4.1 · 10 ⁶	Cone Theory
	• 33	3.10	4 - 106	
	Hemisphere cone, 8 -	1.54	4.1 . 105	
	2.10.	1.97	4.14 - 10*	
Spreiter, J. R. and Alkane,	Parabolic arc bodies	0.80	(Re not reported)	Perturbation
A. I. (MASA TE-2: 1959)	x/d = 0, 10, and 6/2	0.85		theory
		1.0		
	Cones	1.0	2.100	Neutrant on Theory
K. (CACA TN 3344; 1954)	Hemisphere Cylinder	3.04	2.8 · 10 ⁶ to 4 · 10 ⁶	Equation 1 and Incompressible
		3.80	2,84 • 106	Theory

e di

TABLE 1. (Continued)

.

20

From the body geometry, L may be determined from the given values r_b and R_c .

$$\sin \psi = L/R$$
$$\psi = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{R - rb}{R} \right)$$

If

then

 ψ = 27 deg

and

L = 2.50 inches $\theta_{\rm N}$ = local slope at the nose (x = 0)

$$\sin \theta_{\rm N} = \frac{\rm L - 0}{\rm R} = 0.454$$

$$\theta_{\rm N} = 27 \, \deg$$



3. Values for C_{P_N} and for $(P/P_t)_N$ may be found in Ref. 11, or in

the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics publication, NACA Report 1135, Washington, D. C., 1953; for example,

$$C_{p_N} = 0.53 \text{ and } (P/P_t)_N = 0.29$$

From Eq. 6,

$$C_{p_{max}} = C_{p_N} / \sin^2 \theta_N = 2.59$$

4. Modified Newtonian theory gives:

ж/D	C	D	
	Theory	Experimen	nt
0	1.70	1.68	(Stagnation value, Fig. 1)
0.046	0.46	0.46	-
0.325	0.34	0.36	
0.604	0.24	0.26	
0.883	0.15	0.16	
1.161	0.084	0.085	
1.440	0.037	0.030	
1.719	0.012	-0.03	
1.999	0	-0.08	

One sees that the modified Newtonian theory begins to deviate significantly from experimental data as θ becomes small. This was expected.

5. The next step is to find the point beyond which the shock-expansion method should be used. Equation 10 may be used:

$$(x'/L')_{m} = 0.60 + 0.15K$$

 $K = M_{\infty}(d/L) = 2.17 \left(\frac{1.184}{2.50}\right)$
 $K = 1.03$
 $(x'/L')_{m} = 0.60 + 0.15(1.03) = 0.75$
 $x'_{m} = 1.87$

 $x_{m} = 1.74$ (x/d)_m = 1.47

6. Compute C at the matching point using modified Newtonian theory: P

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2} \theta_{mp}$$

$$C_{p} = 2.59 \left(\frac{L' - x'}{R}\right)^{2}$$

$$= 2.59 \left(\frac{2.50 - 1.87}{5.534}\right)^{2}$$

7. From the definition of
$$C_{p}$$
,

$$C_{p} = \frac{P - P_{\infty}}{(\gamma/2)P_{\infty}M_{\infty}^{2}} = \frac{P - P_{\infty}}{q_{\infty}} = \frac{P}{P_{t}} \left(\frac{P_{t}}{q_{\infty}}\right)_{N} - \frac{P_{\infty}}{q_{\infty}}$$

Substituting

$$P_t = P_t$$
 along the cone surface

and

$$C_{P_{N}} = \frac{P_{N} - P_{\infty}}{q_{\infty}} = \frac{\left(P/P_{t}\right)_{N} - \left(P_{\infty}/P_{t}\right)_{N}}{\left(q_{\infty}/P_{t}\right)_{N}}$$

one obtains

$$C_{p} = \frac{P}{P_{t}} \frac{C_{p_{N}} + P_{\infty}/q_{\infty}}{\left(\frac{P}{P_{t}}\right)_{N}} - \frac{P_{\infty}}{q_{\infty}}$$

and

$$\frac{P}{P_{t}} = \left(C_{p} + P_{\infty}/q_{\infty} \right) \frac{\left(P/P_{t} \right)_{N}}{C_{p_{N}} + P_{\infty}/q_{\infty}}$$

From these equations, the shock-expansion method may be started. For the first increment, use $C_p = 0.033$ at x/d = 1.47 (modified Newtonian value).

$$(P/P_t)_1 = 0.033 + 0.30 \left(\frac{0.29}{0.56 + 0.30}\right)$$

88

$$P_{m}/q_{m} = 2/\gamma M^{2}_{m} = 0.30$$

From a table of Prandtl-Meyer, functions for $P/P_t = 0.112$, $v_1 = 28.56$.

8. Compute the C_p at the next station downstream, Station 2, as follows:

$$\Delta \theta = |\theta_2 - \theta|$$

$$v_2 = v_1 + |3.47 - 6.5| = 28.56 + 3.03 = 31.59$$

.

From the tables,

$$\binom{P/P_t}{2} = 0.095$$

$$C_{P_2} = \binom{P/P_t}{2} \frac{C_{P_N} + P_{\infty}/q_{\infty}}{(P/P_t)_N} - \frac{P_{\infty}/q_{\infty}}{P_2}$$

$$C_{P_2} = (0.095) \frac{0.56 + 0.30}{0.29} - 0.30$$

$$C_{P_2} = 0.28 - 0.30 = -0.02$$

Repeat the process for the next station, Station 3:

 $P/P_{t} = 0.095$ $v_{2} = 31.59$ $v_{2} = 31.59 + |\theta_{3} - \theta_{2}| = 31.59 + |0 - 3.47|$ $v_{3} = 35.06$ $\left(P/P_{t}\right)_{3} = 0.0763$ $C_{p_{3}} = 0.0763 (2.96) - 0.30$ $C_{p_{3}} = -0.074$

9. For the cylindrical portion of the body, use Eq. 11:

$$C_{P_4} = C_{P_3} \exp(-\Delta x/LK)$$

$$\frac{\Delta x}{L} = \frac{\Delta x/p}{L/p} = \frac{2.337 - 1.999}{2.111}$$

$$K = 1.03$$

$$\frac{\Delta x}{LK} = \frac{0.338}{2.111(1.03)} = 0.155$$

$$\exp(0.155) = 1.167$$

$$C_{P_4} = \frac{-0.074}{1.167} = -0.063$$

$$C_{P_5} = -0.074 \exp(-0.658/2.175) = \frac{0.074}{1.353}$$

$$C_{P_5} = -0.054$$

The results of this process may be summarized as follows:

x/D	C	D
	Calculation	Experiment
0	1.70	1.68
0.046	0.46	0.46
0.325	0.34	0.36
0.604	0.24	0.26
0.883	0.15	0.16
1.161	0.084	0.085
1.440	0.037	0.030
1.47	0.033	
1.719	-0.020	-0.025
1.999	-0.074	-0.060
2.337	-0.063	-0.050
2.657	-0.054	-0.040

The calculations and data appear in Fig. 7.

EXAMPLE 2: EQUATION 15

As an example of the use of Eq. 15, consider an eliptically nosed cylinder (see Fig. 13) R/L = 0.61 at $K_{\infty} = 0.90$:

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2}\theta_{b} + (R/L)F(\theta_{b}, M_{\infty})$$

1. From Fig. 1, C = 1.215 at
$$M_{\infty} = 0.9$$
.

2. For these coordinates,

$$\tan \theta = (R^2/L^2)(-x/r)$$

where

$$r = \sqrt{(R^2/L^2)(L^2-x^2)}$$



<u>-x</u>	$\theta_{b, deg}$	Pexp
2.684	68	1.075
2.359	45	0.42
2.033	33.5	0.05
1.464	20.7	-0.15
0.935	12.3	-0.58
0.488	6.25	-0.75

NWC TP	45	7	0	
--------	----	---	---	--

3.	For convenie	ence in computation	on, choose calc	culated points at
θ _b	sin ² 0b	C sin ² θ _b	$F\left(\theta_{b}, M_{\infty}\right)$	$\frac{(R/L)F(\theta_{b}, M_{\infty})}{}$
90	1.000	1.215	0.0	0
80	0.9698	1.18	-0.037	-0.024
70	0.8830	1.072	-0.088	-0.045
60	0.7500	0.911	-0.165	-0.10
50	0.5868	0.714	-0.276	-0.168
40	0./131	0.502	-0.422	-0.257
30	0.2500	0.304	-0.600	-0.368
20	0.1170	0.142	-0.798	-0.486
10	0.0301	0.037	-1.00	-0.61
0	0	0	-1.187	-0.724

<u>θ</u> <u>b</u>	C P	
	Calculation	Experiment
90	1.215	
80	1.133	
70	1.00	D B B 6 •
68		1.075
60	0.800	
50	0.536	••••
45		0.42
40	0.235	
33.5		0.05
30	-0.068	
20.7		-0.15
20	-0.345	• • • • •
12.3		-0.58
10	-0.574	
6.25		-0.75
0	-0.724	
•	** / 14-4	

These results are presented in Fig. 13.

28

.
PERTURBATION THEORY

For some bodies (pointed bodies or those which are treated in terms of equivalent pointed bodies, in the transonic regime for instance) and for Mach numbers other than those specified above as being ammenable to the modified Newtonian or the modified Newtonian/shock-expansion methods ($M_{\infty} < 0.7$), the most useful methods for solution are those of small perturbation theory as developed by Van Dyke (Ref. 21, 22, and 23) and Spreiter (Ref. 24 and 25). Somewhat simpler linearized theories have been developed by Laitone (Ref. 26 and 27) and Adams and Sears (Ref. 28).

Several texts (Ref. 29 and 30) contain explanations of and background material on perturbation theory. Van Dyke (Ref. 21 and 22) presents more detailed developments. The pertinent developments of the theory are fully presented in the cited references; only the equations directly employed in the computation of the pressure distribution will be presented here.

The application of perturbation theory begins with the description of the flow over a body by means of a velocity potential. This approach presumes irrotational flow. This idealization, however, seems to be justified by the results in the subsonic, transonic, and low-supersonic regions obtained by Van Dyke, Spreiter, and others (see Fig. 8, 14, and 15, and Ref. 21-30).



FIG. 15. Pressure Distribution on a Parabolic Arc Body, $\ell/d = 6$.

NHC TP 4570

In this method, the basic flow field is described by

 $U_1 = u_{\infty}$ $U_2 = 0$ $U_3 = 0$

and when the presence of a body disturbs the flow field, by

$$U_1 = u_\infty + u_1$$
$$U_2 = u_2$$
$$U_3 = u_3$$

where u_1 , u_2 , and u_3 are the perturbation velocities. The problem is then stated in terms of the nondimensionalized parameters,

$$u_1/u_{\infty}$$
, u_2/u_{∞} , u_3/u_{∞}

The criterion for application of this method is that these nondimensional parameters are much less than unity. For the majority of the bodies of interest, this criteria will be met except in the region of the stagnation point of blunt bodies. Van Dyke (Ref. 21 and 23) presents a method of correction for subsonic flow that renders the solution valid even in the stagnation region if the nose contour is no more blunt than round. A rather obvious example of a body that would be excluded from treatment by perturbation methods is a flat-faced cylinder. Such a body is excluded because its nose is more blunt than round, and the ratios u_2/u_{∞} and u_3/u_{∞} would not be small.

The first step in the development of perturbation methods is the description of the flow field. Both velocity potential and stream functions have been used for this purpose. \therefore perturbation velocity potential, ϕ , is most often used and defined such that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \phi_x = \frac{u_1}{u_{\infty}}$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \phi_y = \frac{u_2}{u_{\infty}}$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \phi_z = \frac{u_3}{u_{\infty}}$$

The equation of motion of the flow field about the body may then be stated in terms of this perturbation velocity potential:

$$(1 - M_{\infty}^{2}) \phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy} + \phi_{zz}$$
(16)
= $M_{\infty}^{2} \Big[\frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \Big(2\phi_{x} + \phi_{x}^{2} + \phi_{y}^{2} + \phi_{z}^{2} \Big) \Big(\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy} + \phi_{zz} \Big) + 2\phi_{x}\phi_{xx} + \phi_{x}^{2}\phi_{xx} + \phi_{y}^{2}\phi_{yy} + \phi_{z}^{2}\phi_{zz} + 2\phi_{z} \Big(1 + \phi_{x} \Big) \phi_{zx} + 2\phi_{y} \Big(1 + \phi_{x} \Big) \phi_{xy} \Big]$

Equation 16 will yield velocity components which are exact, as long as the limitation that ϕ_X , ϕ_y , $\phi_z << 1$ is met. These equations, however, are quite unmanageable. Converting to a cylindrical coordinate system, reducing the equations to second order in terms of the derivatives of ϕ , and limiting consideration to bodies of revolution yields the following form:

$$\phi_{rr} + \frac{\phi_{r}}{r} \pm \beta^{2} \phi_{xx} = M_{\infty}^{2} \left\{ 2 \left[\frac{(\gamma+1)M_{\infty}^{2}}{2\beta^{2}} \pm 1 \right] \beta^{2} \phi_{x} \phi_{xx} \right.$$

$$\left. + 2 \phi_{r} \phi_{xr} + \phi^{2}_{r} \phi_{rr} \right\} \qquad \left. \begin{pmatrix} + \text{ for subsonic} \\ - \text{ for supersonic} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - M_{\infty}^{2}} & \text{subsonic flow} \\ \\ \sqrt{M_{\infty}^{2} - 1} & \text{supersonic flow} \end{cases}$$

A linearized form for determining the potential, ϕ , is obtained by further approximation, neglecting the terms on the right-hand side of Eq. 17 which contains products of the derivatives of ϕ . This then results in the linear equation

$$\phi_{\mathbf{rr}} + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{r}} \pm \beta^2 \phi_{\mathbf{xx}} = 0$$
 (18)

This linearized form has been used by Laitone (Ref. 26 and 27) and by Adams and Sears (Ref. 28) in both the subsonic and supersonic flight regimes. Initially the coefficient of pressure resulting from linearized theory was expressed

$$C_{\mathbf{p}} = -2\phi_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{19a}$$

However, as pointed out in Ref, 28, ϕ_x and ϕ_r are actually of different order at the body surface, and the accuracy of the method in predicting the coefficient of pressure is improved by retaining the second-order term in ϕ_r ,

$$C_{p} = -2\phi_{x} - \phi_{z}^{2} \qquad (19b)$$

This improvement comes at no increase in complexity of the solution, for at the body surface

 $\phi_r = dR/dx \tag{20}$

where

R = R(x) describes the variation of the cross-sectional radius, R, with axial distance, x.

It should be noted that Matthews in Ref. 31 employed Eq. 19a rather than Eq. 19b for linearized theory. For this reason his statement in that reference as to the inaccuracy of linearized theory is not correct as is pointed out by Adams and Sears in Ref. 28. Adams and Sears (Ref. 28) presented the following approximate expression for the linearized potential in the subsonic flow regime:

$$\phi(x,r) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[S'(x) \ln \left(\frac{r\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} S'(0) \ln x - \frac{1}{2} S'(\ell) \ln(\ell - x) \right]$$
 (21a)

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{x} S''(\xi)\ln(x-\xi)d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_{x}^{\ell} S''(\xi)\ln(\xi-x)d\xi \right]$$

where

 $S = \pi R^{2}$ R = r(x) $\ell = \text{total length of body}$

Notice that this solution yields singularity at the stagnation point; for this reason, the solution obtained is not valid in the region of a stagnation point. However, for points a distance x > 0.05l away from a stagnation point, this solution gives good results. For pointed bodies, the solution is valid except very near the tip. Additionally, because Eq. 21a was developed for subsonic flow, this solution presumes that the body curvature is smooth and continuous from nose to tail. This would eliminate from consideration those configurations with cylindrical afterbodies or flat sterns. However, comparison of the results of Eq. 21a with experimental data for configurations with cylindrical afterbodies shows that the solution is good except in the region of discontinuity (Ref. 24 and 25). The corresponding supersonic flow solutions developed from linearized theory are limited to pointed bodies but the shape of the aft section has no influence. As a general rule, approximate equations such as Eq. 21a, developed from the linearized equation, Eq. 18, will obtain good results for $M_{\infty} < 0.7$. As an example, the pressure distribution over a prolate spheroid (an ellipsoid of revolution) in subsonic flow is calculated in the Example of Linearized Perturbation Theory, page 37. Equation 21a yields the coefficient of pressure at the body surface:

$$C_{p} \approx \frac{4\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{\tau\beta}{\ell} \right) + 1 + \frac{\tau^{2}}{R^{2}} \right]$$
(21b)

where

 $R = 2\tau/\ell (\ell x - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\ell = \text{total length of body}$ $\tau = d/\ell$

The C_p at the stagnation point is again given by Eq. 13.

Solutions obtained from the linearized equation, Eq. 18, cannot be used in the transonic regime because the term $\beta^2 \phi_{XX}$ retained is no longer large in comparison to one of the neglected terms that appeared on the right-hand side of Eq. 17. To correct this difficulty, Van Dyke (Ref. 21) and Spreiter (Ref. 24 and 25) present more rigorous approaches to the solution for the perturbation velocity potential that offer considerably improved accuracy, particularly in the transonic flight regime. This improvement is obtained by including a nonlinear term on the right-hand side of the potential equation, Eq. 17:

$$(1 - M_{\omega}^{2}) \phi_{xx} + (1/r) \phi_{r} + \phi_{rr} = M_{\omega}^{2} (\gamma + 1) \phi_{x} \phi_{xx}$$
(22)

This equation, for a frictionless, irrotational flow, provides an improved first-order solution which is applicable to the subsonic, transonic, and supersonic regimes. Again, the equation for determining the coefficient of pressure at the body surface is

$$C_{\rm D} = -2\phi_{\rm x} - \phi_{\rm r}^2$$

Van Dyke (Ref. 21) presents a solution to Eq. 22 and develops a correction method that renders the solution valid in the vicinity of the stagnation point of blunt bodies in subsonic flow, with the limitation on the solution being only that the ends be no more blunt than round. The basic equations of Van Dyke's solution may be presented as follows:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{f}) \ln(\mathbf{r}) + (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{g}) + \frac{M_{\infty}^{2}(\gamma+1)}{2(M_{-}^{2} - 1)} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}' \ln(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{G}') (23)$$

where the prime, ', denotes differentiation with respect to x, and

 $F(x) = RR^{\dagger}$

$$f(x) = -\frac{M_{\infty}^{2}(\gamma+1)}{2(M_{\infty}^{2}-1)} FF'$$

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) \ln \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{F(x) - F(\xi)}{|x - \xi|} d\xi , M_{\infty} > 1 \\ F(x) \ln \frac{\beta}{2(x-a)} + \int_{a}^{x} \frac{F(x) - F(\xi)}{x - \xi} d\xi , M_{\infty} < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) \ln \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{|x - \xi|} d\xi , & M_{\infty} < 1 \\ \\ f(x) \ln \frac{\beta}{2(x-a)} + \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{x - \xi} d\xi , & M_{\infty} > 1 \end{cases}$$

As a correction factor for blunt ends in subsonic flow, Van Dyke then develops a correction factor to be added to Eq. 23:

$$C_{R} = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{F^{2}(a)}{x-a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F^{2}(b)}{b-x} \right] \left(\frac{(\gamma-1) M_{\infty}^{2}}{4(1 - M_{\infty}^{2})} \right)$$

which renders the solution valid in the region of the stagnation point.

As an example of this method, Van Dyke considers the case of a prolate spheroid (Ref. 21) and arrives at the result for C at the body surface:

$$C_{p} = \tau^{2} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{\beta \tau}{2} \right) + 1 + \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}} \right] - \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\tau^{4}}{(1 - M_{\infty}^{2})} \left[\frac{1 - 5x^{2} + 2x^{4}}{(1 - x^{2})^{2}} \right]$$

Adding the correction factor for the round ends gives a final expression

$$C_{p} = \tau^{2} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{\beta \tau}{2} \right) + 1 + \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}} \right] - \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{4} \right) \frac{\tau^{4}}{(1 - M_{\infty}^{2})} \left[\frac{1 - 11x^{2} + 4x^{4}}{(1 - x^{2})^{2}} \right]$$

Van Dyke used the following illustrated coordinate system for his development:



Results obtained from Van Dyke's equation are compared with those of linearized theory in the example presented on page 37, for a prolate spheroid $\tau = 1/6$ at $M_{\infty} = 0.70$. This comparison indicates that for this Mach number there is no advantage in using Van Dyke's equation, for the improvement which results is very little, if any, except in the stagnation region. The use of Van Dyke's method is not so straightforward as is linearized theory, Eq. 21a. However, in the transonic regime the accuracy of Van Dyke's method improves, whereas that of linearized theory degenerates. The results from Van Dyke's secondorder method are given in Fig. 14 for $M_{\infty} = 0.90$ and $\tau = 1/6$.

Spreiter and Alksne (Ref. 24) present a very complete development for the solution to Eq. 22 for pointed bodies of revolution. These

results are illustrated by Fig. 15, which has been reproduced from Ref. 24. Again the process of solution is rather difficult but for pointed bodies in the transonic regime, there is little alternative. For the details on this solution, the reader is referred to Ref. 24.

Van Dyke (Ref. 21) also presents a complete second-order solution. In this solution, all of the terms on the right-hand side of Eq. 17 are retained but are handled as follows:

where

NO PERSONAL PROPERTY OF LAND

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - M_{\infty}^{2}} & \text{subsonic flow} \\ \\ \sqrt{M_{\infty}^{2} - 1} & \text{supersonic flow} \end{cases}$$

The complexities of such a solution, however, carry it beyond the scope of this report, and mention of this method is made only for the sake of completeness. Okauchi (Ref. 12) compares the results of Van Dyke's second-order solution for an ogive with data obtained from tests of the hemispherically capped ogive $(r_c/r_b \approx 0.15)$, discussed previously. Representative results for low supersonic Mach numbers are included in Fig. 8.

EXAMPLE OF LINEARIZED PERTURBATION THEORY

As an example of perturbation methods, a prolate spheroid with $\tau = 1/6$ at $M_{\infty} = 0.70$ is considered. At the surface of a prolate spheroid, the dimensionless radius is

$$R(x) = (2\tau/l) (xl - x^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

 $\tau = d/\ell$



10 21

At the surface, r - R(x); the cross-sectional area is

$$S(x) = R^{2}(x) = \frac{4\pi\tau^{2}}{l^{2}}(xl - x^{2})$$

$$S''(x) = \frac{4\pi\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} (\ell - 2x)$$
$$S''(x) = -\frac{8\pi\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}}$$

From Eq. 21a

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \approx \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbf{S}^{*}(\mathbf{x}) \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S}^{*}(0) \ln \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S}^{*}(\ell) \ln(\ell - \mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{S}^{*}(\xi) \ln(\mathbf{x} - \xi) d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \mathbf{S}^{*}(\xi) \ln(\xi - \mathbf{x}) d\xi \right]$$

for small values of r (i.e., in the vicinity of the surface). Make the substitution for S' and S":

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{2\tau^2}{\ell^2} \left[(\ell - 2\mathbf{x}) \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2}\right) - \frac{\ell}{2} \ln \mathbf{x} + \frac{\ell}{2} \ln\left(\ell - \mathbf{x}\right) + \int_0^{\mathbf{x}} \ln(\mathbf{x} - \xi) d\xi - \int_{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \ln(\xi - \mathbf{x}) d\xi \right]$$

Differentiate with respect to x:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{2\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left\{ -2 \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2}\right) - \frac{\ell}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}\right) - \frac{\ell}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\ell-\mathbf{x}}\right) + \left(\int_{0}^{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial\left[\ln(\mathbf{x}-\xi)\right]}{\partial\mathbf{x}} d\xi + \ln(0) - 0\right) - \left(\int_{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} \frac{\partial\left[\ln(\xi-\mathbf{x})\right]}{\partial\mathbf{x}} d\xi + 0 - \ln(0)\right) \right\}$$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}} \approx \frac{2\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left[-2 \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2}\right) - \frac{\ell^{2}}{2(\ell\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{2})} + \int_{0}^{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}-\xi} d\xi + \int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\xi-\mathbf{x}} d\xi + 2 \ln(0) \right]$$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}} \approx \frac{-4\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2}\right) + \frac{\ell^{2}}{4(\ell\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{2})} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \ln(\mathbf{x}-\xi) \right\}_{0}^{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \ln(\xi-\mathbf{x}) \right\}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell} - \ln(0) \right\}$$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}} \approx \frac{-4\tau^2}{\ell^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}\beta}{2} \right) + \frac{\ell^2}{4(\ell \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^2)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\ell \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^2) \right]$$

Now evaluate ϕ_x at the body surface $r = R(x) = (2\tau/\ell)(\ell x - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{R}) \approx \frac{-4\tau^2}{\ell^2} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{\mathbf{R}\beta}{2} \right) + \frac{\ell^2}{4(\ell \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^2)} - \ln \left[\frac{(2\tau/\ell)(\ell \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\tau/\ell)} \right] \right\}$$

Combine the first and last terms using the definition of R:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{-4\tau^2}{\ell^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\tau\beta}{2} \right) + \frac{\ell^2}{4(\ell \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^2)} \right]$$

Also at the body surface,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} \approx \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{(\tau/l)(l-2\mathbf{x})}{(l\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

From Eq. 19, the coefficient of pressure is

$$C_{p} = -2\phi_{x} - \phi_{r}^{2}$$

$$C_{p} \approx \frac{8\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\tau\beta}{\ell}\right) + \frac{\ell^{2}}{4(\ell x - x^{2})} - \frac{(\tau^{2}/\ell^{2})(\ell - 2x)^{2}}{(\ell x - x^{2})} \right]$$

$$C_{p} \approx \frac{4\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left\{ 2 \ln\left(\frac{\tau\beta}{\ell}\right) + \frac{2\ell^{2}}{4(\ell x - x^{2})} - \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\ell^{2} - 4x\ell + 4x^{2}}{\ell x - x^{2}} \right] \right\}$$

$$C_{p} \approx \frac{4\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left\{ 2 \ln\left(\frac{\tau\beta}{\ell}\right) + 1 + \frac{\ell^{2}}{4(\ell x - x^{2})} \right\}$$

The last term of this equation in terms of the body cross-sectional radius is expressed

$$C_{p} \approx \frac{4\tau^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{\tau\beta}{\ell} \right) + 1 + \frac{\tau^{2}}{R^{2}} \right]$$

This may be compared with Van Dyke's solution (page 42) by substitution of $\ell = 2$ and $R = \tau \sqrt{1 - x^2}$ to fit Van Dyke's choice of coordinates. Thus the linear theory gives

$$C_{p} \approx \tau^{2} \left[2 \ln \frac{\tau\beta}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}} \right]$$

as compared to Van Dyke's solution

3 ACOMMENTARIA

$$C_{p} = \tau^{2} \left[2 \ln \frac{\tau\beta}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}} \right] - \left[\frac{\gamma + 1}{4} \frac{\tau^{4}}{1 - M_{\infty}^{2}} \frac{1 - 11x^{2} + 4x^{4}}{(1 - x^{2})^{2}} \right]$$

The difference between the two equations is in the second bracket. This arises from Van Dyke's use of Eq. 22 and the correction term C_R instead of Eq. .8, which is the starting point for the development of Eq. 21a.

As a numerical example, consider a prolate spheroid with τ = 1/6 at M_{ω} = 0.7 (data from Ref. 31).

٤, %			
	Linear	Experimental	Van Dyke
5.0	+0.0176	+0.025	+0.030
7.5	-0.029	-0.040	-0.023
12.5	-0.0654	-0.066	-0.064
25.0	-0.092	-0.096	-0.091
37.5	-0.099	-0.100	-0.101
50.0	-0.101	-0.101	-0.102

From these calculations, one sees that the more complicated methods of Van Dyke offer little advantage at $M_{\infty} = 0.70$. However, it should be noted that at Mach numbers in the transonic regime, the accuracy of linearized solution degenerates, whereas, the results obtained by Van Dyke's method improve in accuracy somewhat (see Fig. 14).

SIMILARITY RULES

The final method to be discussed is that of similarity. In this method, a known pressure distribution on one body is used to predict the pressure distribution on another affinely related body. Affine implies that all coordinates in a given direction change only by a uniform ratio from one member to another. For example, on bodies of revolution this affine relationship may be expressed

$r = \tau R(x)$

where r is the radial distance to the surface from the axis of symmetry, and τ is a characteristic body parameter such as fineness ratio or slope.

This approach may be used in two ways:

1. In subsonic, transonic, supersonic, or rsonic flow, one may use experimental measurements on one member of an affinely related family of bodies to predict the distribution on the other members of the family.

2. In subsonic flow, one may correct exact incompressible potential flow solutions for the effects of compressibility.

Similarity rules are discussed and compared with data in Ref. 20, 29, 30, 31, and 32.

For irrotational flows, a velocity potential exists such that

 $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}; \mathbf{M}, \gamma, \tau)$

The solution (Ref. 33) proceeds by separating the dependent variable into components and then stretching each component and the independent variables by factors that depend on the original parameters:

$$\Phi/u_{m} = x + \phi + \phi$$

where φ is the linearized potential theory and \emptyset the second-order increment. For the linearized problem

$$(1 - M_{\infty}^{2})\phi_{xx} + \phi_{rr} + \frac{\phi r}{r} = 0$$
(25)
 $\phi \neq 0$ at infinity

$$\phi_r \rightarrow \tau R'(x)$$
 at $r = \tau r(x)$

Thus, the linearized problem is not dependent on γ and depends only on M_{∞} and τ . The similarity rules are obtained by considering arbitrary scale transformation in ϕ and τ . The only choice that reduces the number of parameters in the problem is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{R}) = 1/\beta^2 F(\mathbf{x}, \rho)$$
(26)
$$\rho = \beta r$$
$$\beta = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - M_{\infty}^2} & \text{for subsonic} \\ \sqrt{M_{\infty}^2 - 1} & \text{for supersonic} \end{cases}$$

The problem then becomes

ATTACK OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF

$$F_{\rho\rho} + F_{\rho}\phi \pm F_{xx} = 0$$
(27)
(+ for subsonic
- for supersonic)

Thus, the original problem is reduced to one where dependence is on M_∞ and τ , not separately, but only in the combination of $\beta\tau$. The resultant similarity rule is then

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{M}_{m},\tau) = (1/\beta^{2}) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x},\beta\mathbf{r};\beta\tau)$$
(28)

For the velocity potential and the coefficient of pressure to its first order, the results are

$$(1/u_{m})\Phi(x,r; M_{m},\tau) = x + (1/\beta^{2})F(x,\beta r;\beta \tau)$$
 (29)

$$C_{p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{M},\tau) = (1/\beta^{2})P(\mathbf{x},\beta\mathbf{r};\beta\tau)$$
(30)

Two further equations used for determining the C_p in compressible flow from the incompressible C are stated by Sears (Ref. 20): ^pinc

$$C_{p}(x,R) = C_{p+nc}(x,R) - (R^{2})'' \ln \beta$$
 (31)

and by Lees (Ref. 34):

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{inc}} \left[1 + \frac{\ln \beta}{0.31(\ln \tau)} \right]$$

Sears (Ref. 20) indicates that for slender bodies of revolution in low subsonic flow, $M_{\infty} < 0.7$, correction formulas such as these closely approximate theoretical results. This conclusion was reached by consideration of the results from Ref. 31 for ellipsoids of revolution with ℓ/d ratios of 6 and 10.

In the transonic flow regime, the similarity rule takes a somewhat different form. Additionally, for bodies of revolution in the neighborhood of the body surface, a similarity rule exists only if the body is very slender. In terms of determining the pressure distribution, this severe limitation makes the use of transonic similarity rules of little value (Ref. 32). However, if the bodies of interest are sufficiently slender, affinely related bodies will be similar if the similarity parameter,

$$J = \tau^{2} \ln \left[\sqrt{2} \tau (1 - M_{\infty}) \right]$$
 (32)

is equal for both bodies.

COMPUTER TECHNIQUES

Although consideration of complex computer techniques for determining the pressure distribution was not the objective of the program, it was noted during the literature survey that several computer techniques are being used with good results for blunt bodies in supersonic flow; some of these are noted. A brief comparison of theoretical and experimental results is given in Ref. 35. Methods developed by NASA (Ref. 36) and at NWL (Ref. 37) are representative of those that yield good results. Also, Ref. 38 reports a modification of a Northrop-developed program that yields good results in the Mach 1 to 3 range.

Section 3

HEAT-TRANSFER DISTRIBUTION ON BLUNT BODIES OF REVOLUTION

The analysis of the convective heating of a high-speed vehicle is an integral part of the evaluation of the aerodynamic and thermal behavior of the vehicle. Methods of analysis for convective heating have been extensively developed over the past two decades. However, this work has been directed primarily toward an understanding of heating conditions where the stagnation enthalpy is much larger than the enthalpy of the air at the surface of the vehicle.

The experimental data reviewed were those obtained for Mach numbers less than 5 both in free flight and in wind tunnel tests. Not all of the available data are reviewed since some wind tunnel conditions were not compatible with actual flight conditions expected at the corresponding Mach numbers, and some data were originally presented in such a fashion that it made comparison difficult to achieve.

Reasonable agreement between theory and experiment appears to have been achieved for flight regimes above a Mach number of 1.6 for blunt body vehicles. However, adequate experimental verification of the analytical methods developed for the high Mach number regimes has not been obtained for the range of flight Mach numbers from 0.7 to 1.6.

Since the Reynolds number encountered in the low altitude (sea level to 50,000 feet), the high-speed flight regime under consideration is expected to be high; a large portion of the boundary layer is expected to be turbulent. It will be necessary, therefore, to examine methods of analysis for the laminar, transitional, and turbulent heat-transfer regimes and to compare these methods with the appropriate experimental results.

STAGNATION-POINT HEAT TRANSFER

Various methods of computing the stagnation-point heat transfer to blunt body supersonic vehicles have been presented in the literature. Probably the best known of these methods are those of Lees (Ref. 39), Fay and Riddell (Ref. 40), Detra, Kemp, and Riddell (Ref. 41), Vaglio-Laurin (Ref. 42), and Cohen (Ref. 43). These methods were developed to predict the heat transfer to blunt-body vehicles as vehiclc speeds were pushed to higher and higher values. A major objective of these studies has been to account for the thermochemistry effects that arise as a result of the higher stagnation temperatures encountered. The equation derived by Sibulkin (Ref. 44) for the heat transfer at the stagnation point of a sphere has been used by many investigators to evaluate the heat flux at the stagnation point. Starting with Sibulkin's equation

Nu_L = 0.763L (B)¹₂
$$(\rho_e/\mu_e)^{1}_2$$
 Pr^{0.4} (33)

where Nu_L is the Nusselt number based on a typical length, L, and B is the velocity gradient at the stagnation point, the heat transfer at the stagnation point may b_{c} written

$$\dot{q}_{w_{s}} = 0.763 \ (B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\rho_{e} / \mu_{e} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(Pr \right)^{0.4} k \left(T_{e_{s}} - T_{w} \right)$$
(34)

This expression may be modified to read

$$\dot{q}_{w_{s}} = 0.763 \left(Pr^{-0.6} \right) \left(\rho_{e_{s}}^{\mu} e_{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(h_{e_{s}}^{\mu} - h_{w}^{\mu} \right) (B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (35)

Substituting in the expression for the velocity gradient at the stagnation point,

$$B = \left(\frac{du_e}{ds}\right)_s$$
(36)

one obtains the result

$$\dot{q}_{w_{s}} = 0.763 \left(Pr^{-0.6} \right) \left(\rho_{e_{s}} \mu_{e_{s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(h_{e_{s}} - h_{w} \right) \left(\frac{du_{e}}{ds} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
(37)

where $\Pr = 0.71$ for computations. In this expression the density, ρ_{e_s} , and the viscosity, μ_{e_s} , are evaluated at the outer edge of the boundary layer at the stagnation point of the body. Note that the only effect of the wall temperature in this equation occurs in the evaluation of the wall enthalpy.

To overcome this deficiency, Fay and Riddell (Ref. 40) obtained a correlation of numerical results with the following expression for the heat transfer at the stagnation point of a blunt body

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} = 0.763 \left(\operatorname{Pr}^{-0.6} \right) \left(\rho_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}}^{\mu} \mu_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{0.1} \left(\rho_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}}^{\mu} e_{\mathbf{s}} \right)^{0.4} \left(h_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}^{-} h_{\mathbf{w}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{du}_{\mathbf{e}}}{\mathrm{ds}} \right)_{\mathbf{s}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(38)

The velocity gradient at the stagnation point may be evaluated from the Newtonian pressure distribution:

$$\left(\frac{du_{e}}{ds}\right)_{s} = \frac{1}{R_{o}} \sqrt{2\left(P_{s_{e}} - P_{\infty}\right)/\rho_{s_{e}}}$$
(39)

Hence, using the perfect gas equation, a heat-transfer parameter may be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \sqrt{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{o}}/\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}} = 0.763 \left(\mathbf{Pr}^{-0.6}\right) \left(\mathbf{\rho}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}}^{\mu} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)^{0.1} \left(\mathbf{\rho}_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}}^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)^{0.4} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{w}}}^{\mu} - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}}\right)$$
(40)
$$\left[\left(2\overline{\mathbf{RT}}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\infty}}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}}}$$

Cohen (Ref. 43) has also presented a correlation of the results of numerical solutions for high-velocity heat transfer ($U_{\infty} > 10,000 \text{ fp}_3$) in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \sqrt{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}}}} = 0.767 \left(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{-0.6} \left(\mathbf{\rho}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{0.07} \left(\mathbf{\rho}_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}} \mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{0.43} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}} - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}} \right) (1)$$

$$\left[\left(2\overline{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{w}}}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}}}}$$

In addition to these expressions, Detra, Kemp, and Riddell (Ref. 41) have presented a correlation for high velocity ($U_{\infty} > 10,000$ fps) in the simplified form of

$$\dot{q}_{w_{s}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{o}}{P_{s}}} = 2.28 \times 10^{-2} \left(h_{s_{e}}\right)^{1.075}$$
 (42)

The experimental blunt-body heat-transfer data available for comparison with the preceeding methods of calculation are limited for flight Mach numbers between 2 and 5, whereas appropriate data below a Mach number of 1.6 are simply not available (Ref. 45, 46, 47, 48, and 49). This is shown in Table 2, which presents a summary of the appropriate blunt-body heat-transfer distribution data available. The Mach number and Reynolds number at which the data were obtained are indicated in the table. Appropriate stagnation-point heat-transfer data were obtained from the results presented by Buglia (Ref. 50), Chauvin (Ref. 51), and Chauvin and Maloney (Ref. 52). Reference should be made to the Annotated Bibliography, page 87 in the Appendix. for an indication of the difficulties encountered in using data presented in the other reports listed.

				1	Last	Measurement Station	Type of Data Available		
Report	Type of Test	Configuration	Mach No a	Averag.; Surface Finish µ inch	Distance from Stag. Point (in.)	Local Reynolds Number	Lamina Ti	r urbule Ir	nt ansition
Langley Research Center J. J. Buglia MASA TN D-955	Free Flight	Hemispherically Capped Cone Bay/Bg = 0.74 Cone half- angle = 14.5° Bg = 6.498"	2.32 2.47 2.63 2.80 2.97 3.14	2-5	18.20	7.42 x 10 ⁶ 7.66 x 10 ⁶ 7.84 x 10 ⁶ 8.36 x 10 ⁶ 8.54 x 10 ⁶	X X X X X	X X X X X	X X X X X
Nevel Ordnance Lab- oratory I. Korobkin Navord Report 2865	Wind Tunnel	Hemispherical D = 1 in.	2.80	Not reported	0.566	Not reported	x	X	X
Naval Ordnance Labo- ratory I. Korobkin Navord Report 3841	Wind Tunnel	Hemisphere cylinder D = 2 in.	1.90 2.80 3.26 4.20 4.87	Not reported	0.866	2.6×10^{3} 1.4×10^{5} 4.0×10^{5} 4.1×10^{5} 2.4×10^{5}	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
Ameg Research Center A. Stine and K. Wan- lass, NACA TN-3344	Wind Tunnel	Hemisphere Cylinder D = 4 in.	1.97	20	4.20	2.4 x 10 ⁵	X	x	
Langley Research Cen- ter L. T. Chauvin and J.P. Haloney, MACA RM-153L OBa	Wind Tunnel	Hemisphere Cylinder D = 4 in.	1.62 2.05 2.54 3.04	"Polished"	3.414	2.2 x 10 ⁶ 2.6 x 10 ⁶ 2.1 x 10 ⁶ 7.0 x 10 ⁵	X X X X	X X X	X X X
Lewis Research Center N. S. Disconis, R. J. Wisniewski, and J. R. Jack NACA-TH-4099	Wind Tunnel	Hemispherically Capped Cone Included angle= 90°30' D = 1.4 in.	3.12	16	2.94	1.04 x 10	X X		x
Langley Research Cen- ter, I. E. Beckwith, J. J. Gallagher NACA TN-4125	Wind Tunnel	Sphere D = 3½ in. Hemisphere-cyliade D = 2 in.	2.00 r	Not reported	5.5 1.57	R 4.45 x 10 R 0.45 x 10	x x	x	x = x

TABLE 2. Low Supersonic Blunt-Body Heat-Transfer Distribution Data

Stark (Ref. 53).

It would appear, at this point, that the results of the experimental program reported by Buglia (Ref. 50) represent the most complete set of experimental data obtained for free-flight or wind tunnel environments. For this reason, the set of results obtained by Buglia has been employed for comparison with the formulas previously presented.

In the flight test conducted by Buglia, heat-transfer and transition data on a highly polished hemisphere cone were measured over a Mach number range from 2.32 to 3.14 up to a free-stream Reynolds number of 24×10^6 based on the body diameter. The hemisphere radius was 6.498 inches and the cone half-angle was 14.5 degrees. The stagnation-point heat-transfer results obtained from this experiment are shown in Fig. 16, plotted as

the heat-transfer parameter, $\dot{q}_{w_s} \sqrt{R_o/P_s}$, versus the flight velocity,

 U_{∞} . Here \dot{q}_{W} is the heating rate, R_{O} is the nose radius, and P_{S} is the stagnation pressure at the nose of the vehicle. Also shown in Fig. 16 are experimental data obtained in a shock tube, as reported by Rose and

The theoretical prediction of Fay and Riddell, obtained from Eq. 40, is shown in Fig. 16. For comparison the correlation presented by Detra, Kemp, and Riddell is also shown in Fig. 16.

It is apparent from Fig. 16 that the expression developed by Fay and Riddell can be used with confidence down to velocities of the order of 2,000 fps if the wall enthalpy is properly considered.

Table 3 presents tabulated values of the experimental results obtained by Buglia (Ref. 50) for the stagnation-point heat-transfer rates for the flight Mach numbers of 2.32, 2.47, 2.63, 2.80, 2.97, and 3.14. Also presented are values of the stagnation-point heat-transfer rates calculated irom first, Fay and Riddell according to Eq. 40; second, from Detra, Kemp, and Riddell, according to Eq. 42; and finally, from Cohen, according to Eq. 41.

For this limited range of results, the Fay and Riddell equation indicates an average error of 13% high, whereas, Eq. 41 from Cohen indicates an average error of 10%.

For conditions where the enthalpy at the wall temperature becomes of the same order as the stagnation enthalpy, expe. mental results presented by Chauvin (Ref. 51) and Chauvin and Maloney (Ref. 52) are of interest. The heat transfer to a 4-inch hemisphere was measured for several flow Mach numbers and stagnation temperatures. The stagnationpoint heat-transfer rates are presented in Fig. 17 along with the data of Buglia (Ref. 50) as functions of the enthalpy drop across the boundary layer at the stagnation point. These results may be compared with the theoretical results of Fay and Riddell for a Lewis number of unity, as shown in Fig. 17.



FIG. 16. Stagnation-Point Heat Transfer for Air.

It thus becomes apparent that additional blunt-body stagnation-point heat-transfer data are required for flight Mach numbers in the range of 0.7 to 2.0 in order to verify the applicability of the analytical methods.

LAMINAR HEAT TRANSFER OVER SPHERICAL SECTIONS

The distribution of heat transfer over the surface of the hemisphere in the region of the stagnation point and along the surface of the cone section of the vehicle examined by Buglia can be compared in the following way.

					$q_{wa}^{-\sqrt{\frac{R}{p}}}$ Btu $\sqrt{ft/atm}/ft$ sac				
M	U _w , fps	Tse' °R	P * se psia	∆h, Btu/lbm	Buglis ^{i &}	Fay and Riddeli	Detra ^c and Riddell	Cohen	
2.32	2543	1040	81.5	77.4	2.58	2.78	3.90	2.70	
2.47	2707	1110	90.0	88.2	2.46	2.65	4.83	2.32	
2.63	2880	1189	99.7	97.3	3.17	3.55	5.52	3.47	
2.80	3062	1278	110.5	127.3	4.04	4.66	6.34	4.59	
2.97	3244	1372	121.1	142.7	4.54	5.30	7.18	5.18	
3.14	3425	1471	132.3	160.5	4.96	5.99	8.03	5.88	

TABLE 3. Experimental and Theoretical Stagnation--Point Heat Transfer for a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $R_{o} = 6.498$ inches; highly polished surface.



^aRef. 50; ^bRef. 40; ^cRef. 41; ^dRef. 43.



Fay and Riddell, and Cohen found that the variation in fluid pr erties between wall conditions and stagnation conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer must be taken into account in computing the heat-transfer distribution. One method for accounting for variable fluid properties has been advanced by Eckert (see Ref. 54 for a thorough discussion and an extensive bibliography of early work) through the introduction of the reference temperature, defined as

$$T^* = T_e + 0.50 (T_w - T_e) + 0.22 (T_{aw} - T_e)$$
 (43)

where the adiabatic wall temperature is given by

$$T_{aw} = T_{e} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_{e}^{2} r^{*} \right)$$
 (44)

the recovery factor, $r^* = Pr^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for the laminar boundary layer, and $r^* = Pr^{1/3}$ for the turbulent boundary layer.

The laminar heat-transfer distribution over the surface of the hemisphere, as predicted by the various methods of analysis, are compared on the basis of the local Stanton number, defined as

$$C_{\rm H}^{\star} = \dot{q}_{\rm w} / \left[\rho^{\star} u_{\rm e} \left(h_{\rm aw} - h_{\rm w} \right) \right]$$
(45)

where the reference density, ρ^* , is computed through the perfect gas equation using the static pressure at the outer edge of the boundary layer and the local reference temperature.

The methods of analysis for predicting the heat-transfer distribution over the hemisphere surface, which appear to be the most straightforward and yet within acceptable agreement with the experimental data, are the methods of Korobkin (Ref. 47) and Lees (Ref. 39).

From Sibulkin's equation for the stagnation-point heat transfer, Eq. 33, Korobkin replaced the length, L, by the wetted distance from the stagnation point, s, and the velocity gradient, β , by u_e/s and obtained the equation

$$Nu_{g} = 0.763 \left(\frac{\rho_{e}^{u}e^{s}}{\mu_{e}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Pr^{0.4}$$
(46)

where the properties are evaluated at the outer edge of the boundary layer. This expression may also be written in terms of the Stanton number by dividing through by Pr Re_8 where the Reynolds number, based on the conditions at the edge of the boundary layer, is written

$$\operatorname{Re}_{s} = \frac{\rho_{e}^{u} e^{s}}{\mu_{e}}$$
(47)

Korobkin thus obtained the expression

$$C_{\rm H} = 0.763 \, \left({\rm Pr}^{-0.6} \right) \, \left({\rm Re}_{\rm s} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (48)

for the Stanton number in the stagnation region. To account for the effect of wall temperature on the gas properties, this expression may be written in terms of properties evaluated at the reference enthalpy:

$$C_{\rm H}^{\star} = 0.763 \, \left({\rm Pr} \right)^{-0.6} \, \left({\rm Re}_{\rm g} \right)^{-l_2}$$
 (49)

for the stagnation region of a hemisphere. This relationship is conservative in the computation of the heat-transfer distribution over the hemisphere surface.

Lees (Ref. 39) presents the following expression for the ratio of the rate of heat transfer to a given point on the hemisphere surface to the heat transfer at the stagnation point:

$$\frac{\dot{q}_{w}}{\dot{q}_{w}} = \frac{2\theta \sin \theta \left[(1 - 1/\gamma M_{\infty}^{2}) \cos^{2}\theta + 1/\gamma M_{\infty}^{2} \right]}{\left[f(\theta) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(50)

where

$$f(\theta) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma M_{\infty}^{2}}\right) \left(\theta^{2} - \frac{\theta \sin 4\theta}{2} + \frac{1 - \cos 4\theta}{8}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{4}{\gamma M_{\infty}^{2}} \left(\theta^{2} - \theta \sin 2\theta + \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2}\right)$$
(51)

Here θ is the angle between the flight velocity vector and the hemisphere radius vector. This expression is applicable only in a region of laminar boundary layer on the hemisphere surface.

Thus, for the stagnation region of a blunt-body vehicle, a comparison is presented of the equation of Korobkin, Eq. 49, based on reference properties, and the equation of Lees, Eq. 50, with appropriate experimental results.

For blunt-body vehicles that include either conical sections or cylindrical sections, the flat-plate formula presented by Van Driest (Ref. 55) should be available. The flat-plate heat-transfer equation for laminar flow is written

$$c_{\rm H}^{\star} = 0.332 \, \left({\rm Pr}^{\star} \right)^{-2/3} \, \left({\rm Re}_{\rm g}^{\star} \right)^{-{\rm I}_2}$$
 (52)

where the properties are determined at the reference temperature and the static pressure at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

The experimental data obtained by Buglia (Ref. 50) for the heattransfer distribution over the hemisphere and cone surfaces were reported in the form

$$C_{H_{\infty}} = \frac{\dot{q}_{w}}{\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty} \left(h_{a_{w}} - h_{w} \right)}$$
(53)

and were corrected to the reference temperature base by

$$C_{H_{exp}}^{\star} = C_{H_{\infty}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty}}{\star} \right)$$
(54)

The experimental values obtained by Buglia (Ref. 50) are presented in Fig. 18(a) through 18(f) as a function of the Reynolds number, defined as

$$Re_{s}^{*} \frac{\rho^{*} u_{e}^{\nu}}{\mu}$$
(55)

where s is the wetted length from the stagnation point to the point in question. The static pressure at the edge of the boundary layer, employed in the calculation of the local temperature and velocity, was obtained from the experimental pressure distribution. Isentropic expansion from the stagnation point to the local pressure was then assumed to calculate the local temperature and velocity. The data points presented in Fig. 18(a) through 18(f) on the cone section are indicated with a flag. It is interesting to note in the vicinity of the juncture between hemisphere and conical sections that the Reynolds number, based on reference conditions, decreases. As the flow is compressed in the region of the conical section, the boundary layer undergoes transition from laminar to turbulent flow, thus showing a significant increase in the heat-transfer rate.

The theoretical predictions of Korobkin, Eq. 49: Lees, Eq. 50; and Van Driest, Eq. 52, are also included in each of Fig. 18(a) through 18(f) for comparison with the experimental results.

The results presented in Fig. 18(a) through 18(f) indicate that the Lees relationship, based on reference values, more closely predicts the experimental data for laminar flow over both the hemisphere and the initial portion of the cone section of the body. Also, for a case where laminar flow persists over a significant portion of the conical section, it is apparent that the Van Driest equation, Eq. 52, may be used to predict the heat transfer.

It is also apparent that for the Mach number range from 2 to 3, if laminar flow persists over the entire hemisphere section, Lees' expression will account adequately for the pressure gradient effect over the juncture between the hemisphere and the conical portion of the body.

The numerical computations corresponding to the results presented in Fig. 18(a) through 18(f) are given in Tables 4(a) through 4(f). Each table contains the numerical results presented in the corresponding figure. The parameters of interest, for example, the local Reynolds number based on wetted length and reference conditions, are presented in terms of the nondimensional wetted distance from the stagnation point for each Mach number. The results presented in these tables indicate that the best agreement with the experimental Stanton number distribution is achieved by using the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat-transfer equation, Eq. 40, with Lees' expression for the distribution of the local heat-transfer equation, Eq. 50, and the density of the air computed with the value of the reference temperature and local static pressure. This method will be presented in detail in a later section.













- 8



FIG. 18. (Continued).

59

.

.

R* es x 10 ⁻⁶	C# (1) H cxp x 103	C [*] (2) H _L x 10 ³	^{C_{HLe}(3) x 10³}	$C_{H_{L_{R_{E}}}}^{*}$ (4) × 10 ³	C _{HLeE} (5) x <u>1</u> 0 ³
0.479	1.15	1.242	1.458	1.155	1.355
1,195	0.67	0.805	0.942	0.748	0.876
2.162	0.37	0.592	0.686	0.550	0.636
3.411	0.40	0.440	0.502	0.409	0.466
4.482	0.32	0.377	0.419	0.351	U.389
5.323	0.32	0.335	0.358	0.312	0.333
5.696	0.23	0.275	0.267	0.256	0.249
3.056	0.28	0.329	0.264	0.306	0.246
3.304	0.50	0.332	0.259	0.309	0.241
5.758	0.22	0.186	0.153	0.173	0.142
7.415	1.57	0.215	0.170	0.200	0.158
	$\begin{array}{r} R_{es}^{*} \\ \times 10^{-6} \\ 0.479 \\ 1.195 \\ 2.162 \\ 3.411 \\ 4.482 \\ 5.323 \\ 5.696 \\ 3.056 \\ 3.056 \\ 3.304 \\ 5.758 \\ 7.415 \end{array}$	R* es C* H x 10 ⁻⁶ (1) axp x 103 0.479 1.15 1.195 0.67 2.162 0.37 3.411 0.40 4.482 0.32 5.323 0.32 5.696 0.29 3.056 0.28 3.304 0.50 5.758 0.22 7.415 1.57	R_{es}^{\star} C_{H}^{\star} (1) C_{H}^{\star} (2) R_{L}^{\times} x 10^{-6}x 103x 1030.4791.151.2421.1950.670.8052.1620.370.5923.4110.400.4404.4820.320.3775.3230.320.3355.6960.290.2753.0560.280.3293.3040.500.3325.7580.220.1867.4151.570.215	\mathbb{R}^{*}_{eg} $\mathbb{C}^{*}_{H_{GXP}}$ $\mathbb{C}^{*}_{H_{L}}$ \mathbb{C}	R_{eg}^{*} C_{H}^{*} (1) G_{XP}^{*} C_{H}^{*} (2) L $C_{H_{L_g}}^{*}$ (3) $\times 10^{3}$ $C_{H_{L_{R_E}}}^{*}$ (4) $\times 10^{3}$ 0.4791.151.2421.4581.1551.1950.670.8050.9420.7482.1620.370.5920.6860.5503.4110.400.4400.5020.4094.4820.320.3770.4190.3515.3230.320.3250.3580.3125.6960.290.2750.2670.2563.0560.280.3290.2640.3063.3040.500.3320.2590.3095.7580.220.1860.1530.1737.4151.570.2150.1700.200

TABLE 4(a). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-Transfer Distributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_{\infty} = 2.32$, $P_{\infty} = 11.0 \text{ psia}$, $T_{\infty} = 500.8^{\circ} \text{R}$

¹⁾Experimental Stanton Number,
$$C_{H}^{*} = \frac{\dot{q}_{w}}{exp}$$
; Buglia,

<

$$= \frac{\dot{q}_{wexp}}{\rho^* u_{o} (h_{out} - h_{u})}; \text{ Buglia}$$

Ref. 50.

(2) Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

(3) Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

(4) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

(5) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

s/2 R	R* es x 10 ⁻⁶	C [★] (1) H exp x 10 ³	C [*] (2) ^{C*} L × 10 ³	С _{ҢL} (3) к 10 ³	C [#] _{LRE} (4) x 10 ³	$\begin{bmatrix} C_{H_{L_{e_{E}}}}^{\star}(5) \\ \times 10^{3} \end{bmatrix}$
0.090	0.499	1.09	1.221	1.450	1.132	1.345
0.150	1.245	0.62	0.794	0.939	0.736	0.871
0.210	2.252	0.40	0.585	0.685	0.542	J_635
0.270	3.552	0.41	0.437	0.505	0.406	0.468
0.330	4.800	0.25	0.344	0.393	0.319	0.364
0.390	5.852	0.29	0.285	0.318	0.264	0.295
0.520	6.216	0.18	0.250	0.252	0.232	0.234
0.660	3.129	0.47	0.368	0.297	0.342	0.275
0.810	3.404	0.81	0.375	0.296	0.348	0.274
1.050	5.944	0.33	0.191	0.158	0.177	0.147
1.400	7.655	1.55	0.220	0.176	0.204	0.163

TABLE 4(b). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-Transfer Distributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_{\infty} = 2.47$, $P_{\infty} = 10.8 \text{ psia}$, $T_{\infty} = 500^{\circ} \text{R}$

$$\exp = \frac{\frac{q_w}{exp}}{p}; Buglia,$$

Ref. 50.

⁽²⁾Stanton Number based on the Tay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽³⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

⁽⁴⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽⁵⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

s/2 R _o	R* es x 10 ⁻⁶	C [★] (1) Hexp x 103	C [★] (2) L × 10 ³	C _{HLe} (3) x 16?	2 ^A L _{RE} (4) x 10 ³	$C_{H_{L_{e_{E}}}(5)}$ x 103
0.090	0.515	0.97	1.204	1.439	1.076	1.285
0.150	1.271	0.61	0.810	0.959	0.724	0.857
0.210	2.300	0.39	0.596	0.698	0.532	0.623
0.270	3.626	0.40	0.445	0.513	0.397	0.458
0.330	4.898	0.27	0.348	0.397	0.311	0.355
0.390	5.969	0.30	0.286	0.320	0.256	0.286
0.520	6.334	0.32	0.243	0.246	0.217	0.219
0.660	3.342	1.05	C.302	0.250	0.270	0.224
0.810	3.554	1.30	0.308	0.245	0.275	0.220
1.050	6.088	0.96	0.193	0.160	0.172	0.143
1.400	7.844	1.53	0.222	0.178	0.198	0.159
	-	-	-	-		

TABLE 4(c). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-Transfer Distributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_{m} \approx 2.63$, $P_{m} = 10.6$ psia, $T_{m} = 499^{\circ}R$

(1) Experimental	Stanton	Number,	CH _H exp	= -	q * u _e	wexp (haw	_		Buglia,
					<u> </u>	1		· · /	

Ref. 50.

⁽²⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

(3) Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

(4) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer and reference conditions.

(5) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

s/2 R	R* es x 10 ⁻⁶	C* (1) exp x 10 ³	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{H_{L}}^{\star}(2) \\ L \\ \times 10^{3} \end{array} $	C _{HLe} (3) x 10 ³	$\begin{bmatrix} C_{HL_{R_E}}^{\star}(4) \\ x \ 10^3 \end{bmatrix}$	C _{HLeE} (5) x 103
0.090	0.563	0.82	1.150	1.434	0.997	1.244
0.150	1.404	0.46	0.752	0.934	0.652	0.810
0.210	2.565	0.43	0.540	0.667	0.468	0.578
0.270	4.038	0.36	0.408	0.496	0.354	0.430
0.330	5.287	0.22	0.352	0.416	0.305	0.360
0.390	6.191	0.42	0.324	0.366	0.281	0.317
0.520	6.496	0.48	0.280	0.284	0.243	0.246
0.660	3.412	1.94	0.336	0.280	0.292	0.243
0.810	3.703	1.95	0.325	0.264	0.281	0.229
1.050	6.500	1.52	0.210	0.180	0,182	0,156
1.400	8.362	1.50	0.239	0.197	0.207	0.171
	1	1				E Contraction of the second se

TABLE 4(d). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-Transfer Distributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_{\infty} = 2.80$, $P_{\infty} = 10.5$ psia, $T_{\infty} = 498^{\circ}R$

(1) Experimental Stanton Number,
$$C_{H}^{\star} = \frac{w_{e}}{\rho^{\star} u_{e} (h)}$$

- h_) : Buglia,

å

Ref. 50.

⁽²⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽³⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

⁽⁴⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local hear transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽⁵⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

	R* es	C* (1)	C* (2)	C _{HLe} (3)	C [#] _{L_{RF}} (4)	C _{HLer} (5)
s/2 R _o	x 10 ⁻⁶	x 10 ³	x 10 ³	x 10 ³	x 10 ³	x 10 ³
0.090	0.581	0.74	1.134	1.432	0.972	1.227
0.150	1.433	0.45	0.764	0.955	0.655	0.818
0.210	2.589	0.43	0.564	0.698	0.484	0.598
0.270	4.117	0.36	0.414	0.507	0.355	0.435
0.330	5.497	0.22	0.339	0.408	0.291	0.350
0.390	6.552	0.71	0.298	0.346	0.255	0.296
0.520	6.958	0.94	0.249	0.262	0.214	0.225
0.660	3.428	2.36	0.332	0.277	0.285	0.237
0.810	3.720	2.36	0.314	0.256	0.270	0.219
1.050	6.585	1.69	0.217	0,187	0.186	0.160
1.400	8.535	1.62	0.243	0.202	0.208	0.173

TABLE 4(e). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-TransferDistributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_{\infty} = 2.97$, $P_{\infty} = 10.2$ psia, $T_{\infty} = 497^{\circ}R$

(1) Experimental Stanton Number, $C_{H_{exp}}^{\star} = \frac{\tilde{q}_{w_{exp}}}{\rho^{\star} u_{e}(h_{aw} - h_{w})}$; Buglia,

Ref. 50.

⁽²⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽³⁾Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

⁽⁴⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

⁽⁵⁾Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.
s/2 R	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R}^{\star} \\ \mathbf{es} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ 10^{-6} \end{array} $	C ^{ff} (1) Hexp x 103	$ C_{H_{L}}^{\star}(2) \\ x 10^{3} $	$C_{\rm H_{L_e}}(3)$ x 10 ³	$C_{H_{L_{R_{E}}}}^{C_{H_{L_{R_{E}}}}}(4)}$ × 10 ³	$C_{H_{L_{e_{E}}}}(5)$ x 10 ³
0.090	0.598	0.63	1.118	1.429	0.925	1.183
0.150	1.491	0.45	0.732	0.933	0.606	0.772
0.210	2.693	0.42	0.542	0.682	0.448	0.565
0.270	4.194	0.35	0.421	0.518	0.348	0.429
0.330	5.597	0.21	0.344	0.417	0.285	0.345
0.390	6.867	1.04	0.281	0.335	0.233	0.277
0.520	6.766	1.344	0.278	0.287	0.230	0.237
0.660	3.419	2.29	0.334	0.277	0.276	0.229
0,810	3.683	2.52	0.313	0.252	0.259	0.209
1.050	6.476	1.68	0 236	0.201	0.196	0.166
1.400	8.584	1.40	0.254	0.211	0.210	0.175

TABLE 4(f). Experimental and Theoretical Heat-Transfer Distributions Over a Hemispherically Capped Cone

 $M_m = 3.14$, $P_m = 10.0 \text{ psia}$, $T_m = 495^{\circ} R$

$$\overset{\star}{H} = \frac{\overset{\check{q}}{w} exp}{\overset{\star}{\rho} u_{e} (h_{aw} - h_{w})} ; Buglia$$

Ref. 50.

(2) Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

(3) Stanton Number based on the Fay and Riddell stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the edge of the boundary layer.

(4) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and reference conditions.

(5) Stanton Number based on the experimental stagnation-point heat transfer, Lees' distribution of local heat transfer, and conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer.

It should be pointed out that the experimental results used for the comparisons made above were obtained on a smooth (roughness from 2 to 5 μ -inches) hemispherically capped cone. The polished surface condition apparently delayed transition from laminar to turbulent flow for this particular vehicle; hence, the Reynolds numbers for which laminar flow persists are high, on the order of 5 x 10⁶. These data are thus presented only to compare the various methods of predicting laminar blunt-body heat-transfer distributions with available experimental results.

Additional experimental data for the heat-transfer distribution over hemispherically capped cylinders have been reported by Chauvin and Maloney (Ref. 52) and Korobkin (Ref. 47). However, an insufficient amount of supporting test information prohibited comparison of these data with the methods previously discussed. These data are, therefore, presented in Fig. 19 as the experimental Stanton number based on local conditions at the edge of the boundary layer versus the local Reynolds number. The Mach number range covered by the wind tunnel tests of Chauvin and Maloney was from 1.62 to 3.04, while Korobkin obtained a Mach number range from 1.90 to 4.87. These data indicate a fairly uniform distribution about a line predicted by Korobkin's equation, Eq. 49. It should be noted that in the tests reported by Chauvin and Maloney, and Korobkin, the boundary layer underwent transition from laminar to turbulent at a local Reynolds number of approximately 1×10^6 , based upon the wetted length from the stagnation point and the properties evaluated at local conditions at the edge of the boundary-layer heat-transfer.





Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby (Ref. 57) have compared laminar heattransfer data with the theory of Lees (Ref. 39) by using the stagnationpoint value of Fay, Riddell, and Kemp (Ref. 56) for Mach numbers above 3. The data are presented in Ref. 57 in terms of a Nusselt number defined by

$$Nu = \dot{q}_{w} \left({c_{p}} \right) s_{e} R_{o} k_{e} \left({h_{s} - h_{w}} \right)$$
(56)

and a Reynolds number parameter

$$\widetilde{R}e = \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\frac{P_{s}}{\rho_{s}}} \frac{h_{s}}{e_{s}} = \operatorname{Re} \sigma_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(57)

where

$$Re = \rho_{s_{e}} \sqrt{h_{s_{e}}} R_{o} / \mu_{s_{e}}$$
(58)

and

 $\sigma_{s_{e}} = P_{s} / \rho_{s_{e}} h_{s_{e}}$ (59)

In terms of these parameters, the heat-transfer distribution is represented by

$$\frac{\mathrm{Nu}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{Re}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0.33 \left(\frac{\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mu} \mu_{\mathbf{w}}}{\rho_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mu} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{e}}} \right)^{0.1} \frac{\overline{\rho} \ \overline{\mu} \ \overline{u} \ \overline{r}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{0}^{\overline{s}} \overline{\rho} \ \overline{\mu} \ \overline{u} \ (\overline{r})^{2} \mathrm{d}\overline{s} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(60)

Corresponding to this equation, the Reynolds number based on momentum thickness is given by the theory of Lees:

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}_{\theta}}{\widetilde{\operatorname{Re}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{0.66 \left[\int_{0}^{\overline{s}} \overline{\rho} \, \overline{\mu} \, \overline{u} \, (\overline{r})^{2} \, d\overline{s} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\overline{\mu} \, \overline{r} \left(\sigma_{s_{e}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(61)

where

$$Re_{\theta} = \frac{\rho_{e} u_{e}^{\theta}}{\mu_{e}}$$
(62)

and

$$\theta = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\rho \mu / \rho_{e} \mu_{e} \right) \left[1 - \left(u / u_{e} \right) \right] dy$$
(63)

Comparison of Eq. 55 and experimental data is presented in Ref. 57 with excellent agreement. Since these experimental results corresponded to a flight Mach number of approximately 20, they are not presented here.

HEAT TRANSFER IN THE TRANSITION REGION

An extensive discussion of boundary-layer transition is presented by Wilson in Ref. 58. This work, however, is largely concerned with transition phenomenon on pointed bodies of revolution. In fact, the observation is made in this reference that few data are available for blunt-body heat transfer in the transition region. Despite this, a computational procedure has been compared with experimental results for the heat transfer in the transition regime by Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby (Ref. 57), by Nardo and Sadler (Ref. 59), and by Stetson (Ref. 60). In anticipation of its use in transition flow, the momentum equation for the axisymmetric boundary layer can be written in the form

$$dRe_{\theta}/d\bar{s} = \left(\tilde{R}e/\sigma_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\bar{\rho}\bar{u}/\bar{\mu}\right)\left(C_{f}/2\right) - Re_{\theta}d(\ln\bar{r}\,\bar{\mu})/d\bar{s}$$
(64)

where $C_f = 2\tau / \rho_u e^2$, the local skin-friction coefficient $\sigma_s = P_s / \rho_s h_s$.

The analysis of Ref. 57 utilizes, for fully developed turbulent flows, the extension of the local Prandtl incompressible skin-friction law for the incompressible "cold-wall" case in the form

 $C_{f}/2 = \bar{\mu}(0.013) \left(Re_{\theta}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ (65)

Numerical integration of Eq. 64 along with a skin-friction law equivalent to Eq. 65 is required. In accordance with the suggestion of Persch (Ref. 61) and including the modification suggested by Ref. 55, the skinfriction becomes

$$C_{f}/2 = \overline{\mu} \left[0.013 \operatorname{Re}_{\theta}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \Pi \operatorname{Re}_{\theta}^{-2} \right]$$
(66)

The constant II in this expression is equivalent from the laminar flow such that the friction coefficient, $C_f/2$, remains continuous at the transition point (Ref. 57),

$$\Pi = \left[\operatorname{Re}_{\theta}^{2} \left(\frac{0.013}{\operatorname{Re}_{\theta}^{2}} - \frac{C_{f}}{2} \frac{\mu_{s}}{\mu_{e}} \right) \right]_{\text{trans.}}$$
(67)

If Eq. 64 and 66 are combined, and Eq. 64 is integrated from the transition point, then the skin-friction coefficient and the momentum-thickness distribution are known. When Reynolds analogy is modified to account for nonunity Prandtl number effects, then the heat-transfer distribution may be found from

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{Pr}^{-(2/3)} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{aw}} - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}} \right) \rho_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{e}} \left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{f}} / 2 \right)$$
(68)

Comparisons of this method of analysis with experimental windtunnel data has been made by Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby (Ref. 57)

and by Nardo and Sadler (Ref. 59). These comparisons were based upon the Nusselt number evaluated from the expression

$$Nu = Pr^{1/3} \left(\frac{h_{aw} - h_{w}}{h_{s_{e}} - h_{w}} \right) \frac{\bar{\rho} \, \bar{u}}{\sigma_{s_{e}}^{l_{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} C_{f}/2 \end{pmatrix} Re_{s}$$
(69)

Figures 20(a) through 20(e) show the variation of Nusselt number along a meridian of the hemisphere used in the wind-tunnel tests. These results were obtained for $\tilde{R}e$ from 0.97 x 10⁶ to 5.1 x 10⁶ with the total temperature variation from approximately 1200-1900°R. The tunnel Mach numbers were not given. These results indicate a qualitative agreement of the computational procedure with the experimental results. It is readily apparent, however, that appropriate free-flight transition heat-transfer data will be required before the method can be used with confidence for design purposes. No such flight data are presently available in the open literature.



FIG. 20(a). Variation of Nusselt Number Along Meridian of Hemisphere, $\tilde{R}e = 0.97 \times 10^6$; Nardo and Sadler, Ref. 59.





71

.





r

TURBULENT HEAT TRANSFER ON BLUNT BODIES OF REVOLUTION

Fully developed, turbulent heat transfer over blunt-body vehicles has been compared to the prediction of the flat-plate reference-enthalpy method by Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby (Ref. 57) for wind-tunnel results, and by Zoby and Sullivan (Ref. 62), and by Eckert (Ref. 63) for the results of free-flight tests.

The correlations, presented by these authors, were made by relating the local skin-friction coefficient to the heating rate by a modified Reynolds analogy expressed as

$$C_{\rm H} = \frac{\dot{q}_{\rm w}}{\left[\rho_{\rm e} u_{\rm e} \left(h_{\rm ew} - h_{\rm w}\right)\right]} = \frac{C_{\rm f}}{2} Pr^{-(2/3)}$$
(70)

To evaluate the Stanton number, C_H , for turbulent heat transfer, the local skin-friction coefficient, C_f , must be properly calculated. The Blasius relation for the incompressible skin-friction coefficient is

$$\left(\frac{C_{f}}{2}\right)_{1} = 0.0296 (Re_{f})^{-0.2}$$
 (71)

for the Reynolds number range $10^5 \le \text{Re}_s \le 10^9$, and the Schultz-Grunow incompressible skin-friction relation is

$$\left(\frac{c_{f}}{2}\right)_{1} = 0.185 \left(\log_{10} Re_{s}\right)^{-2.584}$$
 (72)

for the Reynolds number range $10^5 \le \text{Re}_s \le 10^9$. Zoby and Sullivan (Ref 62) point out that both relationships correlate the data below a Reynolds number, Re_s, of 10^7 but that the Schultz-Grunow relation applies over the entire range of Reynolds numbers from 10^5 to 10^9 .

Compressibility effects were accounted for by again evaluating the flow properties at reference conditions. The local skin-friction coefficient is then written

$$C_{f}^{2} = 0.0296 \left(\rho^{*}/\rho_{e}\right)^{0.8} \left(\mu^{*}/\mu_{e}\right)^{0.2} \left(Re_{s}^{*}\right)^{-0.2}$$
 (73)

and

$$c_f/2 = 0.185 \left(\rho^*/\rho_e\right) \left(\log_{10} Re_s^*\right)^{-2.584}$$
 (74)

for the Blasius and Schultz-Grunow relations, respectively.

By substituting these expressions into Eq. 70, the following expressions for the heating rate are obtained:

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{w}} = 0.0296 \ \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{e}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}} \right) \left(\mathbf{Pr}^{\star} \right)^{-2/3} \left(\mathbf{Re}_{\mathbf{s}} \right)^{-0.2} \left(\rho^{\star} / \rho_{\mathbf{e}} \right)^{0.8} \left(\mu^{\star} / \mu_{\mathbf{e}} \right)^{0.2}$$
(75)

and

$$\dot{q}_{w} = 0.185 \ \mu_{e} u_{e} \left(h_{aw} - h_{w} \right) \left(Pr^{*} \right)^{-2/3} \left(\log_{10} Re_{s}^{*} \right)^{-2.584} \left(\rho^{*} / \rho_{e} \right)$$
(76)

It is easily shown that Eq. 70 may be written in terms of the Eckert flat-plate reference-enthalpy formula. If

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\star} = \frac{\rho^{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{s}}}{\mathbf{u}^{\star}}$$
(77)

· 8

then

$$C_{\rm H}^{\star} = \frac{q_{\rm w}}{\rho \, u_{\rm e} \, \left(h_{\rm gw} - h_{\rm w}\right)} = 0.0296 \, \left(P_{\rm r}^{\star}\right)^{-2/3} \left(R_{\rm e}^{\star}\right)^{-0.2}$$
(78)

The Reynolds number is based upon properties evaluated at reference conditions, the velocity at the outer edge of the boundary layer, and the corresponding flat-plate wetted length.

Experimental results for turbulent heat transfer to blunt bodies of revolution obtained in wind tunnel tests, presented in Ref. 57, are shown in Fig. 21. These data were obtained from the shrouded-model wind-tunnel technique for tunnel total temperature of 1200-1900°R, hence, tunnel Mach numbers were not reported.

Shown also in Fig. 21 are results obtained by Buglia (Ref. 50) from free-flight tests of the smooth hemispherically capped cone over a Mach number range from 2.32 to 3.14.



FIG. 21. Variation of Local Stanton Number Based on the Flat-Plate Reference-Enthalpy Method With the Local Reynolds Number; Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby, Ref. 57; and Buglia, Ref. 50.

The correlation study of Zoby and Sullivan (Ref. 62) includes some turbulent heat-transfer data. These data cover a Mach number range from 2.98 to 5.3 and include some 13 data points. It should be pointed out that blunt-body, turbulent heat-transfer data are relatively scarce as compared to sharp cone results, and that additional turbulent heattransfer results are needed below a Mach number of 3 to obtain more confidence in the engineering heat-transfer computational methods.

Eckert (Ref. 63) has presented a correlation of experimental results for turbulent flow over flat plates as a function of Mach numbers in the range from 0 to approximately 5. The experimental results are not pertinent to this report because they were obtained for a flat plate, but the trend of the limited data is important. Eckert found that the ratio of the actual to the constant-property turbulent-friction factor for a plate at the recovery temperature scattered rather widely from the theoretical values as the flow Mach number decreased from approximately 2 to approximately unity. If this trend is typical of heat-transfer results in this Mach number range, then the method of analysis for bluntbody heat transfer in the turbulent regime may be in question. Hence, even though satisfactory agreement for fully developed turbulent heattransfer results may be obtained from the flat-plate reference-enthalp, method for Mach numbers greater than 1.6, one must be cautious in arbitrarily extrapolating the results to Mach numbers close to unity. Clearly, additional experimental results are required in this range.

For rapid calculation of turbulent skin-friction and heat-transfer results for high Mach number vehicles, Knuth (Ref. 64), and Neal and Bertram (Ref. 65) have presented turbulent skin-friction and heat-transfer charts adapted from the Spalding and Chi (Ref. 66) method for determining the drag of a compressible, turbulent boundary layer on a smooth flat plate. This method is presented for a Mach number range from 0 to 20, considering gross effects; hence, its usefulness in a limited range of Mach numbers from 0.7 to 5 where detail is important becomes questionable.

METHOD OF COMPUTATION OF THE HEAT-TRANSFER DISTRIBUTION

The calculation of the stagnation-point heat-transfer rate and the distribution of heat-transfer rate over the surface of a blunt-body vehicle are preferably performed by a digital computer. The slide rule can be used for initial estimates, but the computations can become tedious. The following discussion describes the method of calculation and the assumptions made.

The geometrical configuration is assumed to be a spherically capped frustrum of a cone.

Effects of wall temperature, Mach numbers, and variable fluid properties are accounted for by evaluating the fluid properties at the appropriate reference conditions. Correspondingly, the theoretical variation of Stanton number versus Reynolds number, based on the referenceenthalpy method, is a straight line on a log-log graph, either for laminar flow, Eq. 49, or turbulent flow, Eq. 78. The state of the boundary layer may be readily ascertained from such a plot, as indicated in Fig. 18 (a-f)through 20(a-e).

To summarize the method, the flow at the outer edge of the boundary layer is assumed to have passed through a normal shock wave, followed by isentropic expansion to the theoretical value of the surface pressure found from Eq. 12. Fluid properties are evaluated at the reference condition, thereby eliminating the dependence of Stanton number and Reynolds number on Mach number, wall temperature, and variable fluid properties. Air properties are taken from Keenan and Kayes "Gas Tables," whereas the viscosity is evaluated by using Sutherland's equation.

The input information required for the computation of heat-transfer distributions is assumed to be (1) vehicle nose radius, (2) flight velocity, (3) ambient temperature, (4) ambient density, and (5) wall temperature.

Stagnation-Point Heat-Transfer Rate

The calculation of the stagnation-point heat-transfer rate proceeds as follows:

1. Compute the flight Mach number, M_{∞} , from the flight velocity, V_{∞} (fps), and the ambient air temperature, T_{∞} (°R):

$$M_{\infty} = \frac{V_{\infty}}{49.1\sqrt{T_{\infty}}}$$

2. Compute the pressure ratio, P_s/P_{∞} , across the normal shock e wave from the flight Mach number M_:

$$\frac{{}^{P}s_{e}}{{}^{P}_{\infty}} = \frac{\left[[(\gamma+1)/2] M_{\infty}^{2} \right]^{\gamma/\gamma-1}}{\left[2\gamma/(\gamma+1) M_{\infty}^{2} - (\gamma-1)/(\gamma+1) \right]^{1/\gamma-1}}$$

For $\gamma = 1.40$, this equation reduces to

$$\frac{P_{s}}{P_{\infty}} = 1.2 M_{\infty}^{2} \left(\frac{7.2 M_{\infty}^{2}}{7 M_{\infty}^{2} - 1}\right)^{2.5}$$

3. Compute the ratio of the total temperature at the outer edge of the boundary layer at the stagnation point to the free-stream static temperature:

$$\frac{T_{s}}{T_{\infty}} = 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_{\infty}^{2}$$

4. From the gas tables, extract the enthalpy, haw, corresponding to the temperature, T (°R), and the enthalpy, \hat{h}_{W} , corresponding to

the wall temperature, T_w (°R). For low temperatures, corresponding to $M_m < 5$, the specific heat at constant pressure may be assumed constant at a value of cp = 0.246 Btu/lbm°R.

5. Compute the density_at the edge_of the boundary layer at the stagnation point, $\rho = P_s / \bar{R} T_s lbm/ft^3$, and the density of air at

the wall temperature at the nose of the vehicle

$$P_{w_{s}} = \frac{\frac{P_{s}}{e}}{\bar{R}T_{us}} \frac{1bm}{ft^{3}}$$

where R is the gas constant for air.

6. Compute the viscosity of the air at the stagnation-point temperature, T (°R), and at the wall temperature at the nose of the vehicle, T (°R), from Sutherland's formula

$$\mu = \frac{2.270 \times 10^{-8} (T)^{3/2}}{T + 198.6} \quad \frac{1b_{f} - \sec}{ft^{2}}$$

where T is the temperature in degrees Rankine.

7. Compute the stagnation-point heat-transfer parameter, $\dot{q}_{s} \sqrt{\frac{R}{P}}_{s}$ from the results of Fay and Riddell, Eq. 40:

$$\dot{q}_{w_{s}}\sqrt{R_{o}/P_{s}} = 0.763 \left(Pr^{-0.6}\right) \left(\rho_{w_{s}}\mu_{w_{s}}\right)^{0.1} \left(\rho_{e_{s}}\mu_{e_{s}}\right)^{0.4} \left(h_{aw}^{-h_{w}}\right) \left[\left(2\bar{R}T_{s_{e}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P_{\infty}}{P_{s_{e}}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_{s}}}$$

For a given nose radius, R_0 , this result then yields the stagnationpoint heat-transfer rate.

Heat-Transfer Distribution Over Hemisphere Surface

To compute the heat-transfer distribution over the hemisphere surface, the expression of Lees, Eq. 50 and 51 may be used as follows:

1. Compute the heat transfer on the hemispherical cap at the angle θ from Lees relationship, Eq. 50 and 51. The angle θ is the angle between the flight direction and the radius vector to the surface station of interest. The stagnation-point heat-transfer rate is obtained from the previous calculation.

An alternate method for computing the local value of the heat transfer employs the Korobkin expression for the Stanton number, Eq. 49. The procedure for obtaining the heat flux from this expression is as follows:

2. Compute the pressure, P_e , at the edge of the boundary layer at the point θ from Eq. 12 for the pressure coefficient. The Newtonian pressure distribution may be used to advantage over the surface of the hemisphere.

3. Compute the static temperature, T_e , at the outer edge of the boundary layer, assuming isentropic flow from the stagnation point, from

 $\frac{T_e}{T_s} = \left(\frac{P_e}{P_s}\right)$

4. Compute the velocity, $u_e(fps)$, of the flow at the outer edge of the boundary layer from the flight velocity, $V_{\infty}(fps)$, and the enthalpies, $h_e(Btu/lbm)$ and $h_{\infty}(Btu/lbm)$, corresponding to the temperatures T_e and T_{∞} .

$$u_{e} = \sqrt{V_{\infty}^{2} + 50,100(h_{\infty} - h_{e})}$$

5. Compute the Reynolds number corresponding to the local flow conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer at the distance, s(ft),

$$Re_{s} = \frac{\rho_{e}u_{e}s}{\mu_{e}}$$

6. If $\text{Re}_{s} < 10^{6}$, assume laminar boundary layer. If $\text{Re}_{s} > 10^{6}$, assume turbulent boundary layer.

7. Compute a, the recovery factor, r^* ; b, the adiabatic wall enthalpy; and c, the reference temperature, $T^*(^{\circ}R)$:

a. Recovery factor



b. Adiabatic wall enthalpy

$$h_{a_{u}} = h_{e} + r^{*} \frac{u_{e}^{2}}{50,100} \frac{Btu}{1bm}$$

c. Reference temperature

$$\mathbf{T}^{\star} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{e}} + 0.50 \left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{e}} \right) + 0.22 \left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{aw}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{e}} \right)$$

where the adiabatic recovery temperature, T_{aw} , corresponds to the adiabatic wall enthalpy.

8. Compute the density, $\rho^{*}(1bm/ft^{3})$, and vincosity, $\mu^{*}(1b_{f}-sec/ft^{2})$, corresponding to the local pressure, $P_{e}(1b_{f}/ft^{2})$ and reference temperature, $T^{*}(^{\circ}R)$.

9. Compute the Reynolds number based on reference conditions and the running length along the surface from the stagnation point to the given station:



10. For laminar flow on the hemisphere surface, use Korobkin's equation, Eq. 49, for the Stanton number on a sphere:

$$c_{\rm H}^{\star} = 0.763 \ \left({\rm Pr} \right)^{-0.6} \ \left({\rm Re}_{\rm g} \right)^{-1/2}$$

11. The heat flux at the station of interest is then computed from

$$\dot{q}_{w} = C_{H}^{*} \rho^{*} u_{e} \left(h_{aw} - h_{w} \right)$$

12. For turbulent flow, the Eckert flat-plate equation may be used to compute the Stanton number:

$$C_{\rm H}^{\star} = 0.0296 \, \left({\rm Pr}^{\star} \right)^{-2/3} \left({\rm Re}_{\rm s}^{\star} \right)^{-0.2} \, {\rm for} \, 10^5 \le {\rm Re}_{\rm s} \le 10^7$$

13. When a wider range of Reynolds number, Re_s, is encountered for turbulent flow, the Schultz-Grunow relation may be used:

$$c_{\rm H}^{\star} = 0.185 \left({\rm Pr} \right)^{-2/3} \left(1_{\rm Jg} {}_{10} {\rm Re}_{\rm g} \right)^{-2.584}$$

Heat Transfer in the Transitional Region

The procedure for the determination of the heat transfer in the transitional region between the laminar and turbulent heat-transfer regions is outlined as follows:

1. The Reynolds number, Re_{θ} , based upon the momentum thickness may be chosen as the parameter to represent transition. This momentum Reynolds number, Re_{θ} , based on local fluid properties at the outer edge of the boundary layer and the laminar boundary layer momentum thickness, may be computed from Ref. 57:

$$Re_{\theta} = \frac{0.22}{C_f/2} = 0.22 \left(Pr_e\right)^{-1} \left(C_{H_e}\right)^{-1}$$

Transition is assumed to occur at $Re_{\theta} = 250$. Highly polished surfaces will delay transition; hence, higher values of Re_{θ} for transition will occur. These values will have to be determined experimentally.

2. The constant B is evaluated from the laminar flow such that the local friction coefficient, $C_f/2$, remains continuous from the laminar to turbulent transition region:

$$II = \left[Re_{\theta}^{2} \left(\frac{0.013}{Re_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{C_{f}}{2} \frac{\mu_{s}}{\mu_{e}} \right) \right]$$
 at transition

3. Calculation of the skin-friction and the momentum Reynolds number through the transition region proceeds through the numerical solution of the following equations:

$$\frac{C_{f}}{2} = \frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{s}} \left(\frac{0.013}{Re_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\Pi}{Re_{\theta}^{2}} \right)$$

$$\frac{dRe_{\theta}}{ds} = Re \left(\frac{\rho_{e}u_{e}}{\mu_{e}} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_{s}}{\rho_{s}\sqrt{h_{s}}} \right) \left(\frac{C_{f}}{2} \right)$$

$$- Re_{\theta} d \left\{ ln \left[\left(r_{o}/R_{o} \right) \frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{s}} \right] \right\} / ds$$

1 1

4. The heat-transfer distribution is then calculated from

$$\dot{q}_{w} = (C_{f}/2) (Pr_{e})^{-2/3} \rho_{e} u_{e} (h_{aw} - h_{w})$$

5. Finally, the local Nusselt number is calculated from

Nu = Pr^{1/3}
$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{aw} - h_{w} \\ h_{s_{e}} - h_{w} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{e}/\rho_{s_{e}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{e}/u_{s_{e}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{e}/\mu_{s_{e}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$Re = \frac{\frac{\rho_s u_{\infty}^R \sigma_o}{e}}{\frac{\mu_s}{e}}$$

is the Reynolds number based on flight velocity, stagnation properties, and the radius of the hemisphere.

CONCLUSIONS

PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS

In addition to a literature survey, methods for determining the pressure distribution on bodies of revolution in flight regimes from high subsonic through supersonic are discussed in this report as stated in the introduction. From this investigation, the modified Newtonian and the modified Newtonian/shock-expansion methods give good accuracy with a minimum of effort for the following cases:

1. For a hemisphere-cylinder, the pressure distribution may by determined from either Eq. 3 or 13 in combination with Eq. 12 for the Mach number range from 0.75 to 2.0, and from Eq. 2 and 3 in combination with the shock-expansion method for Mach numbers above 2.

2. For other blunt bodies of revolution that have continuous curvature in the nose region, Eq. 15 is used for Mach numbers from 0.7 to 2.0, and Eq. 2 is used for Mach numbers above 2.

3. For pointed bodies, Eq. 6 and the modified Newtonian/shockexpansion method are used for Mach numbers above 1.0.

4. Equation 11 may be used for cylindrical afterbodies.

For pointed bodies at Mach numbers other than those specified as being ammenable to the modified Newtonian/shock-expansion method, the most useful techniques are those of the small-perturbation theory as developed by Van Dyke and Spreiter.

HEAT-TRANSFER DISTRIBUTIONS

This report gives an evaluation of applicable blunt-body heattransfer rates for high-subsonic to low-supersonic flight. An outline of an engineering method of analysis for the prediction of blunt-body heat transfer within this flight regime is also presented. From this study, the following conclusions can be made.

1. Stagnation-point heat transfer to blunt-body vehicles for a range of flight Mach numbers from 2.3 to 3.1 has been predicted by the formula of Fay and Riddell with an accuracy from 8 to 20%, inclusively. However, the accuracy of prediction of wind tunnel data by the formula of Fay and Riddell is dependent upon the enthalpy difference across the boundary layer and not upon the tunnel Mach number. Thus, experimental data are required to determine the applicability of the formula of Fay and Riddell for Mach number regions of less than 2.

2. The laminar heat-transfer distributions over blunt bodies of revolution at Mach numbers between approximately 2 and 5 are best represented by Lees' method. If laminar flow persists to the juncture of hemisphere and cone on a hemispherically capped cone, Lees' method will predict the effect of pressure gradient on the reduction of the heat transfer. However, for the laminar region between the stagnation point and the body station at 45 degrees, little difference is noted between Korobkin's method and Lees' method.

3. The method of predicting the heat-transfer rate in the transition region on blunt-body vehicles developed by Crasci, MacKenzie, and Libby; Nardo and Sadler; and Stetson is apparently a rational and fairly accurate method for including transitional behavior in heat-transfer calculations. Nevertheless, methods for predicting transition still remain to be developed.

4. Fully developed, turbulent heat-transfer rates over blunt-body vehicles for a Mach number range from 1.6 to above 5 are adequately represented by Eckert's flat-plate reference enthalpy technique. No

NWC TF 4570

turbulent heat-transfer data are available in the open literature for either flat plates or for blunt-body systems in the Mach number range from 0.7 to 1.6. Such data will be required to provide confidence in the application of the engineering methods discussed for this range of Mach numbers. Extrapolation of the flat-plate formulas into this range of Mach numbers may be of questionable accuracy.

No. of Street, or other

Appendix

BIBLIOGRAPHY

This bibliography consists of three sections. The first section is an annotated bibliography that cites and critically reviews 51 entries of particular interest in the determination of pressure and heat-transfer distributions on bodies of revolution for subsonic, transonic, and supersonic flight regimes. The second section, a general bibliography, cites 516 entries of interest in this area. Some additional references covering hypersonic flow, boundary-layer theory, real gas effects, and corrections for angle of attack or yaw, which contain pertinent information, are also included. This overall bibliography is not exhaustive, but it is extensive and does present the major portion of those articles having a direct bearing in the development of engineering methods of computing pressure and heat-transfer distribution.

The annotated bibliography contains entries arranged alphabetically by author. Those entries covering pressure distribution are presented first (Ref. 1-23), followed by those covering heat-transfer distribution (Ref. 24-51). The general bibliography contains entries, again, arranged alphabetically by author; however, these are separated into six main groups by subject. The largest groups, those covering pressure distribution and heat-transfer distribution, are further subdivided for more convenient use.

ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION

 Adams, M. C. and Sears, W. R., "Slender-Body Theory--Extensions and Review," Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 20, No. 2, February 1953, pp. 85-98. (see also, Sears, W. R., "Small Perturbation Theory," <u>High Speed Aerodynamic</u> and Jet Propulsion, Vol. 6, Part C., Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1954.

A review is presented of small perturbation theory as applied to wings and slender bodies of revolution. Consideration is given to determination of pressure distribution from theoretical developments which are obtained by linearized methods, similarity, and simple corrections to incompressible distributions. This report provides a good introduction to the subject and suggests several useful modifications of earlier methods which give improved accuracy. A number of comparisons of theoretical and test results are given. The test data, however, has been drawn from other sources.

 Andrews, J. S., "Steady State Airload Distribution on a Hammerhead-Shaped Payload of a Multistaged Vehicle at Transonic Speeds," <u>D2-22947-1</u>, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington, February 1964.

Data is presented for pressure distribution on a hemisphere cylinder for a Mach number range from 0.8 to 2.0 (see Table 1A for data summary). Additional and empirical modifications of the modified Newtonian theory is developed which correlates the data for $0.75 \le M \le 2.0$.

$$C_{p} = C_{p_{max}} \sin^{2}\theta_{b} + F(\theta_{b}, M_{\infty})$$

$$F(\theta_{b}, M_{\infty}) = [0.78(\frac{1}{M_{m}})^{2.27} \sin^{2}\theta_{b} - 0.95e^{-2.235}(M_{\infty}-1)]\cos\theta_{b}$$

 Baer, A. L., "Pressure Distribution on a Hemisphere Cylinder at Supersonic Mach Numbers," <u>AEDC-TN-61-96</u>, August 1961 (AD 261 501).

Experimental pressure distributions were obtained over the hemispheric.1 nose of AGARD Model E at Mach numbers ranging from 2 to 8 (see Table 1A for summary of data). Tests results are compared with modified Newtonian theory and show good agreement. Comparison with the numerical methods of Van Dyke and Gordon (NASA TR R-1, 1959) are also given.

4. Blick, E. F. and Francis, J. E., "Spherically Blunted Cone Pressure Distribution," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 3, March 1966, pp. 547-549.

The modified Newtonian-shock expansion method is applied to the determination of the pressure distribution on spherically blunted cones. The angle at which the modified Newtonian and the shock-expansion methods match is given by the empirical equation

 $\delta_{\rm m} = 0.349 + [0.198 + 0.80(M_{\rm m} - 2.8)^{-0.455}]$

arc cos [1.05/1nM_)]rad

for the range $3 \le M_m \le 15$.

5. Cole, J. D., "Newtonian Flow Theory for Slender Bodies," Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 8, June 1957, pp. 448-455.

Newtonian flow theory is examined from the point of view of gas dynamics and hypersonic small-disturbance theory. A general solution of the first approximation for the flow past slender bodies at zero angle of attack is given.

This paper is one of the earlier efforts in application of Newtonian theory and its major concern is the hypersonic flow regime.

6. Guderley, G. and Yoshihara, H., "Axial-Symmetric Transonic Flow Patterns." USAF Technical Report 5797. USAFAMC, Dayton, Ohio, 1949.

A solution for the flow field about an axisymmetric body at free stream Mach number one is presented. The method is of the indirect type, working from an assumed potential flow field to the resulting description of a nose shape. This approach appears to be too complicated to be useful in terms of an "engineering" solution for pressure distribution on a specific body.

7. Katz, J. R., "Pressure and Wave Drag Coefficients for Hemisphere, Hemisphere Cones, and Hemisphere Ogives," <u>NAVORD Report 5849</u>, March 1958.

Experimental pressure coefficients for hemisphere cylinders and hemisphere comes are presented and compared with modified Newtonian theory (a summary of the data is presented in Table 1A). No data is presented for pressure distribution on hemisphere ogives. The results show good correlation of experimental data for a Mach number range 2.5 to 6.5 with the modified New-tonian form

 $C_p = C_p \frac{\sin^2\theta}{b}$

 Laitone, E. V., "Linvarized Subsonic and Supersonic Flow about Inclined Slender Bodies of Revolution," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 14, No. 11, November 1947, pp. 631-642.

Rigorous first-order linearized solutions are obtained for subsonic and supersonic flow about bodies of revolution. The surface pressure is found by means of a Taylor's Series Expansion in terms of the cross-sectional area. The resulting expressions are in satisfactory agreement with existing experimental data. The first order solution for the subsonic regime is strictly valid only for incompressible flow or for a slender pointed body in the compressible subsonic regime. However, except in the region of a stagnation point, the solution is also a valid approximation for

slender bodies with blunt ends. The solution for the supersonic regime is only valid where the entire flow field is supersonic. This imposes the requirement that the nose be pointed and the shock wave attached.

Some comment on the pressure distribution at small angles of attack is also made.

9. Laitone, E. V., "The Subsonic Flow about a Body of Revolution," <u>Quarterly</u> <u>Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 5, Part 2, July 1947.

A solution is presented for the linearized flow potential around axisymmetric bodies of revolution in the subsonic regime:

$$\phi_{xx} + \phi_{rr} + 1/r \phi_r = 0$$

This is accomplished by approximating the source-sink distribution integral by a Taylor Series Expansion. This process yields the following first-order approximation for the pressure distribution

$$C_{p} = + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{s'}{2x} \left(\frac{1-2x/d}{1-x/L} \right) - s''(1 + \ln \frac{\beta r}{L} - \ln 2 \frac{x}{L}(1 - \frac{x}{L})) + \frac{s^{(3)}}{4} L(1 - \frac{2x}{L}0 + \frac{s^{(4)}}{24}L^{2}(1 - \frac{2x}{L} + \frac{2x^{2}}{L^{2}}) + \dots - (r')^{2}$$

where the coordinates are as follows



The values of C obtained are strictly valid only for incompressible flow or for pointed ^pslender bodies in subsonic compressible flow. However, except in the region of a stagnation point, the expression offers a valid approximation for the pressure distribution on blunt slender bodies of revolution.

10. Lees, L., "Hypersonic Flow," <u>Proceedings of the Fifth International Aero-</u> nautical Conference, IAS-RAES, June 20-23, 1955.

A considerable contribution to the usefulness of Newtonian theory is made by suggesting a modified form for the pressure coefficient on blunt bodies

$$C_p = C_p sin^{2\theta} b$$

where C = the value at the stagnation point.

 Love, E. S., "Generalized Newtonian Theory," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 5, May 1959, p. 314.

A means of applying modified Newtonian theory to pointed bodies of revolution is given. Using Lees' form

$$C_p = C_p \sin^2\theta_b$$

 $C_{P_{max}}$ is defined as

 $C_{p_{max}} = C_{p_N} / \sin^2 \theta_N$

where

C = C at nose of cone with semi-vertex angle θ_N

 $\theta_{\rm N}$ = local slope at pointed nose of the body

This application is, however, limited to the portion of the supersonic flight regime where the body will have an attached shock at the nose.

 Matthews, C. W., "Comparison of the Experimental Subsonic Pressure Distribution about Several Bodies of Revolution with Pressure Distribution Computed by Means of the Linearized Theory," <u>NACA TN 2519</u>, February 1952.

Experimental pressure distributions on two prolate spheroids of fineness ratios 6 and 10, an ogive body and a prolate spheroid with an angular bump are compared with theoretical results obtained from applying the Prandtl-Glauert correction to the incompressible potential flow equations and from linearized theory. It is concluded that the rather more complicated Prandtl-Glauert method gives satisfactory results except in the region immediately adjacent to the ends. It is also concluded that the linearized theory does not yield satisfactory results. However, it should be noted that in arriving at this conclusion, Matthews used an incomplete expression for C_p . Later in reviewing this work Adams and Sears point out that using the complete expression for C_p

$$C_{\mathbf{p}} = -2\phi_{\mathbf{x}} - \phi_{\mathbf{r}}^2$$

linearized theory predicts the experimental results of this report equally as well as the Prandtl-Glauert convection method and with less effort.

13. Okauchi, K., "Summary of Results of Pressure Coefficient Calculations for a Spherical-Tipped Tangent-Ogive Body," <u>NAVWEPS Report 8048</u>, October 1962.

A review of results obtained in work conducted by the Naval Ordnance Test Station to develop procedures for calculating pressure on practical body shapes is presented.

The body used for the test had a spherically-tipped ($r_c = 0.094$ in.) tangent ogive nose ($r_b = 0.592$ in.). Comparison of test results and theoretical results obtained from Van Dyke's second-order method is made at $M_m =$

• 4

1.53 and 2.17. In the theoretical analysis it is assumed that the body is pointed. The agreement is quite good (away from the nose tip). For $M_{co} = 3.24$ and 4.84 a method of characteristic solution is compared with test results. Again the body is assumed to be pointed in the theoretical calculations and the results showed good agreement. This report also contained data for $M_{\infty} = 0.75$, 0.85, 0.95, 1.05, and 1.15. There is no attempt to present theoretical comparisons for these Mach numbers. 14. Perry, J. C. and Pasiuk, C., "A Comparison of Solutions to a Blunt Body Problem," AIAA Journal, Vol. 4, No. 8, August 1966, p. 1438. Consideration is given to the various numerical methods of predicting the flow in the nose region of a blunt body moving at supersonic speeds. Fourteen different solutions were obtained and compared with experimental results for an elipsoide of revolution (r = $\sqrt{2x - 4x^2}$) at M_m = 3. This comparison showed several numerical methods closely predicted the measured test results. There was scatter between the pressures predicted by various numerical solutions, however. This scatter was considerable in the nose region. The numerical methods used are based on one or the other of three different computational approaches developed by Belotserkorski, Van Dyke, and Swigart. A method developed at NASA by Lomax and Inouge using Van Dyke's approach and a method using Belotserkorski's approach developed at NWL by DiDonato pro-

 Spreiter, J. R., "Aerodynamics of Wings and Bodies at Transonic Speeds," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 26, No. 8, August 1959, pp. 465-486.

vided quite good results.

A brief summary is presented on basic concepts and the principle results that have emerged from numerous experimental and theoretical investigations of transonic flow past thin wings and slender bodies. Emphasis is placed throughout on the correlation and evaluation of resulted provided by diverse methods for the approximate solutions of the nonlinear equations of the small perturbation theory in transonic flow. Also a critical examination of experimental results is given.

This paper is particularly valuable as a review of material pertinent to transonic flight. However, the bulk of the material presented is for two-dimensional bodies or pointed bodies of revolution and also appears in Spreiter and Alksne (<u>NASA TR R-2</u>) (see Table 1a for data summary).

16. Spreiter, J. R. and Alksne, A. Y., "Slender Body Theory Based on Approximate Solution of the Transonic Flow Equation," NASA TR R-2, 1959.

Approximate solutions of the nonlinear equations of the small perturbation theory for transonic flow are found for pointed slender bodies of revolution for flows with free-stream Mach number 1 and for flows that are either purely subsonic or supersonic. These results are obtained by application of a method based on local linearization. The theory is developed for pointed bodies of arbitrary shape and specific results are given for

cone cylinders and parabolic arc bodies at zero angle of attack. Results are compared with data (see Table 1A for data summary).

The method of this report is rather complicated but does provide solutions for the pressure distribution on pointed bodies in transonic flight not found elsewhere. Additionally, the report is of considerable value as general background material.

17. Van Dyke, M. D., "The Paraboloid of Revolution Subsonic Flow," Journal of Mathematics and Physics, Vol. 37, No. 1, January 1958, pp. 38-51.

The solution for the flow about a paraboloid of revolution is developed and it is demonstrated how this solution may be applied to remedy the defects which arise when linearized small perturbation theory and its higher approximations are applied to bolies having round noses. This report provides useful background when applying the methods developed by Van Dyke in <u>MASA TR R-47</u>.

 Van Dyke, M. D., "Practical Calculation of Second-Order Supersonic Flow Past Nonlifting Bodies of Revolution," <u>NACA TN 2744</u>, July 1952.

Calculation of second-order supersonic flow past pointed bodies of revolution at zero angle of attack is described in detail and reduced to routine computation. Tables of basic functions and standard computation forms are presented. The procedure is summarized so that one can apply it without necessarily understanding the details of the theory. A sample calculation is also given.

19. Van Dyke, M. D., "Second-Order Slender Body Theory--Axisymmetric Flow," NASA TR R-47. 1959.

Small perturbation theory for subsonic and supersonic flow past bodies of revolution is developed. Methods are developed for handling the difficulties which arise at round ends in subsonic flow. In addition to secondorder theory, first order linearized theory and the transonic approximation to the non-linear equations are developed and discussed in some detail.

The methods presented are somewhat difficult in application but the report presents a very good comprehensive review of perturbation theory. All results obtained are compared with other existing theories or with experimental data (see Table 1A for data summary).

 Van Dyke, M. D., "The Similarity Rules for Second-Order Subsonic and Supersonic Flow," <u>NACA Report 1374</u>, 1958.

The similarity rules for linearized compressible flow theory (Gothert's rule and its supersonic counterpart) are extended to second order. It is shown that any second-order subsonic flow can be related to "nearly incompressible" flow past the same body, which can be calculated by the Janzen-Rayleigh method. It is also pointed out that these methods are chiefly useful as a theoretical aid rather than in correlation of experimental data. This arises as tests on four related bodies would be needed to isolate the four functions involved in the similarity expression.

21. Van Dyke, M. D., "A Study of Hypersonic Small Disturbance Theory," <u>NACA TN</u> 3173, May 1954.

Newtonian theory is suggested as a practical means of determining the pressure distribution over bodies of revolution in hypersonic flight. For the present purpose the value of this report is chiefly historical in that it records the first application of Newtonian theory to problems of current interest. In its original form Newtonian theory yields a pressure coefficient of the form

$$C_p = 2 \sin^2 \theta_b$$

22. Vendemia, R. J., "An Engineering Method for Rapid Calculation of Supersonic-Hypersonic Pressure Distributions on Lifting and Non-Lifting Pointed Bodies of Revolution and Several Special Cases of Blunt-Nosed Bodies of Revolution," <u>TG 752</u>, The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland, November 1965.

A method is presented for calculating pressure distribution and local Mach numbers for pointed bodies of revolution and for several cases of bluntnosed bodies in the supersonic and hypersonic flight regimes. The method used is a hybrid solution involving modified Newtonian and shock-expansion theory. This approach is very useful and provides accurate results for a variety of nose shapes and fineness ratios in the supersonic to hypersonic regime. A considerable amount of test data is also presented and compared with theory (see Table 1A for a summary of Mach numbers and nose shapes). In the context of the present problem this report is of considerable value.

23. Wagner, R. D., Jr., "Some Aspects of Modified Newtonian and Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Method for Axisymmetric Blunt Bodies at Zero Angle of Attack," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 26, No. 12, December 1959, p. 851.

A method is presented for determining the point at which the modified Newtonian and shock-expansion solutions should be matched. θ_{MP}° and M_{MP} are given in graphical form as a function of Mach number ($3 \le M \le 40$) for a hemisphere cylinder in air and helium.

HEAT-TRANSFER DISTRIBUTION

24. Beckwith, I. V. and Gallagher, J. J., "Heat Transfer and Recovery Temperatures on a Sphere with Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Boundary Layers at Mach Numbers of 2.00 and 4.15," NACA TN 4125, December 1957.

An investigation was made of the pressure, equilibrium, temperatures, and aerodynamic heat transfer on a sphere and on the nose of a hemisphere cylinder at Mach numbers of 2.00 and 4.15. The heat-transfer coefficients at the stagnation point from the tests at both Mach numbers were compared with the theory of Reshotko and Cohen (NACA TN 3513) and were in good agreement. The velocity gradients used in the theory were evaluated from the experimental pressure date.

The local heat-transfer coefficients from the tests at Mach number 2.00 were in agreement with the theory for laminar flow in the region up to approximately 20° from the stagnation point. Large increases in heattransfer coefficient caused by transition to turbulent flow occurred beyond this region with the maximum values observed at about 40° from the stagnation point. From this location back to the separation point the approximate level in the heat-transfer coefficient as well as the variation with distance was fairly well predicted by a simple theory based on turbulent heat-transfer formulas for flat plates.

The heat transfer coefficients from the tests at Mach number 4.15 at the highest Reynolds numbers were generally similar to the results at Mach number 2.00 except that transition taually occurred farther back on the nose. The maximum values of the heat transfer coefficient downstream of transition were again in reasonably good agreement with the simple turbulent-flow theory. For Reynolds number of about 3.00 x 10^6 or less, the data over the entire hemispherical nose were in agreement with the theory for laminar flow.

 Brindle, C. C. and Malia, M. J., Jr., "Longitudinal Aerodynamic and Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere-Cylinder Missile Configuration with an Aerodynamic Spike," <u>Aero Report No. 1061</u>, Washington, D.C., The David Taylor Model Basin Aerodynamics Laboratory, <u>AD No. 417 466</u>, July 1963.

A hemisphere cylinder with an aerodynamic spike (produced by a small nozzle exhausting air from the hemispherical nose) was tested in the 18-inch wind tunnel at Mach numbers of 1.87 and 2.16. Heat-transfer coefficients were measured for both hot and cold bodies. No comparison or correlations with theory were obtained

 Brunk, J. E. and White, W. N., "Free-Flight Hypersonic Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Transition Studies: HTV Flights A-40 and A-41," <u>ARL Technical Report 60-311</u>, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, Aeronautical Research Laboratory, December 1960.

Two HTV-1 hypersonic test vehicles, Rounds A-40 and A-41, were flown at Holloman AFB in October 1959 with blunted and sharp 20° half angle nose cones, respectively. A maximum flight velocity of 5800 feet per second was attained, corresponding to a local sharp core Mach number and unit Reynolds number of 3.4 and 50 x 10^6 per foot respectively.

Surface temperatures and aerodynamic heating rates were obtained for one station and three radial positions on the conical portion of the blunted nose cone (Round A-40) and at three stations on each of two longitudinal rays on the sharp cone (Round A-41). Uncertainties in the data reduction invalidated the laminar results but the heating rates obtained in turbulent regions on the conical sections showed good agreement with the theoratical heating rates based upon Eckert's flat-plate reference enthalpy method. The surface finish was specified as 32 micrcinches (RMS) maximum.

1.

 Buglia, J. J., "Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on a Highly-Polished Hemisphere-Cone in Free Flight at Mach Numbers up to 3.14 and Reynolds Numbers up to 24 x 106," <u>NASA TN D-955</u>, September 1961.

The model used for the flight-testing had a ratio of nose radius to base radius of 0.74 and a half-angle of 14.5° and was flight-tested at Mach numbers up to 4.70. The nose of the model had a surface roughness of 2 to 5 microinches as measured with an interferometer. A comparison with results from rougher models indicated that the high degree of polish was instrumental in delaying the transition from laminar to turbulent flow. However, data were obtained at Mach numbers of 2.32, 2.47, 2.63, 2.80, 2.97, and 3.14 and probably represent the most complete set yet obtained for correlation with theoretical methods. Stagnation point heating values were in best agreement with the prediction of Cohen while Lees theory best represented the distribution of heat transfer. The turbulent flow over the conical portion of the vehicle correlates best with the Eckert flat-plate reference enthalpy method.

28. Carter, H. S. and Bressette, W. E., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution on Six Blunt Noses at Mach Number of 2," <u>NACA RM L57C18</u>, April 1957.

The heat transfer and pressures on the surfaces of six blunt-nose models are presented for 0° and 5° angles of attack. The tests were made in a free jet at a Mach number of 2 with a total temperature of 935°R and a Reynolds numher per foot of about 14 x 106. The authors state that the heat transfer over the conical portion of the models was correlated with Van Driest's flat-plate theory while the heat transfer at the stagnation point was compared with the theory of Reshotko and Cohen. However, the data does not compare well with the results of Van Driest when comparison is based upon local conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer. Insufficient data precluded comparison on the reference-enthalpy method.

29. Carter, H. S. and Carr, R. E., "Free-Flight Investigation of Heat Transfer to an Unswept Cylinder Subjected to an Incident Shock and Flow Interference from an Upstream Body at Mach Numbers up to 5.50," <u>NASA TN D-988</u>, October 1961.

Skin-temperature measurements were made along the stagnation line of the transverse cylinder of a cruciform test configuration constructed of two nearly equal size tubes. The flow on this stagnation line was influenced by boundary-layer separation and by end effects as well as by the intersecting shocks. Under these conditions the measured heat-transfer rates had inconsistent variations both in magnitude and distribution which precluded correlation of the heating rates with position of the intersecting shocks or with theory.

 Chauvin, L. T., "Pressure Distribution and Pressure Drag for a Hemispherical Nose at Mach Numbers 2.05, 2.54, and 3.04," <u>NACA RM L52K06</u>, December 1952.

The results of an experimental investigation of the pressure distributions on a hemispherical nose 3.98 inches in diameter, mounted on a cylindrical support, were presented for wind tunnel Mach numbers of 2.05, 2.54, and 3.04 and for Reynolds numbers of 4.44×10^6 , 4.57×10^6 , and 4.16×10^6 , respectively, based on body diameter and free-stream conditions.

This report presents basic tunnel operating parameters required for the evaluation of the convective heat transfer results presented by Chauvin and Maloney in <u>NACA RM L53L08a</u> (February 1954).

31. Chauvin, L. T. and Maloney, J. P., "Experimental Convective Heat Transfer to a 4-Inch and 6-Inch Hemisphere at Mach Numbers from 1.62 to 3.04," NACA RN L53L08a, February 1954.

Equilibrium skin temperatures and convective heat transfer were measured for a 4-inch and 4-inch diameter bemispherical nose in the Langley (-inch and12-inch blowdown wind tunnels, respectively. The Mach number for the 4-inch nose ranged from 1.62 to 3.04 for a Reynolds number based on body diameter of approximately 4.5 x 10⁶. The 6-inch nose was tested at M = 1.99 at a Reynolds number based on body diameter of 6.4 x 10⁶ and for two surface conditions.

The measured Stanton numbers correlated well with the Korobkin equation over the spherical section of the hemisphere for the laminar region. In the turbulent region the flat-plate reference method of Eckert represented the data fairly well. Transition was observed at Reynolds number of approximately 1 x 10⁶ based on distance from the stagnation point and conditions just outside the boundary layer and corresponding to a region between the 45° and the 60° stations.

32. Cohen, N. B., "Boundary-Layer Similar Solutions and Correlation Equation for Laminar Reat-Transfer Distribution in Equipibrium Air at Velocities up to 41,000 Feet Per Second," <u>NASA TR R-118</u>, 1961.

Exact solutions for flat-plate flow, axisymmetric stagnation flow and stagnation flow for a yawed infinite cylinder are obtained and correlating formulas for the appropriate heat transfer functions are developed. A correlation formula for the heat transfer distribution function for an arbitrary favorable pressure gradient on a body of revolution or yawed infinite cylinder is determined for application to various local similarity methods. Pertinent equations are collected in a single section for convenience.

The methods presented in this report should be extended to lower Mach numbers. The correlation presented agrees better with experimental data than other well-known formulas and the range of application should be extended.

 Crawford, D. H. and McCauley, W. D., "Investigation of the Laminar Aerodynamic Heat-Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere Cylinder in the Langley 11-Inch Hypersonic Tunnel at a Mach Number of 6.8," NACA Report 1323, 1957.

Aerodynamic heat transfer data to a nonisothermal hemisphere cylinder evaluated in the Langley 11-inch hypersonic tunnel at a Mach number of 6.8 and a Reynolds number from approximately $0.14 \ge 10^6$ to $1.06 \ge 10^6$ based on diameter and free-stream conditions were reported. The experimental heat-transfer coefficients were slightly less over the whole body than those predicted by the theory of Stine and Wanlass (NACA TN 3344) for an isothermal surface. For stations within 45° of the stagnation point the heat-transfer coefficienents could be correlated by a single relation between local Stanton number and local Reynolds number.

34. Cresci, R. J., MacKenzie, D. A. and Libby, P. A., "An Investigation of Laminar, Transitional, and Turhulent Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 27, No. 6, June 1960, pp. 401-414.

Experimental results for laminar, transitional, and turbulent heating rates measured by means of the shrouded model technique were reported. The Reynolds number was varied over a range from 0.4×10^6 to 4.0×10^6 while the stagnation to wall enthalpy ratio from 2.3 to approximately 1.5.

The experimental data were compared to the laminar hypersonic boundary layer theory of Lees and shown to be in good agreement on the conical portion of the model. On the spherical portion of the model the data are approximately 20 per cent higher than the theoretical prediction, due apparently to radiation to the model.

The experimental data presented in this study is not directly applicable to the problem of determining the perodynamic heat transfer to blunt bodies at lawer supersonic Mach numbers. However, the method of analysis should be explored for application in the transitional flow.

Extensive data are also presented for comparison of the flat-plate referenceenthalpy theory for the prediction of turbulent heat transfer to blunt bodies. It should be noted, however, that these data were obtained for stagnation temperatures in the range of 1500°R to 1700°R which correspond to flight Mach numbers of 10 and above.

 Diaconis, N. S., Wismiewski, R. J., and Jack, J. R., "Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on Two Blunt Bodies at Mach Number 3.12," <u>NACA TN</u> 4099, October 1957.

Local heat transfer values were measured on a hemisphere cone cylinder of diameter of 1.4 inches and a 120° included angle cone cylinder at a Mach number of 3.12. Experimental values of the heat transfer distribution for the blunt body agreed well with the heat transfer distribution prediction of the theory of Lees when the surface roughness was less than 16 microinches. An insufficient amount of wall temperature information prohibited comparison of the distribution results.

 Hermann, R. and Melnick, W. L., "Aerodynamic and Heat Transfer Studies with Evaporative Film Cooling at Hypersonic Mach Numbers," <u>RAL RR 189</u>, University of Minnesots, Rosemount, Minnesota, Rosemount Aeronautical Laboratories, September 1962.

In order to establish the inculating characteristics of an evaporating film, measurements of the aerodynamic heat transfer to a hemispherically-capped cylinder were made at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.30 and 6.8 without mass injection. The low speed results ($M_{\infty} = 0.30$) indicated that the heat transfer conductance (h) were constant in the stagnation region, in agreement with well-known theories (where Nug = $0.93 R_{e_p} 2 r^{0.4}$). The laminar heat transfer distribution on the hemisphere cylinder at $M_{\infty} = 6.8$ with a Revn ids number of 283,000 per foot was well represented by the theory of Kemp. Rose, and Detra.

 Kemp, N. H., Rose, P. H., and Detra, R. W., "Laminar Hast Transfer Around Blunt Bodies in Dissociated Air," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 26, No. 7, July 1959, pp. 421-430.

An extension of the method of Fay and Riddell is presented for predicting laminar heat-transfer rates to blunt, highly-cooled bodies with constant wall temperature in dissociated air flow.

Experimental heat-transfer rates obtained from shock tube facilities were presented and compared with the theoretical results. Approximately 75 data points were presented for the heat transfer distribution over a hemispherically-capped cylinder. These data were obtained in a shock tube at shock Mach numbers from approximately 8 to approximately 14, and hence the resulting experimental values of stagnation point heat transfer and heat transfer distribution are not applicable for flight Mach numbers less than 4.

38. Korobkin, I., "Local Flow Conditions, Recovery Factors and Heat-Transfer Coefficients on the Nose of a Hemisphere-Cylinder at Mach Number of 2.8," <u>NAVORE Report 286S</u>, White Oak, Maryland, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, May 1953.

Recovery temperatures and heat transfer coefficients were measured on an one-inch diameter hemispherically capped cylinder in the NOL 40 x 40 cm Aeroballistics Tunnel No. 3 at a Mach number of 2.8. The local heat-transfer coefficient for supersonic flow over the hemisphere with a laminar boundary layer were correlated with the incompressible expression presented by Sibulkin:

$$N_{u_{D}} = K(\beta) R_{e_{D}}^{C.5} Pr^{0.4}$$

39. Korobkin, I., "Laminar Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere for a Mach Number Range 1.9 to 4.9," <u>NAVORD Report 3841</u>, White Oak, Maryland, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, October 1954.

Pressure and heat transfer measurements were made on the hemispherical nose of a two-inch diameter hemisphere cylinder with laminar boundary layer flow. The tests were conducted in the NOL 40 x 40 cm Aeroballistics Tunnel No. 1. Heat transfer measurements were made in the Mach number stringe from 1.90 to 4.87. The resultant Stanton number correlates with the expression for the stagnation region of a sphere

$$C_{\rm H} = 0.763 ({\rm Pr})^{-0.6} ({\rm Re_s})^{-0.5}$$

where Pr = 0.70 and the Reynolds number is based upon the local conditions at the outer edge of the boundary layer and the length parameter is the wetted surface distance from the stagnation point. These data are presented in Figure 19.

40. Marvin, J. G. and Deiwert, G. S., "Convective Heat Transfer in Planetary Gases," <u>NASA TR R-224</u>, July 1965.

Equilibrium convective heat transfer in several real gases was investigated. The gas considered were air, nitrogen, hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and argon. Solutions to the similar form of the boundary-layer equations were obtained for flight valocities from 10,000 to 30,000 ft/sec for a range of parameters sufficient to define the effects of pressure level, pressure gradient, boundary-layer edge velocity and wall temperature. Results are presented for stagnation point heating and for heating-rate distribution.

Although the range of velocities considered in this reference are beyond those of this bibliography, the method of analysis and trends indicated may be useful in developing methods of numerical solution of lower Mach number heat transfer problems.

 Nardo, S. V. and Sadler, R. W., "Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution in a Hemispherical Nose Cone in Hypersonic Flow," <u>PIBAL Report No. 597</u>, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, New York, Brooklyn, New York, January 1962.

The heat transfer to a hemispherical nose cone subjected to hypersonic flow conditions was calculated by the theory of Lees for Reynolds numbers from one to five million. Comparison with results of test runs in the Polytechnic hypersonic tunnel facility indicated good agreement. The experimental procedure employed the shrouded model technique which is described in the paper of Cresci, MacKenzie, and Libby (Reference 34).

This report presents further details of a computational procedure for determining the heat transfer in the transition region from laminar to turbulent boundary layers on a hemispherical nose. Further experimental verification of the procedure is also presented.

42. Neal, L., Jr. and Bertram, M. H., "Turbulent Skin Friction and Heat Transfer Charts Adapted from the Spalding and Chi Method," NASA TN D-3969, May 1967.

Charts are presented which allow a rapid determination of local and average skin friction and heat transfer on flat plates in air. The charts cover a Mach number range from 0 to 20, a Reynolds number range from 10^5 to 10^9 in decade increments of the exponent and a wall temperature stagnation temperature ratio range of 0.1 to the adiabatic wall case.

The accuracy of the charts has not been established in the Mach number range from 0.8 to 5 since the experimental heat transfer data used to support the method employed in the development of the charts was obtained in the Mach number range from about 5 to 9.

43. Sands, N. and Jack, J. R., "Preliminary Heat Transfer Studies on Two Bodies of Revolution at Angle of Attack at a Mach Number of 3.12," <u>NACA TN</u> <u>4378</u>, September 1958.

The axial temperature and Stanton number distributions for two pointed bodies of revolution at zero angle of attack are presented. No comparisons were made to theory.

 Schurmann, E. E. H., "Engineering Methods for the Analysis of Aerodylamic Heating," <u>RAD-TM-63-68</u>, Wilmington, Massachusetts, Research and Advanced Development Division, Avco Corporation, November 1963.

Various methods of analysis of the convective heating to a body are reviewed for flight Mach numbers above 5. This report is of value in comparing methods of analysis with experiment for Mach numbers from 8.5 to 16 but does not contribute to evaluation of heating effects below Mach numbers of 5.

45. Seiff, A., "Examination of the Existing Data on the Neat Transfer of Turbulent Boundary Layers at Supersonic Speeds from the Point of View of Reynolds Analogy," NACA TN 3284, August 1954.

Heat transfer data from four wind tunnel experiments and two free-flight experiments with turbulent boundary layers were compared with Reynolds analogy and agreement was found within eight per cent. The data covered the Mach number range from 1.4 to 3.2 at effective Reynolds numbers from 0.4 million to 24 million. They were obtained on a variety of body shapes including flat plates, cones, and pointed slender bodies of revolution. Extremely blunt shapes such as spheres were excluded from the correlation.

46. Sommer, S. C. and Short, B. J., "Free Flight Measurements of Turbulent-Boundary Layer Skin Friction in the Presence of Severe Aerodynamic Heating at Mach Numbers from 2.8 to 7.0," <u>NACA TN 3391</u>, 1955.

Experimental measurements of average skin friction of the turbulent boundary layer were presented for a free-flying, hollow-cylinder model at Mach numbers of 2.8, 3.8, 5.6, and 7.0 at conditions of high rates of heat transfer. No heat transfer data or correlations are presented.

47. Stine, H. A. and Wanlass, K., "Theoretical and Experimental Investigation of Aerodynamic Heating and Isothermal Heat Transfer Parameters on a Hemispherical Nose with Laminar Boundary Layer at Supersonic Mach Numbers," <u>NACA TN 3344</u>, December 1954.

Measurements of the heat-transfer parameter $Nu/\sqrt{R_{e}}$ based on local flow conditions just outside the boundary layer and length of boundary-layer run were carried out on the heated, isothermal surface of a hemisphere cylinder for a Mach number of 1.97 and Reynolds number based on body diameter from 0.60 x 10⁶ to 2.28 x 10⁶. An approximate method for calculating the distribution of the heat-transfer parameter, applicable to any body of revolution was developed which predicts within about \pm 18 per cent the experimental results. Since the data presented in the above study were obtained from an internally heated body, they were not subjected to analysis and correlation in our study.

 Swanson, A. G., Buglia, J. J., and Chauvin, L. T., "Flight Measurements of Boundary-Layer Temperature Profiles on a Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-</u> <u>10</u>) at Mach Numbers from 1.2 to 3.5," <u>NACA TN 4061</u>, July 1957.

A parabolic body of revolution with a pointed nose, the <u>NACA RM-10</u> was flight tested at Mach numbers up to 3.5 and Reynolds numbers up to 140 x 10^6 (based on length to the probe and rake measuring station). Skinfriction coefficients determined by the momentum method from the totaipressure rake data were in fair agreement with the Van Driest theory for flat plates with compressible turbulent boundary layers. Heat transfer measurements indicated a transition Reynolds number of about 15 x 10^6 .

49. Wilson, R. E., "Viscosity and Heat Transfer Effects," (Sections 13 and 14, <u>Handbook of Supersonic Aerodynamics</u>), <u>NAVORD Report 1488</u>, Vol. 5, August 1966.
This report presented a review and discussion of nearly two hundred papers pertaining to the study of viscous effects in high-speed flows. The emphasis in this volume is placed on the presentation of theoretical and experimental results which supposedly can be used by the missile designer for the calculation of skin friction and heat transfer.

The method of calculation suggested in this report for the heat transfer to blunt bodies of revolution is, however, incomplete in this discussion of stagnation point heat transfer and the distribution of heat transfer over the body surface. Results are presented for high Mach numbers but the report does not extend the analysis to Mach numbers less than 5 but greater than 0.87.

50. Winkler, E. M. and Danberg, J. E., "Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere Cylinder at Hypersonic Mach Numbers," <u>NAVORD Report 4259</u>, White Oak, Maryland, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, April 11, 1957.

The heat-transfer characteristics of the laminar compressible boundary layer on a hemisphere cylinder were presented for free-stream Mach numbers of 5, 6.5, and 8. These data have not been included in this bibliography. However, it should be noted that excellent correlation was attained with Van Driest's stagnation region expression for incompressible flow.

51. Zoby, E. V. and Sullivar, E. M., "Correlation of Free-Flight Turbulent Heat Transfer Data from Axisymmetric Bodies with Compressible Flat-Plate Relationships," <u>NASA TN D-3802</u>, January 1967.

Published experimental turbulent heat transfer data obtained over a range of free-flight conditions and body shapes (free-stream Mach numbers from 2.9 to 13.4, free-stream Reynolds numbers per foot from 0.64 x 10^6 to 30.7 x 10^6 , body shapes from a hemisphere cylinder to a sphere cone with a half angle of 25°) were compared with calculated turbulent flat-plate values. The calculated values were evaluated by use of a modified Reynolds analogy and the skin-friction relationships of Blasius or Schultz-Grunow with compressibility effects accounted for by evaluating the flow properties on reference conditions. For reference Reynolds numbers less than 10^7 , the calculated heating rates based on either of the two methods correlated well with the experimental data. For reference Reynolds numbers greater than 10^7 and less than 8×10^7 , the calculated heating rates based on the Schultz-Grunow relation compare better with the available experimental data.

Zoby and Sullivan point out that available flight-test data correlate within 20 per cent of the correlation line whereas wind tunnel data spread out to \pm 50 per cent of the correlation line. This point should be pursued in further study. NWC TP 4570

GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION

Subsonic Flow

- Adams, Mac C. and Sears, W. R., "Slender-Body Theory--Extension and Review," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 2, February 1953, pp. 85-98.
- Ashley, H. and Landahl, M., <u>Aerodynamics of Wings and Bodies</u>, Chaper 6, Addison Wesley, New York, 1965.
- Fraenkel, L. E., "Incompressible Flow Past Quasi-Cylindrical Bodies and Some Associated Problem," <u>Quarterly Journal of Mechanical and Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. XI, No. 2, May 1958, pp. 212-222.
- 4. Gothert, "Plane and Three-Dimensional Flow at High Subsonic Speeds," <u>NACA TM 1105</u>, October 1946.
- Helliwell, J. B. and Mackie, A. G., "Two-Dimensional Subsonic and Sonic Flow Past Thin Bodies," <u>Journal of Fluid Mechanics</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1, October 1957, pp. 93-109.
- 6. Hess, J. L., "Calculation of Potential Flow about Bodies of Revolution Having Axes Perpendicular to the Free-Stream Direction," El Segundo, California, Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc., <u>Report No. ES 29812</u> (AD 246 729), October 1, 1960.
- K*tz, Jerome R., "Pressure and Wave Drag Coefficients for Hemispheres, Hemisphere Cones and Hemisphere Ogives," <u>NAVORD 5849</u> (AD 159-801), 1958.
- Lees, L., "A Discussion of the Application of the Prandtl-Glauert Method to Subsonic Compressible Flow over a Slender Body of Revolution," <u>NACA TN 1127</u>, 1946.
- 9. Laitone, E. V., "The Subsonic Flow about a Body of Revolution," <u>Quarter-ly Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1947, pp. 227-231.
- Laitone, E. V., "Experimental Measurement of Incompressible Flow Along A Cylinder with a Conical Nose." <u>Journal of Applied Physics</u>, Vol. 22, No. 1, January 1951, pp. 63-64.
- 11. Laitone, E. V., "The Linearized Subsonic and Supersonic Flow about Inclined Slender Bodies of Revolution," <u>Journal of Aerospace Science</u>, Vol. 14, No. 11, 1947, pp. 631-642.
- Liepmann, H. W. and Roshko, A., <u>Elements of Gasdynamics</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1957.

1.

- Matthews, C. W., "A Comparison of Experimental Subsonic Pressure Distribution about Several Bodies of Revolution with Pressure Distribution Computed by Means of Linearized Theory," <u>NACA TN 2519</u>, February 1952.
- 14. Morris, D. N. and Grobli, B. L., "Analysis of Pressure Tests on Sharp and Blunt-Nosed Bodies of Revolution for Mach Numbers from 0.2 to 3.5," <u>Report No. ES 29700</u>, El Segundo Division, Douglas Aircraft Company, El Segundo, California, March 1960.
- Sears, W. R., ed., <u>High-Speed Aerodynamics and Jet Propulsion, Volume</u> <u>VI, General Theory of High-Speed Aerodynamics</u>. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1954.
- 16. Schlicting, H., <u>Boundary Layer Theory</u>, 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York, 1960.
- 17. Shapiro, A. H., <u>The Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Compressible Flow</u>, Volumes I and II, Ronald Press, New York, 1953.
- Spreiter, J. R. and Alksne, A., "Theoretical Prediction of Pressure Distribution on Nonlifting Airfoils at High Subsonic Speeds," <u>NACA Report 1217</u> (supersedes <u>NACA TN 3096</u>), 1955.
- 19. Van Dyke, M. D., "Second-Order Slender Body Axisymmetric Flow," <u>NASA</u> TR R-47, 1959.
- 20. Van Dyke, M. D., "The Paraboloid of Revolution in Subsonic Flow," Journal of Mathematics and Physics, Vol. 37, No. 1, 1958, pp. 38-51.
- Van Dyke, M. D., "The Similarity Rules for Second-Order Subsonic and Supersonic Flow," <u>NACA TN 3875</u>, January 1957.

Transonic Flow

- Cole, J. D., "Acceleration of Slender Bodies of Revolution through Sonic Velocity," <u>Journal of Applied Physics</u>, Vol. 26, No. 3, March 1955, pp. 322-327.
- 23. Cole, J. D. and Royce, W. W., "An Approximated Theory for the Pressure Distribution and Wave Drag of Bodies of Revolution at Mach Number One," <u>Proceedings of Sixth Midwest Conference on Fluid Mechanics</u>, Austin, Texas, Texas University Press, 1959.
- Dorodnitsyn, A. A., "A Contribution to the Solution of Mixed Problems of Transonic Aerodynamics," <u>Advances in Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 2, Pergamon Press, New York, 1959, pp. 832-844.
- Drougge, George, "Some Measurements on Bodies of Revolution at Transonic Speeds," <u>Ninth International Congress of Applied Mechanics</u>, University of Brussels, 1957.
- 26. Felix, A. Richard, "Force Tests of a Related Family of Twenty Nose Cones for a Range of Mach Numbers from 0.47 to 1.93," <u>AMBA Report DA-TN-42-58</u>, July 1958.

- Fisher, L. R., Keith, A. L., Jr., and DiCamilo, J. R., "Aerodynamic Characteristics of Some Families of Blunt Bodies at Transonic Speeds," <u>NASA Memorandum 10-28-58L</u>, 1958.
- 28. Guderley, K. G., <u>The Theory of Transonic Flow</u>, Pergamon Press, New York, 1962.
- 29. Guderley, G. and Yoshihara, H., "Axial-Symmetric Transonic Flow Patterns," <u>Air Force Technical Report 5797</u>, USAF AMC, Dayton, Ohio, 1949.
- 30. Guderley, G. and Yoshihara, H., "An Axial-Symmetric Transonic Flow Patterns," <u>Quarterly Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 4, No. 4, April 1959, pp. 333-339.
- Heaslet, M. A., Lomax, H., and Spreiter, J. R., "Linearized Compressible Flow Theory for Sonic Flight Speeds," <u>NACA Report 956</u>, 1950.
- 32. Heaslet, M. A. and Spreiter, J. R., "Three-Dimensional Transonic Flow Theory Applied to Slender Wings and Bodies," <u>NACA Report 1318</u> (supersedes NACA TN 3717), 1957.
- 33. Jenkins, A. H., Jenkins, B. Z., and Johnson, L. H., "Transonic and Low Supersonic Shock Shape Solutions," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 8, August 1966, pp. 1483-1485.
- 34., Kell, C., "Free-Flight Measurements of Pressure Distribution at Transonic and Supersonic Speeds on Bodies of Revolution Having Parabolic Afterbodies," Aeronautical Research Council, <u>Great Britain Report No.</u> ARC-20433, (ARC-R&M-3290, previously issued as RAE-AERO 2605), 1962.
- 35. Keune, F., "A Contribution to an Approximate Calculation of the Flow on Pointed Halfbodies of Revolution in the Lower Transonic Range," <u>Ninth International Congress of Applied Mechanics</u>, University of Brussels, 1957.
- 36. Keune, F. and Oswatitsch, K., "On the Influence of the Geometry of Slender Bodies of Revolution and Delta Wings on their Drag and Pressure Distribution at Transonic Speeds," <u>KTH-Aero TN-42</u>, 1956.
- 37. Maeder, P. F., "Solutions to the Linearized Equation for Transonic Flows and their Comparisons with Experiment," <u>Ninth International Confer-</u> ence of Applied Mechanics, University of Brussels, 1957.
- 38. Maeder, P. F. and Thommen, H. U., "Some Results of Linearized Transonic Flow about Slender Airfoils and Bodies of Revolution, <u>Journal of</u> <u>Aerospace Science</u>, Vol. 23, No. 2, February 1956, pp. 10 -188.
- Maeder, P. F. and Thommen, H. U., "Linearized Transonic Flow around Slender Bodies of Revolution at Zero Incidence," <u>Technical Report WT-25</u>, Brown University, July 1957.
- 40. Miles, J. W., "On Linearized Transonic Flow Theory for Slender Bodies," Journal of Aerospace Science, Vol. 23, No. 7, July 1956, pp. 704-705.

- 41. Miles, J. W., "On the Sonic Drag of a Slender Body," <u>Journal of Aerospace</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 23, No. 2, February 1956, pp. 146-154.
- 42. Oswatitsch, K., <u>Gas Dynamics</u> (English Version), Academic Press, New York, 1956.
- 43. Oswatitsch, K. and Berndt, S. B., "The Flow arcund Bodies of Revolution at Mach Number 1," <u>Proceedings of Conference on High-Speed Aero-</u><u>nautics</u>, Brooklyn, New York, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, June 20-22, 1955.
- Oswatitsch, K. and Keuene, F., "Aerodynamic Similarity at Axisymmetric Transonic Flow Around Slender Bodies," <u>KTH Aero TN-15</u>, Stockholm, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Aeronautics, 1950.
- 45. Page, W. A., "Experimental Study of the Equivalence of Transonic Flow About Slender Cone-Cylinders of Circular and Elliptic Cross Section," <u>NACA TN 4233</u>, 1958.
- 46. Perl, W. and Mklein, M., "Theoretical Investigation of Transonic Similarity for Bodies of Revolution," NACA TN 2239, December 1950.
- Randall, D. G., "Some Results in the Theory of Almost Axisymmetric Flow at Transonic Speed," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 12, December 1965, pp. 2339-2341.
- 48. Shen, Y., "Similarity Solution for Transonic Flow Past a Cone," OSR TN 56-121, March 1956.
- Sinnott, C. S., "Theoretical Prediction of the Transonic Characteristics of Airfoils," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 3, March 1962, pp. 275-283.
- 50. Solomon, G. E., "Transonic Flow Past Cone Cylinders," NACA TN 3213, September 1954.
- 51. Spreiter, J. R., "On the Application of Transonic Similarity Rules." NACA TN 2726, June 1952.
- 52. Spreiter, J. R., "Aerodynamics of Wings and Bodies at Transonic Speeds," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 8, August 1959, pp. 465-486, 517.
- 53. Spreiter, J. R. and Alksne, A. Y., "Slender-Body Theory Based on Approximate Solution of the Transonic Flow Equation," <u>NASA TRR-2</u>, 1959.
- 54. Spreiter, J. R. and Alksne, A. Y., "Slender-Body Theory Based on Bodies at Mach Number Cne," Proceedings of Third U.S. National Congress of Applied Mechanics, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1958.
- 55. Taylor, R. A. and McDevitt, J. B., "Pressure Distributions at Transonic Speeds for Parabolic-Arc Bodies of Revolution Having Fineness Ratios of 10, 12, and 14," <u>NACA TN 4234</u>, 1958.

- 56. Von Karman, T., "The Similarity Law of Transonic Flow," Journal of Mathematics and Physics, Vol. 26, 1947, pp. 182-190.
- 57. Yoshihara, B., "On the Flow over a Cone-Cylinder at Mach Number One," WADC Technical Report 52-295, November 1952.

Supersonic Flow

Blunt Bodies:

- 58. Adamson, D., et al., <u>Handbook of Supersonic Aerodynamics</u>, Volume 3, Section 8, "Bodies of Revolution", Washington 25, D.C., Bureau of Naval Weapons Publication NAVWEPs Report 1488, Superintendent of Documents, October 1961.
- 59. Baer, A. L., "Pressure Distribution on a Hemisphere Cylinder at Supersonic and Hypersonic Mach Numbers," <u>AEDC TN-69-96</u>, Arnold Engineering Development Center, 1961.
- 60. Blick, E. F. and Francis, J. E., "Spherically Blunted Cone Pressure Distributions," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1966, p. 547.
- 61. Blick, E. F., "Similarity Rule Estimation Methods for Cones," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 10, October 1963, pp. 2415-2416.
- 62. Capiaux, R. and Karchmar, L., "Flow Past Slender Bodies--A Review and Extension," IAS Paper No. 61-210-1904, June 1961.
- 63. Carter, H. S. and Bresette, W. E., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution of Six Blunt Noses at a Mach Number of Two," <u>NACA RM L57C18</u>, April 1957.
- 64. Cheng, S., "An Approximate Method of Determining Axisymmetric Inviscid Supersonic Flow over a Solid Body and its Wake," <u>Journal of Aeronautical</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 25, No. 3, March 1958, pp. 185-193.
- Chenoweth, D. R., "Direct Blunt-Body Integral Method," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 9, September 1965, p. 1788.
- 66. Chernyi, G. G., "Flow Around a Thin Blunt Cone at High Supersonic Speeds," <u>Soviet Physics, Doklady 115</u>, 1957, pp. 263-265.
- 67. Chones, A. J., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Measurements on Flat-Faced Flared-Tail Circular Cylinders and Normal Disks," <u>NAVORD Report No.</u> 6669, June 15, 1959 (AD 230 402).
- 68. Chushkin, P. I., "Supersonic Flows around Blunted Bodies of Simple Shape," <u>Applied Mathematics and Mechanics</u>, Vol. 24, 1960, pp. 927-930.
- 69. Chuskin, P. I. and Shulishnina, N. P., "Tables of Supersonic Flow around Blunted Cones," Translated and edited by J. 7. Springfield, Research and Advanced Development Division, AVCO Corporation, Wilmington, Massachusetts, September 14, 1962 (Academy of Sciences USSR, Moscow, 1961).

- Dershin, H. and Schneider, K. J., "Heat Transfer and Flow Characteristics over a Ventricular Aerodynamic Body," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 8, August 1962, pp. 1269-1272.
- 71. Dunn, E. L., "Some Results of a Systematic Theoretical Pressure Distribution Program on Bodies of Revolution," <u>NOTS TM 919</u>, 1953.
- 72. Eastman, D. W. and Radtke, L. P., "Effect of Nose Bluntness on the Flow Around a Typical Ballistic Shape," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 10, October 1963, pp. 2401-2402.
- 73. Eggers, A. J., Jr. and Savin, R. C., "Approximate Methods for Calculating the Flow about Nonlifting Bodies of Revolution at High Supersonic Airspeeds," <u>NACA TN 2579</u>, December 1951.
- 74. Gravalos, F. G., Edelfet, I. H., and Emmons, H. W., "The Supersonic Flow about a Blunt Body of Revolution for Gases at Chemical Equilibrium," <u>Proceedings of Ninth International Astronautical Congress, Amster-</u> <u>dam</u>, Vol. 1, 1958.
- 75. Hilliard, E. E. and Myers, A. W., "Aerodynamic Characteristics of a 3/4 Power Law Body with Different Bluntness Ratio Noses at Supersonic Speeds," <u>AEDC TR-65-267</u>, December 1965 (AD 473-823).
- 76. Hsu, C. T. and Anderson, D. A., "Effect of Deceleration on Pressure Distribution along a Slender Cone in Supersonic, Transonic, and Subsonic Flight," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 28, No. 2, February 1961, pp. 173-174.
- 77. Inouye, M. and Lomax, H., "Comparison of Experimental and Numerical Results for the Flow of a Perfect Gas about Blunt-Nosed Bodies," <u>NASA TN D-1426</u>, 1962.
- 78. Inouye, M., Rakich, J. V., and Lomax, H., "A Description of Numerical Methods and Computer Programs for Two-Dimensional and Axisymmetric Supersonic Flow over Blunt-Nosed and Flared Bodies," <u>NASA TN D-</u> 2970, August 1965.
- 79. Iversen, J. D., "Base and Surface Pressure Variations with Mach Number on a Right Circular Cone," <u>SCTM 202-56-51</u>, September 12, 1956.
- Julius, J. D., "Experimental Pressure Distributions over Blunt Two and Three-Dimensional Bodies having Similar Cross-sections at Mach Number of 4.95," <u>NASA TN D-157</u>, September 1959.
- 81. Johanson, D. F., "Pressure Distribution for Typical Bombs or Rockets at Varied Angles of Attack and Mach Numbers up to 4.84," <u>NOTS TN</u> <u>4061-77</u>, 1961.
- Kao, H., "A New Technique for the Direct Calculation of Blunt-Body Flow Fields," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1, January 1965, pp 161-163.
- 83. Kendall, J. M., Jr., "Experiments on Supersonic Blunt-Body Flows," Progress

Report 20-372, Pasadena, California, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, February 1959.

- 84. Lange, R. H. and Wittliff, C. E., "Force and Pressure Distribution Measurements at a Mach Number of 3.12 of Slender Bodies having Circular, Elliptical, and Triangular Cross Sections and the Same Longitudinal Distribution of Cross-Sectional Area," Langley Research Center, Langley Station, Virginia, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, NACA RM L56 D17, July 1956.
- Lord, D. R. and Ulmann, E. R., "Pressure Measurements on an Ogive--Cylinder Body at Mach Number 4.04," <u>NACA RM L51 L20</u>, February 1952.
- 86. Machell, R. M. and O'Bryant, W. T., "An Experimental Investigation of the Flow over Blunt-Nosed Cones at a Mach Number of 5.8," <u>Galcit Memo-</u> <u>randum No. 32</u>. Also <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 23, No. 11, November 1956, pp. 1054-1055.
- 87. Maddow, A. W., "Application of the Mangler Transformation to a Special Class of Power Law Bodies," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 5, May 1963, p. 1186.
- Morris, D. N., "A Summary of the Supersonic Pressure Drag of Bodies of Revolution," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, No. 7, July 1961, pp. 563-572.
- 89. Nelson, P., Jr., "An Iterative Method for Approximating the Flow Field about a Supersonic Body," Brown Engineering Company, Huntsville, Alabama, <u>TN R-72</u>, October 1963 (AD 435-078).
- 90. Perkins, E. W., Jorgensen, L. H., and Sommer, S. C., "Investigation of the Drag of Various Axially Symmetric Nose Shapes of Fineness Ratio 3 for Mach Numbers 1.24 to 7.4," <u>NACA R 1386</u>, 1958.
- 91. Perry, J. C. and Pasiuk, L., "A Comparison of Solutions to a Blunt Body Problem," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 8, August 1966, p. 1425.
- 92. Randall, R. E., Bell, D. R., and Burk, J. L., "Pressure Distribution Tests of Several Sharp Leading Edge Wings, Bodies, and Body-Wing Combinations at Mach 5 and 8," <u>AEDC-TN-60-173</u>, September 1960.
- 93. Rashis, B. and Bond, A. C., "Free-Flight Skin-Temperature and Surface-Pressure Measurements on a Highly-Polished Nose Having a 100 Degree Total-Angle Conical Flare Section up to a Mach Number of 4.08," <u>NAC</u> <u>RM L57G30</u>, August 1965.
- 94. Ringleb, F. D., "Geometrical Construction of Two-Dimensional and Axisymmetrical Flow Fields," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 10, October 1963, p. 2257.
- 95. Sims, J. L., "Results of the Calculations of Supersonic Flow Fields about Spherically Capped Bodies of Revolution," NASA George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, MTP-Aero-62-40, May 15, 1962.

- 96. Speegle, K. C., et al, "Heat Transfer for Mach Numbers up to 2.2 and Pressure Distributions for Mach Numbers up to 4.7 from Flight Investigations of a Flat-Face Cone and a Hemisphere Cone," Langley Research Center, Langley Station, Virginia, NACA RML58B18, May 1958.
- 97. Taylor, G. I. and Maccoll, J. W., "The Air Pressure on a Cone Moving at High Speeds," <u>Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Serial A</u>, Vol. 139, 1933, p. 278.
- 98. Traugott, S. C., "An Approximate Solution of the Direct Supersonic Blunt-Body Problem for Arbitrary Axisymmetric Shapes," <u>Journal of Aerospace</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 27, No. 5, May 1960, pp. 361-390.
- 99. Traugott, S. C., "Some Features of Supersonic and Hypersonic Flow About Blunted Cones," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 4, April 1962, pp. 389-399.
- 100. Vaglio-Laurin, R. and Trella, M., "A Study of Flow Fields About Some Typical Blunt-Nosed Slender Bodies," <u>PIBAL Report No. 623</u>, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Department of Aerospace Engineering and Applied Mechanics, 1960.
- 101. Van Dyke, M. D., "The Supersonic Blunt-Body Problem--Review and Extension," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 25, No. 8, August 1958, pp. 485-496.
- 102. Van Dyke, M. D., "Second-Order Slender Body Theory--Axisymmetric Flow," NACA TN 4281, 1958.
- 103. Van Dyke, M. D., "A Study Second-Order Supersonic Flow Theory," <u>NACA</u> <u>Report 1081</u>, 1952.
- 104. Van Dyke, M. D., "On Stokes' Stream Function in Compressible Small Disturbance Theory," <u>NACA TN 3877</u>, February 1957.
- 105. Van Dyke, M. D. and Gordon, H. D., "Supersonic Flow Past a Family of Blunt Axisymmetric Bodies," <u>NASA TR R-1</u>, 1959.
- 106. Von Karman, T., "The Problem of Resistance in Compressible Fluids," <u>Galcit</u> <u>Publication No. 75, 1936.</u>
- Ward, G. N., <u>Linearized Theory of Steady High-Speed Flow</u>, Cambridge University Press, 1955.
- 108. Zakkay, V. and Visich, M., "Pressure and Laminar Heat Transfer Distribution at the Nose Region of a Semicircular Toroid at M_m = 3 and 8," <u>Report on Aerodynamic Flow Fields</u>, Brooklyn, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, New York, July 1960.

Pointed Bodies:

109. Ames Research Staff, "Equations, Tables, and Charts for Compressible Flow," NACA Report 1135.

- 110. Broderick, J. B., "Supersonic Flow Round Pointed Bodies of Revolution, Part 1," <u>Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 11, Part 1, March 1949.
- 111. Clippinger, R. F., Giese, J. H., and Carter, W. C., "Tables of Supersonic Flows about Cone Cylinders, Part I: Surface Data," <u>Report No. 729</u>, Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, July 1950.
- 112. Cronvich, L. L., "Results of Experimental Studies of Pressure Distributions on Cones at Supersonic Velocities," NAVORD Report 1651, 1950.
- 113. Hammitt, A. G. and Murthy, K. R. A., "Approximate Solutions for Supersonic Flow over Vedges and Cones," <u>Aerospace Engineering Report No.</u> 449, Princeton University, Department of Aerospace Engineering, April 1959, <u>AFOSR TN 59-304</u> (AD 213 088).
- 114. Jacobs, W. F., "A Simplified Approximate Method for the Calculation of the Pressure around Conical Bodies of Arbitrary Shape in Supersonic and Hypersonic Flow," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Voo. 28, No. 12, December 1961, pp. 987-988.
- 115. Julian, J. D., "Measurements of Pressure and Local Heat Transfer on a 20° Cone at Angles of Attack up to 20° for a Mach Number of 4.95," <u>NASA</u> <u>TN D-179</u>, December 1959.
- 116. Sarin, R. C., "Application of the Generalized Shock-Expansion Mathod to Inclined Bodies of Revolution Traveling at High Superscaie Airspeeds," <u>NACA TN 2764</u>, April 1955.
- 117. Simon, W. E. and Falter, L. A., "Approximations for Supersonic Flow over Cones," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 7, July 1963, p. 1696-1968.
- 118. Sims, J. L., "Supersonic Flow around Right Circular Cones--Tables for Zero Angle of Attack," <u>ABMA Report DA-TR-1160</u>, March 1960.
- 119. Sims, J. L., "Supersonic Flow around Right Circular Cones--Tables for Small Angle of Attack," <u>ABMA Report DA-TR-19-69</u>, April 27, 1960.
- 120. South, J. C., Jr. and Newman, P. A., "Supersonic Flow Past Pointed Bodies," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 6, June 1965, pp. 1019-1021.
- 121. Squire, L. C., "Pressure Distributions and Flow Patterns on Some Conical Shapes with Sharp Edges and Symmetrical Cross Sections ε. M = 4.0," Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough, England. <u>Technical</u> <u>Note No. Aerospace</u> 2823, June 1962.
- 122. Staff of the computing section (under the direction of Zdenek Kopal), "Tables of Supersonic Flow around Cones," <u>Technical Report No. 1</u>, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1947.

1.84

123. Syrertson, C. A. and Dennis, D. H., "A Second-Order Shock Expansion Method Applicable to Bodies of Revolution near Zero Lift," <u>NACA</u> <u>TN 3527</u>, January 1936.

124. Van Dyke, M. D., "Practical Calculations of Second-Order Supersonic Flow Past Non-Lifting Bodies of Revolution," <u>NACA TN 2744</u>, July 1952.

Modified Newtonian Theory and Applications

- 125. Andrews, J. S., "Steady State Airload Distribution on a Hammerhead Shaped Payload of a Multistage Vehicle at Transonic Speeds," The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington, <u>D2-22947-1</u> (AD 465-140).
- 126. Cole, J. D., "Newtonian Theory for Slender Bodies," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 6, June 1957, pp. 448-455.
- 127. Gardiner, G. K., "Wind Tunnel Calibration Tests and Pressure Measurements on a Blunted Slender Cone from Mach 0.3 to 1.3, Volumes I and II." Litton Systems, Inc., Woodland Hills, California, <u>HADES R-65-1</u>, January 1965 (AD 461-744 and 745).
- 128. Graham, F. J. and Butler, C. B., "Static Pressure Distribution on a 0.07-Scale Aerodynamic Model of the Atlas-Able IV a. Free-Stream Mach Numbers from 0.50 to 1.60," Arnold Engineering Development Center, Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, <u>Report No. AEDC TN 60-128</u>, July 1960.
- 129. Li, T., "Arc-Tangent Fits for Pressure and Pressure-Drag Coefficients of Axisymmetric Blunt Bodies at all Speeds," <u>Journal of Aerospace</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 27, No. 4, April 1960, pp. 309-311.
- 13⁵ E. S., "Generalized Newtonian Theory," <u>Reader's Forum</u>, Journal of <u>Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 5, May 1959, p. 314.
- 131. Miele, A., "A Study of Slender Shapes of Minimum Drag Using the Newton-Busemann Pressure Coefficient Law," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1, January 1963, pp. 168-178.
- 132. Nichols, J. H., "Determination of Optimum Operating Parameters for the PWT 6-ft Transonic Circuit Utilizing One-Percent Bodies of Revolution," <u>AEDC-TN-59-100</u>, September 1959.
- 133. Mysliwetz, F., "Body Lift and Newtonian Theory," <u>IAS Paper 62-113</u>, New York, Institute of Aerospace Sciences, 1962.
- 134. Okanchi, K., "Summary of Results of Pressure Coefficient Calculations for a Spherical Tipped Tangent-Ogive Body," Naval Ordnance Test Station, China Lake, California, <u>NOTS TP 3050</u>, October 1962 (<u>NAVWEPS Report</u> No. 8048, AD 291 599).
- 135. Oliver, R. E., "An Experimental Investigation of Flow about Simple Blunt Bodies at a Nominal Mach Number of 5.8," <u>Journal of Aeronautical</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 23, No. 2, February 1956, p. 177.
- 136. Rainey, R. W., "Working Charts for Rapid Prediction of Force and Pressure Coefficients on Arbitrary Bodies of Revolution by Use of Newtonian Concepts," <u>NASA TN D-176</u>, December 1959.

- 137. Robertson, J. E., "Steady and Fluctuating Pressures on Cone-Cylinder Missile Configurations at Transonic Speeds," <u>AEDC-TR-65-269</u>, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, February 1966.
- 138. Vendemia, R. J., Jr., "An Engineering Method for Rapid Calculation of Supersonic-Hypersonic Pressure Distributions on Lifting and Nonlifting Pointed Bodies of Revolution and Several Special Cases of Blunt-Nosed Bodies of Revolution," <u>TG 752</u>, Johns Hopkins University, Silver Springs, Maryland, Applied Physics Laboratory, November 1965 (AD 630-342).
- 139. Wagner, R. D., Jr., "Some Aspects of Modified Newtonian and Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Method for Axisymmetric Blunt Bodies at Zero Angle of Attack," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 12, December 1959, pp. 851-852.

Hypersonic Flow

- 140. Brocher, E. F., "On Similar Solutions for Strong Blast Waves and Their Application to Steady Hypersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aerospace</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 6, June 1962, pp. 694-701.
- 141. Cheng, H. K. and Gaitatzes, G. N., "Use of Shock Layer Approximation in the Inverse Hypersonic Blunt Body P. Lem," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 3, March 1966, p. 406.
- 142. Cheng, H. K. and Chang, A. L., "Numerical Comparison between Exact and Approximate Theories of Hypersonic Inviscid Flow past Slender Blunt-Nosed Bodies," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 31, No. 7, July 1961, pp. 1024-1026.
- 143. Coffin, M. D., "An Approximate Solution to Hypersonic Blunu-Body Problem," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 9, September 1965, pp. 1780-1782.
- 144. Crabtree, L. F., "Survey of Inviscid Hypersonic Flow Theory for Geometrically Slender Shapes," Royal Aircraft Establishment, Great Britain, <u>Technical Note No. AERO 2695</u>, June 1960 (AD 243 813).
- 145. Feldman, S., "Numerical Comparison between Exact andApproximate Theories of Hypersonic Inviscid Flow Past Slender Blunt-Nosed Bodies," <u>ARS</u> <u>Journal</u>, Vol. 30, No. 5, May 1960, pp. 463-468.
- 146. Ferri, A., "A Review of Some Recent Developments in Hypersonic Flow," WADC TN 58-230, September 1958.
- 147. Fisher, L. R., "Equations and Charts for Determining the Hypersonic Stability Derivatives of Combinations of Cone Frustums Computed by Newtonian Impact Theory," <u>NASA TN D-149</u>, November 1959.
- 148. Gregorek, G. M. and Korkan, K. D., "An Experimental Observation of the Mach and Reynolds Number Independence of Cylinders in Hypersonic Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1, January 1963, pp. 210-211.

- Hayes, W. D. and Probstein, R. F., <u>Hypersonic Flow Theory</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1959.
- 150. Kuehn, D. M., "Experimental and Theoretical Pressures on Blunt Cylinders for Equilibrium and Non-equilibrium Air at Hypersonic Speeds," <u>NASA TN D-1979</u>, November 1963.
- 151. Kubota, T., "Investigation of Flow around Simple Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Galcit Memo No. 40</u>, June 25, 1957.
- 152. Lous, L. and Kubota, T., "Inviscid Hypersonic Flow over Blunt-Nosed Slender Bodies," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Science</u>, Vol. 24, No. 3, March 1956, pp. 195-202.
- 153. Lees, L., "Recent Development in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 27, No. 11, November 1957, pp. 1162-1178.
- 154. Lees, L., "Hypersonic Flow," <u>Fifth International Aeronautical Conference</u>, Los Angeles, California, Institute of Aerospace Science, Inc., June 30-23, 1955.
- 155. Martellucci, A., "Boundary Layer Transition on a Slender Cone in Hypersonic Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 2, No. 4, April 1964, pp. 771-772.
- 156. Maslen, S. H., "Inviscid Hypersonic Flow Past Smooth Symmetric Bodies," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 2, No. 6, June 1964, pp. 1055-1060.
- 157. McConnell, D. G., "Free-Flight Observation of a Separated Turbulent Flow Including Heat Transfer up to Mach 8.5," NASA TN D-278, 1961.
- 158. Nagamatsu, H. T. and Sheer, R. E., Jr., "Boundary-Layer Transition on a 10° Cone in Hypersonic Flows," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 11, November 1965, pp. 2054-2061.
- 159. Probstein, R. F., "Interacting Hypersonic Laminar Boundary Layer Flow Over a Cone," <u>Technical Report AF 2798/1</u> (Contract AF33(616)-2798), Division of Engineering, Brown University, March 1955.
- 160. Solomon, J. M., "Approximate Calculation of the Equilibrium Laminar Boundary Layer and Blunt Bodies at Hypersonice Speeds," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 3, March 1962, pp. 422-424.
- 161. Swigart, R. J., "On the Shock Shape and Pressure Distribution about Blunt-Nosed Cylinder Using Blast-Wave Theory," <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, No. 10, October 1961, pp. 828-829.
- 162. Swigart, R. J., "A Theory of Axisymmetric Hypersonic Blunt Body Flows," AIAA Journal, Vol. 1, No. 5, May 1963, pp. 1034-1042.
- 163. Zakkay, V., "An Investigation of the Pressure Distribution on Conical Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Reader's Forum</u>, Journal of the Aero-<u>space Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 7, July 1959, p. 457.

BOUNDARY LAYER THEORY

- 164. Bertram, M. H., "Calculations of Compressible Average Turbulent Skin Friction," <u>TR-R 123</u>, 1962.
- 165. Bloom, H. L., "Preliminary Survey of Boundary-Layer Development and Skin Friction at Mach Number 3.05," NACA TN 2742, 1952.
- 166. Bloom, M. H. and Pallone, A., "Shroud Tests of Pressure and Heat Transfer over Short Afterbodies with Separated Wakes," <u>WADC TN 38-185</u>, June 1958 (AD 155 690).
- 167. Bradfield, W. S., "Some Notes Regarding the Transformation of Boundary Layer Parameters in Axially Symmetric Supersonic Flow," University of Minnesota, Aeronautical Engineering Department, EM-4, 1951.
- 168. Brinich, P. F., "Blunt Body Separation at Supersonic Speeds," <u>Journal</u> of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 25, No. 5, May 1958, pp. 336-337.
- 169. Buglia, J. J., "Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on a Highly Polished Hemisphere-Cone in Free Flight at Mach Numbers up to 3.14 and Reynolds Numbers up to 24 x 10⁶," <u>NASA TN D-955</u>, September 1962 (superseds NACA Research Memorandum No. L57D05).
- 170. Chapman, D. R. and Kester, R. H., "Turbulent Boundary-Layer and Skin Friction Measurements in Axial Flow along Cylinders at Mach Numbers between 0.5 and 3.6," <u>NACA TN 3097</u>, January 1966.
- 171. Chapman, D. R. and Kester, R. H., "Measurements of Turbulent Skin Friction on Cylinders in Axial Flow at Subsonic and Supersonic Velocities," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 7, July 1953, pp. 441-448.
- 172. Cohen, C. B. and Reshotko, E., "The Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Arbitrary Pressure Gradient," <u>NACA R-1293</u>, 1956.
- 173. Cohen, C. B. and Reshotko, E., "The Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Arbitrary Pressure Gradient," <u>NACA TN 3326</u>, 1955.
- 174. Coles, D., "Remarks on Equilibrium Turbulent Boundary Layer," <u>Journal</u> of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 7, July 1957, pp. 495-506.
- 175. Crocco, L., "The Laminar Boundary Layer in Gases," <u>Monograph of Scienti-</u><u>fiche di Aeronautics Nr. 3</u>, December 1946, Translation, <u>North</u> <u>American Aircraft Report CF 1038</u>, 1948.
- 176. Davis, H. C. and Rumsey, C. B., "Heat Transfer in Regions of Separated and Reattached Flows," <u>NACA RM L57D25B</u>, June 10, 1957.
- 177. Diensemann, W., "Calculation of the Thermal Boundary Layer of a Body in Incompressible Laminar Flow," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1951, pp. 64-65.

- 1.

NWC TP 4570

- 178. Eckert, H. U., "Simplified Treatment of the Turbulent Boundary Layer Along a Cylinder in Compressible Flow," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1952, pp. 23-28, 38.
- 179. Eckert, H. U., "Approximation Method for Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layers with Pressure Gradient," <u>Technical Note WORR 53-3</u>, Aeronautical Research Laboratory, Wright Air Development Center, 1953.
- 180. Eckstrom, D. J., "Engineering Analysis of Boundary Layers and Skin Friction on Bodies of Revolution at Zero Angle of Attack, <u>LMSC-805162</u>, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Sunnyvale, California, May 1965.
- 181. Englert, G. W., "Estimation of Compressible Boundary Layer Growth Over Insulated Surfaces with Pressure Gradient," <u>NACA TN 4022</u>, 1957.
- 182. Ferguson, H. and Schaefer, J. W., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution on Cone Cylinder Flare Configuration with Boundary-Layer Separation," NASA TN D-1436, October 1962.
- 183. Ferrari, C., "Velocity and Temperature Distribution through the Laminar Boundary Layer in Supersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 18, No. 7, 1951, pp. 460-477.
- 184. Ferrari, C., "Velocity and Temperature Distribution through the Laminar Boundary Layer in Supersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1952, pp. 39-47, 65.
- 185. Gazley, C., Jr., "Boundary-Layer Stability and Transition in Subsonic and Supersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 1, January 1953, pp. 19-28.
- 186. Gadd, G. E., "An Experimental Investigation of Heat Transfer Effects on Boundary Layer Separation in Supersonic Flow," <u>Journal of Fluid</u> <u>Mechanics</u>, Vol. 2, 1957, pp. 105-122.
- 187. Hains, F. D., "Leminar Boundary Layer with Nonadiabatic Rotational Free Stream," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 24, No. 8, 1957, pp. 623-624.
- 188. Hantzsche, W. and Wendt, H., "The Laminar Boundary Layer on a Circular Cone at Zero Incidence in a Supersonic Stream," <u>Report and Trans-</u> <u>lation No. 276</u>, British MAF, August 1946.
- 189. Klunker, E. B. and McLean, F., "Effect of Thermal Properties on Laminar-Boundary-Layer Characteristics," <u>NACA TN 2916</u>, 1953.
- 190. Krzywoblocki, M. Z., "Some Remarks on a Solution of the Laminar Boundary Layer Equations for a Compressible Fluid with Variable Properties, Including Dissociation by L. L. Moore," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1953, p. 136.
- 191. Kuehn, D. M., "Turbulent Foundary Layer Separation Induced by Flares on Cylinders at Zero Angle of Attack," NASA TR R-117, 1961.

192. Larson, H. K., "Heat Transfer in Separated Flows," <u>IAS Report No. 59-</u> <u>37</u>, 1959.

193. Lange, A. H., Greseler, L. P., and Lee, R. E., "Variation of Transition Reynolds Number with Mach Number," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Science</u>, Vol. 20, No. 10, October 1953, p. 718.

- 194. Laufer, J. and Verbalovich, T., "Stability and Transition of a Supersonic Boundary Layer," <u>Journal of Fluid Mechanics</u>, Vol. 9, Part 2, October 1960, pp. 257-299.
- 195. Lees, L. and Reshotko, E., "Stability of the Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer," <u>Journal of Fluid Mechanics</u>, Vol. 12, Part 3, March 1962, pp. 355-590.
- 196. Monta, W. J., Howard, P. W., and Czarnecki, E. R., "Effect of Nose Bluntness in Transition for a Cone and a Hollow Cylinder at Mach Numbers 1.41 and 2.01," <u>NASA-TND 717</u>, April 1961.
- 197. Moore, D. R. and Harkness, J., "Experimental Investigation of the Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer at very High Reynolds Number," <u>AIAA</u> <u>Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 4, April 1965, pp. 631-638.
- 198. Munson, A. G., "Constant Density Approximations for the Flow behind Axisymmetric Shock Waves," <u>NASA TN D-857</u>, 1961.
- 199. Lin, C. C. and Shen, S. F., "A Similarity for Turbulent Boundary Layer over a Flat Plate in Compressible Flow," NACA TN 2542, 1941.
- 200. Ludwieg, H. and Tillman, W., "Investigation of the Wall-Shearing Stress in Turbulent Boundary Layers," <u>NACA TM 1285</u>, 1950.
- 201. Morkovin, M. V., "On Transition Experiments at Moderate Supersonic Speeds," Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 7, July 1957, pp. 480-486.
- 202. Maysmith, A., "Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Measurements in a Region of Supersonic Flow Separation and Reattachment," NASA N-66506, May 1958.
- 203. Oswatitsch, K., "Similarity and Equivalence in Compressible Flow," Advances in Applied Mechanics, Vol. 6, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1960.
- 204. Pasiuk, L., Hastings, S. M. and Catham, R., "Reynolds-Analogy Factor for a Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer with a Pressure Gradient," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 5, May 1963, p. 1201.
- 205. Persh, J., "A "rocedure for Calculating the Boundary-Layer Development in the Region of Transition from Laminar to Turbulent Flow," <u>NAVORD</u> <u>Report 4438</u>, 157.
- 206. Peterson, J. B., Jr., "A Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Results for the Compressible Turbulent-Boundary Layer Skin Friction with Zero Pressure Gradient," <u>TN D1795</u>, March 1963.

- 207. Rogers, R. H., "The Transition to Turbulence in a Boundary Layer on a Blunt Cone in Supersonic Flow," <u>Journal of Aerospace Science</u>, Vol. 29, No. 4, April 1962, pp. 501-502.
- 208. Rott, N. and Crabtree, L. F., "Simplified Boundary-Layer Calculations for Bodies of Revolution and for Yawed Wings," <u>Journal of Aerospace</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 19, No. 8, August 1952, pp. 553-565.
- 209. Rubesin, M. W. and Johnson, H. A., "A Critical Review of Skin-Friction and Heat Transfer Solutions of the Laminar Boundary Layer of a Flat Plate," <u>Transactions of ASME</u>, Vol. 71, No. 4, 1949, pp. 385-388.
- 210. Rubesin, M. W., Maydew, R. C. and Varga, S. A., "An Analytical and Experimental Investigation of the Skin Friction of the Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate at Supersonic speeds," <u>NACA TN 2305</u>, 1951.
- 211. Rubesin, M. W., "A Modified Reynolds Analogy for the Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate," NACA TN 2917, 1953.
- 212. Sasman, P. K. and Cresci, R. J., "Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer with Pressure Gradient and Heat Transfer," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1966, pp. 19-26.
- 213. Seban, R. A. and Bond, R., "Skin-Friction and Heat-Transfer Characteristics of a Laminar Boundary Layer on a Cylinder in Axial Incompressible Flow," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 18, No. 10, October 1951, pp. 671-675.
- 214. Schaeffer, J. W. and Ferguson, H., "Investigation of Separation and Associated Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution on Cone-Cylinder-Flare Configurations at Mach Five," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 51, May 1962, pp. 762-770.
- 215. Shen, S. F., "Investigation of Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate in Compressible Flow by the Similarity Theory," <u>NACA TN 2543</u>, 1951.
- 216. Strike, W. T. and Whitfield, J. D., "Forebody Drag Characteristics of an Ogive Cylinder," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 6, June 1959, pp. 383-384.
- 217. Tetervin, N., "Remarks Concerning the Behavior of the Laminar Boundary Layer in Compressible Flows," <u>NACA TN 1895</u>, 1949.
- 218. Truckenbrodt, E., "An Approximate Method for the Calculation of the Laminar and Turbulent Boundary Layer by Simple Quadrature for Two-Dimensional and Axially Symmetric Flow," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 6, June 1952, pp. 428-429.
- 219. Van Driest, E. R., "Turbulent Boundary on a Cone in a Supersonic Flow at Zero Angle of Attack," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 19, No. 1, January 1952, pp. 55-57, 72.

- 220. Van Driest, E. R., "Investigation of Laminar Boundary Layer in Compressible Fluids Using the Crocco Method," <u>NACA TN 2597</u>, 1952.
- 221. Van Driest, E. R., "On the Boundary Layer of a Flat Plate with Variable Fluid Properties," North American Aviation, Inc., <u>Report No. Al-1700</u>, 1952.
- 222. Van Le, N., "Transformation between Compressible and Incompressible Boundary Layer Equations," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 8, August 1953, pp. 572-575.
- 223. Wazzan, A. R. and Ball, W. K., "Body Shape Effects on Skin Friction in Supersonic Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 9, September 1965, pp. 1770-1772.
- 224. Wilson, R. E., "Turbulent Boundary Layer Characteristics at Supersonic Speeds--Theory and Experiment," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 17, No. 9, 1950, pp. 585-594.
- 225. Young, A. D., "Boundary Layers and Skin Friction in High-Speed Flow," Royal Aeronautical Society Journal, Vol. 55, 1951, pp. 285-302.
- 226. Young, G. B. W. and Janssen, E., "The Compressible Boundary Layer," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 19, No. 4, April 1952, pp. 229-236.

HEAT TRANSFER DISTRIBUTION

Flows of Low and Moderate Energy

Flows Over Blunt Bodies:

- 227. Anderson, K. G., Merrell, E. B., and Durban, J. M., "Aerodynamic Heating and Heat Transfer Phenomena at Mach Numbers 3 to 4," USAF WADC TR 5840 (OTS PB 121243), December 1951.
- 228. Arthur, P. D. and Williams, J. C. III, "Maximum Turbulent Boundary Layer Heating Rates on a Hemispherical Nose," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 30, No. 2, February 1960, pp. 207-208.
- 229. Beckwith, I. E. and Gallagher, J. J., "Heat Transfer and Recovery Temperatures on a Sphere with Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Boundary Layers at Numbers of 2.00 and 4.15," NACA TN 4125, December 1957.
- 230. Bertram, M. H. and Feller, W. V., "A Simple Method for Determining Heat Transfer, Skin Friction, and Boundary-Layer Thickness for Hypersonic Laminar Boundary Layers in a Pressure Gradient," <u>NASA Memorandum</u> <u>5-24-59L</u>, June 1959.
- 231. Bloxsom, E. D., Jr., "Supersonic Aerodynamic Experiments Using very High Temperature Air Wind Tunnels," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 28, No. 9, September 1958, pp. 603-609.

nal 2

- 232. Brindle, C., Alia, M. J., "Longitudinal Aerodynamic and Heat-Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere Cylinder Missile Configuration with an Aerodynamic Spike," David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, D.C. (AD 417-466).
- 233. Buglia, J. J., "Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on a Highly Polished Hemisphere Cone in Free Flight at Mach Numbers up to 3.14 and Reynolds Numbers up to 24 x 10⁶," NASA TN D-955, September 1962.
- Chapman, D. R., "A Theoretical Analysis of Heat Transfer in Regions of Separated Flow," <u>NACA TN 3792</u>, 1956.
- 235. Chauvin, L. T. and Maloney, J. P., "Experimental Convective Heat Transfer to a Four-Inch and Six-Inch Hemisphere at Mach Numbers from 1.62 to 3.04," <u>NACA RM L53L08a</u>, February 1954.
- 236. Chauvin, L. T. and Speegle, K. C., "Boundary-Layer Transition and Heat-Transfer measurements from Flight Tests of Blunt and Sharp 50° Cones at Mach Numbers from 1.7 to 4.7," NACA RM L57D04, 1957.
- 237. Cochran, R. P. and Cubbison, R. W., "Supersonic Aerodynamic Heating Tests on a Lightweight External Insulation System for Liquid-Hydrogen Tanks of Boost Vehicles," <u>NASA TN D-3300</u>, March 1966.
- 238. Colburn, A. P., "A Method of Correlating Forced Convection Heat Transfer Data and a Comparison with Fluid Friction," <u>Transactions of the</u> <u>American Institute of Chemical Engineers</u>, Vol. 29, 1933, pp. 174-210.
- 239. Cohen, C. B. and Reshotko, E., "The Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Arbitrary-Pressure Gradient," <u>NACA Report 1294</u>, 1956.
- 240. Cohen, C. B. and Reshotko, E., "Similar Solutions for the Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Pressure Gradient," <u>NACA R-1293</u>, 1956.
- 241. Cohen, N. B., "Boundary-Layer Similar Solutions and Correlation Equations for Laminar Heat Transfer Distributions in Equilibrium Air at Velocities up to 41,100 Feet per Second," <u>NASA TR R-118</u>, 1961.
- 242. Cooper, M. and Mayo, E. E., "Measurements of Local Heat Transfer and Pressure on Six Two-Inch Diameter Blunt Bodies at a Mach Number of 4.95 and at Reynolds Numbers per Foot up to 81 x 106," <u>NASA Memorandum</u> 1-3-59L, March 1959.
- 243. Crawford, D. H. and McCauley, W. D., "Investigation of the Laminar Aerodynamic Heat-Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere Cylinder in the Langley 11-Inch Hypersonic Tunnel at a Mach Number of 6.8," <u>NACA Report 1323</u> (supersedes <u>NACA TN 3706</u>), 1957.
- 244. Curle, N., "The Steady Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Arbitrary Pressure Gradient and Uniform Wall Temperature," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Royal Society, A-249</u>, 1958, pp. 206-224.

NWC TP 4570

- 245. Deissler, R. G. and Loeffler, A. L., Jr., "Heat Transfer and Friction for Fluids Flowing over Surfaces at High Temperatures and High Velocities," <u>Journal of Heat Transfer</u>, Vol. 81, Series C, No. 1, February 1959, pp. 89-91.
- 246. Detra, R. W. and Hidalgo, H., "Generalized Heat Transfer Formulae and Graphs," Avco Everett Research Laboratory, <u>Research Report 72</u>, March 1960.
- 247. Deveikis, W. D. and Walker, R. W., "Local Aerodynamic Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Transition on Roughened Sphere Ellipsoid Bodies at Mach Number 3.0," <u>NASA TN D-0907</u>, 1961.
- 248. Diaconis, N. S., Wisniewski, R. J. and Jack, J. R., "Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on Two Blunt Bodies at Mach Number 3.12," <u>NACA TN 4099</u>, October 1957.
- 249. DuP. Donaldson, C., "Skin friction and Heat Transfer through Turbulent Boundary Layers for Incompressible and Compressible Flows," <u>1952</u> <u>Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Institute</u>, Stanford University Press, 1952, pp. 19-35.
- 250. Eckert, E. R. G. and Livingood, J. N. B., "Mathod for Calculation of Heat Transfer in Laminar Region of Air Flow around Cylinders of Arbitrary Gross Section (including large temperature differences and transpiration cooling)," <u>NACA TN 2733</u>, 1952.
- 251. Eckert, E. R. G., "Heat Transfer Symposium. Chapter 6: Convective Heat Transfer at High Velocities," Engineering Research Institute, University of Michigan, 1933.
- 252. Eckert, E. R. G., "Engineering Relations for Heat Transfer and Friction in High-Velocity Laminar and Turbulent Boundary-Layer Flow over Surfaces with Constant Pressure and Temperature," <u>Transactions of</u> <u>American Society of Mechanical Engineerings</u>, Vol. 78 (Paper 55-431), 1956, pp. 1273-1253.
- 253. Eckert. E. R. G., "Engineering Relations for Friction and Heat Transfer to Surfaces in High Velocity Flow," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 22, No. 8, 1955, pp. 585-586.
- 254. Eggers, A. J., Jr., Hansen, C. F., and Cunninghau, B. Z., "Stagnation-Point Heat Transfer to Blunt Shapes in Hypersonic Flight, Including Effects of Yaw," <u>NACA TN 4229</u>, April 1958.
- 255. English, R. D. and Carter, H. S., "Variation in Heat Transfer During Transient Heating of a Hemisphere at Mach Number of 2," <u>NASA TN D399</u>, June 1960 (AD 237 910).
- 256. Fediaevskii, K. K. and Ginevskii, A. S., "A Method for Computing the Turbulear Boundary Layer when a Longitudinal Pressure Gradient is Present," <u>Soviet Physics</u>-Technical Physics, February 1957, pp. 275-291.

- 257. Gruenewald, K. H. and Fleming, W. J., "Laminar Heat Transfer to a Hemisphere at Mach Number 3.2," <u>NAVORD Report 3980</u>, February 1956.
- 258. Harley, E. E., Harley, K. M., and Malia, M. J., Jr., "An Experimental Investigation of the Relation between Skin Friction and Heat Transfer on the Surface of a Sphere at Supersonic Speeds," David Taylor Model Basin, Washington, D.C., <u>DTMB-Aero-1054 AD-602116</u>, <u>NASA N64-25518</u>.
- 259. Hara, T. and Okushi, J., "Turbulent Heat Transfer by Forced Convection with Large Temperature Difference," <u>Transactions of the Japan Society</u> of <u>Mechanical Engineers</u>, Vol. 24, 1958, pp. 219-223.
- 260. Heckman, D., "Heat Transfer to Spheres and Cones in Equilibrium Air," ARPA Order 133, NASA N64-31018, August 1964.
- 261. Hickman, R. S. and Giedt, W. H., "Heat Transfer to a Hemisphere-Cylinder at Low Reynolds Number," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 3, March 1963, pp. 665-672.
- 262. Jack, J. R., "Effect of Favorable Pressure Gradients on Transition for Several Bodies of Revolution at Mach 3.12," NACA TN 4313, July 1958.
- 263. Jack, J. R. and Diaconis, N. S., "Heat Transfer Measurements on Two Bodies of Revolution at a Mach Number of 3.12," NACA TN 3776, October 1956.
- 264. Jeakins, R., "Variation of the Eddy Conductivity with Prandtl Modulus and its Use in Prediction of Turbulent Heat Transfer Coefficients," <u>Heat</u> <u>Transfer Fluid Mechanics Institute</u>, Stanford University, 1951, pp. 147-158.
- 265. Kays, W. M., <u>Convective Heat and Mass Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York, 1966.
- 266. Jedlicka, J. R., Wilkins, M. E., and Selff, A., "Experimental Determination of Boundary-Layer Transition on a Body of Revolution at M = 3.5," <u>NACA TN 3342</u>, October 1954.
- 267. Kalikhman, L. E., "Heat Transmission in the Boundary Layer," <u>Prikladnaia</u> <u>Mathematika i Mekhanika</u>, Vol. 10, 1946, pp. 449-474, <u>NACA TM 1229</u>.
- 268. Kemp, N. H., "Note on the Surface Integral of Laminar Heat Flux to Symmetric Bodies at Zero Incidence," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 4, April 1962, pp. 639-640.
- 269. Kestin, J. and Maeder, P. F., "Influence of Turbulence on Transfer of Heat from Cylinders," <u>NACA TN 4018</u>, October 1957.
- 270. Klunker, E. B. and McLean, F. E., "Laminar Friction and Heat Transfer at Mach Numbers from 1 to 10." <u>NACA TN 2916</u>, 1953.
- 271. Ko, S. Y., "Calculation of Local Heat Transfer Coefficients on Slender Surfaces of Revolution by the Mangler Transformation," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Science</u>, Vol. 25, No. 1, January 1958, pp. 62-63.

- 272. Korobkin, I. and Gruenewald, K. H., "Investigation of Local Laminar Heat Transfer on a Hemisphere for Supersonic Mach Numbers at Low Rates of Heat Flux," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 24, No. 7, March 1957, pp. 188-194.
- 273. Lewis, J. P. and Ruggeri, R. S., "Investigation of Heat Transfer from a Stationary and Rotating Ellipsoidal Forebody of Fineness Katio 3," <u>NACA TN 3837</u>, November 1956.
- 274. Libby, P. A. and Morduchow, M., "Methoi for Calculation of Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Axial Pressure Gradient and Heat Transfer," <u>NACA TN 3157</u>, January 1954.
- 275. Lighthill, M. J., "Contributions to the Theory of Heat Transfer through a Laminar Boundary Layer," <u>Royal Society (London), Proceedings, Series</u> <u>A, Math and Physical Sciences</u>, Vol. 202, 1950, pp. 357-377.
- 276. Managhan, R. J., "A Survey and Correlation of Data on Heat Transfer by Forced Convection at Supersonic Speeds," NASA N-28412X, 1958.
- 277. Markley, J. T., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Measurements on a Five-Inch Hemispherical Concave Nose at Mach Number of 2.0," <u>NACA RM L58C14a</u>, July 1958.
- 278. Marvin, J. G. and Deiwert, G. S., "Convective Heat Transfer in Planetary Gases," <u>NASA TR R-224</u>, 1965.
- 279. Moeckel, W. E., "Some Effects of Bluntness on Boundary-Layer Transition and Heat Transfer at Supersonic Speeds," <u>NACA T?</u> 3653, March 1956.
- 280. Moeckel, W. E., "Some Effects of Bluntness on Boundary-Layer Transition and Heat Transfer at Supersonic Speeds," <u>NACA Report 1312</u>, 1957.
- 281. Monaghan, R. J., "Further Comments on Turbuleat Boundary-Layer Characteristics at Supersonic Speeds by R. E. Wilson," <u>Reader's Forum</u>, Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1953, p. 142.
- 282. Monaghan, H. J. and Cooke, J. R., "The Measurements of Heat Transfer and Skin Friction at Supersonic Speeds (Part III)," <u>RAE Technical Note</u> No. AERO 2199, 1951.
- 283. Neal, L., Jr. and Bertram, M. H., "Turbulent Skin Friction and Heat Transfer Charts Adapted from the Spalding and Chi Method," <u>TN D-3969</u>, May 1967.
- 284. Pergament, H. S. and Epstein, M., "Approximate Method for Determining Laminar Heat Transfer Rates," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 30, No. 2, February 1960, p. 206.
- 285. Reller, J. D., Jr., "Heat Transfer to Blunt Axially Symmetric Bodies," NASA TM X-391, NASA N63-12561, September 1960.
- 286. Reller, J. D., Jr., "Heat Transfer to Blunt Nose Snapes with Laminar Boundary Layers at High Supersonic Speeds," <u>NACA RM A57F03a</u>, August 1957 (N62-64403).

- 287. Reshotko, E., "Simplified Method for Estimating Compressible Laminar Heat Transfer with Pressure Gradient," NACA TN 3888, December 1956.
- 288. Reshotko, E. and Tucker, M., "Approximate Calculation of the Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Arbitrary Pressure Gradient," NACA TN 4154, December 1957.
- 289. Romig, M. F., "On the Estimation of Compressible Heat Transfer," Convair Scientific Research Laboratory, San Diego, June 1953.
- 290. Rumsey, C. B., Piland, R. O. and Hopko, R. N., "Aerodynamic-Heating Data Obtained from Free-Flight Tests between Mach Numbers of 1 and 5," NASA TN D-216, January 1960.
- 291. Rubesin, M. W., Rumsey, C. B., and Varga, S. A., "A Summary of Available Knowledge Concerning Skin-Friction and Heat Transfer and Its Application to the Design of High-Speed Missiles," <u>NACA RM A51J25a</u>, November 1951.
- 292. Rubesin, M. W., "A Modified Reynolds Analogy for the Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate," NACA TN 2917, March 1053.
- 293. Scherrer, R., "The Effects of Aerodynamic Heating and Heat Transfer on the Surface of a Body of Revolution in Steady Supersonic Flight," NACA Report 917, 1948.
- 294. Seban, R. A., "Heat Transfer to Laminar Boundary Layers with Variable Free-Stream Velocity," <u>Transactions of the ASME</u>, Vol. 79, 1957, p. 1545.
- 295. Seban, R. A. and Chan, H. W., "Heat Transfer to Boundary Layers with Pressure Gradients," <u>WADC Technical Report 57-111</u>, May 1958 (AD 118 675).
- 296. Sibulkin, M. J., "Laminar Flow of Air over an Isothermal Hemispherical Nose at Mach = 1.97," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 19, No. 8, August 1952, p. 570.
- 297. Sibulkin, M., "Estimation of Turbulent Heat Transfer at the Sonic Point of a Blunt-Nosed Body," Convair Scientific Research Laboratory, <u>RN 7</u>, October 1957.
- 298. Sommer, S. C. and Short, B. J., "Free Flight Measurements of Turbulent Boundary Layer Skin Friction in the Presence of Severe Aerodynamic Heating at Mach Numbers from 2.8 to 7.0." <u>NACA TN 3391</u>, March 1955.
- 299. Smith, A. and Spalding, D., "Heat Transfer in a Laminar Boundary Layer with Constant Fluid Properties and Constant Wall Temperature," <u>Journal</u> of the Royal Aeronautical Society, Vol. 62, 1958, pp. 60-64.
- 300. Stalder, J. R. and Nielsen, H. V., "Heat Transfer from a Hemisphere Cylinder Equipped with Flow-Separation Spikes," <u>NACA TN 3287</u>, September 1954.

- 301. Stine, H. A. and Scherrer, R., "Experimental Investigation of the Turbulent Boundary-Layer Temperature Recovery Factor on Bodies of Revolution at Mach Numbers from 2.0 to 2.8," NACA TN 2664, 1952.
- 302. Stine, H. A. and Wanlass, K., "Theoretical and Experimental Investigation of Aerodynamic-Heating and Isothermal Heat-Transfer Parameters on a Hemispherical Nose with Laminar Boundary Layer at Supersonic Mach Numbers," NACA IN 3344, December 1954.
- 303. Stoney, W. E., Jr. and Markley, J. T., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Measurements on Flat-Faced Cylinders at a Mach Number of 2," <u>NACA TN</u> 4300, July 1958.
- 304. Tendeland, T., "Effects of Mach Numbers and Wall Temperature Ratio on Turbulent Heat Transfer at Mach Numbers from 3 to 5," <u>NASA TR R-16</u>, 1959.
- 305. Tremblay, R., "An IBM 1620 Program for Solution of the Heat Conduction Equations in the Nose of a Projectile," <u>CARDE TN 1593/64</u>, Canadian Armarment Research and Development Establishment, February 1964 (AD 451-128).
- 306. Tucker, M. and Maslen, S. H., "Turbulent Boundary Layer Temperature Recovery Factors in Two-Dimensional Supersonic Flow," NACA TN 2296, February 1951.
- 307. Van Driest, E. R., "Turbulent Boundary Layer in Compressible Fluids," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 18, No. 3, March 1951, pp. 145-160, 216.
- 308. Van Driest, E. R., "On the Aerodynamic Heating of Blunt Bodies," <u>Zeitschrift</u> fur Angewandte Mathematik und Physik, 9b, 1958, pp. 233-248.
- 309. Van Driest, E. R., "The Problem of Aerodynamic Heating," <u>Aeronautical</u> <u>Engineering Review</u>, October 1956.
- 310 Walz, A., "Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layers with Heat Transfer and Pressure Gradient in Flow Direction," National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., <u>NBS Report No. 6223</u>, <u>AFOSR TN 58-635</u>, December 1, 1958.
- 311. Wimbrow, W. R. and Scherrer, R., "Laminar-Boundary Layer Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Body of Revolution with a Pressure Gradient At Supersonic Speeds," <u>NACA TN 2148</u>, 1950.
- 312. Wimbrow, W. R., "Experimental Investigation of Temperature Recovery Factors on Bodies of Revolution at Supersonic Speeds," NACA TN 1975, 1949.
- 313. Zoby, E. V. and Sullivan, E. M., "Correlation of Free-Flight Turbulent Heat Transfer Data from Axisymmetric Bodies with Compressible Flat-Plate Relationships," <u>NASA TN D-3802</u>, January 1967.

Flows Over Pointed Bodies:

- 314. Beckwith, I. E., "Theoretical Investigation of Laminar Heat Transfer on Yawed Infinite Cylinders in Supersonic Flow and a Comparison with Experimental Data," <u>NACA RM L55F09</u>, August 1955.
- 315. Blankenship, V. D., "Shock Interaction on a Slender Supersonic Cone," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 22, Part 3, July 1965, pp. 599-615.
- 316. Bradfield, W. S., "An Experimental Investigation of the Turbulent Boundary Layer in Supersonic Flow around Unyawed Cones with Small Heat Transfer and Correlations with Two-Dimensional Data," Convair Scientific Research Laboratory, <u>Research Report 1, 15</u>, March 1958.
- 317. Burbank, P. B. and Hodge, B. L., "Distribution of Heat Transfer on a 10° Cone at Angle of Attack from 0° to 15° for Mach Numbers of 2.49 to 4.65 and a Solution to the Heat Transfer Equation that Permits Complete Machine Calculation," NASA Memo 6-4-59L, June 1959.
- 318. Carros, R. J., "Effect of Mach Number on Boundary-Layer Transition in the Presence of Pressure Rise and Surface Roughness on an Ogive-Cylinder Body with Cold Wall Conditions," <u>NACA RM A56B15</u>, April 1956.
- 319. Chauvin, L. T. and Maloney, J. P., "Turbulent Convective Heat Transfer Coefficients Measured from Flight Tests of Four Research Models (NACA <u>RM 10</u>) at Mach Numbers from 1.0 to 3.6," <u>NACA RM L54L15</u>, March 1955.
- 320. Chauvin, L. T. and deMoraes, C. A., "Correlation of Supersonic Convective Heat-Transfer Coefficients from Measurements of the Skin Temperature of a Parabolic Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-10</u>)," <u>NACA RM L51A18</u>, March 1951.
- 321. Chauvin, L. T. and deMoraes, C. A., "Correlation of Supersonic Convective Heat Transfer Coefficients from Measurements of the Skin-Temperature of a Parabolic Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-10</u>," <u>NACA TN 3623</u> (supersedes <u>RM L51A18</u>), March 1956.
- 322. Chauvin, L. T. and Maloney, J. P., "Turbulent Convective Heat Transfer Coefficients Measured from Flight Tests of Four Research Models (NACA RM-10) at Mach Numbers from 1.0 to 3.6," <u>NACA RM L54L15</u>, March 1955.
- 323. Eber, G. R., "Recent Investigation of Temperature Recovery and Heat Transmission on Cones and Cylinders in Axial Flow in the N.O.L. Aeroballistics Wind Tunnel," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 19, No. 1, January 1952, pp. 1-6, 14.
- 324. Gazley, C., "Theoretical Evaluation of the Turbulent Skin Friction and Heat Transfer on a Cone in Supersonic Flight," <u>Report No. R49A052</u>, U.S. Army Ordnance Department, 1949.
- 325. Gruenewald, K. H., "Temperature Recovery Factors in the Transitional and Turbulent Boundary Layer on a 40° Cone Cylinder at Mach Number 2.9," NAVORD 2742, 1953.

- 326. Haberman, W. L. and Harley, E. E., "Heat Transfer Testing in Intermittent Wind Tunnels. Part I: Solid Cones in Supersonic Tunnels," <u>NASA N62-11990</u>, Aerodynamics Laboratory, David Taylor, Model Basin, Washington, D.C., Aero Report 1007.
- 327. Jack, J. R. and Diaconis, N. S., "Heat Transfer Measurements on Two Bodies of Revolution at a Mach Number of 3.12," <u>NACA TN 3776</u>, October 1956.
- 328. Jones, J. J., "Experimental Investigation of the Keat Transfer Rate to a Series of 20° Cones of Various Surface Finishes at a Mach Number of .95," <u>NASA Memo 6-10-59L</u>, June 1959 (AD 217 390).
- 329. Julius, J. D., "Measurements of Pressure and Local Heat Transfer on a 20° Cone at angle of Attack up to 20° for a Mach Number of 4.95," NASA TN D-179, December 1959.
- 330. Loposer, J. D., "Average Skin-Friction Coefficients from Boundary-Layer Measurements on an Ogive-Cylinder Body in Flights at Supersonic Speeds," NACA RM L52K28a, January 1953.
- 331. Loposer, J. D. and Rumsey, C. B., "Flight Measurements of Average Skin-Friction Coefficients on a Parabolic Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-</u> <u>10</u>) at Mach Numbers from 1.0 to 3.7," <u>NACA RM 154G14</u>, August 1954.
- 332. Mack, L. M., "Summary of Existing Cone Temperature Recovery Factor Data," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 22, No. 3, March 1955, p. 212.
- 333. Maloney, J. P., "Drag and Heat Transfer on a Parabolic Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-10</u>) in Free Flight to Mach Number 2 with both Constant and Varying Reynolds Number and Heating Effects on Turbulent Skin Friction," NACA RM L54D06, June 1954.
- 334. Rogers, W. E., Quincey, V. G., and Callinan, J., "Experiments at M Equals 1.4. on a Thin, Conically-Cambered elliptic Cone at 30° Semi-Vertex Angle," <u>NASA N63-17523</u>, 1963.
- 335. Romig, Mary F., "On Heat Transfer to Cones at High Velocities," Convair Scientific Research Laboratory, August 1958.
- 336. Rumsey, C. B. and Lee, D. B., "Measurement of Aerodynamic Heat Transfer on a 15° Cone-Cylinder Flare Configuration in Free Flight at Mach Numbers up to 4.7," NASA TN D-824, May 1961.
- 337. Sands, N. and Jack, J. R., "Preliminary Heat Transfer Studies on Two Bodies of Revolution at Angle of Attack at a Mach Number of 3.12," <u>NACA TN</u> <u>4378</u>, September 1958.
- 338. Sanator, R. J., DeCarlo, J. P., and Torillo, D. T., "Hypersonic Boundary-Layer Transition Data for a Cold-Wall Slender Cone," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 4, April 1965, pp. 758-760.
- 339. Schaefer, J. W. and Ferguson, H., "Investigation Separation and the Associated Heat Transfer and Pressure Distributions on Cone-Cylinder Flare Configurations at Mach 5," <u>ARS Preprint 2171-61</u>, N62-12170, 1961.

1 2.

のないというです。

- 340. Schapker, R. L., "Correlation of Laminar Heating to Cones in High-Speed Flight at Zero Angle of Attack," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 8, August 1964, pp. 1953-1954.
- 341. Scherrer, Richard, "Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Heat Transfer Characteristics of Bodies of Revolution at Supersonic Speeds," NACA Report 1055, 1951.
- 342. Scherrer, R., "Boundary Layer Transition on a Cooled 20° Cone at Mach Numbers of 1.5 and 2.0," <u>NACA TN 2131</u>, July 1950.
- 343. Scherrer, R. and Gowen, F. E., "Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Heat Transfer on a Cooled 20° Cone with a Laminar Boundary Layer at a Mach Number of 2.02," NACA TN 2067, 1950.
- 344. Scherrer, R., Wimbrow, W. R., and Gowen, F. E., "Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Transition on a Heated 20° Cone at a Mach Number of 1.53," NACA Res Memo A8128, 1949.
- 345. Swanson, A. G., Buglia, J. J., and Chauvin, L. T., "Flight Measurements of Boundary-Layer Temperature Profiles on a Body of Revolution (<u>NACA RM-10</u>) at Mach Numbers from 1.2 to 3.5," <u>NACA TN 4061</u>, July 1957.
- 346. Van Driest, E. R. and McCauley, W. D., "Boundary-Layer Transition on a 10° Cone at Mach Number 2.81 as Affected by Extreme Cooling," <u>Journal</u> of <u>Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 24, No. 10, October 1957, p. 780.
- 347. Wittliff, C. E. and Wilson, M. R., "Heat Transfer to Slender Cones in Hypersonic Air Flow, Including Effects of Yaw and Nose Bluntness," Journal of Acrospace Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 7, July 1962, pp. 761-774.
- 348. Zakkay, V. and Callahan, C. J., "Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Heat Transfer to a Cone-Cylinder Flare Body at Mach 8.0," <u>Journal of Aero-</u><u>space Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 12, December 1962, pp. 1403-1413, 1420.

Flows Over Flat Plate:

- 349. Aoki, S. and Kawashmo, K., "Heat Transmission in High Speed Gas Flow (IV and V)," <u>Transactions of Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers</u>, Vol. 22, 1956, pp. 576-582.
- 350. Baron, J. R., "The Heterogeneous Laminar Boundary," <u>MIT NSL TR 236</u> (AFOSR TN 57-392), 1957 (AD 132474).
- 351. Bertram, M. H. and Henderson, A., Jr., "Effects of Boundary-Layer Displacement and Leading-Edge Bluntness over Pressure Distribution, Skin-Friction and Heat Transfer of Bodies at Hypersonic Speeds," NACA TN 4301, July 1958.
- 352. Bourne, D. E. and Davies, D. R., "On the Calculation of Eddy Viscosity and of Heat Transfer in a Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Surface," <u>Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 11, 1958, p. 223.

- 353. Brevoort, M. J. and Rashis, B., "Turbulent Heat Transfer Measurements at a Mach Number of 3.03," <u>NACA TN 3303</u>, September 1954.
- 354. Brevoort, M. J. and Rashis, B., "Turbulent Heat Transfer Measurements at a Mach Number of 2.06," <u>NACA IN 3374</u>, March 1955.
- 355. Brevoort, M. J. and Rashis, B., "Turbulent Heat Transfer Measurements at a Mach Number of 1.62," <u>NACA TN 3461</u>, June 1955.
- 356. Brevoort, M. J. and Rashis, B., "Turbulent Heat Transfer Measurements at a Mach Number of 0.87," <u>NACA TN 359</u>9, December 1955.
- 357. Brevoort, M. J., "Turbulent Heat Transfer Measurements at a Mach Number of 3.90," NACA TN 3734, July 1956.
- 358. Brevoort, M. J. and Arabian, B. D., "Summary of Experimental Heat Transfer Measurements in Turbulent Ficw for a Mach Number Range from 0.87 to 5.05," <u>NACA TN 4248</u>, May 1958.
- 359. Creager, M. O., "Effects of Leading-Edge Blunting on the Local Heat Transfer and Pressure Distributions over Flat Plates in Supersonic Flow," <u>NACA TN 4142</u>, December 1957.
- 360. Crocco, L., "Transformations of the Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer with Heat Exchange," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 12, December 1963, pp. 2723-2731.
- 361. Deissler, R. G. and Loeffler, A. L., Jr., "Analysis of Turbulent Flow and Heat Transfer on a Flat Plate at High Mach Numbers with Variable Fluid Properties," <u>NACA TN 4262</u>, April 1958.
- 362. Fallis, W. B., "Heat Transfer in the Transitional and Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate at Supersonic Speeds," <u>Report No. 19</u>, University of Toronto, Institute of Aerophysics, 1952.
- 363. Hakkinen, R. J., "Measurements of Turbulent Skin Friction on a Flat Plate at Transonic Speeds," NACA TN 3486, September 1955.
- 364. Hassan, H. A., "On Heat Transfer to Laminar Boundary Layers," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 26, No. 7, July 1959, p. 464.
- 365. Hilton, W. F., "Wind Tunnel Tests for Temperature Recovery Factors at Supersonic Velocities," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 18, No. 2, February 1951, pp. 97-100.
- 366. Lee, D. B. and Faget, M. A., "Charts Adapted from Van Driest's Turbulent Flat-Plate Theory for Determining Values of Turbulent Aerodynamic Friction and Heat-Transfer Coefficients," <u>NACA TN 3811</u>, October 1956.
- 367. Monayhan, R. J., "A Survey and Correlation of Data on Heat Transfer by Forced Convection at Supersonic Speeds," <u>Report and Memorandum 3033</u>, Great Britain Aeronautical Research Council, 1958.

alance the manufacture

- 368. Morduchow, M., "Analysis and Calculation by Integral Methods of Laminar Compressible Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and With and Without Pressure Gradient," NACA Report 1245, 1955.
- 369. Propas, C. C., "Measurement of Heat Transfer in the Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate in Supersonic Flow and Comparison with Skin Friction Results," <u>NACA TN 3222</u>, June 1954.
- 370. Pappas, C. C. and Rubesin, M. W., "Heat Transfer in a Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Flat Plate," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Heac Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Institute</u>, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 1953, p. 19.
- 371. Reynolds, W. D., Kays, W. M., and Kline, S. J., "Heat Transfer in the Turbulent Incompressible Boundary Layer. IV: Effect of Location of Transition and Prediction of Heat Transfer in a Known Region," <u>NASA Memo 12-4-58W</u>, December 1953.
- 372. Seiff, A., "Examination of the Existing Data on the Heat Transfer of Turbulent Boundary Layers at Supersonic Speeds from the Viewpoint of Reynolds Analogy," <u>NACA TN 3284</u>, August 1954.
- 373. Slack, E. G., "Experimental Investigation of Heat Transfer through Laminar and Turbulent Boundary Layers on a Cooled Flat Plate at a Mach Number of 2.4," <u>NACA TN 2686</u>, April 1952.
- 374. Spalding, D. B. and Chi, S. W., "The Drag of a Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Smooth Flat Plate With and Without Heat Transfer," Journal of Fluid Methanics, Vol. 18, 1964, pp. 117-143.
- 375. Smith, A. G. and Shah, V. L., "Last Transfer in the Incompressible Boundary Layer on a Flat Place with Arbitrary Heat Flux," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 28, No. 9, September 1961, pp. 738-739.
- 376. Stalder, J. R., Rubesin, M. W., and Tendeland, T., "A Determination of the Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Boundary-Layer Temperature Recovery Factors on a Flat Plate in Supersonic Flow," <u>NACA TN 2077</u>, June 1950.
- 377. Tendeland, T., "Effects of Mach Number and Wall Temperature Ratio on Turbulent Heat Transfer at Mach Numbers from 3 to 5," <u>NACA TN</u> <u>4236</u>, 1958.
- 378. Van Driest, E. R., "Calculation of the Stability of the Laminar Boundary-Layer in a Compressible Fluid on a Flat Plate with Heat Transfer," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 19, No. 12, 1952, p. 801.

Stagnation-Point Heat Transfer

379. Beckwith, I. E., "The Effect of Gas Properties on the Heat Transfer in Stagnation Flow," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 25, No. 8, August 1958, pp. 533-534.

- 380. Donovan, M. B., "A Survey of Stagnation Point Heating," <u>Boeing Document</u> <u>No. D2-22050</u>, January 1963 (AD 44-657).
- 381. Fay, J. A. and Riddell, R. F., "Theory of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer to Dissociated Air," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 25, No. 2, February 1958, p. 73-88.
- 382. Fay, J. A. and Kemp, N. H., "Theory of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in Partially Ionized Diatomic Gas," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 12, December 1963, pp. 2741-2751.
- 383. Eggels, A. J., Jr., Hansen, C. F., and Cunningham, B. E., "Stagnation Point Heat Transfer to Blunt Shapes in Hypersonic Flight, Including Effects of Yaw," <u>NACA TN 4229</u>, April 1958.
- 384. Felderman, E. J., Fellinger, R. C., "Stagnation-Point Heat Transfer and Shock Detachment Distance for Ellipsoids of Revolution," <u>AIAA</u> Journal, Vol. 3, No. 6, June 1965, pp. 847-850.
- 385. Ferri, A. and Zakkay, V., "Measurements of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer at Low Reynolds Numbers," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 27, No. 7, July 1962, pp. 847-850.
- 386. John, R. R. and Bade, W. L., "Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in a Subsonic Jet of Arc-Heated Air," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 29, No. 7, July 1959, pp. 523-527.
- 387. Nerem, R. M. "Integral Approach to Stagnation Point Heat Transfer," ARS Journal, Vol. 32, No. 1, January 1962, pp. 89-90.
- 388. Rousig, M. F., Addendum to "Stagnation Point Heat Transfer for Hypersonic Flow," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 27, No. 12, December 1957, p. 1255.
- 389. Rose, P. H. and Stark, W. I., "Stagnation Point Heat-Transfer Measurement in Dissociated Air," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Science</u>, Vol. 25, No. 2, February 1958, pp. 89-97.
- 390. Reshotko, E., "Heat Transfer to a General Three-Dimensional Stagnation Point," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 28, No. 1, January 1958, p. 58.
- 391. Reshotko, E. and Cohen, C. B., "Heat Transfer at the Forward Stagnation Point of Blunt Bodies," <u>NACA TN-3513</u>, July 1955.
- 392. Schreier, S., "A Comparison of Five Methods of Calculating Aerodynamic Stagnation-Point Heat Transfer at Velocities of 25,000 to 40,000 fps," Journal of Applied Mechanics, Paper No. 63-APM-17, 1963.
- 393. Starner, K. E., "Stagnation Point, Langmuir Probe Measurements in a Supersonic Argon Plasma Flow," Technical Documentary Report AD-461-240.

High Energy Flows

394. Bertram, M. H. and Henderson, A., Jr., "Effects of Boundary-Layer Displacement and Leading-Edge Bluntness on Pressure Distribution, Skin

Friction and Heat Transfer of Bodies at Hypersonic Speeds." <u>NACA</u> <u>TN 4301</u>, July 1958 (AD 203-240).

- 395. Boldman, D. R., Schmidt, J. F., and Fortini, A., "Turbulence, Heat Transfer, and Boundary Layer Measurements in a Conical Nozzle with a Controlled Inlet Velocity Profile," <u>NASA IN D-3221</u>, March 1966.
- 396. Brunk, J. E., "Free Flight Hypersonic Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Transition Studies: HTV Flights A-40 and A-41," Curtiss-Wright Corporation, Wood Ridge, New Jersey, <u>ARL TR 60-311</u>, December 1960 (AD 253-021).
- 397. Burleson, W. G and Reynolds, R. A., "Theoretical Effects of Re-entry Aerodynam c Heating on the External Skin Structure of Amrad Experiment Number One," U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, <u>RS-TR-65-31</u>, April 1965 (AD 465-896).
- 398. Casaccio, A., "Flow Regimes for Hyper-velocity Vehicles," <u>ASD-TR-01-682</u>, July 1962, Republic Aviation Corporation, Farmingdale, New York, (AD 284 884).
- 399. Crawford, D. H. and McCauley, W. D., "Investigation of the Laminar Aerodynamic Heat-Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere-Cylinder in the Langley 11-Inch Hypersonic Tunnel at a Mach Number of 6.8," <u>NACA R 1323</u>, 1956.
- 400. Cresci, R. J., Mackenzie, D. A. and Libby, F. A., "An Investigation of Laminar, Transitional and Turbulent Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>WADC TN 59-119</u>, 1959 (AD 214-617).
- 401. Cresci, R. J., MacKenzie, D. A. and Libby, P. A., "An Investigation of Laminar, Transitional and Turbulent Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 27, No. 6, June 1960, pp. 401-414.
- 402. Detra, R. W. and Hidalgo, H., "Generalized Heat Transfer Formulas and Graphs for Nose Cone Re-entry into the Atmosphere," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 31, No. 3, March 1961, pp. 318-321.
- 403. Dunlap, R. and Kuethe, A. M., "Effects of Cooling on Boundary-Layer Transition on a Hemisphere in Simulated Hypersonic Flow," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 12, December 1962, pp. 1454-1461.
- 404. Eckert, E. R. G. and Tewfik, O. E., "Use of Reference Enthalpy in Specifying the Laminar Heat Transfer Distribution Around Blunt Bodies in Dissociated Air," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 27, No. 6, June 1960, p. 464.
- 405. Economos, C. and Libby, P. A., "A Note on Transitional Heat Transfer under Hypersonic Conditions," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 1, January 1962, pp. 101-102.

- 406. Ferri, A., Zakkay, V., and Ting, L., "Blunt Body Heat Transfer at Hypersonic Speed and Low Reynolds Numbers," <u>Journal of the Aerospace</u> <u>Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, No. 12, December 1961, pp. 962-971, 991.
- 407. Griffin, B. J. and Lewis, C. H., "Laminar Heat Transfer to Spherically Blunted Cones at Hypersonic Conditions," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 2, No. 3, March 1964, pp. 438-444.
- 408. Hearne, L. F., Hines, F. L., and Rindal, R. A., "Recommend Procedures for Prediction of Re-entry Convective Heating Environment," Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, <u>TIAD/201</u>, September 1960.
- 409. Hickman, R. S. and Giedt, W. H., "Heat Transfer to a Hemisphere-Cylinder at Low Reynolds Numbers," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 3, March 1963, 665-672.
- 410. Horstman, C. C., "The Flow over a Cylinder with Various Nose Shapes at Zero Angle of Attack at Hypersonic Speeds," <u>ARL 63-14</u>, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, January 1963.
- 411. Hoshizahi, H., "Heat Transfer in Planetary Atmospheres at Super-Satellite Speeds," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 10, October 1962, pp. 1544-1551.
- 412. Kaufman, L. G., "Pressure and Heat Transfer Measurements for Mach 8 Flows over a Blunt Pyramidal Configuration with Aerodynamic Controls. Part III: Heat Transfer Data for Delta Wing Surface," <u>ASD-TDR-63-679:3</u>, Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation, Bethpage, New York, December 1963 (AD 601-804).
- 413. Kemp, N. H., Rose, P. H., and Detra, R. W., "Laminar Heat Transfer Around Blunt Bodies in Dispociated Air," <u>Research Report 15</u>, AVCO Research Laboratory, AVCO Manufacturing Company, May 1958.
- 414. Kemp, N. H., Rose, P. H., and Detra, R. W., "Laminar Heat Transfer Around Blunt Bodies in Dissociated Air," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 26, No. 7, July 1959, pp. 421-430.
- 415. Korobkin, I., "Local Flow Conditions, Recovery Factors, and Heat Transfer Coefficients on the Nose of a Hemisphere-Cylinder at Mach Number of 218," <u>NAVORD Report 2865</u>, 1953.
- 416. Kuby, W. C., "Use of the Method of Integral Relations for the Determination of the Convective Heat Flux to a Re-entry Body," <u>ATAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 5, May 1966, p. 947.
- 417. Lees, L., "Hypersonic Flow," Fifth International Aeronautical Conference, Journal of Aeronautical Science, 1955, pp. 241-276.
- 418. Lees, L., "Laminar Heat Transfer over Blunt-Nose Bodies at Hypersonic Flight Speeds," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 26, No. 4, April 1956, pp. 259-269.
- 419. Lees, L., "Recent Developments in Hypersonic Flow," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 27, No. 11, November 1957, pp. 1162-1178.

- 420. Lees, L., "Re-entry Heat Transfer," <u>Astronautics</u>, Vol. 4, No. 3, March 1959, p. 22.
- 421. Libby, P. A., "The Laminar Hypersonic Heat Transfer on a Blunt Body According to the Integral Method," <u>1958 Heat Transfer Fluid Mechanics Institute</u>, Stanford University Press, Stanford, California, 1958.
- 422. Maydew, R. C., "Analysis of Heat Transfer and Boundary Layer Transition on a Blunt Body at Hypersonic Speeds," <u>SC-RR-67-142</u>, Sandia Corporation, May 1967.
- 423. Nagel, A. L., Fitzsimmons, H. D., and Doyle, L. B., "Analysis of Hypersonic Pressure and Heat Transfer Tests on Delta Wings with Laminar and Turbuler.t Boundary Layers," <u>NASA CR-535</u>, August 1966.
- 424. Neal, L., Jr., "A Study of the Pressure, Heat Transfer, and Skin Friction on Sharp and Blunt Flat Plates at Mach 6.8," <u>NASA TN D-3312</u>, April 1956.
- 425. Nardo, S. V. and Sadler, R. W., "Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution in a Hemispherical Nose Cone in Hypersonic Flow," <u>PIBAL Report No.</u> <u>597</u>, January 1962, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, New York (AD 273-837).
- 426. Probstein, R. F., Adams, M. C., and Rose, F. H., "On Turbulent Heat Transfer through a Highly-Cooled Partially Dissociated Boundary Layer," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 28, No. 1, January 1958, pp. 56-57.
- 427. Rabinowicz, J., "Measurement of Turbulent Heat Transfer Rates on the Aft Position and Blunt Base of a Hemisphere Cylinder in the Shock Tube," <u>GALCIT Memorandum 41</u>, November 1, 1957.
- 428. Smith, C. G., "Heat Flux Distribution over Hemispherical-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flight," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, No. 1, January 1961, pp. 69-71.
- 429. Sibulkin, M., "Comments on Generalized Heat Transfer Formulas and Graphs for Nose Cone Re-entry into the Atmosphere," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 4, April 1962, p. 647.
- 430. Terry, J. E., "Convective Heat Transfer to a Lifting Flat-Faced Cone Entry Body," <u>NASA TM X-509</u>, May 1961.
- 431. Vaglio-Laurin, R., "Laminar Heat Transfer on Three-Dimensional Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 29, No. 2, Tebruary 1959, pp. 123-130.
- 432. Vaglio-Laurin, R., "Turbulent Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Two-Dimensional and General Three-Dimensional Hypersonic Flow," Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 27, No. 1, January 1960, pp. 27-36.
- 433. Vaglio-Laurin, R., "Heat Transfer on Blunt Nosed Bodies in General-Three Dimensional Hypersonic Flow," <u>1959 Heat Transfer and Fluid</u>

NWC TP 4570

Mechanics Institute, Preprints of Papers, Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.

- 434. Valensi, J., Michel, R., and Guffroy, D., "Experimental and Theoretical Results on Heat Transfer at the Angle of Attack of Swept Wings in Hypersonic Flight," <u>NASA TT-F-9744</u>; <u>ONERA-TP-217</u>, November 1965.
- 435. Vinokur, M., "The Distribution of Velocity Pressure and Laminar Heat Transfer on Blunt Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," <u>LMSC-894815</u>, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Burbank, California, May 1961.
- 436. Walz, A., "Contribution to the Theory of Heat Transfer at Strong Temperature and Pressure Gradients in the Direction of the Flow for Plane Rotationally Symmetrical Boundary Layers," <u>NASA TT F-9768</u>, November 1965.
- 437. Whalen, R. J., "High Mach Number and Materials Research Program. Phase I: Aerophysics Research Studies," <u>AD 264-266</u>.
- 438. Winkler, E. M. and Danberg, J. R., "Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere at Hypersonic Mach Numbers," <u>U.S. NOL NAVORD Report 4259</u>, April 1957 (AD 133-160).

Variable Surface-Temperature Distribution

- 439. Ambrok, G. S., "The Effect of Surface Temperature Variability on Heat Exchange in Laminar Flow in a Boundary Layer," <u>Soviet Physics--</u> <u>Technical Physics</u>, April 1957, p. 738.
- 440. Baxter, D. C. and Reynolds, W. C., "Fundamental Solutions for Heat Transfer from Nonisothermal Flat Plates," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 25, No. 6, June 1958, p. 403.
- 441. Brown, B. N. P., "Heat Transfer in a Laminar Boundary Layer from a Surface Having a Temperature Distribution," <u>Journal of Aerospace</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 24, No. 9, 1957, p. 912.
- 442. Bryson, A. E. and Edwards, R. N., "The Effect of Nonuniform Surface Temperature on the Transient Aerodynamic Heating of Thin-Skinned Bodies," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 19, No. 7, July 1952, pp. 471-475.
- 443. Bryson, A. E., "Note on Aerodynamic Heating with a Variable Surface Temperature," <u>Quarterly Applied Mathematics</u>, 1952, p. 273.
- 444. Carter, H. S. and Bresette, W. E., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution on Six Blunt Noses at a Mach Number of 2," <u>NACA RM L57C18</u>, April 18, 1957.
- 445. Chapman, R. and Rubesin, M. W., "Temperature and Velocity Profiles in the Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Arbitrary Distributions of Surface Temperatures," <u>Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 16, No. 9, September 1949, pp. 547-565.

NWC TP 4570

- 446. Chauvin, L. T. and Maloney, J. P., "Experimental Convective Heat Transfer to a 4-Inch and 6-Inch Hemisphere at Mach Numbers from 1.62 to 3.04," <u>NACA RN L58L08a</u>, February 1954.
- 447. Collins, D. J. and Horton, T. E., "Experimental Convective Heat Transfer Measurements," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 2, No. 11, November 1964, pp. 2046-2047.
- 448. Cooper, M. and Mayo, E. E., "Normal Conduction Effects on Heat-Transfer Data During Transient Heating of Thin-Skin Models," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Aeronautical Science</u>, Vol. 24, No. 6, June 1957, p. 461.
- 449. Drake, M., Jr., "Calculation Method for Three-Dimensional Rotationally Symmetrical Laminar Boundary Layers with Arbitrary Free-Stream Velocity and Arbitrary Wall-Temperature Variation," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 20, No. 3, May 1953, pp. 309-316.
- 450. Dunn, M. G., "Correlation of Boost Phase Turbulent Heating Flight Data," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 2, No. 1, January 1964, pp. 122-124.
- 451. Hartnett, J. P., Eckert, E. R. G., Birkebak, R., and Sampson, R. L., "Simplified Procedures for Calculation of Heat Transfer to Surfaces with Non-Uniform Temperatures," <u>WADC Technical Report No. 56-373</u>, December 1956.
- 452. Imai, I., "On the Heat Transfer to Constant-Property Laminar Boundary Layer with Power Function Free-Stream Velocity and Wall-Temperature Distributions," <u>Quarterly of Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 16, 1958, pp. 33-45.
- 453. Jakob, M. and Dow, W. N., "H at Transfer from Cylindrical Surfaces to Air in Parallel Flow with and without Unheated Starting Section," <u>Transactions of American Society of Mechanical Engineers</u>, Vol. 68, 1946, pp. 123-134.
- 454. Knuth, E. L. and Bussell, B., "Analytical Studies of Aircraft Structures Exposed to Transient External Heating, Volume IV: Heat Transfer Coefficients for Speeds up to M∞3 with Emphasis on Effects of Wall-Temperature Variations," <u>Wright Air Development Center TR 54-579</u>, September 1957.
- 455. Knu h, Eldon L., "Forced-Convection Heat Transfer with Time-Dependent Surface Temperatures," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 5, May 1963, pp. 1227-1219.
- 456. Korobkin, I., "Local Flow Conditions, Recovery Factors and Heat-Transfer Coefficients on the Nose of a Hemisphere Cylinder at a Mach Number of 2.8," <u>Navord Report 2865</u>, Aeroballistic Research Report 175, May 1953.
- 457. Reynolds, W. C., Kays, W. M., and Kline, S. J., "Heat Transfer in the Turbulent Incompressible Boundary Layer," <u>Volume II: Step Wall Temperature</u> and Heat Flux, NASA Memorandum 12-3-58W, December 1958.
- 458. Rubesin, M. W., "An Analytical Investigation of Convective Heat Transfer from a Flat Plate having a Stepwise Discontinuous Surface Temperature," Unpublished master's thesis, University of California, Berkeley, California, June 1947.

135

あったですいいのというない

- 459. Rubesin, M. W., "The Effect of Arbitrary Surface-Temperature Along a Flat Plate on the Convective Heat Transfer in an Incompressible Turbulent Boundary Layer," <u>NACA TN 2345</u>, 1951.
- 460. Scherrer, R., "Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Heat-Transfer Characteristics of Bodies of Revolution at Supersonic Speeds," <u>NACA Report No. 1055</u>, 1951.
- 461. Schmidt, C. M. and Hanawalt, A. J., "The Effect of an Arbitrary Distribution of Surface Temperature on Heat Transfer in the Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 24, No. 1, January 1957, p. 73.
- 462. Schurmann, E. E. H., "Engineering Methods for the Analysis of Aerodynamic Heating," <u>RAD-TM-63-68</u>, November 11, 1963, AVCO Corporation, Wilmington, Massachusetts (AD 422-486).
- 463. Seiff, A., "Examination of the Existing Data on the Heat Transfer of Turbulent Boundary Layers at Supersonic Speeds from the Point of View of Reynolds Analogy," <u>NACA TN 3284</u>, August 1954.
- 464. Spalding, D., "Heat Transfer from Surfaces of Non-Uniform Temperature," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 4, Part 1, May 1958, pp. 22-32.
- 465. Sparrow, E. M., "Combined Effects of Unsteady Flight Velocity and Surface Temperature on Heat Transfer," <u>Jet Propulsion</u>, Vol. 28, No. 6, June 1958, pp. 403-405.
- 466. Sparrow, E. M., "The Thermal Boundary Layer on a Non-Isothermal Surface with Non-Uniform Free Stream Velocity," <u>Journal of Fluid Mechanics</u>, Vol. 4, Part 3, July 1958, pp. 321-329.
- 467. Sparrow, E. M. and Gregg, J. L., "Prandtl Number Effects on Unsteady Forced-Convection Heat Transfer," <u>NACA TN 4311</u>, June 1958 (AD 161-992).
- 468. Tendeland, T., "Effects of Mach Number and Wall Temperature Ratio on Turbulent Heat Transfer at Mach Numbers from 3 to 5," <u>NASA TR R-16</u>, 1959 (AD 156-896).
- 469. Tifford, A. N. and Chu, S. T., "Heat Transfer in Laminar Boundary Layers Subject to Surface Pressure and Temperature Distributions," <u>Proceedings of Second Midwest Conference on Fluid Mechanics</u>, Ohio State University Press, 1952, pp. 363-377.

CORRECTIONS FOR ANGLE OF ATTACK OR YAW

- 470. Amick, J. L., "Pressure Measurements of Sharp and Blunt 5° and 15° Half Angle Cones at Mach Number 3.86 and Angles of Attack to 100°," <u>NASA TN D-753</u>, February 1961.
- 471. Beckwith, I. E. and Cohen, N. B., "Application of Similar Solutions to Calculations of Laminar Heat Transfer on Bodies with Yaw and Large Pressure Gradient in High-Speed Flow," <u>NASA TN D-625</u>, January 1961.
- 472. Beckwith, I. E. and Gallagher, J. J., "Local Heat Transfer and Recovery Temperature on a Yawed Cylinder at Mach Numbers of 4.15 and High Reynolds Numbers," <u>NASA Memo 2-27-59L</u>, April 1959 (AD 306-317).
- 473. Burke, G. L., "Heat Transfer and Pressure Distributions about Sharp and Blunt Elliptic Cones at Angles of Attack and High Mach Numbers," <u>TR-64-172</u>, May 1965, U.S. Air Force Flight Dynamic Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio (AD 465-939).
- 474. Bohachevsky, T. O. and Mates, R. E., "A Direct Method for Calculation of the Flow about an Axiymmetric Blunt Body at Angle of Attack," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 4, No. 5, May 1966, pp. 776-782.
- 475. Braun, W. H., "Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Yawed Cone in a Supersonic Stream," NASA TR R-7, 1959.
- 476. Conti, R. J., "Laminar Heat Transfer and Pressure Measurements at a Mach Number of Six on Sharp and Blunt 15° Half-Angle Cones at Angles of Attack up to 90°," <u>NASA TN D-962</u>, October 1961.
- 477. Ferri, A., "The Method of Characteristics for the Determination of Supersonic Flow Over Bodies of Revolution at Small Angles of Attack," <u>NACA R-1044</u>, 1952.
- 478. Harris, E. L., "Determination of the Streamlines on a Sphere-Cone at Angle of Attack from the Measured Surface Pressure Distribution," <u>NOLTR 63-37</u>, February 1963.
- 479. Keener, E. R., "Prediction of Ogive Forebody Pressures at Angles of Attack," <u>Journal of the Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 29, No. 8, August 1962, pp. 1013-1014.
- 480. Langelo, V. A. and Lengyel, A., "Aerodynamic Coefficient of a Pointed Cone at Angles of Attack in a Rarefied Gas Flow," <u>General Electric</u> <u>Technical Information Series Report No. R58SD229</u>, May 1958.
- 481. Lunev, V. V., "Shock Layer Method in Problems of Hypersonic Flow over Thin Blunted Bodies," U.S. Air Force Foreign Technology Division, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, August 1965 (<u>TT-65-935</u>, AD 619-435).
- 482. Maslach, G. J. and Talbot, I.., "Low Density Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Cone at Angle of Attack," <u>University of California Technical</u> <u>Report HE-150-172</u>, October 30, 1959.
- 483. Moore, F. K., "Laminar Boundary Layer on a Circular Cone in Supersonic Flow at a Small Angle of Attack," <u>NACA TN 2844</u>, 1952.
- 484. Moore, F. K., "Laminar Boundary Layer on Cone in Supersonic Flow at Large Angle of Attack," NACA TN 2844, 1952.
- 485. Neal, L., Jr., "Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Mach Number of 6.77 of a 9° Cone Configuration with and without Spherical Afterbodies at Angles of Attack up to 180° with Various Degrees of Nose Blunting," <u>NASA TN D-1606</u>, March 1961.

- 486. Pasiuk, L., "Supersonic Aerodynamic Heat Transfer and Pressure Distributions on a Sphere-Cone Model at High Angles of Yaw," <u>Report No.</u> <u>NODTR 62-35</u>, July 1962 (AD 284-914), Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Maryland.
- 487. Pasiuk, L., "Comparison between the Experimental and Theoretical Heat Transfer to a Yawed Sphere-Cone Model at Supersonic Speeds," <u>Report 207</u>, October 1964, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Maryland (AD 448-887).
- 489. San Lorenzo, E. A., "Method for Calculating Surface Streamlines and Laminar Heat Transfer to Blunted Cones at Angle of Attack," <u>Journal of Aerospace Sciences</u>, Vol. 28, No. 11, November 1961, pp. 904-905.
- 490. Van Camp, W. M., "Aerodynamic Heating Characteristics of a Nose Cone at Angle of Attack," <u>Report 5978</u>, May 1958, McDonnell Aircraft Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri.
- 491. Yen, S. M. and Thyson, N. A., "Supersonic Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer on Yawed Cone," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 1, No. 3, March 1963, pp. 672-675.
- 492. Wells, W. R. and Armstrong, W. O., "Table of Aerodynamic Coefficients Obtained from Developed Newtonian Expressions for Complete Conic and Spherical Bodies at Combined Angles of Attack and Sideslip with Some Comparisons with Hypersonic Experimental Data," <u>NASA TR</u> <u>R-127</u>, 1962.
- 493. Zakkay, V., "Laminar Heat Transfer and Pressure Measurements over Blunt-Nosed Cones at Large Angle of Attack," Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 25, No. 12, December 1958, p. 794.
- **REAL GAS EFFECTS**
- 494. Ambrosio, A. and Wortman, A., "Stagnation Point Shock Detachment Distance for Flow around Spheres and Cylinders," <u>ARS Journal</u>, Vol. 32, No. 2, February 1962, p. 281.
- 495. Clarke, J. F., "Relaxation Effects on the Flow over Slender Bodies," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 11, December 1961, pp. 577-603.
- 496. Graff, R. A., "Stagnation Point Velocity Distribution for a Compressible Fluid," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 4, April 1965, pp. 767-764.
- 497. Grisby, C. E. and Ogburn, E. L., "Investigation of Reynolds Number Effects for a Series of Cone-Cylinder Bodies at Mach Numbers 1.62, 1.93, and 2.41," <u>NACA RM L53H21</u>, 1953.
- 498. Eastman, D. W., "Two-Dimensional or Axially Symmetric Real Gas Flows by the Method of Characteristics, Part II: Flow Fields Around Bodies," <u>D2-10598</u>, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington, August 1963 (AD 442-394).

「「「「「「「「「」」」」

- 499. Eschenroeder, A. Q., "Solution for the Inertial Energy Spectrum of Isotropic Turbulence," <u>The Physics of Fluids</u>, Vol. 8, No. 4, April 1965, p. 598.
- 500. Jenkins, B. Z., "Fundamental Considerations for a Study of Real Gas Effects on Army Missile Stability," <u>Report No. ED-TR-65-11</u>, U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, October 1965.
- 561. Martellucci, A., "Real Gas Characteristics Analysis for the Flow About a Blunted Axisymmetric Cone," <u>Technical Report No. 162</u>, May 1960, General Applied Science Laboratories, Inc., Westbury, New York.
- 502. Peter, R. A., High, M. D., and Blick, E. F., "Viscous Flow Properties on Slender Cones," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 3, March 1965, pp. 572-573.
- 503. South, J. C., Jr. and Newman, P. A., "Application of the Method of Integral Relations to Real-Gas Flows Past Pointed Bodies," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 9, September 1965, pp. 1645.
- 504. Whitfield, Jack D. and Griffith, B. J., "Viscous Effects of Zero-Lift Drag of Slender Blunt Cones," <u>AEDC-TDR-63-35</u>, 1963.
- 505. Xerikos, J. and Anderson, W. A., "An Experimental Investigation of the Shock Layer Surrounding a Sphere in Supersonic Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 3, No. 3, March 1965, pp. 451-457.

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

- 506. Beltran, A. A., "Aerodynamics of Cones and Protuberances, An Annotated Bibliography," Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, Sunnyvale, California, May 1961 (AD 260-706).
- 507. Christian, W. J. and Schiffman, T. H., "Heat and Mass Transfer Bibliography with Selected Abstracts," <u>Technical Report 58-186</u>, May 1958, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 508. Evans, G. R., "Aerodynamic Characteristics of Bodies of Revolution without Fins: An Annotated Bibliography," <u>Report 8-4-63-13 SB-63-75</u>, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Sunnyvale, California, July 1963.
- 509. Defense Documentation Center, "Blunt Body Aerodynamics: A Report Bibliography," <u>ABR-N06057494</u>, September 1966.
- 510. Defense Documentation Center, "Heat Transfer: A Report Bibliography," ABR No. 057495, September 1966.
- 511. Foster, A. D., "A Compilation of Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics Including Pressure Information for Sharp and Blunt-Nose Cones Having Flat and Modified Bases," <u>Sandia Corporation Monograph</u> <u>SC-R-64-1311</u>, January 1965, Sandia Corporation, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

- 512. Graziano, E., "Aerodynamic Heat Transfer and Pressure Distribution on Blunt Bodies at Hypersonic Velocities, An Annotated Bibliography," <u>Special Bibliography No. SB62-61</u>, Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, Sunnyvale, California, December 1962.
- 513. Krzywoblocki, M. Z., "Aerodynamic Studies: The Forces Acting on an Air Vehicle. A Review of Literature," <u>Technical Note No. 560-360</u>, <u>Part 22</u>, Institute of System Research, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, August 1959.
- 514. Krzywoblocki, M. A., "Aerodynamic Studies: The Forces Acting on an Air Vehicle. A Review of Literature," <u>Report on Scientific and</u> <u>Engineering Research Investigations in Flight Dynamics</u>, Institute for Systems Research, University of Chicago, December 1959.
- 515. Krzywoblocki, M. A., "Aerodynamic Studies: The Forces Acting on an Air Vehicle. A review of the Literature," <u>DDC AD-234-131</u>, January 1959, Institute for Systems Research, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.
- 516. Van Aken, R. W. and Martin, C. M., "Retrieval of Aerodynamic Heating Information, Part I. Unclassified Material," <u>NOTS TP 4137</u>, March 1967, U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, China Lake, California.

REFERENCES

- National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. A Study of Hypersonic Small Disturbance Theory, by M. D. Van Dyke. Washington, D.C., NACA, May 1963. (Technical Note 3173.)
- Cole, J. D. "Newtonian Flow Theory for Slender Bodies," J AERON 3CI, Vol. 24, No. 6 (June 1357), pp. 448-55.
- 3. Lees, L. "Hypersonic Flow," in Proceedings IAS-RAES Fifth International Aeronautical Conference, Los Angeles, Calif., 20-23 June 1955.
- National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. Investigation of the Drag of Various Axially Symmetric Nose Shapes of Fineness Ratio 3 for Mach Numbers 1.24 to 7.4, by E. W. Perkins, L. H. Jorgensen, and S. C. Sommer. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1958. (NACA Report 1386.)
- 5. U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station. Pressure and Wave Drag Coefficients for Hemispheres, Hemisphere-Cones, and Hemisphere Ogives, by J. R. Katz. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, 1958. (NAVORD 5849, NOTS 1947; AD-159 801.)
- Love, E. S. "Generalized Newtonian Theory," J AEROSPACE SCI, Vol. 26, No. 5 (May 1959), p. 314.
- Arnold Engineering Development Center. Pressure Distributions on a Hemisphere Cylinder at Supersonic and Hypersonic Mach Numbers, by A. L. Bear. Tullahoma, Tenn., AEDC, August 1961. (AEDC TN-61-66; AD-261 501.)
- The Boeing Company. Steady State Airload Distribution on Hammerhead Shaped Payload of a Multistaged Vehicle at Transonic Speeds, by J. S. Andrews. Seattle, Washington, TBC, January 1964. (#D2-22947-1; AD-465-140.)
- Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University. An Engineering Method for Rapid Calculations of Supersonic-Hypersonic Pressure Distributions, by R. J. Vendemia, Jr. Silver Spring, Maryland, APL/JHU, November 1965. (TG-752; AD-630 342.)
- Oliver, R. E. "An Experimental Investigation of Flow About Simple Blunt Bodies at a Nominal Mach Number of 5.8," J AERON SCI, Vol. 23, No. 2, February 1956, p. 177.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Table for Supersonic Flow Around Right Circular Cones, by J. L. Sims. Washington, D.C., NASA, 1964. (NASA SP-3004.)

- 12. U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station. Summary of Results of Pressure Coefficient Calculations for a Spherically Tipped Tangent-Ogive Body, by K. Okauchi. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, October 1962. (NAVWEPS Report 8048, NOTS TP 3C50; AD-291-599.)
- 13. Lees, L., and T. Kubota. 'Inviscid Hypersonic Flow Over Blunt Nosed Slender Bodies," J AERON SCI, Vol. 24, No. 3, March 1956, pp. 195-202.
- Wagner, R. D., Jr. "Some Aspects of the Modified Newtonian and Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Method for Axisymmetric Blunt Bodies at Zero Angle of Attack," J AEROSPACE SCI, Vol. 26, No. 12 (December 1959), pp. 851-52.
- Lockheed Missiles and Space Company. Modified Newtonian-Prandtl-Meyer Matching Angle, by E. Jones. Sunnyvale, Calif., LMSC, February 1964. (IDC/TLAD 654.)
- 16. Blick, E. F., and J. E. Francis. "Spherically Blunted Cone Pressure Distribution," AIAA J, Vol. 4, No. 3 (March 1966), pp. 547-49.
- Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. "Analysis of Pressure Tests on Sharpand Blunt-Nosed Bodies of Revolution for Mach Numbers from 0.20 to 3.5," by D. N. Morris, and B. L. Grobli. El Segundo, Calif., DAC, June 1960. (DAC Report No. ES 29700.)
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Surface Pressure Distribution on 0.0628-Scale Models of Proposed Project Fire Space Vehicle at Mach Numbers from 0.25 to 4.63, by A. O. Pearson. Washington, D.C., NASA, September 1963. (NASA TN D-1961.)
- Arnold Engineering Development Center. Static Pressure Distribution on a 0.07-Scale Aerodynamic Model of the Atlas-Able IV at Free-Stream Mach Numbers from 0.50 to 1.60, by F. J. Graham, and C. B. Butler. Tullahoma, Tenn., AEDC, July 1960. (AEDC-TN-60-128; AD-240 084.)
- 20. Sears, W. R., ed. "General Theory of High-Speed Aerodynamics," in High-Speed Aerodynamics and Jet Propulsion. Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press, 1954.
- 21. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Second-Order Slender-Body Theory--Axisymmetric Flow, by M. D. Van Dyke. Washington, D.C., NASA, 1952. (NASA TR-R-47.)
- National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics. A Study of Second-Order Supersonic Flow Theory, by M. D. Van Dyke. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1952. (NACA Report 1081.)
- 23. Van Dyke, M. D. "The Paraboloid of Revolution in Subsonic Flow," J MATH PHYS, Vol. 37, No. 1 (January 1958), pp. 38-51.

- 24. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Slender Body Theory Based on Approximate Solution of the Transonic Flow Equations, by J. R. Spreiter, and A. Y. Alksne. Washington, D.C., NASA, 1959. (NASA TR-2.)
- Spreiter, J. R. "Aerodynamics of Wings and Bodies at Transonic Speeds," J AEROSPACE SCI, 701. 26, No. 8 (August 1959), pp. 465-86.
- 26. Laitone, E. V. "The Subsonic Axial Flow About a Body of Revolution," QUART APPL MATH, Vol. V, No. 2, 1947, pp. 227-31.
- 27. -----. "The Linearized Subsonic and Supersonic Flow About Inclined Slender Bodies of Revolution," J AERON SCI, Vol. 14, No. 11 (November 1947), pp. 631-42.
- 28. Adams, Mac C., and W. R. Sears. "Slender Body Theory--Extensions and Review," J AERON SCI, Vol. 20, No. 2 (February 1953), pp. 85-98.
- 29. Liepmann, H. W., and A. Roshko. Elements of Gas Dynamics, New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1957.
- 30. Shapiro, A. H. The Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Compressible Flow, Vol. I and II, New York, Ronald Press, 1953.
- 31. National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics. A comparison of the Experimental Subsonic Pressure Distribution of Revolution With Pressure Distributions Computed by Means of the Linearized Theory, by C. W. Matthews. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1952. (NACA TN 2519.)
- 32. -----. Theoretical Investigation of Transonic Similarity for Bodies of Revolution, by W. Perl, and M. Mklein. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1950. (NACA TN 2239.)
- 33. -----. The Similarity Rules for Second-Order Subsonic and Supersonic Flow, by M. D. Van Dyke. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1958. (NACA Report 1374.)
- 34. -----. A Discussion of the Application of the Prandtl-Glauret Method to Subsonic Compressible Flow Over a Slender Body of Revolution, by L. Lees. Washington, D.C., NACA, 1946. (NACA TN 1127.)
- 35. Perry, J. C., and C. Pasiuk. "A Comparison of Solutions to a Blunt Body Problem," AIAA J, Vol. 4, No. 8 (August 1966), pp. 1425-26.
- 36. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Numerical Analysis of Flow Properties About Blunt Bodies Moving at Supersonic Speeds in Equilibrium Gas, by H. Lomax, and M. Inouge. Washington, D.C., NASA, July 1964. (NASA TR R-204.)

- 37. DiDonato, A. R., of Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren, Va., and B. Zondek. "Calculation of the Transonic Flow Field About a Blunt-Nosed Body With Rear Skirt," unpublished paper presented at the Naval War College, Washington, D.C. (Date unknown.)
- 38. Jenkins, A. H., B. Z. Jenkins, and L. H. Johnson. "Transonic and Low Supersonic Shock Shape Solutions," AIAA J, Vol. 4, No. 8 (August 1966), pp. 1483-85.
- 39. Lees, L. "Laminar Heat Transfer Over Blunt-Nosed Bodies at Hypersonic Flight Speeds," JET PROPUL, Vol. 26, No. 4 (April 1956), pp. 259-64.
- Fay, J. A., and F. R. Riddell. "Theory of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in Disassociated Air," J AEROSPACE SCI, Vol. 26, No. 2 (February 1958), pp. 73-85.
- Detra, R. W., N. H. Kemp, and F. R. Riddell. "Heat Transfer to Satellite Vehicles Re-Entering the Atmosphere," JET PROPUL, Vol. 27, No. 12 (December 1957), pp. 1256-57.
- 42. Vaglio-Laurin, Roberto. "Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in General Three-Dimensional Hypersonic Flow," in 1959 Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Institute Preprints of Papers, Stanford, Calif., Stanford University Press, 1959, pp. 95-109.
- 43. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Boundary-Layer Similar Solutions and Correlation Equations for Laminar Heat-Transfer Distribution in Equilibrium Air at Velocities up to 41,000 Feet per Second, by N. B. Cohen. Washington, D.C., NASA, 1961. (NASA TR R-118.)
- 44. Sibulkin, M. "Heat Transfer Near the Forward Stagnation Point of a Body of Revolution," J AERON SCI, Vol. 19, No. 8 (August 1952), pp. 570-71.
- 45. National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics. Theoretical and Experimental Investigation of Aerodynamic Heating and Isothermal Heat-Transfer Parameters on a Hemispherical Nose With Laminar Boundary Layer at Supersonic Mach Numbers, by H. A. Stine, and K. Wanlass. Washington, D.C., NACA, December 1954. (NACA TN 3344.)
- 46. Naval Ordnance Laboratory. Local Flow Conditions, Recovery Factors, and Heat Transfer Coefficients on the Nose of a Hemisphere-Cylinder at a Mach Number of 2.8, by I. Korobkin. White Oak. Md., NOL, May 1965. (NAVORD Report 2865, Aeroballistic Research Report 175.)

- 47. -----. Laminar Heat Transfer Characteristics of a Hemisphere for the Mach Number Range of 1.9 to 4.9, by I. Korobkin. White Oak, Md., NOL, October 1954. (NAVORD Report 3841, Aeroballistic Research Report 257.)
- 48. National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics. Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on Two Blunt Bodies at Mach Number 3.12, by N. S. Diaconis, R. J. Wisniewski, and J. R. Jack. Washington, D.C., NACA, October 1957. (NACA TN 4099.)
- 49. -----. Heat Transfer and Recovery Temperatures on a Sphere With Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Boundary Layers at Mach Numbers of 2.00 and 4.15, by I. E. Beckwith, and J. J. Gallagher. Washington, D.C., NACA, December 1957. (NACA Report 4125.)
- 50. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Heat Transfer and Boundary-Layer Transition on a Highly Polished Hemisphere Cone in Free Flight at Mach Numbers Up to 3.14 and Reynolds Numbers Up to 24×10^6 , by J. J. Buglia. Washington, D.C., NASA, September 1961. (NASA TN D-955.)
- 51. National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics. Pressure Distribution and Pressure Drag for a Hemisphere Nose at Mach Numbers 2.05, 2.54, and 3.04, by L. T. Chauvin. Washington, D.C., NACA, 31 December 1962. (NACA RM L52K06.)
- 52. -----. Experimental Convective Heat Transfer to a Four-Inch and Six-Inch Hemisphere at Mach Numbers From 1.62 to 3.04, by L. T. Chauvin, and J. P. Maloney. Washington, D.C., NACA, 3 February 1954. (NACA RM L53L08a.)
- Rose, P. H., and W. I. Stark. "Stagnation Point Heat Transfer Measurements in Disassociated Air," J AERON SCI, Vol. 25, No. 2 (February 1958), pp. 86-97.
- 54. Aeronautical Research Laboratory, University of Minnesota. Survey on Heat Transfer at High Speeds, by E. R. G. Eckert. Minneapolis, Minn., ARL/UM, December 1961. (ARL 189.)
- 55. Van Driest, E. R. "The Problem of Aerodynamic Heating," paper presented at the Aerodynamic Heating, Aerodynamic Aspects Session, National Summer Meeting, IAS, Los Angeles, Calif., 18-21 June 1956.
- 56. Fay, J. A., F. R. Riddell, and N. H. Kemp. "Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in Disassociated Air Flow," JET PROPUL, Vol. 27, No. 6 (June 1957), pp. 672-74.

- 57. Cresci, R. J., D. A. MacKenzie, and P. Libby. "An Investigation of Laminar, Transitional, and Turbulent Heat Transfer on Blunt-Nosed Bodies in Hypersonic Flow," J AEROSPACE SCI, Vol. 27, No. 6 (June 1960), pp. 401-414.
- 58. Naval Ordnance Laboratory. Viscosity and Heat Transfer Effects, Section 13 and 14, in Handbook of Supersonic Aerodynamics, by R. E. Wilson. White Oak, Md., NOL, 1 August 1966. (NAVORD Report 1488 (Vol. 5).)
- 59. Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn. Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution in a Hemispherical Nose Cone in Hypersonic Flow, by S. V. Nardo, and R. W. Sadler. New York, PIB, January 1962. (PIBAL Report No. 597.)
- 60. Stetson, K. F. "Boundary Layer Transition on Blunt Bodies With Highly Cooled Boundary Layers," paper presented at the IAS 27th Annual Meeting, New York, 26-29 January 1959. (IAS Report No. 59-36.)
- 61. Naval Ordnance Laboratory. A Procedure for Calculating the Boundary-Layer Development in the Region of Transition from Laminar to Turbulent Flow, by J. Persch. White Oak, Md., NOL, March 1957. (NAVORD Report 4438, Aeroballistic Research Report 367.)
- 62. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Correlation of Free-Flight Turbulent Heat-Transfer Data From Axisymmetric Bodies With Compressible Flat-Plate Relationships, by E. V. Zoby, and E. M. Sullivan. Washington, D.C., NASA, January 1967. (NASA TN D-3802.)
- 63. Eckert, E. R. G. "Engineering Relations for Heat Transfer and Friction in High-Velocity Laminar and Turbulent Boundary-Layer Flow Over Surfaces With Constant Pressures and Temperature, AM SOC MECH ENG, TRANS, Vol. 78, No. 6 (August 1956), p. 1273.
- 64. Knuth, E. L. "Comments on 'Flight Measurements of Aerodynamic Heating and Boundary Layer Transition on Viking 10 Nose Cone,'" JET PROPUL, Vol. 26, No. 12 (December 1956), pp. 1101-03.
- 65. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Turbulent Skin Friction and Heat Transfer Charts Adapted From the Spalding and Chi Method, by Luther Neal, Jr., and M. H. Bertram. Washington, D.C., NASA, May 1967. (NASA TN D-3969.)
- 66. Spalding, D. B., and S. W. Chi. "The Drag of a Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Smooth Flat Plate With and Without Heat Transfer, J FLUID MECH, Vol. 18, No. 1 (January 1963), pp. 117-43.

1.5.

DUCUMENT C	ONTROL DATA - R	& D		
(Security classification of title, body of obstract and inde	wing annotation must be	entered when t	he overall report in classified)	
DHIGINATING ACTIVITY (Copporate author)		UNCLAS	SSIFIED	
Naval Weapons Center China Lake, Calif., 93555		26. GROUP		
PREDICTION TECHNIQUES FOR PRI OVER BODIES OF REVOLUTION IN	ESSURE AND HEAT HIGH-SUBSONIC	TO LOW-SI	R DISTRIBUTIONS JPERSONIC FLIGHT	
Literature Survey				
AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)		······································		
Isaacson, L. K., and Jones, J. W.				
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. G	FPAGES	75. NO. OF REFS	
November 1968	148		633	
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NU	MBER(S)	
N60530-67-C-0046	NWC TP 4	570		
, PROJECT NO.				
WepTask RMGA-81-039/216/F009-10-01	95. OTHER REPO	RT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigns	
	this report)			
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING Naval Ai Naval Ma Washingt	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Naval Air Systems Command Naval Material Command Washington, D.C. 20360		
ABSTRACT				
A literature survey and an evaluation pressure and heat-transfer distributions to low-supersonic flight is presented. Nations of the modified Newtonian met bodies of revolution for free-stream Mac Appropriate experimental laminar an clunt-body vehicle at flight Mach number calculated values. Experimental data co heat transfer in the free-stream Mach number an extensive bibliography covering that on pressure and heat-transfer distri-	ion of applicab s over bodies o Methods for de issed and compa- thod may be app th numbers grea and turbulent he is between 1.6 build not be fou imber range from prediction tec ibutions is in	le litera f revolut termining red with lied to a ter than at-transf and 5 are nd for bl m 0.7 to hniques a cluded as	ture concerning ion in high-subsonic the pressure distri- experimental data. large class of 0.75. er data for a compared with unt-body turbulent 1.6. and experimental the appendix.()	
A literature survey and an evaluation pressure and heat-transfer distributions to low-supersonic flight is presented. Solution on bodies of revolution are discu- ariations of the modified Newtonian met bodies of revolution for free-stream Mac Appropriate experimental laminar are plunt-body vehicle at flight Mach number alculated values. Experimental data con- teat transfer in the free-stream Mach nu- An extensive bibliography covering ata on pressure and heat-transfer distribution of pressure and heat-transfer distribution of pressure and heat-transfer distribution of pressure and heat-transfer distribution of pressure	ion of applicab a over bodies o Methods for de ussed and compa- chod may be app ch numbers grea and turbulent he is between 1.6 buld not be fou umber range from prediction tech ibutions is in	le litera f revolut termining red with lied to a ter than at-transf and 5 are nd for bl m 0.7 to hniques a cluded as	ture concerning ion in high-subsonic the pressure distri- experimental data. large class of 0.75. er data for a compared with unt-body turbulent 1.6. and experimental the appendix.()	

「「「「「「」」」」」」」」」

Security Classification							
14 KEY WORDS	LIN	K A	LIN			ĸc	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	ΨT	ROLE	WТ	
Aerodynamic heat transfer							
Blunt body							
Pressure distribution							
Subsonic flow							
Supersonic flow							
	ĺ						
E. S.							
	•						
DD 1 NOV 1473 (BACK)		UNCLAS	SIFTED			0.15	
(PAGE 2)		Security	Classific	ation		_	

.